
Subject: The Pulsar Carnage Continues!
Posted by [Neil](#) on Sat, 09 Dec 2006 01:49:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> LaMont wrote:
>>>>>>>>>&

Subject: Re: The Pulsar Carnage Continues!
Posted by [Neil](#) on Sat, 09 Dec 2006 05:25:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;> James,
>>>>>>>>> Once again, you are "drinking the Apple Kool-aid" from Mr Jobs.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> The Iphone is cool, but not revolutionary. My Treo 700Wx
>>>>>>>>> (Windows)
>>>>>> is
>>>>>>> just
>>>>>>>>> a s cool and Superior AND is very coma

Subject: Re: The Pulsar Carnage Continues!
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sat, 09 Dec 2006 11:50:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ptible with my PC and all
>> MS
>>>>> applications
>>>>>>>>> and other Windows apps.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> www.treo.com
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> Here the Keynote video demo that explains the iPhone in detail,
>>>> you
>>>>>>>>>might
>>>>>>>>>>> want to watch it. The features, design and user interface are
>>>>>>>>>>> superior.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>&

Subject: Re: The Pulsar Carnage Continues!
Posted by [Nei](#) on Sat, 09 Dec 2006 17:28:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>
liar.
> Back when the G5 came out it was #3 in the world!
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vLujLtGBJC0&mode=related&search=>
> The G5 is not a slow computer. Apple uses independent testing laboratories.
> It just more anti Mac bashing on your part.
>
>You say that because Apple moved to Intel it proves that you were right
all
>along, not even close! When Apple Dumped the Mac cloning, motorola was
pissed.
> I know first hand, they told me. They also told me they were going to

Subject: Re: The Pulsar Carnage Continues!
Posted by [excelav](#) on Sat, 09 Dec 2006 17:38:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

r />
get
>Apple back because they had a 90 million dollar charge off. They stalled
>Apple on G4 processor shipments and intentionally slowed the processor speed
>jumps.
>
>Apple turned to IBM. When Jobs announced the G5 for the first time, he
stated
>in the keynote that they would be at 3 GHz with in a year, and the road
map
>looked great. That was IBMs road map. IBM couldn't deliver!!!! Two years
>later Apple was at 2.7GHz, and not the newer generation G5s. The G5 was
>too hot! Apple even turned to Delphi automotive to develop a cooling system.
> They had no way of putting the G5 in a laptop. So they went with one of
>Apples contingency plans they had been working on for five years, Intel!
> Intel had a new generation of processors coming out, that were faster,
cooler
>and they also had a low power consuming mobile processors. It was a no
brainer,
>win, win deal. Intel could deliver. I think it was also a message to MS
>to keep working with Apple on MS Office development for the Mac.
>
>You have to bash the Mac every chance you get. You have taken things personally.
> Your venom for Steve Jobs is unbelievable. I think you need to be honest
>about things. How many new Mac computers have you personally bought? Your
>still not over Logic, and even though you don't u

Subject: Re: The Pulsar Carnage Continues!
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sat, 09 Dec 2006 17:56:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

se logic you rip on it
every
>chance you get. It's the same old PC zealot stuff, Logic sucks and it's
>all done. Apple's going out of business any minute now, try again!
>
>In the past, some big organizations did some studied on cost of operation.
> Boeing, Hues Aircraft, the US Navy and others. What they found was they
>needed a minimum of 6 people for every 100 PCs to maintain them. They only
>needed 0 to one person to maintain 100 Macs. The cost difference was significant.
> The truth is Mac users don't really need IT guys like the PC world does.
> You IT guys know it and you hate that.
>
>So lets tell some truth. Your a Microsoft certified IT guy, right? You
>have taken quite a few Microsoft training classes, right? And you make
your
>living with Microsoft products and Microsoft

Subject: Re: The Pulsar Carnage Continues!
Posted by [DJ](#) on Sat, 09 Dec 2006 19:21:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>>tinker
>>>>and build cheap machines, that's cool! Different strokes for different
>>>folks.
>>>> Just don't bash the Mac or the Mac users.
>>>>
>>>>My original post about the iPhone was just to say check it out. I was
>>impressed
>>>>with it's design and capabilities. It's slick! Of course the same guys
>>>>that bash any thing Apple Posted replys, and it becomes, So what, My
thing
>>>>can do anything that can. My phone is better because it runs windows.
>>
>>>The
>>>>old , my thing is bigger and better than your thing, pissing contest.
>
>>My
>>>>windows PC is better than your Mac. It wasn't a contest post, or a draw
>>>>to arms challenge.
>>>>
>>>>I wasn't trying to tell anybody to go buy one. The reply was, mine is
>>better
>>>>and it doesn't get better because of Windows compatibility and software.

