
Subject: I'm having a very senior moment-help please!!

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 20:57:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t I have to get back to work. ;-)

>>

>> The thing is, most Christians believe it is coming. The question is, who
>> wants to be on the side that one day tells me personally that I and my
>> family will no longer be welcome here? Just something to think about. I
>> really don't mind opposing opinions in the least and enjoy well-tempered
>> discussions. My faith is far greater than any government, threat of being
>> ostracized, or even death, so a little discussion is hardly a .

>>

>> I hope anyone reading this (however few ;-)) will take it in the spirit it is
>> intended - just something to consider.

>>

>> Regards,

>> Dedic

>>

>>

>>>

>>>

>>

Subject: Re: I'm having a very senior moment-help please!!

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 21:29:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

opposite of what Buzzy & fan-fretting are trying to accomplish,
>yet it's been the standard for skilled piano tuners forever.

Yes, I know what stretch tuning is. Fanned frets are not stretch
tuning, nor are they intonation compensating, they are simply
different scale lengths on one guitar. The guitars tune using
standard tuners.

My piece was simply about nut compensation, because it makes
a real difference in how well guitars play in tune on both open and
fretted strings.

DC"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>

>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>

>>You know what stretch tuning is right? I mean, you know what
>>I'm referring to when I say that, yes? If you're not sure,
>>google it or let me know & I'll elaborate - it's the polar

>>opposite of what Buzzy & fan-fretting are trying to accomplish,
>>yet it's been the standard for skilled piano tuners forever.
>
>Yes, I know what stretch tuning is. Fanned frets are not stretch
>tuning, nor are they intonation compensating, they are simply
>different scale lengths on one guitar. The guitars tune using
>standard tuners.
>
>My piece was simply about nut compensation, because it makes
>a real difference in how well guitars play in tune on both open and
>fretted strings.

No, i know that, but i was addressng your question with regard
to the other thing you asked.

In any event, scale length makes a difference.... for example,

Subject: Re: I'm having a very senior moment-help please!!
Posted by [gene lennon](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 22:55:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

rly enough rail voltage to
make these high output, but that might or might not be indicative of
capabilities in the future. Could be that the die is just not stout enough..
could be it's not stout enough.. yet.
Something to watch for.
If I was going to test one of these, it would be the TDA1400 w/o a doubt.

AA

"DC" <dc@spammersinoregon.com> wrote in message news:44e52a62\$1@linux...
>
> There's quite a bet of techie info on the Tripath site, but it is at
> the component level.
>
> That's all I know of.
>
> DC
>
>
> "Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote:
>>Got any design spec pics/info?
>>AA
>>
>>
>>"DC" <dc@spammersinbrooklyn.org> wrote in message news:44e4e63c\$1@linux...

>>>
>>> These guys
>>>

Subject: Re: I'm having a very senior moment-help please!!

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 23:36:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

r />

>>> <http://www.tripath.com/>

>>>

>>> Have developed a new amp circuit called Class T. It allows for
>>> extreme efficiency (95% in some cases) and potential for reducing
>>> distortions significantly.

>>>

>>> Oh, and there is a class T amp out now, with 15 watts a side, that
>>> people like the sound of, that costs 30.00 (USD)... !

>>>

>>> http://www.si-technologies.com/frontEnd/cm_productDetail.jsp?productID=18

>>>

>>> Tape Op reviewed it recently and while they didn't throw their
>>> other amps in the trash, they did like it. Now, a 30 buck amp that
>>> sounds good is cool enough, but what is really cool is a 300.00
>>> amp that kills 2K amps and puts out 1000 watts. This is where
>>> the Class T technology seems to be leading.

>>>

>>> Yes, this will show up in our industry.

>>>

>>> Anybody seen/heard any Class T stuff?

>>>

>>> DC

>>>

>>

>>

>I bought a couple of these and use them to power some old minimus 7s for
computer sound card playback. Pretty cool, but I haven't put them through
their paces to see what they're really capable of.

<http://www.digitaladvisor.com/home-theater/sonic-impact-5066>

_reviews.html?source=google&kw=sonic+impact+5066&cmp n=brndshtrtrvmpAn old high school
buddy of mine, Philipp Hubner, is a mastering engineer in
Austin, at the Production Block.

<http://productionblock.com/index2.html>

He was a good cello player back in the day. I've never heard any of his
mastering work, but the mastering folks I've spent some time around, are

generally open minded to whatever the client wants to hear. You might blow in a call to him."Neil" <

Subject: Re: I'm having a very senior moment-help please!!

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 23:44:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>In any event, scale length makes a difference.... for example,
>I don't own a PRS, but I've played them, and they seem to be
>better "in tune" overall with their barely-variable bridge
>intonation setup than either Gibbys' or Fenders, and we're
>talking about a PRS' 25" scale length vs. Gibsons' 24 3/4"
>and Fender's 25 1/2", so maybe there IS a "magic" scale length
>somewhere in there? (25 & 1/16's"???)

>

>Neil

I'll bet he is more precise with the location of the nut and bridge.

What was really interesting to me was that almost all of the strings on the strat needed the nut to be brought towards the body more. Only the high E wanted to be brought back to almost the stock position. Oh, and this is a Tom Anderson guitar (pre-Feiten). I suspect PRS is putting the nut and bridge closer to the position we end up with after futzing with them.

