
Subject: No One Can Say They Didn't See It Coming

Posted by [Time For a Revolution](#) on Thu, 01 Sep 2005 18:16:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

f="mailto:ajanj11jelrh733fmjj64kcm0nv0rkuovp@4ax.com..."

target="_blank">ajanj11jelrh733fmjj64kcm0nv0rkuovp@4ax.com...

>> james,

>>

>> crap, i was writing my response and it disappeared.....grrrrrrr.

>>

>> ram...it was benchmarked (24 hrs) many times and each test said that

>> all was fine with the ram. not true...it turned out that my

>> particular g4 had ram compatibility issues. i had the highest

>> recommended ram by mushkin. this ram was recommended either #1 02 at

>> every mac site i visited prior to buying the G4. ironically it turned

>> out that no mac service center had an accurate test for ram because

>> apple didn't have one. though months late in coming this info did

>> finally prompt me to purchase another band which did work better. i

>> should mention that i had in the mean time swapped out my ram with 2

>> other G4s with apple supplied ram that had not solved the problem.

>> mine worked in theirs while theirs didn't in mine. this i suppose is

>> why they kept replacing the other guts in mine.

>>

>> after that then another issue arose and that was FW800 incompatibility

>> which apple says was the fault of everyone else. well, we all know

>> the answer to that one. that issue was more or less resolved by th

>> other manufacturers with the updates to their gear. for best

>> performance i still have to use FW400 for the audio drive and the 800

>> for storage...cool huh.

>>

>> at present i'm on 10.3.9 which is now functioning the best since my

>> purchase. since my rig was more of a G5 than a G4 all those little

>> changes to take advantage of the 5s architecture performance and

>> stability have improved. i can sill run more tracks (on SX), plugins,

>> and vst instruments on my 3+ yr. old 2.6 P4 pc than i can on the mac

>> but the gap is closing.

>>

>> "The statement that they (Apple) can't warranty it working with third

>> party products is unreasonable, you have a reasonable expectation of

>> it working when you bought it" true or not it's apples position that

>> problems are yours and not theirs. after all, you own a mac which is

>> like being a part of the second coming so it must be your fault.

>>

>> all of this comes too little too late for me. it's sad, it took me 10

>> months of 16 hour days and a couple buckets of money to build my

>> studio. add another 6 years of 16 hour days building the business to

>> where i was making pretty good money. then came the mac and after 2

>> plus more years of trying to keep my dream alive i threw in the towel.

>> my wife and i plan on moving to oregon in about 3 years and i don't
>> wish to take 2 of those years to try to rebuild a client base and
>> reputation only to leave it when we move. so there you have it, i'm
>> just plain out of grease.
>>
>> rick, sans his inner child ;o)
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> On 29 Sep 2005 13:45:36 +1000, "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > Rick, it actually could be the RAM. Some systems act funny with
>different
>> >brands of RAM. I assume it shows up in the hardware profile? Have you
>tried
>> >other brands? How does it run with out the added memory? Have you tried
>> >different drives internally? What version of OSX are you running?
>> >
>> >The statement that they (Apple) can't warranty it working with third
>party
>> >products is unreasonable, you have a reasonable expectation of it working
>> >when you bought it. Apple can not guaranty that their product will or
>won't
>> >work with third party products, that is a given. I would ignore that
>statement,
>> >and do as I suggested, take it in, if that doesn't work hire an attorney
>> >to write a letter. Start with the BBB, it's free. Also bad press is not
>> >good, people have set up negative web sites and Apple ends up fixing the
>> >problem. iPod nano screen, etc.
>> >
>> >By the way Apple was number one for years in customer service and
>satisfaction.
>> > I believe they were rated number one again last year for the first time
>> >in years. I think reputation is important to them, so don't give up.
>Remember,
>> >The squeaky wheel gets the grease!
>> >
>> >People have won many lawsuits against Apple, you just don't hear about
>it.
>> > Usually the issue is resolved with some form of remedy. I don't think
>you
>> >need to go as far as to file a suit with them, I think a letter will
>work.
>> >

>> >
>> >James
>> >
>> >
>> >rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >>james,
>> >>
>> >>i went through about 8 to 10 months of back and forth with apple on
>> >>this. they did replace the cpu, mobo, boot drive twice during this
>> >>period. the problem was is that they kept giving me the prototype
>> >>version. while it would benchmark fine once it was put in the real
>> >>world nothing worked. i could take my drives and install them on
>> >>other macs and it performed fine. bring theirs into mine and the same
>> >>no works results ensued. hell. a single 867 notebook could smoke my
>> >>dual 1.25 running the same data through it. after several months of
>> >>the back and forth calling i was able to bypass all the lower folk and
>> >>talk to the tech folk direct. it was shortly after that i was told
>> >>that once i put anything into the computer ie. ram, drives or programs
>> >>of any kind that apple could not and would not warranty it working
>> >>properly. in so far as informing apple of my situation, they knew
>> >>that this was a recording studio and my sole livelihood. after that i
>> >>exploded...(quietly) and hung up.
>> >>
>> >>as far as filing a suit goes i think the only successful suit brought
>> >>against apple was a class action one a couple of years ago.
>> >>
>> >>thanks
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>On 29 Sep 2005 04:54:41 +1000, "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com>
>> >>wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>
>> >>>Hey Rick! Mac OSX 10.3.5 Panther is solid as a rock on all my systems.
>> > My
>> >>>opinion is that tiger is great, but it is not ready for prime time yet.
>> >
>> >>>if you want to

Subject: Re: No One Can Say They Didn't See It Coming
Posted by [gmmccurdy](#) on Thu, 01 Sep 2005 18:21:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

do critical work don't go with tiger yet. Sorry to hear
>> >about
>> >>>all the problems with your system. In my years of experience as a user

>> >and
>> >>>a computer dealer, it's not like Apple to not address this type of
>issue.
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>Here are some recommendations:
>> >>>
>> >>>If you have case numbers from the past, you should take your G4 in to
>an
>> >>>Apple store and have there tech guys fix it. If it is an unresolved
>issue
>> >>>with a case number they will still take care of it, if you have a case
>> >number.
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>> If Apple does not resolve all of the issues, I would contact a law
>firm
>> >>>in Silicon Valley to rite a letter and send it to Apple's legal
>department.
>> >>> It shouldn't cost that much. In the letter, I would state all the
>problems,
>> >>>list the case numbers. I would request a refund of the purchase price
>> >or
>> >>>an equivalent current model G5 PowerMac. I would further state that
>the
>> >>>Mac was an essential part of your studio business, and as a result, you
>> >suffered
>> >>>substantial losses because of lack of performance. I would also tell
>them
>> >>>that you are filing complaints with the Better Business Bureau, the
>state
>> >>>attorney general of your state and the state of California. If it is
>not
>> >>>resolved quickly that there will be a lawsuit filed, possibly a class
>action.
>> >>>
>> >>>Apple will take this seriously. The cost of the letter may cost you
>\$150.00,
>> >>>but the issues would get resolved. I have filed complaints with the
>BBB
>> >>>and have had companies resolve issues quickly wtih out a lawsuit.
>> >>>
>> >>>James
>> >>>
>> >>>rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >>>>tony,
>> >>>>
>> >>>>i'm genuinely glad for anybodies computer that works as it should.

>> >>>>like i said apple only made my particular model for one month as a
>> >>>>pretest for the G5 (they brought out the g5 2 days after i got the
>> >>>>g4). the chipset in my rig is different from all the other g4s
>> >>>>produced hence the osx only boot. with there were so many
>> >>>>compatibility issues with it, that it took nearly 18 months before it
>> >>>>would run for a couple of hours without crashing. instead of giving
>> >>>>me a new computer apple wanted to recreate my entire studio to suss
>> >>>>out the problem...well..my business died; i lost all my clients and
>> >>>>(to me) a ton of money, about \$67,000.00.
>> >>>>
>> >>>>i'll be the first to admit it's my fault for deciding to make a major
>> >>>>shift in how my studio ran from a console/paris/pc one to a itb mac
>> >>>>based.
>> >>>>
>> >>>>i haven't tried tiger as of yet though some friends have and seem to
>> >>>>be more or less happy with it. the one major reason for not at the
>> >>>>moment is my quasi/partner scott. it seems that between the two
>> >>>>upgrades Dp and tiger, none of the edits or most of the plugins are no
>> >>>>longer compatible; it would take waaaaay to much effort to redo all the
>> >>>>mixes. consequently, those that we experimented with will no longer
>> >>>>load into the previous versions of either...yeay.
>> >>>>
>> >>>>On Wed, 28 Sep 2005 11:14:20 -0500, "Tony Benson" <t o n y@s t a n d i
>> >>>>n g h a m p t o n.c o m> wrote:
>> >>>>
>> >>>>>Dang Rick! Sorry to hear about the problems you and others have had.
>> >|
>> >>>>was
>> >>>>>knocking wood the whole time I read your post, because honestly, I've
>> >never
>> >>>>
>> >>>>>had any problems like that. I am running an older G4 Dual 1GHz
>> >Quicksilver
>> >>>>
>> >>>>>though and am still on OSX 10.2. I have an extra internal 40 gig
>> >drive,
>> >>>>and
>> >>>>>two external Firewire drives (120 gig and 180 gig) and have never
>> >lost
>> >>>>a
>> >>>>>file. And I drag and drop files from drive to drive all the time. No
>> >gray
>> >>>>
>> >>>>>screen thingies either. Man, I hope my system never starts with the
>> >problems
>> >>>>
>> >>>>>you've described. I was thinking about upgrading to OSX Tiger, but I
>> >think

