
Subject: Mac is the the BOMB!!!!???

Posted by [brandon\[2\]](#) on Wed, 02 May 2007 15:33:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;
<FONT=20
size=3D2>> >>>>>
> >>>>> "Eternal =
vigilance=20
is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>=20
>>>>>
> >>>>> "No man is entitled to =
the=20
blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant
> > in
> =
>>>=20
its
> >>>>> preservation." -- General Douglas=20
MacArthur
> >>>>>
> >>>>> =
"FREEDOM IS=20
NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement outside a
>=20
>>>>> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
> =

>>>>>
> >>>>> "In the beginning of a =
change=20
the patriot is a scarce man, and brave,
> >>> and
> =

>>>>> hated
> >>>>> and scorned. =
When his=20
cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then it
> >>>=20
costs
> >>>>> nothing
> >>>>> =
to be a=20
patriot." -- Mark Twain
> >>>>>
>=20
>>>>> "History does not long entrust the care of =
freedom to=20
the weak or the
> >>>>> timid."
>=20
>>>>> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, =
Jan. 20,=20
1953
> >>>>>
> >>>>> "The =
future=20
doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to the<

Subject: Re: Mac is the the BOMB!!!!???

Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Wed, 02 May 2007 16:06:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;
>=20
>>> brave."
> >>>>> -- Ronald =
Reagan
>=20
>>>>>
> >>>>> "The battle, sir, is =
not to=20
the strong alone; it is to the vigilant,
> > the
>=20

>>>>> active,
> >>>>> the brave." -- =
Patrick=20
Henry
> >>>>>
> >>>>> "The =
land of the=20
free will cease to be when it's no longer the home of
>=20
>>>>> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
>=20
>>>>>
> >>>>> "Any 20 year-old who =
isn't a=20
liberal doesn't have a heart,
> >>>>> and any 40 =
year-old=20
who isn't a conservative doesn'

Subject: Re: Mac is the the BOMB!!!!???
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Wed, 02 May 2007 16:12:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mplete flip from their position in the wee hours
>this morning. The immediate danger seems to have subsided and for that

>we are extremely thankful.

>

>Thanks so much for your prayers and support.

>

>

>Jeff

>> We've been all night in the ICU with my son who has evidently had a
>> brain hemorage. We're still awaiting clear understanding of the
>> prognosis, but would appreciate any prayers that can be offered on his

>> behalf.

>>

>> His name is JOshua, already a cancer surviving 11 year old now with
>> another battle to fight.

>>

>> THanks all,

>>

>> Jeff> > Because if you listen to the majority of the international press, they
> > would have you believe that there are a lot more crazy people in this
> > world like the assholes we are fighting than reasonable people who would
> > like to be rid of them.

Sarah, I think what DeeJ is saying here is that there are many, many more,
non fundamentalist Muslims around the world who are reasonable, not
radicalized and want to live under democracy rather than theocracy. What the
press would have you believe is just the opposite, that the crazy ones are
the majority. You don't see the press covering dissenting views by more
moderate Islamics. I agree with DeeJ. This can be supported if you look at

the contemptuous reaction by the younger and educated in Iran against the mullahs and Amadinejad. There is much dissent in Iran, which you only read about in rare publications. There is also much repression in Iran against the voice of democracy. We need to be the light and hope for the world and for democratic freedoms. The alternative is frightening, isn't it!

http://www.nowpublic.com/silencing_dissent_in_iran_four_hanged_0

<http://www.hrw.org/english/docs/2004/06/07/iran8774.htm>

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message news:4653b5af@linux...

> So . . . hang on a minute here . . . you're saying you think we SHOULD
kill

> all Muslims, starting with the entire Middle East?

>

> S

>

>

> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message news:4653a4f0@linux...

> >

> > "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message
news:46537917@linux...

> > "To occupy Iraq would instantly shatter our coalition, turning the
whole

> > Arab world against us and make a broken tyrant into a latter-day hero

....

