Subject: Spam!
Posted by macle on Thu, 06 Jul 2006 08:31:50 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

t; and then
left
> >> it
> >>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than competition.
> >>
> >> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
> >> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
> >> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
> >> they do? All is permitted.
> >>
> >> This is not God's plan for us.
> >>
> >>
> >

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Jamie K on Thu, 06 Jul 2006 08:58:48 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

>> The
> >>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and
> >>> effective
> >>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
> >> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
> >> rules".
> >>
> >> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
> >> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
> >>
> >>
> >>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm fine
with
> >>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun to
say
> >>> "what if this . . . " or "what if that . . . " but let's be honest
here
> >> --
> >>> none of us will know

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by rick on Thu, 06 Jul 2006 09:58:53 GMT

/>
>
>Ok, here's the short version. (It's my wife's birthday and we are
>getting out of town)
>
>I will do a longer version, with pics, later.
>
>I started thinking about all this a year or so ago when I got my
>Petersen Virtual Strobe tuner. On the chromatic setting you can
>check the intonation very easily at the nut by perfectly tuning the
>open string and the pressing down the string at the first fret.
>
>Ouch, my guitars averaged 4 out of 6 strings out of tune.
>
>(btw, let me add, that you cannot fix this problem with adjusting
>your tuning. You can make it a bit better, in certain keys, but you
>can't fix it. oh, also, if the height of the string slot is right, the
>pressing down of the string is not significant here in terms of tuning
>accuracy. a fact I doubted, un

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Aaron Allen on Thu, 06 Jul 2006 13:57:07 GMT

ed. The
challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing for
disagreement.

Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the nightly
news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.

Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to Durango to
convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors concluded
weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on 'em!

What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about them?

Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people with
little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used and
manipulated in the process.

I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?

Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet. They're
after your wife. Vote for me and I'll $$olve the problem!

Cheers,
-Jamie
http://www.JamieKrutz.com

DJ wrote:
>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
>>

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Tom Bruhl on Thu, 06 Jul 2006 14:50:58 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

igh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I learned
>>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel compelled
> to
>>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently wrong
> in
>>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying to
> view
>>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call
> "me."
>>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant. Faith is
>>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not rest
> on
>>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own definition
> if
>>> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me. If
> you
>>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe in
>>> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
>>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
>>>
>>> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I personally
> do
>>> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see no
>>> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent claims.
> I
>>> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel truly
>>> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe this
>>> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very superficial
> and
>>> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think it's
> silly
>>> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in the
> sky."

>>> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the opposite, my
>>> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient dogma
> that
>>> I have no reason to believe.
>>>
>>> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer words: I
>>> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG time
> ago),
>>> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to believe
> that
>>> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a
> smattering
>>> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it
> claims
>>> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient human
> beings
>>> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible is the
>>> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme being.
>>>
>>> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe in a
> way
>>> that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT you
>>> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years.
> What I
>>> don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope? Because
> you
>>> were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your parents
>>> believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you
> happened
>>> to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born in
>>> India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
>>>
>>> Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
>>> omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this
> make
>>> any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough of a
> threat
>>> to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill him?
>>>
>>> You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share with
>>> other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right or
>>> wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God,
> Heaven,
>>> or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
>>> accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually on
>>> sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis is
>>> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be restrained
> by

>>> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert Einstein
>>>
>>> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the
> evil of
>>> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about what
> has
>>> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I believe
>>> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about the
>>> potential consequences of this.
>>>
>>> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour
> that I
>>> could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by now
> that
>>> the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings to
>>> them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again,
> beliefs
>>> are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are not
>>> wrong simply because they contradict yours.
>>>
>>> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
>>>
>>> Sarah
>>>
>>>
>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message news:44e23a46$1@linux...
>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahton

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Deej [1] on Thu, 06 Jul 2006 15:23:36 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

in.com> wrote:
>>>>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by faith.
>>>>> (Speaking from personal experience).
>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call God
>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views are
>>>> human at the source.
>>>>
>>>> I disagree emphatically.
>>>>
>>>> Everything prodeeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
>>>>
>>>>

>>>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and then
> left
>>>> it
>>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than competition.
>>>> If God is in quotes f

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Tony Benson on Thu, 06 Jul 2006 16:37:50 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

time. And
>then
>>>>>> there's
>>>>>> the software, I hate software that gets in the way. By the way, if
>>you
>>>> want
>>>>>> to see more of Vista, watch the WWDC Keynote video;)
>>>>>>
>>>>>> http://www.apple.com/quicktime/qtv/wwdc06/
>>>>>>
>>>>>> James
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>>> Six months? Once more woodcrest chips are available you should be
>>able
>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> build one now. Now it seems the battle is between OSX and Windows.
>

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by LaMont on Thu, 06 Jul 2006 18:07:20 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In fact I don't even generically
>>>> like
>>>>>> Windows. OSX looks cool, but it seems to be problematic for Nuendo
>>
>>>>>> users.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Dedric
>>>>>>
>>>>>> On 8/8/06 7:29 AM, in article 44d891c8@linux, "James McCloskey"
>>>>>> <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> You don't need a Mac, your a PC guy. Besides, with in six months
>>
>>>>>>>> you'll
>>>>>&gt

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Thu, 06 Jul 2006 21:31:37 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

't explain it to you. It's obviously,
> >>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
> >>>
> >>> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I
personally
> > do
> >>> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see
no
> >>> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent
claims.
> > I
> >>> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel truly
> >>> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe this
> >>> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very superficial
> > and
> >>> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think it's
> > silly
> >>> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in the
> > sky."
> >>> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the opposite,
my
> >>> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limi

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Thu, 06 Jul 2006 21:33:22 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

ted to ancient
dogma
> > that
> >>> I have no reason to believe.
> >>>
> >>> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer words:
I
> >>> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG time

> > ago),
> >>> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to believe
> > that
> >>> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a
> > smattering
> >>> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it
> > claims
> >>> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient human
> > beings
> >>> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible is
the
> >>> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme being.
> >>>
> >>> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe in
a
> > way
> >>> that suggests no opposing

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Thu, 06 Jul 2006 21:37:33 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT
you
> >>> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years.
> > What I
> >>> don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope? Because
> > you
> >>> were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your
parents
> >>> believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you
> > happened
> >>> to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born
in
> >>> India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
> >>>
> >>> Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
> >>> omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this
> > make
> >>> any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough of a
> > threat
> >>> to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill him?
> >>>
> >>> You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share
with
> >>> other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right or
> >>> wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God,

> > Heaven,
> >>> or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
> >>> accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually
on
> >>> sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis is

---

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Thu, 06 Jul 2006 21:43:48 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

br />
> >>> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be
restrained
> > by
> >>> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert Einstein
> >>>
> >>> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the
> > evil of
> >>> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about
what
> > has
> >>> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I
believe
> >>> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about the
> >>> potential consequences of this.
> >>>
> >>> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour
> > that I
> >>> could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by now
> > that
> >>> the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings
to
> >>> them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again,
> > beliefs
> >>> are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are
not
> >>> wrong simply because they contradict yours.
> >>>
> >>> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
> >>>
> >>> Sarah
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> "DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message news:44e23a46$1@linux...
> >>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
> >>>>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by
faith.

> >>>>> (Speaking from personal experience).
> >>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call God
> >>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
> >>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views are
> >>>> human at the source.
> >>>>
> >>>> I disagree emphatically.
> >>>>
> >>>> Everything prodeeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
> >>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
> >>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and then
> > left
> >>>> it
> >>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than
competition.
> >>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
> >>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
> >>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
> >>>> they do? All is permitted.
> >>>>
> >>>> This is not God's plan for us.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>> The
> >>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and
> >>>>> effective
> >>>>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
> >>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
> >>>> rules".
> >>>>
> >>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
> >>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm fine
> > with
> >>>>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun to
> > say
> >>>>> "what if this . . . " or "what if that . . . " but let's be honest
> > here
> >>>> --
> >>>>> none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even then.
> > And
> >>>> I'm
> >>>>> sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me.

It's
> > just
> >>>>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly
backward
> >>>>> human
> >>>>> societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been
inspired
> > by
> >>>> a
> >>>>> supreme being.
> >>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
> >>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
> >>>> care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
> >>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
> >>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
> >>>> themselves...
> >>>>
> >>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
> >>>> assumptions about existence.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis
> >>>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile. What
> > do
> >>>> you
> >>>>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of
brown
> >>>>> rice?
> >>>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists?
100?
> >>>> 500?
> >>>>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent
and
> >>>>> their
> >>>>> families.
> >>>&g

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Thu, 06 Jul 2006 21:47:03 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

t;>
> >>>>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or
> > maimed.
> >>>>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can think
> > of
> >>>> some

> >>>>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but
it's
> > a
> >>>>> nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange
jumpsuits
> > and
> >>>>> chains . . . ni-i-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Sarah
> >>>> And it is this view that conerns me that we, as a people, are no
> >>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all
> >>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
> >>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you
> >>>> would have to.
> >>>>
> >>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring themselves
to
> > do
> >>>> what they must to protect our way of life.
> >>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
> >>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
> >>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
> >>>>
> >>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
> >>>> ghouls

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Thu, 06 Jul 2006 21:53:14 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
> >>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms, to
kill
> >>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
> >>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
> >>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
> >>>>
> >>>> DC
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>
> >
> >Elephant's Memory - Touching Story.

In 1986, Mkele Mbembe was on holiday in Kenya after graduating
from college. On a hike through the bush, he came across a young

bull elephant standing with one leg raised in the air. The elephant seemed distressed so Mbembe approached it very carefully.

He got down on one knee and inspected the elephant's foot, and found a large thorn deeply embedded in it. As carefully and as gently as he could, Mbembe worked the thorn out with his hunting knife, after which the elephant gingerly put down its foot.

The elephant turned to face the man and with a rather stern look on its face, stared at him. For several tense moments Mbembe stood frozen, thinking of nothing else but being trampled. Eventually the elephant trumpeted loudly, turned and walked away. Mbembe never forgot that elephant or the events of that day

---

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Thu, 06 Jul 2006 21:56:37 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

.

Twenty years later he was walking through a zoo with his teenaged son. As they approached the elephant enclosure, one of the creatures turned and walked over to near where Mbembe and his son Tapu were standing. The large bull elephant stared at Mbembe and lifted its front foot off the ground then put it down. The elephant did that several times then trumpeted loudly, all the while staring at the man.

Remembering the encounter in 1986, Mbembe couldn't help wondering if this was the same elephant. Mbembe summoned up his courage, climbed over the railing and made his way into the enclosure. He walked right
up to the elephant and stared back in wonder.

Suddenly the elephant trumpeted again, wrapped its trunk around one of the man's legs and swung him wildly back and forth along the railing, killing him.

Probably wasn't the same elephant.It's all retaliatory. That's the beauty of the Hatfield/McCoy vicious cycle.

We retaliated against Iraq for 9/11, even though Iraq was not involved in the attack.

No problem, we created a new policy of

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Tony Benson on Thu, 06 Jul 2006 22:09:22 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

preemptive retaliation!
Preemptive retaliation, think about that oxymoron. Very creative. Lots of pins dropped when we came up with that one.

I dunno Deej. I think your forum was a good step. I suppose some of what you observed there illustrates the challenge we're talking about.

Cheers,
-Jamie
http://www.JamieKrutz.com

DJ wrote:
>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about
> them?
> Interesting that you should ask. there was recently a forum held at a local
> church here. there were Christians, Jews, Buddhists, Muslims and American
> Indians. Things were going nicely until a Jew asked the Muslims whether they
> would renounce the use of non-retaliatory violence against those of other
> religions. You could have heard a pin drop for about 10 seconds before the
> Muslim gentleman started scooting around like a crawdad.....and he never
> gave a straight answer.
>
>
> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e3f062@linux...
>> Reality is measurable. The nature of reality is often debated. The
>> challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing for
>> disagreement.
>>
>> Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the nightly
>> news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.
>>
>> Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to Durango to
>> convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors concluded
>> weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on 'em!
>>
>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about
> them

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Sarah on Thu, 06 Jul 2006 22:32:26 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

?
>> Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people with
>> little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used and
>> manipulated in the process.
>>
>> I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?
>>
>> Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet. They're
>> after your wife. Vote for me and I'll $$olve the problem!
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> http://www.JamieKrutz.com
>>
>>
>> DJ wrote:
>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
>>>> nature of reality.
>>> I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the nightly
> news
>>> bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who is
>>> justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think I'd
> rather
>>> be dead than be forced to be a mulsim.....and I know my wife would
> rather
>>> die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "Jamie K"

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Deej [1] on Fri, 07 Jul 2006 00:38:05 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

ars ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel compelled
to
>make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently wrong
in
>your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying to
view
>me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call "me."

So, what you believe does not need to be actually true (as in
reflecting reality) but can be true for you alone? Your reality?

This, of course, begs the question of the existence of God, since

your system does not allow for objectivity. You define the "god"
that you need, correct?

Christians believe in an objective creator and absolute morality.
We do not know all of it, and we certainly don't live up to it very
often, but we aspire to it because we believe it to be *real*. It is
a truth claim.
Reality is that which makes universal claims to truth, not a unique
set of views that works for one person only. I believe in a perfect
and objective reality that I aspire to understand some day. I firmly
believe that there is all the evidence I need to understand my role
in life and what the future holds for me however.

>First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant. Faith is

>essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not rest on

>logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own definition if

>you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me.

It's inadequate. Faith is really the active participation of humanity,
in the context of millions of pieces of supportive evidence,
with our eyes wide open, in the creative activity of understanding
and being a part of, existence, as it really is.

It is not blind in the least.

>If you
>have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe in
>gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
>demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.

No one else can explain it either. It's kind of.. ummm... the warping
of the space-time fabric around objects that possess sufficent mass.
Whatever that means.

Ok, the universe is expanding. Hubble's constant, supported
by thousands of observations and experiments, proves it.
But wait, there's not

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Deej [1] on Fri, 07 Jul 2006 00:41:17 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

enough mass to explain this... Ok, it must be

"dark matter" (don't ask), oh no, it's actually "vibrating strings"
which account for the missing mass (really, don't ask!).

No one has ever seen such things. But they make the equations
work out, so they or something like them, may be true.

Does this process sound a lot like my description of faith above?
Of course it does

You simply must discard the mistaken notion that there is objective
evidence that makes faith blind. There is not.

>Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I personally
do
>not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see no

>evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent claims.
 I
>do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel truly
>connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe this
>"supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very superficial and

>frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think it's silly

>to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in the sky."

>I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the opposite, my

>mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient dogma
that
>I have no reason to believe.

No, you can make it up as you go along. Which of course, makes
you "god", doesn't it? How can you possibly be so sure that
God has not tried to contact us, and that Jesus was not who he said
he was? You believe he was not and your belief is based upon
at minimum, the work and traditions of atheists.

But, if God is real, the Creator God whose son was called Jesus,
then it is reasonable that He is interested in communicating with
us. Who has cast "god" solely in her own "unique" model, and who
has placed God outside of us all and needing to be known?
Who has anthropomorphized whom?
How does your "god" differ from an idealized Sarah Jane? How do
you answer atheists and natrualists who call your ideas mere
wishful thinking and comforting myth making?

My God does not fill me with warm feelings very often. He rarely thrills me and makes me feel loved. Mostly He teaches me, and sometimes in brutal fashion, how far I need to go from this wretched being I am. I sometimes really dislike God a lot for kicking my ass so hard and so regularly.

Religious? I dunno how you can call me that...

Now, when I look backwards at my life, and I see how God has led me through things that should have literally killed me, or at least *ruined* my life, and I look at who I am vs. who I would have been without Him, I shudder at how close a call it has been, and I thank Him again for saving me in spite of myself.

>Which leads me to the real po

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by excelav on Fri, 07 Jul 2006 02:24:17 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

bible was handy?

No, because I hated the church, and I called out to God, and he led me back. I still hate it, but I am learning how to make it better.

>Because your parents believed it?

That lasted till about10 years old for me...

>Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus?

Never dropped acid. Always seemed a dumb-sh*t thing to do. sorry

>Because you happened to be born here rather than India or Iran?

See above...

>And if you had been born in India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?

See above...

>Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
>omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this make

>any sense?

Do you have sins? I know I do. Where did this come from? This "sin" thing? It happened first when humans decided they knew better than God, what they should do. Boy, I wish we could take that one back. And so we sin to this day. We needed a way out, a way to escape the natural consequences of sin, that being seperation from God, the source of life. When they Deluxe Reverb gets unplugged from the wall, the music goes away. When the human gets unplugged from God, the human goes away. Jesus was the great liberator of humanity. He provided a way to re-enter a healthy relationship with the almighty, and hey, it only requires a bit of trust and faith. What a deal!

>You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share with

>other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right or
>wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God, Heaven,

>or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
>accordingly.

I never said that! I said without God, there is no basis for right and wrong other than what you think and what I think. Obviously, we think a lot about all this, and we have been trying to come up with secular models for morality since the Enlightenment, but they have all failed because they are all purely self-referential. Your model, for instance, rests on the assumption that "everyone just knows these things". I assure you they do not. This will get worse, not better, in the future.

We can threaten people, we can impose our version or morality with a gun, we can blather about "social contracts" and more, but we cannot come up with a morality that is transcendant and permanent. That's because only God has one.

