
Subject: OT PA speakers

Posted by [Bill L](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2007 04:04:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

br />

>> I

>>> have added enough outboard crap to my rig by now that I could probably

>>> lose

>>

>>> the UAD-1 stuff that I like so much and have invested a fortune in.

>>> Analog

>>

>>> hardware, Paris DSP and low latency VST plugs would likely float my bo

Subject: Re: OT PA speakers

Posted by [Neil](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2007 05:25:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ig question?

I'm getting ready to start tracking a new project for a local band, and I was thinking about doing the basics in Paris, then porting over to Cubase for overdubs & mixing - if I have a single EDS card, one MEC, two 8-in's, and an ADAT card, is there any "best" order to load the cards in the MEC? I just got the 2nd 8-in card the other day (thanks, John Shapiro), but I've noticed that with the current setup in the MEC (which is 8-in in the first, slot, ADAT in the 2nd) the patch bay sees the ADAT card first, then the 8-in. Is that always the case? IOW, I'd like to have it so that the patch bay/EDS card sees the two 8-in modules first, THEN the ADAT card.

Neil

"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> w

Subject: Re: OT PA speakers

Posted by [Tom Bruhl](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2007 05:33:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

a type of =

parallel=20

compression). No matter how much
 like RME Totalmix, it's just cumbersome and sucks. Creamware
 was much better as far as I/O interface is
 concerned on a native platform.
 drums will never make me happy....no matter what....never
 in a million years.
 7. The deal killer for me with
 Paris was lack of delay compensation,
 I have added enough outboard crap to my rig by now =
 that I could
 =
 probably lose
 the
 UAD-1 stuff that I like so much and have invested a fortune
 =
 in. Analog
 ware,=
 Paris DSP and low latency VST plugs would likely float my =
 boat
 now that I have had a healthy dose of "the other =
 side". I=
 would keep a
 =
 2nd comp
 on=
 the
 side to stream VSTi's in real time, recording them as audio
 and I'd
 probably be just as
 happy....of course, then I lose recall
 (sigh)
 There really is no =
 perfect world
 these days for what I want to
 =
 do.....I
 gues=
 s=
 there really never has been, has=
 =
 there?
 }
 =

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, =
 and=
 you?
 href="http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html">http://www.polesoft.com/refer=

..html </DIV></BODY ></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_00E9_01C864CC.8A18B480--Hi Thad..I tried to reply to you about the DADGAD book,but it bounced

back..can you send me an address I can reply to?

"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote in message news:47a33a2a\$1@linux...

> I wish somebody could develop the Paris ASIO,so that Paris input and output

> could run Nuendo,or other software...

> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:47a2b7ec\$1@linux...

> >

> > "Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:

> >

> > >There really is no perfect world these days for what I want to

> do.....I

> >

> > >guess there really never has been, has there?

> > >

> > >;o}

> >

> >

> > It's all about the chase, not the conquest...

> >

> > DC

> >

>

>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message news:47a2eb8c\$1@linux...

> He seems very determined and intense about supporting Hillary, but not

> very happy about it. Maybe he's under duress. Or maybe caught under a

> dress. I dunno, but they could both shut up and I'd be fine.

>

> S

>

I actually saw one of those bumperstickers that say :

"Vote For Monica Lewinsly's Ex-boyfriend's Wife" yesterday. I thought these were just a myth.

I was in a downtown diner last week <http://www.durangodiner.com/About.htm>

It's a cool place. A relic.....one of the last surviving diners like you

used to see in every small town where the local townsfolk would gather and

chew the fat...except this particular place alternates music by Johnny Cash

and Merle Haggard with Beatles and Led Zeppelin.

Some guy who apparently knew the cook/ owner came in and sat down and in a

loud voice said "I just saw a bumper sticker that said "Vote for Monica

Lewinski's ex-boyfriend's wife".

About 3/4 of the clientele in the diner just about lost our lunch we were

laughing so hard. A table full of Hilbilly supporters took offense and things started to escalate towards ugliness.

The owner of the place put the brakes on it. I think he should have supplied both sides with cream pies. I think if we had a national pie fight everyone would feel better when it was over. Back in the 90s I was down south and saw a sign in the window of a gas station that said, " Only in America can we have a draft dodger in the White house and a Vet living in a cardboard box"

"Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:

>

>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message news:47a2eb8c\$1@linux...

>> He seems very determined and intense about supporting Hillary, but not

>> very happy about it. Maybe he's under duress. Or maybe caught under a

>> dress. I dunno, but they could both shut up and I'd be fine.

>>

>> S

>>

>I actually saw one of those bumperstickers that say :

>"Vote For Monica Lewinsky's Ex-boyfriend's Wife" yesterday. I thought these

>were just a myth.

>

>I was in a downtown diner last week <http://www.durangodiner.com/About.htm>

>It's a cool place. A relic.....one of the last surviving diners like you

>used to see in every small town where the local townsfolk would gather and

>chew the fat...except this particular place alternates music by Johnny Cash

>and Merle Haggard with Beatles and Led Zeppelin.

>

>Some guy who apparently knew the cook/ owner came in and sat down and in a

>loud voice said "I just saw a bumper sticker that said "Vote for Monica

>Lewinski's ex-boyfriend's wife".

>

>About 3/4 of the clientele in the diner just about lost our lunch we were

>laughing so hard. A table full of Hilbilly supporters took offense and

>things started to escalate towards ugliness.

>

>The owner of the place put the brakes on it. I think he should have supplied

>both sides with cream pies. I think if we had a national pie fight everyone

>would feel better when it was over.

>

>I'm off to San Francisco for a month on training and I'm wondering what is out there to see for a musical gear junky like myself. Cool music stores, bars, sightseeing...etc

Thanks

RobBitchin' Diner!

Let's go. I'm on my way.

DCOur neighbors have a couple of young great danes. About two weeks ago the doorbell rang late at night and it was the wife who was in tears because Levi, the male, was having a siezure. Amy grabbed her vet crash kit and ran over there. It looked like Levi was choking on part of a small rubber toy. Something seemed to be stuck in his throat and after rubbing his throat, whatever it was seemed to pass and the dog came around. We sorta' doubted it was a toy in his windpipe, since if it would have passed, it would have passed to the lung and this obviously didn't happen, but anyway.....he was up and around. I'm not sure how long he had been siezing (and possibly not breathing) before this passed.

Anyway.....same thing happened again last night. He siezed three times in a row, we drove him to the vet, the vet treated him and he seemed to be OK so we brought him home. They called this morning and the poor thing had siezures all night and it appears he has stroked. He can't get up. He's a beautiful, very sweet dane and I'm afraid his time may be up.

It's all up to God at this point, and I really, really do believe in miracles so I'm doing the only thing I can for this wonderful guy. If you've got any puppy prayers for Levi, he could sure use them right about now.

(sorry about the redeye.....my bad)

Hi Barry,

I think the parisfaqs.com has alot of great info, but you are right, there is no step by step order. It sounds like the best thing I can suggest for you to focus on is your paris.cfg. Look in the faqs and find the area about what to change and what suggestions they offer. It makes a world of difference once those settings are set properly.

You didn't give too much info about your system, so I'll assume you are using XP... be sure to right click your "my computer" and go into "properties"

and look for "devices". In your devices list will be something like "IDE ATA/ATAPI Controllers" for 0 and 1. Be sure these are set for DMA and not PIO. XP loves to default to that PIO junk. Once you change it, reboot!

Lastly, two things to think about or check... make sure your data drive is a 7200 RPM drive. The slow 5400 or 4200 aren't good drives for Paris i/o data. And drives are very cheap. Replace it if it is slower than 7200.

The last think I can think of is.. if you are using XP, is to turn crap off in your services. Which ones? Well, that is hard to cover, but you can do a google search for audio devices turn off, or something like that.

Here is a quick one and normal devices that hog resources, so turn them off...

http://help.cnet.com/Audio_System_Components/9602-12576_39-0.html?messageID=2505922&kw=Audio+System+Components&n odId=6462

Hope this helps you... Good luck!!!

~ Ed

"Sanbar" <

Subject: Re: OT PA speakers

Posted by [Tom Bruhl](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2007 05:36:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

m.

>

>DC wrote:

>> Yikes!

>>

>> <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wb9YQbwfixY>

>>

>> Start at about 4:30

>>

>>

>> DC

>>Hey Neil.. Yes, on Pro Tools,recording @ 96k I and others hear more "Thickness" fuller track. On Nuendo, I hear (@96) more full-reange if you will (Open-ness)

...

The Trident would be a KILLER!! addition to your rig. I hear they will have a ADATdigital i/o option in the near future.

Lastly, I dicovered the oposite: Track in Nuendo/Cubase/Slow-Tools/Sonar/DP/Logic... Mix in Paris. Reason:

Even tracks dumped from an Roland VS-880/1680 sound better when imported into Paris.

Tracks in Paris take on a New Life. Now, When I take tracks recorded in Paris and mix in Slo-Tools, NUendo etc..The magic is gone. And, thus the tracks start sounding they were recorded in those DAWs..

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>

>I really like the higher sample rates - I can ABSOLUTELY hear a
>difference (and so can you, I'm convinced anyone here could).

>It's not so much about "how much more high end is there?" it's

>about CLARITY up top, and an openness to the sound. And getting

>the digital lowpass filters up out of the range of human

>hearing.

>

>Anyway, I've thought about trying a console, and was

>considering one of those new Toft ATB's (since they're supposed

>to sound like Trident 80-series, and I LOVE that tough,

>yet musical Trident sound - but I understand the outputs are

>unbalanced, which I'm not too keen on.

>

>BTW, can anyone help me with a quick Paris HW config question?

>I'm getting ready to start tracking a new project for a local

>band, and I was thinking about doing the basics in Paris, then

>porting over to Cubase for overdubs & mixing - if I have a

>single EDS card, one MEC, two 8-in's, and an ADAT card, is

>there any "best" order to load the cards in the MEC? I just got

>the 2nd 8-in card the other day (thanks, John Shapiro), but

>I've noticed that with the current setup in the MEC (which is

>8-in in the first, slot, ADAT in the 2nd) the patch bay sees

>the ADAT card first, then the 8-in. Is that always the case?

>IOW, I'd like to have it so that the patch bay/EDS card sees

>the two 8-in modules first, THEN the ADAT card.

>

>Neil

>

>

>

>

>"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>>

>>Yep.. Paris @ 16 bit 44.1/48k sounds just as good as other DAWs @ 88.2

&

>96k..

>>

>>Here's my take: Native sound cool to me when using an Analogue mixer to sum.

>As

>>you know, I use a SoundCraft Ghost. Even Paris sounds better summing thru
>>the Ghost.. More dept..
>>
>>I keep my 4 card Paris rig armed and ready at all times. it still gives
>that
>>"AWE" effect on clients and engineers. When I play it, they all smile.
The
>>interface is still(IMO) the best looking..
>>
>>Most projects I work on these days are on Slow-Tools which I find for mixing
>>really nice. Editing is an entirely different story.
>>But one thing is for sure: The Paris sound keeps bringing me return business
>>from my Engineer and Producers who use Pro Tools & Cubase.. They just want
>>to run their mix thru (Paris) for the sound..
>>
>>"Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>>Scott,
>>>
>>>I'm just too invested in what I've got going on here to go back to using
>>
>>>Paris. I made a decision to move on and I'm going to stick with it. Part
>>of
>>>that decision was based on the presumption that I would really need the
>>
>>>ability to work at higher sample rates. another assumption was that I
would
>>
>>>want to use midi tracks to trigger VSTi's. Neither assumption has really
>>
>>>proven itself to have been really necessary. I am starting to get a bit
>>of
>>>post work here and Cubase is pretty handy for working with video.
>>>
>>>DJ
>>>
>>>"Cujo" <chris@applemanstudio.com> wrote in message news:47a2a182\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Deej, I'm sending my Paris mixes through my BA 312's via Avedis' line
>>pads
>>>>into my Drawmer 1968 and I really dig the depth I am getting.
>>>>
>>>>I would say the 1968 is a tad mushy and warm.. But I think it really
>is
>>
>>>>worth
>>>>the round trip.
>>>>

```

>>>>
>>>> "Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>>>>1. The options that it offers are nothing short of amazing
>>>>>
>>>>>2. The sound quality can be very very good when properly gainstaged.
>>>>>
>>>>>3. Low latency monitoring is possible, thought the more money you throw
>>>> at
>>>>>it, the more "possible" it really is in a practical way.
>>>>>
>>>>>4. The ability to use higher sample rates is no big deal to me. I did
>>>> it
>>>> for
>>>>>a while. I really don't use them much any more.
>>>>>
>>>>>5. I'm discovering that Paris was easier to use and sounded better with
>>>>>
>>>>>fewer options. Yeah, bus compression is nice, but what bus compression
>>>>>
>>>>>gets
>>>>>
>>>>>you in native, Paris gets you without needing bus compression and if
>>>>>you
>>>>> do
>>>>>need bus compression in Paris, you can bounce down to a stereo pair
>>>>>and
>>>>>
>>>>>compress the tracks (a type of parallel compression). No matter how
>>>>>much
>>>>>I
>>>>>try to like RME Totalmix, it's just cumbersome and sucks. Creamware
>>>>>was
>>>>> much
>>>>>better as far as I/O interface is concerned on a native platform.
>>>>>
>>>>>6. VSTi drums will never make me happy....no matter what....never in
>>>>>a
>>>>>million years.
>>>>>
>>>>>7. The deal killer for me with Paris was lack of delay compensation,
>>>>>but
>>>>>I
>>>>>have added enough outboard crap to my rig by now that I could probably
>>>>>
>>>>>lose
>>>>>
>>>>>the UAD-1 stuff that I like so much and have invested a fortune in.
>>>>>
>

```

>>>>>Analog
>>>>
>>>>>hardware, Paris DSP and low latency VST plugs would likely float my
boat
>>>> now
>>>>>that I have had a healthy dose of "the other side". I would keep a 2nd
>>
>>>>>comp
>>>>
>>>>>on the side to stream VSTi's in real time, recording them as audio and
>>I'd
>>>>
>>>>>probably be just as happy....of course, then I lose recall (sigh)
>>>>>
>>>>>There really is no perfect world these days for what I want to
>>>>>do.....I
>>>>
>>>>>guess there really never has been, has there?
>>>>>
>>>>>;o}
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>Lamont - problem in this case, with tracking in SX, mixing in
Paris, is the "type" of mixing I'm going to have to do...
it's going to require lot's of varied types of VST plugin's
plugin parameter automation, etc, etc.

Neil

"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>
>Hey Neil.. Yes, on Pro Tools,recording @ 96k I and others hear more "Thickness"
>fuller track. On Nuendo, I hear (@96) more full-reange if you will (Open-ness)
>..
>
>The Trident would be a KILLER!! addition to your rig. I hear they will have
>a ADATdigital i/o option in the near future.
>
>Lastly, I dicovered the oposite: Track in Nuendo/Cubase/Slow-Tools/Sonar/DP/Logic...
>Mix in Paris. Reason:
>
>Even tracks dumped from an Roland VS-880/1680 sound better when imported
>into Paris.
>Tracks in Paris take on a New Life. Now, When I take tracks recorded in

>Paris and mix in Slo-Tools, NUendo etc..The magicis gone. And, thus the
>tracks start sounding they were recorded in thosw DAWS..

>

>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>>

>>I really like the higher samplerates - I can ABSOLUTELY hear a
>>difference (and so can you, I'm convinced anyone here could).
>>It's not so much about "how much more high end is there?" it's
>>about CLARITY up top, and an openness to the sound. And getting
>>the digital lowpass filters up out of the range of human
>>hearing.

>>

>>Anyway, I've thought about trying a console, and was
>>considering one of those new Toft ATB's (since they're supposed
>>to sound like Trident 80-series, and I LOVOOOVE that tough,
>>yet musical Trident sound - but I understand the outputs are
>>unbalanced, which I'm not too keen on.

>>

>>BTW, can anyone help me with a quick Paris HW config question?

>>I'm getting ready to start tracking a new project for a local
>>band, and I was thinking about doing the basics in Paris, then
>>porting over to Cubase for overdubs & mixing - if I have a
>>single EDS card, one MEC, two 8-in's, and an ADAT card, is
>>there any "best" order to load the cards in the MEC? I just got
>>the 2nd 8-in card the other day (thanks, John Shapiro), but
>>I've noticed that with the current setup in the MEC (which is
>>8-in in the first, slot, ADAT in the 2nd) the patch bay sees
>>the ADAT card first, then the 8-in. Is that always the case?
>>IOW, I'd like to have it so that the patch bay/EDS card sees
>>the two 8-in modules first, THEN the ADAT card.

>>

>>Neil

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>Yep.. Paris @ 16 bit 44.1/48k sounds just as good as other DAWS @ 88.2

>&

>>96k..

>>>

>>>Here'my take: Native soud cool to me when using a Analoge mixer to sum.

>>As

>>>you know, I use a SoundCraft Ghost. Even Paris sounds better summing thru
>>>the Ghost.. More dept..

>>>

>>>I keep my 4 card Paris rig armed and ready at all times. it still gives

>>that
>>>"AWE" effect on clients and engineers. When I play it, they all smile.
>The
>>>interface is still(IMO) the best looking..
>>>
>>>Most projects I work on these days are on Slow-Tools which I find for
mixing
>>>really nice. Editing is an entirely different story.
>>>But one thing is for sure: The PARIS sound keeps bringing me return business
>>>from my Engineer and Producers who use Pro Tools & Cubase.. They just
want
>>>to run their mix thru (Paris) for the sound..
>>>
>>>"Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>>>Scott,
>>>>

Subject: Re: OT PA speakers
Posted by [Tom Bruhl](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2007 06:43:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

er greenhouse gases into the atmosphere."
>>
>>
>>> Let's see, what else can we blame on opposite-cause events like
>>> this?
>> Don't be confused by the term "global warming." It doesn't mean equal
>> heat everywhere on the globe, weather doesn't work like that.
>>
>> That's one reason to use the "climate change" label instead. It's more
>> accurate and less confusing to people. Another is that as the surface
>> warms (on average), the mesosphere cools. Both from the same mechanism,
>> the additional heat absorption and re-radiation from additional
>> greenhouse gases.
>>
>> It's interesting to read about this stuff.
>>
>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Earth%27s_atmosphere
>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Global_warming
>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Greenhouse_effect
>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Atmospheric_chemistry
>> <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Meteorology>
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> www.JamieKrutz.com

>>
>>
>>
>>> Neil
>Hey all,

Checked out the Steinie demo at East Coast Music Mall last night. I briefly checked out SX 4, didn't see all that much that I cared about, and kind of forgot about it. I've been trying really hard to get deep into Live these days, and didn't really want to work on another app, at a certain point I'd rather just finish a damn song whether it's in Fruity Loops or Pro Tools HD.

Anyway, I was wrong. The v.2 => v.3 'upgrade' was trivial, but the v.4 is not. Lots of interesting changes in managing presets, some useful editing tools, some nice tempo matching tricks (way back in the 1890's I got my first music writing gig because I figured out how to get Cubase VST version .1 to sync tempo to a piece of audio, it was that hard. Kids these days . . .), the external hardware delay compensation, and so on. Also, a nice librarian for audio, video, and MIDI files. None of these individually would make it worth the jump for me (I can manage presets, thank you very much), but put together it looks like a real winner. I think it's a big enough deal that I'll switch when it comes time to mix the Monkees. I'll keep tracking in 3.x because that's working fine but I might take the plunge for 4.x.

For my own music, Live still really is the way to go. The best app in the world for that 'the computer is just a big sampler' vibe and their 7 upgrade is similarly impressive. For rock'n'roll SX 4 looks like it has everything I would really want from Nuendo and a lot more than SX 3. For electronic blips and bleeps and downsampled/pitchshifted/filtered Thai girls saying 'so-wa-dee kra' and making a break out of I'm sticking with Live. Can always ReWire them together if it's necessary.

Anyway, long post, sorry. SX 4 => Looks Damn Cool, I was unfair in not giving it a larger workout. Hope it has deep Euphonix Artist control support. If they get the PC thing working on that I think I'm good for a Control + 3 fader banks, which would seriously rock my world.

TCB

P.S. Morgan made an interesting point, the marketplace seems to be narrowing down. Apple/Logic, Yamaha/Steinberg, and Sonar in the native space with a few stragglers on other apps (wouldn't know anything about that here). PT v. Nuendo + Yammy digital mixer at the high end and in post. LaMont, I think Nuendo and the 02r96 might be the closest 'middle tier' out there. Cheaper than a big PT plus controller rig or a DM2000, but lots of hardware f/x and software integration. I remember the first 02R as being a head crushingly bad sounding device, every reason to hate digital all mixed up into one.

Supposedly they've improved.

P.P.S. Now we're getting way OT, but it was funny tonight. Obviously, I'm a tweaker and twiddler to the nth degree sometimes, but a lot of the demos I was sort of like, 'Yeah, you could do all of that very fancy editing but you also could play your goddamn part right.' I think that's coming from actually spending lots of time tracking large, loud instruments for the first time in years. When one of the guys in the Monkies says 'Well, I think that sounds good but maybe not bright enough. We can always EQ it later' I'm the one to say, 'No, let's move the mic a little more on axis or get another mic on it. The better it sounds now the easier it will be to mix later.' Ya know, Alex Chilton managed to make '#1 Record' without unlimited undo, so maybe we can all play our instruments well and sing in tune and leave some rough edges in there so we don't sound like Bon Jovi. Anyway, that's my opinion now for some odd reason, I think playing through Savage and Top Hat amps with a choice of five _really_ nice electric guitars helps ;-)

Once I'm back in electronica land I'm modulating pitch descend on kick drums with LFOs from the bass patch like I'm supposed to. Neil wrote:

```
> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>> Neil wrote:
>>> I'd love to stick around & read more of your one-trick-pony-
>>> turn-every-single-fucking-thread-on-this-board-into-a-global -
>>> warming-rant...
>> Heh. It was hardly a rant, Neil. You should see it when I really cut
>> lose. ;^)
>
> I have, trust me.
>
>>> ...but right now I've got to go buy some carbon credits.
>> If you think that's best.
>
> Oh, I'm sure it'll fix everything.
```

It's more likely to take more than one approach. There's no single magic bullet.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

> Neill beta tested DFH Superior for a while several years ago, triggered from my DDrum kit. The triggering was quite usable even then.

I had some complaints about some aspects of the user interface design and I moved on to other solutions. But it looks like they've really improved the GUI and added some very nice capabilities.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

Tony Benson wrote:

> Hey Aaron. I bought a Roland TD-20 set (the same sound module they were
> using in the Toontracks video) last fall, and have played around with it
> triggering DFH Superior running as an Audio Unit plugin in DP5. I've got my
> buffer normally set to 256k. I haven't tried to measure the latency, but I
> can't discern any "noticeable" delay. I've come to realize that some people
> are much more sensitive to latency than I thought, so take that with a grain
> of salt. It didn't look like Z Nir was having any problems in the Toontrack
> video. At any rate, I will be upgrading when 2.0 is available.

>

> Tony

>

>

> On 2/4/08 11:49 PM, in article 47a7fc27\$1@linux, "Aaron Allen"

> <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote:

>

>> man, that's pretty sweet. I wonder what the stick at head to sample at

>> output time is for live players?

>> AA

>>

>>

>> "LaMont" <

Subject: Re: OT PA speakers

Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2007 07:08:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

oducts and I would suggest you check

>>out

>>>our web site from time to time. But I can assure you there will no

>>>development

>>>in PARIS hardware front, as it has been discontinued for a long time now.

>>>

>>>

>>>On Feb 1, 2008, at 3:40 PM, matt barber wrote:

>>>

>>> Would you be able to elaborate on the status of your pro audio

>>> products

>>>and whether or not there will be any further development of the PARIS

>>>software

>>>at this point. There is still a large user base for PARIS that use many

>>>workarounds just to continue to use it. Perhaps there was some sort of

>>time
>>>deal with Emu after discontinuation of the hardware product??? There are
>>>quite a few that are still hoping for future software development for
>>>this
>>>great sounding platform. Any light you could shed on this matter would
>>be
>>>greatly appreciated.
>>> Thank you,
>>> Matt Barber
>>> www.sandboxproductions.com
>>
>In case you haven't seen it yet.