>>>> I said check it out, because you don't know until you check it out completely.
>>>> it is windows compatible. I stated the features, design and user interface
>>>>are superior. It's not a big and bulky as it's competitors. It's a
>smaller
>>>>sleeker design with a 3.5 LCD. The UI is obviously more advanced, they
>>>>can
>>>>create new user interfaces in software in to the future to add more features
>>>>and software. It has better features like rich HTML web pages with zoom
>>>>in, web pages look like web pages, landscape viewing, text to voice etc.
>>>> It's best to go get an education on it and watch the video before you
>>rip
>>>>on it

Subject: Re: The Pulsar Carnage Continues!
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Sat, 09 Dec 2006 20:22:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

just because it's an Apple product.

>>>>

>>>>Yes, the video is a sales pitch! It's also a demo of the products.

It's

>>>>always a good thing to know what your talking about first hand, especially
>>>>when your going to bash something.

>>>>

>>>>James

>>>>

>>>>"Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote:

>>>>>Hmmm, A "Zealot" calling the kettle black.

>>>>>I hope you re-read some of your recent posts in a week or two and discover

>>>>>

>>>>>what we all see.

>>>>>--

>>>>>Martin Harrington

>>>>>www.lendaneer-sound.com

>>>>>

>>>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>>>>>news:45a5ca84\$1@linux...

>>>>>>

>>>>>>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>

Subject: Re: The Pulsar Carnage Continues!
Posted by [DJ](#) on Sat, 09 Dec 2006 20:26:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;>>The Treo 700wx does all those things: With a touch screen to boot.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>And while the Iphone syncs perfectly with itunes, the Treo 700 wx
syncs
>>>>>> with
>>>>>>Win Media player (with Play for sure technology) which is wayyy better
>>>>>> than
>>>>>>itunes..
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I'm not knocking the Treo or the BB. I think for a windows user they
>>>>are
>>>>>> perfect. Those products have had time to mature, and quite a bit
of
>>>third
>>>>>> party development. The iPhone looks pretty slick out of the gate.
>
>>Only
>>>>>> time will tell if the iPhone will be a success. I have to say for
>the
>>>>
>>>>>> average
>>>>>> guy the price is steep IMO, but not for someone with all the needs.
>>
>>>>>> Again,
>>>>>> it should be noted, the iPhone is PC compatible.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Well I'm not sure what you mean about the Plays for sure thing being
>>>
>>>>>> better
>>>>>> than iTunes, but if your in to MS DRM, cool!
>>>>>>
>>>>>> One thing I'll point out about Windows Media Player, is that they
have
>>>>
>>>>>> copied
>>>>>> the itunes interface, Aqua theme/look, even the Itunes logo with the
>>>aqua
>>>>>> musical note and

Subject: Re: The Pulsar Carnage Continues!
Posted by [DJ](#) on Sat, 09 Dec 2006 20:42:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the CD. It's all hard to not notice MS's blatant
>rip
>>>>off

>>>>> of Apple design and innovation, just look at Vista. They been at
it
>>>for
>>>>> years.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>LaMont wrote:
>>>>>>>> Jamie, it's Kool-aid to actually believe that Apple has AGAIN (Lol!!)
>>>>>>>Re-invented
>>>>>>> the phone...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Well, it's a phone/media player/PDA/camera (what did I leave out?).
>>>The
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>"reinvention" really has to do with the finger-touch-screen interface,
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>right? So we'll see if it lives up to the hype when it ships.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Man, that guy (Mr Jobs) does not quit with his BS.. Please Mr Jobs
>>>>stop
>>>>>>>with
>>>>>>>> the propaganda.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Heh. It's a good thing you're immune to hyped advertising because
>we're
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>surrounded by it from all directions.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Next week, if Apple comes out with a new version of Logic, they'll
>>>>
>>>>>>>>> claim
>>>>>>>>>>

