
Subject: Another Mac post..hey James.....
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Wed, 21 Mar 2007 20:12:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

nce Kim is making the effort to come all the way from
>>>>Australia, that means that the rest of you guys have no excuse not to

>>>>make

>>>

>>>>it from wherever you live.

>>>>

>>>>We all need to get together for dinner. I'm not sure exactly where yet

>>>>but

>>>

>>>>if enough of us show up we could probaly make an impression wherever
we

>>> end

>>>>up.

>>>>

>>>>;o)

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>>

>>

>

> http://www.mirror.co.uk/news/topstories/tm_headline=we-are-glad-he-is-dead-by-cho-s-family--&method=full&objectid=18931479&siteid=89520-name_page.html

Autistic. Parents knew he was trouble. No time or money to deal with his problems.

Now everyone gets to deal with it....

DC

Subject: Re: Another Mac post..hey James.....
Posted by [excelav](#) on Wed, 21 Mar 2007 21:43:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>

>

>>> buying.
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>>
>>> Graham
>>>
>>> Jamie K wrote:
>>>>
>>>> A friend is asking me about the Neumann UM57. They've used a modded U67
>
>>>> which they like a lot but it's out of the price range.
>>>>
>>>> If you were buying a mic for female and male vocals in a funk band,
>>>> would
>
>>>> you select the UM57?
>>>>
>>>> What else would you consider (from any manufacturer, at the price of
> a
>>>> UM57 or lower)?
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>
>>
>This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_00C3_01C78349.09639180
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Thanks Dimitrios,
I have received emails from you recently
Maybe it's my spam blockers. I'll turn them off for a few hours
and see if that changes anything.

This is what you're using right (minus NO SPAM):
arpegioNOSPAM@comcast.net

I do seem to be more stable with Paris residing in C:\EMU
We'll see about those other fixes next. =20

Do I have to reinstall all my plugins or just move the VST folder
to have it happy at C:\VST Plugins
That will be a task I'm not sure I'm ready for (presets being lost).

Thanks again!
Tom

"Dimitrios" <musurgio@otenetNOSPAM.gr> wrote in message =
news:4628de08\$

Subject: Re: Another Mac post..hey James.....
Posted by [TCB](#) on Wed, 21 Mar 2007 22:27:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

pth* this weekend. I will be
paying special attention operational efficiency at low latencies with my
Opteron 185 as opposed to Cubase 4, keeping in mind that operational
efficiency of Cubase 4 would only be increased by around 40% by going to a
quad core right now as opposed to 100% (theoretically) over my existing dual
core if using Reaper. I'm sure Cubase will eventually catch up, but for now,
I'm going so far as buring the Reaper .pdf manual to CDR and taking it to
office depot to have it professionally printed up and bound.

By the time I calculate my ink and paper costs to print this manual, having
it done professionally ain't that much more.

;o)This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_017A_01C78360.882D7CD0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Dimitrios,
I got all your emails including attachments. I haven't changed anything =
here.
Tom

"Dimitrios" <musurgio@NOSPAMotenet.gr> wrote in message =
news:462907a0@linux...

Your email just bounces back again...

The vst is not a must but I believe will help somehow in stability.
See if you have any problems the way you are and if not just leave it=20
the way you are.
Regarding Macro, NO, I could not figure out as how to work with it.
Maybe if you have time sometime

Subject: Re: Another Mac post..hey James.....
Posted by [Steve Helm](#) on Thu, 22 Mar 2007 02:57:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

to use without a PCIe expansion chassis anyway....and this will need to
>>=
>>>be 64=20
>>>bit eventually so there's a \$2k expense, no matter how you cut it. So =
>>>why=20
>>>upgrade PCI cards to PCIe if I can get a PCIe chassis that will =
>>>hold all my=20
>>>PCI cards and allow them to interface PCIe anyway?</P>
>>><P>The reason I'm tempted to make the move
> =
>>>now (besides=20
>>>wanting the horsepower) is that although the prices of the CPU's =
>>>will be=20
>>>coming down over the next few months, so will the price I'll be able to
>>=
>>>get for=20
>>>my Magma's since they are 32 bit units (although 2 x of them are capable
>>=
>>>of=20
>>>integrating the PCIe host card so this gives them a little added value
> =
>>>on=20
>>>EBay).</P>
>>><P>Any thoughts?</P>
>>><P> </P>
>>><P> </P>
>>><P> </P>
>>><P> </P></DIV></BODY></HTML>
>>>
>>>
>>
>I wouldn't think it's like you to buy a Mac... I mean, for starters they're
reliable...