>> >>>I
 >> >>>>may leave well enough alone. Like they say, if it ain't broke, don't
 >> >fix
 >> >>>it!
 >> >>>>
 >> >>>>I'm looking at a new Power Book as we speak though, and again
 >knocking
 >> >>>wood
 >> >>>>that it will behave as nicely as my G4 tower.
 >> >>>>
 >> >>>>Wishing you better luck,
 >> >>>>
 >> >>>>Tony
 >> >>>>
 >> >>>>
 >> >>>>
 >> >>>>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
 >> >>>>news:bhnrkj1tjabjue0ofdmsk0v8sn5a0livcsj@4ax.com...
 >> >>>>> with macs it's the gray screen of "gone fishing" with the ghostlike
 >> >>>>> prompt box in several languages. granted my personal contact with
 >> >>>>> other mac users is limited (6 including G5's and G4 laptops) all
 >have
 >> >>>>> had the same happenings with X, and, if you ask, some of them they
 >> >>>>> will say they've never had a "crash" even after they just had to
 >> >>>>> reboot due to the "program has unexpectedly quit" box appearance
 >> >>>>> preventing an app. from even loading.
 >> >>>>>
 >> >>>>> all of us have copied files from one drive to another only to have
 >> >>>>> them disappear 5 minutes, the next day or later along with all the
 >> >new
 >> >>>>> edits; yes they were saved and had been reloaded. plugins that
 >have
 >> >>>>> worked for months stop working (oddly enough they will work for one
 >> >>>>> song but not another or for one administrator and not the other).
 >> >>>>> other times they can show up but when you click on them they open a
 >> >>>>> new either non functioning or un closable rearranged version of the
 >> >>>>> plug per click.
 >> >>>>>
 >> >>>>> i could see if this were just my mac as i was one of the fortunate
 >> >>>>> ones to buy the limited production run (one month) infamous FW800
 >> >>>>> single boot G4 that has just begun to function around v10.3.8. so
 >> >yes
 >> >>>>> i guess you are lucky beyond the scope of your wife. like those
 >lucky
 >> >>>>> enough to get the pc's we have all read about that work perfectly
 >from
 >> >>>>> day one the rest of us get the others that perform a bit less
 >> >>>>> perfectly.

>> >>>>>>

>> >>>>>>

>> >>>>>>

>> >>>>>> On Tue, 27 Sep 2005 16:11:41 -0600, Jamie K <Meta@Dim

Subject: Re: No One Can Say They Didn't See It Coming
Posted by [Tony Benson](#) on Thu, 01 Sep 2005 19:31:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

or saying "Invalid Image" or something. I'm wondering if it
> doesn't like NTFS.

>

> The best I've found is GAG, which is free, and would do the job except for
> the fact that I've got some partitions on one drive and some on another,
> and when I use GAG to boot to the second drive it doesn't seem happy to
> reallocate

> C the title of C Drive to the booted partition, hence they don't run
> properly.

> I'm seriously considering doing a lot of partition shuffling and loading
> all the stuff I want to boot on to the first hdd, as apparently GAG will
> be happy to boot logical drives etc. GAG is really good though in other
> respects.

> Graphical interface, password options, single button OS selection, and
> it's

> FREE. ;o) It just wont reallocate drive names on the second drive...

>

> Does anyone have a really unreal system of running multi boots that they'd
> care to share? ;o)

>

> Cheers,

> Kim."Mike Mullin" <lunarlordship@sympatico.ca> wrote:

>

>Put a copy of the Paris Asio driver in the Asio folder

>of the program you would like to use. Then paris

>hardware wil show up as a choice in your

>program's audio I/O setup.

>regards,

>Mike

>

>

>"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:

>>Hi there... Can someone give an example of using the Paris ASIO in a real

>>scenario? I figured ASIO would show up in the settings of other

>>apps...(Wavelab,Traktion) so you might use the Paris Ins-Outs...but do
you

>>open Paris AND the other app at the same time or what?...thanks

>>

>>
>"hank" <hkovac@bigpond.net.au> wrote:
>WONT WORK IF YOU HAVE 3 EDS CARDS,only one or two
>"Mike Mullin" <lunarlordship@sympatico.ca> wrote:
>>
>>Put a copy of the Paris Asio driver in the Asio folder
>>of the program you would like to use. Then paris
>>hardware wil show up as a choice in your
>>program's audio I/O setup.
>>regards,
>>Mike
>>
>>
>>"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:
>>>Hi there... Can someone give an example of using the Paris ASIO in a
real
>>>scenario? I figured ASIO would show up in the settings of other
>>>apps...(Wavelab,Traktion) so you might use the Paris Ins-Outs...but do
>you
>>>open Paris AND the other app at the same time or what?...thanks
>>>
>>>
>>
>Can those of you who have been using the RNP's for a while give me some opinions?
I am in the process of deciding on some new Pre amps.
THinking of one Funkenwerks or GR 1NV and I may get a couple RNP's while
I am at it. I am wondering how they do for overheads.

TanxI am building a computer for a friend who's going to be using cubase. I'm
using a Gigabyte K8ns Ultra 939 on Chris Ludwig's recommendation. I've got
everything going on the basic build and done several stress tests. Everything
looks good and there were no problems except a bad removable rack tray that
I spent several hours chasing down :-(Havn't installed cubase yet. I'm going
to try Paris and see how that goes. I've already ghosted so it's play time
:-)

I'll post on the results. Kim, how's your experience going?
One thing I noticed on some benchmark tests (HD tack) is the MSI neo-platinum
looks to be a bit faster on the benchmarks, given the same memory, cpu, and
drives.

If I remember right. Chris L. also recommended that mobo.

I'll post after I try the Paris thing.

RodFunny, way back I was thinking of calling my studio "the Sand Box"thinking
of Mr Wilson

Do you have anything to do with BRMC?

"thesandbox" <sandboxproductions@hotmail.com> wrote:
>

>I would like copies as well....thanks
>Matt Barber
>"Dimitrios" <musurgio@otenet.gr> wrote:
>>You can find all and more at www.kvraudio.com
>>But I can make a zip of them and send to anyone interested.
>>I have tested all dynamics there and found these to be of 0 latency and
>good
>>sounding...
>>Regards,
>>Dimitrios
>>regards,
>>Dimitrios
>>"cujo" <chris@applemanstudio.com> wrote in message news:43384262\$1@linux...
>>>
>>>
>>> Cool D!, Any links?
>>>
>>> "Dimitrios" <musurgio@otenet.gr> wrote:
>>> >All are free too !
>>> >
>>> >D_Limiter
>>> >Db compressor
>>> >TL_satureted_driver
>>> >Simple_Limiter
>>> >Soft_overdrive
>>> >Gene_comp_mono
>>> >mda_dynamics
>>> >MJ_compressor
>>> >mda_loudness
>>> >MJ_multiband
>>> >Classic_compressor
>>> >Camelphat_free
>>> >E_phonic_Xpressor
>>> >Mn_CompV1.r
>>> >Luxonix_LFX1310
>>> >
>>> >All the above have been tested and sound good to very very good....
>>> >
>>> >If anyone wants them I can send them while they are free anyway...
>>> >Regards,
>>> >Dimitrios
>>> >
>>> >
>>>
>>
>>
>Mr. Sortyhorse,

How are the IRQ's hard wired to the PCI slots in the bios? Are any PCI slots always sharing with the AGP?

Does the bios of this mobo support dual core processors?

Thanks,

Mr. Simplicity

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:433f0b50\$1@linux...

>

> I am building a computer for a friend who's going to be using cubase. I'm
> using a Gigabyte K8ns Ultra 939 on Chris Ludwig's recommendation. I've got
> everything going on the basic build and done several stress tests.

Everything

> looks good and there were no problems except a bad removable rack tray
that

> I spent several hours chasing down :-(Havn't installed cubase yet. I'm
going

> to try Paris and see how that goes. I've already ghosted so it's play time

> :-)

> I'll post on the results. Kim, how's your experience going?

> One thing I noticed on some benchmark tests (HD tack) is the MSI
neo-platinum

> looks to be a bit faster on the benchmarks, given the same memory, cpu,
and

> drives.

> If I remember right. Chris L. also recommended that mobo.

> I'll post after I try the Paris thing.

> Rod

>Hmmmmmm.....Just wondering what the difference between an Opteron and a
64 CPU is. Why would an Opteron rig be faster?

does this have more to do with the mobo architecture.....like having
multiple busses, or what? I see that rigs like Brian T's monster wusing the
Tyan mobo has lots of busses.

The K8NS looks like a real moster with 2 x SATA controllers and at least one
of the SATA controllers is a Silicone Image (this is good).....so Mr.
Simplicity is starting to think about being able to put together something
that can run Cubase SX pretty much full time at 1.5ms latency with VSTi's
running along in the background so he goes to NewEgg and starts looking at

these K8NS mobos and at 64 bit x 2 CPU's ranging anywhere from an AMD 64 x 2 3800 for \$352.96, to an AMD 64 x 2 4600 Manchester for \$690.00 to an AMD 64 x 2 Toledo for \$884.00. All of them appear to have 1G L2 cache and the cheapest one is 2 GHz which I am assuming means 2GHz x 2 or 4Ghz and the most expensive one is 2.4GHz so I'm assuming that this means it's possible of achieving around 5GHz if nudged a little.

So what's the diff between an unnamed 3800, a Manchester and a Toledo. Does this have something to do wi

Subject: Re: No One Can Say They Didn't See It Coming
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Thu, 01 Sep 2005 19:38:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sts. Everything

>looks good and there were no problems except a bad removable rack tray that

>I spent several hours chasing down :-(Havn't installed cubase yet. I'm going

>to try Paris and see how that goes. I've already ghosted so it's play time

>:-)

>I'll post on the results. Kim, how's your experience going?

