

Subject: Fatso??? Like /Dislike
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Wed, 04 Jan 2006 06:05:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Cubase SX/Nuendo or PT LE. It's still that same old mixer/arrange setup that been there since version 4. We have Logic 7.1 o

Subject: Re: Fatso??? Like /Dislike
Posted by [jef knight\[1\]](#) on Wed, 04 Jan 2006 15:52:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

, but open new uncharted landscapes of creativity.
 >>>>>>Thanks
 >>>>>>for
 >>>>>>making a truly ingenious product. Guitar playing will never be the
 >>>>>>same

Subject: Re: Fatso??? Like /Dislike
Posted by **JB** on Wed, 04 Jan 2006 17:51:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

```
@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:43cfd2bf@linux...
>> >> > 1 card with MEC works great (98SE). Decided to complicate my life
> and
>> > add
>> >> > another card and introduce my ol' 442 in the mix. What I want to do
> is
>> >> use
>> >> > card A for global effects (no limit, etc) and record to card B.
>> >> Otherwords,
>> >> > put the MEC on Card A and the 442 on card B (with inputs and
> outputs.)
>> > Or
>> >> > the other way around (MEC on card A,
```

Subject: Re: Fatso??? Like /Dislike
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Wed, 04 Jan 2006 20:02:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

```
>>>>>>>LaMont wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
```

>>>>>>>>>>http://www.apogeedigital.com/products/symphony.php
>>>>>>>>>>Wow, jsut when I was making fuss about the Mid-Level DSP(PCI)
>range
>>>>>>
>>>>>> or
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>non-existence
>>>>>>>>>>of this market segment, they anouce this.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Logic Audio is nice, but a little dated. Still one of t

Subject: Re: Fatso??? Like /Dislike
Posted by [Kim](#) on Wed, 04 Jan 2006 23:07:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>who were conviced that their (our) G5 were the king of the hill.. You
>>>
>>>would
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>not believe how many
