
Subject: OT Elephants

Posted by [rick](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 10:39:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

tic ideology.

> >>

> >> I guess I ignored my own first comment....sorry about that.

> >>

> >> I should get back to mourning NI Battery 2's destruction of 10 hours of

> > work

> >>

Subject: Re: OT Elephants

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 18:16:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

uot;PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =

BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">

<DIV>"DJ" <<A=20

=

href=3D"mailto:animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net">animix_spam-this-ahole=

_@animas.net>=20

wrote in message <A=20

href=3D"news:450e471d\$1@linux">news:450e471d\$1@linux...</DIV>He =

wrote the=

Subject: Re: OT Elephants

Posted by [Sarah](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 19:52:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

e

> mostly "good", by most any definition?

>

> What makes all of our choices seem to follow a similar core value system?

> If we have no common thread of belief in what is right and wrong, then why

> do most people (and likely all, even extremist terrorists) prefer peace to

> war; love instead of hate; fairness instead of injustice; truth instead of

> deceit? If there is nothing connecting us and superceding our own locally

> relative decision process, then how could we have any sense of "common

> sense"

Subject: Re: OT Elephants

Posted by [Sarah](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 19:54:20 GMT

, much less right and wrong?

>

> We see the evidence of these everyday. We easily agree as a world culture
> for the most part (excepting obvious deviations), that murder is wrong;
> stealing is wrong; laws should be obeyed, not abolished; etc. That is the
> evidence of unseen, never globally written in stone, but always pervasive
> moral absolutes. So in reality we all have faith that moral absolutes do
> exist, and if no individual created them (by the hypothesis that
> relativism
> would make them irrelevant), and all mankind just happen to adopt and hear
> about them without actual communication to propagate those beliefs, where
> did they come from if not from God?

>

> Regards,
> Dedric

>

> On 9/17/06 11:14 PM, in article 450e2955@linux, "Jamie K"
> <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>

>>

>> Faith is complete trust or confidence in something or someone. Religious
>> faith is one form of faith but not the only definition of "faith."

>>

>> For example I have faith that if I drop a guitar pick it will find its
>> way to the floor based on the gravitational attraction it has to the
>> planet. I have faith that I'll breathe my next breath, that I'll see
>> tomorrow morning. I have faith that other people are put together much
>> like I am and that I can therefore relate to other folks.

>>

>> Without faith, people would not invest money. Without faith people would
>> not vote. Without faith people would not start businesses, hire other
>> people, raise children. Without faith people would not ride trains, fly
>> in planes or drive cars. Without faith no one would investigate
>> scientific questions about reality.

>>

>> While you can clearly have faith without religion, you cannot have
>> religion without faith. Religion depends on faith that one or more
>> deities (good and sometimes bad) exist, that their associated stories
>> actually occurred, and often, that th

Subject: Re: OT Elephants

Posted by [brandon\[2\]](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 20:05:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

better than a system based on

>> any one religion (AKA a theocracy).
>>
>> There are other issues surrounding religions, such as the many examples
>> of selfless dedication to helping others on the one hand, and hijacked
>> religions used to legitimize earthly power structures in other cases.
>> Dedric, I look forward to talking with you about the positives and
>> negatives of various religions, and where a moral culture ought to go
>> from here, whenever we next get together.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>
>>
>> Dedric Terry wrote:
>>> Hey Jimmy,
>>>
>>> No doubt one can be a good person without believing in God - there are
>>> tons
>>> of great people with no faith, or very little. That in and of itself
>>> tells
>>> me there must be a God so even non-believers would have a strong sense
>>> of
>>> right and wrong on a societal and even global level.
>>>
>>> To walk through some thoughts: with no God, or higher reference point,
>>> what
>>> would be considered moral, or at least good? What would one use to
>>> decide
>>> what is right and wrong?
>>>
>>> Laws? Most would agree that we can't legislate morality now, so with no
>>> basis for what morality is, why would we even bother with laws since
>>> everyone would make their own choices anyway?
>>>
>>> Intellect? That would simply depend on what one chose to accept as
>>> "intelligent" thought, based completely on opinion.
>>>
>>> Reasoning and Logic? Logic is determined by a hypothesis that has a
>>> proven
>>> outcome in a given situation. Change the situation, and the reasoning
>>> or
>>> logic behind the "right" or "wrong" could easily change.
>>>
>>> Experience? What if one's experience is filled with hatred, abuse,
>>> anger
>>> and violence, or worse? Then someone would have to decide whose
>>> experience

>>> we would use as a reference point. There would be no guarantee that
>>> person
>>> or persons had experiences that would be best for the good of the whole.
>>>
>>> Survival instinct? If it were a reference point, then stealing, lying,
>>> cheating and even killing would be perfectly justified as those can be
>>> means
>>> of survival.
>>>
>>> Why would right and wrong even exist? I would think that the
>>> differences
>>> between societies' de