> > assigning young soldiers to a fruitless hunt for a securely entrenched

> > dictator and condemning them to fight in what would be an un-winnable

> > urban guerilla war. It could only plunge that part of the world into
even

> > greater instability."

> >

> > - George H.W. Bush

> >

> > And you are referring to what coalition????.....the one
that

> > Clinton failed to hold together the minute he was elected???

> >

> >

> > Baby Bush shoulda listened to Big Bush instead of to "the crazies" (how

> > Bush I's administration referred to the "neocons").

> >

> > Bush I had achieved a scenario wherein if Sadaam violated sanctions,
there

> > were remedies. No one was willing to explore those remedies in any kind
of

> > serious way. this is Clintons major crime, IMO. He had a duty to do this

> > and he didn't. As the 90's wore on, a billionaire maniac who paid blood

> > money to the families of terrorists to ensure that they could more easily
> > walk into Israeli shopping malls and restaurants and murder people while
> > he flaunted UN authority was biding his time until sham sanctions were
> > officially lifted while in Afghanistan, the weaselly rich w

Subject: Re: Mac is the the BOMB!!!!!!???

Posted by [Chris Ludwig](#) on Wed, 02 May 2007 17:13:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t; Thanks all,

>

> Jeffwireline wrote:

> News flash - he ain't always wrong either...and in this instance, he is correct

Wow, really? Well now, who could possibly question a bravely anonymous authority like yourself? I'm certainly convinced then, your finely honed argument has made all the difference! :^)

Seriously, unless you can clearly state what you think DeeJ is right about and why you think so, you've added this much to the discussion:

Zip.

Cheers,

-Jamie

www.JamieKrutz.com

PS. BTW, I never claimed DeeJ was always wrong. Better check those eyeglasses.

> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:

>>> I hate to break it to you DeeJ, but you're not always right

>> I just wish I was half the man my dogs think I am.

>>

>> ;o)

>>

>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:

Subject: Re: Mac is the the BOMB!!!!!!???

Posted by [xpam_mark](#) on Wed, 02 May 2007 21:09:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

.com> wrote in message news:4654e630@linux...

> wireline wrote:

>> News flash - he ain't always wrong either...and in this instance, he is
>> correct
>
> Wow, really? Well now, who could possibly question a bravely anonymous
> authority like yourself? I'm certainly convinced then, your finely honed
> argument has made all the difference! :^)
>
> Seriously, unless you can clearly state what you think DeeJ is right about
> and why you think so, you've added this much to the discussion:
>
> Zip.
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com
>
> PS. BTW, I never claimed DeeJ was always wrong. Better check those
> eyeglasses.
>
>
>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:
>>>> I hate to break it to you DeeJ, but you're not always right
>>> I just wish I was half the man my dogs think I am.
>>>
>>> ;o)
>>>
>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:46549242@linux...
>>>> DJ wrote:
>>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:46532f2d@linux...
>>>>>> DJ wrote:
>>>>>>> Jaimie,
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I don't think Bush has ever compared himself to Churchill. I'm doing
>>
>>>>>>> this by just pointing out that he said pretty much the same thing
>>>>>>> before we fwent in to finish the ongoing war Clinton neglected in
>>>>>>> Iraq.
>>>>>>> Are you saying Bush essentially stole from Churchill's speeches?
>>>>> I didn't hear Bush use these words or anything close really. I think
>> he
>>>>> is confronted by a similar situation and tried to express the same
>>>>> things
>>
>>>>> to a nation, half of whom, doesn't believe that we are at war.
>>>> We never declared war. Maybe that would be a start.
>>>>
>>>> Churchill didn't really express the same overall message as Bush. His

