
Subject: Measuring dynamic range in wavelab?
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sun, 02 Jul 2006 20:24:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

do the best we can.

agreed. Let us reason together to understand it and not fight with each other. The da

Subject: Re: Measuring dynamic range in wavelab?
Posted by [gene lennon](#) on Sun, 02 Jul 2006 20:46:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

y will come when we will have done all we can
and it is time to accept our fate. We need to recognize that
day when it appears. Until then, I will stand for freedom and
democracy.

DC"LaMOnt" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>

>DC, how can I miss-quote you by cutting & pasting your own writings?

Subject: Re: Measuring dynamic range in wavelab?
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sun, 02 Jul 2006 21:55:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

href="mailto:dterry@keyofd.net..." target="_blank">dterry@keyofd.net...

> Sarah,

>

> I have no intention of expanding the debate of this thread, but I felt I

> should at least voice my opinion of one aspect of your last post.

>

> I respectfully request that you not publicly insult those of us who

> actually

> do believe in Christ. The tone and approach of your post led me to

> believe

> your intent with this signature and quoting of scripture was one of

> sarcasm

> and vehemence. If you don't believe, please respect those that do and

> don't

> use His

Subject: Re: Measuring dynamic range in wavelab?

Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Sun, 02 Jul 2006 23:05:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

name as the basis for sarcasm, or quote Bible verses to support
> what
> appears to me to be an argument of throwing stones at Christianity. If
> you
> truly believe in peace and mutual respect, I am sure you will understand
> my
> request.
>
> If you do believe Christ, be careful about what you say, and keep reading.
> The truth is in there, but it doesn't come from selectively lifting verses
> to build an argument born of frustration. Believe me when I say that I
> have
> weighed my words carefully in this post for the very same reason.
>
> Whether we agree with each others' positions or not, in part this debate
> may
> just be evidence that the terrorists are in effect winning (at least their
> motivator is winning). Why wage jihad on Americans by blowing up
> buildings
> if you can get the people to turn on their own neighbors? This is a
> bigger
> war than just a handful of terrorists - it is a war for the hearts and
> souls
> of every human being. Even though that may sound trite or melodramatic to
> some, it is true, and at the very core of the Christian faith. Deception
> is
> the greatest weapon being wielded - and I'm not talking about governments
> or
> big business - the lies and decei

Subject: Re: Measuring dynamic range in wavelab?

Posted by [gene lennon](#) on Sun, 02 Jul 2006 23:18:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>standard.

Nah, I was there. This is an overstatement. You are left on some
issues, as am I. No shame there.

>We all have a right to our beliefs, but what we believe effects what we

>perceive, and I feel like your strong beliefs are warping my communication

>into things I didn't even say. (e.g. "There you go... These jihadi head
>cutters, these scum murderers
>are the same as those who would prevent a kristalnacht here in America!
What
>heroes those braves jihadis' must be! good grief such is the inverted world

>of pacifist moral equivalence.")

But you warned me that if I stood up to prevent another kristalnacht
I might end up in Guantanamo!

You said that! Where did I misunderstand you?

>Believing is not knowing, and it's impossible to debate someone who seems
to
>equate the two.

Knowing is what we both claim. I claim to know the risen savior,
you claim him to be un-knowable, except as another "great
teacher". There is no difference between us in that regard.

I hope this is more clear, because I like you a lot. If I did not, I
would

Subject: Re: Measuring dynamic range in wavelab?
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Mon, 03 Jul 2006 00:54:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> Jesus goes on record to say that " No one but the Father(God) knows the
> >end
> >> of time(earth), to be ready (Spiritually) when he (Jesus) comes..
> >> He aslo states, "There will be wars AND Rumors of wars, daughters
against
> >> mothers, sons against fathers, nations against nations..But "FEAR
Not"..
> >>
> >> It seems that we (Christians) are fearing for the Jesus return instead
> of
> >> Celebrating his coming..
> >> We(Christians) need to read the test again..
> >>
> >> Oh yeah, Jesus also goes on record to say "Love they Enemies"..I know,
> >what
> >> a hard thing to do, but we took an "Oath of Faith " to follow his
(Jesus)

