

Posted by [sonicartproductions](#) on Sun, 10 Dec 2006 02:27:21 GMT

[illegible]

Posted by [JeffH](#) on Sun, 10 Dec 2006 09:12:08 GMT

t saying check
>it out, it's cool!
>
>
>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>
>>Hi Ted and others,
>>
>>I think the reason(s) why the mention of Steve Jobs and Keynotes brings
>out
>>so much venom, as you say, is because of the both the past and even current
>>lies and propaganda that spews from his mouth..
>>
>>Statements like : Re-invent and Faster Personal Computer ever in my opinion
>>is just plain wrong. I know too many had working people who believed His
>>(Jobs) hype. Only to be sorely disa pointed when they found out that the
>>Cpu test were rigged, and their Mac did "crash" on both OS8,9 and even
OS-X(all
>>versions).
>>
>>He (Jobs) usually mocks at windows user and Microsoft when he starts his
>>keynote.(read: Dumb) When 90 percent of this world are using Windows based
>>computers.

>>
>>So, the venom is actually corrections to the lies Mr Jobs spews. When Mac
>>users come and reguritate Mr Jobs lies, most Windows users will not just
>>let them get away with such.
>>
>>I don't see anything wrong with setting the record straight.
>>P.S.
>>The Mac Pro is currently thee fastest Personal Computer on the market..
>

Subject: Re: From 3 to 4 cards ?!?
Posted by [sonicartproductions](#) on Sun, 10 Dec 2006 18:23:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;>>>>
>>>>>>>>> The Iphone is cool, but not revolutionary. My Treo 700Wx (Windows)
>>>>>> is
>>>>>>>>> just
>>>>>>>>> a s cool and Superior AND is very comaptible with my PC and all
>>>MS
>>>>>> applications
>>>>>>>>> and other Windows apps.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> www.treo.com
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>> Here the Keynote video demo that explains the iPhone in detail,
>>>>you
>>>>>>>might
>>>>>>>>>>>> want to watch it. The features, design and user interface are
>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> superior.
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> http://events.apple.com.edgesuite.net/j47d52oo/event/
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Very cool..But, I'm still gleaming over my new Treo 700wx..

Subject: Re: From 3 to 4 cards ?!?
Posted by [DJ](#) on Sun, 10 Dec 2006 19:45:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

br />
>It
>>>>like
>>>>>>> having
>>>>>>>>> a:
>>>>>>>>> MP3player
>>>>>>>>> Ms word,
>>>>>>>>> Excel,
>>>>>>>>> powerpoint,
>>>>>>>>> Outlook 2003,
>>>>>>>>> Media Player 10
>>>>>>>>> Sprint TV
>>>>>>>>> Camera
>>>>>>>>> Recorder
>>>>>>>>> Cool keyboard
>>>>>>>>> Very fast Internet connection speeds..
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> This thing is IS like carrying around a laptop in my pocket..
>>:)
>>>>>>>>> For Pc user(Windows) is does not get any better than this..
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> LaMont
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> Some video highlights:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> http://news.com.com/1606-2_3-6148642.html?part=rss&tag=2
547-1_3-0-20=news
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> More and better pictures:
>>>>>>>>> http://www.engadget.com/2007/01/09/the-apple-iphone/
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> Is it a phone Is it a PDA? is it a movie playing iPod?

Subject: Re: From 3 to 4 cards ?!?
Posted by [Rod Lincoln](#) on Sun, 10 Dec 2006 22:12:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
> It was until both we went to windows based machines (gateways&Compaqs @Dells)
> did we (IT crew) noticed (in disbelief) that the PC workstations and Servers
> were Blazing!!!
>