Subject: Re: OT PA speakers
Posted by [EK Sound](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2007 16:04:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;
>
>Sheesh! I hope he comes back! :oS
>
>Last I heard there were a lot of rumours that people who make successful
>alternate energy sources go mysteriously missing. :oS There were a lot of
>other rumours that the reason that there are only rumours of this is that
>everybody who knows anything also goes missing.
>
>Probably just another conspiracy theory, and I'm sure he'll be fine, but
>I can't see why somebody who presumably sells crude oil particularly needs
>to talk to a dude who converts for biofuels. Just seems odd.
>
>Am I missing something?
>
>Cheers,
>Kim.
>
>"Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>A friend of mine just called. This guy modifies vehicles for offroad use
>and
>>for running on biofuels (he has a Humvee that runs of use fry oil from
one
>
>>of his wife partners' restaurants here).
>>
>>

Subject: Re: OT PA speakers
Posted by [EK Sound](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2007 16:09:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

= "_blank"><http://www.avalancheengineering.com/>
>>
>>He's flying, all expenses paid to the UAE to have tea on Thursday with
some
>
>>shiekh who saw his website.
>>
>>I wanna' go cause it's warm.
>>
>>
>Jamie within the various articles are links to several studies, reports and
research papers, within the interviews are comments made with backing
evidence

To date I have read every link you have posted and even quoted your sources.
So far you have done nothing but insist my sources are shills for big oil
and refuse to even read a single article a listen to an interview. that
simple fact speaks volumes about you and the enviro-movement.

I'm done

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:47a8e781\$1@linux...
> Don Nafe wrote:
>> Actually they were initially funded by the University of Alberta and much
>> their funding came from the oil industry so they were indirectly funded
>> by the oil industry
>> <http://www.nrsp.com/news.html> - sorry, don't remember which interview but
>> it's there...late summer early fall 07 I believe (either with Lowell
>> Green or CBC or both)
>>>
> > Same URL - many of their articles and interviews get very specific
>>

Subject: Re: OT PA speakers
Posted by [EK Sound](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2007 16:36:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

me to that conclusion. You said another group was wrong
> about your denier web site's bias but the only evidence you presented was
> from that very denial web site. Hard to be convinced by that.
>
>

>> So far you have done nothing but insist my sources are shills for big oil
>> and refuse to even read a single article or listen to an interview. that
>> simple fact speaks volumes about you and the enviro-movement.
>
> Did I miss something? That's not true on several levels.
>
> You might have noticed that I looked at your sources. For what turned out
> to be your denial site, I read the overview and a couple of other pages,
> and commented on them.
>
> As mentioned, I looked into the background of your denial site which
> turned out to have real connections to the fossil fuels industry. Your
> ironclad logic is that they say they're OK, and anyway they don't take
> much oil money any more. What a relief.
>
> I asked for a specific link for the interview you wanted me to listen to
> from your denial site (is that too much to ask?). Still waiting on that.
> I'm not planning to listen to all the interviews from January just to
> guess which one you meant, sorry, Don.
>
> And I'm halfway through evaluating the other thing, the letter to the UN,
> which I'll post in general when I'm done. But I do have a few other tasks
> to do today, ya know.
>
> And since when did I become a spokesman for the "enviro-movement"? I'm
> just a guy who pays attention to the science behind the issue. I know it's
> geeky but I'm into climate science.
>
> I happen to think it's ridiculous when people don't pay attention to the
> science, no matter what agenda they are pushing.
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com
>
>
>> I'm done
>>
>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
>> news:47a8e781\$1@linux...
>>> Don Nafe wrote:
>>>> Actually they were initially funded by the University of Alberta and
>>>> much their funding came from the oil industry so they were indirectly
>>>> funded by the oil industry
>>>> <http://www.nrsp.com/news.html> - sorry, don't remember which interview
>>>> but it's there...late summer early fall 07 I believe (either with
>>>> Lowell Green or CBC or both)
>>> > Same URL - many of their articles and interviews get very specific

>>>> same URL - will take you to the article or interview (December 23rd I believe)

>>> So your only support for the validity of this group is the web site from the same group? That's not enough.

>>>

>>> Looking over their news page it appears to be a long list of cherry picked examples, scapegoating and hyperbole while ignoring most of the evidence.

>>>

>>> You should widen your horizons.

>>>

>>>> And lastly comparing the unsafe drinking water in a continent to buying a puppy a popsicle is a sad and disturbing commentary on the enviro-movement....

>>> What? No it's not. That's just my weird sense of humor.

>>>

>>> Just trying to point out to you that you set up a false choice there, and it almost doesn't matter what the second choice is, your false choice is merely a rhetorical diversion.

>>>

>>> Now you're being further diverted by the puppies. What did the puppies ever do to you!!!??? ;^)

>>>

>>>> in fact they have been accused of using Kyoto etc as a means of keeping the underdeveloped countries underdeveloped.

>>> Yeah, that was in that ridiculously slanted "Swindle" video. Totally specious. If you buy that, you'll buy anything.

>>>

>>>> Trust me...follow the money, I think you'll be surprised.

>>> If you truly want to follow the money Don, you can't ignore the fossil fuel lobby's interest in this issue. Because that's where, by far, the most money is. Including some with your NRSP guys.

>>>

>>> As with most issues there are a few scammers on all sides, some at the fringes, and some front and center. I denounce them all, how about that!

>>> It's looking like your guys are probably in that group, too.

>>>

>>> But what matters is the scientific evidence. Most of which you appear to be simply ignoring, apparently because a denier web site says "trust us."

>>>

>>> Cheers,

>>> -Jamie

>>> www.JamieKrutz.com

>>The UAE are buying their way out of oil dependency one oil earned dollar at

a time. The investment arm of the Dubai government bought Barney's NY a couple of months ago for heck's sake.

Chuck

"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>

>Sheesh! I hope he comes back! :oS

>

>Last I heard there were a lot of rumours that people who make successful
>alternate energy sources go mysteriously missing. :oS There were a lot of
>other rumours that the reason that there are only rumours of this is that
>everybody who knows anything also goes missing.

>

>Probably just another conspiracy theory, and I'm sure he'll be fine, but
>I can't see why somebody who presumably sells crude oil particularly needs
>to talk to a dude who converts for biofuels. Just seems odd.

>

>Am I missing something?

>

>Cheers,

>Kim.

>

>"Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:

>>A friend of mine just called. This guy modifies vehicles for offroad use
>and

>>for running on biofuels (he has a Humvee that runs of use fry oil from
one

>

>>of his wife partners' restaurants here).

>>

>><http://www.avalancheengineering.com/>

>>

>>He's flying, all expenses paid to the UAE to have tea on Thursday with
some

>

>>shiekh who saw his website.

>>

>>I wanna' go cause it's warm.

>>

>>

>Don Nafe wrote:

> You looked at my sources...jee thanks...I read yours.

But didn't give much back about them. I went to your links and responded.

Now, what is the _actual interview link_ you want me to listen to?

Your other thing is up in General, BTW.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

> TTYL

>

> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:47a8fabb\$1@linux...

>> Don Nafe wrote:

>>> Jamie within the various articles are links to several studies, reports

>>> and research papers, within the interviews are comments made with backing

>>> evidence

>>>

>>> To date I have read every link you have posted and even quoted your

>>> sources.

>> Lessee, how so? You said one report on water effects was BS but you didn't

>> ever say why you came to that conclusion. You said another group was wrong

>> about your denial web site's bias but the only evidence you presented was

>> from that very denial web site. Hard to be convinced by that.

>>

>>

>>> So far you have done nothing but insist my sources are shills for big oil

>>> and refuse to even read a single article or listen to an interview. that

>>> simple fact speaks volumes about you and the enviro-movement.

>> Did I miss something? That's not true on several levels.

>>

>> You might have noticed that I looked at your sources. For what turned out

>> to be your denial site, I read the overview and a couple of other pages,

>> and commented on them.

>>

>> As mentioned, I looked into the background of your denial site which

>> turned out to have real connections to the fossil fuels industry. Your

>> ironclad logic is that they say they're OK, and anyway they don't take

>> much oil money any more. What a relief.

>>

>> I asked for a specific link for the interview you wanted me to listen to

>> from your denial site (is that too much to ask?). Still waiting on that.

>> I'm not planning to listen to all the interviews from January just to

>> guess which one you meant, sorry, Don.

>>

>> And I'm halfway through evaluating the other thing, the letter to the UN,

>> which I'll post in general when I'm done. But I do have a few other tasks

>> to do today, ya know.

>>

>> And since when did I become a spokesman for the "enviro-movement"? I'm

>> just a guy who pays attention to the science behind the issue. I know it's
>> geeky but I'm into climate science.
>>
>> I happen to think it's ridiculous when people don't pay attention to the
>> science, no matter what agenda they are pushing.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>
>>
>>> I'm done
>>>
>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
>>> news:47a8e781\$1@linux...
>>>> Don Nafe wrote:
>>>>> Actually they were initially funded by the University of Alberta and
>>>>> much their funding came from the oil industry so they were indirectly
>>>>> funded by the oil industry
>>>>> <http://www.nrsp.com/news.html> - sorry, don't remember which interview
>>>>> but it's there...late summer early fall 07 I believe (either with
>>>>> Lowell Green or CBC or both)
>>>> > Same URL - many of their articles and interviews get very specific
>>>>> same URL - will take you to the article or interview (December 23rd I
>>>>> believe)
>>>> So your only support for the validity of this group is the web site from
>>>> the same group? That's not enough.
>>>>
>>>> Looking over their news page it appears to be a long list of cherry
>>>> picked examples, scapegoating and hyperbole while ignoring most of the
>>>> evidence.
>>>>
>>>> You should widen your horizons.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> And lastly comparing the unsafe drinking water in a continent to buying
>>>>> a puppy a popsicle is a sad and disturbing commentary on the
>>>>> enviro-movement....
>>>> What? No it's not. That's just my weird sense of humor.
>>>>
>>>> Just trying to point out to you that you set up a false choice there,
>>>> and it almost doesn't matter what the second choice is, your false
>>>> choice is merely a rhetorical diversion.
>>>>
>>>> Now you're being further diverted by the puppies. What did the puppies
>>>> ever do to you!!!??? ;^)
>>>>
>>>>

>>>> in fact they have been accused of using Kyoto etc as a means of keeping
>>>> the underdeveloped countries underdeveloped.
>>>> Yeah, that was in that ridiculously slanted "Swindle" video. Totally
>>>> specious. If you buy that, you'll buy anything.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Trust me...follow the money, I think you'll be surprised.
>>>> If you truly want to follow the money Don, you can't ignore the fossil
>>>> fuel lobby's interest in this issue. Because that's where, by far, the
>>>> most money is. Including some with your NRSP guys.
>>>>
>>>> As with most issues there are a few scammers on all sides, some at the
>>>> fringes, and some front and center. I denounce them all, how about that!
>>>> It's looking like your guys are probably in that group, too.
>>>>
>>>> But what matters is the scientific evidence. Most of which you appear to
>>>> be simply ignoring, apparently because a denier web site says "trust
>>>> us."
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>Your other thing is up in General, BTW.

Not content with hijacking this entire board, Jamie now moves over to another one on this server... please, please, nobody post any tube gear they're trying to unload on the "for sale" section, otherwise he'll take that board over too, with a series of 8,700 web links that claim a direct correlation between the heat emissions of tube gear in New Zealand & increases in the number of icebergs calving off the Ross Ice Shelf in Antarctica. Can't just blame just Jamie there Neil...my name's up there too.

Wasn't there a song with the line "I started a joke"

;-)

it's over on the general thread now so ya'll can return to your regularly sheduled programming...along with the religious discussion

hehehe

"Neil" <OIOUI@OUI.com> wrote in message news:47a91ced\$1@linux...

>

> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>

>

>>Your other thing is up in General, BTW.

>

>

> Not content with hijacking this entire board, Jamie now moves

> over to another one on this server... please, please, nobody

> post any tube gear they're trying to unload on the "for sale"

> section, otherwise he'll take that board over too, with a

> series of 8,700 web links that claim a direct correlation

> between the heat emissions of tube gear in New Zealand

> & increases in the number of icebergs calving off the Ross Ice

> Shelf in Antarctica."Neil" <OIOUI@OUI.com> wrote in message news:47a91ced\$1@linux...

>

> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>

>

>>Your other thing is up in General, BTW.

>

>

> Not content with hijacking this entire board, Jamie now moves

> over to another one on this server... please, please, nobody

> post any tube gear they're trying to unload on the "for sale"

> section, otherwise he'll take that board over too, with a

> series of 8,700 web links that claim a direct correlation

> between the heat emissions of tube gear in New Zealand

> & increases in the number of icebergs calving off the Ross Ice

> Shelf in Antarctica.

forgot to mention that was funnyNeil, what's up?

Don asked me to look at a letter to the UN, I told him I'd look at it and post a reply in General. Now I have. There's no big conspiracy there.

Also, there's no "hijacking." Look back again, I wasn't the one to bring up climate change in these threads.

Like you, I replied to it. I have an interest in the issue, as apparently you do, too.

You're welcome to join us in general. I don't bite, much, but I can't speak for Don. :^)

Cheers,

-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

Neil wrote:

> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>
>
>> Your other thing is up in General, BTW.
>
>
> Not content with hijacking this entire board, Jamie now moves
> over to another one on this server... please, please, nobody
> post any tube gear they're trying to unload on the "for sale"
> section, otherwise he'll take that board over too, with a
> series of 8,700 web links that claim a direct correlation
> between the heat emissions of tube gear in New Zealand
> & increases in the number of icebergs calving off the Ross Ice
> Shelf in Antarctica."Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:
>...but just to be sure let's pump billions of dollars into useless CO2
>reductions just in case.

As per Chuck's thread, it's important to realise that a massive number of the changes which will reduce climate change are beneficial regardless of climate change.

Things like better house insulation and design, more efficient appliances, solar and wind power etc all have benefits on many levels whether climate change exists or not. Pretty much all of them cost less in the long term. They use less resources in the long term (and whether it's in our lifetimes or not we WILL run out of naturally occurring coal, crude oil etc eventually. In many cases it takes extra effort to begin with, but this effort does return in the long term. It seems odd to be passionately against such things.

Not that you are, but I guess I can't see why everybody can't band together on things when we agree on the direction, even if we have different reasons for wanting to head that way.

Cheers,
Kim.Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>
>Neil, what's up?

What's up? Well... "Up" is a Shania Twain album:
<http://www.amazon.com/Up-Shania-Twain/dp/B00006IX86>

Or a Peter Gabriel album:
<http://www.amazon.com/Up-Peter-Gabriel/dp/B00006F7S3>

Or, apparently, also a relatively obscure band from the last decade:

<http://www.allmusic.com/cg/amg.dll?p=amg&sql=10:hzwfxqujldhe>

Or the URL for the Union Pacific Railroad:

<http://www.up.com/>

Or, also the URL (with a different suffix, of course) of the university of Portland:

<http://www.up.edu/>

Or, shorthand slanguage for Michigan's Upper Peninsula (The "U.P." - kinda like "The O.C.", i guess.)

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Upper_Peninsula_of_Michigan

<http://www.uptravel.com/>

There, read all that shit & get back to me with a response, willya? I mean, it ALL must be true, because I saw it on the internet, right?

(look familiar???)

<SQUAK!!!>

(was that a parrot???)

>Also, there's no "hijacking." Look back again, I wasn't the one to bring

>up climate change in these threads.

<rant>

No, Don brought it up- jokingly... and you opportunistically stepped in as you always do & took over the thread to the extent where (and yes, sure, people responded) the entire board was nothing but a giant global-warming thread. Now I don't care if people talk about politics here, or religion, or DeeJay's dogs, or their health, or their enjoyment of the chronic, or goddamn near ANYTHING except when YOU get involved in anything relating to an extra drop of rain falling in Sumatra this year, because without fail, NONE of those other topics ever takes over the whole fucking board, but without fail, you always somehow manage to. Those other non-musical topics are peripherally interesting and always transient... you get a thread going & it never fails to fucking turn into a thread buildup akin to something like we've seen here since

the shitstorm that proceeded our buildup to the invasion of Iraq. No shit!
</rant>

Now, if someone wants to scold me on my rant - game on, let's go.

NeilAnother really nice performance from our Mr. Rod Lincoln on the percussively-smacked-thingies.

Probably a little on the "overcooked" side in terms of compression & some channel EQ - just a quickie rough, really; mainly posting it for your percussively-derived enjoyment.

[http://saqqararecords.com/MiscAudio/Conquest1stRoughW-RLinco InDrums.mp3](http://saqqararecords.com/MiscAudio/Conquest1stRoughW-RLinco%20InDrums.mp3)

....fuck, I used the term "overcooked" - opens the door for Jamie to turn this into another Global Warming thread.Nice idea Chuck. If we're moving into politics mode we might as well try and make a positive difference rather than focus on our own differences of opinion.

* Things I'm Doing *

- (*) House now on full green power (wind I think - or solar)
- (*) Most of my most used light bulbs are now low energy fluros
- (*) Taking a bus or riding to work instead of driving
- (*) Generally put serious thought in before using the car
- (*) Car and home gas emissions compensated through tree planting
- (*) Started a compost bin to reduce landfill and retain fertilizer.
- (*) The main form of house heating is firewood, which is the lowest CO2 emitter so long as it is regrown.
- (*) Car converted to LPG which is 40% lower on CO2 emissions.

In theory with the house on green power and my other emissions compensated my actual CO2 emissions should be very low, though I do believe we need people who are able to be doing "better than zero" emissions and indeed I'm yet to compensate for my emissions up to age 34 or so, so I've got much work to do. ;o)

* Things I plan on doing *

- (*) Buying an electric assisted push bike. Close to no emissions and very convenient (the one I want folds up!)

* Things I would like to do but am struggling with *

(*) The house insulation thing is troublesome as I live in a rented place.
(*) As above it's hard to install local solar power in a rented house.
(*) I'd like to further reduce my landfill which tends to be higher than ideal due in part to the single person's "buy take away" thing plus not paying enough attention to landfill when making purchases
(*) Generally buy less stuff and/or sell stuff I'm not using so that the effort and resources invested in the items in my house are not just sitting idle.

It can be both a struggle and rewarding to take action on things like this. When I look at the above I know I'm doing better than many, but worse than I need to. It can be tough to manage your own habits as well, and I often find of a night that I'll have too many lights on simply because of bad habits. I'm increasingly trying to use a fairly low light setup based around the low wattage bulbs but still regularly find I've left lights on unnecessarily.

I have worked hard on actually turning around the way I look at driving though. I own a 29yo car, which doesn't sound very enviro of me, but what it does is that it ensures I consider driving to be a privilege rather than an expectation. I love my car, and love to drive, but I only drive when I have a reason. Sometimes I have things to transport, or a time limit, or whatever, and on occasion I'll just "splash out" and drive for fun, but the thing is that I do think of it that way. I rarely if ever get in the car without acknowledging what I'm doing in terms of both pollution and resource use. The car is big, loud, and heavy. It's hard to forget that exhaust is coming out when the exhaust is so loud, but I think that's good. It's on LPG (40% less CO2), has had electronic ignition added (probably another 5-10% reduction) and the engine is quite new. It probably only pollutes the same as a newly purchased large car, but being so big and old it reminds me that cars aren't real good for our planet.

And it's also worth noting that the process of building a new car creates about 1-2 years of normal driving pollution. By maintaining an old car rather than buying new, and then driving only rarely, it will likely be 5 to 10 years before my car emissions are equal to simply building a new car. So if you're careful about it and minimize driving an old car can be a wise environmental choice.

This is the electric bike I want. Worth checking out I think:

http://www.greenspeed.us/bionx_montague_swiss_bike.htm

Cheers,
Kim.

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>
>I doubt that we are going to solve the global warming issue in this forum.
>Does that mean we as humans should all blindly continue a pattern of waste?
> Let's talk about what hits you in the pocketbook. Let's talk about what
>some of you creative, intelligent people are doing to save money and cut
>waste. Seemingly stupid shit. For example in our house:
>
>* In infrequently used/'non reading' areas of our home we replaced the bulbs
>with CF bulbs. We also installed auto off motion based switches.
>
>* Stopped buying coffee on the way to work. Take a travel mug.
>
>* Stopped buying bottled water on the way to work. Added a second faucet
>with an in-line filtration system. Added a water cooler. Refilling the 5
>gallon cooler bottle from the filtered tap once a week. 10,000 gallons of
>water costs approximately \$70 in these parts. You do the math.
>
>* Purchased appliances, actually looked at the energy efficiency ratings
>and cost per year of operation.
>
>* Keep car tune up schedule, watch tire pressure, regular oil changes.
>
>* Replaced 100 year old windows with energy efficient triple pane windows.
>
>* Check and repair toilet mechs on a regular basis.
>
>Stupid shit, but I'm pretty detail oriented and every single one of these
>things is saving me money, including the initial outlay.
>
>Chuck
>
>
>
>Nice rant, Neil. Wow, you're really bothered. Sorry about that, nothing
I've posted has been meant in any kind of mean spirit.

None of my links have been random, but rather pointers to further reading if anyone wants to check the evidence. But you don't have to follow them if you're simply not interested.

Again, though, you're welcome to join us on the General board.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

Neil wrote:

> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>> Neil, what's up?

>

> What's up? Well... "Up" is a Shania Twain album:

> <http://www.amazon.com/Up-Shania-Twain/dp/B00006IX86>

>

> Or a Peter Gabriel album:

> <http://www.amazon.com/Up-Peter-Gabriel/dp/B00006F7S3>

>

> Or, apparently, also a relatively obscure band from the last

> decade:

> <http://www.allmusic.com/cg/amg.dll?p=amg&sql=10:hzfwxqujldhe>

>

> Or the URL for the Union Pacific Railroad:

> <http://www.up.com/>

>

> Or, also the URL (with a different suffix, of course) of the

> university of Portland:

> <http://www.up.edu/>

>

> Or, shorthand slanguage for Michigan's Upper Peninsula (The "U.P." - kinda

> like "The O.C.", i guess.)

> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Upper_Peninsula_of_Michigan

> <http://www.uptravel.com/>

>

>

> There, read all that shit & get back to me with a response,

> willya? I mean, it ALL must be true, because I saw it on the

> internet, right?

>

> (look familiar???)

>

> <SQUAK!!!>

>

> (was that a parrot???)

>

>

>> Also, there's no "hijacking." Look back again, I wasn't the one to bring

>

>> up climate change in these threads.

>

> <rant>

> No, Don brought it up- jokingly... and you opportunistically

> stepped in as you always do & took over the thread to the

> extent where (and yes, sure, people responded) the entire board

> was nothing but a giant global-warming thread. Now I don't care

> if people talk about politics here, or religion, or DeeJay's

> dogs, or their health, or their enjoyment of the chronic, or
> goddamn near ANYTHING except when YOU get involved in anything
> relating to an extra drop of rain falling in Sumatra this year,
> because without fail, NONE of those other topics ever takes
> over the whole fucking board, but without fail, you always
> somehow manage to. Those other non-musical topics are
> peripherally interesting and always transient... you get a
> thread going & it never fails to fucking turn into a thread
> buildup akin to something like we've seen here since
> the shitstorm that proceeded our buildup to the invasion of
> Iraq. No shit!
> </rant>
>
> Now, if someone wants to scold me on my rant - game on, let's
> go.
>
> Neil
>Kim wrote:
> This is the electric bike I want. Worth checking out I think:
>
> http://www.greenspeed.us/bionx_montague_swiss_bike.htm

Looks very cool, Kim! I've been tempted by a bionx setup as well,
although on a different frame. Let us know what you think if you get it...

Cheers,
-Jamie

www.JamieKrutz.com<http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/23017706/?GT1=10856>and keep in mind
that there have probably been more fast food joints built
there than new oil wells lately... oil, oil, it's just another kind of oil...

-steve

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>
>The UAE are buying their way out of oil dependency one oil earned dollar
at
>a time. The investment arm of the Dubai goverment bought Barney's NY a couple
>of months ago for hecks sake.