>One thing I noticed on some benchmark tests (HD tack) is the MSI neo-platinum

>looks to be a bit faster on the benchmarks, given the same memory, cpu, and

>drives.

>If I remember right. Chris L. also recommended that mobo.

>I'll post after I try the Paris thing.

>Rod

>>Does the bios of this mobo support dual core processors?

Yes it does in the later versions. Mind you I can't get my system to work with the later versions, so...

Cheers,

Kim."erlilo" <erlilo@online.no> wrote:

>Have you looked here? It isn't free but...

That's cheap enough if it works, and there's a trial version, so that's the next thing on my list to try. Thanks heaps.

Cheers,

Kim.EK Sound <spamnot.info@eksoundNO.com> wrote:

>But then you have to get off the chair.... oh no... exercise!!! ;-)

It's not the getting off the chair that bothers me, it's the purchase of 6 individual hard drives for the six boots. ;o)

Cheers,
Kim.HI DJ,

DJ wrote:

> Hmmmmm.....Just wondering what the difference between an Opteron and a
> 64 CPU is. Why would an Opteron rig be faster?

The CPU benchmark on the equivalent speed AMD64 and OPteron chips are very close with the AMD 64 being very slightly higher.

This doesn't mean that the AMD 64 is necessarily faster over all.

The has better PCI bus and memory performance than any architecture out there. This will only be noticeable though with high track count high sample rate recording and intensive VSTi use that is sample based.

> does this have more to do with the mobo architecture.....like having
> multiple busses, or what? I see that rigs like Brian T's monster wusing the
> Tyan mobo has lots of busses.

Yes

>
> The K8NS looks like a real moster with 2 x SATA controllers and at least one
> of the SATA controllers is a Silicone Image (this is good).....so Mr.
> Simplicity is starting to think about being able to put together something
> that can run Cubase SX pretty much full time at 1.5ms latency with VSTi's
> running along in the background so he goes to NewEgg and starts looking at
> these K8NS mobos and at 64 bit x 2 CPU's ranging anywhere from an AMD 64 x 2
> 3800 for \$352.96, to an AMD 64 x 2 4600 Manchester for \$690.00 to an AMD 64
> x 2 Toledo for \$884.00. All of them appear to have 1G L2 cache and the
> cheapest one is 2 GHz which I am assuming means 2GHz x 2 or 4Ghz and the
> most expensive one is 2.4GHz so I'm assuming that this means it's possible
> of achieving around 5GHz if nudged a little.

UADs and TC powercore work great in either setup. The only issue is with the UAD on the AMD64 X2 is you have a firewire audio interface. UAD is already working on this (we sent them a machine).

If you want to get something that is gonna the most future proof (if there is such a thing) then the Tyan s2895 with the Opterons would be the best choice. But also the most expensive at the start.

The K8NS like all of the Nforce 3 chipset motherboards are on the way to being phased out. The Nforce 4 single CPU board are having performance issues with PCI. They will hopefully be changing this with some chipset changes soon.

If your money is tight then the AMD64 X2 single processor will still be the best choice for performance.

Best price/performance:

AMD Athlon64-
3500+
4000+
X2-
3800+
4400+

Opteron-

242 (1.6)
248 (2.2)

OPteron Dual-Core-

265 (1.8)
275 (2.2)

>

> So what's the diff between an unnamed 3800, a Manchester and a Toledo. Does
> this have something to do with the chip architecture. Which would be
> preferable if the operating frequency was equal?

<http://www.amdcompare.com/us-en/desktop/>

>

> Mr. Simplicity is getting antsy for more horses for the Cubase machine.

>

> ;o)

>

>

--

Chris Ludwig

ADK

chrisl@adkproaudio.com <<mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>>

www.adkproaudio.com <<http://www.adkproaudio.com/>>

(859) 635-5762HI Rod,

Good to here everything is working so far. I know Kim seemed to have some growing pains.

The better HDTach performance usually means that the drive controller is

probably using more PCI than it should to show better benchmarks.
I always put the SATA drives on the NVidia SATA controller which is technically off the PCI buss on the Gigabyte board.

Chris

Rod Lincoln wrote:

> I am building a computer for a friend who's going to be using cubase. I'm
> using a Gigabyte K8ns Ultra 939 on Chris Ludwig's recommendation. I've got
> everything going on the basic build and done several stress tests. Everything
> looks good and there were no problems except a bad removable rack tray that
> I spent several hours chasing down :-(Havn't installed cubase yet. I'm going
> to try Paris and see how that goes. I've already ghosted so it's play time
> :-)
> I'll post on the results. Kim, how's your experience going?
> One thing I noticed on some benchmark tests (HD tack) is the MSI neo-platinum
> looks to be a bit faster on the benchmarks, given the same memory, cpu, and
> drives.
> If I remember right. Chris L. also recommended that mobo.
> I'll post after I try the Paris thing.
> Rod
>

--

Chris Ludwig

ADK

chrisl@adkproaudio.com <mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>

www.adkproaudio.com <http://www.adkproaudio.com/>

(859) 635-5762WHY?!!

:)

Kim wrote:

> I'm trying to put together a machine where I can boot to any of about 6 OS's
> from a boot menu.
>
> One of the integral tricks is that the boot manager needs to reallocate the
> drive letters so that the booted drive becomes C drive, to allow me to easily
> Ghost systems on and off drives, and between partitions. Ghosted partitions
> don't work real well if you load them as C drive, and then ghost them to
> D drive and expect them to work. If it was loaded as C it has to stay C.
>
> I've tried a whole bunch of different options, including the apparently popular
> OSLoader 2000 or whatever it's called. It wont even load my partitions. Comes
> up with an error saying "Invalid Image" or something. I'm wondering if it

> doesn't like NTFS.
>
> The best I've found is GAG, which is free, and would do the job except for
> the fact that I've got some partitions on one drive and some on another,
> and when I use GAG to boot to the second drive it doesn't seem happy to reallocate
> C the title of C Drive to the booted partition, hence they don't run properly.
> I'm seriously considering doing a lot of partition shuffling and loading
> all the stuff I want to boot on to the first hdd, as apparently GAG will
> be happy to boot logical drives etc. GAG is really good though in other respects.
> Graphical interface, password options, single button OS selection, and it's
> FREE. ;o) It just wont reallocate drive names on the second drive...
>
> Does anyone have a really unreal system of running multi boots that they'd
> care to share? ;o)
>
> Cheers,
> Kim.

--

Chris Ludwig
ADK
chrisl@adkproaudio.com <mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>
www.adkproaudio.com <http://www.adkproaudio.com/>
(859) 635-5762 You're moving to Oregon?

rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>dude, with my luck i'm sure the knee surgeon would come to my house my
>mistake...;o[
>
>On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 11:28:56 -0600, "DJ"
><animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>
>>I think you need to go find someone to break someone else's knees. This
>>always makes me feel better, no matter what kind of problem I'm having
with
>>anything/anyone.
>>
>>
>>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:ajanj11jelrh733fmjj64kcm0nv0rkuovp@4ax.com...
>>> james,
>>>
>>> crap, i was writing my response and it disappeared.....grrrrrrr.
>>>
>>> ram...it was benchmarked (24 hrs) many times and each test said that
>>> all was fine with the ram. not true...it turned out that my
>>> particular g4 had ram compatibility issues. i had the highest

>>> recommended ram by mushkin. this ram was recommended either #1 02 at
>>> every mac site i visited prior to buying the G4. ironically it turned
>>> out that no mac service center had an accurate test for ram because
>>> apple didn't have one. though months late in coming this info did
>>> finally prompt me to purchase another band which did work better. i
>>> should mention that i had in the mean time swapped out my ram with 2
>>> other G4s with apple supplied ram that had not solved the problem.
>>> mine worked in theirs while theirs didn't in mine. this i suppose is
>>> why they kept replacing the other guts in mine.
>>>
>>> after that then another issue arose and that was FW800 incompatibility
>>> which apple says was the fault of everyone else. well, we all know
>>> the answer to that one. that issue was more or less resolved by

Subject: Re: No One Can Say They Didn't See It Coming
Posted by [justcron](#) on Thu, 01 Sep 2005 20:51:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

th
>>> other manufacturers with the updates to their gear. for best
>>> performance i still have to use FW400 for the audio drive and the 800
>>> for storage...cool huh.
>>>
>>> at present i'm on 10.3.9 which is now functioning the best since my
>>> purchase. since my rig was more of a G5 than a G4 all those little
>>> changes to take advantage of the 5s architecture performance and
>>> stability have improved. i can sill run more tracks (on SX), plugins,
>>> and vst instruments on my 3+ yr. old 2.6 P4 pc than i can on the mac
>>> but the gap is closing.
>>>
>>> "The statement that they (Apple) can't warranty it working with third
>>> party products is unreasonable, you have a reasonable expectation of
>>> it working when you bought it" true or not it's apples position that
>>> problems are yours and not theirs. after all, you own a mac which is
>>> like being a part of the second coming so it must be your fault.
>>>
>>> all of this comes too little too late for me. it's sad, it took me 10
>>> months of 16 hour days and a couple buckets of money to build my
>>> studio. add another 6 years of 16 hour days building the business to
>>> where i was making pretty good money. then came the mac and after 2
>>> plus more years of trying to keep my dream alive i threw in the towel.
>>> my wife and i plan on moving to oregon in about 3 years and i don't
>>> wish to take 2 of those years to try to rebuild a client base and
>>> reputation only to leave it when we move. so there you have it, i'm
>>> just plain out of grease.
>>>
>>> rick, sans his inner child ;o)

