
Subject: WinXP SP2

Posted by [Wayne Carson](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 22:28:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

he vocal gets louder, the quality of the reverb

> changes because it's sending to a different unit. When the vocal is soft,
> maybe the reverb has a long decay time so it's nice and moody and has all
> this big ambience. That long, three-second reverb doesn't work when the person's

> screaming into it; it will be two choruses before the thing finally decays.

>

> Taking the vocal apart and splitting it out to different tracks allows you
> to give the loud parts of the vocal a completely different reverb send and
> completely different compressor or EQ settings than the soft parts. You
> can clone tracks so you've got two vocals or two snare drums one for the
> cross stick, another when he's playing the snare and make them different.
> Doing those things will really make the mix easier.

>

> You want something to go to the back of the mix, put reverb on it. This is
> the most fundamental psychoacoustic trick we have.

Subject: Re: WinXP SP2

Posted by [Martin Harrington](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 00:27:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

er but a calculated attempt to force the Pope to parrot the PC line on Islam. Since Islam need not be

objective can be to assert the power of a God who is so transcendent that He is not bound by anything. If man is created

anything. (This explains the predilection on the part of some

their non-existent morality. They are asserting the only

is today guided far more by Nietzsche existentialist thought than

Reuters quotes an Indian Muslim leader doing precisely what

of New Delhi's historic Jama Masjid, India's largest mosque,

Reuters quotes an unnamed diplomat pointing out the Pope was,

description of the Islamic God as being unbound by reason is not an insult, it is a

Subject: Re: WinXP SP2

Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 01:00:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the

our categories, even that of rationality...(The Islamic) God is

Islam embraces this description. In offering this description of Islam, Benedict refers to the views of leading modern French Islamist R. Arnaldez as discussed in the writings of Professor Theodore Khoury of Munster.

decides, on the basis of his experiences, what he considers

Benedict asserts that without reason, or without God, there can

Both Islamist and secularist seek to break God and reason apart. Each claims superiority over the Christian West. They believe absolute moral license makes them powerful. As globalization carries the Western tradition of reason throughout the world, both are in decline.

Where the force of reason is defeated, Islamist and secularist

with the Soviet Union, invading in June, 1941 after the collapse of the allied forces on the western front.

What the Islamists and the New York Times both fear is having to

Subject: Re: WinXP SP2

Posted by [Wayne Carson](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 02:59:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

r />

>

> In what has suddenly been made into a highly controversial
> speech, the day after September 11, at Bavaria's University of
> Regensburg, Pope Benedict describes Christian belief in a God
> whose words and acts are bound by reason, truth and the law of
> non-contradiction. Benedict contrasts this with Islamic belief
> in a God not bound by anything-including his own words. Benedict
> further contrasts Christian belief with that of secular humanists
> who see reason as being completely unbound of God.

>

> In response, both Islamists and secularists have demanded the
> Pope apologize. Benedict's speech is a work of enlightened
> genius. He has clearly laid out the differences between
> Christian culture and Islamic culture and the basis of the clash
> of civilizations we now experience as the War on Terror. His
> analysis also explains the underlying cause of the alliance
> between the western Left and the Islamofascist Right.

>

> Islamist reaction focuses on one sentence in the speech.
> Reaching back to 1391, Benedict quotes Byzantine Emperor Manuel
> II: "Show me just what Mohammed brought that was new, and there
> you will find things only evil and inhuman, such as his command
> to spread by the sword the faith he preached."

>

> Four days later, according to AP: "Pakistan's legislature
> unanimously condemned Pope Benedict XVI. Lebanon's top Shiite
> cleric demanded an apology. And in Turkey, the ruling party
> likened the pontiff to Hitler and Mussolini and accused him of
> reviving the mentality of the Crusades.

>

> "Across the Islamic world Friday, Benedict's remarks on Islam and
> jihad in a speech in Germany unleashed a torrent of rage that
> many fear could burst into violent protests like those that
> followed publication of caricatures of the Prophet Muhammad."

>

> Reuters quoted other sources expressing fears for the Pope's
> safety and even fear of an attack on Vatican City.