Subject: Re: The Pulsar Carnage Continues!
Posted by Nil on Sat, 09 Dec 2006 21:37:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

to ahve Re-Invented the DAW..
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>They can claim whatever they want, as long as the next version is
>at
>>>>>>>>>>least as solid as the current version, further streamlined in the
>UI,
>>>>>>>>>>and no less capable. :^)

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> Liking a product from a particular company isn't necessarily a
>koolaid
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> proposition. Sometimes engineers earn their fans.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>

Subject: Re: The Pulsar Carnage Continues!
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Sat, 09 Dec 2006 22:03:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;>>>
>>>>>>>>> So far, all I want from my phone is that it be a phone, and that
>>>it
>>>>> be
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> compact and pocketable. My little fliptop LG does the trick. That
>>>>it's
>>>>>>a
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> speakerphone is a bonus.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> When I want a computer, I want something with a real screen and
>>a
>>>>>>>>>> keyboard I can really type on, that runs my goto productivity
>>>>>>>>>> applications (video, audio, animation, and graphics apps, plus
>all
>>>>my
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> open source office, web and email apps). Which means for those
>times
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> when I need a computer with me, I take my PowerBook.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> When I need a camera, I want a real camera, currently a Canon
digital
>>>>>>>>>>>>>SLR.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> If I can get by with something small that I can type on, I use

Posted by [AlexPlasko](#) on Sun, 10 Dec 2006 07:51:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

they also had a low power consuming mobile processors. It was a no brainer,

>win, win deal. Intel could deliver. I think it was also a message to MS

>to keep working with Apple on MS Office development for the Mac.

>

>You have to bash the Mac every chance you get. You have taken things personally.

> Your venom for Steve Jobs is unbelievable. I think you need to be honest

>about things. How many new Mac computers have you personally bought? Your

>still not over Logic, and even though you don't use logic you rip on it every

>chance you get. It's the same old PC zealot stuff, Logic sucks and it's

>all done. Apple's going out of business any minute now, try again!

>

>In the past, some big organizations did some studied on cost of operation.

> Boeing, Hues Aircraft, the US Navy and others. What they found was they

>needed a minimum of 6 people for every 100 PCs to maintain them. They only

>needed 0 to one person to maintain 100 Macs. The cost difference was significant.

> The truth is Mac users don't really need IT guys like the PC world does.

> You IT guys know it and you hate that.

>

>So lets tell some truth. Your a Microsoft certified IT guy, right? You

>have taken quite a few Microsoft training classes, right? And you make

your

>living with Microsoft products and Microsoft certification, right? The

real

>truth is Apple computers don't need IT Guys to maintain them. Apple computers

>are a perceived threat to It guys, that's why you guys all have so much

venom

>for anything Apple. It's not that Apple sucks, it's that you guys all hate

>any thing Apple. Why don't you just admit it?

>

>You said or at least insinuated that Windows is a standard, but anything

>Mac is not. In many cases Apple has created the standard and MS just copies

>it. It's all just typical Mac bashing PC zealot stuff. It's down right

>insulting to a Mac user to say that were drinking the Steve Jobs Kool-Aid.

> The insinuation is that we are all stupid people that are gullible enough

>to believe a bunch of lies. That we use an inferior product. Like I say

>your welcome to sue Apple any time your ready to back up your BS. If you

>were honest about it all, you be speaking up about MS's behavior instead

>of giving THEM the free pass! Dude, to rip on Apple or Steve Jobs, and

in

>effect putting down Mac users every chance you get is just plain lame.

>

>Apple doesn't suck, just admit it.

>

>

>TIA,

>DaleJames, I did check out the iphone video from keynote. Cool product, bit not a Re-Invention by any means of the word..

Second. You are are dead wrong. I have support Macs while working @ Gm world headquarters. The MacIIci was the standard desktop from 1989-1994. Third, I personally purch

Subject: Re: The Pulsar Carnage Continues! Record @ 96

Posted by Nil on Sun, 10 Dec 2006 16:51:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>>>>

>>>>>>>They can claim whatever they want, as long as the next version is
>at

>>>>>>>least as solid as the current version, further streamlined in the
>UI,

>>>>>>>and no less capable. :^)

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>Cheers,

>>>>>>> -Jamie

>>>>>>> www.JamieKruz.com

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>>>>>>>> Liking a product from a particular company isn't necessarily a
>koolaid

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> proposition. Sometimes engineers earn their fans.

