
Subject: windows 2000 and Paris 3.0

Posted by [Greg B](#) on Sat, 12 Jan 2008 21:38:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

n

>James asked to boycott China after their behavings in Tibet in the last.

I

Subject: Re: windows 2000 and Paris 3.0

Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Mon, 14 Jan 2008 04:24:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

e setup my new firewire studio and I been

tracking

>> in Cubase LE that came with the program. Although the sonics seems ok
and

>> maybe it's just because I am new to Cubase... but is there something better

>> out there for tracking that resembles Paris? I have dual monitors and
there

>> is no way to make use of this in Cubase... It creates a new version of
the

>> track each time.. but unlike Paris, if I delete, I mean delete... don't

>> make me close the program so I have to clean up all those monster files...

>> hopefully someone understands what I mean here... And the plugins that

>> came with Cubase are... ummm... can you spell TERRIBLE! I tried to adjust

>> the ones that I played with and I must say... WHAT were they thinking!

They

>> need lessons with plugins from Paris. An exampl

Subject: Re: windows 2000 and Paris 3.0

Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Mon, 14 Jan 2008 04:24:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

e is the chorus... I

apply

>> that to a track and sheesh... why waste my time. I max'd the thing out...

>> not much of a difference. Is there plugins that go from mono to stereo,

>> like in Paris.. or is that just a Paris plugin thingy?

>>

>> I like the fact that Cubase LE is free with my device... but I am hopeful

>> that there is something else out there that operates similar to Paris...

>> Anyone?

>>Don't know what books you are reading but the tower of babel isn't about different
gods. It was about the power of agreement. All those people were in agreement
to build a tower that would reach Heaven. Wasn't Gods plan for getting to

Heaven. So He had to do something to break their wrong motives and broke their native tongue up into different languages so that they couldn't understand each other and the tower stopped. So when you hear about someone who is just "babelling" on about something, you'll know where the term came from. Babelling never makes any sense does it? But don't take my word for it... Take His. Check out the Word.

rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>the tower of babel wasn't about different

Subject: Re: windows 2000 and Paris 3.0
Posted by [Greg B](#) on Mon, 14 Jan 2008 05:07:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

they looked identical... if that helps...

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>What version of LE is it?
>
>Ed wrote:
>> Hey guys (and gals)... I hav

Subject: Re: windows 2000 and Paris 3.0
Posted by [Mike Audet](#) on Mon, 14 Jan 2008 17:37:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

his cute?
>> <http://markhumphrys.com/left.islamic.html>
>>
>>
>> This one is the kicker though, and elucidates my periodic rants better than
>> I do:
>> <http://dineshdsouza.com/books/enemy-intro.html>
>>
>> Our economy is gonna bounce along the bottom for a while. This wasn't
>> necessary. Now I hear the Democrats starting to harp on the economy and tie
>> it ti Iraq. there is, most definitely, a connection.
>>
>> <http://drsanity.blogspot.com/2007/04/selfless-narcissism.htm> I
>>
>> and the following excerpt is something I've been ranting about here and
>> elsewhere for years:

>> The Democrats and their glorious leaders, who have become so
>> narcissistically invested in this country's defeat as a political strategy
>> to accumulate power and "restore American honor", that they have--wittingly
>> or unwittingly--decided to sell their countrymen out to the very enemy
they
>> are currently fighting. They mean well, of course. They do it for those
>> wonderful 'selfless' reasons--like "peace" and "social justice" and all.
>> They really support the troops .
>>
>> Let's just ignore the fact that they bear considerable responsibility
for
>> the ongoing violence and death of our troops as they enable and encourage
>> the priorities of the enemy. Why should the terrorists alter their homicidal
>> behavior? It is working! People like Nancy Pelosi are living proof of
the

Subject: Re: windows 2000 and Paris 3.0
Posted by [Greg B](#) on Mon, 14 Jan 2008 21:12:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ple.....funny, I didn't
>> hear a peep out of OBama though.
>>
>> OK.....now I'm of to rape the land.
>>
>> bye.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>i believe in do unto others as you would have them do unto you. what
else can be said for human behavior. of course not everyone has that
capability due to thier genetic wiring. just as some people can kill
without remorse others cannot accept certain "truths"; it is that
equal deserving of eternal hell according to some religious sects i
find problematic. after all the vengeful god of the old testament
become the god of love in the new and i'm not really feelin' the love
here according to the words of some. (not spoken here but lets be
honest out in the real world you can't swing a dead cat and not hit
someone who is willing to tell you that hell, hades, sheol is your
final destination.