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> On 29 Sep 2005 13:45:36 +1000, "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>> >
>>> > Rick, it actually could be the RAM. Some systems act funny with
>>different
>>> >brands of RAM. I assume it shows up in the hardware profile? Have
you
>>tried
>>> >other brands? How does it run with out the added memory? Have you tried
>>> >different drives internally? What version of OSX are you running?
>>> >
>>> >The statement that they (Apple) can't warranty it working with third
>>party
>>> >products is unreasonable, you have a reasonable expectation of it working
>>> >when you bought it. Apple can not guaranty that their product will
or
>>won't
>>> >work with third party products, that is a given. I would ignore that
>>statement,
>>> >and do as I suggested, take it in, if that doesn't work hire an attorney
>>> >to write a letter. Start with the BBB, it's free. Also bad press is
not
>>> >good, people have set up negative web sites and Apple ands up fixing
the
>>> >problem. iPod nano screen, etc.
>>> >
>>> >By the way Apple was number one for years in customer service and
>>satisfaction.
>>> > I believe they were rated number one again last year for the first
time
>>> >in years. I think reputation is important to them, so don't give up.
>>Remember,
>>> >The squeaky wheel gets the grease!
>>> >
>>> >People have won many lawsuits against Apple, you just don't hear about
>>it.
>>> > Usually the issue is resolved with some form of remedy. I don't think
>>you
>>> >need to go as far as to file a suit with them, I think a letter will
>>work.
>>> >
>>> >

>>> >James
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>> >>james,
>>> >>
>>> >>i went through about 8 to 10 months of back and forth with apple on
>>> >>this. they did replace the cpu, mobo, boot drive twice during this
>>> >>period. the problem was is that they kept giving me the prototype
>>> >>version. while it would benchmark fine once it was put in the real
>>> >>world nothing worked. i could take my drives and install them on
>>> >>other macs and it performed fine. bring theirs into mine and the same
>>> >>no works results ensued. hell. a single 867 notebook could smoke my
>>> >>dual 1.25 running the same data through it. after several months of
>>> >>the back and forth calling i was able to bypass all the lower folk
and
>>> >>talk to the tech folk direct. it was shortly after that i was told
>>> >>that once i put anything into the computer ie. ram, drives or programs
>>> >>of any kind that apple could not and would not warranty it working
>>> >>properly. in so far as informing apple of my situation, they knew
>>> >>that this was a recording studio and my sole livelihood. after that
i
>>> >>exploded...(quietly) and hung up.
>>> >>
>>> >>as far as filing a suit goes i think the only successful suit brought
>>> >>against apple was a class action one a couple of years ago.
>>> >>
>>> >>thanks
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >>On 29 Sep 2005 04:54:41 +1000, "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com>
>>> >>wrote:
>>> >>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>Hey Rick! Mac OSX 10.3.5 Panther is solid as a rock on all my systems.
>>> > My
>>> >>>opinion is that tiger is great, but it is not ready for prime time
yet.
>>> >
>>> >>>if you want to do critical work don't go with tiger yet. Sorry to
hear
>>> >about
>>> >>>all the problems with your system. In my years of experience as a
user
>>> >and
>>> >>>a computer dealer, it's not like Apple to not address this type of
>>issue.

>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>Here are some recommendations:
>>> >>>
>>> >>>If you have case numbers from the past, you should take your G4 in
to
>>an
>>> >>>Apple store and have there tech guys fix it. If it is an unresolved
>>issue
>>> >>>with a case number they will still take care of it, if you have a
case
>>> >number.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> If Apple does not resolve all of the issues, I would contact a law
>>firm
>>> >>>in Silicon Valley to rite a letter and send it to Apple's legal
>>department.
>>> >>> It shouldn't cost that much. In the letter, I would state all the
>>problems,
>>> >>>list the case numbers. I would request a refund of the purchase price
>>> >or
>>> >>>an equivalent current model G5 PowerMac. I would further state that
>>the
>>> >>>Mac was an essential part of your studio business, and as a result,
you
>>> >suffered
>>> >>>substantial losses because of lack of performance. I would also tell
>>them
>>> >>>that you are filing complaints with the Better Business Bureau, the
>>state
>>> >>>attorney general of your state and the state of California. If it
is
>>not
>>> >>>resolved quickly that there will be a lawsuit filed, possibly a class
>>action.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>Apple will take this seriously. The cost of the letter may cost you
>>\$150.00,
>>> >>>but the issues would get resolved. I have filed complaints with
the
>>BBB
>>> >>>and have had companies resolve issues quickly wtih out a lawsuit.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>James
>>> >>>
>>> >>>rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>> >>>>tony,

>>> >>>>

>>> >>>>i'm genuinely glad for anybodies computer that works as it should.

>>> >>>>like i said apple only made my particular model for one month as
a

>>> >>>>pretest for the G5 (they brought out the g5 2 days after i got the
>>> >>>>g4). the chipset in my rig is different from all the other g4s
>>> >>>>produced hence the osx only boot. with there were so many
>>> >>>>compatibility issues with it, that it took nearly 18 months before
it

>>> >>>>would run for a couple of hours without crashing. instead of giving
>>> >>>>me a new computer apple wanted to recreate my entire studio to suss
>>> >>>>out the problem...well..my business died; i lost all my clients and
>>> >>>>(to me) a ton of money, about \$67,000.00.

>>> >>>>

>>> >>>>i'll be the first to admit it's my fault for deciding to make a major
>>> >>>>shift in how my studio ran from a console/paris/pc one to a itb mac
>>> >>>>based.

>>> >>>>

>>> >>>>i haven't tried tiger as of yet though some friends have and seem
to

>>> >>>>be more or less happy with it. the one major reason for not at the
>>> >>>>moment is my quasi/partner scott. it seems that between the two
>>> >>>>upgrades Dp and tiger, none of the edits or most of the plugins are
no

>>> >>>>longer compatible; it would take waaaay to much effort to redo all
the

>>> >>>>mixes. consequently, those that we experimented with will no longer
>>> >>>>load into the previous versions of either...yeay.

>>> >>>>

>>> >>>>On Wed, 28 Sep 2005 11:14:20 -0500, "Tony Benson" <t o n y@ s t a
n d i

>>> >>>>n g h a m p t o n.c o m> wrote:

>>> >>>>

>>> >>>>>Dang Rick! Sorry to hear about the problems you and others have
had.

>>> >

>>> >>>>was

>>> >>>>>knocking wood the whole time I read your post, because honestly,
I've

>>> >never

>>> >>>>

>>> >>>>>had any problems like that. I am running an older G4 Dual 1GHz
>>Quicksilver

>>> >>>>

>>> >>>>>though and am still on OSX 10.2. I have an extra internal 40 gig
>>drive,

>>> >>>>and

>>> >>>>>two external Firewire drives (120 gig and 180 gig) and have never

>>lost
>>> >>>a
>>> >>>>>file. And I drag and drop files from drive to drive all the time.
No
>>> >gray
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>screen thingies either. Man, I hope my system never starts with
the
>>problems
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>you've described. I was thinking about upgrading to OSX Tiger, but
I
>>> >think
>>> >>>I
>>> >>>>>may leave well enough alone. Like they say, if it ain't broke, don't
>>> >fix
>>> >>>it!
>>> >

Subject: Re: No One Can Say They Didn't See It Coming
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Thu, 01 Sep 2005 20:52:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

blank">1@linux...
> > HI DJ,
> >
> >
> > DJ wrote:
> >
> > > Hmmmmm.....Just wondering what the difference between an Opteron
and
> a
> > > 64 CPU is. Why would an Opteron rig be faster?
> >
> > The CPU benchmark on the equivalent speed AMD64 and OPteron chips are
> > very close with the AMD 64 being very slightly higher.
> > This doesn't mean that the AMD 64 is necessarily faster over all.
> > The has better PCI bus and memory performance than any architecture out
> > there. This will only be noticeable though with high track count high
> > sample rate recording and intensive VSTi use that is sample based.
> >
> >
> > > does this have more to do with the mobo architecture.....like having
> > > multiple busses, or what? I see that rigs like Brian T's monster
wusing
> the
> > > Tyan mobo has lots of busses.

> >
> > Yes
> >
> > >
> > > The K8NS looks like a real monster with 2 x SATA controllers and at least
> one
> > > of the SATA controllers is a Silicone Image (this is good).....so
> Mr.
> > > Simplicity is starting to think about being able to put together
> something
> > > that can run Cubase SX pretty much full time at 1.5ms latency with
> VSTi's
> > > running along in the background so he goes to NewEgg and starts looking
> at
> > > these K8NS mobos and at 64 bit x 2 CPU's ranging anywhere from an AMD 64
> x 2
> > > 3800 for \$352.96, to an AMD 64 x 2 4600 Manchester for \$690.00 to an AMD
> 64
> > > x 2 Toledo for \$884.00. All of them appear to have 1G L2 cache and the
> > > cheapest one is 2 GHz which I am assuming means 2GHz x 2 or 4Ghz and the
> > > most expensive one is 2.4GHz so I'm assuming that this means it's
> possible
> > > of achieving around 5GHz if nudged a little.
> >
> > UADs and TC powercore work great in either setup. The only issue is with
> > the UAD on the AMD64 X2 is you have a firewire audio interface. UAD is
> > already working on this (we sent them a machine).
> >
> > If you want to get something that is gonna be the most future proof (if
> > there is such a thing) then the Tyan s2895 with the Opterons would be
> > the best choice. But also the most expensive at the start.
> >
> > The K8NS like all of the Nforce 3 chipset motherboards are on the way to
> > being phased out. The Nforce 4 single CPU board are having performance
> > issues with PCI. They will hopefully be changing this with some chipset
> > changes soon.
> >
> > If your money is tight then the AMD64 X2 single processor will still be
> > the best choice for performance.
> >
> > Best price/performance:
> >

> > AMD Athlon64-
 > > 3500+
 > > 4000+
 > > X2-
 > > 3800+
 > > 4400+
 > >
 > > Opteron-
 > >
 > > 242 (1.6)
 > > 248 (2.2)
 > >
 > > OPteron Dual-Core-
 > >
 > > 265 (1.8)
 > > 275 (2.2)
 > >
 > >
 > > >
 > > > So what's the diff between an unnamed 3800, a Manchester and a Toledo.
 > Does
 > > > this have something to do with the chip architecture. Which would be
 > > > preferable if the operating frequency was equal?
 > >
 > > <http://www.amdcompare.com/us-en/desktop/>
 > >
 > >
 > > >
 > > > Mr. Simplicity is getting antsy for more horses for the Cubase
 machine.
 > > >
 > > > ;o)
 > > >
 > > >
 > >
 > > --
 > > Chris Ludwig
 > > ADK
 > > chrisl@adkproaudio.com <<mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>>
 > > www.adkproaudio.com <<http://www.adkproaudio.com/>>
 > > (859) 635-5762
 >
 > He has been infected with the global boot pandemic.