It would be interesting to ask them. Hey, that's what the NAMM show is for right?

DCI am not a circuit guy, but couldn't the inherent efficiency of the class T circuit (up to 95%) make up for this? Doesn't it require less voltage on the rails to do the same work?

DC

"Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote:

>My first impression is that there don't have nearly enough rail voltage to

>make these high output, but that might or might not be indicative of
>capabilities in the future. Could be that the die is just not stout enough..

>could be it's not stout enough.. yet.

>Something to watch for.

>If I was going to test one of these, it would be the TDA1400 w/o a doubt.

>
>AA
>
>
>"DC" <dc@spammersinoregon.com> wrote in message news:44e52a62\$1@linux...
>>
>> There's quite a bet of techie info on the Tripath site, but it is at
>> the component level.
>>
>> That's all I know of.
>>
>> DC
>>
>>
>> "Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote:
>>>Got any design spec pics/info?
>>>AA
>>>
>>>
>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinbrooklyn.org> wrote in message news:44e4e63c\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> These guys
>>>>
>>>> http://www.tripath.com/
>>>>
>>>> Have developed a new amp circuit called Class T. It allows for
>>>> extreme efficiency (95% in some cases) and potential for reducing
>>>> distortions significantly.
>>>>
>>>> Oh, and there is a class T amp out now, with 15 watts a side, that
>>>> people like the

Subject: Re: I'm having a very senior moment-help please!!

Posted by [gene lennon](#) on Sun, 09 Jul 2006 00:22:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ely that Jesus simply became enough of a

>threat

>>

>>>>to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill him?

>>>>

>>>>You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share with

>>

>>>>other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right or

>>

>>>>wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God, Heaven,

>>

>>>>or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
>>>>accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually on
>>
>>>>sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis is
>
>>>>necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be restrained
>>by
>>>>fear of pu

Subject: Re: I'm having a very senior moment-help please!!
Posted by [gene lennon](#) on Sun, 09 Jul 2006 00:25:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

nishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert Einstein
>>>>
>>>>And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the evil
>>of
>>>>"ghouls and head choppers"

Subject: Re: I'm having a very senior moment-help please!!
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Mon, 10 Jul 2006 02:43:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in and
>> stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when teaming
>> with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the
>> American public, not military leaders.<
>>
>> This is exactly what has happened. If the left can't get Bush at the ballot
>> box, then let's get the media allies onboard and just take the whole damned
>> country down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.
>>
>>
>>
>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
>> news:C10E111B.2942%dterry@keyofd.net...
>>> On 8/20/06 12:33 PM, in article 44e8aad4@linux, "DJ"
>>> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent. That
>> says
>>>>> that most of the folks who voted for him does not support him or that
>>>> "sham"

>>>> Iraq war.
>>>>
>>>> The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was still
>> going
>>>> strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.
>>>>
>>> And let's also not forget that the Iraq war we went to fight is over -
> the
>>> Hussein regime is no longer; no WMDs in sight, done. But instead, we
> are
>>> now playing intervention peace maker t

Subject: Re: I'm having a very senior moment-help please!!
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Mon, 10 Jul 2006 04:06:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

that Sadaam can continue to sell them oil
>under the table while rearming his military at the expense of the very
>Iraqis that the sanctions were meant to protect.....but hell man, the
>polls said that this was a good thing and so rather than take any kind of
>unpopular position, he went with the polls. Well look where we are now.
This
>is Clinton's doing, not Bush's. Bush just has the balls to do the right
>thing and doesn't live by the polls.
>
>Also, he botched the opportunity to have Bin Laden when Sudan offered him
>up. He did nothing when the Cole was bombed. He withdrew from Somalia after
>18 of our soldiers were killed which was one of the main reasons Bin Laden
>came to the conclusion that we were weak and would not fight. He, along
with
>Sen. Dennis DeConcini, Arizona Democrat, blocked the hiring of additional
>Arabic translators. Janet Reno's 1995 edicts regarding cooperation between
>domestic intelligence agencies essentially hamstrung them and rendered them
>completely ineffective. I could go on and on..... A good intelligence
>apparatus takes years to build but can be destroyed fairly quickly by an
>idiot like Clinton.
>
>The deaths from the sanctions in Iraq was one of the main reasons Osama
used
>for recruitment and "rationalizing" 911. Clinton was relying on the same
>intelligence Bush was. Notice that when Congress was voting to authorize
war
>on Iraq, Bill Clinton did not state in any way, that there were no WMD,
even
>though it now turns out that if they were destroyed, it was in the early
>1990's.
>

>The democrats are again relying on polls and there is no doubt in my mind
>that if Harry Reid, Nancy Pelosi, Dennis Kucinich and there ilk ascend to
>power in the Congress, it will be a disaster because they cannot reconcile
>the fact that though 911 was not directly sponsored by Iraq, Iraq under
>Sadaam was still a huge part of the picture.....made moreso by the
>crappy intelligence apparatus that was the Clinton legacy..
>
>Though there is little evidence Saddam was behind 911, What there is, is
>evidence that the sanctions were killing a lot of Iraqis and that sanctions
>were going to have to be lifted. Then would we be safe? Does anyone think
>that if sanctions were lifted on Saddam (which they would have to be, since
>there were no WMD), that Saddam today would not be going nuclear like Iran?
>If the lack of WMD was known in 2003, *our allies* would have placed major
>pressure to lift sanctions. And if sanctions were lifted, Saddam would be
a
>great danger. Do you think for one mi

Subject: Re: I'm having a very senior moment-help please!!