Oh, and BTW, once again, you are arguing as an atheist:

>One does not need to believe in God, Heaven,
>or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong

But you claim to not be an atheist! But you also claim to not need

God for much of anything other than good feelings. Does God
inform your morality? In what way? If he does not, do you need Him?

>"A man's ethical behavior should b

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by steve the artguy on Fri, 07 Jul 2006 08:00:16 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

course. Survive, don't survive, bake
>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
>>>> they do? All is permitted.
>>>>
>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>The
>>>>>"Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and
>>>>>effective
>>>>
>>>>>way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
>>>>
>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
>>>> rules".
>>>>
>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm fine
>with
>>>>
>>>>>the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can

---

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by rick on Fri, 07 Jul 2006 09:02:58 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

be fun to
>say
>>>>
>>>>>"what if this . . . " or "what if that . . . " but let's be honest here
>>>> --
>>>>>none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even then.
>And

>>>> I'm
>>>>>sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me. It's
>just
>>>>
>>>>>stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly backward
>
>>>>>human
>>>>
>>>>>societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been inspired
>by
>>>> a
>>>>>supreme being.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
>>>> care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
>>>> themselves...
>>>>
>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
>>>> assumptions about existence.
>>>>
>

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by neil[1] on Fri, 07 Jul 2006 15:04:15 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

 Aug 2006 23:35:56 -0600, "Chris Latham"
<latham_c@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>Elephant's Memory - Touching Story.
>
> In 1986, Mkele Mbembe was on holiday in Kenya after graduating
>from college. On a hike through the bush, he came across a young
>bull elephant standing with one leg raised in the air. The elephant seemed
>distressed so Mbembe approached it very carefully.
>
>He got down on one knee and inspected the elephant's foot, and
> found a large thorn deeply embedded in it. As carefully and as
>gently as he could, Mbembe worked the thorn out with his hunting
>knife, after which the elephant gingerly put down its foot.
>
>The elephant turned to face the man and with a rather stern look
>on its face, stared at him. For several tense moments Mbembe

>stood frozen, thinking of nothing else but being trampled.
>Eventually the elephant trumpeted loudly, turned and walked away.
> Mbembe never forgot that elephant or the events of that day.
>
>Twenty years later he was walking through a zoo with his teenaged
>son. As they approached the elephant enclosure, one of the
>creatures turned and walked over to near where Mbembe and his son Tapu
>were standing. The large bull elephant stared at Mbembe and lifted
>its front foot off the ground then put it down. The elephant did
>that several times then trumpeted loudly, all the while staring at the
>man.
>
>Remembering the encounter in 1986, Mbembe couldn't help
>wondering if this was the same elephant. Mbembe summoned up his courage,
>climbed over the railing and made his way into the enclosure. He walked
>right
>up to the elephant and stared back in wonder.
>
> Suddenly the elephant trumpeted again, wrapped its trunk around
>one of the man's legs and swung him wildly back and forth along
>the railing, killing him.
>
>Probably wasn't the same elephant.
>Hi Wayne, you still need a nice clean (no pace) Paris.exe?

Wayne Carson" <carson_wayne@msn.com> wrote in message news:44e33dfb@linux...
> Thanks Aaaron.
>
> Jim, I've tried to find antipace. Could you steer me

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Fri, 07 Jul 2006 21:15:32 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

about the
>>
>>>>potential consequences of this.
>>>>
>>>>But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour
that
>>I
>>>>could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by now
>>that
>>>>the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings
to
>>
>>>>them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again, beliefs

>>
>>>>are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are
not
>>
>>>>wrong simply because they contradict yours.
>>>>
>>>>OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
>>>>
>>>>Sarah
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message news:44e23a46$1@linux...
>>>>>
>>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>>>>The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by faith.
>>>>>>(Speaking from personal experience).
>>>>>
>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call God
>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views are
>>>>> human at the source.
>>>>>
>>>>> I disagree emphatically.
>>>>>
>>>>> Everything prodeeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
>>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
>>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and then
>>left
>>>>> it
>>>>>>up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than competition.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
>>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
>>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
>>>>> they do? All is permitted.
>>>>>
>>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>The
>>>>>>"Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and

>>>>>>effective
>>>>>

>>>>>>way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
>>>>>
>>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
>>>>> rules".
>>>>>
>>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
>>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
>>>>>

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Fri, 07 Jul 2006 21:17:59 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

/>
>>>>>
>>>>>>As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm fine
>>with
>>>>>
>>>>>>the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun to
>>say
>>>>>
>>>>>>"what if this . . . " or "what if that . . . " but let's be honest
here
>>>>> --
>>>>>>none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even then.

>>And
>>>>> I'm
>>>>>>sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me. It's
>>just
>>>>>
>>>>>>stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly backward
>>
>>>>>>human
>>>>>
>>>>>>societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been inspired
>>by
>>>>> a
>>>>>>supreme being.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
>>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
>>>>> care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
>>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
>>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
>>>>> themselves...

>>>>>
>>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
>>>>> assumptions about existence.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis
>>>>>>(conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile. What
>>do
>>>>> you
>>>>>>think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of brown
>>
>>>>>>rice?
>>>>>
>>>>>>I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists? 100?
>>>>> 500?
>>>>>>1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent and
>&g

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Fri, 07 Jul 2006 21:20:10 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

t;
>>>>>>their
>>>>>
>>>>>>families.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or maimed.
>>>>>
>>>>>>If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can think
>>of
>>>>> some
>>>>>>heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but it's
>>a
>>>>>
>>>>>>nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange jumpsuits
>>and
>>>>>
>>>>>>chains . . . ni-i-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Sarah
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> And it is this view that conerns me that we, as a people, are no
>>>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all
>>>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
>>>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you

>>>>> would have to.
>>>>>
>>>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring themselves
>>to do
>>>>> what they must to protect our way of life.
>>>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
>>>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
>>>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
>>>>>
>>>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
>>>>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
>>>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms, to
kill
>>>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
>>>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
>>>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
>>>>>
>>>>> DC
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>That's right, continuing the staggering pace of release that brought us SEVEN versions in TEN years, Debian will be getting another major upgrade this December!

http://www.debian.org/devel/debian-installer/News/2006/20060 811

Now with a (completely useless) graphical installer! And boot time installation of encrypted partitions!

TCBJamie,

Can you name even one instance wherein a population becaume Muslim by any means other

---

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Fri, 07 Jul 2006 21:35:46 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

than military conquest?

Deej

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e40472@linux...
>

> It's all retaliatory. That's the beauty of the Hatfield/McCoy vicious
cycle.
>
> We retaliated against Iraq for 9/11, even though Iraq was not involved
> in the attack.
>
> No problem, we created a new policy of preemptive retaliation!
> Preemptive retaliation, think about t

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Fri, 07 Jul 2006 21:37:25 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

hat oxymoron. Very creative. Lots
> of pins dropped when we came up with that one.
>
> I dunno Deej. I think your forum was a good step. I suppose some of what
> you observed there illustrates the challenge we're talking about.
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> http://www.JamieKrutz.com
>
>
> DJ wrote:
> >> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about
> > them?
> > Interesting that you should ask. there was recently a forum held at a
local
> > church here. there were Christians, Jews, Buddhists, Muslims and
American
> > Indians. Things were going nicely until a Jew asked the Muslims whether
they
> > would renounce the use of non-retaliatory violence against those of
other
> > religions. You could have heard a pin drop for about 10 seconds before
the
> > Muslim gentleman started scooting around like a crawdad.....and he
never
> > gave a straight answer.
> >
> >
> > "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e3f062@linux...
> >> Reality is measurable. The nature of reality is often debated. The
> >> challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing for
> >> disagreement.
> >>

> >> Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the nightly
> >> news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.
> >>
> >> Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to Durango
to
> >> convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors concluded
> >> weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on 'em!
> >>
> >> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about
> > them?
> >> Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people with
> >> little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used and
> >> manipulated in the process.
> >>
> >> I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?
> >>
> >> Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet. They're
> >> after your wife. Vote for me and I'll $$olve the problem!
> >>
> >> Cheers,
> >> -Jamie
> >> http://www.JamieKrutz.com
> >>
> >>
> >> DJ wrote:
> >>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
> >>>> nature of reality.
> >>> I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the
nightly
> > news
> >>> bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who is
> >>> justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think I'd
> > rather
> >>> be dead than be forced to be a mulsim.....and I know my wife would
> > rather
> >>> die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> "Jamie K" <

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Fri, 07 Jul 2006 21:42:42 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

a href="mailto:Meta@Dimensional.com" target="_blank">Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in
message

news:44e3ae02@linux...
> >>>> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive
> > dialog
> >>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
> >>>> nature of reality.
> >>>>
> >>>> Great post, Sarah.
> >>>>
> >>>> Cheers,
> >>>> -Jamie
> >>>> http://www.JamieKrutz.com
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> Sarah wrote:
> >>>>> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I
> > learned
> >>>>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel
> > compelled
> >>> to
> >>>>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently
> > wrong
> >>> in
> >>>>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying
> > to
> >>> view
> >>>>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call
> >>> "me."
> >>>>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant.
Faith
> > is
> >>>>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not
> > rest
> >>> on
> >>>>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own
definition
> >>> if
> >>>>> you need to

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Deej [1] on Fri, 07 Jul 2006 21:43:41 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

/>
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer
words:
> > I

> >>>>> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG time
> >>> ago),
> >>>>> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to believe
> >>> that
> >>>>> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a
> >>> smattering
> >>>>> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it
> >>> claims
> >>>>> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient human
> >>> beings
> >>>>> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible is
> > the
> >>>>> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme being.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe in
> > a
> >>> way
> >>>>> that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT
> > you
> >>>>> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years.
> >>> What I
> >>>>> don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope? Because
> >>> you
> >>>>> were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your
> > parents
> >>>>> believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you
> >>> happened
> >>>>> to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born
> > in
> >>>>> India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
> >>>>> omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this
> >>> make
> >>>>> any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough of a
> >>> threat
> >>>>> to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill him?
> >>>>>
> >>>>> You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share
> > with

> >>>>> other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right
or
> >>>>> wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God,
> >>> Heaven,
> >>>>> or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
> >>>>> accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually
> > on
> >>>>> sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis
is
> >>>>> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be
> > restrained
> >>> by
> >>>>> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert
Einstein
> >>>>>
> >>>>> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the
> >>> evil of
> >>>>> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about
> > what
> >>> has
> >>>>> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I
> > believe
> >>>>> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about
the
> >>>>> potential consequences of this.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour
> >>> that I
> >>>>> could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by
now
> >>> that
> >>>>> the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings
> > to
> >>>>> them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again,
> >>> beliefs
> >>>>> are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are
> > not
> >>>>> wrong simply because they contradict yours.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Sarah
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>> "DC" <

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Fri, 07 Jul 2006 21:44:50 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me.
> > If
> >>> you
> >>>>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe
in
> >>>>> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
> >>>>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I
> > personally
> >>> do
> >>>>> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see
> > no
> >>>>> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent
> > claims.
> >>> I
> >>>>> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel
truly
> >>>>> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe
this
> >>>>> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very
superficial
> >>> and
> >>>>> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think
it's
> >>> silly
> >>>>> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in
the
> >>> sky."
> >>>>> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the
opposite,
> > my
> >>>>> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient
> > dogma
> >>> that
> >>>>> I have no reason to believe.

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Fri, 07 Jul 2006 21:50:31 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

;spammersinmaui.com" target="_blank">dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message
news:44e23a46$1@linux...

> >>>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by
> > faith.
> >>>>>>> (Speaking from personal experience).
> >>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call
God
> >>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
> >>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views
are
> >>>>>> human at the source.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> I disagree emphatically.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Everything prodeeds from one's assumptions. Even considering

---

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Deej [1] on Fri, 07 Jul 2006 22:15:22 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

/>
> >>>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
> >>>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and
then
> >>> left
> >>>>>> it
> >>>>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than
> > competition.
> >>>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
> >>>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
> >>>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
> >>>>>> they do? All is permitted.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>> The
> >>>>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and
> >>>>>>> effective
> >>>>>>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
> >>>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
> >>>>>> rules".
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's

---

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 05:00:12 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

ef="http://www.JamieKrutz.com" target="_blank">http://www.JamieKrutz.com

DJ wrote:
> Jamie,
>
> Can you name even one instance wherein a population becaume Muslim by any
> means other than military conquest?
>
> Deej
>
> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e40472@linux...
>> It's all retaliatory. That's the beauty of the Hatfield/McCoy vicious
> cycle.
>> We retaliated against Iraq for 9/11, even though Iraq was not involved
>> in the attack.
>>
>> No problem, we created a new policy of preemptive retaliation!
>> Preemptive retaliation, think about that oxymoron. Very creative. Lots
>> of pins dropped when we came up with that one.
>>
>> I dunno Deej. I think your forum was a good step. I suppose some of what
>> you observed there illustrates the challenge we're talking about.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> http://www.JamieKrutz.com
>>
>>
>> DJ wrote:
>>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about
>>> them?
>>> Interesting that you should ask. there was recently a forum held at a
> local
>>> church here. there were Christians, Jews, Buddhists, Muslims and
> American
>>> Indians. Things were going nicely until a Jew asked the Muslims whether
> they
>>> would renounce the use of non-retaliatory violence against those of
> other
>>> religions. You could have heard a pin drop for about 10 seconds before
> the
>>> Muslim gentleman started scooting around like a crawdad.....and he
> never
>>> gave a straight answer.

>>>
>>>
>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e3f062@linux...
>>>> Reality is measurable. The nature of reality is often debated. The
>>>> challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing for
>>>> disagreement.
>>>>
>>>> Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the nightly
>>>> news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.
>>>>
>>>> Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to Durango
> to
>>>> convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors concluded
>>>> weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on 'em!
>>>>
>>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about
>>> them?
>>>> Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people with
>>>> little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used and
>>>> manipulated in the process.
>>>>
>>>> I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?
>>>>
>>>> Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet. They're
>>>> after your wife. Vote for me and I'll $$olve the problem!
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> http://www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> DJ wrote:
>>>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
>>>>>> nature of reality.
>>>>> I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the
> nightly
>>> news
>>>>> bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who is
>>>>> justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think I'd
>>> rather
>>>>> be dead than be forced to be a mulsim.....and I know my wife would
>>> rather
>>>>> die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
> news:44e3ae02@linux...

>>>>>> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive
>>> dialog
>>>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
>>>>>> nature of reality.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Great post, Sarah.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>> http://www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Sarah wrote:
>>>>>>> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I
>>> learned
>>>>>>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel
>>> compelled
>>>>> to
>>>>>>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently
>>> wrong
>>>

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 05:04:22 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

>> in
>>>>>>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying
>>> to
>>>>> view
>>>>>>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call
>>>>> "me."
>>>>>>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant.
> Faith
>>> is
>>>>>>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not
>>> rest
>>>>> on
>>>>>>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own
> definition
>>>>> if
>>>>>>> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me.
>>> If
>>>>> you
>>>>>>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe
> in
>>>>>>> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,

>>>>>>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I
>>> personally
>>>>> do
>>>>>>> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see
>>> no
>>>>>>> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent
>>> claims.
>>>>> I
>>>>>>> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel
> truly
>>>>>>> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe
> this
>>>>>>> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very
> superficial
>>>>> and
>>>>>>> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think
> it's
>>&

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Deej [1] on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 15:52:52 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

you gonna do
>>> about
>>>>>>> them?
>>>>>>> Interesting that you should ask. there was recently a forum held at
> a
>>>>> local
>>>>>>> church here. there were Christians, Jews, Buddhists, Muslims and
>>>>> American
>>>>>>> Indians. Things were going nicely until a Jew asked the Muslims
>>> whether
>>>>> they
>>>>>>> would renounce the use of non-retaliatory violence against those of
>>>>> other
>>>>>>> religions. You could have heard a pin drop for about 10 seconds
> before
>>>>> the
>>>>>>> Muslim gentleman started scooting around like a crawdad.....and
> he
>>>>> never
>>>>>>> gave a straight answer.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
>>> news:44e3f062@linux...
>>>>>>>> Reality is measurable. The nature of reality is often debated. The
>>>>>>>> challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing
>>> for
>>>>>>>> disagreement.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the
>>> nightly
>>>>>>>> news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to
> Durango
>>>>> to
>>>>>>>> convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors
>>> concluded
>>>>>>>> weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on
> 'em!
>>>>>>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do
>>> about
>>>>>>> them?
>>>>>>>> Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people
>>> with
>>>>>>>> little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used
>>> and
>>>>>>>> manipulated in the process.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet.
> They're
>>>>>>>> after your wife. Vote for me and I'll $$olve the problem!
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>>>> http://www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> DJ wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> b

