

---

Subject: Misc. Audio Ramblings

Posted by [Neil](#) on Tue, 19 Jul 2005 13:18:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

d> wrote in message

> news:431b25bb\$1@linux...

>> weak.

>>

>> I haven't heard Howard Dean for months, but somehow I sound exactly like

>> him? Still waiting for an explanation for that one.

>>

>>

>> "DJ" <animix\_spam-this-ahole\_@animas.net> wrote in message

>> news:431b256c@linux...

>> > My thoughts have been formed the last week primarily viewing

>> > mainstream

>> > network news.

>> >

>> > I rest my case.

>> >

>> > ;o)

>> >

>> > "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message

>> > news:431b23ec@linux...

>> >>

>> >> "DJ" <animix\_spam-this-ahole\_@animas.net> wrote in message

>> >> news:431b2393@linux...

>> >> > When

>> >> > you pull Howard Dean out of your ass, really you're just exposing

>> >> > your

>> >> >> OWN

>> >> >> BIAS, the very thing you seem to be criticizing any

>> >> >> non-bush-apologists

>> >> >

>> >> > When you sound like Howard Dean, you invite the

>> >> > comaprison.....and

>> >> > you

>> >> > sound \*exactly like Howard DEan. I don't give shit what label you

>> >> > give

>> >> > yourself, it's your actions that speak for themselves and you're

>> >> > living

>> >> > in

>> >> > his camp dude. If you want to hate Bush, fine. Why not try to look

>> >> > at

>> >> > both

>> >> > sides rather than letting left wing interest groups do your tinkering

>> >> > for

>> >> > you?

>> >>  
>> >> You're absolutely crazy Deej.  
>> >>  
>> >> My thoughts have been formed the last week primarily viewing  
>> >> mainstream  
>> >> network news.  
>> >>  
>> >> You're stuck in partisan mode, and somehow you think it's rubbing off  
> on  
>> > me.  
>> >>  
>> >>  
>> >  
>> >  
>>  
>>  
>  
>"DJ" <animix\_spam-this-ahole\_@animas.net> wrote in message  
news:431b29fa@linux...  
> Weak?????.....You are taking your \*facts\* from and article by the LA  
> Times for chrissakes!!!!

You are hereby requested to proved a list of sources which you view as credible.

---

---

Subject: Re: Misc. Audio Ramblings  
Posted by [Tom Bruhl](#) on Tue, 19 Jul 2005 13:58:40 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

hrinking by the day.  
>> >  
>> >  
>> >  
>> > "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message  
>> > news:431b2aef@linux...  
>> >>  
>> >> "DJ" <animix\_spam-this-ahole\_@animas.net> wrote in message  
>> >> news:431b29fa@linux...  
>> >> > Weak?????.....You are taking your \*facts\* from and article by  
> the  
>> > LA  
>> >> > Times for chrissakes!!!!  
>> >>  
>> >> You are hereby requested to proved a list of sources which you view as  
>> >> credible.  
>> >>

>> >> I will quote stats only from your approved list...  
>> >>  
>> >> Come on,, step up bitch.  
>> >>  
>> >>  
>> >  
>> >  
>>  
>>  
>  
>no dout man, but this is fun... u got pwned

I checked back into this thread because I expected a cheap shot from you. I'm in the process of setting up 10 mics for a tracking session but I'm going to take a second here to clairfy your out - of -context spin of my statement.

I said that there is always credibility to a number of new sources \*depending on what they are reporting\*. The obvious bias of the LA times calls their agenda into question. Had you been at all objective in your thinking, you might have looked to more than one source before showing your ass with something like this.

Now, whether you beileve it or not, I've got work to do.  
C'ya

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message news:431b30d3\$1@linux...

>  
> "DJ" <animix\_spam-this-ahole\_@animas.net> wrote in message  
> news:431b300e@linux...  
> > You want to talk about credibility, yours is  
> >> being ripped to shreds, by your own logic.  
> >>  
> > So my credibility is suspect because I don't cull my information from a  
> > single source?  
>  
> I get the feeling you might just be copping out, but since you seem to be  
> asking me a question, I guess I can spell it out again.  
>  
> You initially dismissed the simple information graph because it said LA  
> Times on it, then when pressed as to your preferred credible sources, you  
> said LA Times \*could\* be credible, and that all sources need to be

