
Subject: Compression test

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Thu, 15 Jun 2006 00:40:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

quot;Let's take two

> seconds & put a count-in on these tunes, ok? You never know if
> you'll want to have that heavy-metal banjo overdub come in
> right at the beginning instead of two measures later"). If he
> does so, and they refuse, then that's another thing entirely.
>
> IMO, a band's almost better off with a bad producer than no
> producer at all... at least that way shit will get done - it
>

Subject: Re: Compression test

Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Thu, 15 Jun 2006 04:20:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message

> news:44d56149@linux...
> > Man.....it's been a long day. I just looked up and noticed it was dark
> and
> > my ears were numb. I'm getting ready to flip the switch for the night. I
> > happened to turn around and look at the CR and decided to take a
picture.
> > There's lots of outboard gear and a couple of big power amps cranking. I
> > wish this picture could convey temperature (pretty warm) and, well, it's
> not
> > really a smell, but then again it is when there is a lot of juice
passing
> > through outboard circuits.....plus.....I probably need a shower. Now if
> > there was just an analog tape deck spinning at 30ips, it would smell
like
> a
> > real studio.
> >
> >
> >
>
> Strange,
I just got back form Comp USA to test out a Dual core Imac(20inch) with dual
boot 9Wiin /OSX, and I'm very

Subject: Re: Compression test

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Thu, 15 Jun 2006 11:01:54 GMT

ead of Intels offerings.

>

> Well, the ood new for me and others is the Higher speed Opterons price will

> continue to fall!! That means setting up a a fast DAW for recoridng, VSti's

> will alot lighter in the wallet\$\$\$. I'll continue down the AMD path while

> the prices are dropping.

>

> No need for me and others to et caught up in the \$\$ expensive speed game.

> Nope..I'll wait around like the Vultures :) and make due with the good O'l

> Slow Dual Core Opterons. Oh woe is me!! :)

> LaMOnt

> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

> >

> >I wonder if Apple will put these in the new Mac towers this week??? I know.

> >I know, Apple would still suck;) LOL! And I'd still agree with you

> guys

> >that they are still going to be over priced. Although, some of you guys

> >wouldn't think twice about paying 3K for a mic, a pre, or reverb unit.

> >

> >James

> >

> >"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

> >>

> >>I've been an AMD buyer/user for a long time. Very comfortable with DFI

> and

> >>ASUS mobos and equipment. But check this out.

> >>

> >><http://www.tomshardware.com/2006/08/02/>

Subject: Re: Compression test

Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Thu, 15 Jun 2006 15:10:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

thg_tuning_test/

> >>

> >>A hopped up best of breed Intel chip beats the daylights out of the hopped

> >>up top of the line AMD. I mean destroys it.

> >

>I created a 40th anniversary slide show for my in-laws this weekend complete with pan/zoom and music using this free app called Photo story 3 from microsoft. I'm not one to brag MS but this simply blew me away with how

simple and creative it was.

Just google it and download (using an authenticated win install of course...!!)

RobDJ, we will be dinosaurs if we stay with AMD..
LaMont..

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>Hehehe.....yeah.....I guess I'll just have to build me one of those crappy
>old double CPU dual core Opterons and wail and gnash my teeth whilst
>running native apps at 64k buffers 27/7

>

>;o)

>

>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44d6a887\$1@linux...

>>

>> Strange,

>> I just got back from Comp USA to test out a Dual core IMac(20inch) with

>dual

>> boot 9WiiN /OSX, and I'm very impressed with the Windows XP performance.

>>

>>

>> Simply put, the Macs run Windows better than some PCs out on the market.

>> Very impressed.

>>

>> As for AMD. Wow!! My last new system I assembled (April 06) was a Dual

>core

>> Opteron/939 chipset. It did not run Paris, but, it's a best for ProTools

>(7.1)

>> and Nuendo.

>>

>> It looks like Intel is about to reclaim the speed game. I really don't

>> know how AMD let Intel back into the game ??? Since the Opteron was so

>much

>> ahead of Intel's offerings.

>>

>> Well, the good news for me and others is the Higher speed Opterons price

>will

>> continue to fall!! That means setting up a fast DAW for recording,

>VST's

>> will be a lot lighter in the wallet!!!. I'll continue down the AMD path while

>> the prices are dropping.

>>

>> No need for me and others to get caught up in the \$\$ expensive speed game.

>> Nope..I'll wait around like the Vultures :) and make due with the good

O'

>

Subject: Re: Compression test

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Thu, 15 Jun 2006 16:31:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> with pan/zoom and music using this free app called Photo story 3 from
> microsoft. I'm not one to brag MS but this simply blew me away with how
> simple and creative it was.

>

> Just google it and download (using an authenticated win install of
> course....!!)