>

> The Islamist reaction proves Manuel II's 600-year-old point. The
> reaction is not one of anger but a calculated attempt to force
> the Pope to parrot the PC line on Islam. Since Islam need not be
> internally consistent and it is not bound by reason, it's only
> objective can be to assert the power of a God who is so
> transcendent that He is not bound by anything. If man is created
> in God's image then by extension Islamic man is not bound by
> anything. (This explains the predilection on the part of some
> Muslims to lie.) Islamists are not responding to any 'offense' to
> their non-existen

Subject: Re: WinXP SP2

Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 05:53:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

dogs flying and scurrying around

>> > getting ready for winter. I was sorta walking along looking at the
> mountains
>> > around me and I noticed that the normal bird sound had stopped and so I
>> > started scanning the area around me. this can be a sign of bears or
> cougars
>> > and I wasn't packin'. About 100' to my left was a fenced area overgrown
> with
>> > vegetation and I walked over to it. It was the family cemetery. It was
> about
>> > 100' x 100' and there were about 20 old grave plots in there. I started
>> > checking the names and dates because I am going to need to prepare an
>> > affidavit of heirship of this family in order to determine who will
>> > sign
> the
>> > paperwork for this roadway and who will get paid. The owner already
>> > told
> me
>> > that the land has been in the family since the early 1900's and was
> patented
>> > to his great grandfather. None of his relatives ever left wills so I'm
> gonna
>> > have to unravel this family tree. As I started noting the names and
> dates of
>> > birth/death I was walking along a row of graves and there were three
> graves
>> > of brothers (I think) that had birth dates in the 1880's and they each
> died
>> > between 1945 and 1947. I noted their names and moved on down the line
> and
>> > all of a sudden I got an absolutely wierd feeling and the hari on the
> back

>> > of my neck started to tingle. I turned around and it looked at these
> graves
>> > again. I could figure out what it was about them that was different.
> Then it
>> > struck me. all of the other graves in this cemetery were overgrown. The
> dirt
>> > on top of these three graves was relatively fresh and it was obvious
> where
>> > the rain the night before had turned some of the dirt ot mud which had
> sorta
>> > molded to the contour of the crown of the grave but none of theese
>> > three
>> > graves had anything at all growing on the graves. How does that happen
> when
>> > theses guys have been dead since the 1940's and every other grave out
> there
>> > is overgrown?
>> >
>> > Very strange.
>> >
>> > Deej
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > "rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:rkrkg2psprgevsfi1vet6993tno42apv48@4ax.com...
>> >> no but i did have a friend who was a flamer....
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> On 15 Sep 2006 17:52:56 +1000, "steve the artguy"
>> >> <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote:
>> >>
>> >>> Any of you guys have any friends with flaming spaceships?
>> >>>
>> >>> <http://tinyurl.com/rnqha>
>> >>>
>> >>> -steve
>> >
>> >
>
>Neil,

I've been using a pair of AT4041's for several years as drum overheads. I don't find them to be over hyped. They've been a good all around SDC, but I think they'd fall short when compared dir

Subject: Re: WinXP SP2
Posted by [Jim\[1\]](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 12:09:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

br />

"gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote:

>

>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>>Gene, maybe I am misunderstanding what you are saying, but it sounds like

>>you are saying that Bush is starting and wants a religious war. I think

>>you may be confused. Other people have already started the religious
>war.

>> Look in to the sixth and seventh pillar of Islam, some where in there
it

>>speaks of living by the sword. In other words, if you don't convert, you

>>are to die. I for one do not believe they are civil or peaceful people.

>> There has not been peace among those people for thousands of years, and

>>now THEY have sucked us in.

>>

>>They would be nothing in the middle east, but the Communists, Russia and

>>China, supplied all these third world countries with tons of weapons!

Now

>>they can make bombs and wage war. Now they know how to make nuclear bombs

>>that can take out US cities. Look at the middle east, south America, North

>>Korea and the mess all across the continent of Africa. Evil people gave

>>more evil people weapons to fight wars with. This has created a world
problem,

>>and the Communist want to keep supplying them with weapons and technology.

>> I know, here comes the liberals with, we gave them weapons too. Think
>about

>>it, where did this start?

>>

>>I'm no fan of Bush, but it's just too easy, and unfair to say it's all

Bush's

>>fault.

>>

>> I will say however, the War in Iraq was completely mishandled. Just do

>>the math, one hundred and fifty thousand troops to take care of thirty
five

>>million people plus, and thousands of miles of open boarder, in a place

>>the size of California. With five million troops, maybe??? I believe

Bush

>>and his friends wanted to be there for years and make all kinds of money

>>on this war. He has created a bigger problem by not taking care of Iraq

>>quickly. There is a lot of blame to go around in our federal government,

>>it's not just one man.

