

---

Subject: Small-Diaphragm Condensers?

Posted by [Neil](#) on Sat, 16 Sep 2006 15:47:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

/>

>they added a ton of good to great FX and instrument plugins and some new

>features. Then they went back and did some UI cleanup, audio engine

>remodeling and bug fixing. What exact additional features do you desire?

>What, exactly, do you find missing? What part of the current audio track

>editing or waveform editing don't you like?

>

>Regarding MSWindows - I understand that's an issue for some folks

>(particularly those who didn't switch when Logic went OSX-only), but

>really, this is not an issue for everyone. It's kinda late in the game

>to still be complaining about that, either switch to OSX (a very good

>OS) or give up on Logic and know that just because you gave up doesn't

>mean it hasn't improved tremendously and people aren't using and liking

>the current version - it's a much better program on OSX today t

---

---

Subject: Re: Small-Diaphragm Condensers?

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sat, 16 Sep 2006 16:17:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

han it

>was on MSWindows four years ago, or however long it's been. For that

>matter it's a much better program on OSX today than it was on MacOS 9.

>I'm no fan of either OS 9 or MSWindows for my own OS snob reasons, but

>OSX doesn't suck too badly (high compliment).

>

>At this point I'm OK with seeing the development team continue to

>optimize Logic for OSX as they continue to rewrite and update code. If

>there's a problem I can complain to a single company to fix it - as I

>did when the Apple rep came to town earlier this year. Whether that had

>anything to do with it or not, the bugs I complained about got fixed.

>

>I would like to see Emapple continue the process of moving things from

>the Environment and into the Arrange window. The manual control in the

>Environment is powerful, but some of that could be made easier and put

>into the Arrange. It's not a prob for me, I know my way around the

>Environment enough to do what I need to do but it would help with the

>learning curve for new users to keep up that trend - they've already

>done some things toward that end.

>  
>I do like that I can arrange multiple mixer windows however I want them,  
  
>size the Arrange window to fit, add a transport and I have a really good  
  
>view of the project on my 24" widescreen monitor. Views are automatic  
>and I sometimes use multiple views to arrange windows for different  
>tasks, but for the most part one view and a big monitor is golden.  
>They've improved things tremendously by letting users drag plugins  
>around on the GUI and allowing whole

---

---

Subject: Re: Small-Diaphragm Condensers?  
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sat, 16 Sep 2006 16:20:49 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

racks of FX to be saved and  
>recalled. I would say the interface is not "dated" so much as "really,  
>efficient." I'd hate to see them lose that efficiency in an effort to be  
  
>glitzier.  
>  
>The color scheme is OK with me but I can see where you might like to  
>have control over that. When the color scheme hacks came out for PARIS I  
  
>thought they were interesting but there again, I was OK with the color  
>scheme for PARIS.  
>  
>The main questions for me are does it do what I need, within budget, and  
  
>does it sound good. Yes to both. In fact it exceeds what I need. At this  
  
>point I really appreciate the power on my studio desktop.  
>  
>Again, there are other systems that also work well. A lot of folks like  
  
>Nuendo/Cubase, the new Cubase looks great. The new Digital Performer  
>also looks great, Etc. If my needs were different I'd still be using  
>PARIS - it does a lot, just falls short with MIDI and has a few other  
>limitations, but within those limitations it's a very usable system.  
>  
>Cheers,  
> -Jamie  
> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>  
>  
>  
>LaMont wrote:  
>> Hey Jamie good points. I think most if not all former users want Logic

Audio

>> to survive. I certainly do, But, :) we want on our terms. we like apps that  
>> we run on both platforms. That way, should one platform oushines the other,  
>> no problem, just get the CD/DVD out and load away:)  
>>  
>> Seriously, I don;t know is on the minds of Emagic and their love affair with  
with  
>> that very dated interface, AND audio editing.. What Gives!!! If Apple can  
can  
>> develope a great audio editor in Soundrack Pro, WHY NOT LOGIC!!.. I think  
>> that one feature item is what really keeping folks from forking over the  
>> Bucks for a Mac, then logic audio. Do they (emagic) know how to implement  
>> an modern audioeditor?? OR , are so arrogant, that just continue to snub  
>> their noses to anybody who keeps suggesting that

---

---

Subject: Re: Small-Diaphragm Condensers?  
Posted by [cujo](#) on Sat, 16 Sep 2006 16:52:24 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

gt;> impressive working tools. They've earned a spot here.  
>>>>  
>>>> DP is nice, too.  
>>>>  
>>>> Cheers,  
>>>> -Jamie  
>>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>> Lamont wrote:  
>>>>> Hey Jamie,  
>>>>>  
>>>>> I can feel your un-dying love and loyalty to Logic.:) However, I'm  
only  
>>> stating  
>>>>> my opinion from the trenches from both small and large studios.  
>>>>> When you talk to producers or programmers today and ask about Logic  
>audio,  
>>>>> they all answer with "Yeah, I know Logic is cool, BUT....Always the  
>But..  
>>>>>  
>>>>> They, Logic are on an deserted Island these days. Not supporting the  
>>> Windows  
>>>>> Platform was a major mistake. And I'm affraid, that If Apple does not  
>>> change  
>>>>> it's course Sooooooon, Logic will go the way of Studio Vision and so