>
>Chuck

>
>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>
>>
>>Sheesh! I hope he comes back! :oS
>>

>>Last I heard there were a lot of rumours that people who make successful
>>alternate energy sources go mysteriously missing. :oS There were a lot
of
>>other rumours that the reason that there are only rumours of this is that
>>everybody who knows anything also goes missing.
>>
>>Probably just another conspiracy theory, and I'm sure he'll be fine, but
>>I can't see why somebody who presumably sells crude oil particularly needs
>>to talk to a dude who converts for biofuels. Just seems odd.
>>
>>Am I missing something?
>>
>>Cheers,
>>Kim.
>>
>>"Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>>A friend of mine just called. This guy modifies vehicles for offroad use
>>and
>>>for running on biofuels (he has a Humvee that runs of use fry oil from
>one
>>
>>>of his wife partners' restaurants here).
>>>
>>><http://www.avalancheengineering.com/>
>>>
>>>He's flying, all expenses paid to the UAE to have tea on Thursday with
>some
>>
>>>shiekh who saw his website.
>>>
>>>I wanna' go cause it's warm.
>>>
>>>
>>
>Thanks everyone. It means a lot coming from people I respect. I wish we
could have gotten the mix off the board, but didn't have enough time to sus
it all out.

This video is a over two years old and we'd only been playing the song for a
couple months. We've got the tune much "truer" to the original now. I can't
play the song anymore though without thinking about Brad Delp. From
everything I've read it sounds like he was genuinely one of the good guys.
It's tragic the way he left.

Anyway, thanks again for the kind words.

Tony

PS - That's me singing the high notes!

On 2/5/08 9:23 AM, in article 47a87151\$1@linux, "Neil" <OIOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

```
>
> Dittoes... tight band!
>
> Neil
>
>
> rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> +1
>>
>> On Tue, 5 Feb 2008 00:02:13 -0700, "Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>
>>> Shit dude!!!.....you guys sound great!!!....
>>>
>>> ;o)
>>>
>>> "Tony Benson" <tony@standinghampton.com> wrote in message
>>> news:C3CD4E38.15BEE%tony@standinghampton.com...
>>>> Here's a link to my little central Iowa band of weekend warriors playing
>>>> Foreplay/Longtime by Boston. This is from a 2005 show that we opened
> for
>>>> the
>>>> Little River Band (who are absolutely phenomenal by the way). We set
> up a
>>>> bunch of home video cameras and our guitar player (who's day job is at
>
>>>> video
>>>> production company) edited the shots together. The audio is straight
> from
>>>> the camera mics. Also, being the opening band, we only had like 10
>>>> channels
>>>> on the mixer, so no tom mics on my drums. Kick, snare and two overheads.
>
>>>> If
>>>> you like it, there are 8 other videos posted from that show also. Sorry
>
>>>> for
>>>> the shameless self promotion. I just posted these last week, and will
> do
>>>> anything (almost) to get the view numbers up. ;>)
>>>>
>>>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5vQm8Oqg94M
>>>>
```

>>>> Thanks,
>>>>
>>>> Tony
>>>>
>>>
>>
>Yeah, I'm not crazy about a lot of things in DFH Superior. Hopefully they've streamlined the process in 2.0.

Tony

On 2/5/08 12:49 AM, in article 47a80a58@linux, "Jamie K"
<Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>
> I beta tested DFH Superior for a while several years ago, triggered from
> my DDrum kit. The triggering was quite usable even then.
>
> I had some complaints about some aspects of the user interface design
> and I moved on to other solutions. But it looks like they've really
> improved the GUI and added some very nice capabilities.
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com
>
>
> Tony Benson wrote:
>> Hey Aaron. I bought a Roland TD-20 set (the same sound module they were
>> using in the Toontracks video) last fall, and have played around with it
>> triggering DFH Superior running as an Audio Unit plugin in DP5. I've got my
>> buffer normally set to 256k. I haven't tried to measure the latency, but I
>> can't discern any "noticeable" delay. I've come to realize that some people
>> are much more sensitive to latency than I thought, so take that with a grain
>> of salt. It didn't look like Z Nir was having any problems in the Toontrack
>> video. At any rate, I will be upgrading when 2.0 is available.
>>
>> Tony
>>
>>
>> On 2/4/08 11:49 PM, in article 47a7fc27\$1@linux, "Aaron Allen"
>> <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote:
>>
>>> man, that's pretty sweet. I wonder what the stick at head to sample at
>>> output time is for live players?
>>> AA
>>>
>>>

>>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:47a7ae1b\$1@linux...
>>>> http://www.toontrack.com/videos/Namm_2008_S2.0_Demo_640x480.html
>>>>
>>>> They have upped the ante on these babys. I'll dedicate another 250 gig
>>>> drive
>>>> for this lib on the Drum VSTi computer.
>>>
>>Man that's some tasty drumming. Excellent job Rod! I'm swollen with envy.
Oops, maybe it was just gas. ;>) Seriously, excellent!

Good song to Neil!

Tony

On 2/5/08 11:35 PM, in article 47a93924\$1@linux, "Neil" <OIOL@OIU.com>
wrote:

> <http://saqqararecords.com/MiscAudio/Conquest1stRoughW-RLinco InDrums.mp3>The Mayan
calendar stops on December 21st, 2012. They thought this was the
date the world would end. It was a tongue-in-check reference, but it is
interesting that you pointed out Kyoto expires in 2012. Coincidence? . . . I
THINK NOT! ;>)

Seriously, I think it's great that you're "smallerizing" your carbon foot
print. It's a good idea for everyone just in terms of pollution, no matter
what side of the debate you're on. I have switched over many of our lights
bulb to CF's and installed energy efficient windows, but there is much more
I could do. My fear is that the average person will do little or nothing
simply because it requires too much effort. I think it's true that
individuals can be pretty smart when they need to be, but large groups are
generally ignorant. As Ronnie James Dio so elegantly put it when singing
with Black Sabbath, "The Mob Rules".

I'll try to have a more positive outlook.

Tony

On 2/5/08 12:35 AM, in article 47a80709@linux, "Jamie K"
<Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

> Tony Benson wrote:
>> So you're saying we're all pretty much f*cked then, huh Jamie.
>
> Me? No, I'm not saying that.
>
> We would have been better off not dragging our feet for so long, but
> there's still time to make a difference, and people ARE acting on what

> we know.
>
>
>> We really are
>> our own worst enemies. The problem now is we can't do much to stop it.
>
> It's important to realize that's not true.
>
> Doing nothing would be a very risky choice.
>
> Some warming has happened, some will still happen no matter what we do
> because of how long greenhouse gases already added will stay in the
> atmosphere.
>
> But a significant amount of warming can still be prevented. There's a
> lot we can do, some of which we are already doing.
>
>
>> Short
>> of deciding, as a species, to go back to living "pre-industrialization" life
>> styles. Wanna place any bets on that happening? ;>)
>
> I haven't seen that idea proposed in any serious mitigation plan. :^)
>
>
>> Seriously, I don't know if I believe everything the doomsayers are
>> preaching.
>
> Nor I. There are always exaggerations. But if you avoid some of the more
> hyperbolic special interest groups and stick closely to the science, the
> more likely range of possible outcomes is worth paying attention to and
> working to mitigate.
>
>
>> I also don't think we're doing the world any good though by the
>> amount of carbon we pump into the air.
>
> It might be good for some places where a warmer climate is at least a
> superficial improvement, but bad overall for the possible shock of rapid
> ecosystem change, threats to coastlines and hard to predict outcomes
> like the possible melting of permafrost (which would release methane,
> another greenhouse gas), changing of ocean currents and other rolls of
> the dice.
>
>
>> Hopefully, we still have enough time
>> to figure out a clean way to produce the energy we need to maintain our
>> current and growing rate of consumption. I just don't see us all getting

>> around on horses, plowing our fields with oxen, and reading by candlelight
>> anytime soon.
>
> I don't know that horses are all that great of a solution, although I
> like horses. :^)
>
> But we have a lot of options. There are a lot of efficiency improvements
> we can make in building design, city planning and manufacturing;
> logistical improvements to transportation; more efficient uses of fossil
> fuels; increased use of renewable energy; and faster adoption of more
> efficient technologies.
>
> Here are a few different perspectives:
> [http://carbonsequestration.us/Papers-presentations/htm/Pacal a-Socolow-ScienceM](http://carbonsequestration.us/Papers-presentations/htm/Pacal%20a-Socolow-ScienceMag-Aug2004.pdf)
> [ag-Aug2004.pdf](http://carbonsequestration.us/Papers-presentations/htm/Pacal%20a-Socolow-ScienceMag-Aug2004.pdf)
>
> <http://www.ases.org/climatechange/>
> <http://www.westernresourceadvocates.org/energy/clenergy.php>
> <http://www.ipcc.ch/>
>
> I highly recommend this issue of Scientific American:
> <http://www.sciam.com/sciammag/?contents=2006-09>
>
> Especially this article:
> <http://www.sciam.com/article.cfm?id=a-plan-to-keep-carbon-in>
>
> Worth a trip to the library.
>
>> Maybe the Mayans's were right? Four years and counting is it? :>o
>
> What did the Mayan's say?
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com
>
>
>
>> Tony
>>
>>
>> On 2/4/08 11:33 PM, in article 47a7f891@linux, "Jamie K"
>> <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Neil wrote:
>>>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>>> Hey Don, it's true that one of the expected byproducts of global warming
>>>>> is increased extreme weather events, including winter weather events.

>>>> This is like saying that one of the byproducts of global
>>>> stupidity is that people will get more intelligent.
>>> We can only hope! :^)
>>>
>>> An increase in extreme weather events is predicted by climate scientists
>>> as a consequence of the current climate change event.
>>>
>>> It may seem counter-intuitive until you really think about it.
>>>
>>> Warmer ocean water, more evaporation, more energy in weather systems to
>>> carry the moisture farther, this can bring more snow over the mountains.
>>>
>>> (And again, any single weather event can't be linked to the current
>>> climate change by itself. But over time we can measure patterns.)
>>>
>>> Another recent study shows the possibility for earlier rain and earlier
>>> snow pack melting leading to quicker snow pack degradation. So even
>>> though individual weather systems may dump a lot of moisture (hang in
>>> there, Deeji!), it may not stay around as long or melt as slowly. Which
>>> means we may be facing possible water shortages in some areas.
>>>
>>> <http://climate.weather.com/articles/watertrends020108.html>
>>>
>>> "They found that up to 60 percent of changes in river flow, temperature
>>> and snow pack between 1950 and 1999 can be attributed to human
>>> activities, such as driving, that release emissions including carbon
>>> dioxide and other greenhouse gases into the atmosphere."
>>>
>>>
>>>> Let's see, what else can we blame on opposite-cause events like
>>>> this?
>>> Don't be confused by the term "global warming." It doesn't mean equal
>>> heat everywhere on the globe, weather doesn't work like that.
>>>
>>> That's one reason to use the "climate change" label instead. It's more
>>> accurate and less confusing to people. Another is that as the surface
>>> warms (on average), the mesosphere cools. Both from the same mechanism,
>>> the additional heat absorption and re-radiation from additional
>>> greenhouse gases.
>>>
>>> It's interesting to read about this stuff.
>>>
>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Earth%27s_atmosphere
>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Global_warming
>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Greenhouse_effect
>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Atmospheric_chemistry
>>> <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Meteorology>
>>>

>>> Cheers,
>>> -Jamie
>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> Neil
>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
><http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/23017706/?GT1=10856>

That's not a dirty deal... that's a caucus - that's how they work (by the rules of a caucus, i mean).

Neil Dirty as it seems to us citizens, that's the kind of maneuvering that a candidate better have to survive in DC and be able to execute coordinative efforts.... otherwise it'll be 4 years of gridlock in the fed.
What's most disappointing to me is that it's come to that over the years.

AA

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:47a93d09\$1@linux...
>
> <http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/23017706/?GT1=10856>"Tony Benson"
<tony@standinghampton.com> wrote in message
news:C3CE9F9F.16188%tony@standinghampton.com...
As Ronnie James Dio so elegantly put it when singing
> with Black Sabbath, "The Mob Rules".

dang, and I thought it was "evil", "woman" and "lookout" all these years.Me:

- 1.) Live close to work... still drive, but very short commute.
- 2.) I use bright white halogen lights in the living & kitchen areas of the house - sorry, can't stand those farkin' flourescents (not to mention, I don't want the mercury outgassing), but with the pure white light from the halogens, I need less overall wattage.
- 3.) I have refrigeraged air, not evaporative cooling (which is popular here due to the dry climate); while refrigerated a/c uses more electricity, it uses ZERO water, which is a big concern here, as we're in the desert (environmental impact varies from region to region, dunnit?).
- 4.) I have no grass in my yard... it's all Xeriscaped, using almost 100% native plants that require very little water.
- 5.) Double-pane, argon-filled windows in most of the house; solar glass on the windows that aren't in the above category.

6.) Cellular blinds on the big south-facing bay window (cellulars help keep the heat or cold in or out, as appropriate for the season); cellulars about to be ordered for the solar-glass 18-foot "window wall" in the studio.

7.) Energy-Star certified washer, dryer, fridge, and hot water heater.

8.) Passive Solar roof panels for heating the pool, as opposed to a gas heater.

And, most importantly...

10.) Try not take take three massive SUV's full of people everywhere I go, and especially when I go to the airport to get on board my private/chartered jet, which belches out more carbon-based exhaust each trip than any 50 American households combine to emit in a year, while on the way to a speech in a hall that requires 20 or 30 households' worth of annualized heating or air-conditioning - depending on the season - for each one of my appearances, along with about 45,000 watts of combined lighting & PA power for the duration of my speech; and then go back to my ginormous, energy-inefficient home that's so old & leaky it has an "R" factor of like "0.2"... oh wait, that's not me - that's Al Gore, environmental hero.

:D

Neil

"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>

>Nice idea Chuck. If we're moving into politics mode we might as well try
>and make a positive difference rather than focus on our own differences
of
>opinion.

>

>* Things I'm Doing *

>

>(*) House now on full green power (wind I think - or solar)
>(*) Most of my most used light bulbs are now low energy fluros
>(*) Taking a bus or riding to work instead of driving
>(*) Generally put serious thought in before using the car
>(*) Car and home gas emissions compensated through tree planting
>(*) Started a compost bin to reduce landfill and retain fertilizer.
>(*) The main form of house heating is firewood, which is the lowest CO2
emitter
>so long as it is regrown.

>(*) Car converted to LPG which is 40% lower on CO2 emissions.
>
>In theory with the house on green power and my other emissions compensated
>my actual CO2 emissions should be very low, though I do believe we need
people
>who are able to be doing "better than zero" emissions and indeed I'm yet
>to compensate for my emissions up to age 34 or so, so I've got much work
>to do. ;o)
>
>* Things I plan on doing *
>
>(*) Buying an electric assisted push bike. Close to no emissions and very
>convenient (the one I want folds up!)
>
>* Things I would like to do but am struggling with *
>
>(*) The house insulation thing is troublesome as I live in a rented place.
>(*) As above it's hard to install local solar power in a rented house.
>(*) I'd like to further reduce my landfill which tends to be higher than
>ideal due in part to the single person's "buy take away" thing plus not
paying
>enough attention to landfill when making purchases
>(*) Generally buy less stuff and/or sell stuff I'm not using so that the
>effort and resources invested in the items in my house are not just sitting
>idle.
>
>It can be both a struggle and rewarding to take action on things like this.
>When I look at the above I know I'm doing better than many, but worse than
>I need to. It can be tough to manage your own habits as well, and I often
>find of a night that I'll have too many lights on simply because of bad
habits.
>I'm increasingly trying to use a fairly low light setup based around the
>low wattage bulbs but still regularly find I've left lights on unnecessarily.
>
>I have worked hard on actually turning around the way I look at driving
though.
>I own a 29yo car, which doesn't sound very enviro of me, but what it does
>is that it ensures I consider driving to be a privilege rather than an expectation.
>I love my car, and love to drive, but I only drive when I have a reason.
>Sometimes I have things to transport, or a time limit, or whatever, and
on
>occasion I'll just "splash out" and drive for fun, but the thing is that
>I do think of it that way. I rarely if ever get in the car without acknowledging
>what I'm doing in terms of both pollution and resource use. The car is big,
>loud, and heavy. It's hard to forget that exhaust is coming out when the
>exhaust is so loud, but I think that's good. It's on LPG (40% less CO2),
>has had electronic ignition added (probably another 5-10% reduction) and
>the engine is quite new. It probably only pollutes the same as a newly purchased

>large car, but being so big and old it reminds me that cars aren't real
good
>for our planet.
>
>And it's also worth noting that the process of building a new car creates
>about 1-2 years of normal driving pollution. By maintaining an old car rather
>than buying new, and then driving only rarely, it will likely be 5 to 10
>years before my car emissions are equal to simply building a new car. So
>if you're careful about it and minimize driving an old car can be a wise
>environmental choice.
>
>This is the electric bike I want. Worth checking out I think:
>
>http://www.greenspeed.us/bionx_montague_swiss_bike.htm
>
>Cheers,
>Kim.
>
>
>"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>
>>I doubt that we are going to solve the global warming issue in this forum.
>>Does that mean we as humans should all blindly continue a pattern of waste?
>> Let's talk about what hits you in the pocketbook. Let's talk about what
>>some of you creative, intelligent people are doing to save money and cut
>>waste. Seemingly stupid shit. For example in our house:
>>
>>* In infrequently used/'non reading' areas of our home we replaced the
bulbs
>>with CF bulbs. We also installed auto off motion based switches.
>>
>>* Stopped buying coffee on the way to work. Take a travel mug.
>>
>>* Stopped buying bottled water on the way to work. Added a second faucet
>>with an in-line filtration system. Added a water cooler. Refilling the
5
>>gallon cooler bottle from the filtered tap once a week. 10,000 gallons
of
>>water costs approximately \$70 in these parts. You do the math.
>>
>>* Purchased appliances, actually looked at the energy efficiency ratings
>>and cost per year of operation.
>>
>>* Keep car tune up schedule, watch tire pressure, regular oil changes.
>>
>>* Replaced 100 year old windows with energy efficient triple pane windows.
>>
>>* Check and repair toilet mechs on a regular basis.

>>
>>Stupid shit, but I'm pretty detail oriented and every single one of these
>>things is saving me money, including the initial outlay.
>>
>>Chuck
>>
>>
>>
>>
>Unless you can guarantee I'll get out of work, you just keep that weather
there with you :)

Seriously though, it's gotten rather nasty, windy and cold here in Tulsa
now. Yuk.
Still no snow so far though.

AA

"Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote in message news:47a80a7d@linux...
>
> "Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote in message news:47a6c9df@linux...
>> Opened up the mudroom door to go take out the trash and.....
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>Tony Benson wrote:
> The Mayan calendar stops on December 21st, 2012. They thought this was the
> date the world would end. It was a tongue-in-check reference, but it is
> interesting that you pointed out Kyoto expires in 2012. Coincidence? . . . I
> THINK NOT! ;>)

Heh. I'll have to look into that, maybe they were on to something. :^)

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

> Seriously, I think it's great that you're "smallerizing" your carbon foot
> print. It's a good idea for everyone just in terms of pollution, no matter
> what side of the debate you're on. I have switched over many of our lights
> bulb to CF's and installed energy efficient windows, but there is much more
> I could do. My fear is that the average person will do little or nothing
> simply because it requires too much effort. I think it's true that
> individuals can be pretty smart when they need to be, but large groups are
> generally ignorant. As Ronnie James Dio so elegantly put it when singing

> with Black Sabbath, "The Mob Rules".
>
> I'll try to have a more positive outlook.

> Tony
>
>
> On 2/5/08 12:35 AM, in article 47a80709@linux, "Jamie K"
> <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>
>> Tony Benson wrote:
>>> So you're saying we're all pretty much f*cked then, huh Jamie.
>> Me? No, I'm not saying that.
>>
>> We would have been better off not dragging our feet for so long, but
>> there's still time to make a difference, and people ARE acting on what
>> we know.
>>
>>
>>> We really are
>>> our own worst enemies. The problem now is we can't do much to stop it.
>> It's important to realize that's not true.
>>
>> Doing nothing would be a very risky choice.
>>
>> Some warming has happened, some will still happen no matter what we do
>> because of how long greenhouse gases already added will stay in the
>> atmosphere.
>>
>> But a significant amount of warming can still be prevented. There's a
>> lot we can do, some of which we are already doing.
>>
>>
>>> Short
>>> of deciding, as a species, to go back to living "pre-industrialization" life
>>> styles. Wanna place any bets on that happening? ;>)
>> I haven't seen that idea proposed in any serious mitigation plan. :^)
>>
>>
>>> Seriously, I don't know if I believe everything the doomsayers are
>>> preaching.
>> Nor I. There are always exaggerations. But if you avoid some of the more
>> hyperbolic special interest groups and stick closely to the science, the
>> more likely range of possible outcomes is worth paying attention to and
>> working to mitigate.
>>
>>
>>> I also don't think we're doing the world any good though by the

>>> amount of carbon we pump into the air.

>> It might be good for some places where a warmer climate is at least a
>> superficial improvement, but bad overall for the possible shock of rapid
>> ecosystem change, threats to coastlines and hard to predict outcomes
>> like the possible melting of permafrost (which would release methane,
>> another greenhouse gas), changing of ocean currents and other rolls of
>> the dice.

>>

>>

>>> Hopefully, we still have enough time

>>> to figure out a clean way to produce the energy we need to maintain our
>>> current and growing rate of consumption. I just don't see us all getting
>>> around on horses, plowing our fields with oxen, and reading by candlelight
>>> anytime soon.

>> I don't know that horses are all that great of a solution, although I
>> like horses. :^)

>>

>> But we have a lot of options. There are a lot of efficiency improvements
>> we can make in building design, city planning and manufacturing;
>> logistical improvements to transportation; more efficient uses of fossil
>> fuels; increased use of renewable energy; and faster adoption of more
>> efficient technologies.

>>

>> Here are a few different perspectives:

>> [http://carbonsequestration.us/Papers-presentations/htm/Pacal a-Socolow-ScienceM](http://carbonsequestration.us/Papers-presentations/htm/Pacal%20a-Socolow-ScienceMag-Aug2004.pdf)
>> [ag-Aug2004.pdf](http://carbonsequestration.us/Papers-presentations/htm/Pacal%20a-Socolow-ScienceMag-Aug2004.pdf)

>>

>> <http://www.ases.org/climatechange/>
>> <http://www.westernresourceadvocates.org/energy/clenergy.php>
>> <http://www.ipcc.ch/>

>>

>> I highly recommend this issue of Scientific American:
>> <http://www.sciam.com/sciammag/?contents=2006-09>

>>

>> Especially this article:
>> <http://www.sciam.com/article.cfm?id=a-plan-to-keep-carbon-in>

>>

>> Worth a trip to the library.

>>

>>> Maybe the Mayans's were right? Four years and counting is it? :>o

>> What did the Mayan's say?