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> In this case, it looks like Apple's engineers have done something

>>>>>>>> different from other options, with some unique interactive features

>>>>>>> and

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> UI implementations that may well be useful to a lot of people.

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> Just as Palm and Blackberry have engineered products that have
>earned

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> the loyalty and praise of people who have found they need the
features

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> they provide.

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> We can speculate before the iPhone ships but I'll withhold my
final

>>>>>
>>>>>>>> judgment until it's available to try.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Having said that, my first impression of all three, the iPhone,
>>the
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Treo, the Blackberry, is that they ALL seem too big and clumsy
>for
>>>>
>>>>>>>> phones.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> So far, all I want from my phone is that it be a phone, and that
>>>it
>>>>>> be
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> compact and pocketable. My little fliptop LG does the trick. That
>>>>it's
>>>>>>>a
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> speakerphone is a bonus.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> When I want a computer, I want something with a real screen and
>>a
>>>>>>>> keyboard I can really type on, that runs my goto productivity
>>>>>>>> applications (video, audio, animation, and graphics apps, plus
>all
>>>>my
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> open source office, web and email apps). Which means for those
>times
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> when I need a computer with me, I take my PowerBook.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> When I need a camera, I want a real camera, currently a Canon
digital
>>>>>>>>SLR.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> If I can get by with something small that I can type on, I use
>a
>>>Psion
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> PDA (like a mini-laptop). Also works as a voice recorder. Cool

Subject: Re: The Pulsar Carnage Continues! Record @ 96
Posted by [Neil](#) on Sun, 10 Dec 2006 17:02:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
>little
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> box but long discontinued.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I'm resisting the all-in-one device. What if it fails? That's
a
>>lot
>>>>>> of
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> eggs in one basket. But I can see the appeal of the all-in-one
>if
>>>>it
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> could really cover what I need. None of them quite do that now.
>>None
>>>>>>>of
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> them.
>>>>>>>>

Subject: Re: The Pulsar Carnage Continues! Record @ 96
Posted by [Don Nafe](#) on Sun, 10 Dec 2006 18:23:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>
>>>>>>>>> But for people who have different needs, each of the clunky phones
>>>>has
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> appealing features. And for those people, it's not a matter of
>
>>>>>>>>> koolaid,
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> it's a matter of using what works for them. What covers their
needs.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Choice is good.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>>>>>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> LaMont wrote:
>>>>>>>>> James,
>>>>>>>>> Once again, you are "drinking the Apple Kool-aid" from Mr Jobs.
>>>>>&

Subject: Re: The Pulsar Carnage Continues! Record @ 96
Posted by [DJ](#) on Sun, 10 Dec 2006 20:43:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

rate, you can neutralize the hype that you'll get in the marketplace from pretty much all directions.

I think if you were to take a fresh look at what's happening in the market today, you'd probably see that Apple is making a contribution that is helping overall market choice, in a market where choice has been choked to a bare minimum.

While I don't agree with every Apple decision, the more choice the better. Choose what you like based on your experience, research and performance threshold. But don't be surprised if someone else chooses something different based on their needs and evaluation of the marketplace. And don't be surprised that, if you express your own grudges from previous product lines, others may not use your information to change what they would choose to meet their needs.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKruz.com

LaMont wrote:
> James, I did check out the iphone video from keynote. Cool product, bit not
> a Re-Invention by any means of the word..
>
> Second. You are are dead wrong. I have support Macs while working @ Gm world
> headquarters. The MacIIci was the standard desktop from 1989-1994. Third,
> I personally purchase Mac quadra 8400av, and a MacTel 9600(dual processor)
> 6 slots unit tower.
> From there, I have purchased a G4-dual 500(running DPpro & Logic Audio 6.
> (I still have that machine).
>
> 1995-1996-Supported, Installed Mac 9500s(3) @ Ford . They were used as their
> Lotus Domino/Notes Servers.
>
> While @ GM & Ford during the mac years, I can tell you stories all day and

> night about their performance. I was a Mac Snob in the worst way.

Subject: Re: The Pulsar Carnage Continues! Record @ 96

Posted by Nil on Sun, 10 Dec 2006 20:53:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

g resentment of anything from
Apple even to this day.