Posted by [AA](#) on Mon, 10 Jul 2006 14:21:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hey just need some kind of reason to sell to the
> US public. 911 and then WMDS..
>
> The problem today is , do we have enough service men available for your
WW3
> war effort? A draft is eminent, But, not exactly politically wise or
popular
> with the way the Iraq thing went. hey can't sell a war if the previous
engagements
> haven't worked out..
>
> So, what will the Bush(ites) do...hummm..Let's see since recruitment is
way
> way down(toilet), the US need fresh recruits
> to re-vamp the forces for 1)ground attacks and home-land security, and
middle-east
> occupation.....
>
> Well, the poor & middle class whites are not showing up at the local
recruiting
> centers like they did in post 911...And it seems, that the African
Americans
> don't want any part of these wars what so ever...(hey, they're busy in the
> prisons)providing big business cheap telemarketing services..(true)..The
> American Hispanics too, have dropped off the recruiting radar.. So, where
> oh where are they going to get those solders ...Hummm

>

> I think the answer lies with our new "Border Crossers" aka, new Americans

> for hire.. And they will make up a good portion of the new American Armed

> forces.

> Of course to sell them on the idea of joining, they will be told that thew

> will be given full citizenship with out question, enjoy the same rights

that

> all Americans do, and be excepted like any other American citizen.. Well,

> it(assurances) worked for my people for every war the US government has

ever

> fought while on this continent..So, it should work for our new friends.

>

> Only this time, I hope that our Goverment lives up to it's promises to

them,

> unlike they did my people and the indigenous people of this country..

>

> Back to your points: (Which we all expelled from Michael Savages's

Liberal(ism)

> is a mental disorder). That man, is one scared man.. very fearful and is

> a raging lunatic.What's his lines"Borders, Languages, and culture. Man,

what

> a lunatic, however, very entertaining..

>

> Dj, do we agree that the Bush(ites) want permanant bases in the middle

east?

> Okay? Do you think that the people of the middle east will stand for

permanant

> US bases there? Do you think, that we should pull the troops out and nuke

> the entire region???

>

> See, the latter (Nuke) is what the Dems has done. Your so called Prsident

> and any other Republican love war for war sake.. The Dems, ended the WW2

> (in the PAcific)..BOooooommmmm. Weak, are they..??? I'll let that record

> stand..

>

>

>

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

> >LaMont (sigh.....)

> >

> >I've got a few thoughts below:

> >

> >"LaMont" <lad@american.com> wrote in message news:44e889dc\$1@linux...

> >>

> >> "If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready to

> >fight.

> >> Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a regime

> >change

> >> here."
> >>
> &g

Subject: Re: I'm having a very senior moment-help please!!
Posted by ["Kris"](#) . on Mon, 10 Jul 2006 15:04:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Id. By the time
> he
> >left office we had lost all of our intelligence assets in the places we
> >needed them the most.....so throughout his administration, he spouts
off
> >about IRAQ having WMD's and periodically bombs here and there while
turning
> >a blind eye to the French, Russians and UN parasites who are doing
> >everything possible to ensure that Sadaam can continue to sell them oil
> >under the table while rearming his military at the expense of the very
> >Iraqis that the sanctions were meant to protect.....but hell man, the
> >polls said that this was a good thing and so rather than take any kind of
> >unpopular position, he went with the polls. Well look where we are now.
> This
> >is Clinton's doing, not Bush's. Bush just has the balls to do the right
> >thing and doesn't live by the polls.
> >
> >Also, he botched the opportunity to have Bin Laden when Sudan offered him
> >up. He did nothing when the Cole was bombed. He withdrew from Somalia
after
> >18 of our soldiers were killed which was one of the main reasons Bin
Laden
> >came to the conclusion that we were weak and would not fight. He, along
> with
> >Sen. Dennis DeConcini, Arizona Democrat, blocked the hiring of additional
> >Arabic translators. Janet Reno's 1995 edicts regarding cooperation
between
> >domestic intelligence agencies essentially hamstrung them and rendered
them
> >completely ineffective. I could go on and on..... A good intelligence
> >apparatus takes years to build but can be destroyed fairly quickly by an
> >idiot like Clinton.
> >
> >The deaths from the sanctions in Iraq was one of the main reasons Osama
> used
> >for recruitment and "rationalizing" 911. Clinton was relying on the same
> >intelligence Bush was. Notice that when Congress was voting to authorize
> war
> >on Iraq, Bill Clinton did not state in any way, that there were no WMD,