....and secondly, there's a point of view from which you could say that a
Mac is "the easy way" to run Linux. ;o) And since when did you start doing
things the easy way? ;o)

Cheers,
Kim.

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:
>Not now silly.....later when I know how evil it really is so I can
>appreciate, take full responsibility for and properly savor the grief that

>it will cause in my life when I intentionally, and with aforethought, make
>the transition.....however, from what I'm hearing, there may
be
>some *real* advantages to Vista as far as performance of multicore CPU's.

>Win XP multicore support is more of a kludge, or so I'm hearing (though
>Vista itself may be a kludge too). The ability to run lots of RAM is always

>a good thing and if Vista is better at supporting multicore CPU's perhaps
it
>would be preferable to XP-64.
>
>God forbid I should buy a Mac.
>
>;oD
>
>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:4629399a@linux...
>>
>>
>> Yeh I'm not sure why you'd want

Subject: Re: Another Mac post..hey James.....
Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Thu, 22 Mar 2007 03:09:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;>>
>>>>COOLMAX CP-500T EPS12V 500W Power Supply 115/230 V UL, CSA, -59.99
>>>>
>>>> http://www.newegg.com/Product/Product.aspx?Item=3DN82E168171_59040
>>>>
>>>>Seven Slot PCI Express to PCI-X Expansion System-\$2050.00
>>>>
>>>> http://www.globalspec.com/FeaturedProducts/Detail/GEFanucEmbeddedSystems/=Seven_Slot_PCI_Express_to_PCIX_Expansion_System/17659/1
>>>>
>>>>rough total: \$3599.96
>>>>
>>>>At this point I think I would have a workable 10+ GHZ system that =
>>>>*might* be able to run at 1.5ms without having to worry about ASIO =
>>>>direct monitoring while tracking projects that have FX on inserts/sends
>>>=
>>>>and still be able to utilize my existing PCI audio interfacing with PCIe
>>>=
>>>>hardware without having to replace it with a mishmash combination of
=

>>>>PCIe/PCI stuff and I would be 64 bit capable when the time comes and
I
>> =
>>>>want to go to Vista and run 8G of RAM on the DAW.
>>>>
>>>>I really like the RME HDSP configuration I've got now with the Multiface
>>>=
>>>>and the 2 x HDSP 9652's with 16 AD/DA's on the ADI8-DS units and I don't
>>>=
>>>>really want to spend the extra \$\$ on RME and UAD-1 PCIe hardware if I
=
>>>>can just integrate my existing PCI hardware with the PCIe > PCi SBS =
>>>>chassis. There might be a small hit to performance with the PCi>PCIe
=
>>>>conversion as compared to interfacing PCIe directly with the mobo, but
>> =
>>>>the new mobos don't have enough PCIe slots to allow me to use the number
>>>=
>>>>of cards I want to use without a PCIe expansion chassis anyway....and
=
>>>>this will need to be 64 bit eventually so there's a \$2k expense, no =
>>>>matter how you cut it. So why upgrade PCI cards to PCIe if I can get
a
>> =
>>>>PCIe chassis that will hold all my PCI cards and allow them to interface
>>>=
>>>>PCIe anyway?
>>>>
>>>>The reason I'm tempted to make the move now (besides wanting the =
>>>>horsepower) is that although the prices of the CPU's will be coming down
>>>=
>>>>over the next few months, so will the price I'll be able to get for my
>> =
>>>>Magma's since they are 32 bit units (although 2 x of them are capable
of
>>>=
>>>>integrating the PCIe host card so this gives them a little added value
>> =
>>>>on EBay).
>>>>
>>>>Any thoughts?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>

```
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>><!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
>>>><HTML><HEAD>
>>>><META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
>>>> charset=3Diso-8859-1">
>>>><META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.6000.16414" name=3DGENERATOR>
>>>><STYLE></STYLE>
>>>></HEAD>
>>>><BODY>
>>>><DIV>
>>>><P><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Cubase ain't 64bit yet, but will be in
a
>>>=
>>>>few months.=20
>>>>I can see the writing on the wall and I want to be able to go there,=20
>>>>soooo.....</FONT></P>
>>>><P><FONT face=3DAria
```