> considered and balanced.  
>  
> So your initial dismissal of the info was the bogus part.  
>  
> > I've got more important things to attend to today.  
>  
> no dout man, but this is fun... u got pwned  
>  
> > "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message  
> > news:431b2e9d@linux...  
> >> "DJ" <animix\_spam-this-ahole\_@animas.net> wrote in message  
> >> news:431b2d92\$1@linux...  
> >> >I think there is always credibility to a number of news sources,  
> > depending  
> >> > on what they are reporting. Even the LA times. Politically speaking,  
if  
> >> > you  
> >> > take the LA times and balance it's coverage with that of any number  
of  
> >> > other  
> >> > news sources, you are likely to get something approximating reality,  
> >> > but  
> >> > never from just one news source. For me to quote ffrom an approved  
list  
> >> > would be bogus because I don't have one. I look at numerous sources  
and  
> >> > try  
> >> > to cull a semblance of reality from different points of view. You  
post  
> >> > articles here by a single source that happens to support your view.  
> >> > Fair  
> >> > enough. It's suspect though because it's the same old crap every  
time.  
> >> > I  
> >> > see  
> >> > no attempt at objectivity.....bitch  
> >>  
> >> Exactly. So how do you rectify that with your outragage at my source,  
> >> solely based on the source? You want to talk about credibility, yours  
> >> is  
> >> being ripped to shreds, by your own logic.  
> >>  
> >> Keep in mind I'm not a journalist. I'm a person with an opinion. Its  
> >> not  
> >> my job to be objective.  
> >>  
> >> Theres 2 sources of info nowadays. There are people with their eyes  
> >> open

> >> who see things for what they are, and there are pro-Bush supporters who  
> >> would overlook any shortcoming of our coward in chief. And the blind  
> >> pro-Bush sheep group is shrinking by the day.

> >> >

> >> >

> >> >

> >> > "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message

> >> > news:431b2aef@linux...

> >> >>

> >> >> "DJ" <animix\_spam-this-ahole\_@animas.net> wrote in message

> >> >> news:431b29fa@linux...

> >> >> > Weak?????.....You are taking your \*facts\* from and article by

> > the

> >> > LA

> >> >> > Times for chrissakes!!!!

> >> >>

> >> >> You are hereby requested to proved a list of sources which you view

as

> >> >> credible.

> >> >>

> >> >> I will quote stats only from your approved list...

> >> >>

> >> >> Come on,, step up bitch.

> >> >>

> >> >>

> >> >

> >> >

> >>

> >>

> >

> >

>

>deej is coppin out....

"DJ" <animix\_spam-this-ahole\_@animas.net> wrote in message

news:431b359b@linux...

> no dout man, but this is fun... u got pwned

>

> I checked back into this thread because I expected a cheap shot from you.

> I'm in the process of setting up 10 mics for a tracking session but I'm

> going to take a second here to clairfy your out - of -context spin of my

> statement.

>

> I said that there is always credibility to a number of new sources

> \*depending on what they are reporting\*. The obvious bias of the LA times

> calls their agenda into question. Had you been at all objective in your

> thinking, you might have looked to more than one source before showing

> your

> ass with something like this.  
>  
> Now, whether you believe it or not, I've got work to do.  
> C'ya  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message  
> news:431b30d3\$1@linux...  
>>  
>> "DJ" <animix\_spam-this-ahole\_@animas.net> wrote in message  
>> news:431b300e@linux...  
>> > You want to talk about credibility, yours is  
>> >> being ripped to shreds, by your own logic.  
>> >>  
>> > So my credibility is suspect because I don't cull my information from a  
>> > single source?  
>>  
>> I get the feeling you might just be copping out, but since you seem to be  
>> asking me a question, I guess I can spell it out again.  
>>  
>> You initially dismissed the simple information graph because it said LA  
>> Times on it, then when pressed as to your preferred credible sources, you  
>> said LA Times \*could\* be credible, and that all sources need to be  
>> considered and balanced.  
>>  
>> So your initial dismissal of the info was the bogus part.  
>>  
>> > I've got more important things to attend to today.  
>>  
>> no doubt man, but this is fun... u got pwned  
>>  
>> > "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message  
>> > news:431b2e9d@linux...  
>> >> "DJ" <animix\_spam-this-ahole\_@animas.net> wrote in message  
>> >> news:431b2d92\$1@linux...  
>> >> > I think there is always credibility to a number of news sources,  
>> > depending  
>> >> > on what they are reporting. Even the LA times. Politically speaking

---

Subject: Re: Misc. Audio Ramblings  
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Tue, 19 Jul 2005 14:45:11 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

suffering, anger and destruction of personal relationships man.  
Think about it, brother.  
AA

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message  
news:431b3644@linux...

