

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbnrc7epcbejL3OY5y1 QoStWpSthK29hJS

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

bee5StUnOMUmtzMTExWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpa WlpaWlpaWlpaWlpa
//8AWlpaWlpaWlpa//8AWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpa//8AWlpaWlpaWlpa//8A WlpaWlpa//8AWlpa
WlpaWlpa//8AWlpaWlpaWlpa//8AWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpa //8AWlpaWlpaWlpa
Wlpa//8AWlpaWlpa//8AWlpaWlpaWlpa//8AWlpaWlpa//8AWlpaWlpa//8A

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

pa Wlpa//8AWlpaWlpa
Wlpa//8AWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpa//8AWlpaWlpaWlpa//8AWlpaWlpa//8A WlpaWlpaWlpa//8A
WlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpa//8AWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpa//8A//8A//8A//8AWlpaWlpa
Wlpa//8AWlpaWlpaWlpa//8AWlpaWlpa//8AWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpa WlpaWlpaY2t7xsbG
5+/3///5+/3xu/3tdbntdbnAAAAd7vxu/3xu/3tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbnrc7erc7epcbepcbepcbepcbrc7erc7erc7etdbntdbn tdbntdbnrc7etdbn
hLXOWpy9MYy1QoSte63OpbbeAAAAzs7Ozs7Ovb29ra2tnJyclJSUjlyMjlyM AAAAAAAjlyMjlyM
jlyMjlyMhISehISEe3t7e3t7e3t7AAAA5+/3////////vd7vrc7ee5StUnOM UmtzMTExWlpaW

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[illegible]

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Fri, 16 Dec 2005 16:31:16 GMT

Subject: Re: So now I'm building my new native DAW.....

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[illegible]

Wlpa//8AWlpaWlpaWlpa//8AWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpa Wlpa//8AWlpaWlpa
WlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaY2t7 xsbG5+/3///5+/3
xu/3tdbntdbnAAAAd7vxu/3xu/3tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbnAAD/ tdbntdbntdbntdbn
tdbnrc7erc7epcbepcbepcbepcbperc7erc7erc7etdbntdbntdbntdbnrc7e tdbnhLXOWpy9MYy1
QoSte63OpcbeAAAAzs7Ozs7Ovb29ra2tnJyclJSUjlyMAAAAAAAAAAAAAAajlyM jlyMjlyMjlyMhISE
hISEe3t7e3t7e3t7AAAA5+/3////////vd7vrc7ee5StUnOMUmtzMTExWlpa WlpaWlpaWlpaWlpa
WlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpa Wlpa//8AWlpaWlpa
WlpaWlpa//8A//8A//8A//8AWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpa//8A WlpaWlpaWlpaWlpa
WlpaWlpaWlpa//8AWlpaWlpa//8A//8AWlpaWlpa//8AWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpa //8AWlpaWlpaWlpa
WlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaY2t7xsbG5+/3///5+/3xu/3tdbntdbntdbn AAD/tdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbnrc7erc7epcbepcbepcbepcbperc7e rc7erc7etdbntdbn
tdbntdbnrc7etdbnhLXOWpy9MYy1QoSte63OpcbeAAAAzs7Ozs7Ovb29ra2t nJyclJSUjlyMjlyM
jlyMAAAajlyMjlyMjlyMjlyMhISEhISEe3t7e3t7e3t7AAAA5+/3//////// vd7vrc7ee5StUnOM
UmtzMTExWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpa
WlpaWlpaWlpaWlpa
WlpaWlpaWlpaWlpa//8AWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpa//8A WlpaWlpaWlpaWlpa
//8AWlpaWlpaWlpa//8AWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpa //8AWlpaWlpaWlpa
WlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaY2t7xsbG 5+/3///5+/3xu/3
tdbntdbnAAAAd7vxu/3xu/3tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbnAAD/tdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn hLXOWpStIXOIQoSt
e63OIL3WISEhxsbgzs7OAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAajlyMjlyMjlyMjlyMjlyM jlyMjlyMjlyMhISE
hISEe3t7e3t7OUpa5+/35+/3///vd7vrc7ee5StUnOMUmtzMTExWlpaWlpa WlpaWlpaWlpaWlpa
WlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpaWlpa Wlpa//8AWlpaWlpa
W

Subject: Re: So now I'm building my new native DAW.....

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Fri, 16 Dec 2005 22:24:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbnAAAAd7vxu/3xu/3tdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbnAAD/
rc7epcbelL3OY5y1QoStWpStHk29hJSc2trWlpaWlpaY2NjhISEpcbell3W IL3Wtdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbnrc7erc7epcbelL3WhLXOe63Oa6W9Wpy9WpSt QoStWpStWpy9a6W9
a6W9e63OjL3OIL3Wpcberc7erc7etdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbn2vP4ADIKtdbntdbntdbn2vP4ADIKtdbntdbn2vP4ADIKtdbntdbn2vP4 ADIKtdbntdbntdbn
ADIK2vP42vP4tdbnADIKtdbntdbnADIK2vP42vP4tdbnADIKtdbntdbn2vP4ADIK tdbntdbn2vP4ADIK
tdbntdbnADIK2vP42vP4tdbnADIKtdbntdbn2vP4ADIKtdbntdbnADIK2vP42vP4 2vP4ADIKtdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn
AAD/tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbnrc7epcbelL3OY5y1QoStWpStHk29hJSc a2trWlpaWlpaY2Nj
hISEpcbell3WIL3Wtdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbnrc7erc7e pcbelL3WhLXOe63O
a6W9Wpy9WpStQoStWpStWpy9a6W9a6W9e63OjL3OIL3Wpcberc7erc7etdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn2vP4ADIKtdbntdbntdbntdbnADIKtdbntdbnADIK2vP4
tdbnADIKADIKtdbntdbn2vP4ADIKtdbntdbn2vP4ADIKtdbntdbnADIK2vP42vP4tdbn ADIKtdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbnAAAAd7vxu/3xu/3tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbnAAD/tdbn
rc7epcbelL3OY5y1WpStWpStY5y1a6W9jL3OjL3OjL3OjL3OhLXOe63OjL3O rc7erc7etdbntdbn