> even
> >though it now turns out that if they were destroyed, it was in the early
> >1990's.
> >
> >The democrats are again relying on polls and there is no doubt in my mind
> >that if Harry Reid, Nancy Pelosi, Dennis Kucinich and there ilk ascend to
> >power in the Congress, it will be a disaster because they cannot
reconcile
> >the fact that though 911 was not directly sponsored by Iraq, Iraq under
> >Sadaam was still a huge part of the picture.....made moreso by the
> >crappy intelligence apparatus that was the Clinton legacy..
> >
> >Though there is little evidence Saddam was behind 911, What there is, is
> >evidence that the sanctions were killing a lot of Iraqis and that
sanctions
> >were going to have to be lifted. Then would we be safe? Does anyone think
> >that if sanctions were lifted on Saddam (which they would have to be,
since
> >there were no WMD), that Saddam today would not be going nuclear like
Iran?
> >If the lack of WMD was known in 2003, *our allies* would have placed
major
> >pressure to lift sanctions. And if sanctions were lifted, Saddam would be
> a
> >great danger. Do you think for one minute that Sadaam, seeing Iran going
> >nuclear, would not want to go there himself.....again.....with great
> >haste? If the sanctions were properly enforced, a lot of people would
not
> >have died but no one was protesting while people were dying then. It
> >wouldn't look good in the polls.
> >
> >Now LaMont, you seem to think that somehow if we just appease these
facists,
> >they will leave us alone and everyone would just be our buddy while
totally
> >ignoring the 8 years of unmitigated disaster that *was* the Clinton
> >administration. (and don't call me a *****in neo-con....I voted for him
> too)
> >
> >To be a *real* leader Clinton would have immediately recognized that the
> >entire planet was at a crucial place in the history. He could have
> >institut

Subject: Re: I'm having a very senior moment-help please!!
Posted by ["Kris"](#) on Mon, 10 Jul 2006 15:07:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ft in which it is possible to

debate,

> >rather than a nazi state. You equate the Bush administration with

> >facism????.....they are fighting facism amigio. Sometimes it's ugly.

the

> >freedoms we enjoy right now are unbelievable compared to what we were

> >willing to forego in WWII. The enemy we face is much more dangerous and

> can

> >bring the world to an end with just a few bombs. Appeasing them will not

> >take their finger off the trigger if they get those bombs.

> >When I see videos of these guys goosestepping through the streets it makes

> >me wonder how we can forget so quickly how an ideology and failure to

> >confront it can bring about a world war.

> >

> >I often wonder how my friends on the left can be so ignorant of the real

> >issues involved here. I wonder how the following laws would go down in a

> >place like San Francisco, where pacifism is the philosophy du jour:

> >

> >PENALTIES imposed by Iran's religious mullahs include:

> >

> >THEFT: Amputation of hands or feet for persistent offenders.

> >

> >ADULTERY: Death by stoning.

> >

> >UNMARRIED SEX: 100 lashes.

> >

> >CONVERSION TO RELIGION OTHER THAN ISLAM: Death.

> >

> >SODOMY: Death for adults, 74 lashes for consenting child.

> >

> >LESBIANISM: 100 lashes, or on the fourth occasion death.

> >

> >HOMOSEXUAL KISS: 60 lashes.

> >

> >RUBBING ANOTHER MAN'S THIGHS OR BUTTOCKS: 99 lashes - on 4th occasion,

> >death.

> >

> >I would like to be a democrat again. I used to be one and felt pretty

> >comfortable with the liberal agenda when this country had the luzxxury of

> >debating these things. These days I wonder how the liberals in this country

> >can be so blind as dismiss what lies in store for them as they savage an

> >administration that has the balls to stand up and fight for

> >them.....something their own leaders are seemingly incapable of doing.

> >

> >Regards,

> >

> >DJ
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >>
> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> >I hate the idea of war as much as you do. I have actually thought long
> >>and
> >> >hard about going over there myself. If there was something I could do
> >>other
> >> >than get in the way. and I didn't have a family to support, I might
check
> >> >with the military to see if there's a *geezers battalion*. I don't
have
> >> any
> >> >formal military training, but I know how to handle firearms, and I'm
> >>deadly
> >> >accurate.
> >> >It would piss off my wife to no end and I'm 56 and 'purtnear used up
> so
> >> I
> >> >don't know how well this old body would handle the rigours of
battlefield
> >> >combat and the heat, but I'll guarantee you that I would have no
problem
> >> at
> >> >all if my son or daughter joined a volunteer army and that army was
> >>called
> >> >on to fight, I would support them 100%.
> >> >
> >> >If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready to
> >>fight.
> >> >Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a regime
> >> >change here. The politics of the left are much more abhorrent to them
> >>than
> >> >those of the right. They are, however, quite happy to allow the left
> to
> >> do
> >> >their political infighting for them here. The weaker and less resolved
> we
> >> >are the better they like it.
> >> >
> >> >Here's what you're in for amigo:
> >> > <http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=54> 26
> >> >
> >> >The human rights section and the women's section is especially
wonderful.

> >> >Enjoy.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >Regards,
> >> >
> >> >DJ
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >"Lamont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:44e8083f\$

Subject: Re: I'm having a very senior moment-help please!!
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Mon, 10 Jul 2006 15:12:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

x..." target="_blank">1@linux...
> >> >>
> >> >> Hopefully the opposing party, they would stand for our
constitutional
> >> >rights
> >> >> and it's Liberties..
> >> >>
> >> >> Even more, the opposing party would not be thrill seeking war
mongers,
> >> >who's
> >> >> only agenda is to spread freedom thru out he world (ha hah). I would
> >hope
> >> >> any opposing party will grow some balls and speak out against this
> >> >tierney.
> >> >> There are just as many Democrats who signed on with the Bush(is)..
> >But
> >> >> as we saw with the beginning of the mid term primaries, those
Democrats
> >> >who
> >> >> were with the Bush(ites) went down with ship.
> >> >>
> >> >> Evenmore.The thought of spreading democracy into a region were war
> >is
> >> >second
> >> >> nature for over 10,000 years is laughable at best. Those fold over
> >there(
> >> >> Iran, Iraq,Saudi Arabia, Syria, afghanistan,) will Never stop
fighting
> >> >or
> >> >> give in to so called democracy..Never.
> >> >> Will you send you son or daughter over to fight??

