

---

Subject: Today is Nappy Day  
Posted by [DJ](#) on Thu, 05 Jul 2007 13:38:09 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

ening to compressed audio anyway? Seem

---

---

Subject: Re: Today is Nappy Day  
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Thu, 05 Jul 2007 13:56:18 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

for the NEW Logic  
> Audio..  
>  
> having said that, I would run Os-X on my current DAWs if Apple allow for  
> such. Yes, I know you can already

---

---

Subject: Re: Today is Nappy Day  
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Thu, 05 Jul 2007 13:57:20 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

do it(smile)..  
>  
> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:  
>> The interesting comparison would be to actually run the exact same project  
>> on Cubase 4 under OSX and XP. 80 tracks and 5 verbs and 20 ren comps and  
>> stock EQ wouldn't make my c

---

---

Subject: Re: Today is Nappy Day  
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Thu, 05 Jul 2007 13:58:43 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Id do the same :D  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> Neil  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's prett

---

---

Subject: Re: Today is Nappy Day  
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Thu, 05 Jul 2007 14:20:08 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

y much a general  
>>>>>

---

---

Subject: Re: Today is Nappy Day  
Posted by [Tom Bruhl](#) on Thu, 05 Jul 2007 14:24:22 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

d annoying people as some of the  
>>>>>>> politics  
>>>>>>> stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know  
> quite  
>>>>> a  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> number  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> of people get irritated by it.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like  
> to  
>>> know  
>>>>>>> what's going on in Apple land so...  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> Cheers,  
>>>>>>> Kim.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>> It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>> "Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>> Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of  
> stuff  
>>>>>> here.  
>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>> Chuck  
>>>>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:  
>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>> I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple  
>>> crap.  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> That's

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>> what apple forums are for.

>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>>

>A friend of mine (and DeeJ's) out of Nashville recently released a CD on which 10 of 11 songs were mixed 100% ITB with PTHD...the other one was mixed using schweet outboard and a killer console...

I can't tell which is which, and he ain't talking...

Why bring this up? I don't know...just lonely I guess...that, and when the mix engineer is 100% on game, the differences all become moot...add that to what's already been said about hypercompressing really crappy music to formats crummier than cassettes, what difference does any of it make?

Jaded but still looking for an alibi,

Kwell without going too deep into the debate, but here are my findings:

any daw including paris improves with a ny mixer (digital or analog)..  
end of story

"Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:

>

>Anyone want to revive the old summing debate?

>Check out Digi's In the box vs SSL comparison.

>

> <http://www.digidesign.com/index.cfm?navid=49&langid=100&itemid=25669>A question about PT, (off topic I know), but I have (sometimes use), PT M powered.

I always thought my comp felt sluggish, or at least the screed redraws looked sluggish, and that was on an Intel Core2 Duo 6600 with 2 gig of ram..shouldn't be the case right?

Everything else seemed quite snappy...put not PT.

Well.... now I just built a Quad Core (Intel Q6600) with 4 gb ram and an N-Vidia 8500 card, (that was on the comp previously), and PT feels just the same....

Is it PT?

The meters seem really sluggish although I can get really low latency whilst recording with no problems. the graphics are just crappy.

Any ideas guys?

Martin Harrington

www.lendaneer-sound.com

0414 913 247 You guys should take the challenge. I got 57% correct. It \*seemed\* to me

---

---

Subject: Re: Today is Nappy Day

Posted by [DJ](#) on Thu, 05 Jul 2007 14:24:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

gt; mail from being sent (not filtered, but shot down at the source via

a

>> large

>>>> burst of electricity designed to fry any and all spammer computers,

Apple

>>>> would really have something.... ;-)

>>>>

>>>> Let me know how Nuendo runs on your new Mac though, seriously. You'll

>> have

>>>> to wait for N4 to run on the dual core 2 Mac under OSX at least (should

>>>> be

>>>> soon). I would be most interested in a head to head comparison of  
plugin

>>>> counts in the same project under Nuendo, not just similar projects,  
but

>>>&

---

---

Subject: Re: Today is Nappy Day

Posted by [Neil](#) on Thu, 05 Jul 2007 14:26:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

; purpose

>>>>>>>> "music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty

>>> much

>>>>>> on

>>>>>>>> topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive

> or

>>> taking

>>>>>>>> over the group for weeks on end an

---

---

Subject: Re: Today is Nappy Day

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Thu, 05 Jul 2007 14:29:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

the PT mixes were a little less wide, but hey look at my score. I only listened to the Chuck Loeb tune. Which totally rocked by th

---

---

Subject: Re: Today is Nappy Day  
Posted by [Neil](#) on Thu, 05 Jul 2007 14:33:19 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

gt;> identical.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> The last report I read comparing XP to OSX for DAW performance was from  
>>>>> Derek (used to be on this NG) - German Keyboards compared them and  
>>>>> apparently (I don't read German to confirm) XP won on plugin count and