 ;o)

"Chris Ludwig" <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote in message
 news:433fe8eb\$1@linux...

> WHY?!!
> :)
>
>
> Kim wrote:
>
> > I'm trying to put together a machine where I can boot to any of about 6
OS's
> > from a boot menu.
> >
> > One of the integral tricks is that the boot manager needs to reallocate
the
> > drive letters so that the booted drive becomes C drive, to allow me to
easily
> > Ghost systems on and off drives, and between partitions. Ghosted
partitions
> > don't work real well if you load them as C drive, and then ghost them to
> > D drive and expect them to work. If it was loaded as C it has to stay C.
> >
> > I've tried a whole bunch of different options, including the apparently
popular
> > OSLoader 2000 or whatever it's called. It wont even load my partitions.
Comes
> > up with an error saying "Invalid Image" or something. I'm wondering if
it
> > doesn't like NTFS.
> >
> > The best I've found is GAG, which is free, and would do the job except
for
> > the fact that I've got some partitions on one drive and some on another,
> > and when I use GAG to boot to the second drive it doesn't seem happy to
reallocate
> > C the title of C Drive to the booted partition, hence they don't run
properly.
> > I'm seriously considering doing a lot of partition shuffling and loading
> > all the stuff I want to boot on to the first hdd, as apparently GAG will
> > be happy to boot logical drives etc. GAG is really good though in other
respects.
> > Graphical interface, password options, single button OS selection, and
it's
> > FREE. ;o) It just wont reallocate drive names on the second drive...
> >
> > Does anyone have a really unreal system of running multi boots that
they'd
> > care to share? ;o)
> >
> > Cheers,
> > Kim.

>
> --
> Chris Ludwig
> ADK
> chrisl@adkproaudio.com <<mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>>
> www.adkproaudio.com <<http://www.adkproaudio.com/>>
> (859) 635-5762 They're good, not great. You can clearly hear the difference between the RNP and a higher-end unit like the Great River.

They are several notches better than Mackie, though, and they are an amazing value. We don't track many instruments at a time around here, but if we did the RNP would get a lot more use, whereas now we just use the Great River on everything, with uniformly excellent results.

YOMV.

I've got my eye on one of those TAB units as a companion to my 1NV. I've always wanted some really creamy preamp action...

Jimmy

"Cujo" <chris@applemanstudio.com> wrote in message [news:433ec75d\\$1@linux...](mailto:news:433ec75d$1@linux...)

>
> Can those of you who have been using the RNP's for a while give me some opinions?
> I am in the process of deciding on some new Pre amps.
> THinking of one Funkenwerks or GR 1NV and I may get a couple RNP's while
> I am at it. I am wondering how they do for overheads.

>
> TanxKim,
Same problem with Bios after 6. It looks like they nixed the IRQ control after that. I went back to version 6 myself.

"Kim" <hidensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>
> I had some trouble with mine with one pair of SATA ports not working and
> had to send it back, which took two attempts, and the turnaround per attempt
> was ten days, so I've only just got a new one last week and gotten back
on
> it.
>
> That said, it seems a very nice board. One thing I have noticed is that
BIOS
> versions after version 6 don't have nearly as much IRQ control. They seem
> to have irradicated the whole IRQ menu... plus something in my setup isn't
> happy with those versions and my machine wont even boot mostly with those
> versions (7-9). Not sure if it's the EDS cards or what.

>

>On BIOS V6 though she steams along. The only time it's hung at all is when

>I was fiddling with drive setups in the computer management area of control

>panel (partition management) and had an explorer window open with one of

>the drives I was fiddling with, which I can understand it not being happy

>about.

>

>I've had a world of hurt getting to where I am and it's not over yet, but

>I think the board itself is good. I think once I get it working nicely I'll

>be on a good thing. I'm very interested on how you go with later firmwares

>(7+) and EDS cards if you try it.

>

>Cheers,

>Kim.

>

>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>>

>>I am building a computer for a friend who's going to be using cubase. I'm

>>using a Gigabyte K8ns Ultra 939 on Chris Ludwig's recommendation. I've

>got

>>everything going on the basic build and done several stress tests. Everything

>>looks good and there were no problems except a bad removable rack tray

>that

>>I spent several hours chasing down :-(Havn't installed cubase yet. I'm

>going

>>to try Paris and see how that goes. I've already ghosted so it's play time

>>:-)

>>I'll post on the results. Kim, how's your experience going?

>>One thing I noticed on some benchmark tests (HD tack) is the MSI neo-platinum

>>looks to be a bit faster on the benchmarks, given the same memory, cpu,

>and

>>drives.

>>If I remember right. Chris L. also recommended that mobo.

>>I'll post after I try the Paris thing.

>>Rod

>>

>Deej, the PCI IRQ's are totally steerable, as long as you don't go above Bios

version 6. Kind of weird.

I couldn't find any documentation that mentioned compabiliyt with dual core

CPU's, but I guess Kim did (in later versions...see his post below)

So far, I havn't been able to get Paris to work with it though.

No errors...it just won't do ANYTHING when I try to open paris.

I only tried late last night though, so it could have been a bad on my part.

I'll try again, and post the relults.

rod

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>Mr. Sortyhorse,

>

>How are the IRQ's hard wired to the PCI slots in the bios? Are any PCI slots
>always sharing with the AGP?
>
>Does the bios of this mobo support dual core processors?
>
>Thanks,
>
>Mr. Simplicity
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:433f0b50\$1@linux...
>>
>> I am building a computer for a friend who's going to be using cubase.
I'm
>> using a Gigabyte K8ns Ultra 939 on Chris Ludwig's recommendation. I've
got
>> everything going on the basic build and done several stress tests.
>Everything
>> looks good and there were no problems except a bad removable rack tray
>that
>> I spent several hours chasing down :(Havn't installed cubase yet. I'm
>going
>> to try Paris and see how that goes. I've already ghosted so it's play
time
>> :-)
>> I'll post on the results. Kim, how's your experience going?
>> One thing I noticed on some benchmark tests (HD tack) is the MSI
>neo-platinum
>> looks to be a bit faster on the benchmarks, given the same memory, cpu,
>and
>> drives.
>> If I remember right. Chris L. also recommended that mobo.
>> I'll post after I try the Paris thing.
>> Rod
>>
>
>But does BIOS version 6 support dual core CPU's?

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:43402f6c\$1@linux...
>

> Kim,
> Same problem with Bios after 6. It looks like they nixed the IRQ control
> after that. I went back to version 6 myself.
> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> >I had some trouble with mine with one pair of SATA ports not working and
> >had to send it back, which took two attempts, and the turnaround per
attempt
> >was ten days, so I've only just got a new one last week and gotten back
> on
> >it.
> >
> >That said, it seems

Subject: Re: No One Can Say They Didn't See It Coming
Posted by [Tony Benson](#) on Thu, 01 Sep 2005 21:56:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> >>>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>>>Lucky Tony
>>> >>>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>>>"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>>> >>>>>>>>news:43387da4@linux...
>>> >>>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>>>It's amazing how accurate it is about a Mac. the more I use
>>Macs,
>>> >>>the
>>> >>>>>>>>more I
>>> >>>>>>>>can relate to this.
>>> >>>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>>>Here it is, BTW-
>>> >>>>>>>>http://members.cox.net/clyqz/mac.html
>>> >>>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>>>;o)
>>> >>>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> >>>>>>>>news:43385510\$1@linux...
>>> >>>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>>>Yeah, I laugh my ass off, at how ignorant the guy was about
a
>>Mac!
>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>>>>>James

Chris

> this problem..Yes/No?? Thanks?

(859) 635-5762....It seems to do the same things as Partition Magic and Ghost too...

> "erlilo" <erlilo@online.no> wrote:

>>Have you looked here? It isn't free but...

>

> That's cheap enough if it works, and there's a trial version, so that's

> the

> next thing on my list to try. Thanks heaps.

>

> Cheers,

> Kim.Thanks for clearing that up Chris. I figured the Opteron DAW performance had to do with parallel bussing.....lots fewer train wrecks that way.....and lots less \$\$\$ in my pocket.

I was checking into this on the Nuendo forum last night and saw Brian T's posting about his rigs. I'm gonna have to find me a sugar daddy.

;o)

"Chris Ludwig" <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote in message
news:433fe78c\$1@linux...

> HI DJ,

>

>

> DJ wrote:

>

> > Hmmmmm.....Just wondering what the difference between an Opteron and
a

> > 64 CPU is. Why would an Opteron rig be faster?