> > > I thought so..
> > >
> > > "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> > > >These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
> > > >terrorist..Why??
> > > >At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
> > > >Goverment.aka
> > > >Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and
> the
> > >good
> > > >of this union.
> > > >
> > > >.....and if there was an election today, what would the
opposing
> > >party
> > > >stand for Lamont?
> > > >
> > > >"Lamont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> >news:44e79af9\$1@linux...
> > > >
> > > > Steve, that link you posted does not make a good enough argument
> to
> > >debut
> > > >the "Loose Change movie (documentary).
> > > >
> > > >I'm not a conpiracist, but I've never believed the US government
> > >stories
> > > >on 911. IMHO, we are dealing with a some really power hungry,
> > >sadistic,
> > > >neoconservatives-conservatives
> > > >in the white house and his fellow neo cons.
> > > >
> > > >They are evil to the core. And have, dragged this nation down to
> the
> > > >pits!!!..
> > > >It's really a shame that we 2 years left with these evil
neo-cons.
> > >They
> > > >are
> > > >as anti American as the as the so-called terrorist. Impeachment
> > >hearings
> > > >should start immediately.!
> > > >
> > > >And to think, we let them Impeach Clinton.. Now, with our civil
> > >liberties
> > > >being taken from us, a Patriot act which gives the Gov and police
> >the
> > > >right

> > > > to do anything they want. And a phony department names Department
> of
> > > > Homeland
> > > > security, which is a weak as 2 minute cornflakes all under the
sham
> > of
> > > > making
> > > > us "feel safe"!! Safe from what ?? The terrorist??or our
> > Government???
> > > >
> > > > These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
> > > terrorist..Why??
> > > > At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
> > > Government.aka
> > > > Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and
> > the
> > > good
> > > > of this union. Not, to make us feel threaten, unpatriotic,
fearf

Subject: Re: I'm having a very senior moment-help please!!
Posted by [audioguy_editout_](#) on Mon, 10 Jul 2006 17:38:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>> One thing is for sure, any other Republican president would not have
>gone
>> >>> down this road.. This is a Neo-Con road. This has nothing to do with
>left
>> >>> or right. The bush(ites0 have "SCREWED" it's on base party. Can some
>say
>> >>> "Boarders"..? Judge Appointments, Taxes , Deficit.. Bush is more
>Liberal
>> >>> than our last 2 liberal presidents...Imagine that.?? He keeps spending
>> > and
>> >>> spending with reckless abandonment.
>> >>> Bush (I love this) is playing both sides of the Ilse as well as
>Clinton
>> > did.
>> >>> AND , he does not care if he screws those who support him.. All those
>> > poor
>> >>> working folks in the red states, now pay 3.00 bucks at the
>pump(LOL)..!!
>> >>> Oh yeah.. Faith based initiatives".. ??(Jeopardy music) ...
>> >>>
>> >>> No, I'm an conservative Christian.. Most of my family are Conservative
>> >>> Democrats.
>> >>> In Michigan, that means you are Pro union and proud of it..They

>Michigan
>> >>> Dems are not weak, scared. Having Most them like my Dad and uncles
>have
>> > fought
>> >>> (union) hard battles wars) for better working conditions and fair
pay.
>> > Some
>> >>> lives were lost. That kind of spirit can be found in all Northern
>(Blue
>> >>> Collar)
>> >>> Blue states... Most of us are hunters and great fishermen. We love
our
>> > guns..
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>> So, you play that dems & repubs game all you like. But, both whites
>and
>> > non
>> >>> whites in our neck of the woods can smell a Rat!! and that schicht
is
>> > coming
>> >>> from the white house..
>> >>> No, we have bigger problems to worry about than some played up war
>form
>> > some
>> >>> imperialistic games. We have our jobs, gas prices, educating our
>> >>> children..than
>> >>> to bogged down in a stupid so -called war..
>> >>> You say that's being minded and soft..I say, We are not drinking the
>> >>> kool-aid..
>> >>> So, if the 33 percent of you get your kicks out of prepping for war,
>then
>> >>> be my guess. Go out play war all you want. Stay on the "edge" ...
>Watch
>> > Fox
>> >>> new network all day and night...By the way: Since the
>Hezbollah/Israeli
>> > war
>> >>> came to ahead, the networks have cut to a more Pressing story.....
>> >>> They found the Jonbenet Ramsey murderer!!.....
>> >>>
>> >>> Fox news has all hand on deck and a camera man waiting for this kook
>to
>> > get
>> >>> off the plane!! a few stories about the war. Ohh..I guess, since we
>were
>> >>> losing that war, in was not new worthy.
>> >>>