> >> teachings. So, we are not to only pick out his commandments that suits
> or
> >> fit us depending on the situation. LAD
> >>
> >>
> >> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
> >> >
> >> >Hi Sarah,
> >> >
> >> >Well, I suspect there are all sorts of things in our world that Jesus
> >> >disapproves of, including slavery, female circumciscion,
> >> >sharia, burqa's, the deliberate targeting of civilians, and
> >> >Islamofascism in general. (Oh, and not to mention those who don't
> >> >believe in him, attributing positions to him to make political points)
> >> >
> >> >But there is certainly lots of guilt to go around isn't there?
> >> >
> >> >That's why Christians believe the

Subject: Re: Measuring dynamic range in wavelab?
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Mon, 03 Jul 2006 01:00:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

se to be the last days of earth's
> >> >history. There are no easy answers. Maybe no answers at all,
> >> >except to hold fast and warn everyone of what is coming.
> >> >
> >> >Please notice though, that we are not "fighting them here", we
> >> >are, quite successfully *catching* them here, before any fighting
> >> >is needed. Weakening the ghouls and head choppers makes us
> >> >safer, it is clear. Give them ground, and they use the ground for
> >> >more attacks. Appease them and you get more of the same.
> >> >This is not disputable.
> >> >Just take them at their own words. They clearly see your version
> >> >of "peace" as weakness and an opportunity to kill more of us.
> >> >
> >> >Yet, it is really hard to take a purely right wing position, I know.
> I
> >> >DO NOT trust our gummint one little bit. Too many soldiers died
> >> >in Vietnam for no reason to do that. Venal, cowardly, and amoral
> >> >leaders are responsible for the deaths of too many great young men
> >> >and women to trust them. (Bill Clinton was no better than
> >> >Nixon and Johnson BTW, just read "Blackhawk Down") At least
> >> >W is committed to victory.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >The only thing even worse than blind patriotism is the insanity being

> >> >peddled by the left.
> >> >
> >> >And as a Christian I admit to the strong possibility of a time in the
> >> >nea

Subject: Re: Measuring dynamic range in wavelab?
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Mon, 03 Jul 2006 02:06:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

r future, when there is no difference, morally, between the
> >> >right and left whatsoever.
> >> >
> >> >And then shall the end come.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >DC
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.om> wrote:
> >> >>I didn't ask why they were foiled, silly. You said, "we need to know
> >> >>exactly how these plots were foiled," so I was just repeating that
> >> >and
> >> >
> >> >>offering up an answer that I, of course, do not believe at all. I
> >> >was
> >> >
> >> >>merely making fun of those people who apparently do believe these
> >> >things,
> >> >
> >> >>and attempting to satirically point out the particular absurdity of
> >> >the
> >> >
> >> >>"fighting them there so we don't have to fight them here,"
> >> >justification
> >> >for
> >> >>Iraq. I don't actually have "my own personal Jesus," but somehow I
> >> >just
> >> >
> >> >>don't think he would approve of all the "collateral damage."
> >> >>
> >> >>Perhaps you knew I was being "tongue in cheek," and you were too and
> >> >I
> >> >just
> >> >
> >> >>missed the subtlety. :)

> > >
> > >>Shalom,
> > >
> > >>Sarah J. Burke, RN
> > >
> > >>"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
> > >>news:44e01125\$1@linux...
> > >>> Well, y'see, we've proved now that Jesus can kick Mohammed's
> > >>> ass, thereby demoralizing and discouraging all the would be
> >terrorists
> > >in
> > >>> the world.
> > >>
> > >>> Well, I guess if that's what you believed foiled these attacks then
> >why
> > >
> > >>> are
> > >>> you asking why they were foiled?
> > >>> I'm not so sure it was Jesus personally, but I guess, if you say
so.
> > >>>
> > >>> "Sarah" <s

Subject: Re: Measuring dynamic range in wavelab?

