
Subject: the Punk chronicles.....

Posted by [DJ](#) on Sat, 24 Nov 2007 02:27:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"_blank">1@linux...

> I've heard of 2 ppl that tried, one with some successes but it's been a
> while (over 2 or 3 years ago) and I don't recall who. I guess the worst
> you can do it try, but I sure like XP a lot better (over 2k in general) if
> you have the option, and you'll be in better company if things don't go
> well at some point and you need help.

>

> AA

>

>

> "Gregb" <

Subject: Re: the Punk chronicles.....

Posted by [DJ](#) on Sat, 24 Nov 2007 02:46:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

get="_blank">funkykeys1@verizon.net> wrote in message news:478ae017\$1@linux...

>>

>> "Gregb" <funkykeys1@verizon.net> wrote:

>>>

>>>Is anyone using Windows 2000 successfully? If so, did you install the xp
>> drivers?

>>>Thanks!

>>

>>

>> Anyone?

>

>As said many times before here the last years and as we did some years
ago: Political stuff lived very well in General. It's all up to us to
again let it get a good place in General. Kim, isn't it again time to
say some wise words to get political stuff back where it belongs for
years? We're all good here to practice some selfjustice with these
kind of stuff, so let us again get General to live political good.

Erling

On Mon, 14 Jan 2008 11:26:54 +1100,

Subject: Re: the Punk chronicles.....

Posted by [DJ](#) on Sat, 24 Nov 2007 02:48:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Martin Harrington

<lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote:

>On 14/1/08 11:14 AM, in article 478aa9ec\$1@linux, "Aaron Allen"

><know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote:

>

>> You don't have to read the thread. Just like I don't have to read the Aussie

>> based threads when those come up (though I chose to do so for the most

>> p

Subject: Re: the Punk chronicles.....

Posted by [DJ](#) on Sat, 24 Nov 2007 06:08:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

br />

>

>But thanks for the nice compliment. I should tell you, though, that I'm not

>particularly young, and the fact that I can count spilled toothpicks at a

>glance doesn't make me bright.

>

>I'm an excellent driver.

>

>S

>

>

>"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:478a535f\$1@linux...

>> Well done, class! Your answers are all correct, but as usual our bright

>> young lady is most astute. In the '60s psychiatry started a campaign to

>> influence the schools and school systems and the teaching colleges in a

>> big way.

>>

>> In the '50s at an annual convention, the head of American Psychiatric

>> Association publicly declared their new goal of creating a "Value Neutral

>> Society". Their aim was to blur and eradicate the distinction between

>> right and wrong.

>>

>> They knew that the sure way to change society was by influencing the

>> children. Psychiatrists have gradually introduced to our schools the mass

>> drugging of children and even infants (approximately 8 million on Ritalin

>> type drugs), Outcome Based Education (doesn't matter how much or how well

>> children learn, just that they felt good about it) and the rest of their

>> psuchobabble notions of how people should think and behave.

>>

>> Who was the most influential proponent of the "drug culture"? Not

>> coincidentally, a psychologist and college professor, Dr. Timothy Leary.

>>

>> When you hear someone derided for being "judgmental", that is the

>> influence of psychiatric double speak. Smart, ethical people used to be
>> regarded as showing "good judgment", but now that positive attribute of
>> being able to rightly judge people and situations has been slyly skewed
>> into a bad thing. I'm sure you have noticed other examples of how
>> illogical psychiatric concepts have replaced good reasonin

Subject: Re: the Punk chronicles.....

Posted by [Neil](#) on Sat, 24 Nov 2007 06:14:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

e as there is today.

On Mon, 14 Jan 2008 01:02:06 -0800, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>
wrote:

>Now, settle down, Bill . . . don't go all Tom Cruise on us. "Battlefield
>Earth" was just a book and a movie . . . the psych-iatrists/ologists are not
>evil aliens from another galaxy . . . or ARE they? (dunt dunt duuunnnnn . .
>.)) Psychs . . . Psychlos . . . hmmm.
>
>I admire th

Subject: Re: the Punk chronicles.....

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sat, 24 Nov 2007 14:04:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

competition were harmful to children's mental
>>>> health.
>>>>
>>>> S
>

Subject: Re: the Punk chronicles.....

Posted by [DJ](#) on Sat, 24 Nov 2007 17:47:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>criticized Dianetics/Scientology, and ever since then the Church of
>Scientology has been following L. Ron's policy to attack the attackers,

>rather than defend the Church. The entire profession of psychiatry became

>"fair game." Is it possible that, as a loyal member of the COS, this
>decades old conflict is an element of your passionate feelings about psychs?