>> >>> Now, you say...What are the Dems going to.. Well, they can't do much
>worse
>> >>> than that last regime..I mean, do i feel safer because Bush is in
>office???
>> >>> No.. I feel safe for me and my family because God is still on thrown!!
>> > He
>> >>> is my protector..Every man (boy , girl) has an appointed time to live
>> > and
>> >>> to die. Me and ,ine put our trust in God's hands, not a
>President(Republican
>> >>> or Democrat)..
>> >>>
>> >>> See, those you who are fearful because you've put your hope and faith
>> > and
>> >>> trust in a Man!! Well, Men, will fail you all the time. Those of
us
>who
>> >>> are believing Christians, should know better to put our hope, faith
>and
>> > trust
>> >>> in a Man.. That's suicide and stupid!
>> >>> Even worst,putting your Hope, trust, and faith in a Politician..
>> >>> are we that stupid ??
>> >>> Next regime please..
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >>>>> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the
>current
>> >>>>> administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe
in
>and
>> >>>>> stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when
>teaming
>> >>>>> with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's
the
>> >>>>>

Subject: Re: I'm having a very senior moment-help please!!
Posted by ["Kris"](#) . on Mon, 10 Jul 2006 17:46:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> American public, not military leaders.<
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> This is exactly what has happened. If the left can't get Bush at
the

>> > ballot
>> >>>> box, then let's get the media allies onboard and just take the whole
>> > damned
>> >>>> country down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive
>ideology.
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
>> >>>> news:C10E111B.2942%dterry@keyofd.net...
>> >>>>> On 8/20/06 12:33 PM, in article 44e8aad4@linux, "DJ"
>> >>>>> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent.
>That
>> >>>> says
>> >>>>>>> that most of the folks who voted for him does not support him
or
>> > that
>> >>>>>>> "sham"
>> >>>>>>> Iraq war.
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>> The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was
>still
>> >>>> going
>> >>>>>>> strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>> And let's also not forget that the Iraq war we went to fight is
over
>> > -
>> >>>> the
>> >>>>>>> Hussein regime is no longer; no WMDs in sight, done. But instead,
>we
>> >>>> are
>> >>>>>>> now playing intervention peace maker to help the Iraq government
>with
>> >>>> what
>> >>>>>>> has turned into a nightmare rather than a transition. The greatest
>> > number
>> >>>>>>> of deaths from this "war" have happened directly because of the
>insurgency
>> >>>>>>> of terrorists (car bombs, roadside bombs, snipers, etc) - not at
the
>> > hands
>> >>>>>>> of the old Iraqi military or Hussein, but by outsider terrorists
and

>> > thugs
>> >>>> (the words of a couple of different US soldiers just back from Iraq
>> > I
>> >>>> recently talked with).
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the
>current
>> >>>> administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe
in
>> > and
>> >>>> stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when
>> >>>> teaming
>> >>>> with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's
the
>> >>>> American public, not military leaders.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> It's really easy to blame someone for everything we don't like or
>can't
>> >>>> accept - whether it's finding fault for hurricane Katrina,
>terrorism,
>> >>> or
>> >>>> hot
>> >>>> coffee at McDonalds. But it is quite another thing to actually
>understand
>> >>>> and count the cost of the way of life that we all agree to in dark
>> >>>> compromise every single day.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> I guess I won't be surprised if an Islamic extremist is elected
>president
>> >>>> in
>> >>>> the next 8-12 years.... game over. Go directly to jail. Do not
>pass
>> >>> Go
>> >>>> or
>> >>>> collect \$200. I'm only partly serious here, but you guys are
>turning
>> >>> me
>> >>>> into a pessimist. ;-)
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>
>> >>
>> >
>>
>

>Oh yeah. .just look a t what going to Iraq has done for US??? Yeah, we really slapped them around. ?? Meanwhile, our boys and girls are returning home in bags for a false cause and A NOT well Planned attack"..

You talk about Clinton..Let's look at this idiot we have in office now. He's so dumb he can't even remember the last lie he told a few seconds ago. Deer in the headlights. He's an embarrassment to this Nation..

"DC" <dc@spammersinprison.com> wrote:

>

>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>

>>Clinton Clinton Clinton.. It seems DJ that you has now swallow the "Kool-Aid" >>that the Neo-con right has given you.

>>Look, liberals are not dumb or stupid. They are real passionate Americans.

>

>And Clinton was incompetent to a staggering degree. Deal with >it.

>

>>Do you really think that if we are attacked , that fellow so-called liberals >>would not fight??

>

>They would waffle, negotiate, deal with the corrupt UN, make token >raids on the wrong targets to kill a few people (usually the wrong

Subject: Re: I'm having a very senior moment-help please!!

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Mon, 10 Jul 2006 18:30:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

br />

>>war effort? A draft is eminent, But, not exactly politically wise or popular >>with the way the Iraq thing went. hey can't sell a war if the previous engagements

>>haven't worked out..

>

>For you and your side to win, we must lose this war. So you >and yours have called it a mistake and a "quagmire" since about >a week into it, made absurd vietnam comparisons, and failed to >praise even the brave Iraqi's who voted in such high numbers >under the threat of being attacked at any time. You all just >went nice and quiet and waited for the next bombing or >decapitation so you could start the rap up again. And you wonder >why people question your loyalty? I have never seen so many >americans hoping for our defeat. Our brave soldiers are succeeding >despite the best efforts of both the jihadis and the left.