Posted by [rick](#) on Mon, 03 Jul 2006 09:48:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

nding. The only peaceful resolution for a terrorist is the death
of
>his enemy. What then is peace for the terrorist's "enemy"?...
>
>If any of you have seen the movie "The End of The Spear", or are familiar
>with story of Jim Elliot, you've seen an example of what faith really
>should be. As a missionary to Ecuador, Jim Elliot and his companions were
>killed when trying to reach out to the Huaorani (or Auca) Indians - he left
>behind a wife and son. His wife and others went back years later and
>eventually reached this once violent tribe - many became Christians, and
a
>decendant (grandson of one of the original Auca's responsible for Elliot's
>death I believe) was on tour with Christian artist Steven Curtis Chapman
a
>few years ago relating the story of the incredible positive change in his
>tribe due to the unyielding love of the Elliots and others, even in the
face
>of death. (I didn't get to see the concert, but heard it was an amazing
>experience - far more than just the music). Jim Elliot gave his life
>without ever seeing the fruit of his labor as have many others, but it ended

>up changing more lives than just those of the Auca Indians.
>
>So where do we draw the line between defending ourselves and being willing
>to just accept the loss of life, even our own, in order to send a message
of
>love rather than one of defiance? Or is there even a line at all? Maybe
>that's the part we have such a hard time with. It is easy to establish
the
>boundaries we are comfortable with (aka "relativism"), but that usually
>entails putting God in a box with personally or socially acceptable wrapping
>paper - either with us, or without us inside - to avoid dealing with the
>less appealing parts of ourselves.
>
>For a nation, there is no easy answer since not everyone believes the same
>way, so at best a country is a compromise until it deteriorates into some
>form of chaos and then reaches another compromise as a "solution". That's
>really why we have war (the fall of man being the root cause, as DC pointed

Subject: Re: Measuring dynamic range in wavelab?

Posted by [John](#) on Mon, 03 Jul 2006 12:04:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>> if you can get the people to turn on their own neighbors? This is a
>>> bigger
>>> war than just a handful of terrorists - it is a war for the hearts and
>>> souls
>>> of every human being. Even though that may sound trite or melodramatic
to
>>> some, it is true, and at the very core of the Christian faith. Deception
>>> is
>>> the greatest weapon being wielded - and I'm not talking about governments
>>> or
>>> big business - the lies and deceit there, whether real or suspected,
pale
>>> in
>>> comparison.
>>>
>>> Rome fell from within, and so may the US - the foundation is already
>>> crumbling. There is always hope, but it doesn't come from hatred - for
or
>>> from anyone, regardless of what we view as injustice or intolerance.
>>>
>>> Detric
>>>
>>> On 8/14/06 9:51 PM, in article 44e14375\$1@linux, "Sarah"
>>> <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>>

>>>> Yours, in Christ,

>>>>

>>>> Sarah

>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>>

>>

>i'd say he's/she/s probably laughing his/hers ass of at our collective righteous follies but i'm not sure he/she has one.

On Tue, 15 Aug 2006 10:26:40 -0600, "DJ"

<animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>.....hey.....this was interpreted by men, right? Maybe the dude was
>having a little fun and what god really said was "do unto others *before*?"

>

>I mean.....that god guy is a riot, don't you think? The burning bush and
>all? We've got gas seeps from the coal seams around here and on any given
>day somewhere in these parts, there is likely to be a burning bush (most
>likely sagebrush or a juniper). The other day some dude was sitting on the
>crapper smoking a cigarette and dropped the butt (pun intended) in the
>thundermug. There was natural gas in the groundwater and the cigarette
>ignited the gas, providing him a spiritual experience.

>

>When god is made in man's image, all sorts of cool stuff can happen.

>

>;o)

>

>

>

>

>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>news:2ob3e29pl390s0a5jahu40

Subject: Re: Measuring dynamic range in wavelab?

Posted by [John](#) on Mon, 03 Jul 2006 12:14:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

c25lamovs3fj@4ax.com...

>> i wonder at what point god said to nobody in particular..."really, i
>> thought they were ready for me...all i said was do unto others as..."

