
Subject: OT: dual-boot and disappearing cd drive
Posted by [duncan](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 18:13:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

choose "Disable" to disable error reporting.

Disable Power Management - Power management can be disabled by going to "Always On" and set "System Standby", "Turn off hard disks" and "Turn off monitor" to "Never".

Disable Screen Savers - Screen savers can cause unexpected performance glitches, so you'll want to disable them. Disable any screen savers by

Set graphic acceleration to full - You can do this by going to your desktop, right clicking and clicking on "Properties" then on "Settings", "Advanced" and the "Performance" tab. Make sure the Hardware Acceleration slider is s

Subject: Re: OT: dual-boot and disappearing cd drive
Posted by [EK Sound](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 18:45:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

yes most all video cards are native 32 bit. If you have on that doesn't work well with that setting then your video sucks.

> Visual Effects for best performance

Yes. Otherwise known as Classic Mode

> Processor Scheduling for Background Services

YES YES this is the only real tweak that makes the most difference.

> Uncheck Remote Assistance

I would but I use it allot. It has no impact unless you are using and its impact is minor.

> Increase your IRQ priority

No point. Worked well on 98 and on older motherboards but doesn't have any effect on current hardware.

> Turn off your visual effects

Your repeating yourself

> Disable Error Reporting

Make sure you leave the critical notification checked.

> Disable Power Management

As mentioned above yes

> Set graphic acceleration to full

Yes. You should never set it to anything else. When you do this you are tell the video card top let the CPU do all the work instead.

> Disable your system sounds

Of course

> Disable background applications

Depends on what you mean by that.

> Specify your own virtual memory settings, If you have 512MB RAM or
> higher set this to 384 for Min and Max on same drive as XP

No. That was go good setting for 98 but not for XP. I usual

Subject: Re: dual-boot and disappearing cd drive
Posted by [erlilo](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 18:51:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

nd disappear very
> quickly and then it will ask you to restart.
>

- > Right-click on your desktop, and then click Properties.
- > Click on Themes tab
- > Set Themes to Windows Classic
- >
- > Also do this for the Start Menu:
- > Right-click the Start button, and then click Properties.
- > Click Classic Start menu.
- > Click the Customize button to select items to display on the Start menu.
- > By default, selecting the Classic Start menu also adds the My Documents,
- > My Computer, My Network Places, and Internet Explorer icons
- > to your desktop.
- >
- > Click on the Screen Saver tab, Set Screensaver to None, Press the Power
- > button near the bottom
- >
- > Hibernate..If this is Enabled uncheck it. This is mainly for Laptops but
- > uses a very large chunk of data.(I've seen this not show up on the first
- > boot of XP..when you restart it will be there but it's disabled)
- >
- > Disable automatic update - Since Windows XP will connect to the internet
- > to find updates automatically for you, you will want to disable this.
- > Sometimes beneficial but unnecessary and often annoying, you can ditch
- >
- > Disable system restore - Windows XP has a built in function to back up
- > system files to help reduce the risk of crashes due to lost data,
- > software conflicts and so on. The drawback, is that a fair bit of system
- > overhead is used to perform this and can be disabled if you choose by
- > Restore" and choosing "Turn off system restore on all drives."
- >
- > Advanced tab: Press Settings t

Subject: Re: dual-boot and disappearing cd drive
 Posted by [duncan](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 22:32:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;>>
 >>>>James
 >>>>
 >>>>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
 >>>>
 >>>>>Wow!! So, it finnaly happend!!
 >>>>>
 >>>>>I sold my G4 last year because it was just too slow for my VSti
 >>>>>modern recording studio needs. Tok the money, and got a nice speedy

PC..

>>>>>Now, I'm Mac excited!!!

>>>>>

>>>>>However, as excited as I am for Apple and it's new Macs, I'm wondering

>>>>>if

>>>>>it's too late to the Party?

>>>>>This move proves all they ney sayers and Mac loverswrong in the speed

>>>>>arguments

>>>>>over the years. Even worse is when Apple purchases

>>>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>>>>>

>>>>>>Sorry about the type-O!

>>>>>>

>>>>>>Apple announced a new Intel based iMac that is 2 to 3 times faster
than

>>>>>>the

>>>>>>G5 iMac. The one more thing, was the announcement of a new lap top

>>>>>>15.3"

>>>>>>screen, that is 5 to 6 times faster than the current PowerBook. Pro

>> app.