>

>

>>So, what will the Bush(ites) do...hummm..Let's see since recruitment is
>way
>>way down(toilet), the US need fresh recruits
>>to re-vamp the forces for 1)ground attacks and home-land security, and
middle-east
>>occupation.....
>
>Actually, as with much of your rap, you got the facts wrong again.
>
><http://www.azstarnet.com/news/141607>
>
>
>>Well, the poor & middle class whites are not showing up at the local recruiting
>>centers like they did in post 911...And it seems, that the African Americans
>>don't want any part of these wars what so ever...(hey, they're busy in
the
>>prisons)providing big business cheap telemarketing services..(true)..
>
>While African immigrants are wildly successful here, and the
>left still refuses to look at the results of their misguided programs...
>The imprisonment rate of African Americans closely follows the rate
>of decline in intact families which inversely follows the rate of
>paternalistic liberal programs. But no, it's so much easier to
>blame Bush.
>
>
>The
>>American Hispanics too, have dropped off the recruiting radar.. So, where
>>oh where are they going to get those solders ...Humm
>>
>>I think the answer lies with our new "Border Crossers" aka, new Americans
>>for hire.. And they will make up a good portion of the new American Armed
>>forces.
>
>Is there actual evidence for this?
>
>
>>Dj, do we agree that the Bush(ites) want permanant bases in the middle
east?
>>Okay? Do you think that the people of the middle east will stand for permanant
>>US bases there? Do you think, that we should pull the troops out and nuke
>>the entire region???
>
>A secular and democratic state would do so. Hence Iraq.
>Remember, we would like to avoid nukes?
>
>
>>See, the latter (Nuke) is what the Dems has done. Your so called Prsident

>>and any other Republican love war for war sake.. The Dems, ended the WW2
>>(in the PACific)..BOooooommmmm. Weak, are they..??? I'll let that record
>>stand..

>

>Of course, a Republican (Lincoln) ended slavery, but it looks like
>that record doesn't stand for much with you. Oh, it's in the past?
>Well so are the days of Democrats being *anything* like Truman.

>

>And EVERY SINGLE Truman or even Kennedy democrat has been
>purged from the democratic party, the most recent being a good
>and decent Kennedy liberal named Leiberman.

>

>Listen LaMont, I am not a conservative, and neither is DJ. You need
>to admit that this is a complex and difficult issue and good points
>can be made on both sides. Come, let us reason together.

>

>There's a lot at stake.

>

>DC

>Oh No.. This biggest dumb -ass idiot is our current president for sure. He's
an embarrassment to this nation..

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>The reason I'm so disappointed in Clinton is that during his whole
>administration, I was wanting him to do the things he needed to
>do.....and I watched in fcinated horror as he fucked up again and again..
>Even an insignificant, regular old citizen like me could see what needed
to

>be done and he couldn't????.....and I was right!!!!. It just blows
me

>away. I wanted to believe that I was missing something and that this Rhoades
>scholar superdude/great national hope knew more than I did. Well, he turned
>out to be the bitggest dumbass we've ever elected and his oversights brought
>us to 911.

>

>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e967d0\$1@linux...
>>

>> Clinton Clinton Clinton.. It seems DJ that you has now swallow the
>"Kool-Aid"

>> that the Neo-con right has given you.

>> Look, liberals are not dumb or stupid. They are real passionate Americans.

>>

>>

>> Before I state my rebuttals to your Clinton Clinton Clinton rhetoric,
let

>> me just say this to your how can Dems be so dumb.

>>
>> Do you really think that if we are attacked , that fellow so-called
>liberals
>> would not fight??
>>
>> To decent is not American?
>> Look DJ, the Bush(ites) are war mongers. They had their plan in place
well
>> before they took office. They just need some kind of reason to sell to
the
>> US public. 911 and then WMDs..
>>
>> The problem today is , do we have enough service men available for your
>WW3
>> war effort? A draft is eminent, But, not exactly politically wise or
>popular
>> with the way the Iraq thing went. hey can't sell a war if the previous
>engagements
>> haven't worked out..
>>
>> So, what will the Bush(ites) do...hummm..Let's see since recruitment is
>way
>> way down(toilet), the US need fresh recruits
>> to re-vamp the forces for 1)ground attacks and home-land security, and
>middle-east
>> occupation.....
>>
>> Well, the poor & middle class whites are not showing up at the local
>recruiting
>> centers like they did in post 911...And it seems, that the African
>Americans
>> don't want any part of these wars what so ever...(hey, they're busy in
the
>> prisons)providing big business cheap telemarketing services..(true)..The
>> American Hispanics too, have dropped off the recruiting radar.. So, where
>> oh where are they going to get those soldiers ...Humm
>>
>> I think the answer lies with our new "Border Crossers" aka, new Americans
>> for hire.. And they will make up a good portion of the new American Armed
>> forces.
>> Of course to sell them on the idea of joining, they will be told that
t