>>

>>As far as the war on terror, people should be honest with themselves. you
>>can't negotiate a war. there is no diplomatic solution to a war. If you
>>stop to talk, they reinforce, rebuild, and reorganize for more war. Or
>did
>>we forget the lessons of the past.
>>
>>In the end, bombs can never stop idealism. The problem is the people that
>>want Jihad will not stop. Peace will never be lasting with these people,
>>it's in their nature to be warring. They think they will be rewarded if
>they
>>kill and die in the name of Islam. So how do you fix it?
>>
>> We are more concerned with terrorist rights than doing the job we need
>to
>>do. When they hit us, we'll have to take them out, make no mistake, it's
>>going to get serious. When they kill millions

Subject: Re: WinXP SP2

Posted by [Wayne Carson](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 15:53:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

calculated attempt to force
> > the Pope to parrot the PC line on Islam. Since Islam need not be

> > objective can be to assert the power of a God who is so
> > transcendent that He is not bound by anything. If man is created

> > anything. (This explains the predilection on the part of some

> > their non-existent morality. They are asserting the only

> >

> > is today guided far more by Nietzsche existentialist thought than

> >
> > Reuters quotes an Indian Muslim leader doing precisely what

> > of New Delhi's historic Jama Masjid, India's largest mosque,

> >
> > Reuters quotes an unnamed diplomat pointing out the Pope was,

> >

> > description of the Islamic God as being unbound by reason is not
> > an insult, it is an Islamic article of faith. What Muslims and

> > dialogue asserting his belief in Christianity. How dare he not

> >

> > being Muslim? But they are not bound by reason to the point is
> > lost on them.
> >

> > this, the Times implicitly recognizes the Islamists are waging a
> > propaganda jihad against the Pope and by extension against

> > jihad, but not your violent jihad.
> >

> > side of the violent jihad. Nowhere is that more clear than in
> > the Islamist reaction to the Pope.
> >
> > With the Pope scheduled to visit Turkey in November the Islamists
> > are rejecting any apology from Vatican spokespersons and demand
> > to hear from the Pope himself. This would place raging mobs of
> > semi-literate Islamist thugs in the position of forcing the
> > leader of Christendom to bow before them.
> >
> > In this demand for submission they are joined by the secularist
> > mouthpiece. In its September 16 edition the Times editorializes:

> > the secularists are driven only by their will to power. While
> > the Islamists represent their demented version of
> > God--unrestrained by reason, the secularists represent their
> > demented vers

Subject: Re: WinXP SP2
Posted by [Robert Arsenault](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 11:37:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/

DC

"gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSP.com> wrote:

>

>"gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSP.com> wrote:

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>I assume we all think that schools in the Middle East where children are
>>indoctrinated with anti-western ideas mixed with big dose of religious
zeal

>>

>>Gene

>>

>

>Right.

>

>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=co1_9IR9EpMEasy, there. Must be careful not to imply that
Fox "News" is quite literally
a propaganda arm of the current administration. That's just gonna open up a
whole can of worms about how the NYTimes is a tool of the Devil, sent to
this earth to make life difficult for our dear sainted leader, who was in
turn appointed to the Presidency by the Lord himself...

Jimmy

"justcron" <parisnews@hydrorecords.com> wrote in message
news:450f2b8f\$1@linux...

>

> "Uptown Jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote in message

> news:450f2afe@linux...

> > DeeJ, good lord amighty, if we go around blowing away every perceived
> > threat

> > to us, we're gonna be dropping a lot of bombs on a lot of people. Where
> > should we start? Seriously? How many countries shall we bomb back to the
> > stone age in an attempt to rid the world of potential threats? How many
> > civil wars shall we create and get bogged down in to appease our more
> > war-like citizens?

>

> Only the ones Bush promotes on Fox News.

>

>What? It's a simple question. Who is a real diplomat in today's world?

"justcron" <parisnews@hydrorecords.com> wrote:

>Dunno, but jump into your next point cuz he's had a lot to say lately.

>

>"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:450f2399\$1@linux...

>>

>> Anyone, anyone? Beuller?

>>

>> So who would you consider to be equal to or better than Kissinger in

>> today's

>> world?

>

>They have nice pictures!!! Good drawing skills.