Subject: Re: So now I'm building my new native DAW.....

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Page 7 of 36 ---- Generated from The PARIS Forums

tdbn2vP4ADIKtdbn2vP4ADIKtdbn2vP4ADIKtdbntdbn2vP4ADIKtdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbnAAAAd7vxu/3xu/3tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbnAAD/ tdbntdbnrc7erc7e
pcbeLL3WIL3WjL3OhLXOhLXOjL3OIL3WIL3Wpcb

Subject: Re: So now I'm building my new native DAW.....

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sat, 17 Dec 2005 02:26:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbnxu/3xu/3vd7vtdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbnAAAAd7v xu/3xu/3tdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbnjL3Oa4Sca4Sctdbn3t7evb29vb29xsbGvb29nJyc nJycnJycnJycnJyc
nJycnJycnJycnJycnJycnJycnJycnJycnJycv29xsbGxsbGxsbGjlyMY2Njtdbn tdbntdbntdbn3t7e
vb29vb29xs

Subject: Re: So now I'm building my new native DAW.....

Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Sat, 17 Dec 2005 02:28:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

bGvb29hDkAYyAhDkAhDkAYyAhDkAhDkAYyAhDkAhDkAYyAhDkAhDkAvb29xsbG
vb29xsbGjlyMY2NjtdbntdbntdbntdbnY2NjlyMvb29xsbGvb29xsbGvb29 vb29xsbGvb29AAAA
AAAAAAAAAAAAAvb29xsbGvb29vb29xsbGxsbGvb29vb29vb293t7etdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbnxu/3xu/3vd7vtdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbnAAAAd7vxu/3 xu/3tdbntdbntdbn
tdbntdbntdbntdbnjL3Oa4Sca4Sctdbn3t7evb29vb29vb29vb29xsbGvb29 vb29xsbGvb29vb29
xsbGvb29vb29xsbGvb29vb29xsbGvb29vb29xsbGvb29jlyMY2Njtdbntdbn tdbntdbn3t7evb29
xsbGxsbGvb29vb29vb29vb29xsbGvb29vb29xsbGvb29vb29xsbGxsbGvb29 xsbGvb29xsbGxsbG
vb29jlyMY2NjtdbntdbntdbntdbnY2NjlyMvb29xsbGxsbGvb29xsbGvb29 xsbGvb29xsbGvb29
xsbGvb29xsbGvb29xsbGvb29xsbGvb29xsbGvb29vb293t7

Subject: Re: So now I'm building my new native DAW.....

Posted by [Martin Harrington](#) on Sat, 17 Dec 2005 04:51:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[illegible]

Subject: Re: So now I'm building my new native DAW.....

[View Forum Message](#) [Reply to Message](#)

Subject: Re: So now I'm building my new native DAW.....

[View Forum Message](#) < > [Reply to Message](#)

[illegible]

Subject: Re: So now I'm building my new native DAW.....

Posted by [DAVID POSEY](#) on Sat, 17 Dec 2005 06:13:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

////tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn

tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn

[illegible]

xu/3xu/3vd7vtdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn

[illegible][illegible]

tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn

[illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible]

Xd/SvdT Vtabntabntabntabntabntabntabntabntabntabntabn tabntabntabntabn
tdbntdbntbdbntbdbntbdbntbdbntbdbntbdbntbdbntdbntd

tabntabntabntabntabntabntabnta

Subject: Re: So now I'm building my new native DAW.....

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sat, 17 Dec 2005 06:25:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sc a4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sc

a4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sc

a4Sca4Sca4Scxu/3

vd7vtdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn

[illegible]

tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbntdbntdbntdbn

[illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible]

tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbnAAAavd7vxu/3xu/3tdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbntdbn tdbniL3Oa4Sca4Sc

a4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sc

a4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sc

a4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sc

a4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sc

a4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sc

a4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sc

a4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sca4Sc

Subject: Re: So now I'm building my new native DAW.....

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Page 12 of 36 ---- Generated from The PARIS Forums

Subject: Re: So now I'm building my new native DAW.....

Posted by [erlilo](#) on Sat, 17 Dec 2005 09:45:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ip hop with. On the other hand,

>those

>> >>>same

>> >>>>mixes done in PT LE, have that sparkle and width. In Nuendo, after 30

>> >tracks,

>> >>>>things start's getting "smeared" and tracks levels tends to get lost

>> >or

>> >>>>they don't sit right.

>> >>>>

>> >>>>However, in PT Le, (same songs, tracks are not smeared, levels stay

>intacked,

>> >>>>and the overall mix sounds very professional, just like mixes in

>Paris..