In the meantime you've changed your brand of koolaid. Your next epiphany
of resentment will be for Microsoft, probably, when Vista ships. ;^)

BTW, some of your Apple grudges are from times when Jobs was not at
Apple. He was at NeXT. Building, as it turned out, the groundwork for
OSX. But if you want to keep your grudge going, I understand.

Keep in mind that this industry is a moving target. You can't pick any
horse and expect it to be in the lead all the time. No matter what their
marketing people say. And I don't know a marketing department that
doesn't produce spin for their products, so take it all with a big grain
of salt.

Despite the spin, at different points in time you could accuse ANY OS or
hardware vendor of being behind the curve.

What matters is NOT who has this week's bragging rights. Because that
can and will change as the next big thing comes out. What matters is if
the gear you buy can actually do the job you bought it for.

The responsibility for that is with both the seller AND especially the
buyer. IOW, if you set your performance thresholds realistically and
only buy what exceeds them, then you can beat obsolescence from any
supplier. At least until your thresholds change. And if your research is
accu

Subject: Re: The Pulsar Carnage Continues! Record @ 96

Posted by LaMontt on Mon, 11 Dec 2006 02:37:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

for sure technology) which is wayyy bettter

>>>>>> than

>>>>>> itunes..

>>>>>>>

>>>>>> I'm not knocking the Treo or the BB. I think for a windows user they

>>>>> are

>>>>>> perfect. Those products have had time to mature, and quite a bit
> of
>>>> third
>>>>>> party development. The iPhone looks pretty slick out of the gate.
>>> Only
>>>>>> time will tell if the iPhone will be a success. I have to say for
>> the
>>>>>> average
>>>>>> guy the price is steep IMO, but not for someone with all the needs.
>>>>>> Again,
>>>>>> it should be noted, the iPhone is PC compatible.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Well I'm not sure what you mean about the Plays for sure thing being
>>>>>> better
>>>>>> than iTunes, but if your in to MS DRM, cool!
>>>>>>
>>>>>> One thing I'll point out about Windows Media Player, is that they
> have
>>>>>> copied
>>>>>> the itunes interface, Aqua theme/look, even the Itunes logo with the
>>>> aqua
>>>>>> musical note and the CD. It's all hard to not notice MS's blatant
>> rip
>>>>> off
>>>>>> of Apple design and innovation, just look at Vista. They been at
> it
>>>> for
>>>>>> years.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> LaMont wrote:
>>>>>>>>> Jamie, it's Kool-aid to actually believe that Apple has AGAIN (Lol!!)
>>>>>>>>> Re-invented
>>>>>>>>> the phone...
>>>>>>>>> Well, it's a phone/media player/PDA/camera (what did I leave out?).
>>>> The
>>>>>>>>> "reinvention" really has to do with the finger-touch-screen interface,
>>>>>>>>> right? So we'll see if it lives up to the hype when it ships.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Man, that guy (Mr Jobs) does not quit with his BS.. Please Mr Jobs
>>>>> stop
>>>>>>>> with
>>>>>>>>>>> the propaganda.
>>>>>>>>>>> Heh. It's a good thing you're immune to hyped advertising because
>> we're
>>>>>>>>>>> surrounded by it from all directions.
>>>>>>>>>>>

academic
institutions IT personal.

This PHD obviously nuts. Just 2 weeks after that implementation(In Pure Apple form), the come out with the G5 X-servers. Could saved that university of ton of space. Also, to say that those G5 could compete with IBS mainframes , HP unix mid frams is insane at best..