---

---

Subject: Re: Today is Nappy Day  
Posted by [steve the artguy](#) on Thu, 05 Jul 2007 19:14:13 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

e space bar..  
>>>>>>Ran liek a champ.. No skips hiccups.. Nothing...Mean while...In the  
>>  
>> back  
>>  
>>>>>>round. we were surfing the web ...Had about 4 pages open, along with  
>>  
>> ...Pro  
>>  
>>>>>>Tools (HD) still open with a session..!!  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>I was sold.. Because.. I assumes this machine as was one of newer (Intel)  
>>>>>>bases Macs Pros.. Nope..The G5 quad.  
>>>>>>Solid.. Solid Solid..  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>Don't get me wrong, my AMD Opteron PCs are solid as well, but, OS\_X  
>>  
>> and  
>>  
>>>>the  
>>>>  
>>>>>>ability to run XP as well, is a very powerful tandem to beat..  
>&g

---

Subject: Re: Today is Nappy Day  
Posted by [DJ](#) on Thu, 05 Jul 2007 20:35:09 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

t;>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>Hey Lamont - my rig only goes online to grab updates to audio apps,  
>>>>>>registration/response codes (which is getting annoying), but never  
>>  
>> a  
>>  
>>>>problem  
>>>>  
>>>>>>with that. But even on the net PC that's online all day with email,  
>>>>  
>>>>Skype,  
>>>>  
>>>>>>etc, never had a virus, spyware, or malware. If OSX would only stop  
>>>>  
>>>>spam  
>>>>  
>>>>>>mail from being sent (not filtered, but shot down at the source via  
>>  
>> a  
>>  
>>>>large  
>>>>  
>>>>>>burst of electricity designed to fry any and all spammer computers,  
>>  
>> Apple  
>>  
>>>>>>would really have something.... ;-)  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>Let

---

---

Subject: Re: Today is Nappy Day  
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Thu, 05 Jul 2007 21:27:00 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

>>>>and  
>>>>  
>>>>>>Logic 7 (OSX) might not be comparable. If OSX was a standalone OS,  
>>

---

---

Subject: Re: Today is Nappy Day  
Posted by [audioguy\\_editout\\_](#) on Fri, 06 Jul 2007 05:12:08 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

t;>  
>>>> Basically all you are getting is a nice box with a different OS. It  
>> might  
>>>> be better multi-threaded - I would like to see some actual comparisons.  
>>>> I  
>>>> might have to load OSX on one of my PCs.  
>>>>  
>>>> Dedric  
>>>>  
>>>> On 8/10/07 9:16 AM, in article 46bc8130\$1@linux, "LaMont"  
>>>> <jjdpro@gmail.com>  
>>>> wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>> Hey Dedric,. The reason for this change is that I was over to a buddies  
>>>> studio  
>>>>> who btw runs PT-HD(8

---

---

Subject: Re: Today is Nappy Day  
Posted by [erlilo](#) on Fri, 06 Jul 2007 07:03:43 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

=  
do=20  
use.&nbsp; For me, whichever OS<BR>&gt; runs better for what I do would =  
is my=20  
preference, regardless of who makes it<BR>&gt; - but without a =  
standalone=20  
version of OSX it's hard to make that call since<BR>&gt; I can't pair it =  
with=20  
the hardware I prefer.&nbsp; And without 3rd party support<BR>&gt; for =  
Linux,=20  
that's out as well.&nbsp; If I ran Logic (which is a great app), =  
no<BR>&gt;=20  
doubt, I'd have a Mac... or 2 or 3.<BR>&gt; <BR>&gt; I'm also not =  
knocking iLife=20  
- it is a nice suite of handy apps that MS<BR>&gt; doesn't have a =  
comparable=20  
product (the functions are there in Media Player,<BR>&gt; Movie Maker, =  
etc, but=20  
iLife's apps are more user friendly).&nbsp; I also wouldn't<BR>&gt; mind =  
iWork=20  
over Office - I've seriously considered a MacBook for that =





>>rest of the bloat. I think people should be able to CHOOSE  
>>"at install time" on either platform what they do or do not  
>>want on their system.

>>

>>I want these machines for work, not play. And as I  
>>mentioned, we use MacPro and well as XP machines at the  
>>office for audio.

>>

>>David.

>

>Well, if your a Mac user, then you know that you can remove any application  
>you don't want. As a Mac user you would also know that those applications  
>on your drive are not much of a performance hit, if at all. You might want  
>to do a search on how to turn dash board off though, because it launches  
>at start up. Also remove

---

Subject: Re: Today is Nappy Day

Posted by [Rich\[3\]](#) on Fri, 06 Jul 2007 19:15:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

know), but I have (sometimes use), PT

M

>powered.

>I always thought my comp felt sluggish, or at least the screed redraws  
>looked sluggish, and that was on an Intel Core2 Duo 6600 with 2 gig of  
>ram..shouldn't be the case right?  
>Everything else seemed quite snappy...put not PT.

>Well.... now I just built a Quad Core (Intel Q6600) with 4 gb ram and an

>N-Vi

---