>> >>>>

>> >>>>Some local Engineer friends in the area(Motown), have been in

>discussions

>> >>>>about the state of current DAWs and what's working and what's not.

>Opinions

>> >>>>varied,but the one constant opinion that was stated was how difficult

>> it

>> >>>was

>> >>>>to mix in Cubase and Nuendo on mixes over 30 tracks. No matter what

>i/o

>> >>>>converters(Apogee,Lucid,Motu,

>> >>>>RME) mixing Rock , R&B, Hip-Hop is a difficult chore in

>SX/Nuendo..Where

>> >>>>as mixing in Paris and Pro Tools is not..

>> >>>>Hey,Just one Engineer's opinon.

>> >>>>

>> >>>>P.S

>> >>>>Jsut for geekdum sakes, the new Sonar 5 uses a newly coded 64 to

>32bit

>> >>>floating

>> >>>>point mixer..

>> >>>>

>> >>>>"Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote:

>> >>>>>Yukkk,

>> >>>>>Don't do it..PT I mean.

>> >>>>>I've just been playing with PT M-Powered 6.8, using my Delta 1010,

>and

>> >>>I

>> >>>>

>> >>>>>have to say...I don't like it.

>> >>>>>The interface is (IMNSHO), horrible, confusing, and convoluted.

>> >>>>> Moves that come easily in Paris, and more easily in Nuendo, are
>tiresome
>> >>>>in
>> >>>>>PT, apart from the reason tool...that has always been good, right
>from
>> >>>>the
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>Session 8 days, but not much else.
>> >>>>>And..it only plays .MOV video files, which in my case is a no-no,
>(the
>>

Subject: Re: So now I'm building my new native DAW.....
Posted by [erlilo](#) on Sat, 17 Dec 2005 09:59:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

; >>>full
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>TDM version may play the others but I don't think so).
>> >>>>>To top it off, playing one of my projects from Nuendo, (reassembled),
>> >>it
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>didn't have the "life" that the original had, by a long shot.
>> >>>>>I repeat...don't go there, Jeff.....
>> >>>>>--
>> >>>>>Martin Harrington
>> >>>>>www.lendaneer-sound.com
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>"jef knight" <thestudio@allknightmusic.com> wrote in message
>> >>>>>news:436fce7a\$1@linux...
>> >>>>>>I just read the doc, thanks for posting the link. Much of it is
>quite
>> >>>
>> >>>>>>interesting and just as much is confusing.
>> >>>>>> I didn't know tdm systems sounded gnarly, never having worked with
>> >one.
>> >>>>>At
>> >>>>>> what track count would they sonically crap out?
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> If I can't get some of my tedious little troubles with paris
>resolved
>> >>>>>I'm
>> >>>>>> considering switching to protocols.
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> jef
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> DJ wrote:

>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> http://akwww.digidesign.com/support/docs/WhitePaper_48BitMixer.pdf
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>Any comments? It appears to me that the signals are recorded at
24
>> >>>>>>bit, then processed at whatever bit rate the plugin on the channel
>> >has,
>> >>>>>>including dither, or not, then reprocessed to 24 bit, then these
>channels
>> >>>>>>are summed. I'm no guru when it comes to this stuff, but I get
this
>> >>
>> >>>>>>feeling
>> >>>>>>that this reprocessing *per channel* is the reason the TDM systems
>> >seem
>> >>>>to
>> >>>>>>start sounding gnarly as more and more tracks are summed.
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>

Subject: Re: So now I'm building my new native DAW.....

Posted by [erlilo](#) on Sat, 17 Dec 2005 14:44:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

rparts (see page 214).

>>

>>3. ENABLE AUTO: Press the Auto button on the C16. The LED will begin
>>flashing.

>>

>>4. ARM CHANNELS: Press and hold the Select button on the desired
>>channel(s) for about one second. The RED Led will light above the
>>channel strip, indicating that Automation Edit is enabled for that track.

>>

>>5. BEGIN WRITING AUTOMATION DATA: During playback, tap the Select
>>button on the ARMED channel(s) to write fader automation. The yellow
>>LED will light indicating Automation "Write Mode". Move faders, press
>>Mute or adjust EQ to record the moves.

>>

>>6. Press the Stop button when no further volume or Mute-button changes
>>are needed and disable the Auto button.

>>

>>7. To un-arm each Channel to stop the recording of automation data,
>>press and hold the channel's Select button for one second. The RED led
>>will go out.

>>

>>8. To activate playback of each Channel's automation data, open the
>>Mixer window and turn on the "A" enable automation playback button at

>>the bottom of each fader.

>>

>>To do this for Mutes and Pans, skip step 5.

>>

>>PUNCH IN/OUT: You can punch in and out of Automation Write Mode by

>>tapping the Select button during playback.

>

>

>Buy the premp shootout CD at 3D audio and see if you can tell one iota of difference between the Mackie VLZ Pro and some preamps costing 10 times the price. I guarantee you will be surprised. With the Mackie VLZ's it's all about the mic you use. Put a decent mic on these and they shine. Put a cheap POS on them with a bunch of fizzy top end distortion and they don't.

My \$0.02

Deej

"Tony Benson" <tony@standinghampton.com> wrote in message
news:43bd5d71@linux...

> Listen for yourself indeed. This is always the best advice!

>

> Tony

>

>

> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message

> news:43bd5a4c\$1@linux...

> >

> > With Mackie, it's always marketing hype. Mackie has been known to over

> > hype

> > their products, not to say they don't make reasonably good stuff.