Subject: Re: I'm having a very senior moment-help please!!
Posted by [Neil](#) on Tue, 11 Jul 2006 02:25:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t; >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> I love the people of this Nation. For we are a great people!!!
>But,
>> >> we
>> >> >> are
>> >> >> >> under the leadership of a wanna be dictator!!and his cronnie..
>> >> >Lastly,
>> >> >> >> most Americans feel 'Less Safe " now than 2002.. Wanna bet? Let's
>> >first
>> >> >> >ask
>> >> >> >> the good folks from New Orl

Subject: Re: I'm having a very senior moment-help please!!
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Tue, 11 Jul 2006 14:53:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

support him
or
>> >that
>> >> >> > "sham"
>> >> >> >> Iraq war.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was
>> >still
>> >> >going
>> >> >> > strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took
>office.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> And let's also not forget that the Iraq war we went to fight is
over
>> -
>> >> the
>> >> >> Hussein regime is no longer; no WMDs in sight, done. But instead,
>> we
>> >> are
>> >> >> now playing intervention peace maker to help the Iraq government
>with
>> >> what
>> >> >> has turned into a nightmare rather than a transition. The greatest
>> >number
>> >> >> of deaths from this "war" have happened directly because of the
>> >insurgency
>> >> >> of terrorists (car bombs, roadside bombs, snipers, etc) - not at
the
>> >hands
>> >> >> of the old Iraqi military or Hussein, but by outsider terrorists
and

>> >thugs
>> >> >> (the words of a couple of different US soldiers just back from Iraq
>> I
>> >> >> recently talked with).
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the
>> >current
>> >> >> administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe
in
>> and
>> >> >> stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when
>> >> >teaming
>> >> >> with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's
the
>> >> >> American public, not military leaders.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> It's really easy to blame someone for everything we don't like or
>can't
>> >> >> accept - whether it's finding fault for hurricane Katrina,
>terrorism,
>> >> or
>> >> >hot
>> >> >> coffee at McDonalds. But it is quite another thing to actually
>> >understand
>> >> >> and count the cost of the way of life that we all agree to in dark
>> >> >> compromise every single day.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I guess I won't be surprised if an Islamic extremist is elected
>> >president
>> >> >in
>> >> >> the next 8-12 years.... game over. Go directly to jail. Do not
>pass
>> >> Go
>> >> >or
>> >> >> collect \$200. I'm only partly serious here, but you guys are
>turning
>> >> me
>> >> >> into a pessimist. ;-)
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>

>My reference to DJ was to make a point that so -called Liberal thinkers are not weak.. Just a little historical facts..that's all..

P.S.

Do you no any bible at all?? Yeah, I thought you didn't..

"DC" <dc@spammersincuba.org> wrote:

>

>LaMont,

>

>Once again you misuse the Bible. This is the second time you have

>presented the Beatitudes as behaviour guidelines when that is

>not what they were intended as, and you did so in the context of

>BRAGGING about what brave war fighters democrats are, as in

>WE dropped THE BOMB!! BOOM!!

>

>So hey, which one of the "peacemakers" are you?

>

>You clearly have no interest in what anyone else thinks here, and

>nothing anyone says makes any difference to you.

>

>Why are you wasting our time with this?

>

>DC

>It's only a waist of time because you keep spilling the white-house press clips. You get all of your fact from the good o'l fox news broadcast..Now, that's a station full of real facts..

"DC" <dc@spammersincuba.com> wrote:

>

>Once again, your facts are simply wrong. It was the quickest victory

>over a regime in the history of warfare, with the fewest US

>casualties and the fewest non-combatants killed.

>

>Making a new govt. work there is proving to be a challenge with

>the foreign head choppers and jihadis who have come in, and the

>diehard Saddam loyalists. But once again, you are cheering for

>the other side. Do you really think Iraqis are too stupid for

>democracy?

>

>This "conversation" with you is clearly becoming a wasye of time.

>

>DC

>

>

>

>"LaMont " <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>>

>>Oh yeah. .just look a t what going to Iraq has done for US??? Yeah, we

really

>>slapped them around. ?? Meanwhile, our boys and girls are returning home
>>in bags for a false cause and A NOT well Planned attack"..

>>

>>You talk about Clinton..Let's look at this idiot we have in office now.

>He's

>>so dumb he can't even remember the last lie he told a few seconds ago.

Deer

>>in the headlights. He's an embarrassment to this Nation..

>>

>>"DC" <dc@spammersinprison.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>>>

>>>>Clinton Clinton Clinton.. It seems DJ that you has now swallow the "Kool-Aid"
>>>>that the Neo-con right has given you.

>>>>Look, liberals are not dumb or stupid. They are real passionate Americans.

>>>

>>>>And Clinton was incompetent to a staggering degree. Deal with

>>>it.

>>>

>>>>Do you really think that if we are attacked , that fellow so-called liberals

>>>>would not fight??

>>>

>>>>They would waffle, negotiate, deal with the corrupt UN, make token
>>>>raids on the wrong targets to kill a few people (usually the wrong
>>>>ones) and then get so backed into a corner that they either have
>>>>to capitulate to the jihadis or, as you say below, go boom, as in
>>>>nukes... I would like to avoid that.

>>>

>>>

>>>>To decent is not American?

>>>>Look DJ, the Bush(ites) are war mongers. They had their plan in place
>well

>>>>before they took office. They just need some kind of reason to sell to
>>the

>>>>US public. 911 and then WMDS..

>>>

>>>

>>>So