>

> The CPU benchmark on the equivalent speed AMD64 and OPteron chips are

> very close with the AMD 64 being very slightly higher.

> This doesn't mean that the AMD 64 is necessarily faster over all.

> The has better PCI bus and memory performance than any architecture out

> there. This will only be noticeable though with high track count high

> sample rate recording and intensive VSTi use that is sample based.

>

>

> > does this have more to do with the mobo architecture.....like having

> > multiple busses, or what? I see that rigs like Brian T's monster wusing
the

> > Tyan mobo has lots of busses.

>

> Yes

>

> >

> > The K8NS looks like a real moster with 2 x SATA controllers and at least
one

> > of the SATA controllers is a Silicone Image (this is good).....so

Mr.

> > Simplicity is starting to think about being able to put together

something

> > that can run Cubase SX pretty much full time at 1.5ms latency with VSTi's

> > running along in the background so he goes to NewEgg and starts looking at

> > these K8NS mobos and at 64 bit x 2 CPU's ranging anywhere from an AMD 64 x 2

> > 3800 for \$352.96, to an AMD 64 x 2 4600 Manchester for \$690.00 to an AMD 64

> > x 2 Toledo for \$884.00. All of them appear to have 1G L2 cache and the

> > cheapest one is 2 GHz which I am assuming means 2GHz x 2 or 4Ghz and the

> > most expensive one is 2.4GHz so I'm assuming that this means it's possible

> > of achieving around 5GHz if nudged a little.

>

> UADs and TC powercore work great in either setup. The only issue is with

> the UAD on the AMD64 X2 is you have a firewire audio interface. UAD is

> already working on this (we sent them a machine).

>

> If you want to get something that is gonna the most future proof (if

> there is such a thing) then the Tyan s2895 with the Opterons would be

> the best choice. But also the most expensive at the start.

>

> The K8NS like all of the Nforce 3 chipset motherboards are on the way to

> being phased out. The Nforce 4 single CPU board are having performance

> issues with PCI. They will hopefully be changing this with some chipset

> changes soon.

>

> If your money is tight then the AMD64 X2 single processor will still be

> the best choice for performance.

>

> Best price/performance:

>

> AMD Athlon64-

> 3500+

> 4000+

> X2-

> 3800+

> 4400+

>

> Opteron-

>

> 242 (1.6)

> 248 (2.2)

>

> OPteron Dual-Core-

>

> 265 (1.8)

> 275 (2.2)
>
>
> >
> > So what's the diff between an unnamed 3800, a Manchester and a Toledo.
Does
> > this have something to do with the chip architecture. Which would be
> > preferable if the operating frequency was equal?
>
> <http://www.amdcompare.com/us-en/desktop/>
>
>
> >
> > Mr. Simplicity is getting antsy for more horses for the Cubase machine.
> >
> > ;o)
> >
> >
>
> --
> Chris Ludwig
> ADK
> chrisl@adkproaudio.com <<mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>>
> www.adkproaudio.com <<http://www.adkproaudio.com/>>
> (859) 635-5762> UADs and TC powercore work great in either setup. The only issue is with
> the UAD on the AMD64 X2 is you have a firewire audio interface. UAD is
> already working on this (we sent them a machine).

Thanks for sending them a machine since I am using 2 x HDSP 9652's a PCI
Multiface and a UAD-1 in my Magma and 3 x UAD1-s in PCI #'s 3, 4 and 5.
Looks like I'd be SOL right about now. Guess I'll wait to see if this gets
sorted out. Is Joe Bryan carrying the ball on this for UA? If so, I have
high hopes. That guy is amazing.

:o)

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:433ffaf6@linux...
> Thanks for clearing that up Chris. I figured the Opteron DAW performance
had
> to do with parallel bussing.....lots fewer train wrecks that
> way.....and lots less \$\$\$ in my pocket.
>
> I was checking into this on the Nuendo forum last night and saw Brian T's
> posting about his rigs. I'm gonna have to find me a sugar daddy.
>
> ;o)

>
> "Chris Ludwig" <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote in message
> news:433fe78c\$

Subject: Re: No One Can Say They Didn't See It Coming
Posted by [Jason Miles](#) on Thu, 01 Sep 2005 22:21:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

a very nice board. One thing I have noticed is that
> BIOS
> >versions after version 6 don't have nearly as much IRQ control. They seem
> >to have irradiated the whole IRQ menu... plus something in my setup
isn't
> >happy with those versions and my machine wont even boot mostly with those
> >versions (7-9). Not sure if it's the EDS cards or what.
> >
> >On BIOS V6 though she steams along. The only time it's hung at all is
when
> >I was fiddling with drive setups in the comput

Subject: Re: No One Can Say They Didn't See It Coming
Posted by [justcron](#) on Thu, 01 Sep 2005 22:50:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

er management area of
control
> >panel (partition management) and had an explorer window open with one of
> >the drives I was fiddling with, which I can understand it not being happy
> >about.
> >
> >I've had a world of hurt getting to where I am and it's not over yet, but
> >I think the board itself is good. I think once I get it working nicely
I'll
> >be on a good thing. I'm very interested on how you go with later
firmwares
> >(7+) and EDS cards if you try it.
> >
> >Cheers,
> >Kim.
> >
> >"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>I am building a computer for a friend who's going to be using cubase.
I'm
> >>using a Gigabyte K8ns Ultra 939 on Chris Ludwig's recommendation. I've

> got
> >>everything going on the basic build and done several stress tests.
Everything
> >>looks good and there were no problems except a bad removable rack tray
> that
> >>I spent several hours chasing down :-(Havn't installed cubase yet. I'm
> >going
> >>to try Paris and see how that goes. I've already ghosted so it's play
time
> >>:-)
> >>I'll post on the results. Kim, how's your experience going?
> >>One thing I noticed on some benchmark tests (HD tack) is the MSI
neo-platinum
> >>looks to be a bit faster on the benchmarks, given the same memory, cpu,
> >and
> >>drives.
> >>If I remember right. Chris L. also recommended that mobo.
> >>I'll post after I try the Paris thing.
> >>Rod
> >>
> >
>HI DJ,

NO F7 and higher only.
I don't think Paris will work right anyways because of the dual
processor functions.

Chris

DJ wrote:

> But does BIOS version 6 support dual core CPU's?
>
>
> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:43402f6c\$1@linux...
>
>>Kim,
>>Same problem with Bios after 6. It looks like they nixed the IRQ control
>>after that. I went back to version 6 myself.
>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>I had some trouble with mine with one pair of SATA ports not working and
>>>had to send it back, which took two attempts, and the turnaround per
>
> attempt
>

>>>was ten days, so I've only just got a new one last week and gotten back
>>
>>on
>>
>>>it.
>>>
>>>That said, it seems a very nice board. One thing I have noticed is that
>>
>>BIOS
>>
>>>versions after version 6 don't have nearly as much IRQ control. They seem
>>>to have irradiated the whole IRQ menu... plus something in my setup
>
> isn't
>
>>>happy with those versions and my machine wont even boot mostly with those
>>>versions (7-9). Not sure if it's the EDS cards or what.
>>>
>>>On BIOS V6 though she steams along. The only time it's hung at all is
>
> when
>
>>>I was fiddling with drive setups in the computer management area of
>
> control
>
>>>panel (partition management) and had an explorer window open with one of
>>>the drives I was fiddling with, which I can understand it not being happy
>>>about.
>>>
>>>I've had a world of hurt getting to where I am and it's not over yet, but
>>>I think the board itself is good. I think once I get it working nicely
>
> I'll
>
>>>be on a good thing. I'm very interested on how you go with later
>
> firmwares
>
>>>(7+) and EDS cards if you try it.
>>>
>>>Cheers,
>>>Kim.
>>>
>>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>I am building a computer for a friend who's going to be using cubase.
>

> I'm
>
>>>>using a Gigabyte K8ns Ultra 939 on Chris Ludwig's recommendation. I've
>>
>>got
>>
>>>>everything going on the basic build and done several stress tests.
>
> Everything
>
>>>>looks good and there were no problems except a bad removable rack tray
>>
>>that
>>
>>>>I spent several hours chasing down :-(Havn't installed cubase yet. I'm
>>>
>>>going
>>>
>>>>to try Paris and see how that goes. I've already ghosted so it's play
>
> time
>
>>>>:-)
>>>>I'll post on the results. Kim, how's your experience going?
>>>>One thing I noticed on some benchmark tests (HD tack) is the MSI
>
> neo-platinum
>
>>>>looks to be a bit faster on the benchmarks, given the same memory, cpu,
>>>
>>>and
>>>
>>>>drives.
>>>>If I remember right. Chris L. also recommended that mobo.
>>>>I'll post after I try the Paris thing.
>>>>Rod
>>>>
>>>
>
>

--
Chris Ludwig
ADK
chrisl@adkproaudio.com <mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>
www.adkproaudio.com <http://www.adkproaudio.com/>
(859) 635-5762Thanks, Guys,
I had a backup copy and got it working before my session on Friday and Saturday.

