
Subject: diet

Posted by [DJ](#) on Sat, 07 Jul 2007 16:58:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

PCs I built over a year ago. Is it possible this is more of
>an Intel issue than OSX vs. Windows? Or perhaps it really is just that
Macs
>are so much less prevalent, esp. in corporate networks, than PCs.
>
>10 years of PCs and never a virus, malware or spyware. And my current set
>of PCs don't have any virus protection at all, including the WinVista PC
>that grabs email all day. It doesn't take much to prevent this, and I've
>been using the same method on Macs and PCs, and life in general for years:
>
>PC/Mac:
>1 - don't open spam mail
>2 - only visit sites you trust (this isn't that difficult to do unless you
>are an internet "explorer" that clicks on anything and everything ;-)
>3 - don't click on popup ads
>
>I do recall viruses being an issue for Macs in the earlier days. Imho,
it's
>best to make direct comparisons - as soon as I get my hands on a Macintel
>and Nuendo 4 I plan to do plugin/loading tests to see which OS is really
>faster and more efficient for pro audio use, and price out the parts in
a
>Macintel to see how much the nice case and OSX really cost. I like some
>aspects of Macs, and am no fan of MS or Windows, but when push comes to
>shove, much of the propaganda against PCs is unfounded and ill-informed.
>The rest, MS deserves.
>
>Regards,
>Dedric
>
>On 8/13/07 12:01

Subject: Re: diet

Posted by [Nil](#) on Sat, 07 Jul 2007 17:33:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>>> LOL!
>>>>>
>>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>

>>>>>
>>>>> Neil
>>>>>
>&

Subject: Re: diet
Posted by [rick](#) on Sat, 07 Jul 2007 19:09:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

founded and ill-informed.
>>The rest, MS deserves.
>>
>>Regards,
>>Dedric
>>
>>On 8/13/07 12:01 AM, in article 46bff3a8\$1@linux, "laMont"
>><jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> I don't know Thad..all it takes is a person going to wrong web
>>> site..boom..or
>>> if a client has an affected file in a session. last year one of our email
>>> servers(lotus domino-server 2003 got hit with the sasser and W32 ..
>>>
>>> MS has a mess on their hands..
>>>
>>>
>>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> Poppycock. I high volume XP and 2003 servers that have been running
>>>> stably,
>>>> without infection or even rebooting, for literally years. It's not even
>>> all
>>>> that difficult. If you don't want to take the time to learn enough to
> secure
>>>> and stabilize a Windows box then fine, pay what you want, but what
>>>> you're
>>>> paying for is your ignorance and unwillingness to learn, so you should
> call
>>>> it what it is.
>>>>
>>>> TCB
>>>>
>>>> P.S. As you might know, I run linux whenever I have the choice.
>>>>
>>>> "Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>>

Subject: Re: diet

Posted by [DJ](#) on Sat, 07 Jul 2007 21:21:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

y by a noticeable margin on the same hardware (even when run on the

>>> same system as OSX via Bootcamp). He said even Logic ran better outside

>>> OSX, but I'm not sure how that comparison was made since Logic 5 (Win)

and

>>> Logic 7 (OSX) might not be comparable. If OSX was a standalone OS, I would

>>> buy it to have both and compare, but the fastest processing, lowest

>>> bloat-factor OS would inevitably be the DAW system of choice for me.

>>>

>>> Regards,

>>> Detric

>>>

>>> On 8/9/07 8:23 PM, in article 46bbcc3f\$1@linux, "lamont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>

>>> wrote:

>>>

>>>>

>>>> I agree..after we complete this cd project i'll be moving on to our new

>> Mac

>>>> Pro(4 core) running Nuendo, PT and the new Apple Logic.

>>>>

>>>> I'm really burned out with XP and viruses..with getting on the

>>>> internet..sorry

>>>> but u like being able to go on the internet on my daw.

>>>>

>>>> I'll covert my current dual opteron daw into a dedicated vsti machine.

>>>>

>>>> LAD

>>>>

>>>> "Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:

>>>>>

>>>>> Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much more

>> than

>>>>> the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a pro

>>>>> environment.

>>>>>

>>>>> Steve

>>>>>

>>>>> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:

>>>>>> Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small

>>>>>> business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as

>>>>>> possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No

extra

>>>>>

>>>>> software or extended warranties.
>>>>>

Subject: Re: diet
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Sat, 07 Jul 2007 23:58:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

e to learn enough to
>> secure
>>>>> and stabilize a Windows box then fine, pay what you want, but what
>>>>> you're
>>>>> paying for is your ignorance and unwillingness to learn, so you should
>> call
>>>>> it what it is.
>>>>>
>>>>> TCB
>>>>>
>>>>> P.S. As you might know, I run linux whenever I have the choice.
>>>>>
>>>>> "Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much
>>>>>> more
>>>>> than
>>>>>> the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a pro
>>>>>> environment.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Steve
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>>>>>> business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>>>>> possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No
>>>>>>> extra
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> software or extended warranties.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> THe verdict:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>>>>>>

Subject: Re: diet
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Sun, 08 Jul 2007 00:12:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;> Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Still a ways to go.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> JH
>>>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>>> are out there.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>>>> LOL!
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Neil
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general
>>>>>>> purpose
>>>>>>> "music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty
>> much
>>>>>> on
>>>>>>> topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive
>> or
>>>> taking
>>>>>>> over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the
>>>>>>> politics
>>>>>>> stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know
>>>>>>> quite
>>>>>> a
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> number
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> of people get irritated by it.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like
>> to
>>>> know
>>>>>>> what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>

Subject: Re: diet
Posted by [wireline\[33\]](#) on Sun, 08 Jul 2007 02:43:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[illegible]

Porn sites or not. A user should not have to go thru such means.