>

> Rob

>

>

>

>

We already are. We both still use Paris

;o)

"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44d6bfe6\$1@linux...

>

> DJ, we will be dinasours if we stay with AMD..

> LaMont..

>

>

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

> >Hehehe.....yeah.....I guess I'll just have to build me one of those
crappy

> >old double CPU dual core Opterons and wail and gnash my teeth whil'st

> >running native apps at 64k buffers 27/7

> >

> >;o)

> >

> >"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44d6a887\$1@linux...

> >>

> >> Strange,

> >> I just got back form Comp USA to test out a Dual core Imac(20inch) with

> >dual

> >> boot 9Wiin /OSX, and I'm very impressed with the Windows XP
performance.

> >>

> >>
> >> Simply put, the Macs run Windows better than some PCs out on the market.
> >> Very impressed.
> >>
> >> As for AMD. Wow!! My last new system I assembled (April 06) was a Dual
> >core
> >> Opteron/939 chipset. It did not run Paris, but, it's abest for ProTools
> >(7.1)
> >> and Nuendo.
> >>
> >> It lloking like Intel is about to reclaim the speed game. I really
don;t
> >> knwo how AMD let Intel back into the game ??? Since the Opteron was so
> >much
> >> ahead of Intels offerings.
> >>
> >> Well, the ood new for me and others is the Higher speed Opterons price
> >will
> >> continue to fall!! That means setting up a a fast DAW for recoridng,
> >VSti's
> >> will alot lighter in the wallet\$\$\$. I'll continue down the AMD path
while
> >> the prices are dropping.
> >>
> >> No need for me and others to et caught up in the \$\$ expensive speed
game.
> >> Nope..I'll wait around like the Vultures :) and make due with the good
> O'I
> >> Slow Dual Core Opterons. Oh woe is me!! :)
> >> LaMOnt
> >> "James McCloskey" <

Subject: Re: Compression test
Posted by [pauln\[2\]](#) on Thu, 15 Jun 2006 19:30:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote in message news:44d755c7\$1@linux...
>
> "So far, the last project I did this way has sold almost 500 units in the
> last month so far and I'm getting \$4.00 per unit.
> "
>
> That's damn cool!
>
> Chuck

>
>
>
> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >Thanks all. I've spent 9 years figuring out the tools I need and how to
> use
> >them (somewhat) proficiently. I'm still having second thoughts about the
> >frankenstein DAW situation. It's sort of daunting to want in there and
have
> >to keep such an incredibly complicated routing matrix in mind all the
time,
> >but I'm slowly but surely refining it to where it's second nature. To be
> >honest, it is taking me about 1/3 (or more) longer *per song* to mix
> >something just because of this, but I have the luxury of this time right
> now
> >because I'm mixing for *per unit* royalties on CD sales rather than by
the
> >hour (and a pretty big chunk) so the incentive is there for me to give it
> >120%. So far, the last project I did this way has sold almost 500 units
> in
> >the last month so far and I'm getting \$4.00 per unit.
> >
> >
> >"Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote in message
> >news:44d5683a\$1@linux...
> >> Great looking setup DeeJ, put many a "real" studio to shame.;o)
> >> --
> >> Martin Harrington
> >> www.lendaneer-sound.com
> >>
> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
> >> news:44d56149@linux...
> >> > Man.....it's been a long day. I just looked up and noticed it was
dark
> >> > and
> >> > my ears were numb. I'm getting ready to flip the switch for the
night.
> I
> >> > happened to turn around and look at the CR and decided to take a
> >picture.
> >> > There's lots of outboard gear and a couple of big power amps
cranking.
> I
> >> > wish this picture could convey temperature (pretty warm) and, well,
> it's
> >> > not
> >> > really a smell, but then again it is when there is a lot of juice
> >passing

> > > through outboard circuits.....plus.....I probably need a shower. Now
> if
> > > there was just an analog tape deck spinning at 30ips, it would smell
> > like
> > > a
> > > real studio.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> Or better yet, to avoid computing nastiness, don't surf on your music machine
and put Debian on it. Then do this

Subject: Re: Compression test
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Thu, 15 Jun 2006 23:42:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

of breed Intel chip beats the daylights out of the
>> hopped
>>>> up top of the line AMD. I mean destroys it.
>>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>Nerd Alert!

TCB wrote:

> Or better yet, to avoid computing nastiness, don't surf on your music machine
> and put Debian on it. Then do this following once per day
>
> \$ sudo apt-get update
> \$ sudo apt-get dist-upgrade
>
> and you'll never have security/virus problems ever again.
>
> TCB
>
> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>> But DJ, just think about running a dual dual Intel with UAD-1s and Powercore
>> cards with more than one motherboard to choose from...and no compatibility
>> quirks to keep you up all hours trying to resolve.
>>
>> As for Macs running Windows - why would anyone pay more money for

>> Apple-built hardware to run Windows?