>>

>>

>>

>> On Tue, 15 Aug 2006 01:25:48 -0700, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Dedric,
>> >
>> > You're very right, a

Subject: Re: Measuring dynamic range in wavelab?
Posted by [gene lennon](#) on Mon, 03 Jul 2006 14:48:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> and vehemence. If you don't believe, please respect those that do and
>> >> don't
>> >> use His name as the basis for sarcasm, or quote Bible verses to support
>> >> what
>> >> appears to me to be an argument of throwing stones at Christianity. If
>> >> you
>> >> truly believe in peace and mutual respect, I am sure you will
>understand
>> >> my
>> >> request.
>> >>
>> >> If you do believe Christ, be careful about what you say, and keep
>reading.
>> >> The truth is in there, but it doesn't come from selectively lifting
>verses
>> >> to build an argument born of frustration. Believe me when I say that I
>> >> have
>> >> weighed my words carefully in this post for the very same reason.
>> >>
>> >> Whether we agree with each others' positions or not, in part this
>debate
>> >> may
>> >> just be evidence that the terrorists are in effect winning (at least
>their
>> >> motivator is winning). Why wage jihad on Americans by blowing up
>> >> buildings
>> >> if you can get the people to turn on their own neighbors? This is a
>> >> bigger
>> >> war than just a handful of terrorists - it is a war for the hearts and
>> >> souls
>> >> of every human being.

Subject: Re: Measuring dynamic range in wavelab?
Posted by [Neil](#) on Mon, 03 Jul 2006 15:45:41 GMT

Even though that may sound trite or melodramatic
>to
>> >> some, it is true, and at the very core of the Christian faith.
>Deception
>> >> is
>> >> the greatest weapon being wielded - and I'm not talking about
>governments
>> >> or
>> >> big business - the lies and deceit there, whether real or suspected,
>pale
>> >> in
>> >> comparison.
>> >>
>> >> Rome fell from within, and so may the US - the foundation is already
>> >> crumbling. There is always hop

Subject: Re: Measuring dynamic range in wavelab?
Posted by [gene Lennon\[3\]](#) on Mon, 03 Jul 2006 17:53:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

of those were terrorists? 100? 500?
1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent and their families.

Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or maimed.
If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can think of some heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but it's a nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange jumpsuits and chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.

Sarah"Jesse Skeens" <jskeens@gmail.com> wrote:

>
>I have a few potential clients that would like to sit in on a session while
>I mix their tracks. I figure this will take extra time so it seems fair
>to charge and hourly rate on top of the flat fee for the mix.
>
>Any ideas?
>
>Jesse

What you need is a big sign in your control room.

Subject: Re: Measuring dynamic range in wavelab?

Posted by [rick](#) on Mon, 03 Jul 2006 18:34:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

here was nothing he could do for him.

>> However, he knew of an experimental treatment that might be applicable,

>> if

>> he were willing to take the risk.

>>

>> The treatment consisted of implanting muscle tissue from an elephant's

>> trunk in Jack's penis. Jack thought about it for a while. The thought

>> of

>> going through life without ever experiencing sex again was just too much

>> for him to bear. So, with the assurance that there would be no cruelty

>> or

>> adverse effect on the elephant, Jack decided to go for it.

>>

>> A few weeks after the operation, Jack was given the green light to use

>> his newly renovated equipment. As a result, he planned a romantic evening

>> with his girlfriend and took her to one of the nicest restaurants

Subject: Re: Measuring dynamic range in wavelab?

Posted by [rick](#) on Mon, 03 Jul 2006 19:50:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you would have to.

We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring themselves to do what they must to protect our way of life.

Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.

And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms, to kill some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.

DC"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

However, the only thing that is 'surgical'

>about a 'strike' is that it might keep more of the attackers' soldiers from
>having surgery. Unless the attacker has a) perfect intelligence, b) perfectly
>accurate weapons, and c) the target isn't around anyone else, there is no
>such thing as a 'surgical strike.' So, if the target agrees to be in a field
>at a particular time and the weapons of the attacker always work, we can
>strike him 'surgically.'

Well you missed the point. I tried to find the reference but could not. What happened was that a group of hezbollahs was firing rockets out of a neighborhood in Tyre. Israel knew where they were, and instead of leveling the area, which would have cost no Israeli lives, they sent in some of their best special forces guys and took out the hezbollahs, but recieved 2 casualties in the process.

The point was that Israel takes casualties to prevent the loss of innocent life when they could have blown the whole area off the map, while the hezbollahs and Hamas and the rest of the wretched Islamofascists do all they can to take innocent life.

Why does this not provoke more outrage?

>Instead air power (nobody every talks about attacks by infantry or artillery
>being 'surgical')

Well, I did. It was a ground attack by Israeli SF troops.