Subject: Re: OT Elephants

Posted by [Sarah](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 21:11:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

their belief from public in a
>>>>> country that promotes the freedom to believe as one wishes, is to
>>>>> outlaw
>>>>> it.
>>>>> Yet another paradox.
>>>>>
>>>>> Through our short sighted political glasses we want to see the world
>>>>> as a
>>>>> black and white, free-will, partisan vote where one's party always
>>>>> wins
>>>>> and
>>>>> the decisions are always in our favor, but fail to see any validity in
>>>>> believing a God that gave us the very moral compass to maintain the
>>>>> balance
>>>>> that kind of choice affords us. In essence we put our trust in the
>>>>> very
>>>>> thing we prove day in and day out to be one of the most fallible
>>>>> characteristics of humanity - political and relativistic ideology.
>>>>>
>>>>> I guess I ignored my own first comment....sorry about that.
>>>>>
>>>>> I should get back to mourning NI Battery 2's destruction of 10 hours
>>>>> of
>>>>> work
>>>>> :-(....
>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> Dedric
>>>>>
>>>>> On 9/16/06 9:09 PM, in article 450cbc70\$1@linux, "gene Lennon"

>>>>> <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>>> I don't want to start another religious or political thread -...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> These are frightening times. While the true neocons in the current
>>>>>>> administration
>>>>>>> have had a variety of political, financial and power-based reasons
>>>>>>> for
>>>>>>> perusing
>>>>>>> the war against Iraq, the president has had an even scarier
>>>>>>> motivation.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Religion.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> If you missed it, this week Bush has announced the "Third Great
>>>> Awakening"
>>>>>>> of the international religious struggle. This is a good thing as he
>>>>>>> sees
>>>>>>> it and it has been partially brought on by the new fight against
>>>> terrorists
>>>>>>> (Translation - Due to his good work in God's name). A war that he
>>>> depicts
>>>>>>> as "a confrontation between good and evil."
>>>>>>> In 2001 he used the word "crusade" and got into quite a bit of
>>>>>>> trouble
>>>> (as
>>>>>>> has the Pope), but he seems to have the gloves off now.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Can anyone imagine a worse direction for the world to be headed?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Of course he also believes in the Rapture, so things could easily go
>>>> down

Subject: Re: OT Elephants

Posted by [rick](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 09:23:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ectly to the Josephson and
Earthworks. I've never had the opportunity to A/B them with anything but the
more "budget" mics.

Tony

"Neil" <OIUOIU@IOU.com> wrote in message news:450c1c74\$1@linux...

>
> What's your current fave for Cardioid SDC's? I've got a pair of
> Earthworks TC-30 omni's that kick major bootay, but for
> cardioid's I decided to try the Rode NT5's, which I picked up
> several months ago... the Rode's are just not clear enough for
> my taste - in using them as a pair of room mics for a drum kit,
> I find they have a bit too much of the "sparkle" factor. I can
> see how they'd be good for acoustic guitar, for example; but I
> don't do a whole lot of that sort of thing here... I may end up
> selling or trading these puppies if anyone's interested.

>
> Easy (but expensive) solution would be to pick up a pair of
> Earthworks card's, but apart from dropping another ~\$1,600, is
> there anything you guys are using & like in a lower price range?
> Josephson C-42's?
> AT-4041 or 4051?
> Or????

>
> I'm looking for clean & clear.

>
> NeilThis is awesome:
http://thelastoutpost.com/Portals/_TheLastOutpost/Video/medi
aplayer/JapaneseSentToConcentrationCamps.wmv

just replace Japanese with Muslim

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:450ec91a@linux...

> What he said!

>
> ;o)

>
> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:450eb7b2\$1@linux...

>>
>> I thought this was worth reading if any of you are interested.

>>
>> Have a great Monday!

>>
>>
>> -----

>>
>> The Unholy Alliance Rolls Over the Pope

>> By Andrew Walden

>>
>> In what has suddenly been made into a highly controversial
>> speech, the day after September 11, at Bavaria's University of
>> Regensburg, Pope Benedict describes Christian belief in a God
>> whose words and acts are bound by reason, truth and the law of

>> non-contradiction. Benedict contrasts this with Islamic belief
>> in a God not bound by anything-including his own words. Benedict
>> further contrasts Christian belief with that of secular humanists
>> who see reason as being completely unbound of God.
>>
>> In response, both Islamists and secularists have demanded the
>> Pope apologize. Benedict's speech is a work of enlightened
>> genius. He has clearly laid out the differences between
>> Christian culture and Islamic culture and the basis of the clash
>> of civilizations we now experience as the War on Terror. His
>> analysis also explains the underlying cause of the alliance
>> between the western Left and the Islamofascist Right.
>>
>> Islamist reaction focuses on one sentence in the speech.
>> Reaching back to 1391, Benedict quotes Byzantine Emperor Manuel
>> II: "Show me just what Mohammed brought that was new, and there
>> you will find things only evil and inhuman, such as his command
>> to spread by the sword the faith he preached."
>>
>> Four days later, according to AP: "Pakistan's legislature
>> unanimously condemned Pope Benedict XVI. Lebanon's top Shiite

Subject: Re: OT Elephants

Posted by [Bill L](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 22:52:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

thetic beings what is ultimately right and wrong. At least in the very broad sense. Now, how we got to the point where we feel such a vast number of emotions and are able to apply them to how we treat others is something else to ponder.