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Kim on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 16:38:38 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

rld. Scary huh?
> >>>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and
> > then
> >>>>> left
> >>>>>>>>> it
> >>>>>>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than
> >>> competition.
> >>>>>>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
> >>>>>>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
> >>>>>>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
> >>>>>>>>> they do? All is permitted.
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>> The
> >>>>>>>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical
and
> >>>>>>>>>> effective
> >>>>>>>>>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
> >>>>>>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
> >>>>>>>>> rules".
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
> >>>>>>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm
> > fine
> >>>>> with
> >>>>>>>>>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be
fun
> > to
> >>>>> say
> >>>>>>>>>> "what if this . . . " or "what if that . . . " but let's be
honest
> >>>>> here
> >>>>>>>>> --
> >>>>>>>>>> none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even
> > then.
> >>>>> And
> >>>>>>>>> I'm
> >>>>>>>>>> sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me.
> >>> It's
> >>>>> just
> >>>>>>>>>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly
> >>> backward
> >>>>>>>>>> human

> >>>>>>>>> societies thousands of years ago who may or ma

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Deej [1] on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 17:21:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

y not have been
> >>> inspired
> >>>>> by
> >>>>>>>> a
> >>>>>>>>> supreme being.
> >>>>>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
> >>>>>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
> >>>>>>>> care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
> >>>>>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
> >>>>>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
> >>>>>>>> themselves...
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
> >>>>>>>> assumptions about existence.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead
> > Iraqis
> >>>>>>>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile.
> > What
> >>>>> do
> >>>>>>>> you
> >>>>>>>>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of
> >>> brown
> >>>>>>>>> rice?
> >>>>>>>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists?
> >>> 100?
> >>>>>>>> 500?
> >>>>>>>>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were
innocent
> >>> and
> >>>>>>>>> their
> >>>>>>>>> families.
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded
or
> >>>>> maimed.
> >>>>>>>>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can
> > think
> >>>>> of
> >>>>>>>> some

> >>>>>>>>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but
> >>> it's
> >>>>> a
> >>>>>>>>> nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange
> >>> jumpsuits
> >>>>> and
> >>>>>>>>> chains . . . ni-i-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>> Sarah
> >>>>>>>> And it is this view that conerns me that we, as a people, are no
> >>>>>>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all
> >>>>>>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
> >>>>>>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you
> >>>>>>>> would have to.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring
> > themselves
> >>> to
> >>&gt

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Sarah on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 21:00:39 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

>> memorial to victims of Katrina - one that includes a cross. The land is
>> private as is the funding, but as it is in public view, the ACLU is taking
>> issue. If we exercise equality of "rights" would that not give me the right
>> as a Christian to file suit against an adult nightclub for a billboard
>> promoting topless dancing, with suggestive photos? No, that would violate
>> the owner's right to free speech, and others' opinion of what is
>> "suggestive" and whether that could "suggest" anything objectionable for
>> anyone. What is the difference? A cross isn't going to tempt anyone to get
>> drunk, spend money that should be going to support a family, or worst case,
>> rape a woman (really worst case, not a statistical norm that I know of, at
>> least hope not) - it is actually more likely to cause the exact opposite,
>> but yet it is becoming a more common source of public outcry than crime
>> rates or corporate corruption.
>>
>> My prediction is that Christian churches will start being targeted by the
>> ACLU and other "rights" groups not wanting structures of any kind that
>> promote Christianity in public view. And it wouldn't be much of a leap from
>> there to having something as simple as praying over one's meal in public
>> become grounds for dismissal from a restaurant, if not legal or criminal
>> action. Sure, that's extrapolation, but that may give you a bit of insight

>> into why there seems to be more of a push by Christians to get Christians
>> involved in political issues - not to take over or dictate, but to protect
>> the freedom we are also supposed to be allowed. There are other examples of
>> how this trend is progressing, bu

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Kim on Sun, 09 Jul 2006 02:42:22 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

her
>>>>>strong opinions on the non-Christian side - often even claiming that
>>>>>Christianity is more violent than Islam, or that Christianity somehow
>>is
>>>>>responsible for our country going to Iraq (incredibly far from the truth).
>>>>>Isn't that just one step away from Mel Gibson's drunken debacle of
>>>>>slandering Jews? I'm sure that may not be the intention, but consider
>>>the
>>>>>arguments here for equal rights and peace vs. the way Christianity is
>>>>>discussed. If we are truly tolerant of one another, we wouldn't need
>>to
>>>>>ascribe violence and/or all of our country's, or the world's problems
>>to
>>>>the
>>>>>faith of someone we might chat with casually in other circumstances.
>
>>I'm
>>>>>not asking this from a "victim" mentality in any form or fashion (far
>>from
>>>>>it). I'm just raising the question out of genuine curiosity and broadening
>>>>>the perspectives here.
>>>>>
>>>>>For one societal example, in New Orleans the ACLU is protesting (and
>>>>>probably filed suit) against people in one community for wanting to
build
>>>>a
>>>>>me

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Paul Braun on Sun, 09 Jul 2006 04:16:44 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

target="_blank">1@linux...
>
> "tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:
> >Hi again..You could possibly be correct in a small way,on a electric

> >solid-body guitar...but not in a "universal" and scientific way.
>
>
> You're just not getting it. Try this. Take a good tuner, say a
> Petersen. Now take a variety of guitars, use any scale length or
> multiple scale lengths that you wish.
>
> Compare the perfectly tuned open string to the pitch at the first
> fret. Use consistent pressure. Over a sampling of several guitars,
> you will find pitch problems unless the nut is compensated.
>
> These pitch problems make significant problems when the chord
> you play has different open strings than another chord. If one chord
> is in tune, another is not. Compensating the nut to match the
> needs of the individual guitars solves the problem.
>
> I can show you the difference on a Petersen tuner, and it certainly
> is audible.
>
>
> >You are
> >totally wrong about

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Miguel Vigil [1] on Sun, 09 Jul 2006 17:26:52 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

oft.com/refer.html">http://www.polesoft.com/refer=

>
>"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QjA5faZF1A8

That made my day!

..... and I'll take two bean burritos and a coke.....Terrific.

Loved the player, hated the drum machine...

Hey, he needs a MagicStomp! He could do all the parts on guitar then!

heh

DC

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Sun, 09 Jul 2006 22:19:05 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

/>
>>>>
>>>>So for me, at 80 bucks delivered, (versus the $400 ToneLab) I don't really
>>>
>>>>need to hear the sounds in the STOMP II nearly as much as needing to
know
>>>
>>>>that (Deej) someone with reputable ears has played it, heard it, likes
>it,
>>>
>>>>makes zero complaint about noise level or fidelity and has general
>>>>positives
>>>
>>>>about it.
>>>>
>>>>W.
>>>>
>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:44e77888$1@linux...
>>>>>
>>>>> Why not just get a ToneLab SE for 5 times the cost and know that you
>got
>>>
>>>>> the
>>>>> real SHIT !
>>>>>
>>>>> "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>>>>>>In stock again - ordered today. Free shipping... sweet deal -- even
>if
>>>>> it
>>>>>>has only ONE great sound in it.
>>>>>>W.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.org> wrote in message news:44e0efff$1@linux...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> It's a Yamaha MagicStomp.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> http://www.musiciansfriend.com/product/Yamaha-Magicstomp-II-
Guitar-Effects-Processor?sku=151560
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Looks like they are out until the 18th.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> DC
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>

>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>"I have actually thought long and hard about going over there myself. If there was something I could do other than get in the way. and I didn't have a family to support, I might check
with the military to see if there's a *geezers batallion*. "

DJ, Ae you kidding!! Friend, The Dom himself(Rumsfeld) does not want to be there!! Rice, Bush, Cheney, all of the Generals have pretty much "abandoned Ship" or have you not heard??

It's a mess!! We have egg all over our faces in the middle east. We have "LOST!!!" the both the political and the tactical" war in Iraq and the middle east..

Then, to add insult to injury, we(with Isreal)fight a 30plus day war to save face and beat the hezbollahs..Well, again, we lost the political battle and the Hezbollahs did not fall. Then, there's the on-goining Afganistan battle where the Taliban is holding it's ground..
So, my "sharp" shooting friend, what good are your skills, if you incounter a road-side care bomb??
Nothing. ///Stay home, and record. Leave the these propoganda wars to the war-lords..No need in good patriots like yourself to be wasted like our kids over in the middle east for such a lousy reason.

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>I hate the idea of war as much as you do. I have actually thought long and
>hard about going over there myself. If there was something I could do other
>than get i

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Sun, 09 Jul 2006 22:39:30 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

n the way. and I didn't have a family to support, I might check
>with the military to see if there's a *geezers batallion*. I don't have
any
>formal military training, but I know how to handle firearms, and I'm deadly
>accurate.
>It would piss off my wife to no end and I'm 56 and 'purt'near used up so
I

>don't know how well this old body would handle the rigours of battlefield
>combat and the heat, but I'll guarantee you that I would have no problem
at
>all if muy son or daughter joined a volunteer army and that army was called
>on to fight, I would support them 100%.
>
>If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready to fight.
>Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a regime
>change here. The politics of the left are much more abhorrent to them than
>those of the right. They are, however, quite happy to allow the left to
do
>their political infighting for them here. The weaker and less resolved we
>are the better they like it.
>
>Here's what you're in for amigo:
> http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=54 26
>
>The human rights section and the women's section is especially wonderful.
>Enjoy.
>
>
>Regards,
>
>DJ
>
>
>
>"LaMOnt" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e8083f$1@linux...
>>
>> Hopefully the opposing party, they would stand for our constitutional
>rights
>> and it's Liberties..
>>
>> Even more, the opposing party would not be thrill seeking war mongers,
>who's
>> only agenda is to spread freedom thru out he world (ha hah). I would hope
>> any opposing party will grow some balls and speak out against this
>tierany.
>> There are just as many Democrats who signed on with the Bush(ites).. But
>> as we saw with the begining of the mid term primaries, those Democrats
who
>> were with the Bush(ites) went down with ship.
>>
>> Evenmore.The thougth of spreading democracy into a region were war is
>second
>> nature for over 10,000 years is laughable at best. Those fold over there(
>> Iran, Iraq,Saudi Aurabia, Seria, afganistan,) will Never stop fighting
or

>> give in to so called democracy..Never.
>> Will you send you son or daughter over to fight??
>> I thoguht so..
>>
>> "DJ" <

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Sun, 09 Jul 2006 23:28:42 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

get="_blank">animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
>terrorist..Why??
>> >At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
>Goverment.aka
>> >Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and the
>good
>> >of this union.
>> >
>> >.....and if there was an election today, what would the opposing
>party
>> >stand for LaMont?
>> >
>> >"LaMOnt" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e79af9$1@linux...
>> >>
>> >> Steve, that link you posted does not make a good enough argument to
>debut
>> >> the "Loose Change movie (documentary).
>> >>
>> >> I'm not a conpriracist, but I've never believed the US govermnet's
>stories
>> >> on 911. IMHO, we are dealing with a some really power hungry, sadistic,
>> >neo-conservatives
>> >> in the white house and his fellow neo cons.
>> >>
>> >> They are evil to the core. And have, dragged this nation down to the
>> >pits!!!..
>> >> It's really ashame that we 2 years left with these evil neo-cons. They
>> are
>> >> as anti american as the as the so-called terrorist. Impeachment
>hearings
>> >> should start immediatly.!
>> >>
>> >> And to think, we let them Impeach Clinton.. Now, with our civil
>liberties
>> >> being taken from us, a Patriot act which gives the Gov and police the
>> >right

>> >> to do anything they want. And a phony department names Department of
>> >Homeland
>> >> security, which is a weak as 2 minute cornflakes all under the shamof
>> >making
>> >> us "feel safe"!! Safe from what ?? The terrorist??or

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Kim on Sun, 09 Jul 2006 23:33:53 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

our Goverment???
>> >>
>> >> These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
>terrorist..Why??
>> >> At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
>Goverment.aka
>> >> Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and the
>> good
>> >> of this union. Not, to make us feel threaten, un-patriotic, fearful,
>> and
>> >> distrustful of it's workings.They are threating is taking away
>> >"free-speech,
>> >> and most importantly, 'A right to decent!~!!" ..
>> >>
>> >> I love the poeple of this Nation. For we are a great people!!! But,
we
>> are
>> >> under the leadership of a wanna be dictator!!and his cronnies..
>Lastly,
>> >> most americans feel 'Less Safe " now than 2002.. Wanna bet? Let's first
>> >ask
>> >> the good folks from New Orleans & Mississippi and Alabama .. That
>showed
>> >> every American how our Goverment would repsond if we were attacked..???
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> "steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >>
>>
>>>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+chang
>e
>> >> >
>> >> >Nappy-

>> >> >
>> >> >first of all, it's great to see you again!
>> >> >
>> >> >second of all, I was pretty impressed by Loose Change.
>> >> >Then some months later I read this:
>> >> >
>> >> >http://911research.wtc7.net/reviews/loose_change/index.html
>> >> >
>> >> >Check it out!
>> >> >
>> >> >-steve
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>I'm with DJ on this one Lamont. If we even consider saying we would rather support the terrorists, they've already won. The

---

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Sun, 09 Jul 2006 23:40:35 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

only step remaining is to
invite them in to take over - they don't really want your support, or to see you hate Bush - they just want us gone, done, out of sight, out of mind.

Don't expect the Democratic party to win any friends in the Middle East. Trying to please everyone to keep from offending anyone never works (the sad state of the Dem platform) - you just end up without a platform to stand on and nothing to show for your efforts. The terrorists won't stop until the US is destroyed. At this point it doesn't matter whether we are Republican, Democrat, Independent, or whatever - if we fight and fear our own country and each other more than the terrorists that not only threaten our country, but many others, we will be overtaken, either politically, militarily, or economically.

Be careful what you wish for...

Despite the bizarre happenings of the last several years (including Clinton's era, 9/11, and the Iraq war), I believe in my gut that we've been protected from something that would make 9/11 look like a fender bender in a Walmart parking lot - or maybe it's been postponed. Who's doing the protecting is a matter of belief, and it isn't justification on my part in any way - it's a serious gut feeling I've had for a long time, and I've only had a few like this in my lifetime - all were right, but feel free to take it with a grain of salt. Considering that we seem to be rebelling against

the very notion that our country is in danger from a threat we really would rather not recognize because we might offend someone (viewing Islamic extremists with almost apology and sympathy), rather th

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Mon, 10 Jul 2006 00:03:55 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

an actually being
concerned about what this growing terrorist movement means, our future as a country looks rather grim.

I think it is important to be aware that al Quaeda's motivation seems to have grown far beyond their organization (the UK/plane hijacking plot just foiled was only loosely tied to al Quaeda). At this point, taking out al Quaeda would hardly make a dent in the threat.

Just my .02,
Dedric

On 8/20/06 1:15 AM, in article 44e80c10@linux, "DJ"
<animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

> I hate the idea of war as much as you do. I have actually thought long and
> hard about going over there myself. If there was something I could do other
> than get in the way. and I didn't have a family to support, I might check
> with the military to see if there's a *geezers batallion*. I don't have any
> formal military training, but I know how to handle firearms, and I'm deadly
> accurate.
> It would piss off my wife to no end and I'm 56 and 'purt'near used up so I
> don't know how well this old body would handle the rigours of battlefield
> combat and the heat, but I'll guarantee you that I would have no problem at
> all if muy son or daughter joined a volunteer army and that army was called
> on to fight, I would support them 100%.
>
> If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready to fight.
> Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a regime
> change here. The politics of the left are much more abhorrent to them than
> those of the right. They are, however, quite happy to allow the left to do
> their political infighting for them here. The weaker and less resolved we

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Mon, 10 Jul 2006 00:06:10 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

>
> are the better they like it.
>
> Here's what you're in for amigo:
> http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=54 26
>
> The human rights section and the women's section is especially wonderful.
> Enjoy.
>
>
> Regards,
>
> DJ
>
>
>
> "LaMOnt" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e8083f$1@linux...
>>
>> Hopefully the opposing party, they would stand for our constitutional
> rights
>> and it's Liberties..
>>
>> Even more, the opposing party would not be thrill seeking war mongers,
> who's
>> only agenda is to spread freedom thru out he world (ha hah). I would hope
>> any opposing party will grow some balls and speak out against this
> tierany.
>> There are just as many Democrats who signed on with the Bush(ites).. But
>> as we saw with the begining of the mid term primaries, those Democrats who
>> were with the Bush(ites) went down with ship.
>>
>> Evenmore.The thougth of spreading democracy into a region were war is
> second
>> nature for over 10,000 years is laughable at best. Those fold over there(
>> Iran, Iraq,Saudi Aurabia, Seria, afganistan,) will Never stop fighting or
>> give in to so called democracy..Never.
>> Will you send you son or daughter over to fight??
>> I thoguht so..
>>
>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>> These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
> terrorist..Why??
>>> At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
> Goverment.aka
>>> Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and the
> good
>>> of this union.
>>>

>>> .....and if there was an election today, what would the opposing
> party
>>> stand for LaMont?
>>>
>>> "LaMOnt" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e79af9$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> Steve, that link you posted does not make a good enough argument to
> debut
>>>> the "Loose Change movie (documentary).
>>>>
>>>> I'm not a conpriracist, but I've never believed the US govermnet's
> stories
>>>> on 911. IMHO, we are dealing with a some really power hungry, sadistic,
>>> neo-conservatives
>>>> in the white house and his fellow neo cons.
>>>>
>>>> They are evil to the core. And have, dragged this nation

---

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Kim on Mon, 10 Jul 2006 00:28:16 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

down to the
>>> pits!!!..
>>>> It's really ashame that we 2 years left with these evil neo-cons. They
>> are
>>>> as anti american as the as the so-called terrorist. Impeachment
> hearings
>>>> should start immediatly.!
>>>>
>>>> And to think, we let them Impeach Clinton.. Now, with our civil
> liberties
>>>> being taken from us, a Patriot act which gives the Gov and police the
>>> right
>>>> to do anything they want. And a phony department names Department of
>>> Homeland
>>>> security, which is a weak as 2 minute cornflakes all under the shamof
>>> making
>>>> us "feel safe"!! Safe from what ?? The terrorist??or our Goverment???
>>>>
>>>> These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
> terrorist..Why??
>>>> At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
> Goverment.aka
>>>> Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and the
>> good
>>>> of this union. Not, to make us feel threaten, un-patriotic, fearful,

>> and
>>>> distrustful of it's workings.They are threating is taking away
>>> "free-speech,
>>>> and most importantly, 'A right to decent!~!!" ..
>>>>
>>>> I love the poeple of this Nation. For we are a great people!!! But, we
>> are
>>>> under the leadership of a wanna be dictator!!and his cronnies..
> Lastly,
>>>> most americans feel 'Less Safe " now than 2002.. Wanna bet? Let's first
>>> ask
>>>> the good folks from New Orleans & Mississippi and Alabama .. That
> showed
>>>> every American how our Goverment would repsond if we were attacked..???
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> "Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>
>>
>>>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+chang
> e
>>>>>
>>>>> Nappy-
>>>>>
>>>>> first of all, it's great to see you again!
>>>>>
>>>>> second of all, I was pretty impressed by Loose Change.
>>>>> Then some months later I read this:
>>>>>
>>>>> http://911research.wtc7.net/reviews/loose_change/index.html
>>>>>
>>>>> Check it out!
>>>>>
>>>>> -steve
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>This is a good idea man, ala NY drum sub compression.
http://www.barberelectronics.com/tonepress.htm

AA

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:44e7d9d3$1@linux...
>
> The TC chrous is a lovely pedal. Really the best chorus pedal out there.
>
>
> This flanger looks a

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Kim on Mon, 10 Jul 2006 00:29:33 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

mazing to me.
>
> http://www.foxroxelectronics.com/
>
> (click on Paradox TZF) it seems to come really close to what we
> did with 2 tape machines years ago.