>>

>> Cheers,

>> -Jamie

>> www.JamieKrutz.com

>>

>>

>>

>>> Tony
>>>
>>>
>>> On 2/4/08 11:33 PM, in article 47a7f891@linux, "Jamie K"
>>> <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>> Neil wrote:
>>>>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>>>> Hey Don, it's true that one of the expected byproducts of global warming
>>>>>> is increased extreme weather events, including winter weather events.
>>>>> This is like saying that one of the byproducts of global
>>>>> stupidity is that people will get more intelligent.
>>>> We can only hope! :^)
>>>>
>>>> An increase in extreme weather events is predicted by climate scientists
>>>> as a consequence of the current climate change event.
>>>>
>>>> It may seem counter-intuitive until you really think about it.
>>>>
>>>> Warmer ocean water, more evaporation, more energy in weather systems to
>>>> carry the moisture farther, this can bring more snow over the mountains.
>>>>
>>>> (And again, any single weather event can't be linked to the current
>>>> climate change by itself. But over time we can measure patterns.)
>>>>
>>>> Another recent study shows the possibility for earlier rain and earlier
>>>> snow pack melting leading to quicker snow pack degradation. So even
>>>> though individual weather systems may dump a lot of moisture (hang in
>>>> there, Deeji!), it may not stay around as long or melt as slowly. Which
>>>> means we may be facing possible water shortages in some areas.
>>>>
>>>> <http://climate.weather.com/articles/watertrends020108.html>
>>>>
>>>> "They found that up to 60 percent of changes in river flow, temperature
>>>> and snow pack between 1950 and 1999 can be attributed to human
>>>> activities, such as driving, that release emissions including carbon
>>>> dioxide and other greenhouse gases into the atmosphere."
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Let's see, what else can we blame on opposite-cause events like
>>>>> this?
>>>> Don't be confused by the term "global warming." It doesn't mean equal
>>>> heat everywhere on the globe, weather doesn't work like that.
>>>>
>>>> That's one reason to use the "climate change" label instead. It's more
>>>> accurate and less confusing to people. Another is that as the surface
>>>> warms (on average), the mesosphere cools. Both from the same mechanism,
>>>> the additional heat absorption and re-radiation from additional

>>>> greenhouse gases.
>>>>
>>>> It's interesting to read about this stuff.
>>>>
>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Earth%27s_atmosphere
>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Global_warming
>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Greenhouse_effect
>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Atmospheric_chemistry
>>>> <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Meteorology>
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Neil
>Robin Gibb should know how far it can go when he started his joke;-)

Erling

On Tue, 5 Feb 2008 21:49:32 -0500, "Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:

>Can't just blame just Jamie there Neil...my name's up there too.
>
>Wasn't there a song with the line "I started a joke"
>
>;-)
>
>it's over on the general thread now so ya'll can return to your regularly
>sheduled programming...along with the religious discussion
>
>heheheGood for you, Neil. I think even your arch nemesis Al Gore would approve
of most of that.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

Neil wrote:

> Me:
> 1.) Live close to work... still drive, but very short commute.
> 2.) I use bright white halogen lights in the living & kitchen
> areas of the house - sorry, can't stand those farkin'
> flourescents (not to mention, I don't want the mercury
> outgassing), but with the pure white light from the halogens, I

> need less overall wattage.

> 3.) I have refrigerated air, not evaporative cooling (which is
> popular here due to the dry climate); while refrigerated a/c
> uses more electricity, it uses ZERO water, which is a big
> concern here, as we're in the desert (environmental impact
> varies from region to region, dunnit?).

> 4.) I have no grass in my yard... it's all Xeriscaped, using
> almost 100% native plants that require very little water.

> 5.) Double-pane, argon-filled windows in most of the house;
> solar glass on the windows that aren't in the above category.

> 6.) Cellular blinds on the big south-facing bay window
> (cellulars help keep the heat or cold in or out, as appropriate
> for the season); cellulars about to be ordered for the solar-
> glass 18-foot "window wall" in the studio.

> 7.) Energy-Star certified washer, dryer, fridge, and hot water
> heater.

> 8.) Passive Solar roof panels for heating the pool, as opposed
> to a gas heater.

>

> And, most importantly...

>

> 10.) Try not take three massive SUV's full of people
> everywhere I go, and especially when I go to the airport to get
> on board my private/chartered jet, which belches out more
> carbon-based exhaust each trip than any 50 American households
> combine to emit in a year, while on the way to a speech in a
> hall that requires 20 or 30 households' worth of annualized
> heating or air-conditioning - depending on the season - for
> each one of my appearances, along with about 45,000 watts of
> combined lighting & PA power for the duration of my speech; and
> then go back to my ginormous, energy-inneficient home that's so
> old & leaky it has an "R" factor of like "0.2"... oh wait,
> that's not me - that's Al Gore, evironmental hero.

>

> :D

>

> Neil

>

>

> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>

>> Nice idea Chuck. If we're moving into politics mode we might as well try
>> and make a positive difference rather than focus on our own differences
> of
>> opinion.

>>

>> * Things I'm Doing *

>>

>> (*) House now on full green power (wind I think - or solar)
 >> (*) Most of my most used light bulbs are now low energy fluros
 >> (*) Taking a bus or riding to work instead of driving
 >> (*) Generally put serious thought in before using the car
 >> (*) Car and home gas emissions compensated through tree planting
 >> (*) Started a compost bin to reduce landfill and retain fertilizer.
 >> (*) The main form of house heating is firewood, which is the lowest CO2
 > emitter
 >> so long as it is regrown.
 >> (*) Car converted to LPG which is 40% lower on CO2 emissions.
 >>
 >> In theory with the house on green power and my other emissions compensated
 >> my actual CO2 emissions should be very low, though I do believe we need
 > people
 >> who are able to be doing "better than zero" emissions and indeed I'm yet
 >> to compensate for my emissions up to age 34 or so, so I've got much work
 >> to do. ;o)
 >>
 >> * Things I plan on doing *
 >>
 >> (*) Buying an electric assisted push bike. Close to no emissions and very
 >> convenient (the one I want folds up!)
 >>
 >> * Things I would like to do but am struggling with *
 >>
 >> (*) The house insulation thing is troublesome as I live in a rented place.
 >> (*) As above it's hard to install local solar power in a rented house.
 >> (*) I'd like to further reduce my landfill which tends to be higher than
 >> ideal due in part to the single person's "buy take away" thing plus not
 > paying
 >> enough attention to landfill when making purchases
 >> (*) Generally buy less stuff and/or sell stuff I'm not using so that the
 >> effort and resources invested in the items in my house are not just sitting
 >> idle.
 >>
 >> It can be both a struggle and rewarding to take action on things like this.
 >> When I look at the above I know I'm doing better than many, but worse than
 >> I need to. It can be tough to manage your own habits as well, and I often
 >> find of a night that I'll have too many lights on simply because of bad
 > habits.
 >> I'm increasingly trying to use a fairly low light setup based around the
 >> low wattage bulbs but still regularly find I've left lights on unnecessarily.
 >>
 >> I have worked hard on actually turning around the way I look at driving
 > though.
 >> I own a 29yo car, which doesn't sound very enviro of me, but what it does
 >> is that it ensures I consider driving to be a privilege rather than an expectation.
 >> I love my car, and love to drive, but I only drive when I have a reason.

>> Sometimes I have things to transport, or a time limit, or whatever, and
> on
>> occasion I'll just "splash out" and drive for fun, but the thing is that
>> I do think of it that way. I rarely if ever get in the car without acknowledging
>> what I'm doing in terms of both pollution and resource use. The car is big,
>> loud, and heavy. It's hard to forget that exhaust is coming out when the
>> exhaust is so loud, but I think that's good. It's on LPG (40% less CO2),
>> has had electronic ignition added (probably another 5-10% reduction) and
>> the engine is quite new. It probably only pollutes the same as a newly purchased
>> large car, but being so big and old it reminds me that cars aren't real
> good
>> for our planet.
>>
>> And it's also worth noting that the process of building a new car creates
>> about 1-2 years of normal driving pollution. By maintaining an old car rather
>> than buying new, and then driving only rarely, it will likely be 5 to 10
>> years before my car emissions are equal to simply building a new car. So
>> if you're careful about it and minimize driving an old car can be a wise
>> environmental choice.
>>
>> This is the electric bike I want. Worth checking out I think:
>>
>> http://www.greenspeed.us/bionx_montague_swiss_bike.htm
>>
>> Cheers,
>> Kim.
>>
>>
>> "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>> I doubt that we are going to solve the global warming issue in this forum.
>>> Does that mean we as humans should all blindly continue a pattern of waste?
>>> Let's talk about what hits you in the pocketbook. Let's talk about what
>>> some of you creative, intelligent people are doing to save money and cut
>>> waste. Seemingly stupid shit. For example in our house:
>>>
>>> * In infrequently used/'non reading' areas of our home we replaced the
> bulbs
>>> with CF bulbs. We also installed auto off motion based switches.
>>>
>>> * Stopped buying coffee on the way to work. Take a travel mug.
>>>
>>> * Stopped buying bottled water on the way to work. Added a second faucet
>>> with an in-line filtration system. Added a water cooler. Refilling the
> 5
>>> gallon cooler bottle from the filtered tap once a week. 10,000 gallons
> of
>>> water costs approximately \$70 in these parts. You do the math.
>>>

>>> * Purchased appliances, actually looked at the energy efficiency ratings
>>> and cost per year of operation.
>>>
>>> * Keep car tune up schedule, watch tire pressure, regular oil changes.
>>>
>>> * Replaced 100 year old windows with energy efficient triple pane windows.
>>>
>>> * Check and repair toilet mechs on a regular basis.
>>>
>>> Stupid shit, but I'm pretty detail oriented and every single one of these
>>> things is saving me money, including the initial outlay.
>>>
>>> Chuck
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>
>Don,
>
>Nothing bothers me, I was actually interested in what other folks are doing.
>
>Chuck
>

oh. Ok. Here's what I've been doing for years.

1) Only turn on the heat when I get sick. Went two years without it on a while back. Other years waited until January or February.
Of course, I don't live in the snow like you guys do.

1a) Ignore the fact that I have an air conditioner. Not easy.

2) Buckets in the shower. Catch all the water you can when you take a shower.
Use it to flush the toilets.

3) Only use cars that get more than 30 mpg.

4) Speaking of water, lawns are useless relics of English gardens or African veldt or some such. Not needed.

5) Car gets washed each time it rains. Other than that, who cares

6) Never buy anything new that I can get used

Things I haven't done yet, because I'm too lazy or cheap:

- 1) replace my computers with laptops
- 2) replace my monitors with flat screens

I'm sure there's piles of others things...

-steve Good ideas, but what's this got to do with signal-to-noise ratio?

S

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote in message news:47a8d495\$1@linux...

>

> I doubt that we are going to solve the global warming issue in this forum.

> Does that mean we as humans should all blindly continue a pattern of
> waste?

> Let's talk about what hits you in the pocketbook. Let's talk about what
> some of you creative, intelligent people are doing to save money and cut
> waste. Seemingly stupid shit. For example in our house:

>

> * In infrequently used/'non reading' areas of our home we replaced the
> bulbs

> with CF bulbs. We also installed auto off motion based switches.

>

> * Stopped buying coffee on the way to work. Take a travel mug.

>

> * Stopped buying bottled water on the way to work. Added a second faucet
> with an in-line filtration system. Added a water cooler. Refilling the 5
> gallon cooler bottle from the filtered tap once a week. 10,000 gallons of
> water costs approximately \$70 in these parts. You do the math.

>

> * Purchased appliances, actually looked at the energy efficiency ratings
> and cost per year of operation.

>

> * Keep car tune up schedule, watch tire pressure, regular oil changes.

>

> * Replaced 100 year old windows with energy efficient triple pane windows.

>

> * Check and repair toilet mechs on a regular basis.

>

> Stupid shit, but I'm pretty detail oriented and every single one of these
> things is saving me money, including the initial outlay.

>

> Chuck

>

>

>

>

>Very cool Tony. :) Gave me chills even through camera mics. You're the

drummer, right? I always watch the drummer, being a drummer wannabe myself.

Thanks for sharing it.

S

"Tony Benson" <tony@standinghampton.com> wrote in message
news:C3CD4E38.15BEE%tony@standinghampton.com...

> Here's a link to my little central Iowa band of weekend warriors playing
> Foreplay/Longtime by Boston. This is from a 2005 show that we opened for
> the
> Little River Band (who are absolutely phenomenal by the way). We set up a
> bunch of home video cameras and our guitar player (who's day job is at
> video
> production company) edited the shots together. The audio is straight from
> the camera mics. Also, being the opening band, we only had like 10
> channels
> on the mixer, so no tom mics on my drums. Kick, snare and two overheads.
> If
> you like it, there are 8 other videos posted from that show also. Sorry
> for
> the shameless self promotion. I just posted these last week, and will do
> anything (almost) to get the view numbers up. ;>)
>
> <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5vQm8Oqg94M>
>
> Thanks,
>
> Tony
> I don't think you're missing anything, it's just a point of view. I just
happen to agree with countries who treat public health like fire or police
protection. I found the views of health care in England, France, Cuba, and
Canada presented in "Sicko" to be very interesting. Almost made me want to
look for work in BC. :)

S

"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:47a8d4fb@linux...

> I have no problem with the best free health care and really good food (and
> education) for children. And I know that people can do all the right things
> and still get sick, but there are ways to statistically predict illness and
> one can look at the past record of a person to see if they are likely to
> get sick again, and from these data it can be determined whether they
> should pay a high or low premium. What I absolutely wouldn't want is "From
> each according to his/her ability and to each according to his/her need."
> That communist maxim sounds wonderful, but gets you a tiny handful of
> struggling individuals holding it all together and a huge number of

> apathetic drudges letting it fall apart. Current human beings need a little
> danger, stress and necessity to rise up to doing something about it, and
> any workable system must have that as a component or it fails.
>
> At least that is what I think right now. If there is something I'm
> missing, let me in on it.
>
> Sarah wrote:
>> Fine, except that a lot of people are sick through no fault of their own,
>> including a lot of children at the mercy of their parents' health
>> knowledge and habits. Not to mention, I see people in their 80s in
>> pretty good shape who just quit smoking and/or drinking a few years ago,
>> and exercise and health food fanatics in their 50s with horrible
>> diseases.
>>
>> The "responsible for one's own condition" theory has some limits.
>>
>> S
>>
>>
>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message
>> news:47a7af01\$1@linux...
>>> Some folks in America have a notion that we can afford anything, but
>>> those days are over. The dollar is dying because financial markets don't
>>> have confidence in a currency that tries to print its way out of
>>> trouble. Now our so-called leaders want to borrow more money to give
>>> everybody a bribe, oops, I mean "tax rebate" to "stimulate the economy".
>>> How about ending the idiotic "war on terror" and using the trillion
>>> dollars we are blowing in the middle east to pay off some bills and
>>> salvage what is left of our down-sliding economy?
>>>
>>> Here's a national health plan I could agree to:
>>> 1. The less you get sick, the less you pay. If you have a life long
>>> record of wellness your insurance costs very little. This can be
>>> computed actuarially so that it is a correct prediction of what you will
>>> probably cost the system
>>> 2. If you exercise, stay within a healthy weight, eat organic food, you
>>> pay less
>>> 3. The less you drink or smoke, the less you pay
>>> 4. If you actively participate in preventive health programs you pay
>>> less
>>> 5. If your lifestyle statistically suggests you will require less
>>> insurance, you pay less
>>>
>>> In other words reward better performers with a much lower rate - a truly
>>> proportionally lower rate. I rarely get sick and I have never had a
>>> serious disease.
>>>

>>> I'm not hard-hearted and I know illness sucks - but we need a system
>>> that makes people responsible for themselves otherwise they typically
>>> just get weaker. I don't drink or smoke or take any drugs. I exercise
>>> regularly and I stay at a healthy weight. Why should I pay premiums to
>>> support people who don't give a shit about themselves or their health
>>> until they get sick?
>>>
>>> Gary Flanigan wrote:
>>>> I'm not sure what the big deal is here. We already pay Medicare and SS
>>>> taxes
>>>> out of our paychecks, so what's different about this?
>>> So you're saying since we're already getting screwed what's a few
>>> dollars more?
>>>> Frankly, we are already paying for these folks when they need health
>>>> care
>>>> and end up in the emergency room.
>>>>
>>>> As for the libertarians among us, I'd be happy to have you not
>>>> participate
>>>> as long as you sign a release allowing medical care to be denied you
>>>> when
>>>> you need it and can't afford it.
>>> Not a problem. Can I opt out of all the other bullshit I don't want to
>>> pay for too? Doubt it. Our lowest common denominator system doesn't work
>>> without a police and military to make sure everybody pays.
>>>> Sarcasm aside, this is a big problem. There are millions of folks
>>>> without
>>>> coverage, and high costs in part because they don't get treated early
>>>> in
>>>> the stage of their afflictions. It is going to take some big changes
>>>> to
>>>> make this happen, but a lot of other countries do it and I'm sure we
>>>> can
>>>> find a way as well.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>> The very idea that the government would garnish wages to pay for
>>>>> enforced health insurance is anathema to me. Does my health and my
>>>>> body
>>>>> now also belong to the government? It's just another way for the
>>>>> government to tax us. Duh.
>>>>>
>>>>> I wonder what the money trail is back to Ms Clinton's pocketbook?
>>>>>

>>>>> James McCloskey wrote:
>>>>> Here a glimpse of what to expect from Hillary if she gets in. Check
>>>>> out
>>>> Hillary's
>>>>> plan.
>>>>>
>>>>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080203/D8UIUU901.html>
>>>>>
>>>>> You guys wanted to change the subject, right?
>>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>Good ideas, but what's this got to do with signal-to-noise ratio?

I think it's a reference to the music vs non-music posts...

Cheers,
Kim.maybe just preparing your for your final destination???

;o)

On 6 Feb 2008 04:30:28 +1000, "John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>
>It's 78 degrees here in Charleston, SC today Suckas !!!Holy shit indeed. Very nice. Love all the
meter mangling. Do you pay Hot
Rod to work that hard?

You can just post your songs, you know, and say, "Check my new track out,
and by the way, that's the amazing Rod Lincoln on the skins," instead of
"Here's the amazing Rod Lincoln, and oh by the way, that's my song and I'm
playing everything else." Your songs are good, you should be proud. Well,
except I don't like synthesizers much, but that's just me bein' a throwback.
:)

But really, nice work.

S

"Neil" <OI0I@OIU.com> wrote in message news:47a93924\$1@linux...

>
> Another really nice performance from our Mr. Rod Lincoln on the
> percussively-smacked-thingies.
>
> Probably a little on the "overcooked" side in terms of
> compression & some channel EQ - just a quickie rough, really;
> mainly posting it for your percussively-derived enjoyment.

>
>
> [http://saqqararecords.com/MiscAudio/Conquest1stRoughW-RLinco InDrums.mp3](http://saqqararecords.com/MiscAudio/Conquest1stRoughW-RLinco%20InDrums.mp3)
>
>
> ...fuck, I used the term "overcoooked" - opens the door for
> Jamie to turn this into another Global Warming thread.
>
>where's mr. simplicity when you need him??? just remember that little
train...i think i can...ithink i can...i think i can. if yo cat fast
you can use the snow banks in lieu of a ladder.

On Tue, 5 Feb 2008 13:07:26 -0700, "Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:

>
>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:51dggq3h1cmoq7o0ftve5h7q2i08aldhpbk@4ax.com...
>> ya gonna paint those gutters in the spring?
>>
>
>Right after I hang them up again. I doubt I'm gonna have any gutters left if
>this keeps up.
>
>i've done this for many over the years...you get the day off.

you're welcome
;o)

On Wed, 6 Feb 2008 00:23:49 -0600, "Aaron Allen"
<know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote:

>Unless you can guarantee I'll get out of work, you just keep that weather
>there with you :)
>
>Seriously though, it's gotten rather nasty, windy and cold here in Tulsa
>now. Yuk.
>Still no snow so far though.
>
>AA
>
>"Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote in message news:47a80a7d@linux...
>>
>> "Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote in message news:47a6c9df@linux...
>>> Opened up the mudroom door to go take out the trash and.....
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>

>>

>>

>when i built my studio (1600sq') i made the exterior wall 14" thick and the attic has 30" of insulation. though the price of fuel has trippled i still spend about \$15.00 per month to heat and cool it.

On 6 Feb 2008 08:26:45 +1000, "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>

>I doubt that we are going to solve the global warming issue in this forum.

>Does that mean we as humans should all blindly continue a pattern of waste?

> Let's talk about what hits you in the pocketbook. Let's talk about what

>some of you creative, intelligent people are doing to save money and cut

>waste. Seemingly stupid shit. For example in our house:

>

>* In infrequently used/'non reading' areas of our home we replaced the bulbs

>with CF bulbs. We also installed auto off motion based switches.

>

>* Stopped buying coffee on the way to work. Take a travel mug.

>

>* Stopped buying bottled water on the way to work. Added a second faucet

>with an in-line filtration system. Added a water cooler. Refilling the 5

>gallon cooler bottle from the filtered tap once a week. 10,000 gallons of

>water costs approximately \$70 in these parts. You do the math.

>

>* Purchased appliances, actually looked at the energy efficiency ratings

>and cost per year of operation.

>

>* Keep car tune up schedule, watch tire pressure, regular oil changes.

>

>* Replaced 100 year old windows with energy efficient triple pane windows.

>

>* Check and repair toilet mechs on a regular basis.

>

>Stupid shit, but I'm pretty detail oriented and every single one of these

>things is saving me money, including the initial outlay.

>

>Chuck

>

>

>It was gas. :-) Thanks

rod

Tony Benson <tony@standinghampton.com> wrote:

>Man that's some tasty drumming. Excellent job Rod! I'm swollen with envy.

>Oops, maybe it was just gas. ;) Seriously, excellent!

>

>Good song to Neil!

>

>Tony
>
>On 2/5/08 11:35 PM, in article 47a93924\$1@linux, "Neil" <OI@OIU.com>
>wrote:
>
>> [http://saqqararecords.com/MiscAudio/Conquest1stRoughW-RLinco InDrums.mp3](http://saqqararecords.com/MiscAudio/Conquest1stRoughW-RLinco%20InDrums.mp3)
>Intersting note about CF bulbs

No one has actually determined whether there is a real overall savings with these things as they cost more to make, ship, dispose of and buy. And if not used in high traffic areas that need light on for long periods, do not last as long as claimed. I know this last bit as fact as I replaced every light in our house that wasn't on a dimmer and several of these bulbs lasted barely six months...others on the otherhand are on their second year. Good thing they go on sale every once in a while otherwise I'd never use them again.

"Tony Benson" <tony@standinghampton.com> wrote in message
news:C3CE9F9F.16188%tony@standinghampton.com...
> The Mayan calendar stops on December 21st, 2012. They thought this was the
> date the world would end. It was a tongue-in-check reference, but it is
> interesting that you pointed out Kyoto expires in 2012. Coincidence? . . .
> I
> THINK NOT! ;>)
>
> Seriously, I think it's great that you're "smallerizing" your carbon foot
> print. It's a good idea for everyone just in terms of pollution, no matter
> what side of the debate you're on. I have switched over many of our lights
> bulb to CF's and installed energy efficient windows, but there is much
> more
> I could do. My fear is that the average person will do little or nothing
> simply because it requires too much effort. I think it's true that
> individuals can be pretty smart when they need to be, but large groups are
> generally ignorant. As Ronnie James Dio so elegantly put it when singing
> with Black Sabbath, "The Mob Rules".
>
> I'll try to have a more positive outlook.
>
> Tony
>
>
> On 2/5/08 12:35 AM, in article 47a80709@linux, "Jamie K"
> <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>
>> Tony Benson wrote:
>>> So you're saying we're all pretty much f*cked then, huh Jamie.
>>
>> Me? No, I'm not saying that.

>>
>> We would have been better off not dragging our feet for so long, but
>> there's still time to make a difference, and people ARE acting on what
>> we know.
>>
>>
>>> We really are
>>> our own worst enemies. The problem now is we can't do much to stop it.
>>
>> It's important to realize that's not true.
>>
>> Doing nothing would be a very risky choice.
>>
>> Some warming has happened, some will still happen no matter what we do
>> because of how long greenhouse gases already added will stay in the
>> atmosphere.
>>
>> But a significant amount of warming can still be prevented. There's a
>> lot we can do, some of which we are already doing.
>>
>>
>>> Short
>>> of deciding, as a species, to go back to living "pre-industrialization"
>>> life
>>> styles. Wanna place any bets on that happening? ;>)
>>
>> I haven't seen that idea proposed in any serious mitigation plan. :^)
>>
>>
>>> Seriously, I don't know if I believe everything the doomsayers are
>>> preaching.
>>
>> Nor I. There are always exaggerations. But if you avoid some of the more
>> hyperbolic special interest groups and stick closely to the science, the
>> more likely range of possible outcomes is worth paying attention to and
>> working to mitigate.
>>
>>
>>> I also don't think we're doing the world any good though by the
>>> amount of carbon we pump into the air.
>>
>> It might be good for some places where a warmer climate is at least a
>> superficial improvement, but bad overall for the possible shock of rapid
>> ecosystem change, threats to coastlines and hard to predict outcomes
>> like the possible melting of permafrost (which would release methane,
>> another greenhouse gas), changing of ocean currents and other rolls of
>> the dice.
>>

>>

>>> Hopefully, we still have enough time

>>> to figure out a clean way to produce the energy we need to maintain our

>>> current and growing rate of consumption. I just don't see us all getting

>>> around on horses, plowing our fields with oxen, and reading by

>>> candlelight

>>> anytime soon.