"DC" <dc@spammersinSF.com> wrote:

>

>That is the most dishonest, unhinged, blatantly biased,

>misrepresentation of Christianity I have ever seen.

>

>See, they're worshipping Bush!

>

>They're INFLEXIBLE on matters such as abortion and gay marriage!!!

>

>Horrors!!

>

>

>Ok Gene, here's some stuff for you to watch about the anti-war

>movement. See how *they* are!!

>

>http://www.zombietime.com/hall_of_shame/

>

>

>DC

>

>

>"gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSP.com> wrote:

>>

>>"gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSP.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>I assume we all think that schools in the Middle East where children are

>>>indoctrinated with anti-western ideas mixed with big dose of religious

>zeal

right?
>>>
>>>Gene
>>>
>>
>>Right.
>>
>>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=co1_9IR9EpM
>Wow, I never knew I could inflate my scrotum. Kewl !!!

"John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>
>What? It's a simple question. Who is a real diplomat in today's world?

>
>
>"justcron"

Subject: Re: WinXP SP2

Posted by [Wayne Carson](#) on Sat, 26 Aug 2006 00:56:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt; >> > tell me if IRQs are shared, right?
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Jimmy
> >> >> >
> >> >> > "John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:4510657c@linux...
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> During boot up does your bios show you what IRQ's it is assigning
> >> >> >> to
> >> > your
> >> >> >> card? It might say "multimedia device" or something like that.
> >> > There's
> >> >> >> no routine, you can put as many eds cards in as long as EVERY
slot
> > you
> >> >> >> put
> >> >> >> them in is not shared with other devices. If the slot is shared
> > with
> >> >> > stuff
> >> >> >> like onboard audio, usb etc turn them off in the bios to free the
> > slot
> >> >> > from
> >> >> >> IRQ conflicts if you will be using that slot.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> If you can see irq info on bootup you can try each slot and see

```
> >> >> >> what
> >> >> >> comes
> >> >> >> up as shared. Also, run msinfo32.exe from Start / Run and see
what
> >> > IRQs
> >> >> >> are doing what under Hardware.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> It's all about the god damn irq's !!!
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> "Uptown Jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> >> >> >> >I have a one-card system going smoothly, with the EDS card in
slot
> > 3
> >> > of
> >> >> >> 4.
> >> >> >> >Still unable to get through to the Asus people about IRQs, still
> >> > trying.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >Today I will try the one EDS card in the other three slots, one
by
> >> > one.
> >> >> >> If
> >> >> >> >things go well in each slot, can I just throw all four of my EDS
> >> >> >> >cards
> >> >> >> >in
> >> >> >> >their at once (I still have the four of them linked together
with
> >> > little
> >> >> >> EDS
> >> >> >> >cables, just the same as I pulled them out), or is there some
> > special
> >> >> >> >routine I have to follow? The manual doesn't make utter sense to
> >> >> >> >me
> >> >> >> >on
> >> >> > this
> >> >> >> >point, somehow...
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >Jimmy
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >>
```

> >>

> >

> >

>

>"gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote:

>

>

>Leap Symphonic Choirs going on this month that is very tempting.

>

>It looks like there is a good chance it will reach its potential low price

>of 398 (995 list) so this is a good opportunity to get it at discount, if

>you need it.

>

>The on-line videos are cool to check out even if you are not interested,

>just to see the state of the technology.

>

> <http://www.soundsonline.com/EastWest-Quantum-Leap-Symphonic-Choirs-Group-Buy-pr-EW-165G.html>

>

>Gene

>

Just got this email, so I hope to have my copy next week!

"The EastWest/Quantum Leap Symphonic Choirs Group Buy has now reached the maximum discount of 60%.

The Choirs is now on sale for just \$US398!"

Gene"gene lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote:

>Just got this email, so I hope to have my copy next week!

>

>"The EastWest/Quantum Leap Symphonic Choirs Group Buy has now reached the

>maximum discount of 60%.

>The Choirs is now on sale for just \$US398!"

>

>Gene

>

>

Does it seem hypocritical if the Church of Anechoism has a choir?Jimmy,

did it ever occur to you that someone was actually paying some attention to Iraq and what was happening there, even when Clinton wasn't? Considering the intelligence assessments (or lack thereof) I would think that any potential leader of this country might be thinking ahead. "W" would have a special interest I'll grant you, since his father's administration was the one who

organized the war an