> Before, with the macs we used the built-in Apple Talk for networking and
 > file sharing. Ho humm...Slow, but it worked. Security was a big issue there.
 >
 >
 > Well, when we start implementing (1989-90) Novell Netware into certain work
 > groups (GMAC), again the WoW!! factor!! Blazing performance even with some
 > Macs thrown on the novell network. BUT, mac's Apple Talk would reek Holly
 > havoc on the Novell network, and thus workarounds had to be used .. After a while,
 > the entire business community waited for Apple to fix their Apple-Talk-IP
 > problems, so Macs could play nice on a Novell Network, But apple just pretty
 > much thumbed their noses..
 >
 > So, we (GM) dropped Apples Macs, and went Windows based. And so did most of
 > the Big Three and all Tier 1, 2, & three vendors.
 > The moral to that story is: You don't use what we want and can have (We) Corporations
 > Tell you what we want.. You either deliver or we're moving on..
 > And so did DTE: Who were mac based up until 1996. Same scenario as above
 > but I almost forgot about apple's Very Poor Customer service.
 >
 > back in days , Apple treated their customers Big and small like a third world
 > nations. Put plainly, their customer service sucked big time. Then to add
 > insult to injury, they Apple) would drop support for a given Mac while still
 > (supposedly) under warranty. Calls were not returned, promises broken.. Apples
 > dealing with big business was a disaster..
 >
 > That's where Dell came in riding on his shining horse. back then(90's) Dell
 > built- a great PC with to this day , unheard of customer support.
 >
 > The G4:
 > The G4 was OK if you had programmers that would code for the AltiVec engine.
 > If the app was not optimized for the AltiVec, then it was low on the food
 > chain as compared to a AMD K2, Athlon, Athlon XP PIII, P4. There were not
 > That many Mac apps Optimized or coded for the AltiVec. Not even Paris. Edmund
 > once stated that, re-coding most apps for the altivec would be too cost prohibitive
 > and very time consuming..
 >
 > So, yes on paper the G4 was cool, but it never lived up to it's potential.
 >
 >
 > James, I call then as I see them. I was @ the the Digidesign /Emagic pony
 > show back in 1995 where they were showing Logic Audio 3.0 on the mac and
 > the newly windows version. The Emagic and Digi guys were just snikering
 > away about how they really never tested the performance on the windows version, and
 > pretty much stated that it was best if we all went with a mac.. Well.. They
 > into their presentation and started to do side by side Logic routines on
 > both version of Logic.
 >
 > Well, to their disbelief, the Windos based version of Logic was finishing

> all of their task much faster than the Mac. Even the Digi guys were astounded.
> The Emagic guys were just as stunned. In every side by side they did, the
> PC ran circles around the supposedly superior mac . Well, needless to say,
> after that pony was over, The Southfield Guitar Center

Subject: Re: From 3 to 4 cards ?!?

Posted by [sonicartproductions](#) on Sun, 10 Dec 2006 22:37:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

e you kidding..!!! Are you Kidding

> me. If I was not around to either (Upgrade, apply Mac OS-X tweaks, Hardware
> upgrades,).. Man, because of the volume of work those formentioned macs,
> even on PT -HD3, those mac will crash..And crash Hard..

>

> Oh, and let's not forget about how to recover from a mac crash. Expecially
> on OS9... You had to reload the entire OS. How Stupid is that!!??? At least
> with Windows, you can find the offending file (DLL) and patch in a fix or
> run a repair utility..

>

> By the way: AMD was Apples first choice, until Intel came with the Don King
> paper bag of Cash to go with their chip.. And, AMD is still on the Apple table
> for their X-servers and other new products..

>

> Steve Jobs is the 21st century PT Barnum. He say lies, you all believe with
> spew hook line and sinker. You mac guys/Gals have had this "walking on water"
> feeling for a long time, and when windows was a viable option for pro-audio,
> we we not to question the mac so- called superiority.

>

> But, that all changed when Win 95 came out.. Yeah yeah.. You say ripp off.. But,
> I say It was faster, and better than My Mac OS8/9. Then every smart people
> start performing tweeks that help Windows achieve it's still stellar DAW
> performance.

>

> Trust me, If Mac had 90 percent of Personal computing market, there 2 would
> be certified Apple enginners, Just like there are Lotus, Cisco, Netware,
> Unix and other non windows professional IT certifications..