>>>>>>will be available for the new Intel Macs in March. The upgrades will

>>>>>be

>>>>>>\$49.00.

>>>>>>

>>>>>>James

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>Apple announced a new Intel based iMac that is 2 to 3 times faster

>>>>>>>than

>>>>>>>the

>>>>>>>G5 iMac. The one more thing, was the announcement of a new lap top

>> 15.3"

>>>>>>>screen, that is 5 to 6 tomes faster than the current PowerBook. Pro

>>>app.

>>>>>>>will be available for the new Intel Macs in March. The upgrades will

>>>>>be

>>>>>>>\$49.00.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>James

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>
>
>>Was working on a project last night and Paris kinda crashed with a
>
> "failure
>
>>to calculate overview" and when I tried to save, got a disk read error.
>>
>>I rebooted and now it asks if I want for format the drive, that it is
>
> unformatted.
>
>>A pretty recent WD 80 gig with light use. I really need some stuff off
>
> it.
>
>>Ideas????
>>
>>TIA
>
>
>Yes..All the time..it thee best best drum replacer app.
LAD
"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:
>Anyone using Drumagog? Does it work with PARIS ?
>
>TCB wrote:
> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>I look forward to finding out about Thunderbird, Firefox, Open Office
>>and other open source project plans for running on OSX with Intel.
>
> Since those apps use X86 compilers with a long and superb development tree
> I can't imagine it will be that long before they move over. In fact, I would
> expect things like Firefox the be running nearly bug free on Intel OS X before
> most commercial apps get there.

That would be great, they are very useful cross-platform apps.

>>(grin) Well it seems fairly probable that a dual processor chip could
>>possibly be twice as fast as a single processor chip, minus the overhead
>>but plus any advantages from the newer design. Let us know if you
>>discover anything about design problems or improvements with the new
>>chips, OSX upgrade and compiler upgrades.
>

> Come now, Jamie, you know better than that. Dual processor machines don't
> get anywhere near twice the performance in the absolute best of situations.

That's why I mentioned "minus the overhead." Although I _have_ seen some tests where some apps did do surprisingly close to double the speed but I can't remember the specifics. Might've been BeOS. Alzheimer's is kicking in...

> Getting 1.5X is considered very good unless apps are specifically designed
> for many processors. And the more processes one tacks on the board the less
> the performance improvement until essentially all that's left is some advantages
> in multi-threading when some processes can just take their own chip to run
> on.
>
> Now then, I went back and checked the original post and I got it only partially
> right. It was a 3 Ghz Celeron that "spanked a Dual G5 in a HUGE way." This
> comes from Pete Leoni, who has forgotten more about computer hardware than
> I'll ever know. It would not surprise me at all to find that Apple is the
> first computer company ever to under report their performance. We'll see.

From what you posted before, we were talking about a test where the Intel running Linux (wasn't it?) beat a dual G5 (2GHZ only?) with the then-current version of OSX in a specific multi-threading situation. It didn't spank on every test, and where Altivec was used the G5 won out. If we're talking about the same test.

Of course there's lies, damn lies and benchmarks. For which you rightly criticize Jobs as a cherry-picker, as are many people when the time comes to market a system. Where benchmarks are most useful though is when a benchmark can closely represent a real-world situation, such as rendering tests with a 3D app, speed of oft-used image filters (although those are moving to real time performance with the GPU under OSX's core-image) or testing other actual apps under high loads (like your threading test apparently did).

>>Let us know what you find out.
> I think that will be the most interesting pi

Subject: Re: dual-boot and disappearing cd drive
Posted by [Jorsi](#) on Sat, 24 Dec 2005 14:58:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

, faster bussing speeds, faster memory, and two processors are faster than one. Apple went with the first processor available and used it in both models. It's not the fastest Intel chip that will be available to them.

The iMac is a consumer model, they haven't released the Mac Tower Pros yet!!! Although, when they do, I'll bet they won't be the fastest Intel based machines available. I guess we'll have to wait and see on that one.

It's no secret that the PowerBook was lagging way behind. I believe that is why they got the Mac Book pro out to their business customers first. Give it time, Intel will release faster chips and the Mac Book Pro will get faster. Right now it's Apples first offering.