>The ratio of civilian to Hezbollah dead is somewhere
>between 2.5:1 or 7:1 depending on whose stats you believe, which I would
>call far from surgical except in the sense it kept the pilots out of surgery.

And the ratio would be even higher if the ghouls could make it so.

Surely you know that they place their rockets and other munitions, not to mention themselves, in with the general populace? That this is done to produce the maximum number of collateral deaths precisely to show the gullible and win the war of public opinion?

The ghouls are responsible for those dead bodies, not Israel.

>All of which might be perfectly valid military strategy, I'm not arguing
>that, I'm only saying that as long as we lie to ourselves about what's going
>on, we'll never figure how to do it better.

Absolutely.

DCOhh. I See ...)

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>

>"LaMont" <

Subject: Re: Measuring dynamic range in wavelab?

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Mon, 03 Jul 2006 22:05:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yes, the bridge intonation is vitally important, but the nut being intonatable is also very important.

Today I finished installing my first made-from-scratch intonatable nut on my Anderson strat. (and no this is not the same as the Feiten tuning system).

I've got to go out for a while, but if several of you are interested, I will post the details on how to do this when I get a chance.

It works. The guitar is more in tune, by far, than ever before.

DCHey DC,

Sounds cool, post away!

Cheers,

-Jamie

<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

DC wrote:

> No, I am not talking about a politician with a Tele...

>

> For the last 2 days I have been working on an idea for a intonated
> nut for guitars and basses.

>

> Want to see something reall scary? Get a good chromatic tuner,
> tune all your strings to pitch. Not press down each string at the first
> fret and check your tuning again...

>

> Unless you have a very lucky accident, most of the strings will be
> out of tune at the first fret. This is why guitars don't play in
> tune when changing from open to fretted notes. Yes, the bridge

> intonation is vitally important, but the nut being intonatable is
> also very important.
>
> Today I finished installing my first made-from-scratch intonatable
> nut on my Anderson strat. (and no this is not the same as the
> Feiten tuning system).
>
> I've got to go out for a while, but if several of you are interested, I
> will post the details on how to do this when I get a chance.
>
> It works. The guitar is more in tune, by far, than ever before.
>
> DC
> Sounds cool, but won't that make you out of tune with your band mates?

b

Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>
> Hey DC,
>
> Sounds cool, post away!
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>
>
> DC wrote:
>> No, I am not talking about a politician with a Tele...
>>
>> For the last 2 days I have been working on an idea for a intonated
>> nut for guitars and basses.
>>
>> Want to see something reall scary? Get a good chromatic tuner,
>> tune all your strings to pitch. Not press down each string at the first
>> fret and check your tuning again...
>>
>> Unless you have a very lucky accident, most of the strings will be
>> out of tune at the first fret. This is why guitars don't play in
>> tune when changing from open to fretted notes. Yes, the bridge
>> intonation is vitally important, but the nut being intonatable is
>> also very important.
>>

>> Today I finished installing my first made-from-scratch intonatable
>> nut on my Anderson strat. (and no this is not the same as the
>> Feiten tuning system).
>>
>> I've got to go out for a while, but if several of you are interested,
I
>> will post the details on how to do this when I get a chance.
>>
>> It works. The guitar is more in tune, by far, than ever before.
>>
>> DC
>>Very interested.. I custom slotted and filed a nut on my LP trying to get
this issue to go away on the B string... it helped, but not a complete
solution.

AA

"DC" <dc@spammersinchulavista.org> wrote in message news:44e25d2a\$1@linux...
>
> No, I am not talking about a politician with a Tele...
>
> For the last 2 days I have been working on an idea for a intonated
> nut for guitars and basses.
>
> Want to see something reall scary? Get a good chromatic tuner,
> tune all your strings to pitch. Not press down each string at the first
> fret and check your tuning again...
>
> Unl

Subject: Re: Measuring dynamic range in wavelab?
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Mon, 03 Jul 2006 22:35:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ess you have a very lucky accident, most of the strings will be
> out of tune at the first fret. This is why guitars don't play in
> tune when changing from open to fretted notes. Yes, the bridge
> intonation is vitally important, but the nut being intonatable is
> also very important.
>
> Today I finished installing my first made-from-scratch intonatable
> nut on my Anderson strat. (and no this is not the same as the
> Feiten tuning system).
>
> I've got to go out for a while, but if several of you are interested, I
> will post the details on how to do this when I get a chance.
>

> It works. The guitar is more in tune, by far, than ever before.