>>

>> It finally comes out - Macs really just wanted to be PCs. And now they
> are.

>> And now there will be no more reason to pay Apple more for the same hardware
>> you can get from 100 other vendors. Oh, and Mac users will just love having
>> to succumb to antivirus/spam protection now.

>>

>> On 8/6/06 9:02 PM, in article 44d6ada9@linux, "DJ"

>> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>>

>>> Hehehe.....yeah.....I guess I'll just have to build me one of those crappy
>>> old double CPU dual core Opterons and wail and gnash my teeth whil'st
>>> running native apps at 64k buffers 27/7

>>>

>>> ;o)

>>>

>>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44d6a887\$1@linux...

>>>> Strange,

>>>> I just got back form Comp USA to test out a Dual core Imac(20inch) with
>>> dual

>>>> boot 9Wiin /OSX, and I'm very impressed with the Windows XP performance.

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> Simply put, the Macs run Windows better than some PCs out on the market.

>>>> Very impressed.

>>>>

>>>> As for AMD. Wow!! My last new system I assembled (April 06) was a Dual
>>> core

>>>> Opteron/939 chipset. It did not run Paris, but, it's abest for ProTools
>>> (7.1)

>>>> and Nuendo.

>>>>

>>>> It lloking like Intel is about to recliam the speed game. I really don;t
>>>> knwo how AMD let Intel back into the game ??? Since the Opteron was so
>>> much

>>>> ahead of Intels offerings.

>>>>

>>>> Well, the ood new for me and others is the Higher speed Opterons price
>>> will

>>>> continue to fall!! That means setting up a a fast DAW for recoridng,
>>> VSti's

>>>> will alot lighter in the wallet\$\$\$\$. I'll continue down the AMD path while
>>>> the prices are dropping.

>>>>

>>>> No need for me and others to et caught up in the \$\$ expensive speed game.

>>>> Nope..I'll wait around like the Vultures :) and make due with the good
> O'I

>>>> Slow Dual Core Opterons. Oh woe is me!! :)
>>>> LaMONT
>>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>> I wonder if Apple will put these in the new Mac towers this week???
> I
>>> know.
>>>>> I know, Apple would still suck;) LOL! And I'd still agree with
> you
>>>> guys
>>>>> that they are still going to be over priced. Althou

Subject: Re: Compression test
Posted by [Pauln\[1\]](#) on Fri, 16 Jun 2006 14:06:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

see them as even more interchangeable (e.g. price is always the
> first deciding factor for the majority of buyers in any market).
>
> In fact, unlocking OSX to run on any Intel platform could be either the best
> move they make, or the last. That's really all that's left to set Macs
> apart now... They don't win on repair costs, hardware costs, or widespread
> availability (one Apple service center in Co. Springs - tons of PC repair
> options, including Geek Squad for those so inclined... if you don't do your
> own). With a starting price of \$2500 for a new Mac, they aren't exactly
> competing for a larger market share now - pretty much the same as every new
> model before. As analysts have observed, Apple will have a hard time
> winning the corporate/server market, and that's where their prices put them.
>
> So, why would I want to run Windows or Unix on more expensive, closed, and
> costly to repair, and impossible to upgrade hardware platform? I don't. It
> just doesn't make economic sense. Great marketing tactic for those that
> haven't used both though.
>
> Regards,
> Dedic
>
> On 8/8/06 4:07 PM, in article 44d90b0c\$1@linux, "James McCloskey"
> <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>
>> I don't know about Nuendo, I was under the impression that it ran well on
>> a Mac??? If it's a problem, I'm sure they will get it fixed in short order.
>>
>> As far as mac hardware goes, Mac is still a Mac, just different chips.
>> Software? Every Mac shipping will run more software than a PC, and they have
>> for years. For some that will be worth the price of admission, for others
>> it's not important. Macs have been able to run Mac and Windows software

