

---

Subject: Shure In-Ear Personal Monitor System  
Posted by [Ed](#) on Mon, 19 Feb 2007 00:25:43 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I" target="\_blank"><http://www.radikaltechnologies.com/index.html>

James

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>  
>Has anyone tried one of these? I'm about to drop a lot more money on a moving  
>fader control box. A lot more.

>  
>TCB

>  
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>  
>>; ) Next they will have fruit flavors!

>>  
>><http://www.behringer.com/BCF2000-WH/index.cfm?lang=eng>  
>I was planning on buying the Frontier Designs Alfatrack, until I saw the Alesis  
MasterControl. The Alesis serves multi purposes, including 16ch. Adat interface.  
I may also buy a Alfatrack. It's only \$200.00 street, and it's small.

<http://www.frontierdesign.com/>

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: Shure In-Ear Personal Monitor System  
Posted by [audioguy\\_editout\\_](#) on Mon, 19 Feb 2007 05:00:50 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

t; wrote in message

>>>> news:460b3fd0\$1@linux...

>>>>>

>>>>> <http://www.apple.com/macosx/bootcamp/>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>>>

>>

>

>> curry our horses last weekend

In India, would that be considered sacrilege? I understand the spices would

probably make it more palatable at least.

AA

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message news:460d1ba1@linux...  
> It stopped yesterday afternoon and all melted. We've got 4" on the ground  
> this morning and it's still snowing hard, and I mean like as in a January  
> snowfall. I'm glad we didn't curry our horses last weekend. That removes  
> their winter undercoat and they're needing it today. I had a feeling it  
> would be a good thing to wait. I was right. They're out in the pasture  
> right now looking around wondering where all the green grass is that they  
> were munchin' on yesterday.  
>  
> Time to go throw some hay at these guys  
> ;o)  
>  
>  
>  
> "John Macy" <spamlessjohn@johnmacy.com> wrote in message

---

Subject: Re: Shure In-Ear Personal Monitor System  
Posted by [Ed](#) on Mon, 19 Feb 2007 06:27:42 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

> news:460cc696\$1@linux...  
>>  
>> Looks like I picked a good week to go to Phoenix  
>> to watch spring training baseball (my 8 year old son  
>> is a big Texas Rangers fan--he caught a fly ball on  
>> Monday and got Sammy Sosa to sign it yesterday)...  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote:  
>>>....and the Purgatory ski resort about two weeks ago. The flakes are so  
>> big  
>>>today they look like popcorn falling from the sky and all the trees and  
>>  
>>>flowers are starting to bloom out while this is going on.  
>>>  
>>>What an amazing show.  
>>>  
>>>;o)  
>>>  
>>>

>>  
>  
>Yeah dude. Call it a 'sound' investment :)  
AA

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message news:460d4030@linux...  
> Just roll the price of the cards into the financing.....and surely your  
> home repairs will also need a DW Fearn preamp and and a Telefunlen U47 in  
> order to be complet4ed properly.  
>  
> ;o)  
>  
> "Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote in message news:460d29f8\$1@linux...  
>> If I could I would...in a heartbeat but unfortunately we have some major  
>> house expenses coming up that will require the temporary maxing out of  
>> the cards in the event financing of said expenses gets held up....now  
>> should the financing come through and your card is still available I'm  
>> sure we can work out a amicable arrangement i.e. I give you cash, you  
>> give me card(s)  
>>  
>> :-)  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>> "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:460d260a\$

---

Subject: Re: Shure In-Ear Personal Monitor System  
Posted by [Tony Benson](#) on Mon, 19 Feb 2007 19:26:59 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

of all, this  
slick little film was written and directed by well-known  
anti-environmentalist Martin Durkin, who is in trouble now with some of the  
experts he used in the film for misrepresenting them by editing their  
sequences.

The most amusing part of this was his answer to the "better safe than  
sorry" approach -- that if by fighting global warming we doom the Third  
World to poverty by preventing development. AS IF the  
anti-environmentalists and the corporations funding the global warming  
denyers give a flying f\*\*\* about people in the Third World for anything  
other than another market to exploit. Yes, we all know that without the  
burning of fossil fuels, there is no possibility of progress. Gimme a  
friggin' break.

Greed kills, people. Follow the money.

Sarah

"Bill Lorentzen" <bill@lorentzen.ws> wrote in message news:460ec4f9@linux...  
> <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=u6IPHmJWmDk&mode=related&search=>  
>  
> It's a British documentary showing another viewpoint about global warming  
> and CO2. It's a viewpoint that is different from that of the man who  
> invented the internet...  
> Oh, and by the way, here's some detail.  
http://news.independent.co.uk/environment/climate\_change/article2326210.ece

S

"Bill Lorentzen" <bill@lorentzen.ws> wrote in message news:460ec4f9@linux...  
> <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=u6IPHmJWmDk&mode=related&search=>  
>  
> It's a British documentary showing another viewpoint about global warming  
> and CO2. It's a viewpoint that is different from that of the man who  
> invented the internet...  
> Don Nafe wrote:  
> I'm not about to get into an argument about Global warming...but I do  
> remember my Grade 10 science and the chemical make up of the atmosphere and  
> from that perspective alone Global Warming being caused by CO2 doesn't make  
> any sense and it makes even less sense when you use the numbers the IPCC  
> uses to prove that CO2 emissions are the cause...bad science...plain and  
> simple.

Cool. This makes me think of three things:

- 1) Memes in our vocabulary.
- 2) Following the money.
- 3) Examining the scientific consensus.

MEMES

Phrases like "bad science" and "junk science" are often used by PR flacks paid by fossil fuel lobbies. Previously those sorts of phrases were used by PR flacks paid by tobacco lobbies. It's an interesting connection...