>will  
>>>> Digital Performer.  
>>>> Unless Apple starts packaging Logic Pro with every dual Mac(throw  
in),  
>>> I  
>>>> cant really see them making any market penetration.  
>>>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:  
>>>>> Heh. Yeah, they sit out NAMM sometimes. Apple didn't exhibit at Winter  
>>>  
>>>>> NAMM when I covered it for MacWEEk a zillion years ago. I dinged 'em  
>>> for  
>>>>> it in the mag. The director of music marketing over there was a bit  
>  
>>>>> miffed. Whatever.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> Fact is, Logic has had several updates this year already, including  
>  
>>>>> fixing some moldy bugs that HAD to go. It has finally gotten to the  
>  
>>>>> point where I can actually recommend it.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> The feature set is deep and, frankly, amazing. The included collection  
>>>  
>>>>> of usable and good to great sounding plugins is much appreciated.  
On  
>>> a  
>>>>> dual G5 it's very efficient and capable of way more tracks, FX and  
>soft  
>>

---

---

Subject: Re: Small-Diaphragm Condensers?

Posted by [Don Nafe](#) on Sat, 16 Sep 2006 22:30:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

/board/showthread.php?t=87812&highlight=Logic+Audio

>  
>The overwhelmingly want is a sleek plaining field that's not clunky, and more  
>like Pro Tools and Nuendo. How about some of the slick right-mouse clicking  
>features found in Nuendo? Not to mention all of it's menu driven editing..Logic  
>audio is not in this leauge at all. When they should be.  
>  
>But, as long as Logic & DP Continue down their Midi first/Audio secondary  
>mind-set, then both will continue to lose market share. Both Apps new complete  
>re-write from scratch. I thought I never say this but, Apple should scrap  
>this versio of Logic 7.x and go build off Sountrack Pro with the addition  
>of Final-Cut Pro, which would yield a Nuendo like app..That would be worth  
>\$900.00 bucks.

>Just to clarify. You ask what I don't like about Logic? The answers can be  
>found in SoundTrack Pro sleek modern, but powerful audio editing. If Apple  
>decides to join Final cut and Sountrack together, and start phasing out  
Logic(Vintage)7.x,  
>then that along would increase market excitement ten-fold.  
>  
>But, to keep applying band-aids for an antiquated, but powerful midi-audio  
>sequencer is ludicrous at best. At its core, it's a Midi sequencer first  
>and an audio recorder second. That combination does not sit well today. Most  
>of us want a serious, sleek audio engine first, with a good sequencer..That's  
>all.. Yes, I know that there folks like you, and me, who have dedicated  
Sequencing  
>machines, but not everyone..  
>  
>Note: My last version of Logic on windows 5.5 was and still is smokin!! Very  
>stable running on an AMD Xp2800 machine with M-audio cards using the EASI  
>drivers. My windows version outperformed my G4-500, and our Studios Dual867  
>G4(so much for the Mac hype). On a PC, I can run full (say 20plus vstis)  
>on a given session with about 50 audio tracks..with verbs. The macs would  
>choke everytime we tried doing something like that..  
>  
>Now, with Intel dual cores)Logic can run some serious amount of plugins.Hummm..  
>Even Quad G5 can't run with Intel Macs.. Mac Hype all the way to PC land..  
>My point, PCs have always ran Logic better than any Mac. I should know,  
>I run both..  
>  
>Same with Mac OSX..Nice OS, but very very Bloated. More bloated than WinXP.  
>To me and others, Windows outperforms OSX on most DAWs..Especially Pro-Tools..It's  
>not even a funny.  
>Now that we are all running PCs (Intels & AmDs), we can all have the great  
>performance that only Steve Jobs promised us. "(:)  
>  
>Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:  
>>  
>>Well Emapple HAVE been rewriting Logic, it's improved significantly  
>>during the time I've been using it.  
>>  
>>Have you been following the updates over the last couple of years? First  
>  
>>they added a ton of good to great FX and instrument plugins and some new  
>  
>>features. Then they went back and did some UI cleanup, audio engine  
>>remodeling and bug fixing. What exact additional features

---

Subject: Re: Small-Diaphragm Condensers?

Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 02:20:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

ing? What part of the current audio track editing or waveform

>>editing don't you like?io in it's current state . :"

>>

>>Jamie have a look at a current running thread on gearslutz.com concerning

>>Logic Audio 8:

---

---

Subject: Re: Small-Diaphragm Condensers?

Posted by [Tony Benson](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 17:02:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

t;>>>>> dominating the

>>> game

>>>>> now.

>>>>>>> Apple has dropped the ball with Logic. It seems that

>>>>>>> Apple is putting

>>>>> most

>>>>>>> of their cardsinto IPODS.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> I think Final Cut Pro will mature into a Nueundo(ish)

>>>>>>> type app or

>>> more

>>>>> so

>>>>>>> Sony Vegas Pro.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> Dedric Terry <[dterry@keyofd.net](mailto:dterry@keyofd.net)> wrote:

>>>>>>>> Imho, Soundtrack Pro seems to be aimed directly at

>>>>>>>> the video market

>>>>> that

>>>>>>>> will spend tens of thousands on video, but not a

>>>>>>>> dime on audio.

>>> They

>>>>> have

>>>>>>>> Soundtrack "Pro", which automatically replaces an

>>>>>>>> actual audio

> pro

>>>> as

>>>>> soon

>>>>>>>> as it is launched! (Thanks to ProTools, any program

>>>>>>>>

---