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Deej [1] on Mon, 10 Jul 2006 15:58:39 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

; >>
> >>
> >>
> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> >>Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the
current
> >> >administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in
and
> >> >stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when
> >teaming
> >> >with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the
> >> >American public, not military leaders.<
> >> >
> >> >This is exactly what has happened. If the left can't get Bush at the
> >ballot
> >> >box, then let's get the media allies onboard and just take the whole
> >damned
> >> >country down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive
ideology.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >

> >> >"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
> >> >news:C10E111B.2942%dterry@keyofd.net...
> >> >> On 8/20/06 12:33 PM, in article 44e8aad4@linux, "DJ"
> >> >> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent.
> That
> >> >says
> >> >> >> that most of the folks who voted for him does not suppport him or
> >that
> >> >> > "sham"
> >> >> >> Iraq war.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was
> >still
> >> >going
> >> >> > strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took
office.
> >> >>
> >> >> And let's also not forget that the Iraq war we went to fight is over
> -
> >> the
> >> >> Hussein regime is no longer; no WMDs in sight, done. But instead,
> we
> >> are
> >> >> now playing intervention peace maker to help the Iraq government
with
> >> what
> >> >> has turned into a nightmare rather than a transition. The greatest
> >number
> >> >> of deaths from this "war" have happened directly because of the
> >insurgency
> >> >> of terrorists (car bombs, roadside bombs, snipers, etc) - not at the
> >hands
> >> >> of the old Iraqi military or Hussein, but by outsider terrorists and
> >thugs
> >> >> (the

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Miguel Vigil [1] on Mon, 10 Jul 2006 16:01:06 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

>
>I don't think the administration or the democrats want to close the borders.
It wouldn't be politically prodent. whoever does that loses the hispanic

vote.

>My questions are: Whatever happed to "We" US people will let you guys fight your little war, and you'll "Bush-ites" will give us cheap gas??<

Where did you get that? Can you quote a source. That was the Clinton mantra my friend. The ecenomy appears to be good so let's keep whistling in the dark aned not rock the boat while the threats gather on the horizon. If 911 would have happened a year earlier, the blame would have been clear to even you.

>Well, we all got reamed with no grease on all fronts.. I live on a boader state-city (Cannda/Windor Ontario).. I guess since we're not geting the infl;ux
of hispanics like you guys in the southwest, there is not a big brew ha..No, up here, it's about Jobs, Jobs, Jobs, Jobs and Gas$$$$ Gas $$$$ Gas$$$$..<

I've got no problem with peoiple who happen to be from Mexico or anywhere else. I've got a problem with an uncontrolled border and with terrorists..As far as jobs jobs jobs are concerned, perhaps you should consider the reason why the detroit auto industry doesn't produce cars that people want to buy anymore. Compared to what is available from the foriegn competitors, they are overpriced crap my friend. If they built the Honda spec, the car would cost so much that no one would buy it because of the amount of union lard that is ladled onto the price tag of each vehicle so they cut corners and build cheap because that the only way they can achieve a copmpetitive price point. They have been crap since the early 70's.

"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e95d65$1@linux...
>
> Hey DJ,
> Do you think that just maybe the Bush(ites) don't want to close the borders?
> I mean, hes' the great protector and all..Right?
> Just maybe they have something more elaborate up their collective sleeves
> Humm??
>
> Maybe they want another 911..TO:
>
> -Really convince the american people that "total globalization" and expansion
> is the only way..

>
> -spread democracy to e

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Tom Bruhl on Mon, 10 Jul 2006 16:38:26 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

>hope is in life." - Chuck Colson
>
>Dedric
>
>On 8/21/06 1:05 AM, in article 44e95b3a$1@linux, "LaMont"
><jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
>>
>> What I'm saying is (from aprevious post) is that I feel that this
>> administration
>> are killers. They killed our young soldiers for a stupid cause.
>> As to my I'll take the terroist" statement..Well, Dedric ask an Iraqi
citizen
>> about who they think are the terrorist???
>>
>> As for that statement being unchristian. Well who said that the American
>> policy is the "Thee" authority of Christianity???
>> My views are not slanderer not in fear of speaking against a burgeoning
>> tyranny.
>>
>>
>> I know full well I don't speak for the entire Christian population, only
>> Jesus does. But, faith in a Man, is stupid..
>>
>> Yes, I feel that the Bush(ites) are evil. Evil lying politians. So, if
you
>> take that as being slanted, then so beit.
>>
>> So, you and the other 33-36 percent can keep on fighting the war on terror
>> ,and find your WMD's or what ever.
>> I'm not buying it.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>> Lamont, saying you would rather back terrorists (cold blooded killers)
is
>>> about as far from Christian belief as I've ever heard. It is not the
way

>> we
>>> believe or talk as Christians, based completely on what the Bible teaches.
>>>
>>> If you don't like Bush, that's fine, but stereotyping and slandering
people
>>> while claiming you speak for the whole of Christianity in the same breath
>> is
>>> wrong. No two ways about it my friend. No, you do not speak for
>>> Christianity as a faith. Democrat or Republican, your approach is simply
>>> political hyperbole. Please don't confuse your political views with
faith.
>>>
>>> On 8/20/06 10:46 PM, in article 44e93a92$1@linux, "LaMont"
>>> <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> Dj, you rally believe this "If the left can't get Bush at the ballot
ox,
>> then
>>>> let's get the media allies on board and just take the whole damned country
>>>> down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.."
>>>>
>>>> This is not about the left or the tight, dems & reps. you have drank-ed
>> the
>>>> kool-aid of the Bush(ites).. Most Republicans have abandoned ship long
>> time
>>>> ago and are now willing to stand up and disagree with the President.Callit
>>>> Mid-term elections.
>>>>
>>>> One thing is for sure, any other Republican president would not have
gone
>>>> down this road.. This is a Neo-Con road. This has nothing to do with
left
>>>> or right. The bush(ites0 have "SCREWED" it's on base party. Can some
say
>>>> "Boarders"..? Judge Appointments, Taxes , Deficit.. Bush is more Liberal
>>>> than our last 2 liberal presidents...Imagine that.?? He keeps spending
>> and
>>>> spending with reckless abandonment.
>>>> Bush (I love this) is playing both sides of the Ilse as well as Clinton
>> did.
>>>> AND , he does not care if he screws those who support him.. All those
>> poor
>>>> working folks in the red states, now pay 3.00 bucks at the pump(LOL)..!!
>>>> Oh yeah.. Faith based initiatives".. ??(Jeopardy music) ...
>>>>
>>>> No, I'm an conservative Christian.. Most of my family are Conservative
>>>> Democrats.

>>>> In Michigan, that means you are Pro union and proud of it..They Michigan
>>>> Dems are not weak, scared. Having Most them like my Dad and uncles have
>> fought
>>>> (union) hard battles wars) for better working conditions and fair pay.
>> Some
>>>> lives were lost. That kind of spirit can be found in all Northern (Blue
>>>> Collar)
>>>> Blue states... Most of us are hunters and great fishermen. We love our
>> guns..
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> So, you play that dems & repubs game all you like. But, both whites
and
>> non
>>>> whites in our neck of the woods can smell a Rat!! and that schicht is
>> coming
>>>> from the white house..
>>>> No, we have bigger problems to worry about than some played up war form
>> some
>>>> imperialistic games. We have our jobs, gas prices, educating our
>>>> children..than
>>>> to bogged down in a stupid so -called war..
>>>> You say that's being minded and soft..I say, We are not drinking the
>>>> kool-aid..
>>>> So, if the 33 percent of you get your kicks out of prepping for war,
then
>>>> be my guess. Go out play war all you want. Stay on the "edge" ... Watch
>> Fox
>>>> new network all day and night...By the way: Since the Hezbollah/Israeli
>> war
>>>> came to ahead, the networks have cut to a more Pressing story.....
>>>> They found the Jonbenet Ramsey murderer!!.....
>>>>
>>>> Fox news has all hand on deck and a camera man waiting for this kook
to
>> get
>>>> off the plane!! a few stories about the war. Ohh..I guess, since we
were
>>>> losing that war, in was not new worthy.
>>>>
>>>> Now, you say...What are the Dems going to.. Well, they can't do much
worse
>>>> than that last regime..I mean, do i feel safer because Bush is in office???
>>>> No.. I feel safe for me and my family because God is still on thrown!!
>> He
>>>> is my protector..Every man (boy , girl) has an appointed time to live
>> and
>>>> to die. Me and ,ine put our trust in God's hands, not a President(Republican

>>>> or Democrat)..
>>>>
>>>> See, those you who are fearful because you've put your hope and faith
>> and
>>>> trust in a Man!! Well, Men, will fail you all the time. Those of us
who
>>>> are believing Christians, should know better to put our hope, faith
and
>> trust
>>>> in a Man.. That's suicide and stupid!
>>>> Even worst,putting your Hope, trust, and faith in a Politician..
>>>> are we that stupid ??
>>>> Next regime please..
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>>>> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the current
>>>>> administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in
and
>>>>> stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when
teaming
>>>>> with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the
>>>>> American public, not military leaders.<
>>>>>
>>>>> This is exactly what has happened. If the left can't get Bush at the
>> ballot
>>>>> box, then let's get the media allies onboard and just take the whole
>> damned
>>>>> country down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
>>>>> news:C10E111B.2942%dterry@keyofd.net...
>>>>>> On 8/20/06 12:33 PM, in article 44e8aad4@linux, "DJ"
>>>>>> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent.
That
>>>>> says
>>>>>>>>>>>> that most of the folks who voted for him does not suppport him or
>> that
>>>>>>>>>>>> "sham"
>>>>>>>>>>>> Iraq war.
>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was
still
>>>>> going
>>>>>>> strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> And let's also not forget that the Iraq war we went to fight is over
>> -
>>>> the
>>>>>> Hussein regime is no longer; no WMDs in sight, done. But instead,
we
>>>> are
>>>>>> now playing intervention peace maker to help the Iraq government with
>>>> what
>>>>>> has turned into a nightmare rather than a transition. The greatest
>> number
>>>>>> of deaths from this "war" have happened directly because of the insurgency
>>>>>> of terrorists (car bombs, roadside bombs, snipers, etc) - not at the
>> hands
>>>>>> of the old Iraqi military or Hussein, but by outsider terrorists and
>> thugs
>>>>>> (the words of a couple of different US soldiers just back from Iraq
>> I
>>>>>> recently talked with).
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the current
>>>>>> administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in
>> and
>>>>>> stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when
>>>>> teaming
>>>>>> with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the
>>>>>> American public, not military leaders.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> It's really easy to blame someone for everything we don't like or
can't
>>>>>> accept - whether it's finding fault for hurricane Katrina, terrorism,
>>>> or
>>>>> hot
>>>>>> coffee at McDonalds. But it is quite another thing to actually understand
>>>>>> and count the cost of the way of life that we all agree to in dark
>>>>>> compromise every single day.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I guess I won't be surprised if an Islamic extremist is elected president
>>>>> in
>>>>>> the next 8-12 years.... game over. Go directly to jail. Do not pass
>>>> Go
>>>>> or
>>>>>> collect $200. I'm only partly serious here, but you guys are turning
>>>> me

>>>>>> into a pessimist. ;-)
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>>maybe if we
really had that, we wouldn't be in Iraq *and* the Iraqi people would still
be free to make their own decisions out from under the crushing weight of a
dictator's thumb. But sadly, we've chosen to adopt the hypocritical facade
that Hollywood and the news media feeds us. <

Had we pulled together and presented a united front, like we did in WWII,
this might well be an entirely different scenario right now. The left and
their media shills use the loophole that there is *no declaration* of war to
skate on what would otherwise be considered to be treason and then scream
about how many kids have been killed in this conflict while encouraging
those who are killing them to keep up the good work because if they just
keep on doing it, we will set a deadline for withdrawal.

"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
news:C10F1E68.2AAA%dterry@keyofd.net...
> I wasn't referring to whether the war is right or wrong or whether I liked
> Bush or not, just your approach and mindset isn't representing

---

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Deej [1] on Mon, 10 Jul 2006 17:06:47 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

>> down this road.. This is a Neo-Con road. This has nothing to do with
left
> >>> or right. The bush(ites0 have "SCREWED" it's on base party. Can some
say
> >>> "Boarders"..? Judge Appointments, Taxes , Deficit.. Bush is more
Liberal
> >>> than our last 2 liberal presidents...Imagine that.?? He keeps spending
> > and
> >>> spending with reckless abandonment.
> >>> Bush (I love this) is playing both sides of the Ilse as well as
Clinton
> > did.
> >>> AND , he does not care if he screws those who support him.. All those
> > poor

> >>> working folks in the red states, now pay 3.00 bucks at the
pump(LOL)..!!
> >>> Oh yeah.. Faith based initiatives".. ??(Jeopardy music) ...
> >>>
> >>> No, I'm an conservative Christian.. Most of my family are Conservative
> >>> Democrats.
> >>> In Michigan, that means you are Pro union and proud of it..They
Michigan
> >>> Dems are not weak, scared. Having Most them like my Dad and uncles
have
> > fought
> >>> (union) hard battles wars) for better working conditions and fair pay.
> > Some
> >>> lives were lost. That kind of spirit can be found in all Northern
(Blue
> >>> Collar)
> >>> Blue states... Most of us are hunters and great fishermen. We love our
> > guns..
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> So, you play that dems & repubs game all you like. But, both whites
and
> > non
> >>> whites in our neck of the woods can smell a Rat!! and that schicht is
> > coming
> >>> from the white house..
> >>> No, we have bigger problems to worry about than some played up war
form
> > some
> >>> imperialistic games. We have our jobs, gas prices, educating our
> >>> children..than
> >>> to bogged down in a stupid so -called war..
> >>> You say that's being minded and soft..I say, We are not drinking the
> >>> kool-aid..
> >>> So, if the 33 percent of you get your kicks out of prepping for war,
then
> >>> be my guess. Go out play war all you want. Stay on the "edge" ...
Watch
> > Fox
> >>> new network all day and night...By the way: Since the
Hezbollah/Israeli
> > war
> >>> came to ahead, the networks have cut to a more Pressing story.....
> >>> They found the Jonbenet Ramsey murderer!!.....
> >>>
> >>> Fox news has all hand on deck and a camera man waiting for this kook
to
> > get

> >>> off the plane!! a few stories about the war. Ohh..I guess, since we
were
> >>> losing that war, in was not new worthy.
> >>>
> >>> Now, you say...What are the Dems going to.. Well, they can't do much
worse
> >>> than that last regime..I mean, do i feel safer because Bush is in
office???
> >>> No.. I feel safe for me and my family because God is still on thrown!!
> > He
> >>> is my protector..Every man (boy , girl) has an appointed time to live
> > and
> >>> to die. Me and ,ine put our trust in God's hands, not a
President(Republican
> >>> or Democrat)..
> >>>
> >>> See, those you who are fearful because you've put your hope and faith
> > and
> >>> trust in a Man!! Well, Men, will fail you all the time. Those of us
who
> >>> are believing Christians, should know better to put our hope, faith
and
> > trust
> >>> in a Man.. That's suicide and stupid!
> >>> Even worst,putting your Hope, trust, and faith in a Politician..
> >>> are we that stupid ??
> >>> Next regime please..
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >>>>> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the
current
> >>>> administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in
and
> >>>> stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when
teaming
> >>>> with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the
> >>>> American public, not military leaders.<
> >>>>
> >>>> This is exactly what has happened. If the left can't get Bush at the
> > ballot
> >>>> box, then let's get the media allies onboard and just take the whole
> > damned
> >>>> country down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive
ideology.
> >>>>
> >>>>

> >>>>
> >>>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
> >>>> news:C10E111B.2942%dterry@keyofd.net...
> >>>>> On 8/20/06 12:33 PM, in article 44e8aad4@linux, "DJ"
> >>>>> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent.
That
> >>>> says
> >>>>>> that most of the folks who voted for him does not suppport him or
> > that
> >>>>>> "sham"
> >>>>>> Iraq war.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was
still
> >>>> going
> >>>>>> strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> And let's also not forget that the Iraq war we went to fight is over
> > -
> >>> the
> >>>>> Hussein regime is no longer; no WMDs in sight, done. But instead,
we
> >>> are
> >>>>> now playing intervention peace maker to help the Iraq government
with
> >>> what
> >>>>> has turned into a nightmare rather than a transition. The greatest
> > number
> >>>>> of deaths from this "war" have happened directly because of the
insurgency
> >>>>> of terrorists (car bombs, roadside bombs, snipers, etc) - not at the
> > hands
> >>>>> of the old Iraqi military or Hussein, but by outsider terrorists and
> > thugs
> >>>>> (the words of a couple of different US soldiers just back from Iraq
> > I
> >>>>> recently talked with).
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the
current
> >>>>> administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in
> > and
> >>>>> stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when
> >>>> teaming

> >>>>> with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the
> >>>>> American public, not military leaders.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> It's really easy to blame someone for everything we don't like or
can't
> >>>>> accept - whether it's finding fault for hurricane Katrina,
terrorism,
> >>> or
> >>>> hot
> >>>>> coffee at McDonalds. But it is quite another thing to actually
understand
> >>>>> and count the cost of the way of life that we all agree to in dark
> >>>>> compromise every single day.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> I guess I won't be surprised if an Islamic extremist is elected
president
> >>>> in
> >>>>> the next 8-12 years.... game over. Go directly to jail. Do not
pass
> >>> Go
> >>>> or
> >>>>> collect $200. I'm only partly serious here, but you guys are
turning
> >>> me
> >>>>> into a pessimist. ;-)
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>
> >
>Here's who I use.

www.globat.com

No problems.

