
Subject: \$2000 daw ?

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Tue, 17 Jan 2006 23:01:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

vHDPa+NqYbEV5uerXoeX63rccli1hN

5lxqeiyPDDcxqFa4UN947eDISoleRa1qNvqWhGzmtVjvbdGi+1eYCDEBuCNk
9V/

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?

Posted by [Jeremy Luzier](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 01:54:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

f I close the project and try to reopen it or another

> project, things get squirrely, either digital distortion on playback or my

> 2nd submix not playing at all.

>

> 2. With two Kurzweil boxes patched in (and clocked) via SPDIF, things are

> squirrely from the get-go. Sometimes it works perfectly, somet

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?

Posted by [jjdpro](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 03:07:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

imes not.

When

> it works, it seems to be after intial start-up of Paris only; once again,

> re-opening a project is sketchy as hell, with a few exceptions.

>

> 3. When I patch the Kurzweil boxes thru a Digipatch digital patchbay, it's

> the same as scenario "2", only with even fewer instances of success.

>

> I have tried connecting the 3rd MEC to card 4 instead of card 3, but that

> seems to have no effect.

>

> I have no BNC terminator plugs on the MECs, and plan to order those

> tomorrow. I have some small hope that these will solve some of my

troubles?

>

> Anybody have any further suggestions?

>

> Jimmy

>

>Yup. The way I handle it is I keep a blank audio file to put 'behind' the
partial a

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 12:06:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;> there.
>
> Jimmy
>
>
> "Don Nafe" <<A =
>>>>
>>>> href=3D"mailto:dnafe@magma.ca">dnafe@magma.ca> wrote in message
>=
>>>><A=20
>>>> href=3D"news:43f9e7d3\$

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 12:08:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

:1@linux" target="_blank">1@linux">news:43f9e7d3\$1@linux...
> =
>>>>>=20
>>>> Definitely, count me in for a few bucks
> >
> > =
>>>>Don
>>=20
>>>> >
> >
> > "Mike Audet" <<A=20
>>>> =
>>>>href=3D"mailto:johnwaynefan@hotmail.com">johnwaynefan@hotmail.com>=
>>>> wrote=20
>>>> in message
> > <A=20
>>>> href=3D"news:43f9d44c\$1@linux">news:43f9d44c\$1@linux...
> =
>>>>>=20
>>&

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?

Posted by [Bill Lorentzen](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 12:21:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;> >
> > > I'd be happy to contribute to this.
> =
>>>>>=20
>>>> >
> > > "DC" <<A=20
>>>> href=3D"mailto:dc@spamthemoon.com">dc@spamthemoon.com> =
>>>>wrote:
>>=20
>>>> > >>
> > >>Make sure you get one with =

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?

Posted by [Chris Ludwig](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 14:44:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

r />

>>

>"DC" <dc@spamthemoon.com> wrote:

>

>Make sure you get one with automatic voltage regulation and
>enough range of compensation to deal with sags and spikes.

I'm pretty sure that is my problem with the current one. It's got plenty of mumbo to do the job (it's rated at like 700 watts and I must be using like 100). It just seems that under certain circumstances in this house the power fluctuates enough to hang the server, but not enough for the UPS to kick in. Didn't happen at the last place. I think the power was more stable there.

I can see I'm going to have to do my homework and read the specs on a few different models to find a good one.

Before I buy anything or accept any donations however I want to grab a copy of the UPS software and see if I c

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 15:37:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

e

that we have the funds to get a good one. I imagine, given the number of respondants already, that just \$10 a peice or something would probably be enough, but we'll wait and see if I can tweak the current one first.

Cheers,

Kim.My Paris chops sure are rusty. I haven't recorded in a long while. After a loonngggggg dry spell with writing, I finally decided to record some of the stuff. I was really puzzled by the absolutely HUGE waveforms I was getting, despite the meters on both the MEC and the Mix window showing well below the red zone. Seems I forgot about the wave sizing thingy. Doh. Its a learning curve all over again -or maybe a learning bump??

Peace,

MRJust get a PayPal account so we can send yo

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?

Posted by [LaMont](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 17:27:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

a

>>>CompUSA here and I've got 4 x of these unit powering my MECs , computers,
>>>monitors, clocks, converters and anything else that would bite me if I

>had
>>a
>>>power issue.
>>> http://www.compusera.com/products/product_info.asp?product_cod e=298348&pfp=ext
>>>ernal&tabtype=rv#moreinfo
>>>One of these would be more than enough to protect a single server, I would
>>>think.
>>>
>>>Deej
>>>
>>>"DC" <dc@spamyermama.com> wrote in message news:43fa7db0\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> No kidding.
>>>>
>>>> I went to the APC website and units that we pay 225.00 USD
>>>> are like 1250.00 Aus.
>>>>
>>>> Holy Cow! The exchange rate is about 1.35 Aus dollars to USD,
>>>> so that does not account for it. I hope you have some locally-
>>>> made ones th