>
>Hey Aaron,
> I tried to replace the cfg file and am still getting same error on bootup.
>I have a session for this weekend if I could call you while at the studio
>computer that would be good if you have time.
>Thanks, DavidOK, I got Paris going on the K8NS. I did a re-install and all seem good. Just
one eds card in so far.
Rod
"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>
>Deej, the PCI IRQ's are totally steerable, as long as you don't go above
Bios
>version 6. Kind of weird.
>I couldn't find any documentation that mentioned compabilyt with dual core
>CPU's, but I guess Kim did (in later versions...see his post below)
>So far, I havn't been able to get Paris to work with it though.
>No errors...it just won't do ANYTHING when I try to open paris.
>I only tried late last night though, so it could have been a bad on my part.
>I'll try again, and post the relults.
>rod
>"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>Mr. Sortyhorse,
>>
>>How are the IRQ's hard wired to the PCI slots in the bios? Are any PCI
slots
>>always sharing with the AGP?
>>
>>Does the bios of this mobo support dual core processors?
>>
>>Thanks,
>>
>>Mr. Simplicity
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
>>news:433f0b50\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> I am building a computer for a friend who's going to be using cubase.
>I'm
>>> using a Gigabyte K8ns Ultra 939 on Chris Ludwig's recommendation. I've
>got
>>> everything going on the basic build and done several stress tests.

>>Everything
>>> looks good and there were no problems except a bad removable rack tray
>>that
>>> I spent several hours chasing down :-(Havn't installed cubase yet. I'm
>>going
>>> to try Paris and see how that goes. I've already ghosted so it's play
>time
>>> :-)
>>> I'll post on the results. Kim, how's your experience going?
>>> One thing I noticed on some benchmark tests (HD tack) is the MSI
>>neo-platinum
>>> looks to be a bit faster on the benchmarks, given the same memory, cpu,
>>and
>>> drives.
>>> If I remember right. Chris L. also recommended that mobo.
>>> I'll post after I try the Paris thing.
>>> Rod
>>>
>>
>>
>Yes. Well worth the money if it works well. I don't have partition magic
so that would be handy too, and it says it can make more than 3 primary partitions
which would also be handy.

Cheers,
Kim.

"erlilo" <erlilo@online.no> wrote:
>...It seems to do the same things as Partition Magic and Ghost too...
>
>Erling
>
>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> skrev i melding news:433facae\$1@linux...
>>
>> "erlilo" <erlilo@online.no> wrote:
>>>Have you looked here? It isn't free but...
>>
>> That's cheap enough if it works, and there's a trial version, so that's

>> the
>> next thing on my list to try. Thanks heaps.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> Kim.
>
>Chris Ludwig <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote:
>WHY?!!
>:)

Hehe, well... ;o)

1 Boot just for Paris... so it's clean and always works.

1 Boot for general audio, with Paris and every other audio application I use.

1 Boot for video production use. This will also have some audio apps, as well as video production apps.

1 Boot for general office tasks... word processing, photoshop etc.

1 Boot called "KAOS" for general mucking around. This is the anything goes install where you load things to see if they work etc.

1 Boot for experimental OS's... where I can load Linux if I want, or new versions of Windows / Service packs... whatever.

You see this computer will do pretty much everything for me, and we all know how a system runs if you load a million things on a single install of Windows.

OK, I could probably make do with maybe only about 4, or 3 even in a pinch, but I certainly wouldn't want to be routinely running Paris off an OS with video stuff, M\$ office, Cubase, Reason, etc etc. I like to keep things clean...

....I am astounded how difficult it seems to be to get such a system running though.

Cheers,

Kim. Yeah, I was thinking of the RNP as sort of a stop gap set for say OH's in addition to a GR NV1 if they were really good. I am thinking that a v72 racked is not much more than the Tab- funken, so I think I'll wait on that. Of course a 1073 is a shite load more than the GR. So I'll go with that for now I think. Of course I have just become greedy and I am now leaning towards sabling for a pre that starts with an "H"..but I wont mention.

"uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>They're good, not great. You can clearly hear the difference between the RNP

>and a higher-end unit like the Great River.

>

>They are several notches better than Mackie, though, and they are an amazing >value. We don't track many instruments at a time around here, but if we did

>the RNP would get a lot more use, whereas now we just use the Great River on

>everything, with uniformly excellent results.

>

>YOMV.

>

>I've got my eye on one of those TAB units as a companion to my 1NV. I've

>always wanted some really creamy preamp action...
>
>Jimmy
>
>
>"Cujo" <chris@applemanstudio.com> wrote in message news:433ec75d\$1@linux...
>>
>> Can those of you who have been using the RNP's for a while give me some
>opinions?
>> I am in the process of deciding on some new Pre amps.
>> THinking of one Funkenwerks or GR 1NV and I may get a couple RNP's while
>> I am at it. I am wondering how they do for overheads.
>>
>> Tanx
>
>I'm contemplating this mobo for building a native DAW(Cubase SX/2 x HDSP
9652's/Multiface & 4 x UAD-1's).

Paris works perfectly for me on my XP 2800/ASUS A7V8X. This rig is beacoup
stable with plenty of horsepower for Paris. I doubt I'll ever build another
Paris DAW.

Deej

"Chris Ludwig" <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote in message
news:434037eb\$1@linux...
> HI DJ,
>
> NO F7 and higher only.
> I don't think Paris will work right anyways because of the dual
> processor functions.
>
> Chris
>
>
> DJ wrote:
> > But does BIOS version 6 support dual core CPU's?
> >
> >
> > "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:43402f6c\$1@linux...
> >
> >>Kim,
> >>Same problem with Bios after 6. It looks like they nixed the IRQ control
> >>after that. I went back to version 6 myself.
> >>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>

> >>>
> >>>I had some trouble with mine with one pair of SATA ports not working
and
> >>>had to send it back, which took two attempts, and the turnaround per
> >
> > attempt
> >
> >>>was ten days, so I've only just got a new one last week and gotten back
> >>
> >>on
> >>
> >>>it.
> >>>
> >>>That said, it seems a very nice board. One thing I have noticed is that
> >>
> >>BIOS
> >>
> >>>versions after version 6 don't have nearly as much IRQ control. They
seem
> >>>to have irradiated the whole IRQ menu... plus something in my setup
> >
> > isn't
> >
> >>>happy with those versions and my machine wont even boot mostly with
those
> >>>versions (7-9). Not sure if it's the EDS cards or what.
> >>>
> >>>On BIOS V6 though she steams along. The only time it's hung at all is
> >
> > when
> >
> >>>I was fiddling with drive setups in the computer management area of
> >
> > control
> >
> >>>panel (partition management) and had an explorer window open with one
of
> >>>the drives I was fiddling with, which I can understand it not being
happy
> >&g

Subject: Re: No One Can Say They Didn't See It Coming
Posted by [Brandon oops i mean a](#) on Thu, 01 Sep 2005 23:11:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>
> >>>>everything going on the basic build and done several stress tests.

> >
> > Everything
> >
> >>>>looks good and there were no problems except a bad removable rack tray
> >>
> >>that
> >>
> >>>>I spent several hours chasing down :-(Havn't installed cubase yet.
I'm
> >>>
> >>>going
> >>>
> >>>>to try Paris and see how that goes. I've already ghosted so it's play
> >
> > time
> >
> >>>>:-)
> >>>>I'll post on the results. Kim, how's your experience going?
> >>>>One thing I noticed on some benchmark tests (HD tack) is the MSI
> >
> > neo-platinum
> >
> >>>>looks to be a bit faster on the benchmarks, given the same memory,
cpu,
> >>>
> >>>and
> >>>
> >>>>drives.
> >>>>If I remember right. Chris L. also recommended that mobo.
> >>>>I'll post after I try the Paris thing.
> >>>>Rod
> >>>>
> >>>
> >
> >
>
> --
> Chris Ludwig
> ADK
> chrisl@adkproaudio.com <mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>
> www.adkproaudio.com <http://www.adkproaudio.com/>
> (859) 635-5762"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>
>Kim,
>Same problem with Bios after 6. It looks like they nixed the IRQ control
>after that. I went back to version 6 myself.
>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>

>>
>>I had some trouble with mine with one pair of SATA ports not working and
>>had to send it back, which took two attempts, and the turnaround per attempt
>>was ten days, so I've only just got a new one last week and gotten back
>on
>>it.
>>
>>That said, it seems a very nice board. One thing I have noticed is that
>BIOS
>>versions after version 6 don't have nearly as much IRQ control. They seem
>>to have irradiated the whole IRQ menu... plus something in my setup
isn't
>>happy with those versions and my machine won't even boot mostly with those
>>versions (7-9). Not sure if it's the EDS cards or what.
>>
>>On BIOS V6 though she steams along. The only time it's hung at all is when
>>I was fiddling with drive setups in the computer management area of control
>>panel (partition management) and had an explorer window open with one of
>>the drives I was fiddling with, which I can understand it not being happy
>>about.
>>
>>I've had a world of hurt getting to where I am and it's not over yet, but
>>I think the board itself is good. I think once I get it working nicely
I'll
>>be on a good thing. I'm very interested on how you go with later firmwares
>>(7+) and EDS cards if you try it.
>>
>>Cheers,
>>Kim.
>>
>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>I am building a computer for a friend who's going to be using cubase.
I'm
>>>using a Gigabyte K8ns Ultra 939 on Chris Ludwig's recommendation. I've
>got
>>>everything going on the basic build and done several stress tests. Everything
>>>looks good and there were no problems except a bad removable rack tray
>that
>>>I spent several hours chasing down :-(Haven't installed cubase yet. I'm
>>going
>>>to try Paris and see how that goes. I've already ghosted so it's play
time
>>>:-)
>>>I'll post on the results. Kim, how's your experience going?
>>>One thing I noticed on some benchmark tests (HD tack) is the MSI neo-platinum
>>>looks to be a bit faster on the benchmarks, given the same memory, cpu,
>>and

>>>drives.

>>>If I remember right. Chris L. also recommended that mobo.

>>>I'll post after I try the Paris thing.

