
Subject: Here we go again

Posted by [excelav](#) on Mon, 27 Jun 2005 21:04:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"i know europe - cause i know some europeans

>> > that live here in the US".

>> >

>> > that pretty much stands nicely by itself.

>> >

>> >

>> >

>> >

>> > "Mr Simpli

Subject: Re: Here we go again

Posted by [jp](#) on Tue, 28 Jun 2005 10:50:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ed with

their own security and survival.

The politicalcompass thing was helpful to show that political beliefs are really a complex combination of issues, at the very least needing 2 axes... liberal/conservative context is hardly useful to categorize people.

Some people say you shouldn't catch feelings over a message board, but I can't help it when we're talking about life and death. If anyone is still heated... I know I've been disrespectful probably a hundred times over the years... I'm sorry.

Anyway, I thought it was a good discussion. Man, I haven't been this addicted to an internet saga since the Mixerman

Diaries! Great discussions! Great drama! Hell, even some name calling!

Really people, I'm not being sarcastic. Good stuff.

Tony

PS - What's the record posts for an unbroken thread here on our little group? This one has to be close.

On 7/7/05 7:14 AM, in article 42cd1ca4\$1@linux, "Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:

> Just hear about it...check your news

>

> don

>
>"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote:
>
>

Subject: Re: Here we go again
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Tue, 28 Jun 2005 15:52:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sorbed
that your views are not important to us?

Until you can see the possibility that there is no other way, besides war, to deal with these people, we cannot see that you have any points worth listening to.

I am not evening saying that war is the only answer, just that if you have an ideology that precludes it, and you see it always as a failure, do not expect us to listen since you care more for your ideology than you apparently care for our lives.

>in terms of monopoly,
>what followed with netanyahu was more or less a "go back
>to start" (locate 0 for the tape machine operators).
>it took a loong time, a way to long time, until the peace
>process even restarted.

"Peace process" what a f**king joke that term is. It is the words that ideologues use to comfort themselves in the face of not only failure, but the continuing loss of innocent lives.

Tell you what. You tell me that you would take a knife, and jam it in the back of the next ghoul with a bomb, and you say thanks to the soldiers who have saved so many lives, and we'll talk about "peace processes". Until you allow for the full range of responses, you are acting as an advocate for them.

And I still hold out hope that there is a peaceful solution. I just know there may not be. Do you?

>only this time arafat didnt have the same power.
>his people didnt have the same trust. his position was
>not nearly the same - where before he was more or less
>the ultimate extremist and head of terror, he now

>was more or less the "diplomat who didnt get us anywhere",
>and definetly NOT the leading terrorist anymore,
>PLO? hamas is where the terror came from.
>so, since arafat always was a selfish asshole,
>he went back to selfish asshole mode and the following
>years, the whole sad struggle beg

Subject: Re: Here we go again

Posted by [Tom Bruhl](#) on Tue, 28 Jun 2005 16:07:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>worse now with george bush junior than it has been with
>clinton, even though george bush junior, when making and
>threat, is probably more believeable than clinton is
>(for better or worse). the US dont reign over israel - theyll
>do what THEY think is best for them. another sad irony
>here is that a lot of hatred that comes from the islamic
>world towards the US is based on the overestimated influence
>the US supposedly has on israel.

Like I said, our lack of influence on Israel is directly related to
the righteousness of their cause. The palestinians can have peace
any time they want it. They dont't want it.

>so, thats my take on the whole story. i dont claim i have
>the perfect solution. i dont say that deescalation is
>always the best option. i guess, i HOPE were all grown
>up enough to know that there is no such thing as a standard
>solution that fits for everything.
>
>but i DO think that this story is
>
>- a proof at how promising deescalation politics actually is.
>dozens of years with nothing but terror, along comes the
>only time where someone has the courage to try a deescalation
>strategy for real, and thats the time where theres the least
>terror? i say thats not a coincidence.

It's an interestng point, but I cannot see that it would have
produced a lasting peace.

>- also, while i.e. WWII is a good example that brute
>force can lead to peace, i personally believe the closer

>and more logical place to look at is the israel conflict.
>its not 60 years ago but today, and its the same "enemy"
>situation, not entire nations and classical struggle over
>territory with classic military tactics, but a war of
>cultures, islamic terrorists, no easy targets, no absolute
>security. its just the more logical comparison. state vs terror.
>unclear enemys. an incredibly complex situation.

So we eliminate leaders that sponsor terrorism, and provide hiding places for them, we kill them, without mercy, wherever we can find, them, and we spread modernity and democracy, and we stay at it until we win. I believe this is the only solution and that negotiation is impossible with islamofascists. Read the statement of the murderer of Theo Van Gogh if you want to see the face of the enemy.

>that is why i believe the whole approach is wrong.
>is there any less terror since this george bush practices
>this new policy?

DAMMIT, how can you repeat lies like that? WE were attacked on 911, and 3000 died. Since then, no attacks on the US, and the attacks in europe are much less deadly than was 911.

>no theres not. so at least for now,
>i can only conclude that he failed, at least thats how
>it looks so far. whats the title of the thread again?

And if we stay the course, we can win this. If we cave, it is the end of western civilisation and all future dealings with the islamic world will be under threat of bombings of innocents. (until a new great leader arises in germany perhaps, and promise to throw off the yoke of islamofascism and pute ALL the lights out...)

>netanyahu, scharon, and yes, since he went back to
>the politics of force (in his case meaning terror), also
>arafat, they failed. theyre back at zero. they were so close.
>what i find so incredible is that ultimately, they all know it.
>fascinating how blind people can become when theres also
>always this goddamn religious aspect to it.

And what do you offer in place of this accursed religion?

Darwin? Pacifism? An enlightened social contract between free moral people? Think these things will sell to the islamofascists?

Me neither. These are weak ideas and subject to the opinions of whoever offers them.

Meaningless phrases full of intent, signifying nothing.

Perhaps they do not serve God at all. Perhaps at minimum they have perverted Islam? Your hatred of religion will not offer an alternative.

DCRandy Newman wrote a satire (tinyurl.com/d83pb) many years ago, the tagline of which, best expresses my current view on the best solution to the unceasing problem of terrorism emanating from middle east command and means.... which is to say "most" terrorism.

I really am there. This is THE one way to ratt out the enemy and simultaneously send the message to any further suiters: "Step right up for your one-way bullet train to Allah, no purchase necessary, see fingerprint on tip of warhead for details."

The thing that put me over the edge was the piece of human waste that blew up the little kids a couple of days ago while one of our guys was handing out candy to them.

Anybody brave enough to say they think the same thing or have we all cowed down to international version of the old Rodney King mantra, "Can't we all just get long." Is there some plausible notion or a reason to believe that eventually, if we just keep doing what we're doing, the extremist followers of Bin ladin will just all of a sudden see it our way and fold up the biz and integrate into peaceful society???

Dubya Mark Wilson

"Neil" <OIUIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42d6fc20\$1@linux...

>

> In a nutshell...

> I think if there's room & resources enough for both Israelis &

> Palestinians
