
Subject: Another solution

Posted by [dc\[4\]](#) on Wed, 03 May 2006 00:04:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

03:19:32 +1000, "Rod Lincoln"

<rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>
>Here's the deal. I've been running Norton Systemworks 2002 on my internet
>comp, renewing the antivirus subscription every year. My subscription ran
>out yesterday and upon trying to renew it, I was informed it was no longer
>supported, and I had to upgrade to whatever 2006. Since I've read some of
>you are down on Norton, I thought I'd ask for opinions on what to switch
>to. Sooooo....what do you guys like?
>
>I don't use the norton firewall. I use the free Zone Alarm one.
>I kind of like the system tune up thing that Norton did. I don't think I've
>had a crash...ever, on this comp.
>Rod I promise you if you hear my Ampeg VST preamp you'll say the sansamp sucks
for tone. I think I'm becoming a gear slut!

"justcron" <

Subject: Re: Another solution

Posted by [audioguy_editout_](#) on Wed, 03 May 2006 01:20:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

com" target="_blank">paris@hydrorecords.com> wrote:

>That proves any tool can be worth it.

>
>The SansAmp doesn't suck for tone.. it just needs a little EQ :)

>
>"Cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote in message
>news:4473d21e\$1@linux...

>>

>>

>> I use the Pod a lot. I only have 7 "High end" mic pre's and 3 other
>> channels

>> of "prosumer" so I use them for drums when tracking, and often use the
pod

>> to record a scratch. Then replace it. I hear the Brick is cool. I may
need

>> to try one

>>

>>

>> "justcron" <paris@hydrorecords.com> wrote:

>>>No I hear ya John... I like a bunch of the different ones, I just dont

>>
>>>personally think the sansamp 'sucks' but I respect your opinion. the

>>>only
>>
>>>one that I've found that really does suck is the POD, but then some people
>>
>>>like that too.
>>>
>>>"john" <