Subject: Re: Another Mac post..hey James.....
Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Thu, 22 Mar 2007 03:11:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

```
I size=3D2>Here's what I'm looking at:</FONT></P>
>>>><P><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Intel BOXD975XBX2KR LGA 775 Intel 975X
=
>>>>ATX Intel=20
>>>>Motherbo-$249.99</FONT></P>
>>>><P><A=20
>>>>href=3D" http://www.newegg.com/Product/Product.asp?Item=3DN82E1681312 1059"=
>>>>><FONT=20
>>>>face=3DArial=20
>>>>size=3D2> http://www.newegg.com/Product/Product.asp?Item=3DN82E1681312 1059=
>>>></FONT></A></P>
>>>><P><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Intel Core 2 Extreme QX6700 Kentsfield
=
>>>>2.66GHz 2 x=20
>>>>4MB L2 Cache LGA 775 Processor (yeah...that's 10.64=20
>>>>GHz )-$970.00</FONT></P>
>>>><P><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2><A=20
>>>>href=3D" http://www.newegg.com/Product/Product.aspx?Item=3DN82E168191 15011=
>>>>"> http://www.newegg.com/Product/Product.aspx?Item=3DN82E168191 15011</A></=
>>>>FONT></P>
>>>><P><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>mushkin 2GB (2 x 1GB) 240-Pin DDR2 SDRAM
>>>=
>>>>DDR2 800=20
```

>>>>(PC2 6400) Dual Channel Kit Desktop Memory - \$149.99</P>
>>>><P><A=20
>>>>href=3D" http://www.newegg.com/Product/Product.aspx?Item=3DN82E168201 46599=
>>>>"> http://www.new

Subject: Re: Another Mac post..hey James.....
Posted by [duncan](#) on Thu, 22 Mar 2007 03:38:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

f="http://www.newegg.com/Product/Product.aspx?Item=3DN82E16814150185=" target="_blank">
http://www.newegg.com/Product/Product.aspx?Item=3DN82E168141 50185=
>>>>"> http://www.newegg.com/Product/Product.aspx?Item=3DN82E168141 50185</=
>>>>FONT></P>
>>>><P>COOLMAX CP-500T EPS12V 500W Power Supply
>>>=
>>>>115/230 V=20
>>>>UL, CSA, -59.99</P>
>>>><P><A=20
>>>>href=3D" http://www.newegg.com/Product/Product.aspx?Item=3DN82E168171 59040=
>>>>"> http://www.newegg.com/Product/Product.aspx?Item=3DN82E168171 59040</=
>>>>FONT></P><FONT=20
>>>>face=3DArial size=3D2>
>>>><P class=3DheaderGold>Seven Slot PCI Express to PCI-X Expansion=20
>>>>System-\$2050.00</P>
>>>><P><A=20
>>>>href=3D" http://www.globalspec.com/FeaturedProducts/Detail/GEFanucEmb edded=
>>>> Systems/Seven_Slot_PCI_Express_to_PCIX_Expansion_System/17659/1 ">http://w=
>>>> ww.globalspec.com/FeaturedProducts/Detail/GEFanucEmbeddedSys tems/Seven_Sl=
>>>>ot_PCI_Express_to_PCIX_Expansion_System/17659/1</P>
>>>><P>rough total: \$3599.96</P>
>>>><P>At this point I think I would have a =
>>>>workable 10+ GHZ=20
>>>>system that *might* be able to run at 1.5ms without having to worry =
>>>>about ASIO=20
>>>>direct monitoring while tracking projects that have FX on inserts/sends
>>>=
>>>>and=20
>>>>still be able to utilize my existing PCI audio interfacing with PCIe
=
>>>>hardware=20
>>>>without having to replace it with a mishmash combination of PCIe/PCI
=
>>>>stuff and I=20
>>>>would be 64 bit capable when the time comes and I want to go to Vista
=
>>>>and run 8G=20
>>>>of RAM on the DAW.</P>

>>>><P>I really like the RME HDSP configuration
>>>=
>>>>I've got now=20
>>>>with the Multiface and the 2 x HDSP 9652's with 16 AD/DA's on the =
>>>>ADI8-DS units=20
>>>>and I don't really want to spend the extra \$\$ on RME and UAD-1 PCIe =
>>>>hardware if=20
>>>>I can just integrate my existing PCI hardware with the PCIe > PCi SBS
=
>>>>
>>>>chassis. There might be a small hit to performance with the PCi>PCIe=20
>>>>conversion as compared to interfacing PCIe directly with the mobo, but
>> =
>>>>the new=20
>>>>mobos don't have enough PCIe slots to allow me to use the number of =
>>>>cards I want=20
>>>>to use without a PCIe expansion chassis anyway....and this will need
to
>>>=
>>>>be 64=20
>>>>bit e