>> was
>>>> a country under direct attack by another country and he asked for
>>>> sacrifice and commitment from his countrymen. Bush is not fighting a
>>>> country but a tactic, and his preemptive actions so far have made that
>>
>>>> tactic measurably more prevalent and our country measurably weaker.
>>>> Meanwhile he has asked for the opposite of sacrifice by cutting taxes
>>
>>>> during time of war.
>>>>
>>>> A friend of mine has this administration pegged as acting like an
>>>> addicted
>>
>>>> gambler on a losing streak, betting ever more heavily in a desperate
>>>> attempt to come out even in the end.
>>>>
>>>> On the Churchill comparison, you could take any call to war and compare
>> it
>>>> to any other and there will be similar elements. But Bush's different
>>
>>>> context and course doesn't especially lend itself to being shined up by
>>
>>>> juxtaposition to Churchill's oratory.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> The style of leadership and the situations/context are very
>>>>> different.
>>>>> You noticed??? ;o)....well.....even Churchill had Gallipoli.
>>>> I thought the attempted comparison was to WWII.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Extra points for Clinton bashing, though. If only Clinton had gone in
>>
>>>>> and racked up a 300 billion+ dollar war with no end, to try to "fix"
>>
>>>>> Bush I's unfinished business. Is it your theory that Bill was stupid
>> to
>>>>> agree with Bush I that that was a dumb idea?

Subject: Re: Mac is the the BOMB!!!!!!???

Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Wed, 02 May 2007 21:36:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
>>>>>>
>>>>> I think clinton was elected president at one of the most pvotal times
>> in

>>>> the history of the human race and utterly failed to realize what his
>>
>>>> responsibilities really were. Hell man, even I recognized this, and
>>>> he's
>>
>>>> supposed to be the Rhodes scholar genius.
>>> I hate to break it to you DeeJ, but you're not always right (nor am I),
>>
>>>> and your favorite whipping boy hasn't always been wrong. Sometimes you
>> are
>>>> right, though, and sometimes Mr. Rhodes scholar is right. Heck,
>>>> sometimes
>>
>>>> Bush II is right. The trick is to discern which times those are, and to
>>
>>>> not be taken in by the siren call of simplistic easy-answer certainty
>> in a
>>>> complex world.
>>>>
>>>> BTW, EVERY time period could be claimed to be one of the most pivotal
>>
>>>> times in the history of the human race, as long as we're still around
>> as a
>>>> species. :^)
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>> : "The inherent vice of capitalism is the unequal sharing of the
>>>>>>> blessings. The inherent blessing of socialism is the equal sharing
>> of
>>>>>>> misery". -Winston Chur

Subject: Re: Mac is the the BOMB!!!!!!???

Posted by [xpam_mark](#) on Thu, 03 May 2007 01:43:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>
><DIV>Anyone have any links to articles on mixing such
=
>a project=20
>or nylon guitars at the very least.</DIV>
><DIV>Compression, limiting, ideas?</DIV>
><DIV>I got various soft comps.</DIV>
><DIV>I tracked with minimal compression using a =
>RNC...2db of=20
>comp at the most.</DIV>
><DIV>I still think it could benefit from =
>more.</DIV>

><DIV>Or maybe multiband compression.</DIV>

><DIV>I havent ever seen any learning materials on =
>this subject=20

>so I am kinda</DIV>

><DIV>flyin by the seat of my pants.</DIV>

>classical=20

>sounding as possible.</DIV>

><DIV>I guess I mean commercial. But Classical =

>Commercial not=20

>pop commercial.</DIV>

><DIV>Any help would be much appreciated.</DIV>

><DIV>
--
Thanks,</DIV>

><DIV>Brandon </DIV></BODY></HTML>

>

>This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_005B_01C79E12.7737E420

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

I am glad you said that.

I messe

Subject: Re: Mac is the the BOMB!!!!!!???

Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Thu, 03 May 2007 01:54:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

d around with compression settings and didnt like anything I =
heard really...

I ended up just slapping a waves L2 on it with a tiny bit of squash just =
to level it out.

I still need to EQ, delay,verb, but thats how I was going to approach =
it.... from my ear.

I just wonder if there are other techniques.

