

t and if one CPU will run at 2.8GHZ and the other at 2.6 GHz.

Just curious....

DeeJl agree wholeheartedly with Chuck. VMWare is probably the best option in terms of features and quality, it works with damn near anything. If they made a .deb for it that worked I'd probably buy it for my linux box. Virtual box is also very good, though it's only truly open source if you build it from source, the binaries are under a different license. In practice this means absolutely nothing. Of course I haven't tried the MSoft option as I'm avoiding their software whenever possible.

Ubuntu Feisty installs easily into both Virtual Box and VMWare, as does Debian Etch. I haven't tried a Debian testing or unstable netinstall but my guess is they'd work fine. SuSE as well, but at this point for me running SuSE is practically as offensive as running XP.

Good luck, and if you have any questions related to Debian (if you go that route) or (to a lesser extent) Ubuntu feel free to post here or email me off list.

TCB

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>

>It's the only way I work now. As I posted in the past, my physical machine
>has absolutely nothing installed on it. I install and run everything in
>a series of VMs. There are a couple of options for XP.

>

>1. Microsoft Virtual PC 2007. Free download. Highly optimized for windows.
> Tight integration with physical PC (file copy, drag and drop, cut and paste,
>display resolutions).

>

>2. Virtual Box. Open Source. Free download. Cool.

>

>3. VMWare. Cost\$. Probably the best there is, if only because of the expansive
>feature set.

>

>There's nothing inherently dangerous about a VM, and I run a bunch of linux
>distros just fine. In fact, the physical machine is 100% isolated from
anything
>the VM may do.

>

>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>

>>

>>What's the go hear?

>>

>>I want to get an isolated copy of Linux running under Windows XP on my work

>>laptop here. What's the go? Is it dangerous at all?

>>

>>What's the popular option software wise?

>>

>>Cheers,

>>Kim.

>What's the advantage of running a virtual machine? I don't get that sort of thing at all - how does it differ from a regular PC setup?

Neil

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>

>I agree wholeheartedly with Chuck. VMWare is probably the best option