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>Here's the correct link on the G5:
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vLujLtgBJC0&mode=related&search>
>
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>LaMont I love ya man, but I gotta call you out on this one. The G4 you
>work
>>on is a 867Mhz right? That machine is from 2001! That's a six year old
>>machine your talking about being slow!!!! Check it out at www.apple-history.com
>>
>>You conveniently remember things the way you want to. When Apple announced
>>that they had the fastest personal computer on the planet, they did. That
>>was the truth, that's the fact, if it wasn't, Intel, AMD, Dell, Gateway,
>>HP, and every other other PC manufacture would have sued Apple and won!!!!
>> Now might be a good time for you to file a suit against Apple if you think
>>they misrepresented a product they sold you. However, I think you would
>>find that claim would be frivolous. Computer processors through out history
>>have quickly leapfrogged each other in processing power. For a time the
>>were the fastest. The testing was done independently. The Mac bashing
>PC
>>zealots spread the lie that the tests were fixed.
>>
>>The G4 was a good processor, it was noted in the industry for being a work
>>horse. The G4 was fast in it's time, in computer years, that was a long
>>time ago. Your still complaining about it, and calling Steve Jobs a liar.
>> Of course every company uses marketing spin, Jobs doesn't lie. And at
least
>>Apple doesn't steal the technology and call it their own. Once again the
>>G4 had a different architecture than the Pentium chips. The G4 had 8 data
>>pipelines going in and out of the chip. The P4 had 2. The G4 had way
more
>>cache on board than the P4 did, in some cases more than 4 times as much.
>> The G4 would typically compete toe to toe with a P4 with twice the clock
>>speed.
>>
>> Apple, AMD. IBM and Motorola, started calling Intel out on "The MHz Myth".

>> Clock speed no longer was the only determining factor in computing speed,
>>system and processor architecture ruled the day, thus AMD's equal to a
P4
>>XX GHz labeling on there processors. I still use my G4 1.25 MHz and I
can
>>tell you from experience, it is faster than my p4 2.4 and 2.8 prescott
dual
>>threaded with faster bussing.
>>
>>So you say that the G5 were slow. In there time, they weren't and they're
>>still not slow! But you constantly say they are, and call Steve Jobs a
>liar.
>> Back when the G5 came out it was #3 in the world!
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vLujLtgBJC0&mode=related&search=>
>> The G5 is not a slow computer. Apple uses independent testing laboratories.
>> It just more anti Mac bashing on your part.
>>
>>You say that because Apple moved to Intel it proves that you were right
>all
>>along, not even close! When Apple Dumped the Mac cloning, motorola

Subject: Re: The Pulsar Carnage Continues! Record @ 96
Posted by [Jesse Skeens](#) on Mon, 11 Dec 2006 10:43:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

h Mac vs. PC. I wasn't
>>trying to say this product is better than yours. I was just saying check
>>it out, it's cool!
>>
>>
>>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>Hi Ted and others,
>>>
>>>I think the reason(s) why the mention of Steve Jobs and Keynotes brings
>>out
>>>so m

Subject: Re: The Pulsar Carnage Continues! Record @ 96
Posted by [Nil](#) on Mon, 11 Dec 2006 15:33:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ked a bit in the output jack, and causing paris to
freak/crash - but once I reset it and reboot, it's fine again. I would
definitely try to get your rig up and running without the C16, and then

start messing with it.

-Carl

"Yasser Shaaban" <yasser_shaaban61@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:45a7cf04\$1@linux...

>
> I have been noticing that you guys DO help eachother and alot.. in the
very
> few times I asked for some help ... I either have gotten rather nothing
> or someone taking the piss .. rarely have been helped .. although you
guys
> talk , exchange ideas even about subejcts that are not related to Paris...
> jokes even... so is it my name? which says what background I come from
> ? that is stopping me from getting help from the ONLY LEFT PLACE FOR
HELP
> ? Please if so and it's my name tell me please guys so I woun't bother
> anymore !!!! (check my last post .. # 188838)
> Thanks alotJames,

I made a promise to myself not to get into these PC vs. Mac threads, because
much like politics and religion, they just deteriorate into pissing matches.
Just look at the 100 post bash fest to your simple "hey take a

Subject: Re: The Pulsar Carnage Continues! Record @ 96

Posted by [TCB](#) on Mon, 11 Dec 2006 16:34:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

look at
Apple's iPhone if you're interested" post. I do appreciate your FYI posts
about Mac products just as I appreciate others posts about Windows only
products. Anyway, don't let the bashers get you too down.

Tony

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:45a6b2f0\$1@linux...