> deej is coppin out....

>

> "DJ" <animix\_spam-this-ahole\_@animas.net> wrote in message

> news:431b359b@linux...

>> no dout man, but this is fun... u got pwned

>>

>> I checked back into this thread because I expected a cheap shot from you.

>> I'm in the process of setting up 10 mics for a tracking session but I'm

>> going to take a second here to clairfy your out - of -context spin of my

>> statement.

>>

>> I said that there is always credibility to a number of new sources

>> \*depending on what they are reporting\*. The obvious bias of the LA times

>> calls their agenda into question. Had you been at all objective in your

>> thinking, you might have looked to more than one source before showing

>> your

>> ass with something like this.

>>

>> Now, whether you beilieve it or not, I've got work to do.

>> C'ya

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message

>> news:431b30d3\$1@linux...

>>>

>>> "DJ" <animix\_spam-this-ahole\_@animas.net> wrote in message

>>> news:431b300e@linux...

>>> > You want to talk about credibility, yours is

>>> >> being ripped to shreds, by your own logic.

>>> >>

>>> > So my credibility is suspect because I don't cull my information from

>>> > a

>>> > single source?

>>>

>>> I get the feeling you might just be copping out, but since you seem to

>>> be  
>>> asking me a question, I guess I can spell it out again.  
>>>  
>>> You initially dismissed the simple information graph because it said LA  
>>> Times on it, then when pressed as to your preferred credible sources,  
>>> you  
>>> said LA Times \*could\* be credible, and that all sources need to be  
>>> considered and balanced.  
>>>  
>>> So your initial dismissal of the info was the bogus part.  
>>>  
>>> > I've got more important things to attend to today.  
>>>  
>>> no doubt man, but this is fun... u got pwned  
>>>  
>>> > "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message  
>>> > news:431b2e9d@linux...  
>>> >> "DJ" <animix\_spam-this-ahole\_@animas.net> wrote in message  
>>> >> news:431b2d92\$1@linux...  
>>> >> > I think there is always credibility to a number of news sources,  
>>> >> > depending  
>>> >> > on what they are reporting. Even the LA times. Politically  
>>> >> > speaking,  
>> if  
>>> >> > you  
>>> >> > take the LA times and balance it's coverage with that of any number  
>> of  
>>> >> > other  
>>> >> > news sources, you are likely to get something approximating  
>>> >> > reality,  
>>> >> > but  
>>> >> > never from just one news source. For me to quote from an approved  
>> list  
>>> >> > would be bogus because I don't have one. I look at numerous

---

Subject: Re: Misc. Audio Ramblings  
Posted by [rich](#) on Tue, 19 Jul 2005 16:26:36 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Bubbling up from the flood that destroyed New Orleans are images, beamed around the world, of America's original and continuing sin: the shabby, contemptuous treatment this country metes out, decade after decade, to poor people in general and the descendants of African slaves in particular. The world sees New Orleans burning and dying today, but the televised anarchy - the shooting and looting, needless deaths, helpless rage

and maddening governmental incompetence - was centuries in the making.

To the casual viewer, the situation is an incomprehensible mess that raises questions about the intelligence, sanity and moral worth of those trapped in the city. Why didn't those people evacuate before the hurricane? Why don't they just walk out of town now? And why should anyone care about people who are stealing and fighting the police?

That hard, unsympathetic view is the traditional American response to the poverty, ignorance and rage that afflict many of us whose great-great-grandparents once made up the captive African slave labor pool. In far too many cities, including New Orleans, the marching orders on the front lines of American race relations are to control and contain the very poor in ghettos as cheaply as possible; ignore them completely if possible; and call in the troops if the brutes get out of line.

By almost every statistical measure, New Orleans is a bad place to be poor. Half the city's households make less than \$28,000 a year, and 28% of the population lives in poverty.

In the late 1990s, the state's school systems ranked dead last in the nation in the number of computers per student (1 per 88), and Louisiana has the nation's second-highest percentage of adults who never finished high school. By the state's own measure, 47% of the public schools in New Orleans rank as "academically unacceptable."