Always

> > use your own ears. I remember reading somewhere on GearsLutz where

> > somebody

> > was taking their Onyx Mixer back because the mic pres were too shrill

and

> > harsh. Of course every body's got different ears, so listen for

yourself!

> >

> >

> >

> > John <no@no.com> wrote:

> >>so what will their next pres be? Even better, and then they'll have to

> >

> >>come up with some adjectives to describe what is wrong with the Onyx's.

> >

> >> I loves audio !

> >>

> >> Tony Benson wrote:
> >>> From what I've heard, the Onyx pre's are a pretty big step up from the
> >
> >>> VLZpro. I've had non-VLZ, VLZ, and VLZpro Mackie boards, and though
the
> >
> >>> VLZpro pre's where much better, they still had a "harshness" to them
> >>> that
> > I
> >>> didn't care for. The Onyx are supposed to be much smoother sounding.
> >>> I'll
> >

Subject: Re: So now I'm building my new native DAW.....
Posted by [excelav](#) on Sat, 17 Dec 2005 15:16:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t
>> i/o
>>> >>> converters(Apogee, Lucid, Motu,
>>> >>>> RME) mixing Rock , R&B, Hip-Hop is a difficult chore in
>> SX/Nuendo..Where
>>> >>>> as mixing in Paris and Pro Tools is not..
>>> >>>> Hey, Just one Engineer's opinon.
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>> P.S
>>> >>>> Jsut for geekdum sakes, the new Sonar 5 uses a newly coded 64 to
>> 32bit
>>> >>> floating
>>> >>>> point mixer..
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>> "Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote:
>>> >>>>> Yukkk,
>>> >>>>> Don't do it..PT I mean.
>>> >>>>> I've just been playing with PT M-Powered 6.8, using my Delta 1010,
>> and
>>> >>> I
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>> have to say...I don't like it.
>>> >>>>> The interface is (IMNSHO), horrible, confusing, and convoluted.
>>> >>>>> Moves that come easily in Paris, and more easily in Nuendo, are
>> tiresome
>>> >>>> in
>>> >>>>> PT, apart from the reagon tool...taht has always been good, right
>> from
>>> >>> the
>>> >>>>

>>> >>>>>Session 8 days, but not much else.
>>> >>>>>And..it only plays .MOV video files, which in my case is a no-no,
>> (the
>>> >>>>full
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>>TDM version may play the others but I don't think so).
>>> >>>>>To top it off, playing one of my projects from Nuendo,
>>> >>>>>(reassembled),
>>> >>it
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>>didn't have the "life" that the original had, by a long shot.
>>> >>>>>I repeat...don't go there, Jeff.....
>>> >>>>>--
>>> >>>>>Martin Harrington
>>> >>>>>www.lendaneer-sound.com
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>>"jef knight" <thestudio@allknightmusic.com> wrote in message
&g

Subject: Re: So now I'm building my new native DAW.....

Posted by [excelav](#) on Sat, 17 Dec 2005 15:42:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;>> >>>>>news:436fce7a\$1@linux...
>>> >>>>>>I just read the doc, thanks for posting the link. Much of it is
>> quite
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>>interesting and just as much is confusing.
>>> >>>>>> I didn't know tdm systems sounded gnarly, never having worked
with
>>> >one.
>>> >>>>>At
>>> >>>>>> what track count would they sonically crap out?
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>> If I can't get some of my tedious little troubles with paris
>> resolved
>>> >>>>>I'm
>>> >>>>>> considering switching to protools.
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>> jef
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>> DJ wrote:
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>> http://akwww.digidesign.com/support/docs/WhitePaper_48BitMix er.pdf
>>> >>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>>>Any comments? It appears to me that the signals are recorded at
24

>>> >>>>>>>bit, then processed at whatever bit rate the plugin on the channel
>>> >has,
>>> >>>>>>>including dither, or not, then reprocessed to 24 bit, then these
>> channels
>>> >>>>>>>are summed. I'm no guru when it comes to this stuff, but I get

>>> >>>>>>>this
>>> >>
>>> >>>>>>>feeling
>>> >>>>>>>that this reprocessing *per channel* is the reason the TDM systems
>>> >seem
>>> >>>>>to
>>> >>>>>>>start sounding gnarly as more and more tracks are summed.
>>> >>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>
>>> >
>>>
>>
>>
>
>Just select PRO mode in the c16 configuration.

uptown jimmy wrote:

> How does this work on the black C-16?
>
> Jimmy
>
> "John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:43bc634c@linux...
>
>>Is everyone clear on how easy Automation is? It's really so powerful
>>you gotta get it. Here's the basics on recording automation one more
>
> time.
>
>>
>>
>>Automation Recording Procedure using the C16 Pro
>>
>>1. Stop the Transport
>>
>>2. NULL FADERS: Using their Null Arrow LEDs on the C16, synchronize the
>>Faders of the Channel strips you intend to automate with their Mixer

>>Window counte

Subject: Re: So now I'm building my new native DAW.....

Posted by [excelav](#) on Sat, 17 Dec 2005 16:14:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gil" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message

> >>> news:43bd4431@linux...

> >>>

> >>>>know there was a diff in VLZ and VLZpro.

> >>>>

> >>>>

> >>>>Yes there is a big difference. The VLZpro series is where Mackie rose

> >>>>high on the radar of the pro user for quality, usefullness and bang
for

> >>>>the buck mic pre. Of course, you gotta use the insert out via first

> >>>>click

> >>>>method and avoid the channel.