>>

>> I don't know that horses are all that great of a solution, although I

>> like horses. :^)

>>

>> But we have a lot of options. There are a lot of efficiency improvements

>> we can make in building design, city planning and manufacturing;

>> logistical improvements to transportation; more efficient uses of fossil

>> fuels; increased use of renewable energy; and faster adoption of more

>> efficient technologies.

>>

>> Here are a few different perspectives:

>> [http://carbonsequestration.us/Papers-presentations/htm/Pacal a-Socolow-ScienceM](http://carbonsequestration.us/Papers-presentations/htm/Pacal%20a-Socolow-ScienceMag-Aug2004.pdf)

>> [ag-Aug2004.pdf](http://carbonsequestration.us/Papers-presentations/htm/Pacal%20a-Socolow-ScienceMag-Aug2004.pdf)

>>

>> <http://www.ases.org/climatechange/>

>> <http://www.westernresourceadvocates.org/energy/clenergy.php>

>> <http://www.ipcc.ch/>

>>

>> I highly recommend this issue of Scientific American:

>> <http://www.sciam.com/sciammag/?contents=2006-09>

>>

>> Especially this article:

>> <http://www.sciam.com/article.cfm?id=a-plan-to-keep-carbon-in>

>>

>> Worth a trip to the library.

>>

>>> Maybe the Mayans's were right? Four years and counting is it? :>o

>>

>> What did the Mayan's say?

>>

>> Cheers,

>> -Jamie

>> www.JamieKrutz.com

>>

>>

>>

>>> Tony

>>>

>>>

>>> On 2/4/08 11:33 PM, in article 47a7f891@linux, "Jamie K"

>>> <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>>>
>>>> Neil wrote:
>>>>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>>>> Hey Don, it's true that one of the expected byproducts of global
>>>>>> warming
>>>>>> is increased extreme weather events, including winter weather events.
>>>>> This is like saying that one of the byproducts of global
>>>>> stupidity is that people will get more intelligent.
>>>> We can only hope! :^)
>>>>
>>>> An increase in extreme weather events is predicted by climate
>>>> scientists
>>>> as a consequence of the current climate change event.
>>>>
>>>> It may seem counter-intuitive until you really think about it.
>>>>
>>>> Warmer ocean water, more evaporation, more energy in weather systems to
>>>> carry the moisture farther, this can bring more snow over the
>>>> mountains.
>>>>
>>>> (And again, any single weather event can't be linked to the current
>>>> climate change by itself. But over time we can measure patterns.)
>>>>
>>>> Another recent study shows the possibility for earlier rain and earlier
>>>> snow pack melting leading to quicker snow pack degradation. So even
>>>> though individual weather systems may dump a lot of moisture (hang in
>>>> there, Deeji!), it may not stay around as long or melt as slowly. Which
>>>> means we may be facing possible water shortages in some areas.
>>>>
>>>> <http://climate.weather.com/articles/watertrends020108.html>
>>>>
>>>> "They found that up to 60 percent of changes in river flow, temperature
>>>> and snow pack between 1950 and 1999 can be attributed to human
>>>> activities, such as driving, that release emissions including carbon
>>>> dioxide and other greenhouse gases into the atmosphere."
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Let's see, what else can we blame on opposite-cause events like
>>>>> this?
>>>>> Don't be confused by the term "global warming." It doesn't mean equal
>>>>> heat everywhere on the globe, weather doesn't work like that.
>>>>>
>>>>> That's one reason to use the "climate change" label instead. It's more
>>>>> accurate and less confusing to people. Another is that as the surface
>>>>> warms (on average), the mesosphere cools. Both from the same mechanism,
>>>>> the additional heat absorption and re-radiation from additional
>>>>> greenhouse gases.
>>>>>

>>>> It's interesting to read about this stuff.

>>>>

>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Earth%27s_atmosphere

>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Global_warming

>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Greenhouse_effect

>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Atmospheric_chemistry

>>>> <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Meteorology>

>>>>

>>>> Cheers,

>>>> -Jamie

>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>> Neil

>>>

>hahah, and John Edwards has a 30,000 sq ft house and Al Gore has a \$2000 electric bill every month. What a bunch of hypocrites.Is there a third choice? hmmm, Chucky getting moody. Maybe from eating ketchup from foil packets.Hi John,

Sorry for the comment, it was unnecessary and rude. Moody is the right word, maybe even asshole at times :-)

Chuck

"John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>

>Is there a third choice? hmmm, Chucky getting moody. Maybe from eating

>ketchup from foil packets.Don,

Here's my experience. The last set I put in the basement lasted five years. When I bought them 5 years ago they were big money. Probably 10 times the cost of an incandescent.

When I went to get the replacements a pack of 8 GE CFs was around \$12.00. I was shocked at how much they have come down in price.

The 60 watt equivalent uses 13 watts.

So anyway... My house has a basement apartment in it, and has a separate electric meter. We use the basement for our 'playroom'/tv room/office.

Since switching to CF and LCD TV and Computer monitors the basements monthly electric bill comes in around \$15 a month. It used to run in the mid 30's.

Granted this is not that big of a deal money wise, but I'm clearly seeing a directly measurable cut in consumption of over 50%.

Chuck

"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:

>Intersting note about CF bulbs

>

>No one has actually determined whether there is a real overall savings with

>these things as they cost more to make, ship, dispose of and buy. And if not

>used in high traffic areas that need light on for long periods, do not last

>as long as claimed.I know this last bit as fact as I replaced every light in

>our house that wasn't on a dimmer and several of these bulbs lasted barely

>six months...others on the otherhand are on their second year. Good thing

>they go on sale every once in a while otherwise I'd never use them again.

>

>

>"Tony Benson" <tony@standinghampton.com> wrote in message

>news:C3CE9F9F.16188%tony@standinghampton.com...

>> The Mayan calendar stops on December 21st, 2012. They thought this was the

>> date the world would end. It was a tongue-in-check reference, but it is

>> interesting that you pointed out Kyoto expires in 2012. Coincidence? .

..

>> I

>> THINK NOT! ;>)

>>

>> Seriously, I think it's great that you're "smallerizing" your carbon foot

>> print. It's a good idea for everyone just in terms of pollution, no matter

>> what side of the debate you're on. I have switched over many of our lights

>> bulb to CF's and installed energy efficient windows, but there is much

>> more

>> I could do. My fear is that the average person will do little or nothing

>> simply because it requires too much effort. I think it's true that

>> individuals can be pretty smart when they need to be, but large groups are

>> generally ignorant. As Ronnie James Dio so elegantly put it when singing

>> with Black Sabbath, "The Mob Rules".

>>

>> I'll try to have a more positive outlook.

>>

>> Tony

>>

>>

>> On 2/5/08 12:35 AM, in article 47a80709@linux, "Jamie K"

>> <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>>

>>> Tony Benson wrote:

>>>> So you're saying we're all pretty much f*cked then, huh Jamie.

>>>

>>> Me? No, I'm not saying that.

>>>

>>> We would have been better off not dragging our feet for so long, but

>>> there's still time to make a difference, and people ARE acting on what

>>> we know.

>>>

>>>

>>>> We really are

>>>> our own worst enemies. The problem now is we can't do much to stop it.

>>>

>>> It's important to realize that's not true.

>>>

>>> Doing nothing would be a very risky choice.

>>>

>>> Some warming has happened, some will still happen no matter what we do

>>> because of how long greenhouse gases already added will stay in the

>>> atmosphere.

>>>

>>> But a significant amount of warming can still be prevented. There's a

>>> lot we can do, some of which we are already doing.

>>>

>>>

>>>> Short

>>>> of deciding, as a species, to go back to living "pre-industrialization"

>>>> life

>>>> styles. Wanna place any bets on that happening? ;>)

>>>

>>> I haven't seen that idea proposed in any serious mitigation plan. :^)

>>>

>>>

>>>> Seriously, I don't know if I believe everything the doomsayers are

>>>> preaching.

>>>

>>> Nor I. There are always exaggerations. But if you avoid some of the more

>>> hyperbolic special interest groups and stick closely to the science,

>>> the

>>> more likely range of possible outcomes is worth paying attention to and
>>> working to mitigate.
>>>
>>>
>>>> I also don't think we're doing the world any good though by the
>>>> amount of carbon we pump into the air.
>>>
>>> It might be good for some places where a warmer climate is at least a
>>> superficial improvement, but bad overall for the possible shock of rapid
>>> ecosystem change, threats to coastlines and hard to predict outcomes
>>> like the possible melting of permafrost (which would release methane,
>>> another greenhouse gas), changing of ocean currents and other rolls of
>>> the dice.
>>>
>>>
>>>> Hopefully, we still have enough time
>>>> to figure out a clean way to produce the energy we need to maintain
our
>>>> current and growing rate of consumption. I just don't see us all getting
>>>> around on horses, plowing our fields with oxen, and reading by
>>>> candlelight
>>>> anytime soon.
>>>
>>> I don't know that horses are all that great of a solution, although I
>>> like horses. :^)
>>>
>>> But we have a lot of options. There are a lot of efficiency improvements
>>> we can make in building design, city planning and manufacturing;
>>> logistical improvements to transportation; more efficient uses of fossil
>>> fuels; increased use of renewable energy; and faster adoption of more
>>> efficient technologies.
>>>
>>> Here are a few different perspectives:
>>> [http://carbonsequestration.us/Papers-presentations/htm/Pacal a-Socolow-ScienceM](http://carbonsequestration.us/Papers-presentations/htm/Pacal%20a-Socolow-ScienceMag-Aug2004.pdf)
>>> [ag-Aug2004.pdf](http://carbonsequestration.us/Papers-presentations/htm/Pacal%20a-Socolow-ScienceMag-Aug2004.pdf)
>>>
>>> <http://www.ases.org/climatechange/>
>>> <http://www.westernresourceadvocates.org/energy/clenergy.php>
>>> <http://www.ipcc.ch/>
>>>
>>> I highly recommend this issue of Scientific American:
>>> <http://www.sciam.com/sciammag/?contents=2006-09>
>>>
>>> Especially this article:
>>> <http://www.sciam.com/article.cfm?id=a-plan-to-keep-carbon-in>
>>>
>>> Worth a trip to the library.
>>>

>>>> Maybe the Mayans's were right? Four years and counting is it? :>o
>>>
>>> What did the Mayan's say?
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> -Jamie
>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> Tony
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> On 2/4/08 11:33 PM, in article 47a7f891@linux, "Jamie K"
>>>> <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> Neil wrote:
>>>>>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> Hey Don, it's true that one of the expected byproducts of global
>>>>>>> warming
>>>>>>> is increased extreme weather events, including winter weather events.
>>>>>>> This is like saying that one of the byproducts of global
>>>>>>> stupidity is that people will get more intelligent.
>>>>>>> We can only hope! :^)
>>>>>
>>>>> An increase in extreme weather events is predicted by climate
>>>>> scientists
>>>>> as a consequence of the current climate change event.
>>>>>
>>>>> It may seem counter-intuitive until you really think about it.
>>>>>
>>>>> Warmer ocean water, more evaporation, more energy in weather systems
to
>>>>> carry the moisture farther, this can bring more snow over the
>>>>> mountains.
>>>>>
>>>>> (And again, any single weather event can't be linked to the current
>>>>> climate change by itself. But over time we can measure patterns.)
>>>>>
>>>>> Another recent study shows the possibility for earlier rain and earlier
>>>>> snow pack melting leading to quicker snow pack degradation. So even
>>>>> though individual weather systems may dump a lot of moisture (hang
in
>>>>> there, Deeji!), it may not stay around as long or melt as slowly. Which
>>>>> means we may be facing possible water shortages in some areas.
>>>>>
>>>>> <http://climate.weather.com/articles/watertrends020108.html>

>>>>>
>>>>> "They found that up to 60 percent of changes in river flow, temperature
>>>>> and snow pack between 1950 and 1999 can be attributed to human
>>>>> activities, such as driving, that release emissions including carbon
>>>>> dioxide and other greenhouse gases into the atmosphere."
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> Let's see, what else can we blame on opposite-cause events like
>>>>>> this?
>>>>> Don't be confused by the term "global warming." It doesn't mean equal
>>>>> heat everywhere on the globe, weather doesn't work like that.
>>>>>
>>>>> That's one reason to use the "climate change" label instead. It's more
>>>>> accurate and less confusing to people. Another is that as the surface
>>>>> warms (on average), the mesosphere cools. Both from the same mechanism,
>>>>> the additional heat absorption and re-radiation from additional
>>>>> greenhouse gases.
>>>>>
>>>>> It's interesting to read about this stuff.
>>>>>
>>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Earth%27s_atmosphere
>>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Global_warming
>>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Greenhouse_effect
>>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Atmospheric_chemistry
>>>>> <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Meteorology>
>>>>>
>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> Neil
>>>>>
>>
>
>I realize that, there are ways to reduce your carbon footprint and even save
money. Just trying to add a little levity to the discussion. I'll bow out
now.

TCB

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>
>Thad,
>
>My post wasn't directly about reducing my carbon footprint, or global warming,
>but to how to reduce monthly expenditures.

>
>Chuck
>
>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>
>>Could be either.
>>
>>Your ideas are good ones, I do a fair number of them myself and I walk
to
>>work. Of course I'm a carbon emitter myself, so by walking I slightly increase
>>carbon output but my only car is a 1966 Ford Thunderbird that gets about
>>12 MPG so I'm to the good.
>>
>>That said, the military is a _spectacular_ waster of energy and burns staggering
>>amounts of some of the dirtiest fuels in the world. Minimizing that would
>>be a good idea.
>>
>>And then there's the T-Shirt I've always wanted to have made
>>
>>Reduce your Carbon Footprint
>>KILL YOURSELF!
>>
>>TCB
>>
>>"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>So is it because you are printing money in the basement, or because you
>>are
>>>a huge asshole?
>>>
>>>Chuck
>>>
>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>i figure i don't need to do shit cuz just one big military jet flight
>>will
>>>>use more fuel than i ever will in my whole lifetime. i think the real
>>way
>>>>to make a difference is by reducing government and stopping wars. this
>>>at
>>>>home piddly shit is meaningless to me.
>>>>
>>>>John
>>>
>>
>But over a longer timespan . . .

Also, if one killed oneself by throwing oneself into the ocean with concrete

tied to oneself, then either one would be eaten (net zero carbon) or one would sink (net negative). But that's a bit too much to fit on a t-shirt.

TCB

"Neil" <OIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>And then there's the T-Shirt I've always wanted to have made

>>

>>Reduce your Carbon Footprint

>>KILL YOURSELF!

>

>

>Actually that would increase your carbon footprint, since, as
>carbon-based lifeforms, we start decaying as soon as we die,
>thereby gradually sloughing off all our carbon back into the
>environment. And don't even get me started on if your family
>decides to have you cremated!

>

>lol"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>Holy shit indeed. Very nice. Love all the meter mangling.

>Do you pay Hot Rod to work that hard?

Yes, Rod's charging me, but his rates are pretty reasonable, IMO.

>You can just post your songs, you know, and say, "Check my new
>track out, and by the way, that's the amazing Rod Lincoln on
>the skins,"instead of "Here's the amazing Rod Lincoln, and oh
>by the way, that's my song and I'm playing everything else."
>Your songs are good, you should be proud.

Well, thank you, but a couple of things along that line:

First, I've been working on this batch of songs for some time now, and I've posted links to earlier versions, so the point of posting these is specifically to let people hear & enjoy the new drum parts. Further, I know this Proggy-type stuff is not exactly everyone's cup o' tea, so again, I'm just trying to give a fellow board-member some props, so that's why i'm mentioning the drums specifically - 'cause I think everyone can enjoy a well-played track in any genre. And, finally, it's never been about me, it's about the song & the arrangement - and occasionally when I've posted some stuff that I've produced for other people, it's been about the recording and/or the mix. Heck, I'd love to NOT have to do all the tracks myself... while I enjoy the process of composing all the parts & putting it all

together like that, I'd LOVE to have other people do - well, frankly, everything but the guitar parts. Around here, though, it's hard to find people that a.) have enthusiasm for this type of music, and b.) can actually play it. I know a couple of killer bass players, for example, but one of 'em is in like three bands, plus teaches music & also plays around the Southwest for a couple of those nostalgia touring acts (like The Platters & someone else, I forget who) who basically take their singers on the road & then piece together different bands for each regional circuit they play in, so he's got no time; and another guy who gave up all other types of music altogether & now just plays bass in his church band. Shame, IMO, because it's not like he was in some religiously-offensive death-metal band, he was a fusion guy, ferpetessakes! Keyboard players - I know of none around here that are into rock - there are actually a couple of really great jazzers that I know that I could probably persuade to do some stuff with me, but unless I want that whole "Jazz-Salsa" flavor to infiltrate my music, I can forget about that option. lol

>except I don't like synthesizers much, but that's just me
>bein' a throwback.

<cue raspberry sound effect aimed at Sarah> lol!

Neil"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote in message news:47a9b880\$1@linux...

>
> Don,
>
> Here's my experience. The last set I put in the basement lasted five
> years.
> When I bought them 5 years ago they were big money. Probably 10 times the
> cost of an incandescent.
>
> When I went to get the replacements a pack of 8 GE CFs was around \$12.00.
> I was shocked at how much they have come down in price.
>
> The 60 watt equivalent uses 13 watts.
>
> So anyway... My house has a basement apartment in it, and has a separate
> electric
> meter. We use the basement for our 'playroom'/tv room/office.
>
> Since switching to CF and LCD TV and Computer monitors the basements
> monthly
> electric bill comes in around \$15 a month. It used to run in the mid 30's.
>
>

> Granted this is not that big of a deal money wise, but I'm clearly seeing
> a directly measurable cut in consumption of over 50%.
>
> Chuck

Excellent! I wish my experience with them was more like yours in terms of reliability and savings but we are such big users of electricity in this house it's hard to say just how much we're saving.

>
>
>
>
>
>
> "Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:
>> Intersting note about CF bulbs
>>
>> No one has actually determined whether there is a real overall savings
>> with
>
>> these things as they cost more to make, ship, dispose of and buy. And if
> not
>> used in high traffic areas that need light on for long periods, do not
>> last
>
>> as long as claimed. I know this last bit as fact as I replaced every light
> in
>> our house that wasn't on a dimmer and several of these bulbs lasted barely
>
>> six months...others on the otherhand are on their second year. Good thing
>
>> they go on sale every once in a while otherwise I'd never use them again.
>>
>>
>> "Tony Benson" <tony@standinghampton.com> wrote in message
>> news:C3CE9F9F.16188%tony@standinghampton.com...
>>> The Mayan calendar stops on December 21st, 2012. They thought this was
> the
>>> date the world would end. It was a tongue-in-check reference, but it is
>>> interesting that you pointed out Kyoto expires in 2012. Coincidence? .
> .
>>> I
>>> THINK NOT! ;>)
>>>
>>> Seriously, I think it's great that you're "smallerizing" your carbon
>>> foot
>>> print. It's a good idea for everyone just in terms of pollution, no

>>> matter
>>> what side of the debate you're on. I have switched over many of our
>>> lights
>>> bulb to CF's and installed energy efficient windows, but there is much
>
>>> more
>>> I could do. My fear is that the average person will do little or nothing
>>> simply because it requires too much effort. I think it's true that
>>> individuals can be pretty smart when they need to be, but large groups
> are
>>> generally ignorant. As Ronnie James Dio so elegantly put it when singing
>>> with Black Sabbath, "The Mob Rules".
>>>
>>> I'll try to have a more positive outlook.
>>>
>>> Tony
>>>
>>>
>>> On 2/5/08 12:35 AM, in article 47a80709@linux, "Jamie K"
>>> <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>> Tony Benson wrote:
>>>>> So you're saying we're all pretty much f*cked then, huh Jamie.
>>>>
>>>> Me? No, I'm not saying that.
>>>>
>>>> We would have been better off not dragging our feet for so long, but
>>>> there's still time to make a difference, and people ARE acting on what
>>>> we know.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> We really are
>>>>> our own worst enemies. The problem now is we can't do much to stop it.
>>>>
>>>> It's important to realize that's not true.
>>>>
>>>> Doing nothing would be a very risky choice.
>>>>
>>>> Some warming has happened, some will still happen no matter what we do
>>>> because of how long greenhouse gases already added will stay in the
>>>> atmosphere.
>>>>
>>>> But a significant amount of warming can still be prevented. There's a
>>>> lot we can do, some of which we are already doing.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Short
>>>>> of deciding, as a species, to go back to living

>>>> "pre-industrialization"

>

>>>> life

>>>> styles. Wanna place any bets on that happening? ;>)

>>>>

>>>> I haven't seen that idea proposed in any serious mitigation plan. :^)

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> Seriously, I don't know if I believe everything the doomsayers are

>>>> preaching.

>>>>

>>>> Nor I. There are always exaggerations. But if you avoid some of the

>>>> more

>>>> hyperbolic special interest groups and stick closely to the science,

> the

>>>> more likely range of possible outcomes is worth paying attention to and

>>>> working to mitigate.

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> I also don't think we're doing the world any good though by the

>>>> amount of carbon we pump into the air.

>>>>

>>>> It might be good for some places where a warmer climate is at least a

>>>> superficial improvement, but bad overall for the possible shock of

>>>> rapid

>>>> ecosystem change, threats to coastlines and hard to predict outcomes

>>>> like the possible melting of permafrost (which would release methane,

>>>> another greenhouse gas), changing of ocean currents and other rolls of

>>>> the dice.

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> Hopefully, we still have enough time

>>>> to figure out a clean way to produce the energy we need to maintain

> our

>>>> current and growing rate of consumption. I just don't see us all

>>>> getting

>>>> around on horses, plowing our fields with oxen, and reading by

>>>> candlelight

>>>> anytime soon.

