
Subject: Crackels and Pops on Paris

Posted by [emarenot](#) on Mon, 19 Nov 2007 13:11:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

rote:

> Lest anyone think I am singling out RP, let me say this:

>

> Hillary - machine politics, angry, corrupt, unelectable

>

> Edwards - Slimy kissup to Obama looking for a VP slot. Obama

> will run from him. Zillionaire trial lawyer phony.

>

> Obama - Good guy, good heart. A bunch of scary ideas, and

> some good ones. If he can rise to the occasion when he has

> to face the real opponents (the GOP candidates) he could win.

> I don't think it will happen.

>

> McCain - That man has a really bad temper. He scares me. All the

> idiots who have characterized Bush as a cowboy, when he is

> the most mild and cautious of men, are going to get a shock when

> they replace a "cowboy" with a really pissed-off ex POW and

> torture victim. I like him, but he has a serious temper, just watch

> him closely at the debates. Yes, he has a right to it, but I am not

> sure he has mastered it.

>

> Mitt - Too much of a suit for me. Too calculating, too little

> moral center. McCain despises him and with good reason.

> nonetheless he did a good job in MA. May be a better pres.

> than public figure, but we really don't need another one of those

> after 8 years of Bush.

>

> Rudi - Best man to have when the chips are down. Not really

> a conservative, but looks like one compared to the Dems.

> Why is he behind? Skeletons in his personal life? Trust?

>

> Thompson - He doesn't care about the media or image

> management; cannot be bought. A true conservative.

> May not be electable.

>

> Huck - No legs. Scares me. If he is the candidate, the GOP may

> lose.

>

>

> I can only say one thing:

>

> This is going to be one wild and wooly campaign.

>

> DC

>
>I'll take the charm over Edwards grease and Hillary's. well,
Hillaryness..

Abortion is murder.

Roe v. Wade cannot stand the slightest constitutional inspection.
Privacy rights trumping the lives of our children? All we need
is judges who care about the constitution rather than a political
agenda and it is finished. So, we don't strictly need a pro-life
president. We need one that will not nominate activist judges.

Then Roe V. Wade can be dealt with legislatively as it should
have been.

DC

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>All 3 of the Dems are lawyers - Oy!

>

>Lest you fall for Obama's youth and charm, be aware he twice voted for

>ID

Subject: Re: Crackels and Pops on Paris
Posted by [Robert](#) on Mon, 19 Nov 2007 13:58:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

X or partial birth abortion. For more on this unlovely act you could

>read this if you have a strong stomach:

>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Partial_birth_abortion

>

>I'm actually not totally opposed to early abortion, under certain

>circumstances, but from what I just read, I could never support partial

>birth abortion of viable babies. It sounds totally barbaric. That makes

>Obama a barbarian or just stupid (which is about the same thing) in my book.

>

>In case anyone cares, my reasoning on this is that once the body has

>developed to the point of being potentially viable, the spiritual being

>has claimed or even occupied it and then you are essentially killing his

>or her body, which is murder, right? I don't think the same is true

>about a tiny egg that has just become fertilized. There's no spiritual

>or sentient being there to my way of thinking. I hope I don't start a

>whole thing here or upset anyone with this fairly gruesome subject.

>

>DC wrote:

>> Lest anyone think I am singling out RP, let me say this:

>>

>> Hillary - machine politics, angry, corrupt, unelectable

>>

>> Edwards - Slimy kissup to Obama looking for a VP slot. Obama
>> will run from him. Zillionaire trial lawyer phony.

>>

>> Obama - Good guy, good heart. A bunch of scary ideas, and
>> some good ones. If he can rise to the occasion when he has
>> to face the real opponents (the GOP candidates) he could win.
>> I don't think it will happen.

>>

>> McCain - That man has a really bad temper. He scares me. All the
>> idiots who have characterized Bush as a cowboy, when he is
>> the most mild and cautious of men, are going to get a shock when
>> they replace a "cowboy" with a really pissed-off ex POW and
>> torture victim. I like him, but he has a serious temper, just watch
>> him closely at the debates. Yes, he has a right to it, but I am not
>> sure he has mastered it.

>>

>> Mitt - Too much of a suit for me. Too calculating, too little
>> moral center. McCain despises him and with good reason.
>> nonetheless he did a good job in MA. May be a better pres.
>> than public figure, but we really don't need another one of those
>> after 8 years of Bush.

>>

>> Rudi - Best man to have when the chips are down. Not really
>> a conservative, but looks like one compared to the Dems.
>> Why is he behind? Skeletons in his personal life? Trust?

>>

>> Thompson - He doesn't care about the media or image
>> management; cannot be bought. A true conservative.
>> May not be electable.

>>

>> Huck - No legs. Scares me. If he is the candidate, the GOP may
>> lose.

>>

>>

>> I can only say one thing:

>>

>> This is going to be one wild and wooly campaign.

>>

>> DC

>>

>>This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_0021_01C853B2.23F768C0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="-----=_NextPart_001_0022_01C853B2.23F768C0"

-----=_NextPart_001_0022_01C853B2.23F768C0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Oh jeez, ya big homophobe . . . here's where the link goes:

Hmm . . . but now that I think about it . . . Chip and Dale just might =
be a little light in the loafers. :)

S

"Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote in message news:47864a6c\$1@linux...
> You're not gonna find my mouse anywhere near the chippendale boys.
>=20
> ;o)
>=20
> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message =
news:4785b45b\$1@linux...
>>
>>
>> Hehe... I can assure you that the link was "self moderated". ;o)
>>
>> "Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote:
>>>I can't go to this link because homeland security might get wind of =
it and
>>
>>>put me on the gay watchlist.
>>>
>>>
>>>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message =
news:478578a7@linux...
>>>> Hahaha. You didn't go to my link, did you. :)
>>>>
>>>> S
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Car