> >>>>

> >>>>

> >>>>Regards,

> >>>>

> >>>>El Miguel

> >>>>

> >>>>

> >>>>

> >>>>

> >>>>

> >>>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message

> >>>>news:43bd177a\$1@linux...

> >>>>

> >>>>>Don't know...I just asked him what he used, and that's what he said.

> > |

> >>>>

> >>>>didn't

> >>>>

> >>>>>know there was a diff in VLZ and VLZpro.

> >>>>>Rod

> >>>>>"Miguel Vigil" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote:

> >>>>>

> >>>>>>>Right now his chain is a Mackie 1402 vlz into the Digi 002.

> >>>>>>>Any suggestions?

> >>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>Is this Mackie the VLZpro series?

> >>>>>>>I don't know how the new Onyx pres compare.

> >>>>>>>

> >>>>>He could try to audition the cheap Behringer ADA8000 with it.
> >>>>>
> >>>>><http://www.studioprojects.com/sp828.html>
> >>>>>This is an 8 channel mic pre about \$800, that needs to be run into
an
> >>>>
> >>>>ADC.
> >>>>
> >>>>>Just ideas to keep cost in check.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Regards,
> >>>>>
> >>>>>El Miguel
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote in message
> >>>>
> >>>>news:43bca3d6@linux...
> >>>>
> >>>>>>Yeah, avoid that LT man.. cheap hardware, inconsistent volumes
across
> >>>>>
> >>>>>it
> >>>>>
> >>>>>and
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>just generally felt shoddy.
> >>>>>>I'd take a Behr ADA8000 over it 'any day' to be honest, and it's a
> >>>>
> >>>>whole
> >>>>
> >>>>>>lot
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>less money.
> >>>>>>Not sure what the current recommend is though bro, I've been out of
> >>>>
> >>>>that
> >>>>
> >>>>>>market for a while now and I'd hate to mislead ya.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>AA
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>&q

Subject: Re: So now I'm building my new native DAW.....

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sat, 17 Dec 2005 18:10:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

h Pioneer burners, too, FWIW.

> (had Liteon and LG. Neither inspire confidence.)

> Also after making a backup, I always copy it back onto my audio drive just
> to make sure it will read.

> Always burn a little slower than max, and so far Imation and TDK blanks
> have never let me down.

> Good Luck,

> Kim

>

> "Ed" <askme@email.com> wrote:

> >

> >My experience with the DvD delimma of this kind is that sometimes it is
> because

> >of the plus (+) or minus (-). I have had so many burnt DvD not playing in
> >some.. but does read in others. I only purchase burners that do both
plus

> >and minus and I only purchase quality plus DvD's. I stay away from
minus.

> >

> >I too have stuff archived from years ago (2001/2002) that I archived with
> >an older Panasonic (minus) DvD burner. Of course, they sit there and I
> can't

> >get the data off of them. I have tried several different drives with the
> >same results...

> >

> >Of course, this proves a VERY important lesson... DvD backups "are not"
> reliable

> >in the long term. Perhaps the quality of the media and the drives
improved

> >since then, but I don't feel too safe with burning backups on DvDs now.

> I

> >bought a Sony tape drive not long ago, but I hate it. There is no way to

> >get pieces of your data off the tape once it is backed up. The only cheap

> >alternative I see, is to buy cheap, smaller hard drives (4/6/10GB) and
back

> >up folders to them.

> >

> >"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

> >>

> >>

> >>Definately worth trying another drive too. I've had many files that
would

> >>read on some drives but not others.

> >>

> >>Cheers,

> >>Kim.
> >>
> >>"Jeremy Luzier" <j.luzier@comcast.net> wrote:
> >>>thanks man.
> >>>
> >>>i will try these!
> >>>
> >>>jeremy
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>"Gene Lennon" <glennoon@NOSPmurealbox.com> wrote in message
> >>>news:43a1d31f\$1@linux...
> >>>>
> >>>> "Jeremy Luzier" <j.luzier@comcast.net> wrote:
> >>>> >i have an archived song on DVD. I need to get 1 file off of the DVD
> >>(its
> >>>> >the final mix).
> >>>> >
> >>>> >i keep getting an error that says "Cannot copy No Such Luck Indie
Final:
> >>>> >The parameter is incorrect."
> >>>> >
> >>>> >any suggestions?? am i totally screwed?? i wonder if another dvd
> drive
> >>>> >would read the file??
> >>>> >
> >>>> >and yea... that's the title of the song... can you believe that??
> >>>> >
> >>>> >Jeremy
> >>>> >
> >>>> >
> >>>> >
> >>>> >
> >>>> Sounds like directory deletion.
> >>>> Try:
> >>>> <http://www.stellarinfo.com/disk-recovery.htm>
> >>>> or
> >>>> <http://www.ontrack.com/>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> And next time call the track "Lucky Indie"
> >>>> g
> >&

Subject: Re: So now I'm building my new native DAW.....
Posted by [Jorsi](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 19:54:16 GMT

/>
it is at 50%.

I will try DJ's suggestion to disable all the McAfee stuff.

Thanks to everyone for your input- Chris

Chris Ludwig <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote:

>Hi Chris,

>What motherboard are you using?

>

>Chris

>

>

>Chris Lang wrote:

>

>> Hopefully, some of you experts can clear this up:

>>

>> I have PARIS on my home desktop, Windows XP Athlon AMD 1400.