>> since the early 90s, and UNIX since 2001. You can run more software than
>> a PC, and all the Major operating systems on one box. It's just easier,
>> faster and less expensive now. That could only be good for a studio.
>>
>> I'm sure you can build a cheaper box, but it will be hard to compete with
>> what apple is offering today! The new Mac Pro is a cool, sleek, high end
>> machine. Look at the comparative costs to the Dell in the WWDC video. Sure
>> you can DIY, or roll your own, but it just won't be the same. You'll save
>> money up front, but not in the long run when you have to spend hours
>> tweaking,
>> and fussing just to get your generic machine to work right.
>>
>> Once again for me it's ease of use, less headaches, and time. And then
>> there's
>> the software, I hate software that gets in the way. By the way, if you want
>> to see more of Vista, watch the WWDC Keynote video;)
>>
>> <http://www.apple.com/quicktime/qtv/wwdc06/>
>>
>> James
>>
>>
>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>> Six months? Once more woodcrest chips are available you should be able
>> to
>>> build one now. Now it seems the battle is between OSX and Windows. While
>>> this was a good move for Apple to get a faster and better hardware system,
>>> it did narrow the distinction between the Mac and PC.
>>>
>>> It will be interesting to see which turns in better performance numbers
>> on
>>> Nuendo tests with the same hardware in place - e.g. which OS is most bloated
>>> (given that it would ASIO vs. Core Audio, which is apples and oranges).
>>>
>>> The main reason I've stayed with PCs (despite having been down the Mac path
>>> a couple of times) is price for the performance, ease of repair and lower
>>> repair costs, much larger pool of available software, and now, FXTeleport.
>>> I don't particularly like Windows. In fact I don't even generically like
>>> Windows. OSX looks cool, but it seems to be problematic for Nuendo users.
>>>
>>> Dedric
>>>
>>> On 8/8/06 7:29 AM, in article 44d891c8@linux, "James McCloskey"
>>> <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> You don't need a Mac, your a PC guy. Besides, with in six months

Subject: Re: Compression test
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Fri, 16 Jun 2006 18:04:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

d the majority of

Subject: Re: Compression test
Posted by [Pauln\[1\]](#) on Fri, 16 Jun 2006 18:30:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

had a system drive go bad on a Mac, but since the
> same companies make the drives for both platforms, it's just luck of the
> draw as far as I'm concerned. I could buy a decked out new Pro Mac

Subject: Re: Compression test
Posted by [rick](#) on Fri, 16 Jun 2006 18:32:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

D
> drive thing with Apple. That's just dumb in this day and age.
>
> Remember also, that though you've never had certain problems with your PC's,
> many of us have never had problems with our Macs and I've had about 10 or so
> over the years. I've never

Subject: Re: Compression test
Posted by [rick](#) on Fri, 16 Jun 2006 19:14:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

in article 44d90b0c\$1@linux, "James McCloskey"
>> <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> I don't know about Nuendo, I was under the impression that it ran well on
>>> a Mac??? If it's a problem, I'm sure they will get it fixed in short order.
>>>

Subject: Re: Compression test
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Fri, 16 Jun 2006 21:22:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

r />

>>> As far as mac hardware goes, Mac is still a Mac, just different chips.
>>> Software? Every Mac shipping will run more software than a PC, and they have
>>> for years. For some that will be worth the price of admission, for others
>>> it's not important. Macs have been able to run Mac and Windows software
>>> since the early 90s, and UNIX since 2001. You can run more software than
>>> a PC, and all the Major operating systems on one box. It's just easier,
>>> faster and less expensive now. That could only be g

Subject: Re: Compression test
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Fri, 16 Jun 2006 21:22:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ood for a studio.

>>>
>>> I'm sure you can build a cheaper box, but it will be hard to compete with
>>> what apple is offering today! The new Mac Pro is a cool, sleek, high end
>>> machine. Look at the comparative costs to the Dell in the WWDC video. Sure
>>> you can DIY, or roll your own, but it just won't be the same. Y

Subject: Re: Compression test
Posted by [EK Sound](#) on Fri, 16 Jun 2006 21:45:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ou'll save
>>> money up front, but not in the long run when you have to spend hours
>>> tweaking,
>>> and fussing just to get your generic machine to work right.
>>>
>>> Once again for me it's ease of use, less headaches, and time. And then
>>> there's
>>> the software, I hate software that gets in the way. By the way, if you want
>>> to see more of Vista, watch the WWDC Keynote video;)
>>>
>>> <http://www.apple.com/quicktime/qtv/wwdc06/>
>>>
>>> James
>>>
>>>
>>> Dedric Terry <

Subject: Re: Compression test
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Fri, 16 Jun 2006 22:38:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Subject: Re: Compression test
Posted by [rick](#) on Sat, 17 Jun 2006 08:55:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

le_@animas.net> wrote:
> >>>>> Are these dual core Woodcrest CPU's the most recent ones that will
> >>>>> outperform the Opterons? It does, indeed, look like a lot of
> >>>>> machine.....not that I would ever be interested in a Mac, you
understand.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> ;oD
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>>>> news:44d7ba40\$1@linux...
> >>>>>
> >>>>>> Check out the stuff about expansion. It all looks interesting,

Subject: Re: Compression test
Posted by [rick](#) on Sat, 17 Jun 2006 08:57:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

br />
it's
> >>>> a
> >>>> lot
> >>>>>> of machine.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> James
> >>>>>>
> >>>
