
Subject: OT: not going to let it go yet
Posted by [jp](#) on Fri, 06 Jul 2007 07:34:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I Q6600) with 4 gb ram and an
>>> N-Videa 8500 card, (that was on the comp previously), and PT feels just
>>> the same....
>>> Is it PT?
>>> The meters seem really sluggish although I can get really low latency
>&g

Subject: Re: OT: not going to let it go yet
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Fri, 06 Jul 2007 11:17:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

; <B=
R>

Or use Google's free online docs/spreadsheets cap=
abilities.

AA

"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net</F=
ONT> <mailto:dter=
ry@keyofd.net> > wrote in message news:C=
2E29A83.BF39%

Subject: Re: OT: not going to let it go yet
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Fri, 06 Jul 2007 11:37:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

dana"> <a href=3D"mailto:ex=
celsm@hotmail.com"><mailto:excelsm@hotmail.com> <FONT FACE=3D=
"Arial">> wrote:

>>

>> No, I was just curious.

>>

>

>

> That's cool.
Both Apple and MS add stuff not everyone needs, and=
both are

> just trying to provide apps a lot of people do use.
For me, whic=
hever OS

> runs better for what I do would is m

Subject: Re: OT: not going to let it go yet
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Fri, 06 Jul 2007 14:07:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
at start up. Also remove any thing you don't want running in the start up
items.

>
>James McCloskey wrote:
>
>> We're back to the word "crap". So what is wrong with Safari? GarageBand
>> Does not come with OSX per say, but what is wrong with it? "Come on Dave,
>> give me a break" I think this is just more anti Mac stuff.
>>
>> "Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>
>>>I would pay more money for OSX for Mac if Apple gave it an
>>>i-ppendectomy!! No i-crap, no Saffari, no Garage Crap...
>>>Just OS. I know you can remove the stuff, but I don't think
>>>it would be the same.
>>>
>>>I keep thinking of those Apple TV commercials... gets me
>>>thinking "wanna get some WORK done, buy a PC!" ;-) (ducking)
>>>
>

Subject: Re: OT: not going to let it go yet
Posted by [excelav](#) on Fri, 06 Jul 2007 15:59:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;>>>>Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds
>>
>> of
>>
>>>>>stuff
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>here.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>Chuck
>>>>>>>>>>>>"John" <

Subject: Re: OT: not going to let it go yet
Posted by [Paul Braun](#) on Fri, 06 Jul 2007 22:41:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

; just trying to provide apps a lot of people do use. For me,
>>> whichever OS
>>>> runs better for what I do would be my preference, regardless of
>>> who makes it
>>>> - but without a standalone version of OSX it's hard to make that
>>> call since
>>>> I can't pair it with the hardware I prefer. And without 3rd party
>>> support
>>>> for Linux, that's out as well. If I ran Logic (which is a great
>>> app), no
>>>> dou

Subject: Re: OT: not going to let it go yet
Posted by [jp](#) on Fri, 06 Jul 2007 23:28:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;>>>Solid.. Solid Solid..
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Don't get me wrong, my AMD Opteron PCs are solid as well, but, OS_X
>>>>>
>>>>>and
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>the
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>ability to run XP as well, is a very powerful tandem to beat..
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Hey Lamont - my rig only goes online to grab updates to audio apps,
&

Subject: Re: OT: not going to let it go yet
Posted by [jp](#) on Fri, 06 Jul 2007 23:31:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;>>>>>>>>registration/response codes (which is getting annoying), but never
>>>>>

>>>>>a
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>problem
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>with that. But even on the net PC that's online all day with email,
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Skype,
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>etc, never had a virus, spyware, or malware. If OSX would only
>stop
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>spam
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>mail from being sent (not filtered, but shot down at the source
>via
>>>>>
>>>>>a
>>>>>
>>>>>&