Jobs does a great job as CEO, and he does know how to put a good spin on their products, he just did it yesterday! You should go find out for yourself, and find out what he really did say, instead of hearing a tidbit of information and jumping to a lot of wrong conclusions! I recommend watching the keynote speech, although the PC zealots wouldn't be able to stomach the truth.

Apple had to do what Apple had to do. IBM couldn't get a G5 mobile chip out that worked. On the desk top, IBM did not meet their time line for releasing faster G5 chips, and I don't think they could tell Apple when they would be able to. Apple always knew that this would be a possibility, that is part of why they have been running Mac OSX on Intel systems for the past five years now. The other reason I believe they were doing this is in the event MS dropped Office for the Mac they would be ready to roll out Intel based Macs and or Mac OSX for the PC. sort of a computer software cold war. Notice they signed a five year plus operating agreement on support and product development. Well that's my opinion.

As for the question on Apples past processor performance.

In the past the Apple PowerMac G4 with a single processor were tested against a standard Intel Pentium 4, by independent computer test labs, using industry standard test software. An Apple PowerMac G4 1.25 single processor model tested in the speed range of a Pentium 4 2.8 to 3.0 GHz. Later the G4 1.42 MHz's were tested against the original 1.6 to 2.0MHz G 5s. The G5s were about 70% faster than the G4. There has been all kinds of independent testing, If Apple was lying about performance they would have gotten sued. So I have my doubts about Celerons eating G 5s. Check out both links.

Here is some performance info on the G5 dual 2.7GHz.

<http://www.apple.com/powermac/performance/>

Here is info on the performance of the Apple G5 quad 2.5 GHz compared to the G5 dual 2.7. About 70% faster performance.

<http://www.apple.com/au/powermac/>

It's not logical to think that a Celeron could eat a G5. So when I hear a rumor that a 2.8GHz Celeron ate a G5, it makes me think it's PURE BULLSHIT!!! I asked Morgan to get the guy to post on the NG. Until then, it's hearsay. I'd like to know what scientific testing standards he used??? I'd like to know which model G5 was tested??? Where the machines similarly equipped???

Anything is possible, but I think it is just another PC zealot spreading more bogus rumors about Macs. I'll stick with lab testing and real world usefulness, not B.S. rumors.

Just because some guy says something is so, and ten thousand people repeat it, doesn't mean it's true. Even a bad rumor with one leg will find away to get around! Even though it has nothing to stand on!

I think people can see from the test above that something is not right about the rumors, but of course the PC zealots will argue that it is the other way around.

Some people just won't let the facts get in the way of their opinion.

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>
>Another brainwashed zealot!

That's the pot calling kettle black!

>
>"Miguel Vigil" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote:
>> http://createdigitalmusic.com/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=1098
>>
>>
>>Macsters, see above for further insight.
>>
>>
>>
>>E

Subject: Re: dual-boot and disappearing cd drive
Posted by [duncan](#) on Sat, 24 Dec 2005 16:56:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Why is the idea of meaning lacking in musicians now? You're losing me here.

>

>>Me, I like modernity.

>

>But that is a period style too! Nothing new there. Even "shocking the bourgeoisie" is boring.

I meant not a particular style but the general state of the modern world, where I can listen to music from across the planet and drive to work and fly to Japan and so on. And as far as "shocking the bourgeoisie" I work 60 hour weeks for an organization that manages \$15 billion. To be more establishment I'd need to make partner at Goldman Sachs.

>

>>If I want Thai food I walk two blocks from my house and order some. In the

>>gorgeous 19th century

>

>Just a minute, **straw man alert**

>

>The concept here is improving musicianship and the appreciation of music in our time. Try to stay on-topic...

No, the question was whether "nobody *cares* anymore" about music, and whether there was some previous era in which people did. I use the example of food because it's a great example of how modernity allows us to become *more* passionate and interested in things. Had I lived in the time you talk about my musical and culinary horizon would have been severely limited to what I could find within a few miles of myself. Instead, today I can enjoy the dub of Jamaica and the electronica of Berlin and the hip hop of Oakland all in the same day.

>

>>I'd have had to get on a steam (or even sailing) ship

>>and travel 'round South America to a French colony called Siam just for

>some

>>chicken pad-see-u. Does this mean that food *means* less to me?

>