Tony

"Dale" <dalebradleycello@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:44e96aea$1@linux...
>
> I've been referred to networksolutions.com, but they seem a little pricey
> once you get out of the bargain basement services.
>

> what are some other options that y'all are happy with?
>
> Thanks, DaleWow - we agree :-) 1&1 is a MS platinum partner and one of the top hosters out there for reliability and security. One small problem is that they won't host any unmanaged .Net code, so you can't use OLEDB database connections, but that probably isn't an issue for you :-)

Chuck

"john" <no@no.com> wrote:
>
>1and1.com
>
>"Dale" <dalebradleycello@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>I've been referred to networksolutions.com, but they seem a little pricey
>>once you get out of the bargain basement services.
>>
>>what are some other options that y'all are happy with?
>>
>>Thanks, Dale
>Dedric..No...You don;t confuse the two. I know who I am and who is lord of my life (Jesus). Just because we differ on Us politics and events, does not mean that people who Christians who think like me are not.

Politics & religion: Let's see..This current regime used relifion(Christianity) to get re-eletced. Tell them to "not confuse the two"!!

Did not Jesus say the following:

Blessed are the poor in spirit,
for theirs is the kingdom of Heaven

Blessed are they who mourn,
for they shall be comforted

Blessed are the meek,
for that shall possess the earth

Blessed are they who hunger and thirst for justice
for they shal be satisfied

Blessed are the merciful
for they shall obtain mercy

Blessed are the clean of heart,
for they shall see God

Blessed are the peacemakers
for they shall be called children of God

Blessed are they who suffer persecution for justice sake,
for theirs is the kingdom of Heaven

Blessed are you when men reproach you, and
persecute you, and speaking falsely, say all manner of evil against you,
for my sake.

That's what I know and belive. You can can continue to belive is this false bravado you call Patriotism and love by it.

As for me and my family, we will continue to ride in our mini vans, and drink slurpies while heading down to Costcos..

Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>I wasn't referring to whether the war is right or wrong or whether I liked
>Bush or not, just your approach and mindset isn't representing Christianity
>in any way - these are just your political views. Don't confuse the two.
>It does more harm than good.
>
>On the war and our country itself...
>
>I have personally talked to several US soldiers who have been there, and
>have talked to Iraqis, in person - not what you think you hear from the
news
>media, or from a disgruntled private that just signed up with the Army to
>get a free education and wrote a book about it, but mid-level officers and
>doctors (e.g. not party line toters either) - and the Iraqis do appreciate
>that we ended the tyrannical regime they were under. I was blown away by
>the real world accounts I heard that completely contradict what the news
>media would have us believe (even if you support the war, this you would
>start to wonder who's doing the most lying) - these guys are Christians,
and
>spent their time there building schools, restoring power, providing aid
to
>military and citizens alike - working with the people, not just working
the
>media.
>
>If you think that is of no value, then it would be interesting to hear what
>our own ancestor countrymen would say of the civil war - was the cost of
>hundreds of thousands of lives, homes, farms, schools, women and children,
>and political turmoil worth it "just" to end slavery? Given what our
>country seems to think of the Iraqi people - ignorant, victims of the US
>military, better off under a murderous dictator, etc - I would have to say
I

>seriously doubt we would go down that road again. We would rather sit by
>and smugly to say that we weren't responsible for people dying - "we're
>better than that - we make great bystanders and self-righteous,
>self-absorbed pacifists". We would rather ride around in our Detroit-built,
>union proud SUVs, sucking down expensive gasoline, buying frivolous luxuries
>right and left from companies that help choke the atmosphere with production
>pollution, watching movies that cost more than the food budget for Sudan
and
>complain about our government on the way home because we are embarrassed
>that France and Germany don't like us, and because it's more popular to
hate
>the President than actually do something to fix any of the above problems.
>
>Whether you like it or not, there is a direct parallel, and a sad one -
DJ
>is right, we are weak - not militarily, but as a people. We have no common
>bond of morality, ethics, integrity, patriotism, and honor - maybe if we
>really had that, we wouldn't be in Iraq *and* the Iraqi people would still
>be free to make their own decisions out from under the crushing weight of
a
>dictator's thumb. But sadly, we've chosen to adopt the hypocritical facade
>that Hollywood and the news media feeds us. We are more interested in
>political correctness and putting on the appearance of righteousness than
>actually living it. I'm not in anyway saying war is righteous - it is just
>a fact of a world that in majority doesn't have a moral value system. And
at
>the same time we are justifying our intellectually superior pacifism by
>turning a blind eye to true evil and in fact doing exactly what you claim
>others are doing - putting faith in Man. Mine isn't in any man on this
>planet. Completely independently of the government, we are yet again
>selling out our pride and integrity as people to the lowest cost bidder
in
>exchange for a mask of fabricated peace, temporary freedom and empty
>compassion. We have more in common now with Hollywood 2006 than
>Philadelphia 1776, much less 0 BC.
>
>"Where is the hope? I meet millions who tell me that they feel demoralized
>by the decay around us. Where is the hope? The hope that each of us have
is
>not in who governs us, or what laws are passed, or what great things that
we
>do as a nation. Our hope is in the power of God working through the hearts

>hope is in life." - Chuck Colson
>
>Dedric
>
>On 8/21/06 1:05 AM, in article 44e95b3a$1@linux, "LaMont"

><jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
>>
>> What I'm saying is (from aprevious post) is that I feel that this
>> administration
>> are killers. They killed our young soldiers for a stupid cause.
>> As to my I'll take the terroist" statement..Well, Dedric ask an Iraqi
citizen
>> about who they think are the terrorist???

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Tom Bruhl on Mon, 10 Jul 2006 17:16:33 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

gt;>> about as far from Christian belief as I've ever heard. It is not the
way
>> we
>>> believe or talk as Christians, based completely on what the Bible teaches.
>>>
>>> If you don't like Bush, that's fine, but stereotyping and slandering
people
>>> while claiming you speak for the whole of Christianity in the same breath
>> is
>>> wrong. No two ways about it my friend. No, you do not speak for
>>> Christianity as a faith. Democrat or Republican, your approach is simply
>>> political hyperbole. Please don't confuse your political views with
faith.
>>>
>>> On 8/20/06 10:46 PM, in article 44e93a92$1@linux, "LaMont"
>>> <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> Dj, you rally believe this "If the left can't get Bush at the ballot
ox,
>> then
>>>> let's get the media allies on board and just take the whole damned country
>>>> down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.."
>>>>
>>>> This is not about the left or the tight, dems & reps. you have drank-ed
>> the
>>>> kool-aid of the Bush(ites).. Most Republicans have abandoned ship long
>> time
>>>> ago and are now willing to stand up and disagree with the President.Callit
>>>> Mid-term elections.
>>>>
>>>> One thing is for sure, any other Republican president would not have
gone

>>>> down this road.. This is a Neo-Con road. This has nothing to do with
left
>>>> or right. The bush(ites0 have "SCREWED" it's on base party. Can some
say
>>>> "Boarders"..? Judge Appointments, Taxes , Deficit.. Bush is more Liberal
>>>> than our last 2 liberal presidents...Imagine that.?? He keeps spending
>> and
>>>> spending with reckless abandonment.
>>>> Bush (I love this) is playing both sides of the Ilse as well as Clinton
>> did.
>>>> AND , he does not care if he screws those who support him.. All those
>> poor
>>>> working folks in the red states, now pay 3.00 bucks at the pump(LOL)..!!
>>>> Oh yeah.. Faith based initiatives".. ??(Jeopardy music) ...
>>>>
>>>> No, I'm an conservative Christian.. Most of my family are Conservative
>>>> Democrats.
>>>> In Michigan, that means you are Pro union and proud of it..They Michigan
>>>> Dems are not weak, scared. Having Most them like my Dad and uncles have
>> fought
>>>> (union) hard battles wars) for better working conditions and fair pay.
>> Some
>>>> lives were lost. That kind of spirit can be found in all Northern (Blue
>>>> Collar)
>>>> Blue states... Most of us are hunters and great fishermen. We love our
>> guns..
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> So, you play that dems & repubs game all you like. But, both whites
and
>> non
>>>> whites in our neck of the woods can smell a Rat!! and that schicht is
>> coming
>>>> from the white house..
>>>> No, we have bigger problems to worry about than some played up war form
>> some
>>>> imperialistic games. We have our jobs, gas prices, educating our
>>>> children..than
>>>> to bogged down in a stupid so -called war..
>>>> You say that's being minded and soft..I say, We are not drinking the
>>>> kool-aid..
>>>> So, if the 33 percent of you get your kicks out of prepping for war,
then
>>>> be my guess. Go out play war all you want. Stay on the "edge" ... Watch
>> Fox
>>>> new network all day and night...By the way: Since the Hezbollah/Israeli
>> war
>>>> came to ahead, the networks have cut to a more Pressing story.....

>>>> They found the Jonbenet Ramsey murderer!!.....
>>>>
>>>> Fox news has all hand on deck and a camera man waiting for this kook
to
>> get
>>>> off the plane!! a few stories about the war. Ohh..I guess, since we
were
>>>> losing that war, in was not new worthy.
>>>>
>>>> Now, you say...What are the Dems going to.. Well, they can't do much
worse
>>>> than that last regime..I mean, do i feel safer because Bush is in office???
>>>> No.. I feel safe for me and my family because God is still on thrown!!
>> He
>>>> is my protector..Every man (boy , girl) has an appointed time to live
>> and
>>>> to die. Me and ,ine put our trust in God's hands, not a President(Republican
>>>> or Democrat)..
>>>>
>>>> See, those you who are fearful because you've put your hope and faith
>> and
>>>> trust in a Man!! Well, Men, will fail you all the time. Those of us
who
>>>> are believing Christians, should know better to put our hope, faith
and
>> trust
>>>> in a Man.. That's suicide and stupid!
>>>> Even worst,putting your Hope, trust, and faith in a Politician..
>>>> are we that stupid ??
>>>> Next regime please..
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>>>> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the current
>>>>> administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in
and
>>>>> stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when
teaming
>>>>> with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the
>>>>> American public, not military leaders.<
>>>>>
>>>>> This is exactly what has happened. If the left can't get Bush at the
>> ballot
>>>>> box, then let's get the media allies onboard and just take the whole
>> damned
>>>>> country down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.
>>>>>

>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
>>>>> news:C10E111B.2942%dterry@keyofd.net...
>>>>>> On 8/20/06 12:33 PM, in article 44e8aad4@linux, "DJ"
>>>>>> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent.
That
>>>>> says
>>>>>>>> that most of the folks who voted for him does not suppport him or
>> that
>>>>>>>> "sham"
>>>>>>>> Iraq war.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was
still
>>>>> going
>>>>>>>> strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> And let's also not forget that the Iraq war we went to fight is over
>> -
>>>> the
>>>>>> Hussein regime is no longer; no WMDs in sight, done. But instead,
we
>>>> are
>>>>>> now playing intervention peace maker to help the Iraq government with
>>>> what
>>>>>> has turned into a nightmare rather than a transition. The greatest
>> number
>>>>>> of deaths from this "war" have happened directly because of the insurgency
>>>>>> of terrorists (car bombs, roadside bombs, snipers, etc) - not at the
>> hands
>>>>>> of the old Iraqi military or Hussein, but by outsider terrorists and
>> thugs
>>>>>> (the words of a couple of different US soldiers just back from Iraq
>> I
>>>>>> recently talked with).
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the current
>>>>>> administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in
>> and
>>>>>> stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when
>>>>> teaming
>>>>>> with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the
>>>>>> American public, not military leaders.

>>>>>>
>>>>>> It's really easy to blame someone for everything we don't like or
can't
>>>>>> accept - whether it's finding fault for hurricane Katrina, terrorism,
>>>> or
>>>>> hot
>>>>>> coffee at McDonalds. But it is quite another thing to actually understand
>>>>>> and count the cost of the way of life that we all agree to in dark
>>>>>> compromise every single day.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I guess I won't be surprised if an Islamic extremist is elected president
>>>>> in
>>>>>> the next 8-12 years.... game over. Go directly to jail. Do not pass
>>>> Go
>>>>> or
>>>>>> collect $200. I'm only partly serious here, but you guys are turning
>>>> me
>>>>>> into a pessimist. ;-)
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>No no no...Your president and his fellow neo-cons did not want to call it
war. CNN and Fox called it war from day #1..

"war on terror", middle-east conflict, "spreading democracy"...And many others
came directly from the whitehouse.

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>maybe if we
>really had that, we wouldn't be in Iraq *and* the Iraqi people would still
>be free to make their own decisions out from under the crushing weight of
a
>dictator's thumb. But sadly, we've chosen to adopt the hypocritical facade
>that Hollywood and the news media feeds us. <
>
>Had we pulled together and presented a united front, like we did in WWII,
>this might well be an entirely different scenario right now. The left and
>their media shills use the loophole that there is *no declaration* of war
to
>skate on what would otherwise be considered to be treason and then scream
>about how many kids have been killed in this conflict while encouraging
>those who are killing them to keep up the good work because if they just
>keep on doing it, we will set a deadline for withdrawal.

