

---

Subject: Re: OT: Mac networking hardware  
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Thu, 29 Nov 2007 21:01:43 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

/>  
>  
> It'd be even better if all those laptops weren't locked into one of the  
> biggest monopolies (and yes, marketing organizations) on earth. As you  
> imply, some of that choice is illusory. But not all.  
>  
> If Apple still had clones there would be more choice for OSX boxes, if  
> Apple could have survived that (I think yes but those who justify dropping  
> the Mac clones long ago think it saved the company).  
>  
>  
>> If I could get a MacMini with the option to trick it out with 8G and a  
>> second SATA drive it would be seriously enticing  
>> for peripheral sample libraries (you need to come down and see this in  
>> action - it's a beautiful thing to offload that much  
>> processing). I know other composers that would have gone that route as  
>> well, were it not  
>> for the ra

---

---

Subject: OT: Mac networking hardware  
Posted by [TCB](#) on Thu, 29 Nov 2007 21:55:36 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

that Apple sells "cool" better than anyone, and 2) that the others  
>> sell "choice" much better simply  
>> but putting more obvious choices in front of you (most of which are at  
>> least partially redundant of course, but  
>> it's still a marketing tactic - and HP and Sony have some seriously cool  
>> looking self-contained monitor/cpu systems with very nice wireless  
>> keyboards - including a touch screen model from HP for about \$1500 that  
>> is a blast to use). Again, just an observation.  
>  
> Choices are good.

---

---

Subject: Re: OT: Mac networking hardware  
Posted by [Mark McDermott](#) on Fri, 30 Nov 2007 00:41:27 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

use there are more companies making hardware for MSWindows  
>>>> boxes. That's an advantage because there is more range of choice, and  
>>>> a disadvantage because they all have to dance to the MSWindows beat.

>>>>>  
>>>>> Apple has fewer boxes but they control everything on those boxes.  
>>>>> That's a disadvantage because of the fewer choices, and an advantage  
>>>>> because there is less to go wrong or be incompatible, and if anything  
>>>>> does goes wrong, a single company can fix it.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>> starting at \$300 and going up to \$10k+. Not that I would buy a \$300  
>>>>>> desktop, but I still come back to the laptop I'm typing this on,  
>>>>>> costing significantly less than the least expensive Macbook (current)  
>>>>>> and only a slightly slower cpu (since it's a model from early last  
>>>>>> year), for \$400 less than the comparable Macbook, but otherwise,  
>>>>>> pretty much identical (it

---

---

Subject: Re: OT: Mac networking hardware  
Posted by [Paul Braun](#) on Fri, 30 Nov 2007 00:52:12 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

t; you're wrong. That doesn't apply to most of  
>>>>>>> the Mac users I know, who own Macs to get work done and chose them  
>>>>>>> as the preferred tool given the options. Frankly, I would rather be  
>>>>>>> using BeOS but that choice was torpedoed.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>> His comparison may not be technically fair on the Air, but he is  
>>>>>>>> right - when it comes down to getting the job done, any advantage  
>>>>>>>> to Air vs a regular laptop fade away pretty quickly, and you are  
>>>>>>>> left with a much smaller drive for a lot more money.  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>> Obviously the Air made compromises in order to be thin and light.  
>>>>>>>> This will only be a benefit for those to whom thin and light is a  
>>>>>>>> compelling enough advantage to outweigh (so to speak) any down side.  
>>>>>>>> :^)  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>> It's a narrowly aimed product, and I'm not surprised that people  
>>>>>>>> outside of the target market

---

---

Subject: Re: OT: Mac networking hardware  
Posted by [TCB](#) on Fri, 30 Nov 2007 16:03:01 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

base models. In the PC world  
>>>>>>> there are choices in each category at pretty much every \$100  
>>>>>>> increment,  
>>>>>>>

>>>>> Right, because there are more companies making hardware for  
>>>>> MSWindows boxes. That's an advantage because there is more range  
>>>>> of choice, and a disadvantage because they all have to dance to  
>>>>> the MSWindows beat.

>>>>>

>>>>> Apple has fewer boxes but they control everything on those boxes.  
>>>>> That's a disadvantage because of the fewer choices, and an  
>>>>> advantage because there is less to go wrong or be incompatible,  
>>>>> and if anything does goes wrong, a single company can fix it.

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>>> starting at \$300 and going up to \$10k+. Not that I would buy a  
>>>>>> \$300 desktop, but I still come back to the laptop I'm typing this  
>>>>>> on, costing significantly less than the least expensive Macbook  
>>>>>> (current) and only a slightly slower cpu (since it's a model from  
>>>>>> early last year), for \$400 less than the comparable Macbook, but  
>>>>>> otherwise, pretty much identical (it does have a partially  
>>>>>> aluminum case, and feels better

---

Subject: Re: OT: Mac networking hardware  
Posted by [TCB](#) on Fri, 30 Nov 2007 16:03:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

than the Macbooks I've tried).

>>>>>

>>>>> Sounds like you like your computer. Great!

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>>> There has to be a reason Apple only has a minority share of the  
>>>>>> personal computer market... ;-)

>>>>>

>>>>> There are many reasons. It would take too long to recount the  
>>>>> history here.

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>>> I wasn't trying to knock Apple, and I'm not attached to my PCs  
>>>>>> (other than the significant investment in software that is costly  
>>>>>> to replace or crossgrade) - just saying that imho, Shelly's  
>>>>>> reasoning is based on the fact that he's enamoured with the  
>>>>>> chique (sp?) of Apple, rather than just getting what he needs,  
>>>>>> and that without a mystical, glorious Keynote unveiling of trend  
>>>>>> setting products, that chique doesn't last long.

>>>>>

>>>>> Poor guy. But his claims went beyond that. I zinged him for his  
>>>>> hyperbole.

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>>> Imho, that chique is hurting consumers as it keeps Apple's  
>>>>>> product prices higher, with less range of price point  
>>>>>> competition, but for Apple customers, price doesn't seem to be a  
>>>>>

---

---

Subject: Re: OT: Mac networking hardware  
Posted by [Mark McDermott](#) on Fri, 30 Nov 2007 18:13:14 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

useful from

>>>>>>>>> Apple this week. On the top of my wishlist was a true  
>>>>>>>>> sub-notebook. Something I could throw in a portfolio or  
>>>>>>>>> briefcase for short hops."  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>> Since he's not complaining about a lack of ports, I don't know  
>>>>>>>>> why the Air doesn't fulfill that role for him. Maybe he's too  
>>>>>>>>> cool to buy the base version with the hard drive.  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>> He did like the movie rentals, which I think may be the most  
>>>>>>>>> important announcement of MacWorld for future impact on  
>>>>>>>>> Apple's growth. He did like the Time Capsule, which is at  
>>>>>>>>> least interesting. He hates the iPhone and AT&T, so he'll just  
>>>>>>>>> have to keep complaining about that until their exclusive  
>>>>>>>>> relationship ends.  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>> Cheers,  
>>>>>>>>> -Jamie  
>>>>>>>>> [www.JamieKruz.com](http://www.JamieKruz.com)  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>> Neil wrote:  
>>>>>>>>> A great read once again from Mr. Palmer...  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>

---