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>
>I'm not saying M\$oft don't have problems with security, I'm saying it's
a
>fairly minor task to secure a Windows box. Get a firewall (or turn on the
>M\$oft on

Subject: Re: diet
Posted by [rick](#) on Sun, 08 Jul 2007 09:12:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

uff too, but I know

>>>>>>>>> quite

>>>>>>> a

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> number

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> of people get irritated by it.

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like

>>>> to

>>>>>> know

>>>>>>>>> what's going on in Apple land so...

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> Cheers,

>>>>>>>>> Kim.

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>> It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.

>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>> "Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>> Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds
of

>>>>>>>>>>>> stuff

>>>>>>>> here.

>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>> Chuck

>>>>>>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>> I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple
>>>> crap.

>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>> That's

>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>> what apple forums are for.

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>Agreed. Know what else? I think I should get to bang Scarlet Johansson. But

I don't worry much about that 'should' because it's not the way things are.

Subject: Re: diet

Posted by [rick](#) on Sun, 08 Jul 2007 09:14:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>

TCB

"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>

>That's the point. We have to install anit-spyware, anti virus ware. People
>should be able to surf without being attacked liek they are today.

>

>Porn sites or not. A user should not have to go thru such means.

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>

>>I'm not saying M\$oft don't have problems with security, I'm saying it's

>a

>>fairly minor task to secure a Windows box. Get a firewall (or turn on the

>>M\$oft one), install patches, update virus definitions. If it's a production

>>box (audio or email) then nobody should be using it to web surf anyway,

>and

>>if they do it should be using a VM.

>>

>>Now then, if someone wants to run cracks the download from the net and
surf

>>porn sites, well, not much can be done then, but it's _not_ rocket science

>>to run a Windows box.

>>

>>TCB

>>

>>"laMont " <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>I don't know Thad..all it takes is a person going to wrong web site..boom..or

>>>if a client has an afected file in a session. last year one of our email

>>>servers(lotus domino-server 2003 got hit with the sasser and W32 ..

>>>

>>>MS has a mess on their hands..

>>>

>>>

>>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>>>

>>>>Poppycock. I high volume XP and 2003 servers that have been running stably,

>>>>without infection or even rebooting, for literally years. It's not even
>>>all
>>>>that difficult. If you don't want to take the time to learn enough to
>secure
>>>>and stabilize a Windows box then fine, pay what you want, but what you're
>>>>paying for is your ignorance and unwillingness to learn, so you should
>>call
>>>>it what it is.
>>>>
>>>>TCB
>>>>
>>>>P.S. As you might know, I run linux whenever I have the choice.
>>>>
>>>>"Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much more
>>>>than
>>>>>the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a pro
>environment.
>>>>>
>>>>>Steve
>>>>>
>>>>>Jeff Hoover <

Subject: Re: diet

Posted by [Bill L](#) on Sun, 08 Jul 2007 16:09:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ugs since version 4.7 came out? I
tried to render the fairchild today (been using them, Neve channel strip
etc, with no problem, but never had to render) and got a C++ visual runtime
error. It happens right at the end of the render.
After that happened I rebooted, and tried again...same thing happened. Then
Paris got totally wonky. I re-ghosted back to a drive image with UAD 4.6
and all was well. I ran several tests and it was rock solid. I then uninstalled
4.6 and installed 4.7 again, opened up a fresh ppj, added audio file, put
a UAD plug on it and it played fine, then I tried to render and I got the
exact same runtime C++ error. Any one else seen this???

I already bought the Neve strip and the LA3A, maybe I can de-authorize them
if this new finally finally breaks Paris.

RodWell, these just arrived today

<http://www.mercenary.com/ada7mopwiads.html>

and I'll be setting them up soon. I did a lot of listening, though not as
much as I would have liked, and settled on those. It came down to the Focals
for a couple hundred more, but no sub, and these. I've usually avoided the

stereo + sub thing but as my music has become more and more bass oriented I'd like to know a little more about what's going on below 80 Hz. They claim to be only 3db down at 28 Hz, which is more than enough for a room like I have.

The final thing that clinched it for me is the remote for the sub. I want to be able to turn it off most of the time, and only have it there when I want to check something on the low, low end. With the remote that's a lot easier to do.

Anywho, after I've listened to them for a few weeks I'll let y'all know. From NS10s to Mackie to NHT to KRK V8s to these. At the very least I should understand a lot more about how monitors sound ;-)

TCBTCB wrote:

> Agreed. Know what else? I think I should get to bang Scarlet Johansson. But
> I don't worry much about that 'should' because it's not the way things are.

Clearly she only hangs out with people who use OSX. ;^)

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

>
> TCB
>
> "LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>> That's the point. We have to install anti-spyware, anti virus ware. People
>> should be able to surf without being attacked like they are today.
>>
>> Porn sites or not. A user should not have to go thru such means.
>>
>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>> I'm not saying M\$oft don't have problems with security, I'm saying it's
>> a
>>> fairly minor task to secure a Windows box. Get a firewall (or turn on the