From: <http://www.cbc.ca/fifth/denialmachine/index.html>

\*\*\*\*\*

"Who is keeping the debate of global warming alive?  
The documentary shows how fossil fuel corporation

---

---

Subject: Re: Shure In-Ear Personal Monitor System

Posted by Ed on Tue, 20 Feb 2007 21:26:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

d both sound right but I can't make sense of it."

TIA,

Regards,

Mic.Form SR copyrights the recording itself. To copyright the individual songs, use PA. You can do both - that's what the language in the SR instructions is referring to, not that it replaces PA.

Technically a song is copyrighted when written, but for legal safekeeping, filing the forms is the safest way to go.

You can use form PA to copyright a collection of songs, listing the collection title and each song title in the collection. Technically that isn't the same as copyrighting each individual song, but I believe (though can't guarantee), it may serve the same purpose. A lawyer would be best for that determination.

Regards,  
Dedric

On 4/3/07 8:45 PM, in article 46131161\$1@linux, "Mic Cross"  
<crzymnmchl@comcast.net> wrote:

>  
> A friend is asking:  
>  
> "If I copyright a CD of 13 songs of mine, do I use form SR or PA? I've been  
> reading both and both sound right but I can't make sense of it."  
>  
> TIA,  
>  
> Regards,  
>  
> Mic.Courtesy of The Turtles...

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5JHN5HaUg28> Thanks Dedric!

Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>Form SR copyrights the recording itself. To copyright the individual songs,  
>use PA. You can do both - that's what the language in the SR instructions  
>is referring to, not that it replaces PA.

>  
>Technically a song is copyrighted when written, but for legal safekeeping,

>filing the forms is the safest way to go.

>

>You can use form PA to copyright a collection of songs, listing the  
>collection title and each song title in the collection. Technically that  
>isn't the same as copyrighting each individual song, but I believe (though  
>can't guarantee), it may serve the same purpose. A lawyer would be best  
for  
>that determination.

>

>Regards,  
>Dedric

>

>On 4/3/07 8:45 PM, in article 46131161\$1@linux, "Mic Cross"  
><crzymnmchl@comcast.net> wrote:

>

>>  
>> A friend is asking:

>>

>> "If I copyright a CD of 13 songs of mine, do I use form SR or PA? I've  
been

>> reading both and both sound right but I can't make sense of it."

>>

>> TIA,

>>

>> Regards,

>>

>> Mic.

>So sad!

Here's a fun read!

<http://negativland.com/albini.html>

James

"John Macy" <spamlessjohn@johnmacy.com> wrote:

>

>Courtesy of The Turtles...

>

><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5JHN5HaUg28>James, what you're saying here about Apple is  
not true, as far as I can see

what they're doing many places here in Europe. They will not follow the  
guarantee laws in different countries here and is suing their resellers to  
pay for the difference, if the guarantee-period is longer than their own. I  
know different resellers in Norway will not sell Apple products anymore just  
because of these problems, when reparations over a year have eaten up more  
than their whole selling profit on Apple products. So the other side of the  
Apple name is not as glorious as your believings about the firm;-)

Erling

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse  
news:4612d4f2\$1@linux...

>

> TCB

>

> At least Apple doesn't steal from others, and then turn around and sue

> when

---

Subject: Re: Shure In-Ear Personal Monitor System  
Posted by [Tony Benson](#) on Wed, 21 Feb 2007 17:17:09 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

>>>>>>attitude, give it a rest.

>>>>>>>Time to climb down off the Apple high horse and realize that Bill

> Gates

>>>>>>

>>>>>>>isn't Satan, and Steve Jobs isn't Mother Theresa.

>>>>>>>These are companies making products to make a profit and I believe

> most

>>>>>>

>>>>>>>if

>>>>>>

>>>>>>>not all of us here understand that, other than you apparently.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>Why do you have such an all-consuming chip on your shoulder against

>>>

>>> MS?

>>>

>>>>>>>I

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>don't have a problem with Macs or PCs, MS or Apple, but

>>>>>>>>I'm also not in love with either one - neither is perfect. Are you

>>>

>>> a

>>>

>>>>>>>Mac

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>reseller by chance?

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>Start looking at the world around you without the Apple-colored

>>>>>>>>>glasses

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>on.

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>There's more to life, and computer technology  
>>>>>>>than evangelizing the Apple mantra. We aren't buying it and you are  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>>>>>only  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>hurting your argument, not  
>>>>>>>that it should be an argument to begin with. Buy and use what you  
> like,  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>and  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>post all you want about Apple products, but leave  
>>>>>>>the crusade offline, please.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>Thanks,  
>>>>>>>Dedric  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message  
>>>>>>>news:461539ad\$1@linux...  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>This isn't balanced, this is opinion! This is a bashing!!!!!!!!!!!!  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>You need to get educated on the real history of MS.  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>START READING!  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>><http://www.albion.com/microsoft/findings-21.html>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>"Dedric Terry" <dedric@echomg.com> wrote:  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>> <http://www.wired.com/gadgets/mac/commentary/cultofmac/2006/01/70072>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>Just a little balance of perspective. No conspiracies - just  
>>>>>>>>>different  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>people with  
>>>>>>>>>different personalities and personal goals.  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message  
>>>>>>>>>news:46153119\$1@linux...  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>They are just trying to cover up years and years of unethical  
>>>>>>>>>>business  
>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>practices.  
>>>>>>>>>>>They are just trying to get people to think their good guys and  
>>>

>>> to  
>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>forget  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>what they have done. The truth is still the truth.  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>"Steve Jobs" <SJ@mac.com> wrote:  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>http://im.live.

---