>
>
>"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
>news:C10F1E68.2AAA%dterry@keyofd.net...
>> I wasn't referring to whether the war is right or wrong or whether I liked
>> Bush or not, just your approach and mindset isn't representing
>Christianity
>> in any way - these are just your political views. Don't confuse the two.
>> It does more harm than good.
>>
>> On the war and our country itself...
>>
>> I have personally talked to several US soldiers who have been there, and
>> have talked to Iraqis, in person - not what you think you hear from the
>news
>> media, or from a disgruntled private that just signed up with the Army
to
>> get a free education and wrote a book about it, but mid-level officers
and
>> doctors (e.g. not party line toters either) - and the Iraqis do appreciate
>> that we ended the tyrannical regime they were under. I was blown away
by
>> the real world accounts I heard that completely contradict what the news
>> media would have us believe (even if you support the war, this you would
>> start to wonder who's doing the most lying) - these guys are Christians,
>and
>> spent their time there building schools, restoring power, providing aid
to
>> military and citizens alike - working with the people, not just working
>the
>> media.
>>
>> If you think that is of no value, then it would be interesting to hear
>what
>> our own ancestor countrymen would say of the civil war - was the cost
of
>> hundreds of thousands of lives, homes, farms, schools, women and children,
>> and political turmoil worth it "just" to end slavery? Given what our
>> country seems to think of the Iraqi people - ignorant, victims of the
US
>> military, better off under a murderous dictator, etc - I would have to
say
>I
>> seriously doubt we would go down that road again. We would rather sit
by
>> and smugly to say that we weren't responsible for people dying - "we're
>> better than that - we make great bystanders and self-righteous,
>> self-absorbed pacifists". We would rather ride around in our

>Detroit-built,
>> union proud SUVs, sucking down expensive gasoline, buying frivolous
>luxuries
>> right and left from companies that help choke the atmosphere with
>production
>> pollution, watching movies that cost more than the food budget for Sudan
>and
>> complain about our government on the way home because we are embarrassed
>> that France and Germany don't like us, and because it's more popular to
>hate
>> the President than actually do something to fix any of the above problems.
>>
>> Whether you like it or not, there is a direct parallel, and a sad one
- DJ
>> is right, we are weak - not militarily, but as a people. We have no
>common
>> bond of morality, ethics, integrity, patriotism, and honor - maybe if
we
>> really had that, we wouldn't be in Iraq *and* the Iraqi people would still
>> be free to make their own decisions out from under the crushing weight
of
>a
>> dictator's thumb. But sadly, we've chosen to adopt the hypocritical
>facade
>> that Hollywood and the news media feeds us. We are more interested in
>> political correctness and putting on the appearance of righteousness than
>> actually living it. I'm not in anyway saying war is righteous - it is
>just
>> a fact of a world that in majority doesn't have a moral value system.
And
>at
>> the same time we are justifying our intellectually superior pacifism by
>> turning a blind eye to true evil and in fact doing exactly what you claim
>> others are doing - putting faith in Man. Mine isn't in any man on this
>> planet. Completely independently of the government, we are yet again
>> selling out our pride and integrity as people to the lowest cost bidder
in
>> exchange for a mask of fabricated peace, temporary freedom and empty
>> compassion. We have more in common now with Hollywood 2006 than
>> Philadelphia 1776, much less 0 BC.
>>
>> "Where is the hope? I meet millions who tell me that they feel demoralized
>> by the decay around us. Where is the hope? The hope that each of us have
>is
>> not in who governs us, or what laws are passed, or what great things that
>we
>> do as a nation. Our hope is in the power of God working through the hearts

our
>> hope is in life." - Chuck Colson
>>
>> Dedric
>>
>> On 8/21/06 1:05 AM, in article 44e95b3a$1@linux, "LaMont"
>> <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > What I'm saying is (from aprevious post) is that I feel that this
>> > administration
>> > are killers. They killed our young soldiers for a stupid cause.
>> > As to my I'll take the terroist" statement..Well, Dedric ask an Iraqi
>citizen
>> > about who they think are the terrorist???
>> >
>> > As for that statement being unchristian. Well who said that the American
>> > policy is the "Thee" authority of Christianity???
>> > My views are not slanderer not in fear of speaking against a burgeoning
>> > tyranny.
>> >
>> >
>> > I know full well I don't speak for the entire Christian population,
only
>> > Jesus does. But, faith in a Man, is stupid..
>> >
>> > Yes, I feel that the Bush(ites) are evil. Evil lying politians. So,
if
>you
>> > take that as being slanted, then so beit.
>> >
>> > So, you and the other 33-36 percent can keep on fighting the war on
>terror
>> > ,and find your WMD's or what ever.
>> > I'm not buying it.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>> >> Lamont, saying you would rather back terrorists (cold blooded kille

---

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Paul Braun on Mon, 10 Jul 2006 17:19:20 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

rs)

>is
>> >> about as far from Christian belief as I've ever heard. It is not the
>way
>> > we
>> >> believe or talk as Christians, based completely on what the Bible
>teaches.
>> >>
>> >> If you don't like Bush, that's fine, but stereotyping and slandering
>people
>> >> while claiming you speak for the whole of Christianity in the same
>breath
>> > is
>> >> wrong. No two ways about it my friend. No, you do not speak for
>> >> Christianity as a faith. Democrat or Republican, your approach is
>simply
>> >> political hyperbole. Please don't confuse your political views with
>faith.
>> >>
>> >> On 8/20/06 10:46 PM, in article 44e93a92$1@linux, "LaMont"
>> >> <

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Tue, 11 Jul 2006 21:09:53 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

less abandonment.
> >> >> Bush (I love this) is playing both sides of the Ilse as well as
Clinton
> >> >did.
> >> >> AND , he does not care if he screws those who support him.. All
those
> >> poor
> >> >> working folks in the red states, now pay 3.00 bucks at the
> >pump(LOL)..!!
> >> >> Oh yeah.. Faith based initiatives".. ??(Jeopardy music) ...
> >> >>
> >> >> No, I'm an conservative Christian.. Most of my family are
Conservative
> >> >Democrats.
> >> >> In Michigan, that m

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by steve the artguy on Wed, 12 Jul 2006 00:23:50 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

t;fought
> >> >> (union) hard battles wars) for better working conditions and fair
pay.
> >> >Some
> >> >> lives were lost. That kind of spirit can be found in all Northern
(Blue
> >> >Collar)
> >> >> Blue states... Most of us are hunters and great fishermen. We love
> our
> >> >guns..
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> So, you play that dems & repubs game all you like. But, both whites
> and
> >> >non
> >> >> whites in our neck of the woods can smell a Rat!! and that schicht
> is
> >> >coming
> >> >> from the white house..
> >> >> No, we have bigger problems to worry about than some played up war
> form
> >> >some
> >> >> imperialistic games. We have our jobs, gas prices, educating our
> >> >children..than
&g

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by rick on Wed, 12 Jul 2006 09:38:57 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

llying call to all
us Christians to elect the Mr Bush. We were told that the Kerry (A Catholic)
was not a "real" Christian. Only Mr bush.

Then his camp trumped up the same sex marriage as their rallying cry to the
polls.
Even one our most prominent area Pastors (Bush supporter)just lost in a republican
primary run -off race for the US senate. His reasons were, "we need" more
of us in the government. u being, Charismatic, right winged Christians

Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>On 8/21/06 11:43 AM, in article 44e9f0b4$1@linux, "Lamont"
><jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
>>
>> Dedric..No...You don;t confuse the two. I know who I am and who is lord
of

>> my life (Jesus). Just because we differ on Us politics and events, does
not
>> mean that people who Christians who think like me are not.
>>
>> Politics & religion: Let's see..This current regime used
>> religion(Christianity)
>> to get re-elected. Tell them to "not confuse the two"!!
>
>That's political propaganda. I never heard Bush claim religion of any kind
>as a reason to vote for him. If you as a Christian are saying he shouldn't
>even claim to be one as a politician, then you are simply hastening the
>demise of anyone's

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Wed, 12 Jul 2006 21:36:14 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

t;
>It's called
>
>Stop Obsessing and Play Some Music
>
>Take a look if you can.

I did.. nice piece... goes right along with what I said in your
compensated nut thread when I pointed out "nothing's ever going
to be perfect, so don't sweat a few cents off here & there"

I guess my motto is: "Strive for perfection, but know when
the incremental gains you're getting have reached a point
of diminishing returns."

OK, it's not really my motto; I guess I don't really have a
motto, but if I did, that would be a good one.

NeilThanks Neil,

You're right about the few cents thing, of course. I am more of a
"serial obs

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Larry Hogan on Wed, 12 Jul 2006 22:07:12 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

n land? Are we

> going to extend eminent domain to enforce the separation of church and
> state, as a local judicial court chooses to interpret it?
>
> In general most Christians don't worry about the future, and I don't either.
> I only proposed this perspective, hypothetically for the sake of this
> discussion, to open up thinking about where we could end up if the trend
> many of us see continues to build. Most of the events indicating such a
> trend never make the news. If you are interested, I'll relate more the next
> time we have a chance to get together.
>
>> Our great Bill of Rights protects Christianity and all its sects. It
>> seems to me that certain subsets of Christian thought are very
>> influential in our government right now, hence my comment. I'm not
>> seeing that level of influence from other religions.
>
> And I see the opposite also being true - Ted Kennedy for one. There is some
> public profile and influence from prominent Christians, but I don't think it
> is nearly as strong as the news would have you believe. I've either
> attended churches headed by some of these leaders, or know other ministers
> that have relationships with them.
>> We should

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Thu, 13 Jul 2006 07:40:32 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

ween two contradictory belief systems (Christianity vs.
>> Atheism/Agnosticism for example), one belief would have to be false to
>> the
>> point of being considered non-existent.
>>
>> Sidebar - Blaise Pascal had an interesting comment on the idea of
>> conflicting beliefs:
>> "Pascal's wager":
>>
>> "Either Christianity is true or it's false. If you bet that it's true,
>> and
>> you believe in God and submit to Him, then if it IS true, you've gained
>> God,
>> heaven, and everything else. If it's false, you've lost nothing, but
>> you've
>> had a good life marked by peace and the illusion that ultimately,
>> everything
>> makes sense. If you bet that Christianity is not true, and it's false,
>> you've lost nothing. But if you bet that it's false, and it turns out to
>> be
>> true, you've lost everything and you get to spend eternity in hell."

>>
>> On 8/17/06 5:05 PM, in article 44e4f4b2@linux, "Jamie K"
>> <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Yet we must succeed at communicating between groups as diverse as
>>> Christians and Muslims, or for a tougher example, AELC Lutherans and
>>> Wisconsin Synod Lutherans. I'm only half joking about that last one...
>>
>> Agreed. The church we attend here isn't one of the largest (about 1000),
>> but has held two community discussion forums (both got local press) - the
>> first was to disc

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Deej [1] on Thu, 13 Jul 2006 16:25:19 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

">44e79ad8@linux">news:44e79ad8@linux</A>...
Hi =
> >all,

I just=20
> > moved to the Boston area(arlington) and was wondering how many =
> >Paris
users=20
> > there are here. I used to post regularly years ago but my studio =
> >
> > has
been down for close to 3 years now. Just got it back up =
> >and=20
> > thought I'd
check in here to see how things are going with my =
> >fellow Paris=20
> > users.

peace,
TRossi

I choose Polesoft =
> >Lockspam=20
> > to fight spam, and you?
<A=20
> > =
>
>href=3D"http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html">http://www.polesoft.com/refer=
> >.html</A>=20
> >

</BLOCKQUOTE></BODY></HTML>
> >
> >
>It does,

I got mine last night, Played with it for about an hour.
Its inspiring. Its.....magic!!

db

"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote in message
news:44e775be$1@linux...

> In stock again - ordered today. Free shipping... sweet deal -- even if it
> has only ONE great sound in it.
> W.
>
> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.org> wrote in message news:44e0efff$1@linux...
> >
> > It's a Yamaha MagicStomp.
> >
> >
 http://www.musiciansfriend.com/product/Yamaha-Magicstomp-II-
Guitar-Effects-Processor?sku=151560
> >
> > Looks like they are out until the 18th.
> >
> > DC
>
>God Bless you and your family, Nappy...!

I Am,

El Miguel

"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e9dba3$1@linux...
>
> Thanks Mike,
> I feel like your Dad!
>
> respect
> Nappy
>
>
> "Mike R." <emarenot@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>Hey Nappy. Always good to see your name on the NG. Hang in there dude.
>>
>>W, my dad had half his thyroid removed. The doc told him "no golf for the
>>rest of the summer." He was out on the links in three weeks. My
>>friend's
>>aunt just had her entire thyroid removed. As it was causing some pain in
>>her shoulder, she's feeling great --and for unknown reasons (she believes
>>it's due to the lose of the thyroid) she reports feeling much more
>>energetic. Nevertheless, having gone through the problem with my father,
> I
>>know its hard to feel some sense of relief until its over. Take care.
>>MR

>>
>>"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote in message
>>news:44e6a2b4@linux...
>>> You're hanging tough bro. Excellent! I hope and pray everything goes
>>> better than anticipated. Side note: my wife received a phone call today
>>> following and MRI yesterday for a recent neck injury (totalled one of
> our
>>> cars). Doc says he sees cancer on her thyroid gland. Meeting and
>>> discussions to be set for Monday of this coming week. Not what I wanna
>>> hear. She's badly shaken by the news. I'm just kinda stuck emotionally
>>> until I learn more and we know what we're looking at. At this point,
> we
>>> can't both cave in... somebody's gotta hold the line.
>>>
>>> W.
&

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Thu, 13 Jul 2006 21:03:27 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

lank">http://www.museresearch.com/receptor_on_the_road.php
> Hosted By Factory Rep Ted Rackley ! < Former Steinberg Rep >
> Special pricing for the PARIS Community
>
> Check out our new Showroom:
> http://www.eastcoastmusic.com/starr_rd1.htm
>
> * Also Group Buy's on RME, UAD , TC Electronics BFD,WAVES,
> Steinberg,MOTU,REAL TRAPS,Korg, Roland, Yamaha,Tascam,
> Studio Projects, Toft,Presonus ADL, SE Mics and many more !
> Check out the new SE Reflexion :
> http://www.seelectronics.com/rf.html
>
> * I'll post some pricing later
>
> Workshops Coming Soon :
> RME - Samplitude - Sequioa
> Steinberg / NUENDO/ Wavelab
> Newbie Night - Great for all newcomers
> APPLE / Mac Nights - LOGIC / Garage Band * The new Intel Mac's
> Digital Video - Final Cut Pro / VEGAS plus many more
> Digital Photography
> Digital Animation (Hosted by famous Author Mercer Mayer)
> HIP-HOP how to be a HIP-HOP producer with SUPREME KING - Jazzy JAY
> Plus many many more :)
>

>
>
>
> Dog Days of Summer Scratch 'n Dent Sale
> http://www.eastcoastmusic.com/blowout.htm
> At this time of year people are at the beach or getting their kids ready
> to go
> back to school but they're not shopping. It's no secret that retail sales
> are
> slow at this time of year. Now you can take advantage of the slow season
> and if
> you move quickly grab 'one of a kind' savings.
>
> We have to move much of our current inventory during the summer dog days
> to
> make room for new arrivals and so we're offering INSANE PRICES on select
> items.
> Prices are SLASHED since some items could have a small ding, there may be
> a
> used piece or two and others, well maybe our buyer just spent too much
> time in
> the sun. Anyway, there's just one of each in-stock item...no orders or
> reservations. First come - first served and when that piece is gone,
> that's
> all. (however, we'll happily make your day with a KILLER DEAL on a
> different
> piece!)
>
> Don't miss out on your chance to take advantage of this PRICE MELTDOWN
>
> ECMM has an URGENT NEED for your USED GEAR. It's likely that you have some
> equipment that you no longer use. Perhaps you've been thinking about
> trading up
> but just haven't made it happen yet. Well, your timing couldn't be better
> because as long as this shortfall lasts we will be OFFERING AT LEAST 10%
> MORE
> than we ever have before!
>
> We're looking for everything; guitars, drums, pro audio, PA gear,

> PLEASE NOTE: NO PHONE CALLS PLEASE. In order to evaluate any trade-in, the
> item
> has to be seen to estimate its condition so you are encouraged to bring
> your
> gear to the store. However, if you feel compelled to contact us about your
> trade before coming in, please use this email link:
> trades@eastcoastmusicmall.com
>

>
> Morgan
> morganp@ntplx.net
> Eastcoast Music Mall
> 800-901-2001
>Brandon,

Have you checked with a urologist? You might want to go with the next size up though. :>)

Sorry, I couldn't resist.

Tony

"Brandon" <a@a.com> wrote in message news:44eb2447$1@linux...
I need an electric piston.
Or
Maybe an electric acuator.
Basically just a rod that is incased by a cylinder and extends and retracts when voltage is applied.
It needs to be maybe 4-6inches long and can apply a good amount of pressure.
I can't find this from web searches.
Obviously, I don't know the correct terminology.
Any help would be appreciated.

Thanks,

--
BrandonThis is a multi-part message in MIME format.

------=_NextPart_000_0040_01C6C5EE.B6CA08E0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

I haven't done this in years. I have the authorization codes.
Where do I input them? Do I need to install new software too?

I'm talking about MEQ-5 and the Cambridge EQ.
Tom

UAD sent me an email stating that Paris was not supported...
I know that?!?! Arghh. But why can't they answer my question anyway? Are they software police now too?

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam,

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Deej [1] on Thu, 13 Jul 2006 21:55:26 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

hing: do the
>>> >sides of the box open up? If it were mine I would make sure I had fast
>>> >access to the guts of the computer. Personally I have always kept mine
>>> >in closets.
>>> >
>>> >Also, whatever you use for the foam, remeber there will b a lot of dust
>>>
>>> >building up over time, so use something that will not trap it or hold
> it.
>>> >
>>> >brandon wrote:
>>> >> All,
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >> I am in the middle of making my studio console and am
>>> >> building a sound proof computer compartment into it.
>>> >> I was wondering where I can purchase the foam that needs to line
>>> >> the enclosure. I need something about 1/4 inch thick for the
>>> >> ventalation maze, but something thicker could go in the main
>>> >> compartment.
>>> >>
>>> >> OH yeah.... Cheap please...
>>> >>
>>> >> Thx
>>> >> b
>>>
>>
>>
>At least the form factor.

http://news.harmony-central.com/Newp/2006/Rain-Recording-Eve nt.html

JamesOh, check out the price, about a grand more!

James

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>At least the form factor.
>

> http://news.harmony-central.com/Newp/2006/Rain-Recording-Eve nt.html
>
>James$1595.00 MSRP! Yikes, a Windows Mac Mini at only twice the price!

Let the flames begin! ;>)

Tony

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:44eb426a$1@linux...
>
> At least the form factor.
>
> http://news.harmony-central.com/Newp/2006/Rain-Recording-Eve nt.html
>
> JamesI was willing to go as high as $400 !!!