>> I have done all sorts of cleaning, tweaking, etc., and maxed

>> out the RAM at 1GB. Despite all of this work, and the fact that

>> it runs "only" about 26 processes at a time, the CPU load

>> "idles" at about 50%. Wassup?????!!!!

>>

>> My laptop at work, a Compaq Evo N1000v, Pentium 4 XP, on which

>> I have done no tweaking or cleaning, idles at 8-10% CPU load.

>> This is with 41 processes running, including a lot of junk.

>>

>> I have tried two different programs, Spped Up My PC and Tweak

>> XP, with no appreciable effect on the CPU load.

>>

>> Is it my McAfee Virus shield thing? It doesn't show any

>> activity, but is enabled. If I need to disable it, how can I

>> continue to scan incoming e-mail?

>>

>> Any light shed on this would be most welcome!!!!

>>

>> Chris

>

>--

>Chris Ludwig

>ADK

>chrisl@adkproaudio.com <mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>

>www.adkproaudio.com <http://www.adkproaudio.com/>

>(859) 635-5762OK, so I disabled all McAfee-related processes, and anything else that looked expendable. No effect. None. CPU load still hovering at 50+%. The task manager screen shows nothing happening; just System Idle. at 93-99. Yet somehow, the CPU load remains at 50+.

Any other ideas? Thanks, Chris

"Chris Lang" <yo@yo.yo> wrote:

>

>K7T Turbo 2...

>

>In response to Jeff's earlier question, the task manager
>screen has a reading of the CPU load, as does the main
>screen in the WinTask program; Tweak XP also agrees that
>it is at 50%.

>

>I will try DJ's suggestion to disable all the McAfee stuff.

>

>

>Thanks to everyone for your input- Chris

>

>

>

>Chris Ludwig <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote:

>>Hi Chris,

>>What motherboard are you using?

>>

>>Chris

>>

>>

>>Chris Lang wrote:

>>

>>> Hopefully, some of you experts can clear this up:

>>>

>>> I have PARIS on my home desktop, Windows XP Athlon AMD 1400.

>>> I have done all sorts of cleaning, tweaking, etc., and maxed
>>> out the RAM at 1GB. Despite all of this work, and the fact that
>>> it runs "only" about 26 processes at a time, the CPU load
>>> "idles" at about 50%. Wassup?????!!!!

>>>

>>> My laptop at work, a Compaq Evo N1000v, Pentium 4 XP, on which
>>> I have done no tweaking or cleaning, idles at 8-10% CPU load.
>>> This is with 41 processes running, including a lot of junk.

>>>

>>> I have tried two different programs, Sped Up My PC and Tweak
>>> XP, with no appreciable effect on the CPU load.

>>>
>>> Is it my McAfee Virus shield thing? It doesn't show any
>>> activity, but is enabled. if I need to disable it, how can I
>>> continue to scan incoming e-mail?
>>>
>>> Any light shed on this would be most welcome!!!!
>>>
>>> Chris
>>
>>--
>>Chris Ludwig
>>ADK
>>chrisl@adkproaudio.com <mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>
>>www.adkproaudio.com <http://www.adkproaudio.com/>
>>(859) 635-5762
>you got a spyware problem bro?

Go to <http://housecall.antivirus.com> and scan the whole computer. Sounds like a hidden process to me.

Also, go to www.micorosoft.com and get their sony rootkit tool if you think there's even a "slight" chance you put a Sony audio CD in your drive that has this malware built in

AA

"Chris Lang" <yo@yo.yo> wrote in message news:43c33ec

Subject: Re: So now I'm building my new native DAW.....
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 23:14:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

o doing extra work for free won't kill you,
>putting
>> out a piece of crap with your name on it would.
>>
>> Remember, it never goes quite the way you would like it to in life.
>>
>> Good luck!
>>
>> James
>>
>>
>>
>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >I'm doing a mix here. We've got a lot of acoustic instruments goin on

>this
 >> >mix and the client/producer/songwriter/arranger is sitting in on the
 mix
 >> >making decisions as to which instruments he wants up in the holes in
 the
 >> >mix, since we have many options. The players on this project are really
 >> good
 >> >and it's sorta' agonizing for him to sacrifice hearing one part when
 >another
 >> >equally good riff is being played on the same timeline and a decision
 has
 >> to
 >> >be made as to one or the other. Well, this is all part of the game and
 >just
 >> >takes time. What's making me nuts is that the client really respects
 >these
 >> >players. These guys, as good as they are, occasionally had a clam or
 two
 >> as
 >> >far as the number of notes that may have been played over a certain
 >passage.
 >> >He doesn't want to change this stuff out of *respect* for the
 >performance.
 >> >It just doesn't work in some cases and sounds like a trainwreck in
 >others.
 >> I
 >> >can easily fix it in the editor, but he's uncomfortable with this. This
 >> mix
 >> >isn't going to work with certain of these unedited passages.....it just
 >> >won't.....trust me. My problem is, and this is why I could never last
 >> >long in a band, is that I *know* what needs to be done and everyone else
 >> is
 >> >wrong.....am I right?
 >> >
 >> >;o)
 >> >
 >> >
 >
 >Hi,
 I had same problem once and was defected Matrox G-550 video card
 That caused always a 50% cpu load on my 2.0 Ghz Pentium 4
 Regards,
 Dimitrios

"Chris Lang" <yo@yo.yo> wrote:

>

>Thanks Aaron- I'm on it. I know I have that Sony thing, because I can see
 >it on the list. Thanks for your help.