>
> Well Martin, you can call me a Mac zealot, but it's not exactly true.
> Unlike
> you, I use and own both PCs and Macs. I actually use my PCs on a regular
> basis. I've used PCs longer than I've used Macs, by about ten years.
> I've
> been a licensed and authorized computer dealer. I've bought, sold, and

> built
> many PCs
>
> I think windows XP is a great improvement over MS's past operating
> systems.
> I wish there was a feature or two in Mac OSX that Windows XP has, but
> that's
> where it ends. I prefer Mac OSX to Windows because Windows machines are
> a major PITA to deal with.
>
> There are many other reasons why I don't like MS, one of which is all the
> stuff they have ripped off from Apple. It's funny when people say MS/PC
> stuff is great and anything Apple sucks, when MS stole it from Apple.
> Some
> recent examples are, Media player, Vista, and Zune. MS just announced at
> CES, that they are going to come out with set top boxes that are like the
> Apple TV box. MS/Sony's will be round instead of square, but what color?
> Gloss white like the apple products! It's the same thing. Hey, may be
> MS's branded products will be shit brown like the LG products. LOL!
>
> I mention new products to give people here a heads up here. I have
> mention
> all kinds of products including PC only products. It's the Mac bashing!
> You can't mention any thing Mac or Apple without some bashing here. It's
> always the same guys. You have stated in the past that Mac OSX crashes.
> That the guys you work with have told you and you've see it. I've been
> using Mac OSX every day for over five years now with out a crash. I think
> the other Mac guys here would tell you pretty much the same thing. I
> don't
> know why they would have so much problems, but OSX is for the most part
> problem
> free for most users. To state other wise is not correct generally
> speaking,
> and sounds like typical mac bashing.
>
> I've never had a virus on a Mac and I've been using them everyday since
> 1994!
> I would say, most of the guys here that use PCs for audio, don't use the
> same machine for the internet, to a Mac user that's crazy and laughable.
> A lot of people say that a Mac cost twice as much as a PC, yeah, but you
> have to have two of them to safely do what a Mac does. So what's it
> cost???
> The logic is funny. Yes you can build a PC cheaper, but look at all the
> problems and the hassle. Mac are not perfect, ricks G4 800 is an example
> of a lemon, but it's not the norm. If you love Windows and you love to
> tinker
> and build cheap machines, that's cool! Different strokes for different
> folks.

> Just don't bash the Mac or the Mac users.
>
> My original post about the iPhone was just to say check it out. I was
> impressed
> with it's design and capabilities. It's slick! Of course the same guys
> that bash any thing Apple Posted replys, and it becomes, So what, My thing
> can do anything that can. My phone is better because it runs windows.
> The
> old , my thing is bigger and better than your thing, pissing contest. My
> windows PC is better than your Mac. It wasn't a contest post, or a draw
> to arms challenge.
>
> I wasn't trying to tell anybody to go buy one. The reply was, mine is
> better
> and it doesn't get better because of Windows compatibility and software.
> I said check it out, because you don't know until you check it out
> completely.
> it is windows compatible. I stated the features, design and user interface
> are superior. It's not a big and bulky as it's competitors. It's a
> smaller
> sleeker design with a 3.5 LCD. The UI is obviously more advanced, they
> can
> create new user interfaces in software in to the future to add more
> features
> and software. It has better features like rich HTML web pages with zoom
> in, web pages look like web pages, landscape viewing, text to voice etc.
> It's best to go get an education on it and watch the video before you rip
> on it just because it's an Apple product.
>
> Yes, the video is a sales pitch! It's also a demo of the products. It's
> always a good thing to know what your talking about first hand, especially
> when your going to bash something.
>
> James
>
> "Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote:
>>Hmmm, A "Zealot"

Subject: Re: The Pulsar Carnage Continues! Record @ 96
Posted by [Neil](#) on Mon, 11 Dec 2006 18:28:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;> the phone...

>>>>

>>>>>Well, it's a phone/media player/PDA/camera (what did I leave out?). The

>>>>

>>>>>"reinvention" really has to do with the finger-touch-screen interface,

>>>>>>
>>>>>> We can speculate before the iPhone ships but I'll withhold my final
>>>
>>>>>> judgment until it's available to try.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Having said that, my first impression of all three, the iPhone, the
>>>
>>>>>> Treo, the Blackberry, is that they ALL seem too big and clumsy for
>
>>>>>> phones.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> So far, all I want from my phone is that it be a phone, and that it
>>> be
>>>>
>>>>>> compact and pocketable. My little fliptop LG does the trick. That
> it's
>>>>a
>>>>>>
>>>>>> speakerphone is a bonus.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> When I want a computer, I want something with a real screen and a
>>>>>> keyboard I can really type on, that runs my goto productivity
>>>>>> applications (video, audio, animation, and graphics apps, plus all
> my
>>>>
>>>>>> open source office, web and email apps). Which means for those times
>>>>
>>>>>> when I need a computer with me, I take my PowerBook.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> When I need a camera, I want a real camera, currently a Canon
>>>>>> digital
>>>>SLR.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> If I can get by with something small that I can type on, I use a
>>>>>> Psion
>>>>
>>>>>> PDA (like a mini-laptop). Also works as a voice recorder. Cool
>>>>>> little
>>>>
>>>>>> box but long discontinued.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I'm resisting the all-in-one device. What if it fails? That's a lot
>>> of
>>>>
>>>>>> eggs in one basket. But I can see the appeal of the all-in-one if
> it
>>>>
>>>>>> could really cover what I need. None of them quite do that now. None