"Tony Benson" <tony@standinghampton.com> wrote:
>$1595.00 MSRP! Yikes, a Windows Mac Mini at only twice the price!
>
>Let the flames begin! ;>)
>
>Tony
>
>
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:44eb426a$1@linux...
>>
>> At least the form factor.
>>
>> http://news.harmony-central.com/Newp/2006/Rain-Recording-Eve nt.html
>>
>> James
>
>I started keeping a little Olympus voice recorder around, just to
put song ideas onto. By the time I start up the computer and
get Paris going, the idea is usally gone, especially if it is 3am.

Thank God for my patient wife.

DC

"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>I couldn't agree with this sentiment more.

>
>For the last few years I've been mucking about with my music, creating very
>little, largely because of the sound of this or that not being up to scratch.
>Or some production issue or another.
>
>Recently I've found a whole new way of working. It voilates half of my "It's
>all about the sound" ideas and comes back to the basics of putting down
a
>song, as quickly as possible, while the energy for that song is there and
>fresh. I've set up a whole bunch of my favourite sounds, which could still
>be tweaked, but I'm focussing on using them, not tweaking. I've got a Digitech
>GNX-4 which I use in loop mode to do the basic recording (not Paris). Once
>I have a bunch of loops all prepared I can simply switch the wav files over
>to Paris, drop them in an order I like and the song is done. I then add
vocals
>very quickly and move on to another song.
>
>So far I've only done one tune, but it was last week, and it worked, and
>worked well for mine. I had fun, I like the product, and the sound is not
>too shabby anyhow. It's the quickest way to work I've ever found, and I
like
>it.
>
>As Nike would say... "Just do it".
>
>Cheers,
>Kim.
>
>
>"DC" <dc@spammersinguitarcenter.org> wrote:
>>
>>There is a new piece up on my guitar blog.
>>
>>http://dcguitarjournal.blogspot.com/
>>
>>It's called
>>
>>Stop Obsessing and Play Some Music
>>
>>Take a look if you can.
>>
>>DC
>>
>>
>I'm thinking about using my old G4 w/ Digital performer to allow me access
to the new UAD-1 and Waves plugins while using Paris on my newer G4. I need
an interface for DP that'll have little or no latency. I'm thinking about
the 828 (firewire) or the 2408 MK. 3 (PCI card). Do any of you folks have

enough experience w/ them to advise me?

Thanks!

ganttTyrone, and anybody else, for that matter:

1. What version of Autotune are you using with Paris 3.0?

2. Is it true that newer versions of Autotune sound better than older versions, and if so, at what version did the program start sounding significantly better?

Thanks to everyone, as always.
JimmyThe only rub here I believe is that the current UAD software is OSX only (which won't run on a G4)... which means you would not be able to run any of the newer UA plugs like the Neve EQ.

David.

Gantt Kushner wrote:

> I'm thinking about using my old G4 w/ Digital performer to allow me access
> to th

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Thu, 13 Jul 2006 22:19:23 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

e new UAD-1 and Waves plugins while using Paris on my newer G4. I need
> an interface for DP that'll have little or no latency. I'm thinking about
> the 828 (firewire) or the 2408 MK. 3 (PCI card). Do any of you folks have
> enough experience w/ them to advise me?
>
> Thanks!
>
> gantt http://today.reuters.com/news/articlenews.aspx?type=domestic
News&storyid=2006-08-22T173033Z_01_N22395766_RTRUKOC_0_U
S-LEISURE-DYLAN.xml&src=rss&rpc=22This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

------=_NextPart_000_0072_01C6C5FC.69516410
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Okay now the question is has anybody had any problems with UAD-1 ver =
4.3?
Wrap with fxPansion 3.3 still?

Deej?

"Tom Bruhl" <arpegio@comcast.net> wrote in message =
news:44eb3cee$1@linux...
I haven't done this in years. I have the authorization codes.
Where do I input them? Do I need to install new software
too?

I'm talking about MEQ-5 and the Cambridge EQ.
Tom

UAD sent me an email stating that Paris was not supported...
I know that?!?! Arghh. But why can't they answer my question
anyway? Are they software police now too?

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?
http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html
------=_NextPart_000_0072_01C6C5FC.69516410
Content-Type: text/html;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Okay now the question is has anybody =
had any=20
problems with UAD-1 ver 4.3?</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Wrap with fxPansion 3.3 =
still?</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Deej?</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
<DIV>"Tom Bruhl" <A=20
href=3D"mailto:arpegio@comcast.net">arpegio@comcast.net</A>> wrote =
in message=20
<A href=3D"news:44eb3cee$1@linux">news:44eb3cee$1@linux</A>...</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>I haven't done this in years. I =
have the=20
authorization codes.</FONT></DIV>

<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Where do I input them? Do I =
need to install=20
</FONT><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>new software</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>too?</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>I'm talking about MEQ-5 and the =
Cambridge=20
EQ.</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Tom</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>UAD sent me an email stating that =
Paris was not=20
supported...</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>I know that?!?! Arghh. =
But why can't=20
they answer my question</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>anyway? Are they software =
police now=20
too?</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT size=3D2>

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, =
and=20
you?
<A=20
=
href=3D"http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html">http://www.polesoft.com/refer=
..html</A>   </FONT></DIV></BLOCKQUOTE ></BODY></HTML>

------=_NextPart_000_0072_01C6C5FC.69516410--sent you some notes.I'm running an 828 on my G5 with DP and loving it. Performance is good at
128 buffer size and that's very low latency. I don't know what performance
would be like on an older G4 and as Gantt said, you'll probably want to be
running OSX. I was running OSX on my dual 1GHz G4, but it might be too much
for an older G4. I'm currently running PARIS on the Dually G4 and DP on a
Dual 2GHz G5 and I'm pretty happy with the performance.

Tony

"Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:44eb4f69$1@linux...
>
> I'm thinking about using my old G4 w/ Digital performer to allow me access
> to the new UAD-1 and Waves plugins while using Paris on my newer G4. I
> need
> an interface for DP that'll have little or no latency. I'm thinking about
> the 828 (firewire) or the 2408 MK. 3 (PCI card). Do any of you folks have
> enough experience w/ them to advise me?
>
> Thanks!

>
> ganttthen he wouldn't be able to run paris on osx...c-22

On Tue, 22 Aug 2006 14:31:51 -0500, "Tony Benson"
<tony@standinghampton.com> wrote:

>I'm running an 828 on my G5 with DP and loving it. Performance is good at
>128 buffer size and that's very low latency. I don't know what performance
>would be like on an older G4 and as Gantt said, you'll probably want to be
>running OSX. I was running OSX on my dual 1GHz G4, but it might be too much
>for an older G4. I'm currently running PARIS on the Dually G4 and DP on a
>Dual 2GHz G5 and I'm pretty happy with the performance.
>
>Tony
>
>
>"Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote in message
>news:44eb4f69$1@linux...
>>
>> I'm thinking about using my old G4 w/ Digital performer to allow me access
>> to the new UAD-1 and Waves plugins while using Paris on my newer G4. I
>> need
>> an interface for DP that'll have little or no latency. I'm thinking about
>> the 828 (firewire) or the 2408 MK. 3 (PCI card). Do any of you folks have
>> enough experience w/ them to advise me?
>>
>> Thanks!
>>
>> gantt
>Hi Rick,

I think Gantt wants to run PARIS on his newer G4 and DP with a MOTU
interface on his older G4.

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Aaron Allen on Fri, 14 Jul 2006 00:14:47 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

br />
>>>Take a look if you can.
>>>
>>>DC
>>>
>>>
>>

>I have a MOTU 828MII, have used it with a G4 and now a dual G5. Very
solid piece of gear. Much better than the original 828, the 828MII is
reliable and well designed.

Cheers,
  -Jamie
  http://www.JamieKrutz.com

Tony Benson wrote:
> Hi Rick,
>
> I think Gantt wants to run PARIS on his newer G4 and DP with a MOTU
> interface on his older G4. That's the way I understood his question anyway.
> He could run OSX on the DP machine, but the performance might not be great
> on an older G4.
>
> Anyway, I like the 828 MkII Firewire interface. The pre amps are pretty
> clean and they have a decent amount of gain available. Actually, the 828 is
> my "no color" pre amp right now. I've got 16 channels of Trident ixOne, an
> HHB Fat Man II, and an Aphex 107, but they all are pretty "colorful" pres.
> Until I can afford a Great River, Gracie, True Systems, etc., the 828 will
> cover things.
>
> MOTU's new UltraLite interface looks pretty nice also.
> http://www.motu.com/products/motuaudio/ultralite/
>
> Tony
>
>
> "rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:8vpme2hrmh00klvuanjh13b02bqj3tpub3@4ax.com...
>> then he wouldn't be able to run paris on osx...c-22
>>
>>
>>
>> On Tue, 22 Aug 2006 14:31:51 -0500, "Tony Benson"
>> <tony@standinghampton.com> wrote:
>>
>>> I'm running an 828 on my G5 with DP and loving it. Performance is good at
>>> 128 buffer size and that's very low latency. I don't know what performance
>>> would be like on an older G4 and as Gantt said, you'll probably want to be
>>> running OSX. I was running OSX on my dual 1GHz G4, but it might be too
>>> much
>>> for an older G4. I'm currently running PARIS on the Dually G4 and DP on a
>>> Dual 2GHz G5 and I'm pretty happy with the performance.
>>>
>>> Tony

>>>
>>>
>>> "Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>> news:44eb4f69$1@linux...
>>>> I'm thinking about using my old G4 w/ Digital performer to allow me
>>>> access
>>>> to the new UAD-1 and Waves plugins while using Paris on my newer G4. I
>>>> need
>>>> an interface for DP that'll have little or no latency. I'm thinking
>>>> about
>>>> the 828 (firewire) or the 2408 MK. 3 (PCI card). Do any of you folks
>>>> have
>>>> enough experience w/ them to advise me?
>>>>
>>>> Thanks!
>>>>
>>>> gantt
>
>Thanks, all

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>Dale wrote:
>> I've been referred to networksolutions.com, but they seem a little pricey
>> once you get out of the bargain basement services.
>>
>> what are some other options that y'all are happy with?
>>
>> Thanks, Dale
>
>I use www.1and1.com. I am very satisfied with their service.Hey, good one. I write my songs on an unplugged electric (or an acoustic,
or a piano). I don't worry about the sound until it's time to record it.
My main problem is how to get all these babies recorded before I turn 90. I guess it's really just one obsession or another . . . I obsess over finished compositions. (And now for a limited time, you can hear some of these compositions at www.sarahtonin.com/wayward.htm and perhaps add a lovely packaged collection to your music library.)

Aah, any opportunity for a shameless plug.

Thanks

Sarah

PS: Makes an excellent gift!

"DC" <dc@spammersinguitarcenter.org> wrote in message
news:44ea1310$1@linux...
>
> There is a new piece up on my guitar blog.
>
> http://dcguitarjournal.blogspot.com/
>
> It's called
>
> Stop Obsessing and Play Some Music
>
> Take a look if you can.
>
> DC
>
>"DC" <dc@spammersinvenezuela.org> wrote in message news:44e9f957$1@linux...
>
> I am for a cease for on all political and/or religious topics, and
> a cowpie in the m

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Deej [1] on Fri, 14 Jul 2006 04:29:44 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

>
  Basically just a rod that is incased by a cylinder and extends and =
retracts
  when voltage is applied.
  It needs to be maybe 4-6inches long and can apply a good amount of
  pressure.
  I can't find this from web searches.=20
  Obviousely, I don't know the correct terminology.
  Any help would be appreciated.

  Thanks,

  --=20
  Brandon
------=_NextPart_000_008F_01C6C616.DB17FB80
Content-Type: text/html;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">

<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1106" name=3DGENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2><A=20
href=3D"http://store.newart.com/pacpumcylhow.html">http://store.newart.co=
m/pacpumcylhow.html</A></FONT></DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
  <DIV>"Brandon" <<A href=3D"mailto:a@a.com">a@a.com</A>> wrote in =
message=20
  <A href=3D"news:44eb2447$1@linux">news:44eb2447$1@linux</A>...</DIV>
  <DIV><FONT face=3DArial>I need an electric piston.</FONT></DIV>
  <DIV><FONT face=3DArial>Or </FONT></DIV>
  <DIV><FONT face=3DArial>Maybe an electric acuator.</FONT></DIV>
  <DIV><FONT face=3DArial>Basically just a rod that is incased by a =
cylinder and=20
  extends and retracts</FONT></DIV>
  <DIV><FONT face=3DArial>when voltage is applied.</FONT></DIV>
  <DIV><FONT face=3DArial>It needs to be maybe 4-6inches long and can =
apply a good=20
  amount of</FONT></DIV>
  <DIV><FONT face=3DArial>pressure.</FONT></DIV>
  <DIV><FONT face=3DArial>I can't find this from web searches. =
</FONT></DIV>
  <DIV><FONT face=3DArial>Obviousely, I don't know the correct=20
  terminology.</FONT></DIV>
  <DIV><FONT face=3DArial>Any help would be appreciated.</FONT></DIV>
  <DIV><FONT face=3DArial></FONT> </DIV>
  <DIV><FONT face=3DArial>Thanks,</FONT></DIV><FONT face=3DArial>
  <DIV>
--
Brandon</FONT></DIV></BLOCKQUOTE></BODY></HTML>

------=_NextPart_000_008F_01C6C616.DB17FB80--When did it turn 06?

--
Erick Kent
"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote in message
news:44eabb25@linux...
> Just got an email dated June 28, 2009.... we're still doing '06,
> right?!?!?!
> W.
>
>
>
>One of 3 Neve's like it on the planet (or so I'm told), it's
basically two 8000-series boards fitted together:

http://www.sonicranch.com/neve1console.html

Live room attached to this particular control room...
http://www.sonicranch.com/bigtrackingroom2.htmlholy crap!

--
Erick Kent
"Neil" <OIUOI@OIU.com> wrote in message news:44eba035$1@linux...
>
> One of 3 Neve's like it on the planet (or so I'm told), it's
> basically two 8000-series boards fitted together:
>
> http://www.sonicranch.com/neve1console.html
>
>
> Live room attached to this particular control room...
> http://www.sonicranch.com/bigtrackingroom2.html
>Jimmy, I'm running Autotune ver 3.0 with Paris. I have not tried any other
versions.

Tyrone

"Uptown Jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>
>Tyrone, and anybody else, for that matter:
>
>1. What version of Autotune are you using with Paris 3.0?
>
>
>2. Is it true that newer versions of Autotune sound better than older versions,
>and if so, at what version did the program start sounding significantly
better?
>
>Thanks to everyone, as always.
>Jimmy
>more fun..... anybody heard of / tried these?

http://www.4mspedals.com/Hey Aaron I've been hip to the shuttle and that form factor for years,
which
is a lot bigger than the Mac Mini. This is the first PC that I've seen that
is the size of the Mac Mini, basically 6.5 x 6.5 x 2", about the size of
an external hard drive. Dell has a 10 x 10 x 3.5" machine, and WinBook has
a 9 x 8 x 2".

If you really want to see some copycat stuff go watch the WWDC keynote speech
where they compare Mac OSX to Windows Vista. Or go look at the look of iTunes,
especially the older version of iTunes and compare it to Windows Media player

11. Check out the disk logo with the musical note, and the buttons with
the Aqua look!

WWDC Keynote speech:
 http://events.apple.com.edgesuite.net/aug_2006/event/index.h tml

iTunes logo, upper right corner:
http://www.apple.com/itunes/download/

Windows Media, Aqua button, and third picture down, they slid the aqua note
off the disk and went with Apple's original blue theme.
 http://www.microsoft.com/windows/windowsmedia/player/11/defa ult.aspx

I guess I'm being a bit petty, but after years of MS copying Apple, it makes
a Mac guy ill to see MS get the credit. When it comes to MS there's no accounting
for innovation or originality. If they didn't buy it, they stole it!

I have to laugh though when I hear that MS has spent over 9 billion on office
2007 and vista and they still don't have their shit together. By the way
that's billion with a "B"!

Oh shit! I think I just started the next Mac vs. PC war;)

James

"Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote:
>uhh.. this isn't news for PC's. It's been available for some time now. Even

>Dell has micro chassis offerings that rock.
>
>http://sys.us.shuttle.com/BuildXPC.aspx?id=1176
>
>AA
>
>
>"Tony Benson" <tony@standinghampton.com> wrote in message
>news:44eb4407@linux...
>> $1595.00 MSRP! Yikes, a Windows Mac Mini at only twice the price!
>>
>> Let the flames begin! ;>)
>>
>> Tony
>>
>>
>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:44eb426a$1@linux...
>>>

>>> At least the form factor.
>>>
>>> http://news.harmony-central.com/Newp/2006/Rain-Recording-Eve nt.html
>>>
>>> James
>>
>>
>
>I think they were looking for Sarah Connor . . .