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 17:44:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t; > > >
>>>> > >>Make sure you get one with automatic voltage regulation and
>>>> > >>enough range of compensation to deal with sags and spikes.
>>>> > >>
>>>> > >>That could be your problem. We put them on the DSP processors
>>>> > >>in our sound installs and they save a lot of service calls.
>>>> > >>
>>>> > >>And of course, make sure there is enough current capacity.
>>>>
>>>>

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 17:53:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;>> > > >
>>>> > >>Frankly, we should all help you buy this.
>>>> > >>
>>>> > >>
>>>> > >>DC
>>>> > >>

>>>>> > > >>
>>>>> > > >>
>>>>> > > >>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>> > > >>
>>>>> > > >>
>>>>> > > >>>I've noticed that the power around here is a little unstable,
>>>>=
>>>>>but of
>>>>> > > >>>course
>>>>> > > >>>that shouldn't be a problem for the news-server as it has a
>=
>>>>>wonderous
>>>>> > UPS
>>>>> > > >>>on it... ;o)
>>>>> > > >>
>>>>> > > >>>Well we just got a power glitch here an hour or so back, and
>>=
>>>>>when I
>>>>> > went
>>>>> > > >>>to look at the server, "Uh oh!", out of action. I know the
UPS
>>>>=
>>>>>does
>>>>> > it's
>>>>> > > >>>job at times as, for example, last night I shut down the mains
>>>>=

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 17:58:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

r />
>>>>>at the
>>>>> > > >>>meter
>>>>> > > >>>box for a few seconds and the server kept running fine. This
>>=
>>>>>power
>>>>> > glitch
>>>>> > > >>>today was only about a second, so I can't see why it would
make
>>>>=
>>>>>a
>>>>> > > >>>glitch...
>>>>> > > >>
>>>>> > > >>>...but between the server, the UPS, and the power here in this
>>>>=
>>>>>house,

>>>>> > the
>>>>> > >>>>combination isn't happy. Something in the constant power =
>>>>>glitches
>>>>> that
>>>>> > > see

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?

Posted by [Gary Flanigan](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 18:06:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;>> >Cheers,
> > >>>> >Kim.
> > >>>>
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>
> > >
> >
>
>Most likely suspect:
Disable Hyperthreading.
AA

"Pete Ruthenburg" <ruthenburg@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:43fb4340\$1@linux...
>

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?

Posted by [LaMont](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 19:11:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>>>>the=20
>>>>> server kept running fine. This power
> glitch
> >=20
>>>>> >>>today was only about a second, so I can't see why it would =
>>>>>make=20
>>>>> a
> > >>>glitch...
> > >>>
> =
>>>>>>=20
>>>>> >>>...but between the server, the UPS, and the power here in =
>>>>>this=20
>>>>> house,
> the
> > >>>combination isn't happy. =
>>>>>Something=20
>>>>> in the constant power glitches
that
> > > seem
> =
>>>>>>=20

>>>> >>>to haunt this area manages to get through this particular =
>>>>UPS=20
>>>> enough
to
> >>>effect
> >>>the =
>>>>server.=20
>>>> I'm suspicious changing any one ingredient would be
enough
>
>=
>>>>>=20
>>>> > to
> >>>fix it.
> > =
>>>>>>>
>=20
>>>> >>>Looks like I'll be shopping for a new UPS =
>>>>>shortly...
>=20
>>>> >>>
> >>>Cheers,
> >=20
>>>> >>>Kim.
> >>>
> >>>
> =
>>>>>
>=20
>>>> >
>
>

</BLOCKQUOTE></BODY></HTML>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>Coming to the family table? Gee, can Edmund be far behind? ;-)

(Again, THANKS, Chuck!)

Larry Hogan

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 19:19:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gave him, that he
>> still hasn't paid. He's waiting until the engineer at his
>> studio confirms that 24-bit .wav files cannot be played on a
>> stereo.
>>
>>
>>
>> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >A couple of days ago I did some tracks for a client and burnt a CD-Rom
>> containing
>> >wave files for him to take to another studio to do some other work. It
>> was
>> >labeled "Data CD".
>> >I explained how all the files were perfectly synced so the protocols guy
>> could

>> >drag them in to his session.
&

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?

Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Thu, 19 Jan 2006 03:52:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ws:43fb8b1b\$1@linux...

>
>
> OK, I'll keep that in mind also.
>
> Whether it's like you say and the outage is too quick, or whether it's
like
> others have suggested and the outage is not big enough to cause the UPS to
> kick in (but big enough to effect the server) is still a question...
>
> Why couldn't I just live somewhere with better mains! ;o)
>
> Cheers,
> Kim.
>
> "Mark McDermott" <mark@stateofwail.com> wrote:
> >
> >Kim,
> >
> >It sounds like the duration of the low power condition is too short for
> the
> >current UPS to detect. In this case, an "online" UPS may be necessary.
> >
> >The difference is that an "online" unit provides power from the battery
> 100%
> >of the time compared to a "standby" unit that kicks in a power inverter
> when
> >a brown or blackout condition is detected. Online units are more
expensive
> >though.
> >
> >Hope this helps!
> >
> >Mark
> >
> >"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>I've noticed that the power around here is a little unstable, but of
course

> >>that shouldn't be a problem for the news-server as it has a wonderous
UPS
> >>on it... ;o)
> >&