>>>>of
>>>>>
>>>>>> them.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> But for people who have different needs, each of the clunky phones
> has
>>>>
>>>>>> appealing features. And for those people, it's not a matter of
>>>>>> koolaid,
>>>>>>
>>>>>> it's a matter of using what works for them. What covers their needs.
>>>>
>>>>>> Choice is good.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> LaMont wrote:
>>>>>>> James,
>>>>>>> Once again, you are "drinking the Apple Kool-aid" from Mr Jobs.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The Iphone is cool, but not revolutionary. My Treo 700Wx (Windows)
>>> is
>>>>>> just
>>>>>>>> a s cool and Superior AND is very comaptible with my PC and all MS
>>> applications
>>>>>>>> and other Windows apps.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> www.treo.com
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>&g

Subject: Re: The Pulsar Carnage Continues! Record @ 96
Posted by [AlexPlasko](#) on Mon, 11 Dec 2006 21:45:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

just
pop to 2323 AD...and hook up with Gravs-R-US...\$500 and you're good to go

D

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:n18fq29jcd74cksgfbbc7tvklkekctoqf6@4ax.com...
> well that will allow you to drink at the table and not fall over...
>
> On Fri, 12 Jan 2007 05:59:33 -0700, "DJ" <nowayjose@dude.net> wrote:
>
>>I'm thinking that maybe some kind of case with a gyroscope.....
>>
>>
>>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com>

Subject: Re: The Pulsar Carnage Continues! Record @ 96
Posted by [Jesse Skeens](#) on Tue, 12 Dec 2006 00:17:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

d .. although you
> > guys
> >> talk , exchange ideas even about subejcts that are not related to
> >> Paris...
> >> jokes even... so is it my name? which says what background I come
from
> >> ? that is stopping me from getting help from the ONLY LEFT PLACE FOR
> > HELP
> >> ? Please if so and it's my name tell me please guys so I woun't
> >> bother
> >> anymore !!!! (check my last post .. # 188838)
> >> Thanks alot
> >
> >
>
>If you are using 4 eds cards and 1 mec and 1 c-16...I would try swapping two
of the EDS cards.
The main one with another one and see if the C-16 works in that one.
If not I would think the C-16 is shorted.
I have never taken one apart, but I would take it apart and look for shorts
AFTER I checked the plug connections.

Brandon

"Brandon" <

Subject: Re: The Pulsar Carnage Continues! Record @ 96
Posted by [AlexPlasko](#) on Tue, 12 Dec 2006 03:16:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

es first customer to receive
the G5s. The Xserve G5 wasn't available until the following year. Plus,
if they ever wanted to dismantle it to upgrade the systems, the Towers would
have faster and better resale value. They just weren't available at the
time of purchase.

I saw a G5 demo here in town, where they were showing what the G5 could do,
to a group of Professional video editors. The demonstrator open 30 different
Video windows and started playing all the videos, and asked the PC guys if
there systems could do that, they all shook their heads no. Then he started
rendering all the video at the same time, he again asked them if their PC
could do that, the all gave a resounding no! He continued doing multiple
real time renders and showed many other things to show the G5s power. No
body left without being vary impressed with it's power and capabilities.

Our experiences are quite a bit different. Again, Apple couldn't have made
the claims at the time if it wasn't true.

"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>

>Just Stop!!! That school IT head needs to be fired!! As with most academic
>institutions IT personal.

>

>This PHD obviously nuts. Just 2 weeks after that implementation(In Pure
Apple

>form), the come out with the G5 X-servers. Could saved that university of
>ton of space. Also, to say that those G5 could compete with IBS ma