>>>Rod

>>>

>>

>Well, I got the Gigabyte K8NS with an amd64 3500 cpu going with Paris. I got 6 eds (in a 13 slot magma) and 2 native submixes filled (32 tracks in record at a time)for a total of 128 tracks, doing some silly stuff like moving windows, open and close windows, open paint and draw a nice picture, save it and open it, all while playing the 128 tracks. I didn't bother with any plugs, but I'd say this set up is at least pretty stable with Paris. After I did this, I noticed that 4 of my eds cards were sharing an irq with the matrox 650.....so I'd say that was pretty good that it could do that much while sharing with the agp.

Rod

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>

>Deej, the PCI IRQ's are totally steerable, as long as you don't go above Bios

>version 6. Kind of weird.

>I couldn't find any documentation that mentioned compabiliyt with dual core

>CPU's, but I guess Kim did (in later versions...see his post below)

>So far, I havn't been able to get Paris to work with it though.

>No errors...it just won't do ANYTHING when I try to open paris.

>I only tried late last night though, so it could have been a bad on my part.

>I'll try again, and post the relults.

>rod

>"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>>Mr. Sortyhorse,

>>

>>How are the IRQ's hard wired to the PCI slots in the bios? Are any PCI slots

>>always sharing with the AGP?

>>

>>Does the bios of this mobo support dual core processors?

>>

>>Thanks,

>>

>>Mr. Simplicity

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message

>>news:433f0b50\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> I am building a computer for a friend who's going to be using cubase.
>I'm
>>> using a Gigabyte K8ns Ultra 939 on Chris Ludwig's recommendation. I've
>got
>>> everything going on the basic build and done several stress tests.
>>Everything
>>> looks good and there were no problems except a bad removable rack tray
>>that
>>> I spent several hours chasing down :-(Havn't installed cubase yet. I'm
>>going
>>> to try Paris and see how that goes. I've already ghosted so it's play
>time
>>> :-)
>>> I'll post on the results. Kim, how's your experience going?
>>> One thing I noticed on some benchmark tests (HD tack) is the MSI
>>neo-platinum
>>> looks to be a bit faster on the benchmarks, given the same memory, cpu,
>>and
>>> drives.
>>> If I remember right. Chris L. also recommended that mobo.
>>> I'll post after I try the Paris thing.
>>> Rod
>>>
>>
>>
>If you are planning to come to the Paris dinner on Friday, please let
me know so I can make a reservation. Here are some suggested
restaurants. I am trying to keep it near Penn Station since some of us
have to catch a train.

Latin/Cuban:

[http://www.menupages.com/restaurantdetails.asp?areaid=0&
restaurantid=4103&neighborhoodid=8&cuisineid=19](http://www.menupages.com/restaurantdetails.asp?areaid=0&restaurantid=4103&neighborhoodid=8&cuisineid=19)
[http://www.menupages.com/restaurantdetails.asp?areaid=0&
restaurantid=4492&neighborhoodid=8&cuisineid=64](http://www.menupages.com/restaurantdetails.asp?areaid=0&restaurantid=4492&neighborhoodid=8&cuisineid=64)

Seafood:

[http://www.menupages.com/restaurantdetails.asp?areaid=0&
restaurantid=5260&neighborhoodid=8&cuisineid=55](http://www.menupages.com/restaurantdetails.asp?areaid=0&restaurantid=5260&neighborhoodid=8&cuisineid=55)

As always, open to suggestions too!

- Paul Artola

Ellicott City, Marylandhow about 2 per drive???

On 2 Oct 2005 19:49:17 +1000, "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>EK Sound <spamnot.info@eksoundNO.com> wrote:
>>But then you have to get off the chair.... oh no... exercise!!! ;-)
>
>It's not the getting off the chair that bothers me, it's the purchase of
>6 individual hard drives for the six boots. ;o)
>
>Cheers,
>Kim."cujo" <chris@applemanstudio.com> wrote:
>
>Yeah, I was thinking of the RNP as sort of a stop gap set for say OH's in
>addition to a GR NV1 if they were really good. I am thinking that a v72
racked
>is not much more than the Tab- funken, so I think I'll wait on that. Of
course
>a 1073 is a shite load more than the GR. So I'll go with that for now I
think.
>Of course I have just become greedy and I am now leaning towards sabing
for
>a pre that starts with an "H"..but I wont mention.

Starts with an "H"? Are you talking about John Hardy?

NeilNah, this one I really gotta save some penny's for.
I was thinking of the Tony Arnold Helios stuff.
Neil are you still a big 4033 fan?

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:
>
>"cujo" <chris@applemanstudio.com> wrote:
>>
>>Yeah, I was thinking of the RNP as sort of a stop gap set for say OH's
in
>>addition to a GR NV1 if they were really good. I am thinking that a v72
>racked
>>is not much more than the Tab- funken, so I think I'll wait on that. Of
>course
>>a 1073 is a shite load more than the GR. So I'll go with that for now I
>think.
>>Of course I have just become greedy and I am now leaning towards sabing
>for
>>a pre that starts with an "H"..but I wont mention.
>
>Starts with an "H"? Are you talking about John Hardy?
>

>NeilChris,

Thanks for all your info on these matters. On behalf of the penny pinchers in the world (me), what could you tell us about the performance of the Giga k8ns socket 754 version. With the 64-bit activated Semprons now under \$80.00 USD, it would provide an attractive alternative while staying out of the deep water\$ of cutting edge upgrades.

Regards,

El Miguel

"Chris Ludwig" <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote in message news:433fe78c\$1@linux...

> HI DJ,

>

>

> DJ wrote:

>

> > Hmmmmm.....Just wondering what the difference between an Opteron and a

> > 64 CPU is. Why would an Opteron rig be faster?

>

> The CPU benchmark on the equivalent speed AMD64 and OPteron chips are very close with the AMD 64 being very slightly higher.

> This doesn't mean that the AMD 64 is necessarily faster over all.

> The has better PCI bus and memory performance than any architecture out there. This will only be noticeable though with high track count high sample rate recording and intensive VSTi use that is sample based.

>

>

> > does this have more to do with the mobo architecture.....like having multiple busses, or what? I see that rigs like Brian T's monster wusing the

> > Tyan mobo has lots of busses.

>

> Yes

>

> >

> > The K8NS looks like a real moster with 2 x SATA controllers and at least one

> > of the SATA controllers is a Silicone Image (this is good).....so

Mr.

> > Simplicity is starting to think about being able to put together something

> > that can run Cubase SX pretty much full time at 1.5ms latency with VSTi's

> > running along in the background so he goes to NewEgg and starts looking at

> > these K8NS mobos and at 64 bit x 2 CPU's ranging anywhere from an AMD 64 x 2

> > 3800 for \$352.96, to an AMD 64 x 2 4600 Manchester for \$690.00 to an AMD 64

> > x 2 Toledo for \$884.00. All of them appear to have 1G L2 cache and the

> > cheapest one is 2 GHz which I am assuming means 2GHz x 2 or 4Ghz and the

> > most expensive one is 2.4GHz so I'm assuming that this means it's possible

> > of achieving around 5GHz if nudged a little.

>

> UADs and TC powercore work great in either setup. The only issue is with

> the UAD on the AMD64 X2 is you have a firewire audio interface. UAD is

> already working on this (we sent them a machine).

>

> If you want to get something that is gonna be the most future proof (if

> there is such a thing) then the Tyan s2895 with the Opterons would be

> the best choice. But also the most expensive at the start.

>

> The K8NS like all of the Nforce 3 chipset motherboards are on the way to

> being phased out. The Nforce 4 single CPU board are having performance

> issues with PCI. They will hopefully be changing this with some chipset

> changes soon.

>

> If your money is tight then the AMD64 X2 single processor will still be

> the best choice for performance.

>

> Best price/performance:

>

> AMD Athlon64-

> 3500+

> 4000+

> X2-

> 3800+

> 4400+

>

> Opteron-

>

> 242 (1.6)

> 248 (2.2)

>

> OPteron Dual-Core-

>

> 265 (1.8)
> 275 (2.2)
>
>
> >
> > So what's the diff between an unnamed 3800, a Manchester and a Toledo.
Does
> > this have something to do with the chip architecture. Which would be
> > preferable if the operating frequency was equal?
>
> <http://www.amdcompare.com/us-en/desktop/>
>
>
> >
> > Mr. Simplicity is getting antsy for more horses for the Cubase machine.
> >
> > ;

Subject: Re: No One Can Say They Didn't See It Coming
Posted by [Tony Benson](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 16:24:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

rrdwxSBDycZVQMnGOSOew7+/0Nay
bSEo892T3GoQYwZIH8bEDB9vTrjHWse8njZPLLAhuQN4ycdSO4wP5/jUQk1L
QjWZ5/4wubpY32yMFxj5wCB+NeW6m940uLdixH3G6g8ZJGOen8/xretZrmSI
91u0y1p9hdXEW1v4hvchevofxxTG0JUkUvltPyOuRx1H+OO9c1KLaehtGNnp
sS+WLaRPMGQvzMB/jUOq6jBHabEdSdpJbgFR3P4f1p+1TfKtyZRcHd7HAazq
kMz4WQZPzMFI59Ce/b/IrlLhw03OCSMgluT6kd/071F5S3HF82qO1yUIQqec
ADaDt79Sf5e/rU0CtuQgovltyVG4qO3U9h+uK5UIFnXBWle5qW9yViCR/u3b
ruwSvX3/AJe9VLsGADc4G0Yx/L8h7dSKyk1CVjWUre71OF8TagyghVBjlyTj
l4PvgH6Vxak7sBQgUdhnjt39P1rrpRcUc0rEMjkm64UjsR8vGepNZdxJycY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sgADDHGR1PUnAPHb3phjY4+YKqA5weFHBGT6H9RSuk7GkW4oWIAglouOnzEE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