>>>>

>>>> I don't know that horses are all that great of a solution, although I

>>>> like horses. :^)

>>>>

>>>> But we have a lot of options. There are a lot of efficiency

>>>> improvements

>>>> we can make in building design, city planning and manufacturing;

>>>> logistical improvements to transportation; more efficient uses of

>>>> fossil

>>>> fuels; increased use of renewable energy; and faster adoption of more
>>>> efficient technologies.
>>>>
>>>> Here are a few different perspectives:
>>>> [http://carbonsequestration.us/Papers-presentations/htm/Pacal a-Socolow-ScienceM](http://carbonsequestration.us/Papers-presentations/htm/Pacal%20a-Socolow-ScienceMag-Aug2004.pdf)
>>>> [ag-Aug2004.pdf](http://carbonsequestration.us/Papers-presentations/htm/Pacal%20a-Socolow-ScienceMag-Aug2004.pdf)
>>>>
>>>> <http://www.ases.org/climatechange/>
>>>> <http://www.westernresourceadvocates.org/energy/clenergy.php>
>>>> <http://www.ipcc.ch/>
>>>>
>>>> I highly recommend this issue of Scientific American:
>>>> <http://www.sciam.com/sciammag/?contents=2006-09>
>>>>
>>>> Especially this article:
>>>> <http://www.sciam.com/article.cfm?id=a-plan-to-keep-carbon-in>
>>>>
>>>> Worth a trip to the library.
>>>>
>>>>> Maybe the Mayans's were right? Four years and counting is it? :>o
>>>>
>>>> What did the Mayan's say?
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Tony
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> On 2/4/08 11:33 PM, in article 47a7f891@linux, "Jamie K"
>>>>> <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> Neil wrote:
>>>>>>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> Hey Don, it's true that one of the expected byproducts of global
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> warming
>>>>>>>> is increased extreme weather events, including winter weather
>>>>>>>> events.
>>>>>>>> This is like saying that one of the byproducts of global
>>>>>>>> stupidity is that people will get more intelligent.
>>>>>>>> We can only hope! :^)
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> An increase in extreme weather events is predicted by climate
>>>>>>>> scientists

>>>>> as a consequence of the current climate change event.
>>>>>
>>>>> It may seem counter-intuitive until you really think about it.
>>>>>
>>>>> Warmer ocean water, more evaporation, more energy in weather systems
> to
>>>>> carry the moisture farther, this can bring more snow over the
>>>>> mountains.
>>>>>
>>>>> (And again, any single weather event can't be linked to the current
>>>>> climate change by itself. But over time we can measure patterns.)
>>>>>
>>>>> Another recent study shows the possibility for earlier rain and
>>>>> earlier
>>>>> snow pack melting leading to quicker snow pack degradation. So even
>>>>> though individual weather systems may dump a lot of moisture (hang
> in
>>>>> there, Deeji!), it may not stay around as long or melt as slowly.
>>>>> Which
>>>>> means we may be facing possible water shortages in some areas.
>>>>>
>>>>> <http://climate.weather.com/articles/watertrends020108.html>
>>>>>
>>>>> "They found that up to 60 percent of changes in river flow,
>>>>> temperature
>>>>> and snow pack between 1950 and 1999 can be attributed to human
>>>>> activities, such as driving, that release emissions including carbon
>>>>> dioxide and other greenhouse gases into the atmosphere."
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> Let's see, what else can we blame on opposite-cause events like
>>>>>> this?
>>>>>> Don't be confused by the term "global warming." It doesn't mean equal
>>>>>> heat everywhere on the globe, weather doesn't work like that.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> That's one reason to use the "climate change" label instead. It's
>>>>>> more
>>>>>> accurate and less confusing to people. Another is that as the surface
>>>>>> warms (on average), the mesosphere cools. Both from the same
>>>>>> mechanism,
>>>>>> the additional heat absorption and re-radiation from additional
>>>>>> greenhouse gases.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> It's interesting to read about this stuff.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Earth%27s_atmosphere
>>>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Global_warming
>>>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Greenhouse_effect

>>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Atmospheric_chemistry
>>>>> <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Meteorology>
>>>>>
>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> Neil
>>>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>"Kim" <hidensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message [news:47a92b2a\\$1@linux...](mailto:news:47a92b2a$1@linux...)
>
> "Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:
>>...but just to be sure let's pump billions of dollars into useless CO2
>>reductions just in case.
>
> As per Chuck's thread, it's important to realise that a massive number of
> the changes which will reduce climate change are beneficial regardless of
> climate change.
>
> Things like better house insulation and design, more efficient appliances,
> solar and wind power etc all have benefits on many levels whether climate
> change exists or not. Pretty much all of them cost less in the long term.
> They use less resources in the long term (and whether it's in our
> lifetimes
> or not we WILL run out of naturally occurring coal, crude oil etc
> eventually.
> In many cases it takes extra effort to begin with, but this effort does
> return
> in the long term. It seems odd to be passionately against such things.
>
> Not that you are, but I guess I can't see why everybody can't band
> together
> on things when we agree on the direction, even if we have different
> reasons
> for wanting to head that way.
>
> Cheers,
> Kim.

Please don't get me wrong Kim, I'm all for maximizing energy savings, reducing, reusing and recycling etc but that is not what Jamie and I have been discussing...I'm firmly on the side that says Human generated CO2

driven global warming is not the slam dunk the enviro-movement would have us believe. We've had good luck with CF bulbs, overall. We had a couple of early failures (within 2 years), and a couple that have lasted longer than their rated life and just won't die. The rest are still cruising along so we'll see how long they last. I think some brands are better than others.

Even the duds have lasted longer than an incandescent heat bulb (I hesitate to call incandescents "light bulbs" since they put out more far more heat than light).

Energy Star lists CFs as being about 75% more efficient and lasting 10 times longer than incandescents.

Quote: "If every home in America replaced just one incandescent light bulb with an ENERGY STAR qualified CFL, in one year it would save enough energy to light more than 3 million homes and prevent greenhouse gas emissions equivalent to those of more than 800,000 cars. '

They do make dimmable CFs, but I haven't tried those.

I'm keeping an eye on the progress of LED lighting for home use. It's getting brighter and coming down in price (still more expensive, though), and offers the potential to do color mixing.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

Don Nafe wrote:

> Intersting note about CF bulbs

>

> No one has actually determined whether there is a real overall savings with
> these things as they cost more to make, ship, dispose of and buy. And if not
> used in high traffic areas that need light on for long periods, do not last
> as long as claimed. I know this last bit as fact as I replaced every light in
> our house that wasn't on a dimmer and several of these bulbs lasted barely
> six months...others on the otherhand are on their second year. Good thing
> they go on sale every once in a while otherwise I'd never use them again.

>

>

> "Tony Benson" <tony@standinghampton.com> wrote in message

> news:C3CE9F9F.16188%tony@standinghampton.com...

>> The Mayan calendar stops on December 21st, 2012. They thought this was the
>> date the world would end. It was a tongue-in-check reference, but it is

>> interesting that you pointed out Kyoto expires in 2012. Coincidence? . . .

>> I

>> THINK NOT! ;>)

>>
>> Seriously, I think it's great that you're "smallerizing" your carbon foot
>> print. It's a good idea for everyone just in terms of pollution, no matter
>> what side of the debate you're on. I have switched over many of our lights
>> bulb to CF's and installed energy efficient windows, but there is much
>> more
>> I could do. My fear is that the average person will do little or nothing
>> simply because it requires too much effort. I think it's true that
>> individuals can be pretty smart when they need to be, but large groups are
>> generally ignorant. As Ronnie James Dio so elegantly put it when singing
>> with Black Sabbath, "The Mob Rules".
>>
>> I'll try to have a more positive outlook.
>>
>> Tony
>>
>>
>> On 2/5/08 12:35 AM, in article 47a80709@linux, "Jamie K"
>> <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Tony Benson wrote:
>>>> So you're saying we're all pretty much f*cked then, huh Jamie.
>>> Me? No, I'm not saying that.
>>>
>>> We would have been better off not dragging our feet for so long, but
>>> there's still time to make a difference, and people ARE acting on what
>>> we know.
>>>
>>>
>>>> We really are
>>>> our own worst enemies. The problem now is we can't do much to stop it.
>>> It's important to realize that's not true.
>>>
>>> Doing nothing would be a very risky choice.
>>>
>>> Some warming has happened, some will still happen no matter what we do
>>> because of how long greenhouse gases already added will stay in the
>>> atmosphere.
>>>
>>> But a significant amount of warming can still be prevented. There's a
>>> lot we can do, some of which we are already doing.
>>>
>>>
>>>> Short
>>>> of deciding, as a species, to go back to living "pre-industrialization"
>>>> life
>>>> styles. Wanna place any bets on that happening? ;>)
>>> I haven't seen that idea proposed in any serious mitigation plan. :^)

>>>
>>>
>>>> Seriously, I don't know if I believe everything the doomsayers are
>>>> preaching.
>>> Nor I. There are always exaggerations. But if you avoid some of the more
>>> hyperbolic special interest groups and stick closely to the science, the
>>> more likely range of possible outcomes is worth paying attention to and
>>> working to mitigate.
>>>
>>>
>>>> I also don't think we're doing the world any good though by the
>>>> amount of carbon we pump into the air.
>>> It might be good for some places where a warmer climate is at least a
>>> superficial improvement, but bad overall for the possible shock of rapid
>>> ecosystem change, threats to coastlines and hard to predict outcomes
>>> like the possible melting of permafrost (which would release methane,
>>> another greenhouse gas), changing of ocean currents and other rolls of
>>> the dice.
>>>
>>>
>>>> Hopefully, we still have enough time
>>>> to figure out a clean way to produce the energy we need to maintain our
>>>> current and growing rate of consumption. I just don't see us all getting
>>>> around on horses, plowing our fields with oxen, and reading by
>>>> candlelight
>>>> anytime soon.
>>> I don't know that horses are all that great of a solution, although I
>>> like horses. :^)
>>>
>>> But we have a lot of options. There are a lot of efficiency improvements
>>> we can make in building design, city planning and manufacturing;
>>> logistical improvements to transportation; more efficient uses of fossil
>>> fuels; increased use of renewable energy; and faster adoption of more
>>> efficient technologies.
>>>
>>> Here are a few different perspectives:
>>> [http://carbonsequestration.us/Papers-presentations/htm/Pacal a-Socolow-ScienceM](http://carbonsequestration.us/Papers-presentations/htm/Pacal-a-Socolow-ScienceMag-Aug2004.pdf)
>>> [ag-Aug2004.pdf](http://carbonsequestration.us/Papers-presentations/htm/Pacal-a-Socolow-ScienceMag-Aug2004.pdf)
>>>
>>> <http://www.ases.org/climatechange/>
>>> <http://www.westernresourceadvocates.org/energy/clenergy.php>
>>> <http://www.ipcc.ch/>
>>>
>>> I highly recommend this issue of Scientific American:
>>> <http://www.sciam.com/sciammag/?contents=2006-09>
>>>
>>> Especially this article:
>>> <http://www.sciam.com/article.cfm?id=a-plan-to-keep-carbon-in>

>>>
>>> Worth a trip to the library.
>>>
>>>> Maybe the Mayans's were right? Four years and counting is it? :>o
>>> What did the Mayan's say?
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> -Jamie
>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> Tony
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> On 2/4/08 11:33 PM, in article 47a7f891@linux, "Jamie K"
>>>> <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> Neil wrote:
>>>>>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> Hey Don, it's true that one of the expected byproducts of global
>>>>>>> warming
>>>>>>> is increased extreme weather events, including winter weather events.
>>>>>>> This is like saying that one of the byproducts of global
>>>>>>> stupidity is that people will get more intelligent.
>>>>>>> We can only hope! :^)
>>>>>
>>>>> An increase in extreme weather events is predicted by climate
>>>>> scientists
>>>>> as a consequence of the current climate change event.
>>>>>
>>>>> It may seem counter-intuitive until you really think about it.
>>>>>
>>>>> Warmer ocean water, more evaporation, more energy in weather systems to
>>>>> carry the moisture farther, this can bring more snow over the
>>>>> mountains.
>>>>>
>>>>> (And again, any single weather event can't be linked to the current
>>>>> climate change by itself. But over time we can measure patterns.)
>>>>>
>>>>> Another recent study shows the possibility for earlier rain and earlier
>>>>> snow pack melting leading to quicker snow pack degradation. So even
>>>>> though individual weather systems may dump a lot of moisture (hang in
>>>>> there, Deeji!), it may not stay around as long or melt as slowly. Which
>>>>> means we may be facing possible water shortages in some areas.
>>>>>
>>>>> <http://climate.weather.com/articles/watertrends020108.html>
>>>>>

>>>>> "They found that up to 60 percent of changes in river flow, temperature
>>>>> and snow pack between 1950 and 1999 can be attributed to human
>>>>> activities, such as driving, that release emissions including carbon
>>>>> dioxide and other greenhouse gases into the atmosphere."
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> Let's see, what else can we blame on opposite-cause events like
>>>>>> this?
>>>>> Don't be confused by the term "global warming." It doesn't mean equal
>>>>> heat everywhere on the globe, weather doesn't work like that.
>>>>>
>>>>> That's one reason to use the "climate change" label instead. It's more
>>>>> accurate and less confusing to people. Another is that as the surface
>>>>> warms (on average), the mesosphere cools. Both from the same mechanism,
>>>>> the additional heat absorption and re-radiation from additional
>>>>> greenhouse gases.
>>>>>
>>>>> It's interesting to read about this stuff.
>>>>>
>>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Earth%27s_atmosphere
>>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Global_warming
>>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Greenhouse_effect
>>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Atmospheric_chemistry
>>>>> <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Meteorology>
>>>>>
>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> Neil
>
>You forgot to factor in the energy cost of the concrete...

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

TCB wrote:

> But over a longer timespan . . .
>
> Also, if one killed oneself by throwing oneself into the ocean with concrete
> tied to oneself, then either one would be eaten (net zero carbon) or one
> would sink (net negative). But that's a bit too much to fit on a t-shirt.
>

>
> TCB
>
> "Neil" <OIU@OIU.com> wrote:
>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>> And then there's the T-Shirt I've always wanted to have made
>>>
>>> Reduce your Carbon Footprint
>>> KILL YOURSELF!
>>
>> Actually that would increase your carbon footprint, since, as
>> carbon-based lifeforms, we start decaying as soon as we die,
>> thereby gradually sloughing off all our carbon back into the
>> environment. And don't even get me started on if your family
>> decides to have you cremated!
>>
>> lol
>I don't have it up right now to see exactly what it was some kind of alert
that pops up about some bad cue that it just won't let you go any further.
If you click on "OK" it just pops right back up again.
In the past I could never figure it out, so I would hit ok and instantly
quit Paris before it would pop up again. Then create a new project and just
drop and drag all the files into it. What a pain that is!
I have posted my grief here a couple of times before and most of you have
seen this problem so many times that it becomes a broken record to you but
none of the suggestions I got really ever did the trick.
Here's what works every time now: I hit "A" to bring up list of audio files
and scroll down until I see some greyed out files that still have the green
bars to the left of them I am guessing means they are still active, and just
delete them. Man that felt good to com across this. It has plagued me since
I bout this first Paris system in Wisconsin.
Cheers!
SteveThis is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_00D5_01C868C0.A55F87D0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

I'll try that if and when it happens again.

Thanks Steve!!!

Tom

"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote in message =
news:47a9f23a\$1@linux...

I don't have it up right now to see exactly what it was some kind of =
alert
that pops up about some bad cue that it just won't let you go any =
further.
If you click on "OK" it just pops right back up again.
In the past I could never figure it out, so I would hit ok and =
instantly
quit Paris before it would pop up again. Then create a new project and =
just
drop and drag all the files into it. What a pain that is!
I have posted my grief here a couple of times before and most of you =
have
seen this problem so many times that it becomes a broken record to you =
but
none of the suggestions I got really ever did the trick.
Here's what works every time now: I hit "A" to bring up list of audio =
files
and scroll down until I see some greyed out files that still have the =
green
bars to the left of them I am guessing means they are still active, =
and just
delete them. Man that felt good to com across this. It has plagued me =
since
I bout this first Paris system in Wisconsin.
Cheers!
Steve

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?

<http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>

-----=_NextPart_000_00D5_01C868C0.A55F87D0

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

```
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2900.2180" name=3DGENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>I'll try that if and when&nbsp;it =
happens=20
again.</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Thanks Steve!!!</FONT></DIV>
```

<DIV> </DIV>
 <DIV>Tom</DIV>
 <DIV> </DIV>
 <BLOCKQUOTE=20
 style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
 BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
 <DIV>"Steve Cox" <<A=20
 href=3D"mailto:stevec1@charter.net">stevec1@charter.net> wrote =
 in message=20
 <A =
 href=3D"news:47a9f23a\$1@linux">news:47a9f23a\$1@linux...</DIV>
I =
 don't=20
 have it up right now to see exactly what it was some kind of =
 alert
that=20
 pops up about some bad cue that it just won't let you go any =
 further.
If=20
 you click on "OK" it just pops right back up again.
In the past I =
 could=20
 never figure it out, so I would hit ok and instantly
quit Paris =
 before it=20
 would pop up again. Then create a new project and just
drop and =
 drag all=20
 the files into it. What a pain that is!
I have posted my grief here =
 a=20
 couple of times before and most of you have
seen this problem so =
 many times=20
 that it becomes a broken record to you but
none of the suggestions =
 I got=20
 really ever did the trick.
Here's what works every time now: I hit =
 "A" to=20
 bring up list of audio files
and scroll down until I see some =
 greyed out=20
 files that still have the green
bars to the left of them I am =
 guessing=20
 means they are still active, and just
delete them. Man that felt =
 good to=20
 com across this. It has plagued me since
I bout this first Paris =
 system in=20
 Wisconsin.
Cheers!
Steve</BLOCKQUOTE>
 <DIV>

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, =
 and=20
 you?
<A=20
 href=3D"http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html">http://www.polesoft.com/refer=
 ..html </DIV></BODY ></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_00D5_01C868C0.A55F87D0--Yes, and since concrete gives off heat as it
 cures/hardens....
 well, just more global warming.

Neil

Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>
>You forgot to factor in the energy cost of the concrete...

>
>Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com

>
>
>
>
>TCB wrote:

>> But over a longer timespan . . .

>>
>> Also, if one killed oneself by throwing oneself into the ocean with concrete
>> tied to oneself, then either one would be eaten (net zero carbon) or one
>> would sink (net negative). But that's a bit too much to fit on a t-shirt.

>>
>>
>> TCB

>>
>> "Neil" <OIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>>> And then there's the T-Shirt I've always wanted to have made

>>>>

>>>> Reduce your Carbon Footprint

>>>> KILL YOURSELF!

>>>>

>>>> Actually that would increase your carbon footprint, since, as
>>> carbon-based lifeforms, we start decaying as soon as we die,
>>> thereby gradually sloughing off all our carbon back into the
>>> environment. And don't even get me started on if your family
>>> decides to have you cremated!

>>>>

>>>> lol

>>>> Your welcome!

"Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote:

>

>

>I'll try that if and when it happens again.

>

>Thanks Steve!!!

>

>Tom

>
> "Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote in message =
>news:47a9f23a\$1@linux...
>
> I don't have it up right now to see exactly what it was some kind of =
>alert
> that pops up about some bad cue that it just won't let you go any =
>further.
> If you click on "OK" it just pops right back up again.
> In the past I could never figure it out, so I would hit ok and =
>instantly
> quit Paris before it would pop up again. Then create a new project and
=

>just
> drop and drag all the files into it. What a pain that is!
> I have posted my grief here a couple of times before and most of you =
>have
> seen this problem so many times that it becomes a broken record to you
=

>but
> none of the suggestions I got really ever did the trick.
> Here's what works every time now: I hit "A" to bring up list of audio
=

>files
> and scroll down until I see some greyed out files that still have the
=

>green
> bars to the left of them I am guessing means they are still active, =
>and just
> delete them. Man that felt good to com across this. It has plagued me
=

>since
> I bout this first Paris system in Wisconsin.
> Cheers!
> Steve
>
>
>I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?
><http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>
>

><!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
><HTML><HEAD>
><META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
>charset=3Diso-8859-1">
><META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2900.2180" name=3DGENERATOR>
><STYLE></STYLE>
></HEAD>
><BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>

>happens=20
>again.</DIV>

><DIV>Thanks Steve!!!</DIV>

><DIV>Tom</DIV>

><BLOCKQUOTE=20

>style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">

> <DIV>"Steve Cox" <<A=20

> href=3D"mailto:stevec1@charter.net">stevec1@charter.net> wrote =
>in message=20

> <A =

>href=3D"news:47a9f23a\$1@linux">news:47a9f23a\$1@linux...</DIV>
I =
>don't=20

> have it up right now to see exactly what it was some kind of =

>alert
that=20

> pops up about some bad cue that it just won't let you go any =

>further.
If=20

> you click on "OK" it just pops right back up again.
In the past I =

>could=20

> never figure it out, so I would hit ok and instantly
quit Paris =

>before it=20

> would pop up again. Then create a new project and just
drop and =

>drag all=20

> the files into it. What a pain that is!
I have posted my grief here

=

>a=20

> couple of times before and most of you have
seen this problem so =

>many times=20

> that it becomes a broken record to you but
none of the suggestions

=

>I got=20

> really ever did the trick.
Here's what works every time now: I hit

=

>"A" to=20

> bring up list of audio files
and scroll down until I see some =

>greyed out=20

> files that still have the green
bars to the left of them I am =

>guessing=20

> means they are still active, and just
delete them. Man that felt =

>good to=20

> com across this. It has plagued me since
I bout this first Paris =

>system in=20

> Wisconsin.
Cheers!
Steve</BLOCKQUOTE>

><DIV>

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, =

>and=20
>you?
<A=20
>href=3D"http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html">http://www.polesoft.com/refer=

>
>Hi All,

I know that there are some keyboard players in here. Does anyone have any recommendations for some books/materials with a good practice regimen. I do all the standard stuff, scales arpeggios, etc. I don't have a huge amount of time to practice, and I'm looking for the most effective exercises to increase speed, agility and accuracy.

Thanks
Chuckthanks Steve let's hope it works next time around

"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote in message news:47a9fecb\$1@linux...

>
> Your welcome!
>
> "Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>I'll try that if and when it happens again.
>>
>>Thanks Steve!!!
>>
>>Tom
>>
>> "Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote in message =
>>news:47a9f23a\$1@linux...
>>
>> I don't have it up right now to see exactly what it was some kind of =
>>alert
>> that pops up about some bad cue that it just won't let you go any =
>>further.
>> If you click on "OK" it just pops right back up again.
>> In the past I could never figure it out, so I would hit ok and =
>>instantly
>> quit Paris before it would pop up again. Then create a new project and
> =
>>just
>> drop and drag all the files into it. What a pain that is!
>> I have posted my grief here a couple of times before and most of you =
>>have
>> seen this problem so many times that it becomes a broken record to you
> =

```

>>but
>> none of the suggestions I got really ever did the trick.
>> Here's what works every time now: I hit "A" to bring up list of audio
> =
>>files
>> and scroll down until I see some greyed out files that still have the
> =
>>green
>> bars to the left of them I am guessing means they are still active, =
>>and just
>> delete them. Man that felt good to com across this. It has plagued me
> =
>>since
>> I bout this first Paris system in Wisconsin.
>> Cheers!
>> Steve
>>
>>
>>I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?
>>http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html
>>
>><!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
>><HTML><HEAD>
>><META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html"; =
>>charset=3Diso-8859-1">
>><META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2900.2180" name=3DGENERATOR>
>><STYLE></STYLE>
>></HEAD>
>><BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>I'll try that if and when it =
>>happens=20
>>again.</FONT></DIV>
>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Thanks Steve!!!</FONT></DIV>
>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Tom</FONT></DIV>
>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>><BLOCKQUOTE=20
>>style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
>> <DIV>"Steve Cox" <<A=20
>> href=3D"mailto:stevec1@charter.net">stevec1@charter.net</A>> wrote =
>>in message=20
>> <A =
>>href=3D"news:47a9f23a$1@linux">news:47a9f23a$1@linux</A>...</DIV><BR>I =
>>don't=20
>> have it up right now to see exactly what it was some kind of =
>>alert<BR>that=20

```

>> pops up about some bad cue that it just won't let you go any =
>>further.
If=20
>> you click on "OK" it just pops right back up again.
In the past I =
>>could=20
>> never figure it out, so I would hit ok and instantly
quit Paris =
>>before it=20
>> would pop up again. Then create a new project and just
drop and =
>>drag all=20
>> the files into it. What a pain that is!
I have posted my grief here
> =
>>a=20
>> couple of times before and most of you have
seen this problem so =
>>many times=20
>> that it becomes a broken record to you but
none of the suggestions
> =
>>I got=20
>> really ever did the trick.
Here's what works every time now: I hit
> =
>>"A" to=20
>> bring up list of audio files
and scroll down until I see some =
>>greyed out=20
>> files that still have the green
bars to the left of them I am =
>>guessing=20
>> means they are still active, and just
delete them. Man that felt =
>>good to=20
>> com across this. It has plagued me since
I bout this first Paris =
>>system in=20
>> Wisconsin.
Cheers!
Steve</BLOCKQUOTE>
>><DIV>

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, =
>>and=20
>>you?
<A=20
>>href=3D"http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html">http://www.polesoft.com/refer=
>>.html </DIV></BODY></HTML>
>>
>>
>It has been decades since my formal piano training, but the exercises
in Hanon come to mind as working on speed, agility, and accuracy.

- Paul Artola
Ellicott City, Maryland

On 7 Feb 2008 05:50:36 +1000, "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>
>Hi All,
>
>I know that there are some keyboard players in here. Does anyone have any
>recommendations for some books/materials with a good practive regimen. I

>do all the standard stuff, scales arpeggios, etc. I don't have a huge amount
>of time to practice, and I'm looking for the most effective exercises to
>increase speed, agility and accuracy.
>
>Thanks
>Chuck <http://www.amazon.com/Virtuoso-Pianist-60-Exercises-Technique/dp/0793525446>

- Paul

On Wed, 06 Feb 2008 14:00:45 -0500, Paul Artola <artola@comcast.net>
wrote:

>It has been decades since my formal piano training, but the exercises
>in Hanon come to mind as working on speed, agility, and accuracy.