On 22 Mar 2008 02:42:44 +1000, "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>
>Rick, there's some good insights here. How God works is not
>always transparent to us.

see, now that's where i think the problem lies. god should know that we're not going to get the message as he wants us to because we're human and will translate anything to our own advantage. of all the things to deserve eternal damnation for (if you believe in it) one would think that the inability to "get it" would not be one of them.

would you as a parent condemn your child to a never ending life of torment simply because he or she couldn't understand the totality of you being "the creator (parent)" of them. you know, it can take only one gene to make someone either a murderer, saint and everything in between. so to me a loving, just and forgiving god would have a better plan then eternal suffering. we on the other hand are more than willing to threaten and enact all levels of retribution on others because we think that's what god would do.

>

>What concerns me is the reality of Jesus. From that issue flow all
>others, and whether there is an objective truth here or we are
>free to make it up as we go along.
and whose to say where the "making up"

Subject: Re: windows 2000 and Paris 3.0
Posted by [Ed](#) on Thu, 17 Jan 2008 17:44:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

if we could take a time machine
>>back to Jesus tomb on early Sunday morning after his death.
>>
>>Would we see a bunch of guys stealing a body, or would we
>>see a resurrected Lord and Saviour?
>>
>>None of us is wired so as to not understand the ramifications
>>of either possibility.
>>
>>DC
>>
>>
>>rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>i believe in do unto others as you would have them do unto you. what
>>>else can be said for human behavior. of course not everyone has that
>>>capability due to thier genetic wiring. just as some people can kill
>>>without remorse others cannot accept certain "truths"; it is that
>>>equal deserving of eternal hell according to some religious sects i
>>>find problematic. after all the vengeful god of the old testament
>>>become the god of love in the new and i'm not really feelin' the love
>>>here according to the words of some. (not spoken here but lets be
>>>honest out in the real world you can't swing a dead cat and not hit

>>>someone who is willing to tell you that hell, hades, sheol is your
>>>final destination.
>>>
>>>On 22 Mar 2008 02:42:44 +1000, "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>Rick, there's some good insights here. How God works is not
>>>>always transparent to us.
>>>
>>>see, now that's where i think the problem lies. god should know that
>>>we're not going to get the message as he wants us to because we're
>>>human and will translate anything to our own advantage. of all the
>>>things to deserve eternal damnation for (if you believe in it) one
>>>would think that the inability to "get it" would not be one of them.

>>>
>>>would you as a parent condemn your child to a never ending life of
>>>torment simply because he or she couldn't understand the totality of
>>>you being "the creator (parent)" of them. you know, it can take only
>>>one gene to make someone either a murderer, saint and everything in
>>>between. so to me a loving, just and forgiving god would have a
>>>better plan then eternal suffering. we on the other hand are more
>>>than willing to threaten and enact all levels of retribution on others
>>>because we think that's what god would do.

>>>>
>>>>What concerns me is the reality of Jesus. From that issue flow all
>>>>others, and whether there is an objective truth here or we are
>>>>free to make it up as we go along.
>>>and whose to say where the "making up" began. it is in my nature to
>>>question what i see and hear and if i go to hell for it...then oh well
>>>it's who i am, the way i was made.

>>>>
>>>>DC
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>again, i know the story is about language, confusion and other bright
>>>>>ideas men come up with.
>>>>>sorry, but i have a problem with "men" had one language thing. just
>>>>>as i have a problem with god being suprised that adam and eve would
>>>>>partake of the forbidden fruit when told no no no you don't. he's
>>>>>god...for his sakes... guess he also didn't think of incest when
>>>>>populating the earth from just one pair of peeps. i mean cain went
to
>>>>>the land of nod and eventually married...who...i don't
>>>>>know...mom...sis...cousin rita???

>>>>>i believe god was smart enough to create the laws of physics that

>>>>>allow certain things to happen under certain conditions and lets the
>>>>>chips fall where they may. to me god doesn't kill 250 people on a
>>>>>plane and spare one as a lesson of his devine intervention
>>>>>capabilities. he doesn't kill half a town yet spares a church so they
>>>>>have somewhere to mourn the dead.
>>>>>the truely (again to me) sad thing about the differing concepts of god
>>>>>is how their used to validate the harm we do each other.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>On 22 Mar 2008 00:13:36 +1000, "Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net>
>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>><http://ldolphin.org/>