>

> DC

> I had absolutely no problems with the upgrade, and I don't think that most of the XP users here did either.

--

Martin Harrington

www.lendaneer-sound.com

"Wayne Carson" <carson_wayne@msn.com> wrote in message
news:44e24942@linux...

> Hey Aaron,

>

> A year ago you upgraded to SP2 and mentioned that you didn't have any
> problems running PARIS. I've got one computer. My desktop with WinXP SP1
> with all security updates and use it for all my business and personal
> finance software, databases, PARIS system, sequencers, Word docs, games,
> DVD/CD burner and the internet (minimal use for email and product software
> updates). I run all programs one at a time. No multi-tasking here.

>

> Did you have any problems with the install over SP1? Did everything work
> afterwards? Did it mess with Interloc PACE for PARIS? Any comments
> would
> be appreciated.

>

> Anyone else can chime in. I do have back ups for all my important files
> and
> programs and all my audio is on my D drive. I've got the CD and wish to
> upgrade for internet security. Once upgraded, there's no going back,
> right?

>

> Thanks all,
> Wayne Carson
> Paris user since 97
> Lurker and learner and sometimes I have a question

>

> I find that LP's can often have a tendency to be sharp on the
g-string on fretted notes... yours is the B?

What gauge strings?

Neil

"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote:

> Very interested.. I custom slotted and filed a nut on my LP trying to get

>this issue to go away on the B string... it helped, but not a complete
>solution.
>
>AA
>
>"DC" <dc@spammersinchulavista.org> wrote in message news:44e25d2a\$1@linux...
>>
>> No, I am not talking about a politician with a Tele...
>>
>> For the last 2 days I have been working on an idea for a intonated
>> nut for guitars and basses.
>>
>> Want to see something reall scary? Get a good chromatic tuner,
>> tune all your strings to pitch. Not press down each string at the first
>> fret and check your tuning again...
>>
>> Unless you have a very lucky accident, most of the strings will be
>> out of tune at the first fret. This is why guitars don't play in
>> tune when changing from open to fretted notes. Yes, the bridge
>> intonation is vitally important, but the nut being intonatable is
>> also very important.
>>
>> Today I finished installing my first made-from-scratch intonatable
>> nut on my Anderson strat. (and no this is not the same as the
>> Feiten tuning system).
>>
>> I've got to go out for a while, but if several of you are interested,
I
>> will post the details on how to do this when I get a chance.
>>

Subject: Re: Measuring dynamic range in wavelab?
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Mon, 03 Jul 2006 22:37:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

es
> and
> programs and all my audio is on my D drive

Subject: Re: Measuring dynamic range in wavelab?
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Mon, 03 Jul 2006 22:59:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

. I've got the CD and wish to

> upgrade for internet security.

Subject: Re: Measuring dynamic range in wavelab?
Posted by [gene lennon](#) on Tue, 04 Jul 2006 04:04:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

re this will take extra time so it seems fair
>>to charge and hourly rate on top of the flat fee for the mix.
>>
>>Any ideas?
>>
>>Jesse
>
>
> What you need is a big sign in your control room.
>
>
> _____
> MIX RATES
>
> Standard Rate - \$25/hour
> You Watch - \$35/hour
> You Comment - \$50/hour
> You Help - \$100/hour
> _____
>10's. The B and G would just 'not' get along. A wound G would make things worse no doubt about it

AA

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in me

Subject: Re: Measuring dynamic range in wavelab?
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Tue, 04 Jul 2006 11:08:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

won't that make you out of tune with your band mates?
>
>b
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>>
>>Hey DC,
>>
>>Sounds cool, post away!
>>
>>Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>
>>
>>DC wrote:
>>> No, I am not talking about a politician with a Tele...
>>>
>>> For the last 2 days I have been working on an idea for a intonated
>>> nut for guitars and basses.
>>>
>>> Want to see something reall scary? Get a good chromatic tuner,
>>> tune all your strings to pitch. Not press down each string at the first
>>> fret and check your tuning again...
>>>
>>> Unless you have a very lucky accident, most of the strings will be
>>> out of tune at the first fret. This is why guitars don't play in
>>> t

Subject: Re: Measuring dynamic range in wavelab?