>
>- Paul Artola
> Ellicott City, Maryland
>

>On 7 Feb 2008 05:50:36 +1000, "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>
>>
>>Hi All,
>>
>>I know that there are some keyboard players in here. Does anyone have any
>>recommendations for some books/materials with a good practice regimen. I
>>do all the standard stuff, scales arpeggios, etc. I don't have a huge amount
>>of time to practice, and I'm looking for the most effective exercises to
>>increase speed, agility and accuracy.
>>
>>Thanks
>>ChuckChuck,

While this isn't an exercise, one thing I have both noticed in my own experience,
as well as hearing of scientific support for, is the idea of not practicing
for an hour at a time, but instead doing several shorter periods as a general
approach. The mind has a very limited attention span at maximum focus, and
practicing while bored and/or annoyed with the process is not that beneficial.

Generally when I practice I will just sit down and play as I feel like it.
Ten minutes later I'll get up and do something else. Practice becomes like
a cigarette break. If I'm doing housework, every half an hour I might stop
and play one or two songs. If I'm inspired I'll keep going but if not I get
up and go back to whatever else I was doing.

I don't remember the exact numbers, but I believe it was something like three
ten minute practice sessions are measurably more effective than a single
one hour session.

Knowing this might help you manage your limited time better. Not only can you put less time in, but it's a lot easier for most people to find five or ten minutes a couple of times a day to quickly jump on an instrument than it is to find a solid hour. Playing in the ad breaks while watching TV, for example, you can probably approach the same benefit from an hour of TV watching as you would from an hour of practice.

You do need to put in longer sessions at points, if only to improve playing stamina, but things like scales and arpeggios only take about 15 seconds to do. You can do quite a few of them in just a couple of minutes. Plus, if you do, say, two five minute sessions in the morning, and then another four or so five minute sessions through the day or at night, the brain actually prioritizes it better because it gets the idea that "Gee, I seem to be doing this all the time!". Your brain gets the idea that it needs to have those skills ready because they are constantly needed.

Cheers,
Kim.

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>
>Hi All,
>
>I know that there are some keyboard players in here. Does anyone have any
>recommendations for some books/materials with a good practice regimen. I
>do all the standard stuff, scales arpeggios, etc. I don't have a huge amount
>of time to practice, and I'm looking for the most effective exercises to
>increase speed, agility and accuracy.
>
>Thanks
>ChuckI find this to be true as well, but mostly for maintaining a certain level
of facility. To make a big jump, I think the only option is out there in
the woodshed.

Sadly, I haven't had time for that in years. I pretty much play at that level where one can't play any worse. Luckily for me I put the time in when I was younger so that's not all _that_ bad.

When I was at ECMM last week I was looking at a Charlie Christian book thinking, 'I actually used to be able to PLAY this stuff.' No more . . .

TCB

"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>
>Chuck,
>

>While this isn't an exercise, one thing I have both noticed in my own experience,
>as well as hearing of scientific support for, is the idea of not practicing
>for an hour at a time, but instead doing several shorter periods as a general
>approach. The mind has a very limited attention span at maximum focus, and
>practicing while bored and/or annoyed with the process is not that beneficial.
>
>Generally when I practice I will just sit down and play as I feel like it.
>Ten minutes later I'll get up and do something else. Practice becomes like
>a cigarette break. If I'm doing housework, every half an hour I might stop
>and play one or two songs. If I'm inspired I'll keep going but if not I
get
>up and go back to whatever else I was doing.
>
>I don't remember the exact numbers, but I believe it was something like
three
>ten minute practice sessions are measurably more affective than a single
>one hour session.
>
>Knowing this might help you manage your limited time better. Not only can
>you put less time in, but it's a lot easier for most people to find five
>or ten minutes a couple of times a day to quickly jump on an instrument
than
>it is to find a solid hour. Playing in the ad breaks while watching TV,
for
>example, you can probably approach the same benefit from an hour of TV watching
>as you would from an hour of practice.
>
>You do need to put in longer sessions at points, if only to improve playing
>stamina, but things like scales and arpeggios only take about 15 seconds
>to do. You can do quite a few of them in just a couple of minutes. Plus,
>if you do, say, two five minute sessions in the morning, and then another
>four or so five minute sessions through the day or at night, the brain actually
>prioritizes it better because it gets the idea that "Gee, I seem to be doing
>this all the time!". Your brain gets the idea that it needs to have those
>skills ready because they are constantly needed.
>
>Cheers,
>Kim.
>
>"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>
>>Hi All,
>>
>>I know that there are some keyboard players in here. Does anyone have any
>>recommendations for some books/materials with a good practice regimen.
I
>>do all the standard stuff, scales arpeggios, etc. I don't have a huge amount
>>of time to practice, and I'm looking for the most effective exercises to

>>increase speed, agility and accuracy.

>>

>>Thanks

>>Chuck

>Hey folks,

I've got a couple of YouTube videos as well (go figure...).

Saerch YouTube for "Probable Cause Eruption" and you'll get a couple of links. One's me performing the VH solo piece and another is us performing American Band by Grand Funk RR.

Let me know what you think!

Mark

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>Very cool Tony. :) Gave me chills even through camera mics. You're the

>drummer, right? I always watch the drummer, being a drummer wannabe myself.

>

>Thanks for sharing it.

>

>S

>

>

>"Tony Benson" <tony@standinghampton.com> wrote in message

>news:C3CD4E38.15BEE%tony@standinghampton.com...

>> Here's a link to my little central Iowa band of weekend warriors playing

>> Foreplay/Longtime by Boston. This is from a 2005 show that we opened for

>> the

>> Little River Band (who are absolutely phenomenal by the way). We set up
a

>> bunch of home video cameras and our guitar player (who's day job is at

>> video

>> production company) edited the shots together. The audio is straight from

>> the camera mics. Also, being the opening band, we only had like 10

>> channels

>> on the mixer, so no tom mics on my drums. Kick, snare and two overheads.

>> If

>> you like it, there are 8 other videos posted from that show also. Sorry

>> for

>> the shameless self promotion. I just posted these last week, and will
do

>> anything (almost) to get the view numbers up. ;>)
>>
>> <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5vQm8Oqg94M>
>>
>> Thanks,
>>
>> Tony
>>
>
>Yea, good on you Steve, that was a bad error.

"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote in message news:47a9f23a\$1@linux...

>
> I don't have it up right now to see exactly what it was some kind of alert
> that pops up about some bad cue that it just won't let you go any further.
> If you click on "OK" it just pops right back up again.
> In the past I could never figure it out, so I would hit ok and instantly
> quit Paris before it would pop up again. Then create a new project and
> just
> drop and drag all the files into it. What a pain that is!
> I have posted my grief here a couple of times before and most of you have
> seen this problem so many times that it becomes a broken record to you but
> none of the suggestions I got really ever did the trick.
> Here's what works every time now: I hit "A" to bring up list of audio
> files
> and scroll down until I see some greyed out files that still have the
> green
> bars to the left of them I am guessing means they are still active, and
> just
> delete them. Man that felt good to com across this. It has plagued me
> since
> I bout this first Paris system in Wisconsin.
> Cheers!
> SteveI purchased a 5 pack of the Sunbeam bulbs, 4 out of five burned out with in
a few months.

There was a news story a while back about a lady in NY that dropped one on
a hard wood floor in her child's room. She called Home Depot to ask how
to clean up the liquid from the bulb, they informed her that she had to call
the EPA. The EPA told her she had to contact one of the companies on there
HazMat list, because the bulbs have mercury in them. The clean up cost \$2500.00.
So my question is why did our government let these bulbs come on the market
if they are such a health risk? Just think of what these bulbs will cost
all of us in bad health and expense when they end up in land fills and contaminate
ground water.

Just think, everybody is buying them.

Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>

>We've had good luck with CF bulbs, overall. We had a couple of early
>failures (within 2 years), and a couple that have lasted longer than
>their rated life and just won't die. The rest are still cruising along
>so we'll see how long they last. I think some brands are better than others.

>

>Even the duds have lasted longer than an incandescent heat bulb (I
>hesitate to call incandescents "light bulbs" since they put out more far

>more heat than light).

>

>Energy Star lists CFs as being about 75% more efficient and lasting 10
>times longer than incandescents.

>

>Quote: "If every home in America replaced just one incandescent light
>bulb with an ENERGY STAR qualified CFL, in one year it would save enough

>energy to light more than 3 million homes and prevent greenhouse gas
>emissions equivalent to those of more than 800,000 cars. '

>

>They do make dimmable CFs, but I haven't tried those.

>

>I'm keeping an eye on the progress of LED lighting for home use. It's
>getting brighter and coming down in price (still more expensive,
>though), and offers the potential to do color mixing.

>

>Cheers,

> -Jamie

> www.JamieKrutz.com

>

>

>Don Nafe wrote:

>> Intersting note about CF bulbs

>>

>> No one has actually determined whether there is a real overall savings
with

>> these things as they cost more to make, ship, dispose of and buy. And
if not

>> used in high traffic areas that need light on for long periods, do not
last

>> as long as claimed.I know this last bit as fact as I replaced every light
in

>> our house that wasn't on a dimmer and several of these bulbs lasted barely

>> six months...others on the otherhand are on their second year. Good thing

>> they go on sale every once in a while otherwise I'd never use them again.
>>
>>
>> "Tony Benson" <tony@standinghampton.com> wrote in message
>> news:C3CE9F9F.16188%tony@standinghampton.com...
>>> The Mayan calendar stops on December 21st, 2012. They thought this was
the
>>> date the world would end. It was a tongue-in-check reference, but it
is
>>> interesting that you pointed out Kyoto expires in 2012. Coincidence?
...
>>> I
>>> THINK NOT! ;>)
>>>
>>> Seriously, I think it's great that you're "smallerizing" your carbon
foot
>>> print. It's a good idea for everyone just in terms of pollution, no matter
>>> what side of the debate you're on. I have switched over many of our lights
>>> bulb to CF's and installed energy efficient windows, but there is much

>>> more
>>> I could do. My fear is that the average person will do little or nothing
>>> simply because it requires too much effort. I think it's true that
>>> individuals can be pretty smart when they need to be, but large groups
are
>>> generally ignorant. As Ronnie James Dio so elegantly put it when singing
>>> with Black Sabbath, "The Mob Rules".
>>>
>>> I'll try to have a more positive outlook.
>>>
>>> Tony
>>>
>>>
>>> On 2/5/08 12:35 AM,

Subject: Re: OT PA speakers
Posted by [EK Sound](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2007 16:39:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

in article 47a80709@linux, "Jamie K"
>>> <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>> Tony Benson wrote:
>>>>> So you're saying we're all pretty much f*cked then, huh Jamie.
>>>>> Me? No, I'm not saying that.
>>>>
>>>> We would have been better off not dragging our feet for so long, but

>>>> there's still time to make a difference, and people ARE acting on what
>>>> we know.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> We really are
>>>>> our own worst enemies. The problem now is we can't do much to stop
it.
>>>> It's important to realize that's not true.
>>>>
>>>> Doing nothing would be a very risky choice.
>>>>
>>>> Some warming has happened, some will still happen no matter what we
do
>>>> because of how long greenhouse gases already added will stay in the
>>>> atmosphere.
>>>>
>>>> But a significant amount of warming can still be prevented. There's
a
>>>> lot we can do, some of which we are already doing.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Short
>>>>> of deciding, as a species, to go back to living "pre-industrialization"

>>>>> life
>

Subject: Re: OT PA speakers
Posted by [EK Sound](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2007 16:48:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

trends020108.html" target="_blank">http://climate.weather.com/articles/watertrends020108.html
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "They found that up to 60 percent of changes in river flow, temperature
>>>>>> and snow pack between 1950 and 1999 can be attributed to human
>>>>>> activities, such as driving, that release emissions including carbon
>>>>>> dioxide and other greenhouse gases into the atmosphere."
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Let's see, what else can we blame on opposite-cause events like
>>>>>>> this?
>>>>>>> Don't be confused by the term "global warming." It doesn't mean equal
>>>>>>> heat everywhere on the globe, weather doesn't work like that.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> That's one reason to use the "climate change" label instead. It's
more
>>>>>>> accurate and less confusing to people. Another is that as the surface

>>>>> warms (on average), the mesosphere cools. Both from the same mechanism,
>>>>> the additional heat absorption and re-radiation from additional
>>>>> greenhouse gases.
>>>>>&

Subject: Re: OT PA speakers

Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2007 17:19:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
>> same URL - will take you to the article or interview (December 23rd I
>> believe)
>
> So your only support for the validity of this group is the web site from
> the same group? That's not enough.
>
> Looking over their news page it appears to be a long list of cherry picked
> examples, scapegoating and hyperbole while ignoring most of the evidence.
>
> You should widen your horizons.
>
>
>> And lastly comparing the unsafe drinking water in a continent to buying a
>> puppy a popsicle is a sad and disturbing commentary on the
>> enviro-movement....
>
> What? No it's not. That's just my weird sense of humor.
>
> Just trying to point out to you that you set up a false choice there, and
> it almost doesn't matter what the second choice is, your false choice is
> merely a rhetorical diversion.
>
> Now you're being further diverted by the puppies. What did the puppies
> ever do to you!!!??? ;^)
>
>
>> in fact they have been accused of using Kyoto etc as a means of keeping
>> the underdeveloped countries underdeveloped.
>
> Yeah, that was in that ridiculously slanted "Swindle" video. Totally
> specious. If you buy that, you'll buy anything.
>
>
>> Trust me...follow the money, I think you'll be surprised.
>
> If you truly want to follow the money Don, you can't ignore the fossil
> fuel lobby's interest in this issue. Because that's where, by far, the

> most money is. Including some with your NRSP guys.
>
> As with most issues there are a few scammers on all sides, some at the
> fringes, and some front and center. I denounce them all, how about that!
> It's looking like your guys are probably in that group, too.
>
> But what matters is the scientific evidence. Most of which you appear to
> be simply ignoring, apparently because a denier web site says "trust us."
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
> And then there's the T-Shirt I've always wanted to have made
>
> Reduce your Carbon Footprint
> KILL YOURSELF!

Actually that would increase your carbon footprint, since, as carbon-based lifeforms, we start decaying as soon as we die, thereby gradually sloughing off all our carbon back into the environment. And don't even get me started on if your family decides to have you cremated!

lolJamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>
> > You're just baiting now, Don. :^)
> Don Nafe wrote:
> > yes...my apologies, couldn't resist
>
> But dang, then I'll answer you and then Neil will get all bent out of
> shape about it. See how you are! :^)

Nope, it's too late for me to make a difference... you've managed to hijack the entire board once again, save for two posts... you've won.

NeilThad,

My post wasn't directly about reducing my carbon footprint, or global warming, but to how to reduce monthly expenditures.

Chuck

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>
> Could be either.

>
>Your ideas are good ones, I do a fair number of them myself and I walk to
>work. Of course I'm a carbon emitter myself, so by walking I slightly increase
>carbon output but my only car is a 1966 Ford Thunderbird that gets about
>12 MPG so I'm to the good.
>
>That said, the military is a _spectacular_ waster of energy and burns staggering
>amounts of some of the dirtiest fuels in the world. Minimizing that would
>be a good idea.
>
>And then there's the T-Shirt I've always wanted to have made
>
>Reduce your Carbon Footprint
>KILL YOURSELF!
>
>TCB
>
>"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>
>>So is it because you are printing money in the basement, or because you
>are
>>a huge asshole?
>>
>>Chuck
>>
>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>i figure i don't need to do shit cuz just one big military jet flight
will
>>>use more fuel than i ever will in my whole lifetime. i think the real
>way
>>>to make a difference is by reducing government and stopping wars. this
>>at
>>>home piddly shit is meaningless to me.
>>>
>>>John
>>
>Don Nafe wrote:
> Jamie within the various articles are links to several studies, reports and
> research papers, within the interviews are comments made with backing
> evidence
>
> To date I have read every link you have posted and even quoted your sources.

Lessee, how so? You said one report on water effects was BS but you didn't ever say why you came to that conclusion. You said another group was wrong about your denier web site's bias but the only evidence you presented was from that very denial web site. Hard to be convinced by that.

> So far you have done nothing but insist my sources are shills for big oil
> and refuse to even read a single article or listen to an interview. That
> simple fact speaks volumes about you and the enviro-movement.

Did I miss something? That's not true on several levels.

You might have noticed that I looked at your sources. For what turned out to be your denial site, I read the overview and a couple of other pages, and commented on them.

As mentioned, I looked into the background of your denial site which turned out to have real connections to the fossil fuels industry. Your ironclad logic is that they say they're OK, and anyway they don't take much oil money any more. What a relief.

I asked for a specific link for the interview you wanted me to listen to from your denial site (is that too much to ask?). Still waiting on that. I'm not planning to listen to all the interviews from January just to guess which one you meant, sorry, Don.

And I'm halfway through evaluating the other thing, the letter to the UN, which I'll post in general when I'm done. But I do have a few other tasks to do today, ya know.

And since when did I become a spokesman for the "enviro-movement"? I'm just a guy who pays attention to the science behind the issue. I know it's geeky but I'm into climate science.

I happen to think it's ridiculous when people don't pay attention to the science, no matter what agenda they are pushing.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

> I'm done
>
> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:47a8e781\$1@linux...
>> Don Nafe wrote:
>>> Actually they were initially funded by the University of Alberta and much
>>> their funding came from the oil industry so they were indirectly funded
>>> by the oil industry
>>> <http://www.nrsp.com/news.html> - sorry, don't remember which interview but
>>> it's there...late summer early fall 07 I believe (either with Lowell
>>> Green or CBC or both)

>> > Same URL - many of their articles and interviews get very specific
>>> same URL - will take you to the article or interview (December 23rd I
>>> believe)
>> So your only support for the validity of this group is the web site from
>> the same group? That's not enough.
>>
>> Looking over their news page it appears to be a long list of cherry picked
>> examples, scapegoating and hyperbole while ignoring most of the evidence.
>>
>> You should widen your horizons.
>>
>>
>>> And lastly comparing the unsafe drinking water in a continent to buying a
>&g

Subject: Re: OT PA speakers
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2007 17:22:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;> puppy a popsicle is a sad and disturbing commentary on the
>>> enviro-movement....
>> What? No it's not. That's just my weird sense of humor.
>>
>> Just trying to point out to you that you set up a false choice there, and
>> it almost doesn't matter what the second choice is, your false choice is
>> merely a rhetorical diversion.
>>
>> Now you're being further diverted by the puppies. What did the puppies
>> ever do to you!!!??? ;^)
>>
>>
>>> in fact they have been accused of using Kyoto etc as a means of keeping
>>> the underdeveloped countries underdeveloped.
>> Yeah, that was in that ridiculously slanted "Swindle" video. Totally
>> specious. If you buy that, you'll buy anything.
>>
>>
>>> Trust me...follow the money, I think you'll be surprised.
>> If you truly want to follow the money Don, you can't ignore the fossil
>> fuel lobby's interest in this issue. Because that's where, by far, the
>> most money is. Including some with your NRSP guys.
>>
>> As with most issues there are a few scammers on all sides, some at the
>> fringes, and some front and center. I denounce them all, how about that!
>> It's looking like your guys are probably in that group, too.
>>
>> But what matters is the scientific evidence. Most of which you appear to

>> be simply ignoring, apparently because a denier web site says "trust us."
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>
>Exactly my first thought too. Maybe we've seen too many episodes of "24"
and "Die Hard".

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:47a8eeba\$1@linux...
>

Subject: Re: OT PA speakers
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2007 17:24:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>
> My thoughts exactly
> rod
> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>Sheesh! I hope he comes back! :oS
>>
>>Last I heard there were a lot of rumours that people who make successful
>>alternate energy sources go mysteriously missing. :oS There were a lot of
>>other rumours that the reason that there are only rumours of this is that
>>everybody who knows anything also goes missing.
>>
>>Probably just another conspiracy theory, and I'm sure he'll be fine, but
>>I can't see why somebody who presumably sells crude oil particularly needs
>>to talk to a dude who converts for biofuels. Just seems odd.
>>
>>Am I missing something?
>>
>>Cheers,
>>Kim.
>>
>>"Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>>A friend of mine just called. This guy modifies vehicles for offroad use
>>and
>>>for running on biofuels (he has a Humvee that runs of use fry oil from
> one
>>
>>>of his wife partners' restaurants here).
>>>

>>>http://www

Subject: Re: OT PA speakers

Posted by [scott h](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2007 17:25:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

w.avalancheengineering.com/

>>>

>>>He's flying, all expenses paid to the UAE to have tea on Thursday with
> some

>>

>>>shiekh who saw his website.

>>>

>>>I wanna' go cause it's warm.

>>>

>>>

>>

>You looked at my sources...jee thanks...I read yours.

TTYL

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:47a8fabb\$1@linux...

> Don Nafe wrote:

>> Jamie within the various articles are links to several studies, reports

>> and research papers, within the interviews are comments made with backing

>> evidience

>>

>> To date I have read every link you have posted and even quoted your

>> sources.

>

> Lessee, how so? You said one report on water effects was BS but you didn't

> ever say why you ca

Subject: Re: OT PA speakers

Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2007 18:24:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;

>> Quote: "If every home in America replaced just one incandescent light

>> bulb with an ENERGY STAR qualified CFL, in one year it would save enough

>

>> energy to light more than 3 million homes and prevent greenhouse gas

>> emissions equivalent to those of more than 800,000 cars. '

>>

>> They do make dimmable CFs, but I haven't tried those.

>>
>> I'm keeping an eye on the progress of LED lighting for home use. It's
>> getting brighter and coming down in price (still more expensive,
>> though), and offers the potential to do color mixing.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>
>>
>> Don Nafe wrote:
>>> Intersting note about CF bulbs
>>>
>>> No one has actually determined whether there is a real overall savings
> with
>>> these things as they cost more to make, ship, dispose of and buy. And
> if not
>>> used in high traffic areas that need light on for long periods, do not
> last
>>> as long as claimed.I know this last bit as fact as I replaced every light
> in
>>> our house that wasn't on a dimmer and several of these bulbs lasted barely
>
>>> six months...others on the otherhand are on their second year. Good thing
>
>>> they go on sale every once in a while otherwise I'd never use them again.
>>>
>>>
>>> "Tony Benson" <tony@standinghampton.com> wrote in message
>>> news:C3CE9F9F.16188%tony@standinghampton.com...
>>>> The Mayan calendar stops on December 21st, 2012. They thought this was
> the
>>>> date the world would end. It was a tongue-in-check reference, but it
> is
>>>> interesting that you pointed out Kyoto expires in 2012. Coincidence?
> . . .
>>>> I
>>>> THINK NOT! ;>)
>>>>
>>>> Seriously, I think it's great that you're "smallerizing" you

Subject: Re: OT PA speakers
Posted by [EK Sound](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2007 18:33:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

r carbon
> foot

>>>> print. It's a good idea for everyone just in terms of pollution, no matter
>>>> what side of the debate you're on. I have switched over many of our lights
>>>> bulb to CF's and installed energy efficient windows, but there is much
>
>>>> more
>>>> I could do. My fear is that the average person will do little or nothing
>>>> simply because it requires too much effort. I think it's true that
>>>> individuals can be pretty smart when they need to be, but large groups
> are
>>>> generally ignorant. As Ronnie James Dio so elegantly put it when singing
>>>> with Black Sabbath, "The Mob Rules".
>>>>
>>>> I'll try to have a more positive outlook.
>>>>
>>>> Tony
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> On 2/5/08 12:35 AM, in article 47a80709@linux, "Jamie K"
>>>> <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> Tony Benson wrote:
>>>>>> So you're saying we're all pretty much f*cked then, huh Jamie.
>>>>>> Me? No, I'm not saying that.
>>>>>
>>>>>> We would have been better off not dragging our feet for so long, but
>>>>>> there's still time to make a difference, and people ARE acting on what
>>>>>> we know.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> We really are
>>>>>>> our own worst enemies. The problem now is we can't do much to stop
> it.
>>>>>>> It's important to realize that's not true.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Doing nothing would be a very risky choice.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Some warming has happened, some will still happen no matter what we
> do
>>>>>>> because of how long greenhouse gases already added will stay in the
>>>>>>> atmosphere.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> But a significant amount of warming can still be prevented. There's
> a
>>>>>>> lot we can do, some of which we are already doing.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Short
>>>>>>>> of deciding, as a species, to go back to living "pre-industrialization"

>
>>>>> life
>>>>> styles. Wanna place any bets on that happening? ;>)
>>>>> I haven't seen that idea proposed in any serious mitigation plan. :^)
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Seriously, I don't know if I believe everything the doomsayers are
>>>>>

Subject: Re: OT PA speakers
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2007 19:49:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;>>> preaching.
>>>>> Nor I. There are always exaggerations. But if you avoid some of the
> more
>>>>> hyperbolic special interest groups and stick closely to the science,
> the
>>>>> more likely range of possible outcomes is worth paying attention to
> and
>>>>> working to mitigate.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> I also don't think we're doing the world any good though by the
>>>>>> amount of carbon we pump into the air.
>>>>> It might be good for some places where a warmer climate is at least
> a
>>>>> superficial improvement, but bad overall for the possible shock of rapid
>>>>> ecosystem change, threats to coastlines and hard to predict outcomes
>>>>> like the possible melting of permafrost (which would release methane,
>>>>> another greenhouse gas), changing of ocean currents and other rolls
> of
>>>>> the dice.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> Hopefully, we still have enough time
>>>>>> to figure out a clean way to produce the energy we need to maintain
> our
>>>>>> current and growing rate of consumption. I just don't see us all getting
>>>>>> around on horses, plowing our fields with oxen, and reading by
>>>>>> candlelight
>>>>>> anytime soon.
>>>>> I don't know that horses are all that great of a solution, although
> I
>>>>> like horses. :^)
>>>>>
>>>>> But we have a lot of options. There are a lot of efficiency improvements

>>>> we can make in building design, city planning and manufacturing;
>>>> logistical improvements to transportation; more efficient uses of fossil
>>>> fuels; increased use of renewable energy; and faster adoption of more
>>>> efficient technologies.