Tony

"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
news:C1336A39.35DE%dterry@keyofd.net...

> Hey Jimmy,

>

> No doubt one can be a good person without believing in God - there are
> tons

> of great people with no faith, or very little. That in and of itself
> tells

> me there must be a God so even non-believers would have a strong sense of
> right and wrong on a societal and even global level.

>

> To walk through some thoughts: with no God, or higher reference point,
> what

> would be considered moral, or at least good? What would one use to decide
> what is right and wrong?
>
> Laws? Most would agree that we can't legislate morality now, so with no
> basis for what morality is, why would we even bother with laws since
> everyone would make their own choices anyway?
>
> Intellect? That would simply depend on what one chose to accept as
> "intelligent" thought, based completely on opinion.
>
> Reasoning and Logic? Logic is determined by a hypothesis that has a
> proven
> outcome in a given situation. Change the situation, and the reasoning or
> logic behind the "right" or "wrong" could easily change.
>
> Experience? What if one's experience is filled with hatred, abuse, anger
> and violence, or worse? Then someone would have to decide whose
> experience
> we would use as a reference point. There would be no guarantee that
> person
> or persons had experiences that would be best for the good of the whole.
>
> Survival instinct? If it were a reference point, then stealing, lying,
> cheating and even killing would be perfectly justified as those can be
> means
> of survival.
>
> Why would right and wrong even exist? I would think that the differences
> between societies' definitions of right and wrong, assuming societies even
> existed, would be so drastic we would never have ventured into any form of
> inter-cultural/int

Subject: Re: OT An amazing Elephant story
Posted by [Chris Latham](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 05:35:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

VI. Lebanon's top Shiite

> >>>>> cleric demanded an apology. And in Turkey, the ruling party
> >>>>> likened the pontiff to Hitler and Mussolini and accused him of
> >>>>> reviving the mentality of the Crusades.
> >>>>>

> >>>>> jihad in a speech in Germany unleashed a torrent of rage that
> >>>>> many fear could burst into violent protests like those that

> >>>>>

> >>>> safety and even fear of an attack on Vatican City.
> >>>>

> >>>> reaction is not one of anger but a calculated attempt to force
> >>>> the Pope to parrot the PC line on Islam. Since Islam need not be

> >>>> objective can be to assert the power of a God who is so
> >>>> transcendent that He is not bound by anything. If man is created

> >>>> anything. (This explains the predilection on the part of some

> >>>> their non-existent morality. They are asserting the only

>

Subject: Re: OT An amazing Elephant story
Posted by [rick](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 10:22:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
I'm
> most interested in your thoughts regarding both an audio card and what sort
> of external audio drive she could/should use.

On a budget the Presonus Firebox is a great unit. If she want quality
Mic-Pres and AD/DA, etc then the RME Fireface 400.
Also the Mackie Spike or Onyx 400f might be a good option sense they
come with the Tracktion software already.

If she is only recording 2 tracks or so then there is no need for an
external drive. It would only be needed fore backs ups but the projects
would more than likely be small enough to easily fit on DVDRW.
Using an internal 80 or 100 gig drive on the PC would easily allow for
32 tracks of 24/48 at 3 ms buffer on the RME Fireface 400. If you raise
the buffer then 48 tracks should be no problem.

She generally won't be
> recording more than two tracks at a time, four absolute max. I don't think
> she'd be mixing more than eight tracks at any one time either. I will
> certainly be surfing myself to see what's out there, but I'd take you folk's
> recommendations, even over say... Mr. Spock's --although he did some pretty
> nifty stuff analyzing those whale sounds.
> Thank you, thank you.
> MR
>
>

The current laptops well use the new Core 2 Duo CPUs.

http://www.adkproaudio.com/systems/saved_system.cfm?systemid=103&saved_id=8935

:)

Chris

--

Chris Ludwig

ADK Pro Audio

(859) 635-5762

www.adkproaudio.com

chrisl@adkproaudio.com That is the most dishonest, unhinged, blatantly biased, misrepresentation of Christianity I have ever seen.

See, they're worshipping Bush!

They're INFLEXIBLE on matters such as abortion and gay marriage!!!

Horrors!!

Ok Gene, here's some stuff for you to watch about the anti-war movement. See how *they* are!!

http://www.zombietime.com/hall_of_shame

Subject: Re: OT Elephants

Posted by [Dubya Mark Wilson](#) on Sat, 19 Aug 2006 21:57:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ing a risk, not having faith. I don't have

> > >>>>> faith

> > >>> but

> > >>>>> I've taken lots of personal and professional risks in my life.

> > >>>>>

> > >>>>> TCB

> > >>>>>

> > >>>>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

> > >>>>>> We can disagree about that but the first line is the dictionary

> > >>>>>> definition.

> > >>>>>>

> > >>>>>> Cheers,

> &g
