
Subject: Re: paris Delay negative feedback?

Posted by [Tom Bruhl](#) on Wed, 21 Nov 2007 03:19:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;>>> (can't get access to public schools....).

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> Regards,

>>>>>>> Dedric

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:47855784\$1@linux...

>>>>>>> Hey Bill, when Russert pressed RP on his past voting, written views

>>> on

>>>>>>> domestic

>>>>>>> issues, they were in line with the good O'l boy politics. When questioned

>>>>>>> about those thngs he tried to deny saying them and voting or even

>>>>>>> supporting

>>>>>>> those controversial views.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> One comment was: To do away with the Department of Education: Code

>>> word

>>>>>>> for:

>>>>>>> getting rid of public (Inner city) education..

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> While, I agree with his stance on the current administration and

>> the

>>>>>>> so-called

>>>>>>> war, I disagree with his kind of politics in general, which is old

>>> Texas

>>>>>>> style politics..

>>>>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>>>>>>> Lamont,

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> What are the code words? What did he actually say? I want to know

>>> those

>>>>>>> words.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> Where did he say he wants to take the US back to 1940 on race relations?

>>>>>>> I did not catch that.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> What I have heard him say is that he does not believe in addressing

>>>>>>> problems of groups, but that it should be of individuals. I agree

>>> with

>>>>>>> that. Any effort to lump people together as group, whether it be

>>> racial,

>>>>>>> religious, political or sexual generates generalities that may or

>>> may

>>>>>>> not apply. Only specific truths and viewpoints lead to solutions.

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> LaMont wrote:

>>>>>

Subject: paris Delay negative feedback?

Posted by [Cujjo](#) on Wed, 21 Nov 2007 04:02:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

s ills, there still

>>> students

>>>>>>> in this nation who are "

Subject: Re: paris Delay negative feedback?

Posted by [Cujjo](#) on Wed, 21 Nov 2007 05:29:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

baking on the domestic front, and I think he'd be fearless taking on congress. One other reason I like Rudi, I think the only job he ever wanted was mayor of New York, and if he could have kept that job I bet he would have. That would make him the only president in our history for whom the office was a consolation prize, and as one who thinks as dimly about politics as I do I like that.

I have no idea what Obama actually believes in. He harps (eloquently, though empty) about 'Change.' Well hos, you don't need to agitate for change, it'll be here soon enough. What the hell are you going to DO about it? That said, the rest of the Democrats are so completely contemptible I couldn't think of voting for them. Additionally, Obama seems very, very smart, and perhaps most importantly very willing to learn from other people. Let's face it, 95% of being president is picking the right people and the right advice.

Lastly, and I might get in trouble here, I like the fact that he is black. Dark skinned people have an intuitive, visceral understanding of what it's like getting screwed over by white people. I can't imagine that a black president would view situations like the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, the war in Afghanistan, Chechnya an the rest of the former Soviet states, etc. and so forth in the same way as a white president. This isn't a policy thing, it's an issue of perspective.

Lastly, what I really wish would happen during a debate is for a reporter to say, 'We have somewhere between 200 billion and half a trillion dollars of underwater mortgage backed debt as of today. Will you prop up the holders of those mortgages to avoid default? If Citibank become insolvent, will you prop them up? Will you do nothing and let the market decide? If you plan

to bail out the lenders, borrowers, or both, what funds will you use?' It would be pretty tricky to start jabbering about 9/11 or CHANGE when answering that question.

TCB

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>Oh God, not Rudi, please. He seems to me to be running second only to
>Romney in the thickness of his phoney politician veneer and the shallowness

>underneath. "9/11, 9/11, 9/11, 9/11, 9/11, etc., etc. . . " - R. Giuliani

>in any public address. Definitely skeletons and trust issues.

>

>I like Barack-O, but I'm afraid his inexperience might allow him to be led

>into some bad places, like war on Iran.

>

>I don't really like any of the rest of them anymore, but I do think Edwards

>would be the least of the evils.

>

>They're all phonies and hypocrites to one degree or another . . . I mean,

>come on . . . what kind of person strives for that kind of power anyway?

>The kind who gets used to people being afraid to say "no" to them?

>

>Just my opinion. I hope whoever gets elected proves me wrong.

>

>S

>

>

>"DC" <