>>>>

>>>> Here are a

Subject: Re: OT PA speakers

Posted by [Bill L](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2007 21:45:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>> failures (within 2 years), and a couple that have lasted longer than

>>> their rated life and just won't die. The rest are still cruising along

>>> so we'll see how long they last. I think some brands are better than others.

>>>

>>> Even the duds have lasted longer than an incandescent heat bulb (I
>>> hesitate to call incandescents "light bulbs" since they put out more
far

>>

>>> more heat than light).

>>>

>>> Energy Star lists CFs as being about 75% more efficient and lasting 10

>>> times longer than incandescents.

>>>

>>> Quote: "If every home in America replaced just one incandescent light

>>> bulb with an ENERGY STAR qualified CFL, in one year it would save enough

>>

>>> energy to light more than 3 million homes and prevent greenhouse gas

>>> emissions equivalent to those of more than 800,000 cars. '

>>>

>>> They do make dimmable CFs, but I haven't tried those.

>>>

>>> I'm keeping an eye on the progress of LED lighting for home use. It's

>>> getting brighter and coming down in price (still more expensive,

>>> though), and offers the potential to do color mixing.

>>>

>>> Cheers,

>>> -Jamie

>>> www.JamieKrutz.com

>>>

>>>

>

Subject: Re: OT PA speakers

Posted by [Bill L](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2007 21:48:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;>> Don Nafe wrote:

>>>> Intersting note about CF bulbs

>>>>

>>>> No one has actually determined whether there is a real overall savings

>> with

>>>> these things as they cost more to make, ship, dispose of and buy. And

>> if not

>>>> used in high traffic areas that need light on for long periods, do not

>> last

>>>> as long as claimed. I know this last bit as fact as I replaced every
light

>> in

>>>> our house that wasn't on a dimmer and several of these bulbs lasted
barely

>>

>>>> six months...others on the otherhand are on their second year. Good
thing

>>

>>>> they go on sale every once in a while otherwise I'd never use them again.

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> "Tony Benson" <tony@standinghampton.com> wrote in message

>>>> news:C3CE9F9F.16188%tony@standinghampton.com...

>>>>> The Mayan calendar stops on December 21st, 2012. They thought this
was

>> the

>>>>> date the world would end. It was a tongue-in-check reference, but it

>> is

>>>>> interesting that you pointed out Kyoto expires in 2012. Coincidence?

>> . . .

>>>>> I

>>>>> THINK NOT! ;>)

>>>>>

>>>>> Seriously, I think it's great that you're "smallerizing" your carbon

>> foot

>>>>> print. It's a good idea for everyone just in terms of pollution, no
matter

>>>>> what side of the debate you're on. I have switched over many of our
lights

>>>>> bulb

Subject: Re: OT PA speakers
Posted by [EK Sound](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2007 21:58:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

to CF's and installed energy efficient windows, but there is much
>>
>>>> more
>>>> I could do. My fear is that the average person will do little or nothing
>>>> simply because it requires too much effort. I think it's true that
>>>> individuals can be pretty smart when they need to be, but large groups
>> are
>>>> generally ignorant. As Ronnie James Dio so elegantly put it when singing
>>>> with Black Sabbath, "The Mob Rules".
>>>>
>>>> I'll try to have a more positive outlook.
>>>>
>>>> Tony
>>>>
>>>>

Subject: Re: OT PA speakers
Posted by [Tom Bruhl](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2007 04:12:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ke where I would slide off e# to e or g# to
g. I had to switch to moving rapidly between two flats (a# to b#) instead
of sliding from black key to white with a single finger. Totally unnatural
for me, but after a week or so I got to where I could play the tune well
in a country/bluesy style in f#. That got me thinking - why shouldn't I be
able to play it in any key. And that got me to where I am now :-)

Chuck

"steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote:

>
>chuck-
>
>You may or may not be interested in his music, but I find learning monk
tunes
>is very useful. Same with charlie parker tunes.
>
>Useful as in "learning to negotiate chord progressions in different keys"
>sort of thing.
>
>I should spend more time doing such things myself.
>
>-steve

>
>
>"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>
>>Thanks for the input guys. I'm definitely down with what kim was saying.
>I
>>found that many smaller sessions get me better much faster. I hope that
>someday
>>soon I can find some time to take it to the woodshed too...
>>
>>Chuck
>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>I find this to be true as well, but mostly for maintaining a certain level
>>>of facility. To make a big jump, I think the only option is out there
in
>>>the woodshed.
>>>
>>>Sadly, I haven't had time for that in years. I pretty much play at that
>>level
>>>where one can't play any worse. Luckily for me I put the time in when
I
>>was
>>>younger so that's not all _that_ bad.
>>>
>>>When I was at ECMM last week I was looking at a Charlie Christian book
>thinking,
>>>'I actually used to be able to PLAY this stuff.' No more . . .
>>>
>>>TCB
>>>
>>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Chuck,
>>>>
>>>>While this isn't an exercise, one thing I have both noticed in my own
>experience,
>>>>as well as hearing of scientific support for, is the idea of not practicing
>>>>for an hour at a time, but instead doing several shorter periods as a
>general
>>>>approach. The mind has a very limited attention span at maximum focus,
>>and
>>>>practicing while bored and/or annoyed with the process is not that beneficial.
>>>>
>>>>Generally when I practice I will just sit down and play as I feel like
>>it.
>>>>Ten minutes later I'll get up and do something else. Practice becomes

>like
>>>>a cigarette break. If I'm doing housework, every half an hour I might
>stop
>>>>and play one or two songs. If I'm inspired I'll keep going but if not
>I
>>>get
>>>>up and go back to whatever else I was doing.
>>>>
>>>>I don't remember the exact numbers, but I believe it was something like
>>>three
>>>>ten minute practice sessions are measurably more affective than a single
>>>>one hour session.
>>>>
>>>>Knowing this might help you manage your limited time better. Not only
>can
>>>>you put less time in, but it's a lot easier for most people to find five
>>>>or ten minutes a couple of times a day to quickly jump on an instrument
>>>than
>>>>it is to find a solid hour. Playing in the ad breaks while watching TV,
>>>for
>>>>example, you can probably approach the same benefit from an hour of TV
>>watching
>>>>as you would from an hour of practice.
>>>>
>>>>You do need to put in longer sessions at points, if only to improve playing
>>>>stamina, but things like scales and arpeggios only take about 15 seconds
>>>>to do. You can do quite a few of them in just a couple of minutes. Plus,
>>>>if you do, say, two five minute sessions in the morning, and then another
>>>>four or so five minute sessions through the day or at night, the brain
>>actually
>>>>prioritizes it better because it gets the idea that "Gee, I seem to be
>>doing
>>>>this all the time!". Your brain gets the idea that it needs to have those
>>>>skills ready because they are constantly needed.
>>>>
>>>>Cheers,
>>>>Kim.
>>>>
>>>>"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Hi All,
>>>>>
>>>>>I know that there are some keyboard players in here. Does anyone have
>>any
>>>>>recommendations for some books/materials with a good practice regimen.
>>>>>
>>>>>do all the standard stuff, scales arpeggios, etc. I don't have a huge
>>amount

```
>>>>>of time to practice, and I'm looking for the most effective exercises
>>to
>>>>>increase speed, agility and accuracy.
>>>>>
>>>>>Thanks
>>>>>Chuck
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>SWEET!. His concert here @ the Palace of Auburn Hills was very nice as well..Great
songwriter
```

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>
>I'm playing in the house band at a Sam's Club convention this week. Today
>Babyface was the featured concert talent.
>Wow! he is incredible...what a talent. He played accoustic and sang with
>a very small band...kind of a quasi unplugged thing.
>Rod"erlilo" <erling.lovik@lyse.net> wrote in message
news:d4jlq3d1jld6gqm04243tgnp6pqpim6inv@4ax.com...
> Hmmmm.....hey you two, we need some assholes too, someone to blame for
> something we should have done and couldn't, because of some other
> assholes...hmmmmm;-)
>
> erlilo
>

Raises hand

;o)Haven't heard of it.

Tried to get to the link but got a 'page not found' error.

I think the main thing is to run whatever test you are going to run for a really long time. I know my system reports really low latency, and works great 99.9% of the time, but every once in a while there's a glitch and it's byby take.

Chuck

"Ed" <askme@email.com> wrote:

```
>  
>Hey folks... anyone familiar with this program?  
>  
>http://www.thesycon.de/deu/latency\_check.shtml
```

>
>I recently purchased the Alesis io14 (firewire) that I am gonna try. I
need
>a cheap something, but with at least 4 inputs. I tried to research, but
>so few reviews... But the firmware/driver updates recommend this proggie...
> Anyone?
>Ed,

you might be right, but playing a taylor is like 'butter', which might lead
people to spend some extra \$\$\$

Chuck

"Ed" <askme@email.com> wrote:

>
>lol. That was good! Honestly though... I have heard and played some very
>expensive Martins, Gibsons, and what-nots... but give me a group of musicians...
>all of them blind fold... setup a open-mic and play the acoustic... I'll
>betcha by far most would pick the Ovation for quality, tone and acoustical
>sound. But I am sure the fiberglass couldn't handle the space debris...
> And just as important... you can find a nice one used under a grand...
no,
>not the grand piano... that is a grand in one thousand.

>
>Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>>
>>Hey Ed, Ovations actually CAN travel through interplanetary space. I
>>know this because of the Boston album cover where their secret was first
>
>>revealed.
>>
>>However, once you get to Mars, the acoustics are very strange due to the
>
>>thin atmosphere. So these days I only fly my Ovation as far as the
>>corner store.
>>
>>While my Ovation sounds decent enough, my acoustic Variax sounds better
>
>>and is more aurally flexible. However the Variax doesn't do space
>>flight, so the Ovation comes out ahead overall. :^)
>>
>>Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>
>>
>>Ed wrote:
>>> *GULP* OMC Aura, by Martin? \$2750.00!!!! You people must be rich or
>something.

>>> If I were to spend that kind of dough for an acoustic, I'd expect it
>to
>>> fly me to Mars, land so I can discover, and fly me back! Otherwise,
I'll
>>> stick with my EJ-160 or Ovation.
>>>
>>> That said... it is very hard getting a great sound from any acoustic
directly
>>> into a mixer or preamp. So many different acoustics I tried. So many
>different
>>> settings and arrangements... it always sounds like a junkie electric
to
>me...
>>> It's nice to have the built in pickup/preamps for quick open mics, or
>what-nots...
>>> but I don't look for that anymore. I stick with mic'n the acoustic (like
>>> we use to do -- old school) and control the sound from the preamp/mixer.
>>>
>>> Having said that! I recently acquired America's latest DvD... it was
>a concert
>>> in Australia, I think. WoW! they sound almost as good as back in the
>70's!
>>> Anyway, I was at the edge of my rocker (yeah, I am that old.. lol.)
with
>>> the remote. They are STILL playing Ovations! To me, there is nothing
>better.
>>> Just my 2 cents...
>>>
>>> ~ Ed
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "Brendan" <bmcgreev007@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>> I had the Taylor 414ce Ltd, which I loved however unless you're
>>>>

Subject: Re: OT PA speakers
Posted by [Tom Bruhl](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2007 06:20:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

it better because it gets the idea that "Gee, I seem to be
>>>doing
>>>>>this all the time!". You brain gets the idea that it needs to have those
>>>>>skills ready because they are constantly needed.
>>>>>
>>>>>Cheers,
>>>>>Kim.

>>
>>http://www.thesycon.de/deu/latency_check.shtml
>>
>>I recently purchased the Alesis io14 (firewire) that I am gonna try. I
> need
>>a cheap something, but with at least 4 inputs. I tried to research, but
>>so few reviews... But the firmware/driver updates recommend this
>>proggie...
>> Anyone?
>>
>I've had much better luck with them. When I bought this house over 2 years
ago I replaced almost every bulb in the place (no dimmers) with CF's and
I've yet to lose one of them. Some are in night time lighting that runs 8-12
hours a day, every day.
I guess YMMV?

AA

"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote in message news:47a9a6b2@linux...
> Intersting note about CF bulbs
>
> No one has actually determined whether there is a real overall savings
> with these things as they cost more to make, ship, dispose of and buy. And
> if not used in high traffic areas tha

Subject: Re: OT PA speakers
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2007 07:05:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ing of scientific support for, is the idea of not practicing
>>>>>for an hour at a time, but instead doing several shorter periods as a
>>general
>>>>>approach. The mind has a very limited attention span at maximum focus,
>>>>and
>>>>>practicing while bored and/or annoyed with the process is not that beneficial.
>>>>>
>>>>>Generally when I practice I will just sit down and play as I feel like
>>>it.
>>>>>Ten minutes later I'll get up and do something else. Practice becomes
>>like
>>>>>a cigarette break. If I'm doing housework, every half an hour I might
>>stop
>>>>>and play one or two songs. If I'm inspired I'll keep going but if not
>>I
>>>>>get
>>>>>up and go back to whatever else I was doing.

>>>>>
>>>>>I don't remember the exact numbers, but I believe it was something like
>>>>>three
>>>>>ten minute practice sessions are measurably more affective than a single
>>>>>one hour session.
>>>>>
>>>>>Knowing this might help you manage your limited time better. Not only
>>can
>>>>>you put less time in, but it's a lot easier for most people to find five
>>>>>or ten minutes a couple of times a day to quickly jump on an instrument
>>>>>than
>>>>>it is to find a solid hour. Playing in the ad breaks while watching TV,
>>>>>for
>>>>>example, you can probably approach the same benefit from an hour of TV
>>>watching
>>>>>as you would from an hour of practice.
>>>>>
>>>>>You do need to put in longer sessions at points, if only to improve playing
>>>>>stamina, but things like scales and arpeggios only take about 15 seconds
>&

Subject: Re: OT PA speakers
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2007 07:11:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;>>>>to do. You can do quite a few of them in just a couple of minutes. Plus,
>>>>>if you do, say, two five minute sessions in the morning, and then another
>>>>>four or so five minute sessions through the day or at night, the brain
>>>actually
>>>>>prioritizes

Subject: Re: OT PA speakers
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2007 07:42:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t need light on for long periods, do
> not last as long as claimed.I know this last bit as fact as I replaced
> every light in our house that wasn't on a dimmer and several of these
> bulbs lasted barely six months...others on the otherhand are on their
> second year. Good thing they go on sale every once in a while otherwise
> I'd never use them again.
>
>
> "Tony Benson" <tony@standinghampton.com> wrote in message
> news:C3CE9F9F.16188%tony@standinghampton.com...

>> The Mayan calendar stops on December 21st, 2012. They thought this was
>> the
>> date the world would end. It was a tongue-in-check reference, but it is
>> interesting that you pointed out Kyoto expires in 2012. Coincidence? . .
>> . I
>> THINK NOT! ;>)
>>
>> Seriously, I think it's great that you're "smallerizing" your carbon foot
>> print. It's a good idea for everyone just in terms of pollution, no
>> matter
>> what side of the debate you're on. I have switched over many of our
>> lights
>> bulb to CF's and installed energy efficient windows, but there is much
>> more
>> I could do. My fear is that the average person will do little or nothing
>> simply because it requires too much effort. I think it's true that
>> individuals can be pretty smart when they need to be, but large groups
>> are
>> generally ignorant. As Ronnie James Dio so elegantly put it when singing
>> with Black Sabbath, "The Mob Rules".
>>
>> I'll try to have a more positive outlook.
>>
>> Tony
>>
>>
>> On 2/5/08 12:35 AM, in article 47a80709@linux, "Jamie K"
>> <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Tony Benson wrote:
>>>> So you're saying we're all pretty much f*cked then, huh Jamie.
>>>
>>> Me? No, I'm not saying that.
>>>
>>> We would have been better off not dragging our feet for so long, but
>>> there's still time to make a difference, and people ARE acting on what
>>> we know.
>>>
>>>
>>>> We really are
>>>> our own worst enemies. The problem now is we can't do much to stop it.
>>>
>>> It's important to realize that's not true.
>>>
>>> Doing nothing would be a very risky choice.
>>>
>>> Some warming has happened, some will still happen no matter what we do
>>> because of how long greenhouse gases already added will stay in the

>>> atmosphere.
>>>
>>> But a significant amount of warming can still be prevented. There's a
>>> lot we can do, some of which we are already doing.
>>>
>>>
>>>> Short
>>>> of deciding, as a species, to go back to living "pre-industrialization"
>>>> life
>>>> styles. Wanna place any bets on that happening? ;>)
>>>
>>> I haven't seen that idea proposed in any serious mitigation plan. :^)
>>>
>>>
>>>> Seriously, I d

Subject: Re: OT PA speakers

Posted by [IOUOI](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2007 01:28:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t; they go on sale every once in a while otherwise I'd never use them
>>>> again.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Tony Benson" <tony@standinghampton.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:C3CE9F9F.16188%tony@standinghampton.com...
>>>>> The Mayan calendar stops on December 21st, 2012. They thought this was
>> the
>>>>> date the world would end. It was a tongue-in-check reference, but it
>> is
>>>>> interesting that you pointed out Kyoto expires in 2012. Coincidence?
>> . . .
>>>>> I
>>>>> THINK NOT! ;>)
>>>>>
>>>>> Seriously, I think it's great that you're "smallerizing" your carbon
>> foot
>>>>> print. It's a good idea for everyone just in terms of pollution, no
>>>>> matter
>>>>> what side of the debate you're on. I have switched over many of our
>>>>> lights
>>>>> bulb to CF's and installed energy efficient windows, but there is much
>>
>>>>> more
>>>>> I could do. My fear is that the average person will do little or
>>>>> nothing
>>>>> simply because it requires too much effort. I think it's true that

>>>>> individuals can be pretty smart when they need to be, but large groups
>> are
>>>>> generally ignorant. As Ronnie James Dio so elegantly put it when
>>>>> singing
>>>>> with Black Sabbath, "The Mob Rules".
>>>>>
>>>>> I'll try to have a more positive outlook.
>>>>>
>>>>> Tony
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> On 2/5/08 12:35 AM, in article 47a80709@linux, "Jamie K"
>>>>> <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> Tony Benson wrote:
>>>>>>> So you're saying we're all pretty much f*cked then, huh Jamie.
>>>>>>> Me? No, I'm not saying that.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> We would have been better off not dragging our feet for so long, but
>>>>>>> there's still time to make a difference, and people ARE acting on
>>>>>>> what
>>>>>>> we know.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> We really are
>>>>>>>> our own worst enemies. The problem now is we can't do much to stop
>> it.
>>>>>>> It's important to realize that's not true.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Doing nothing would be a very risky choice.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Some warming has happened, some will still happen no matter what we
>> do
>>>>>>> because of how long greenhouse gases already added will stay in the
>>>>>>> atmosphere.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> But a significant amount of warming can still be prevented. There's
>> a
>>>>>>> lot we can do, some of which we are already doing.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Short
>>>>>>>> of deciding, as a species, to go back to living
>>>>>>>> "pre-industrialization"
>>
>>>>>>>> life
>>>>>>>> styles. Wanna place any bets on that happening? ;>)
>>>>>>>> I haven't seen that idea proposed in any serious mitigation plan. :^)

>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> Seriously, I don't know if I believe everything the doomsayers are
>>>>>> preaching.
>>>>>> Nor I. There are always exaggerations. But if you avoid some of the
>> more
>>&

Subject: Re: OT PA speakers
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2007 00:47:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;>>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Greenhouse_effect
>>>>>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Atmospheric_chemistry
>>>>>>>> <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Meteorology>
>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Neil
>>>>>

>>>

>

>Trade shows seem to be losing too much ground to the net. Just quicker and cheaper to reach customers that way than setting up a booth for mainly other companies to walk by.

My guess anyway...

On 2/7/08 9:18 PM, in article 47abca08\$1@linux, "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
> http://www.appleinsider.com/articles/08/02/07/apple_pulls_out_of_2008_nab_conference.html
> erence.html
>
> http://translate.google.com/translate?u=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.appleinsider.com%2Farticles%2F08%2F02%2F07%2Fapple_pulls_out_of_2008_nab_conference.html

Subject: Re: OT PA speakers
Posted by [audioguy_editout](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2007 06:17:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

rapidly between two flats (a# to b#) instead
 >>>of sliding from black key to white with a single finger. Totally unnatural
 >>>for me, but after a week or so I got to where I could play the tune well
 >>>in a country/bluesy style in f#. That got me thinking - why shouldn't
 I
 >be
 >>>able to play it in any key. And that got me to where I am now :-)
 >>>
 >>>Chuck
 >>>
 >>>"steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote:
 >>>>
 >>>>chuck-
 >>>>
 >>>>You may or may not be interested in his music, but I find learning monk
 >>>tunes
 >>>>is very useful. Same with charlie parker tunes.
 >>>>
 >>>>Useful as in "learning to negotiate chord progressions in different keys"
 >>>>sort of thing.
 >>>>
 >>>>I should spend more time doing such things myself.
 >>>>
 >>>>-steve
 >>>>
 >>>>
 >>>>"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
 >>>>>
 >>>>>Thanks for the input guys. I'm definitely down with what kim was saying.
 >>>>>I
 >>>>>found that many smaller sessions get me better much faster. I hope that
 >>>>>someday
 >>>>>soon I can find some time to take it to the woodshed too...
 >>>>>
 >>>>>Chuck
 >>>>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
 >>>>>>
 >>>>>>I find this to be true as well, but mostly for maintaining a certain
 >level
 >>>>>>of facility. To make a big jump, I think the only option is out there
 >>>in
 >>>>>>the woodshed.
 >>>>>>
 >>>>>>Sadly, I haven't had time for that in years. I pretty much play at
 that
 >>>>>>level
 >>>>>>where one can't play any worse. Luckily for me I put the time in when
 >>>>>>I

>>>>>was

>>>>>>younger so that's not all _that_ bad.

>>>>>>

>>>>>>When I was at ECMM last week I was looking at a Charlie Christian book
