
Subject: OT: iPhone now hacking platform
Posted by [AA](#) on Tue, 09 Oct 2007 16:22:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>

>>Gantt

>Not surprising. Even if presented with all the facts, a large percent of the population are not actually bright enough to judge who is better able to lead anyway, so looks is what they use.

That's precisely why democracy does not work.

A better system is a meritocracy, in which the person's past proven production record determines on a clear analytical level who should be promoted up the ladder. This would apply throughout the government, from the bottom to the top.

Any job can be measured statistically if you know what the valuable final product of the job is. Some jobs, like in sales or sports are obvious. When I do marketing, my performance is measured by the number of leads I generate (among other things). A band's performance can be measured by the amount of applause they get - standing O makes double points - that kind of thing. Teachers can be measured by test scores (that's why the tests keep getting easier).

Even personal betterment can be measured. In Scientology, when people receive counseling, they take 3 tests after every 12.5 hours of counseling: the Oxford Capacity Analysis (a personality test), an IQ test and an aptitude test. If their scores are not getting better there is something wrong and gets corrected so they do get better, smarter and more able.

Anything worthwhile has a product that can be measured.

So, with a system that measures actual valuable production instead of looks, personality, promises, race, sex, etc, the most able, who are most valuable to society are promoted up the ladder, and the less able can be corrected, retrained, encouraged, etc. Everybody wins.

Had we a system like that, Bush would never have been elected, nor Clinton, nor just about any of the failures we have endured of late.

Meritocracy works in sports, in business. Why not use it in government.

steve the artguy wrote:

> Puts this whole discussion in a somewhat meta-light:

>

> going with yer gut predicts actual election results

>
> <http://www.sciam.com/article.cfm?chanID=sa003&articleID=CE4CD4FE-E7F2-99DF-350A53757475700D&ref=rss>
>
> -steve
>
>
> "DC" <dc@spammersintheovaloffice.com> wrote:
>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>> Two things, Don. First, non of this explains why the Clintons IN PARTICULAR
>>> generate the visceral hatred they do. Nobody seems to hate Russ Feingold
>>> or Barney Frank PERSONALLY. I explain the PERSONAL hatred of the Clintons
>>> the way I do for those reasons.
>> Neither had the power of the Clintons. Put Barney Frank in the
>> White House and watch what happens...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> As far as being a lying, cheating, showboating asshole, well he's a politician.
>>> That's what they are, that's what they do.
>> Not true. Not the case with Gerald Ford, nor Jimmy Carter,
>> in just recent memory.
>>
>>
>>
>>> If in a single generation we have a handful of actual decent people
>>> in national politics we're lucky, and those handful are almost universally
>>> demonized. For example, I think Ron Paul is tells us what he believes and
>>> would act on it. Jimmy Carter was the same way. I think Pat Buchanan is
>> another,
>>> and so is Ralph Nader.
>> Nader is a jerk and a self-promoter, but we agree on the point.
>>
>> The problem with Ron Paul is not that he wouldn't tell the
>> truth, but as with Carter, would his version of truth be wise?
>>
>>
>>> Second, and a point of order, OBL might have drawn some conclusions from
>>> Somalia but he specifically said that it was Lebanon where he turned on
>> the
>>> USA.
>> There is a direct quote from him basing his view about the USA
>> specifically on Somalia. Lebenon was certainly an issue as
>> well, but exactly how does that excuse Bill??
>>
>>
>>> Remember (or more likely don't, since it's problematic for your binary

>>> world) that OBL was a happy recipient of US military and logistical support
>>> when we were bankrolling the muj against the Soviets.
>>
>> Well, this might be difficult for your postmodern delusions to
>> coexist with, but all of it adds up, and no one gets a pass....
>>
>> The hate for Reagan, from the left, was pretty virulent if you
>> remember.
>>
>>
>>> Was
>>> he, and the Democrats, unique in such cowardice? Not by a long shot.
>> Unique is not the issue, nor will it matter at all to history.
>> I am glad you agree about Clinton though.
>>
>> Now, the hard part. Who to elect?
>>
>> hmmm...
>>
>> We always say that "big business" is the problem, or the
>> religious right, or the secular left, or the Soros crowd, but
>> really, suppose the country has simply gotten too big
>> to govern from a central point? Suppose the damn office of
>> the president is simply a rockstar contest with the winner
>> getting to hang with Bono? Suppose there is no one to
>> save us? then what?
>>
>> DC
>Yeah. What up?

DJ wrote:

> How are you doing man?
>
>Hi Ted,

I'm not sure I understand what you're saying. When I use Autotune in Paris
I render the bit of the track that I want to tune and then open it in the
wave editor where I tune it. I almost never use Autotune as a real time
plugin. Is that more or less how Melodyne works?

Thanks!

Gantt

"Ted Gerber" <tedgerber@rogers.com> wrote:

>
>Same here, Melodyne is quite good. The only thing that's different than

>Autotune etc is that it records the file to be corrected, and plays _that_
>file back
>as opposed to being real time. Which means if you make any edit changes
on
>
>the track later, you have to re-process it in Melodyne plug-in, otherwise
>you won't hear them.
>
>Ted
>
>
>"Ab" <ab.vangoor@wanadoo.fr> wrote:
>>
>>Yes, you can set Pitch'nTime as the default plug-in instead of Logic's
>>pitch an timing algorithms. Afaik, it's P'nT LE only (licensed on an iLok
>>dongle).
>>I don't know about the waves plug-in, but I suppose it's possible.
>>For serious pitch an timing corrections I highly recommend Melodyne Plug-in,
>>or better still the full version.
>>
>>Regards,
>>Ab
>>
>>"Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>Does Logic allow pitch and time changing using plugins like Pitch'n'Tlme
>>and
>>>the Waves Transform bundle's Shifter?
>>>
>>>Thanks!
>>>
>>>Gantt
>>
>yeah, inside edition ain't talking.

On Thu, 25 Oct 2007 08:43:13 -0400, Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com>
wrote:

>Yeah. What up?
>
>DJ wrote:
>> How are you doing man?
>>
>>Well, the surgery went well... the