And Louisiana is the only one of the 50 states where the state legislature doesn't allocate money to pay for the legal defense of indigent defendants. The Associated Press reported this year that it's not unusual for poor people charged with crimes to stay in jail for nine months before getting a lawyer appointed.

These government failures are not merely a matter of incompetence. Louisiana and New Orleans have a long, well-known reputation for corruption: as former congressman Billy Tauzin once put it, "half of Louisiana is under water and the other half is under indictment."

That's putting it mildly. Adjusted for population size, the state ranks third in the number of elected officials convicted of crimes (Mississippi is No. 1). Recent scandals include the conviction of 14 state judges and an FBI raid on the business and personal files of a Louisiana congressman.

In 1991, a notoriously corrupt Democrat named Edwin Edwards ran for governor against Republican David Duke, a former head of the Ku Klux Klan. Edwards, whose winning campaign included bumper stickers saying "Elect the Crook," is currently serving a 10-year prison sentence for taking bribes from casino owners. Duke recently completed his own prison term for tax

fraud.

The rot included the New Orleans Police Department, which in the 1990s had the dubious distinction of being the nation's most corrupt police force and the least effective: the city had the highest murder rate in America. More than 50 officers were eventually convicted of crimes including murder, rape and robbery; two are currently on Death Row.

The decision to subject an entire population to poverty, ignorance, injustice and government corruption as a way of life has its ugly moments, as the world is now seeing. New Orleans officials issued an almost cynical

---

---

Subject: Re: Misc. Audio Ramblings  
Posted by [Neil](#) on Wed, 20 Jul 2005 12:59:20 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

y're taking the wrong

>> approach. i'm no engineer but i think they should be built more along  
>> the line of a locomotive engine. in that the gas power plant provides  
>> the electricity; a smaller generator and a larger ele. motor

>>

>> On Sat, 3 Sep 2005 18:09:42 -0600, "DJ"

>> <animix\_spam-this-ahole\_@animas.net> wrote:

>>

>>> In this area, if you prepay with a credit card, the pump shuts off at \$50.00  
>>> and you have to reauthorize the card to keep going. I've got a Honda  
>>> Passport with a 21 gallon tank. I can get about 400 miles (highway) out of  
>>> it.. I've also got an Accord with a (I think) 12 gallon tank that I can get  
>>> almost 400 miles out of on the highway.

>>>

>>> Both are paid for and have been for years.

>>>

>>> Next for me will be hybrids. No doubt about it at all. I may buy an old  
>>> pickup truck to park next to the horse trailer to use occasionally for short  
>>> hauls of horses and hay. That all the action it's going to see.

>>>

>>>

>>> "rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message  
>>> news:sntih154b667rqqlbadim0dlqs98p90b@4ax.com...

>>>> for my 14 gal tank when it hits 7 bucks. but, they just dropped it  
>>>> .40 a gallon here yesterday so i don't know.

>>>>

>>>> On Sat, 3 Sep 2005 01:14:35 -0400, "justcron"

>>>> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

>>>>

>>>>> it costs \$100 to fill the tank.

>>>>>

>>>> how long?

>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>>whether he is or not i take exception tho that comment...majorily.

On Sun, 4 Sep 2005 15:56:03 -0400, "justcron"  
<justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote:

>[FEMA], run by Brown since 2003, is now at the center of a growing fury over  
>the handling of the New Orleans disaster. ``I look at FEMA and I shake my  
>head," said a furious [Republican] Gov. Mitt Romney yesterday, calling the  
>response ``an embarrassment."

>\*\*\*\*\*

>

>Newt Gingrich, the former House Speaker, is among those who have asked  
>whether Bush's Department of Homeland Security is up to the job. 'If we  
>can't respond faster than this, then why do we think we're prepared to  
>respond to a nuclear or biological attack?' he pointedly asked.

>\*\*\*\*\*

>personally i blame motorcycle crash boy but then again how much paris  
news is new. quite honestly i'm too lazy to go to the general  
forum...more people here.

peace ;o)

On 5 Sep 2005 06:02:29 +1000, "DC" <dc@spamkanye.org> wrote:

>

>Hey all,

>

>The problems this disaster has exposed go way beyond anything  
>the federal govt. or the president have anything to do with.

>

>Entrenched, multi-generational corruption, patronage, cronyism,  
>ticket-punching bureaocrats, incompetence, cowardice, and more  
>corruption, all of it at the local and st