>

>

> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> LaMont I love ya man, but I gotta call you out on this one. The G4 you
> work

>> on is a 867Mhz right? That machine is from 2001! That's a six year old

>> machine your talking about being slow!!!! Check it out at www.apple-history.com

>>

>> You conveniently remember things the way you want to. When Apple announced
>> that they had the fastest personal computer on the planet, they did. That
>> was the truth, that's the fact, if it wasn't, Intel, AMD, Dell, Gateway,

>> HP, and every other other PC manufacture would have sued Apple and won!!!!
>> Now might be a good time for you to file a suit against Apple if you think
>> they misrepresented a product they sold you. However, I think you would
>> find that claim would be frivolous. Computer processors through out history
>> have quickly leapfrogged each other in processing power. For a time the
>> were the fastest. The testing was done independently. The Mac bashing
> PC
>> zealots spread the lie that the tests were fixed.
>>
>> The G4 was a good processor, it was noted in the industry for being a work
>> horse. The G4 was fast in it's time, in computer years, that was a long
>> time ago. Your still complaining about it, and calling Steve Jobs a liar.
>> Of corse every company uses marketing spin, Jobs doesn't lie. And at least
>> Apple doesn't steal the technology and call it their own. Once again the
>> G4 had a different architecture than the Pentium chips. The G4

Subject: Re: From 3 to 4 cards ?!?

Posted by [DJ](#) on Mon, 11 Dec 2006 04:17:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

was
>pissed.
>> I know first hand, they told me. They also told me they were going to
>get
>>Apple back because they had a 90 million dollar charge off. They stalled
>>Apple on G4 processor shipments and intentionally slowed the processor
speed
>>jumps.
>>
>>Apple turned to IBM. When Jobs announced the G5 for the first time, he
>stated
>>in the keynote that they would be at 3 GHz with in a year, and the road
>map
>>looked great. That was IBMs road map. IBM couldn't deliver!!!! Two
years
>>later Apple was at 2.7GHz, and not the newer generation G5s. The G5 was
>>too hot! Apple even turned to Delphi automotive to develop a cooling system.
>> They had no way of putting the G5 in a laptop. So they went with one
of
>>Apples contingency plans they had been working on for five years, Intel!
>> Intel had a new generation of processors coming out, that were faster,
>cooler
>>and they also had a low power consuming mobile processors. It was a no
>brainer,
>>win, win deal. Intel could deliver. I think it was also a message to
MS
>>to keep working with Apple on MS Office development for the Mac.

>>
>>You have to bash the Mac every chance you get. You have taken things personally.
>> Your venom for Steve Jobs is unbelievable. I think you need to be honest
>>about things. How many new Mac computers have you personally bought?
Your
>>still not over Logic, and even though you don't use logic you rip on it
>every
>>chance you get. It's the same old PC zealot stuff, Logic sucks and it's
>>all done. Apple's going out of business any minute now, try again!
>>
>>In the past, some big organizations did some studied on cost of operation.
>> Boeing, Hues Aircraft, the US Navy and others. What they found was they
>>needed a minimum of 6 people for every 100 PCs to maintain them. They
only
>>needed 0 to one person to maintain 100 Macs. The cost difference was significant.
>> The truth is Mac users don't really need IT guys like the PC world does.
>> You IT guys know it and you hate that.
>>
>>So lets tell some truth. Your a Microsoft certified IT guy, right? You
>>have taken quite a few Microsoft training classes, right? And you make
>your
>>living with Microsoft products and Microsoft certification, right? The
>real
>>truth is Apple computers don't need IT Guys to maintain them. Apple computers
>>are a perceived threat to It guys, that's why you guys all have so much
>venom
>>for anything Apple. It's not that Apple sucks, it's that you guys all
hate
>>any thing Apple. Why don't you just admit it?
>>
>>You said or at least insinuated that Windows is a standard, but anything
>>Mac is not. In many cases Apple has created the standard and MS just copies
>>it. It's all just typical Mac bashing PC zealot stuff. It's down right
>>insulting to a Mac user to say that were drinking the Steve Jobs Kool-Aid.
>> The insinuation is that we are all stupid people that are gullible enough
>>to believe a bunch of lies. That we use an inferior product. Like I say
>>your welcome to sue Apple any time your ready to back up your BS. If you
>>were honest about it all, you be speaking up about MS's behavior instead
>>of giving THEM the free pass! Dude, to rip on Apple or Steve Jobs, and
>in
>>effect putting down Mac users every chance you get is just plain lame.
>>
>>Apple doesn't suck, just admit it.
>>
>>
>>By the way, My original post had noting to do wit