>I'll post again in the morning after I try this...
>
>
>"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote:
>>sheesh.. I mean * www.microsoft.com *
>>
>>"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote in message
>>news:43c340ac\$1@linux...
>>> you got a spyware problem bro?
>>>
>>> Go to <http://housecall.antivirus.com> and scan the whole computer. Sounds
>
>>> like a hidden process to me.
>>> Also, go to www.micorosoft.com and get their sony rootkit tool if you
>
>>> think there's even a "slight" chance you put a Sony audio CD in your
drive
>
>>> that has this malware built in
>>>
>>> AA
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "Chris Lang" <yo@yo.yo> wrote in message news:43c33ec6\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> OK, so I disabled all McAfee-related processes, and anything
>>>> else that looked expendable. No effect. None. CPU load
>>>> still hovering at 50+%. The task manager screen shows nothing
>>>> happening; just System Idle. at 93-99. Yet somehow, the CPU
>>>> load remains at 50+.
>>>>
>>>> Any other ideas? Thanks, Chris
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Chris Lang" <yo@yo.yo> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>K7T Turbo 2...
>>>>>
>>>>>In response to Jeff's earlier question, the task manager
>>>>>screen has a reading of the CPU load, as does the main
>>>>>screen in the WinTask program; Tweak XP also agrees that
>>>>>it is at 50%.
>>>>>
>>>>>I will try DJ's suggestion to disable all the McAfee stuff.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Thanks to everyone for your input- Chris

>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Chris Ludwig <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote:
>>>>>>Hi Chris,
>>>>>>What motherboard are you using?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Chris
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Chris Lang wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Hopefully, some of you experts can clear this up:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I have PARIS on my home desktop, Windows XP Athlon AMD 1400.
>>>>>>>> I have done all sorts of cleaning, tweaking, etc., and maxed
>>>>>>>> out the RAM at 1GB. Despite all of this work, and the fact that
>>>>>>>> it runs "only" about 26 processes at a time, the CPU load
>>>>>>>> "idles" at about 50%. Wassup?????!!!!
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> My laptop at work, a Compaq Evo N1000v, Pentium 4 XP, on which
>>>>>>>> I have done no tweaking or cleaning, idles at 8-10% CPU load.
>>>>>>>> This is with 41 processes runn

Subject: Re: So now I'm building my new native DAW.....

Posted by [Jorsi](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 00:17:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

rget="_blank"><http://www.adkproaudio.com/>>

(859) 635-5762That's how ya do it.

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:43c22994@linux...

> The way I used to do it was to route the outputs of my midi sound module
> into a record enabled Paris audio track. then, but muting allof the midi
> tracks but the ones I was bouncing to the respective audio track, I could
> bounce 2 at a time this way until I finally got all of my midi drum tracks
> recorded as audio tracks. I did this for quite a while actually, until I
> finally broke down and got myself a 2nd computer and started syncing it up
> via ADAT.

>

> Deej

>

> "Richard Faylor" <RichardFaylor@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> news:43c00c68\$1@linux...

> >

> > For some time I've used an old MIDI program and interface

> (Vision/Studio64X)

> > to create drum loops. Lately, I want to incorporate those loops into Paris
> > projects. I've figured out how to import them onto the Paris playing field
> > at track 19 and I've got Paris triggering the MIDI from there, but what's
> > the best way to convert the MIDI track to an audio track? Would just
> "Bouncing
> > to disk" do the trick, or is there another way?
> >
> > Many thanks!
> > Richard
>
>Hi and thanks again for all the suggestions-

I have eliminated all spyware found, but no change in CPU load.
This thing is a bit faster now, though, so that's cool.

I can't figure out how to dump that Sony worm; I couldn't find it specifically on the Microsoft website, and the download that did claim the ability to find it, didn't.

CPU load still hovering at 50%. If this is useful info at all, I can still hear the CPU "working", even when it should be idle. Something is going on in there, but what?

I have 2 EDS cards, and two of the 512MB RAM sticks. I have had an nVidia video card since building this thing about 2 1/2 years ago.

The CPU load has been like this ever since I first discovered it about six months ago, but could very well have been doing it all along. I didn't think anything of it, until a recent and taxing mix job maxed everything out in PARIS, and I was getting digital clicks and pops, and error messages.

Is there anything I can do to ID the issue at this point? Or do I take it one at a time- replace video card, mess with RAM, etc.?

Peace, Chris

Chris Ludwig <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote:

>Hi Chris,
>How long have you been using the machine? Did it just start doing this?
>Also if you are using 2 sticks of 512 ram try reducing it to one stick

>and see if it is still doing it.
>If that makes a difference then try one stick at a time in the first slot.
>How many EDS cards are in the system?
>
>
>Chris
>
>Chris Lang wrote:
>> Thanks Aaron- I'm on it. I know I have that Sony thing, because I can see
>> it on the list. Thanks for your help.
>> I'll post again in the morning after I try this...
>>
>>
>> "Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote:
>>
>>>sheesh.. I mean * www.microsoft.com *
>>>
>>>"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote in message
>>>news:43c340ac\$1@linux...
>>>
>>>>you got a spyware problem bro?
>>>>
>>>>Go to <http://housecall.antivirus.com> and scan the whole computer. Sounds
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>like a hidden process to me.
>>>>Also, go to www.microsoft.com and get their sony rootkit tool if you
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>think there's even a "slight" chance you put a Sony audio CD in your
drive
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>that has this malware built in
>>>>
>>>>AA
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Chris Lang" <yo@yo.yo> wrote in message news:43c33ec6\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>>>OK, so I disabled all McAfee-related processes, and anything
>>>>>else that looked expendable. No effect. None. CPU load
>>>>>still hovering at 50+%. The task manager screen shows nothing
>>>>>happening; just System Idle. at 93-99. Yet somehow, the CPU
>>>>>load remains at 50+.
>>>>>