--=20

Thanks,

Brandon=20

"wireline" <brainless@nospam.org> wrote in message =
news:4655e8a3\$1@li

Subject: Re: Mac is the the BOMB!!!!???

Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Thu, 03 May 2007 03:03:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ject=20

>>or nylon guitars at the very least.</DIV>

>><DIV>Compression, limiting, ideas?</DIV>

>><DIV>I got various soft comps.</DIV>

>><DIV>I tracked with minimal compression using a =

>>RNC...2db of=20

>>comp at the most.</DIV>

>><DIV>I still think it could benefit from =

>>more.</DIV>

>><DIV>Or maybe multiband compression.</DIV>

>><DIV>I havent ever seen any learning materials on =

>>this subject=20

>>so I am kinda</DIV>

>><DIV>flyin by the seat of my pants.</DIV>

>>classical=20

>>sounding as possible.</DIV>

>><DIV>I guess I mean commercial. But Classical =

>>Commercial not=20

>>pop commercial.</DIV>

>><DIV>Any help would be much appreciated.</DIV>

>><DIV>
--
Thanks,</DIV>

>><DIV>Brandon </DIV></BODY></HTML>

Subject: Re: Mac is the the BOMB!!!!???

Posted by [Ted Gerber](#) on Thu, 03 May 2007 03:53:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

er 9/11, we

didn't have a clue where the target was except we knew for sure they were Muslims somewhere in the Middle East - probably.

Bill

TCB wrote:

> This stat distorts things a bit, because after WW II the US Navy decided that
> the aircraft carrier was the primary weapon to have in the closet. The Russians
> then, and the Chinese now, have decided that the submarine is the crucial
> link, and the US has nowhere near 90% of the subs in the world. This makes
> sense because the sub navies meet a largely defensive/deterrent goal while

> carrier navies are about projecting power overseas. Since the US has zero
> fear of anyone sailing over here and invading the continental US, we built
> a navy that can be used to attack, or at least threaten, other countries.
> All of which is to say that 80/90/100 percent of carriers does not equal
> a similar percentage of naval power. Oh, one more thing. In war games the
> subs almost always sink the c

Subject: Re: Mac is the the BOMB!!!!!!???

Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Thu, 03 May 2007 05:01:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

arriers, so the carrier navy is really only

> useful against countries without powerful sub navies. So if Iran were smart
> they'd be buying subs instead of trying to acquire a nuke.

>

> Second, your argument is correct, to an extent. However, if the War Minister
> of Lichtenstein does something stupid, say, manipulates his country into
> war with known faulty intelligence, it doesn't matter. When the US does that
> a few hundred thousand people, almost all of them civilians, wind up dead.
> The dead people are no less dead because we possess roughly average wisdom.
> Which means we need to wise up.

>

> TCB

>

> BT <gbtank@comcast.net> wrote:

>> We're not much wiser or more foolish than most other countries, on a
>> given day.

>>

>> It's just that the USA leaves so much larger a footprint than anybody
>> else, our mistakes are greatly amplified when compared to, for instance,

>

>> Guatemala or New Zealand.

>>

>> Has anybody noticed that the US possesses over 80% of the world's
>> aircraft carrier deck space, and more like 90% of the world's carrier
>> based warplanes? So that's 5% of the world's populace with 90% or so of

>

>> the world's naval power. Kind of disproportionate.

>>

>> When we screw up, it's a big deal, usually has sizable implications for

>

>> others, and everybody notices. That really is a big part of this issue.

>

>> I mean, when is the last time the whole world was bummed out over some
>> boneheaded move by Lichtenstein's Secretary of War?

>>

>>

>> Regards,

>> Brian T

>Man your sooooo right. It's funny, I was watching this, and thought, this is so good, I need to tell someone, then I got distracted and didn't. I agree though AIC rocked it, and Gretchen Wilson was spectacular. wow.

Then, as you said, Ann came out and kicked it.

The only drawback is, imo, is the current Heart road band is just OK, other than the Wil
