
Subject: Mixing 16 and 24bit files?

Posted by [JD](#) on Wed, 04 Jan 2006 04:07:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ed to earlier, they could
knock down the learning curve a bit with some thoughtful design
decisions to get some functions out of the environment window, and they
could improve the visual feedback and layo

Subject: Re: Mixing 16 and 24bit files?

Posted by [Kim](#) on Wed, 04 Jan 2006 06:45:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

n a dual G5 (2.5) and
with all the new add-ons, it just seems to get clunkier and clunkier.

Apple is moving to the Intel processor to put some much needed juice behind
their DAW. That's cool, except they apple have really let down a lot of users
who were conviced that their (our) G5 were the king of the hill.. You would
not believe how many friends of mine who jumped on the Dual G5 and upgraded
Logic as well, figuring that "finaly" we can really see this app(Logics) burn
rubber..Well, we were all fooled.. Even more, it seem that certain version
of OSX slowed not only Logic down, but other apps as well. sadly, today most
of htose users now run PT on their G5's.

If you check most online forums, you'd noyce thatthe most requested upgrade
users want from

Subject: Re: Mixing 16 and 24bit files?

Posted by [Tony Benson](#) on Wed, 04 Jan 2006 16:54:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ons that were never vectorized. I weep for them, those sad
>little calculations so ill treated by the generic floating point units on
>the PPC chips. The never had a chance! sob sob . . .

>

>TCB

>

>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>>

>>Hi James..

>>You wrote: "Altivec is a definite factor

>>in how a G4 and G5 perform. I think that is why they went with dual core

>>Intel chips, to make up some of the performance loss".

>>

>>I have to disagree here. There were only a hand full of vendors that were
>>actually taking advantage of the Altivec engine(Audio ease-AltiVerb), and
>>Adobe PhotoShop. A lot of third party developers did not jump on the Altivec
>>wagon. Even our Edmund Parelli, stated that "recoding an App to use the
>velocity
>>engine was a major task that many , including himself was not willing to
>>do"..
>>
>>So, where did that leave Apple?? Well, it left them with having to "fabricate"
>>speed test and other outlandish performance statements. All while, trying
>>to convince IBM to make a better faster PPC CPU. Well, as you know, a year
>>passed on the Dual G5, which was still using 7 year cpu technology, Apple
>>was doing badly in t