S

"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote in message
news:44eabb25@linux...
> Just got an email dated June 28, 2009.... we're still doing '06,
> right?!?!?!
> W.
>
>
>
>Wow! I'll the monitors in the live room probably cost more than my whole
set up.
Speaking of the live room, looks very "live"

"Erick Kent" <erick at thefollower.com> wrote:
>holy crap!
>
>--
>Erick Kent
>"Neil" <OIUOI@OIU.com> wrote in message news:44eba035$

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Fri, 14 Jul 2006 19:05:39 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

wrote:
>
>Hey Gene,
>
>Do you think the latency is low enough to use the 2408 w/ DP as a real time
>FX processor? Actually, I guess w/ reverb a little pre-delay wouldn't hurt.
> I don't know about UAD-1 FX, given the card's own latency...
>
>Thanks!
>
>Gantt

>

How low you set the buffers depends largely on how powerful the processor
is and how hard you are working it. I have never tried to use software effects

Paris. I still needed to shift tracks in most cases to be 100% happy with
the results.

database/VM server

++=

Filtering for string "system uptime"

4331 \\VMSERV The system uptime is 31593759 seconds.
Tue Aug 22 12:00:00 2006
Type: INFORMATION
Source: EventLog

End of report

++

That means a few hours over a year with no crashes/reboots/etc. Yes, it's
behind on security patches (not a year behind, we're selective), but only
two non-standard ports are allowed inbound and we've restricted all of the
services we can on it, and it's behind our PIX. So we're taking more chances
on it than we would with a web server out in the soup. But it gets banged
on all day every day running native apps and five VMs, so don't let anyone
tell you a properly configured M$oft box can't be stable.

TCBDebian Debian Debian Debian Debian Debian Debian Debian Debian Debian Debian
Debian Debian Debian Debian Debian Debian Debian Debian Debian Debian Debian
Debian Debian Debian Debian Debian Debian Debian Debian Debian Debian Debian
Debian Debian Debian Debian Debian Debian Debian Debian Debian Debian Debian
Debian

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>Hey Aaron I've been hip to the shuttle and that form factor for years, which
>is a lot bigger than the Mac Mini. This is the first PC that I've seen
that
>is the size of the Mac Mini, basically 6.5 x 6.5 x 2", about the size of
>an external hard drive. Dell has a 10 x 10 x 3.5" machine, and WinBook
has
>a 9 x 8 x 2".
>

>If you really want to see some copycat stuff go watch the WWDC keynote speech
>where they compare Mac OSX to Windows Vista. Or go look at the look of
iTunes,
>especially the older version of iTunes and compare it to Windows Media player
>11. Check out the disk logo with the musical note, and the buttons with
>the Aqua look!
>
>WWDC Keynote speech:
> http://events.apple.com.edgesuite.net/aug_2006/event/index.h tml
>
>iTunes logo, upper right corner:
>http://www.apple.com/itunes/download/
>
>Windows Media, Aqua button, and third picture down, they slid the aqua note
>off the disk and went with Apple's original blue theme.
> http://www.microsoft.com/windows/windowsmedia/player/11/defa ult.aspx
>
>I guess I'm being a bit petty, but after years of MS copying Apple, it makes
>a Mac guy ill to see MS get the credit. When it comes to MS there's no
accounting
>for innovation or originality. If they didn't buy it, they stole it!
>
>I have to laugh though when I hear that MS has spent over 9 billion on office
>2007 and vista and they still don't have their shit together. By the way
>that's billion with a "B"!
>
>Oh shit! I think I just started the next Mac vs. PC war;)
>
>James
>
>
>"Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote:
>>uhh.. this isn't news for PC's. It's been available for some time now.
Even
>
>>Dell has micro chassis offerings that rock.
>>
>>http://sys.us.shuttle.com/BuildXPC.aspx?id=1176
>>
>>AA
>>
>>
>>"Tony Benson" <tony@standinghampton.com> wrote in message
>>news:44eb4407@linux...
>>> $1595.00 MSRP! Yikes, a Windows Mac Mini at only twice the price!
>>>
>>> Let the flames begin! ;>)
>>>

>>> Tony
>>>
>>>
>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:44eb426a$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> At least the form factor.
>>>>
>>>> http://news.harmony-central.com/Newp/2006/Rain-Recording-Eve nt.html
>>>>
>>>> James
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>If it was an 8068III I'd be drollin'... post Siemens never really
wowed me. Nice room though...

David.

Neil wrote:
> One of 3 Neve's like it on the planet (or so I'm told), it's
> basically two 8000-series boards fitted together:
>
> http://www.sonicranch.com/neve1console.html

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by macle on Fri, 14 Jul 2006 19:24:28 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

gt;I think that is a good idea. I have a couple of others coming up
first.

Hey, I fell in love with the sound samples on this box, and
impulsively ordered one up:

http://www.foxroxelectronics.com/

Click on Paradox TZF

He wants it to only be at the end of the signal chain so that
his box works on the fully effected tone.

Seems like a bitchin' box. I should have it in a couple weeks

DC

"Chuck Duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>
>Hi Don,
>
>What do you think about a basic article about signal chain for a guitar
rig?
> Something like this - given the following hypothetical equipment in a chain
>ahead of the amp:
>
>1. Compressor
>2. Overdrive/distortion
>3. EQ
>4. Verb
>5. Wah
>
>Discussing the different way pedals can be ordered, the sounds/tones acheived
>by different orders, pitfalls of ordering certain ways, ways you definitely
>don't want to order, etc..
>
>Chuck
>
>Did you save a project and then close Paris with the loop function enabled?
If so, this is likely the reason. Open the project, disable the loop
function, save the project under a new name and you should be good (if this
is he error I think it is). This code is one of the *features* that isn't
mentioned in the manual.

good luck,

DJ

"Greg O" <studio2590@infostations.com> wrote in message
news:44ec5fc8$1@linux...
>
> Does anyone know what: _tpt QueProject Error in setting direction. Error
code
> 1879048261/70000045 mean?
> Just started showing up when
> during recording session. I'm running on a G4- 9.2.2
> Please help! Thanks, Greg O
>..back-up...rewind. Gene's post adressed an issue I did not consider. Although
I use Autotune ver. 3, I have not ventured down the "graphical" mode , as
I have read a number of posts stating that there is a problem with this.
My usage of Autotune has primarily been for abstract color, so for me, "auto"
mode works flawlessly. Sorry if I caused any confusion here.

Tyrone

"gene lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote:
>
>"Uptown Jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>>
>>Tyrone, and anybody else, for that matter:
>>
>>1. What version of Autotune are you using with Paris 3.0?
>>
>>
>>2. Is it true that newer versions of Autotune sound better than older versions,
>>and if so, at what version did the program start sounding significantly
>better?
>>
>>Thanks to everyone, as always.
>>Jimmy
>>
>

>Later versions work fine in Auto mode. It could be just me!
>Gene
>rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:

>you have friends????

Nah, but I can't spell acquain... err... akwayntenc... ahh
ferget it.

:)On my G5 with the buffer set to 128 in DP, I'm guessing approx. 3ms latency. I've never bothered to find out how to check the exact figure as it's been low enough that I don't really care. I don't know if an older G4 would run well at that buffer setting though. I have a feeling not. With insert type effects i.e. EQ's, Comps, etc., the whole track will be delayed with whatever latency you have out and back in, and will need to be compensated for when returning the send to the mix. With send type FX, Reverb, Delay,etc. you can probably dial stuff in to work with no compensation, unless you're trying to sync tempo delays or something like that. I haven't actually messed with the type scenario you are asking about, so I can' t give too much solid advice. I can only say that the 828 MkII is a solid piece of gear and I would think with a good fast comp. you could do what you're wanting to do. I would be leery about a 833 MHz G4 being fast enough though. Sorry.

Tony

"Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:44ebc842$1@linux...
>
> Hi Tony,
>
> Yes, you're right - My thought is to run Paris on my new DP 1.25 gHz G4 in
> OS 9.2.2 ans Digital Performer on my old 833 mHz G4. I'm hoping to be
> able
> to use the Waves IR-L reverb and some of the UAD-1 plugins (like the Neve
> EQ!!!) in real time. Is the latency of the 828 MkII low enough to pull
> that
> off? I figure there'll be issues w/ the UAD-1 FX, since the card has it's
> own latency issues. I can't understand how DJ manages to make it all work
> in real time on his system!
>
> Thanks,
>
> Gantt
>
> "Tony Benson" <tony@standinghampton.com> wrote:
>>Hi Rick,
>>
>>I think Gantt wants to run PARIS on his newer G4 and DP with a MOTU
>>interface on his older G4. That's the way I understood his question
>>anyway.
>
>>He could run OSX on the DP machine, but the performance might not be great
>
>>on an older G4.
>>
>>Anyway, I like the 828 MkII Firewire interface. The pre amps are pretty
>
>>clean and they have a decent amount of gain available. Actually, the 828
> is
>>my "no color" pre amp right now. I've got 16 channels of Trident ixOne,
> an
>>HHB Fat Man II, and an Aphex 107, but they all are pretty "colorful" pres.
>
>>Until I can afford a Great River, Gracie, True Systems, etc., the 828 will
>
>>cover things.
>>
>>MOTU's new UltraLite interface looks pretty nice also.
>>http://www.motu.com/products/motuaudio/ultralite/
>>
>>Tony
>>

>>
>>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:8vpme2hrmh00klvuanjh13b02bqj3tpub3@4ax.com...
>>> then he wouldn't be able to run paris on osx...c-22
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> On Tue, 22 Aug 2006 14:31:51 -0500, "Tony Benson"
>>> <tony@standinghampton.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>I'm running an 828 on my G5 with DP and loving it. Performance is good
> at
>>>>128 buffer size and that's very low latency. I don't know what
>>>>performance
>>>>would be like on an older G4 and as Gantt said, you'll probably want to
> be
>>>>running OSX. I was running OSX on my dual 1GHz G4, but it might be too
>
>>>>much
>>>>for an older G4. I'm currently running PARIS on the Dually G4 and DP on
> a
>>>>Dual 2GHz G5 and I'm pretty happy with the performance.
>>>>
>>>>Tony
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:44eb4f69$1@linux...
>>>>>
>>>>> I'm thinking about using my old G4 w/ Digital performer to allow me
>
>>>>> access
>>>>> to the new UAD-1 and Waves plugins while using Paris on my newer G4.
> I
>>>>> need
>>>>> an interface for DP that'll have little or no latency. I'm thinking
>
>>>>> about
>>>>> the 828 (firewire) or the 2408 MK. 3 (PCI card). Do any of you folks
>
>>>>> have
>>>>> enough experience w/ them to advise me?
>>>>>
>>>>> Thanks!
>>>>>
>>>>> gantt
>>>>
>>>

>>
>>
>I am NOT raising any of this again. I just noticed this new book
and thought some of you may be interested.

I will have no commments on it, or on anything else related to these issues.

http://www.popularmechanics.com/blog/911mythsblogalso

I've dealt with this issue quite a bit.

I've even caused it on purpose to try to figure out how to fix
it.
(recording with looping on (with no punch points) will cause it)

Anyway, in ALL cases that I've seen, the error seems to be caused
by a corrupted segment on the playing field.. actually, I believe
it is caused by a corrupted crossfade, to be specific.

Whenever, I get this error, I remove the last take/edit from the
playing
field
(between the dialogs popping up), save, quite and restart paris.

At this point, the problem seems to go away.

Good luck!

Try increasing your disk cache and overview sizes in the Config menu. On
the problem project, clear the Undo buffer and delete the Markers.

I never have that problem anymore (like once every 6 months now) since I
increased my disk cache size to 128MB and my overview to 21000KB.

BT

Pablo wrote:
> My paris system has gotten into the habit of crashing
> very often, and the message I get is:
>
> _tptQueProject:Error in queing Project Error code 1879048233/70000029
>
> It automatically closes after this and I lose whatever I haven't
> saved (obviously). Today, while in the mixing stage of the recording,

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Aaron Allen on Fri, 14 Jul 2006 22:41:48 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

gt;>
>> I would bet on your paris.cfg file needing optimizing.
>> C:\program files\ensoniq\paris\paris.cfg
>>
>> This is what mine is set to:
>>
>>
>> *** PARIS Configuration ***
>>
>> *** ENGINE configuration parameters ***
>> * Cache Size in MB
>> CacheSize=128
>>
>> * Overview cache size in KB
>> OvwCacheSize=8192
>>
>> * I/O configuration
>> IOSize=256
>>
>> * SubMix Cache Size in KB
>> SubMixCacheSize=256
>>
>> ManualRecDelay=4096
>>
>> RecXFadeLen=20
>> Use32BitWinMTC=0
>>
>> DisableDirectX=0
>>
>> MasterOutputCard=0
>>
>> ScrubMaxRate=1
>>
>> WheelSensitivity=20
>>
>> WheelInertia=68
>>
>> CSProVersion=ABCDEFGH
>>
>> VSTDirectory=C:\vsts\
>>
>> MIDIPlayDisabled=1
>>
Thanks John....I'll give this a try. I'm very new to this program
and not sure what crossfades are yet,sorry.Greg, What is your setup? Mec, C16, cards, Pc type?

Post questions here.
It's a very helpful NG.

"Greg O" <studio2590@infostations.com> wrote:
>
>John <no@no.com> wrote:
>>And don't forget to go here:
>>
>>www.kfocus.com/paris
>>
>>John wrote:
>>> The looping is a button on the C16 and on the transport window that has
>a
>>> start and end point to loop over and over. You probably don't have that
>>> on if you don't know what it is.
>>>
>>> Have you been doing any crossfades?
>>>
>>> I would bet on your paris.cfg file needing optimizing.
>>> C:\program files\ensoniq\paris\paris.cfg
>>>
>>> This is what mine is set to:
>>>
>>>
>>> *** PARIS Configuration ***
>>>
>>> *** ENGINE configuration parameters ***
>>> * Cache Size in MB
>>> CacheSize=128
>>>
>>> * Overview cache size in KB
>>> OvwCacheSize=8192
>>>
>>> * I/O configuration
>>> IOSize=256
>>>
>>> * SubMix Cache Size in KB
>>> SubMixCacheSize=256
>>>
>>> ManualRecDelay=4096
>>>
>>> RecXFadeLen=20
>>> Use32BitWinMTC=0
>>>
>>> DisableDirectX=0
>>>
>>> MasterOutputCard=0
>>>

>>> ScrubMaxRate=1
>>>
>>> WheelSensitivity=20
>>>
>>> WheelInertia=68
>>>
>>> CSProVersion=ABCDEFGH
>>>
>>> VSTDirectory=C:\vsts\
>>>
>>> MIDIPlayDisabled=1
>>>
>Thanks John....I'll give this a try. I'm very new to this program
>and not sure what crossfades are yet,sorry.They wrote a book too, Don. Totally shoots the conspiracy theories of a
government involvement and cover-up to itsy-bitsy pieces with cold hard
complete factual and multiple independent verifications per point. The book
project began with a completely open question and a willingness to examine
all the evidence and let the answers be whatever they were. If you're
afraid of the real story, don't read this book.

W.

"DC" <dc@spammersinnyc.com> wrote in message news:44eca694$1@linux...
>
> I am NOT raising any of this again. I just noticed this new book
> and thought some of you may be interested.
>
> I will have no commments on it, or on anything else related to these
> issues.
>
> http://www.popularmechanics.com/blog/911mythsblogWell, by golly, if it was written by engineers, it must be accurate within
+-1.5dB.

;o)

"DC" <dc@spammersinnyc.com> wrote in message news:44eca694$1@linux...
>
> I am NOT raising any of this again. I just noticed this new book
> and thought some of you may be interested.
>
> I will have no commments on it, or on anything else related to these
issues.
>
> http://www.popularmechanics.com/blog/911mythsblogThe stuff in the 911 movie on the internet, said that the pentagon wasn't
hit by a jet, then it was a two engine NAVY jet, so which is it? Then it

was a different kind of jet, or a military drone that was painted to look like a airline jet. So which is it? According to these deceivers, it's everything other than what it really was. I guess we didn't really see Airliners crash in to the World Trade Center on 9/11, it was just smoke and mirrors. The Government payed all the people that were supposed to be on those flights and their families and friends to move to a private government island and keep quiet. All this stuff is just propaganda.

Our government is so mismanaged and disorganized, it couldn't find it's ass with both hands and a road map, much less pull this 911 conspiracy off.

Please, It's bull shit! The 911 conspiracy movie is just somebody's political agenda.

Unfortunately, people are going to believe what they want, regardless of the truth, that is right in front of them, because, it conveniently fits their agenda.

Evil always denies the truth first.

James

"DC" <dc@spammersinnyc.com> wrote:
>
>I am NOT raising any of this again. I just noticed this new book
>and thought some of you may be interested.
>
>I will have no commments on it, or on anything else related to these issues.
>
>http://www.popularmechanics.com/blog/911mythsblog"DJ"
<animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>Well, by

Subject: Re: Spam!
Posted by Paul Braun on Sun, 13 May 2007 20:38:11 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

/>
>>>>>>>>>vox FX channel just for good measure. I backed off of the threshold
>>>>>
>>>>>on
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>the
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>main bus limiter by 2 db. It was set at -18 I took it to -16. You
>>
>> can
>>
>>>>>>>see
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>my mix was not very hot. This got rid of 75% of the problem. Now
I
>>>
>>>hear
>>>
>>>>>>>little
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>artifacts on the lead vox that sound like processing artifacts to
>>
>> me.
>>
>>>>>>>I am
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>wondering if SIR is doing this?? It is not wrapped. To mixdown I
use
>>>>>>
>>>>>>the
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>EXPORT in CUBASE SX3. Could it be somethng related to that method?
>>>
>>>Any
>>>
>>>>>>>ideas?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Thanks B
>>>>>>>
>>Yep, still available. This is just the multiface, not the PCI or
P