>>>>>Any other ideas? Thanks, Chris
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>"Chris Lang" <yo@yo.yo> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>K7T Turbo 2...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>In response to Jeff's earlier question, the task manager
>>>>>>screen has a reading of the CPU load, as does the main
>>>>>>screen in the WinTask program; Tweak XP also agrees that
>>>>>>it is at 50%.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I will try DJ's suggestion to disable all the McAfee stuff.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Thanks to everyone for your input- Chris
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Chris Ludwig <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Hi Chris,
>>>>>>>What motherboard are you using?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Chris
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Chris Lang wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Hopefully, some of you experts can clear this up:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>I have PARIS on my home desktop, Windows XP Athlon AMD 1400.
>>>>>>>>>I have done all sorts of cleaning, tweaking, etc., and maxed
>>>>>>>>>out the RAM at 1GB. Despite all of this work, and the fact that
>>>>>>>>>it runs "only" about 26 processes at a time, the CPU load
>>>>>>>>>"idles" at about 50%. Wassup?????!!!!
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>My laptop at work, a Compaq Evo N1000v, Pentium 4 XP, on which

Subject: Re: So now I'm building my new native DAW.....
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 00:24:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>>>>>>>>I have done no tweaking or cleaning, idles at 8-10% CPU load.
>>>>>>>>>This is with 41 processes running, including a lot of junk.

> an nVidia video card since building this thing about 2 1/2
> years ago.
>
> The CPU load has been like this ever since I first discovered it about six
> months ago, but could very well have been doing it
> all along. I didn't think anything of it, until a recent and
> taxing mix job maxed everything out in PARIS, and I was getting digital clicks
> and pops, and error messages.
>
> Is there anything I can do to ID the issue at this point? Or do
> I take it one at a time- replace video card, mess with RAM,
> etc.?
>
> Peace, Chris
>
>
>
> Chris Ludwig <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote:
>
>>Hi Chris,
>>How long have you been using the machine? Did it just start doing this?
>>Also if you are using 2 sticks of 512 ram try reducing it to one stick
>>and see if it is still doing it.
>>If that makes a difference then try one stick at a time in the first slot.
>>How many EDS cards are in the system?
>>
>>
>>Chris
>>
>>Chris Lang wrote:
>>
>>>Thanks Aaron- I'm on it. I know I have that Sony thing, because I can
>
> see
>
>>>it on the list. Thanks for your help.
>>>I'll post again in the morning after I try this...
>>>
>>>
>>>"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>sheesh.. I mean * www.microsoft.com *
>>>>
>>>>"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote in message
>>>>news:43c340ac\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>>

>>>>>you got a spyware problem bro?
>>>>>
>>>>>Go to <http://housecall.antivirus.com> and scan the whole computer. Sounds
>>>
>>>
>>>>>like a hidden process to me.
>>>>>Also, go to www.micorosoft.com and get their sony rootkit tool if you
>>>
>>>
>>>>>think there's even a "slight" chance you put a Sony audio CD in your
>
> drive
>
>>>
>>>>>that has this malware built in
>>>>>
>>>>>AA
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>"Chris Lang" <yo@yo.yo> wrote in message news:43c33ec6\$1@linux...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>OK, so I disabled all McAfee-related processes, and anything
>>>>>>else that looked expendable. No effect. None. CPU load
>>>>>>still hovering at 50+%. The task manager screen shows nothing
>>>>>>happening; just System Idle. at 93-99. Yet somehow, the CPU
>>>>>>load remains at 50+.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Any other ideas? Thanks, Chris
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>"Chris Lang" <yo@yo.yo> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>K7T Turbo 2...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>In response to Jeff's earlier question, the task manager
>>>>>>>screen has a reading of the CPU load, as does the main
>>>>>>>screen in the WinTask program; Tweak XP also agrees that
>>>>>>>it is at 50%.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I will try DJ's suggestion to disable all the McAfee stuff.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Thanks to everyone for your input- Chris
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>

>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Chris Ludwig <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Hi Chris,
>>>>>>>>What motherboard are you using?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Chris
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Chris Lang wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Hopefully, some of you experts can clear this up:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>I have PARIS on my home desktop, Windows XP Athlon AMD 1400.
>>>>>>>>>>I have done all sorts of cleaning, tweaking, etc., and maxed
>>>>>>>>>>out the RAM at 1GB. Despite all of this work, and the fact that
>>>>>>>>>>it runs "only" about 26 processes at a time, the CPU load
>>>>>>>>>>"idles" at about 50%. Wassup?????!!!!
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>My laptop at work, a Compaq Evo N1000v, Pentium 4 XP, on which
>>>>>>>>>>>I have done no tweaking or cleaning, idles at 8-10% CPU load.
>>>>>>>>>>>This is with 41 processes running, including a l