Posted by [rick](#) on Tue, 04 Jul 2006 11:39:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

une when changing from open to fretted notes. Yes, the bridge
>>> intonation is vitally important, but the nut being intonatable is
>>> also very important.
>>>
>>> Today I finished installing my first made-from-scratch intonatable
>>> nut on my Anderson strat. (and no this is not the same as the
>>> Feiten tuning system).
>>>
>>> I've got to go out for a while, but if several of you are interested,
>I
>>> will post the details on how to do this when I get a chance.
>>>
>>> It works. The guitar is more in tune, by far, than ever before.
>>>
>>> DC
>>>
>Aaron, What do you mean "update those drivers to the spec in the XP beta
driver install"? I'm on XP SP1 with PARIS 3.0 and PACE and did the WinXP
beta3 driver install, using the XP driver package and making PARIS work on

XP documents from the GreatIdea website. The only problem I initially had was to disable HT. Since then it's been rock steady, since 2004. Do you mean, there are files to update for PACE or XP when I upgrade to SP2?

Martin, thanks for the reply. Makes me feel more confident about the move.

Anyone else wanna quick chime?

Thanks all,
Wayne

"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote in message
news:44e26ce0\$1@linux...

> My Paris box is at SP1 with NO security updates on it. Runs great when I
> have time to fire it up, short of a video c

Subject: Re: Measuring dynamic range in wavelab?

Posted by [Neil](#) on Tue, 04 Jul 2006 15:31:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ome 'beating' going on in that interval. This is where

>orchestral string players have an advantage us fretted players. They play

>the interval so that it is musically pleasing. The difference is around
15

>cents or, said another way, the natural pure sounding major 3 interval is

>about 14% narrower than a "perfect" 3rd.... thus your interval between G
and

>B when each is "correctly" tuned sounds bad. To be factual, that meaty

>sounding 1/5 power chord is also screwed... our ears just don't sweat that

>one as much.

>

>There is a lot I could write on this as I have studied it quite a bit for

>the same reason DC wants to cut is way into solving the unsolvable at the

>narrow end of the fingerboard. Won't happen Don but Buzzy Featon managed
to

>cheat it a little bit with cuts and tuning procedure that starts with the
D

>string. I think what I'll do here is google for some good info on these
two

>related topics and paste some links. Look for another post.

>

>WMW
>
>"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote in message
>news:44e264cd\$1@linux...
>> Very interested.. I custom slotted and filed a nut on my LP trying to
get
>> this issue to go away on the B string... it helped, but not a complete

>> solution.
>>
>&g

Subject: Re: Measuring dynamic range in wavelab?
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Tue, 04 Jul 2006 15:47:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>
><http://www.professionalseminars.net/tps/>

can you believe, new things are coming ?

AAmainly, I don't want to feel like a 3rd thumb when I work with a keyboard
player or sequence. That used to make me NUTS, completely NUTS listening to
that night after night and trying to compensate through manual string
bending and such.

AA

"DC" <dc@spammeryonthehighsea.com> wrote in message news:44e2a8c1\$1@linux...

>
> Oh no mateys, It's the dreaded temperment discussion!!!
>
> Well, W. Mark is right of course, but it is a different subject
> than the one I raised. All I want to do is get the guitar to
> actually match our (imperfect) equal temperment scale.
>
> It does not do so without some work on intonation at both
> ends of the string.
>
> Just intonation (the cure for sour thirds) is simply not practical
> for most of us since it works in one key only and the entire
> band must use it.
>
> We are stuck with equal temperment and some sweetened
> versions of it from Buzz, and Petersen has a nice guitar temper-
> ment too, but it is not just intonation, as the Feiten system is

> not.
>
> Again, I just want the guitar to be in tune with equal
> temperament. I came darn close.
>
> More tomorrow. BTW, although it is not as perfect as just
> intonation (which btw, I have heard and I find it a bit dull
> sounding) the guitar when it actually matches our standard
> tuning system sounds WAY better than when it does not.
>
>
>
> DC
>
>
> "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>>You'll *never* totally solve that using our 12 tone