>Can you confront that possibility?
>
> I agree philosophically about the use of psychiatric drugs on children

>(and adults for that matter), but broad generalities are just not at all

>persuasive or helpful.
>
>Peace,
>
>Sarah(tonin re-uptake inhibitor)
>
>
>"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com>

Subject: Re: the Punk chronicles.....
Posted by [AlexPlasko](#) on Sat, 24 Nov 2007 18:09:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

br />

>>> at a glance doesn't make me bright.
>>>
>>> I'm an excellent driver.
>>>
>>> S
>>>
>>>
>>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message
>>> news:478a535f\$1@linux...
>>>> Well done, class! Your answers are all correct, but as usual our bright

>>>> young lady is most astute. In the '60s psychiatry started a campaign
to
>>>> influence the schools and school systems and the teaching colleges in
a
>>>> big way.
>>>>
>>>> In the '50s at an annual convention, the head of American Psychiatric

>>>> Association publicly declared their new goal of creating a "Value
>>>> Neutral So

Subject: Re: the Punk chronicles.....

Posted by [Cujjo](#) on Sat, 24 Nov 2007 18:45:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

better. Much less

work

>that way.

>

> See? It's a perfect kinky three-way relationship. No conspiracy, just

>people being their usual crazy selves.

>

> But if you're going to have this be a big conspiracy, you better include

>the parents and teachers in on it. No one is forcing parents to drug their

>kids. I had a problem child, and I didn't drug him. The school wanted
us

>to, and we said, "NO!" Children are all individual people who learn in
>different ways and at different rates, and I'm not going to drug him just

>because they can't figure how to teach him.

>

> Some shrinks drug their patients, others don't. I'm not buying the
big

>faceless EVIL. It's just the pharmaceutical-medical-patient triangle at

>work.

>

> Many years ago, the American Psychiatric Association rejected and

Subject: Re: the Punk chronicles.....

Posted by [Neil](#) on Sat, 24 Nov 2007 18:56:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ens from another galaxy . . . or ARE they? (dunt dunt

>>> duuunnnnn . . .) Psychs . . . Psychlos . . . hmmm.

>>>

>>> I admire that Scientology usually demands specifics and personal

>>> observation, but when it comes to psychiatry, it's all broad

>>> generalities. I know a fair number of psychs through my job, and if

>>> there's an evil conspiracy to ruin humanity, I think someone forgot to

>>> clue them in. Now, the pharmaceutical companies . . . that's another

>>> story.

>>>

>>> But thanks for the nice compliment. I should tell you, though, that

Subject: Re: the Punk chronicles.....

Posted by [Cujjo](#) on Sat, 24 Nov 2007 19:53:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ciety". Their aim was to blur and eradicate the distinction

>>>> between right and wrong.

>>>>

>>>> They knew that the sure way to change society was by influencing the

>>>> children. Psychiatrists have gradually introduced to our schools the

>>>> mass drugging of children and even infants (approximately 8 million
on

>>>> Ritalin type drugs), Outcome Based Education (doesn't matter how much
or

>>>> how well children learn, just that they felt good about it) and the
rest

>>>> of their psuchobabble notions of how people should think and behave.

>>>>

>>>> Who was the most influential proponent of the "drug culture"? Not

>>>> coincidentally, a psychologist and college professor, Dr. Timothy Leary.

>>>>

>>>> When you hear someone derided for being "judgmental", that is the

>>>> influence of psychiatric double speak. Smart, ethical people used to
be

>>>> regarded as showing "good judgment", but now that positive attribute
of

>>>> being able to rightly judge people and situations has been slyly skewed

>>>> into a bad thing. I'm sure you have noticed other examples of how

>>>> illogical psychiatric concepts have replaced good reasoning.

>>>>

>>>> When you look around

Subject: Re: the Punk chronicles.....

Posted by [Cujjo](#) on Sat, 24 Nov 2007 20:00:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

our society and see the delineation between right

>>>> and wrong, logical and illogical, smart and stupid being blurred it
is

>>>> no accident. Look to the only group who has for over 50 years had that

>>>> as their stated aim: psychiatry and psychology.

>>>>

>>>> We can fix our schools but we must first recognize the source of the
>>>> problem and erase its influence or it will only get worse. Our children
>>>> are our responsibility.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> rick wrote:
>>>>> yup, a bunch of egotistical numbnuts. it astounds me the level of
non
>>>>> educated college graduates we're churning out...with pride.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> On Sun, 13 Jan 2008 01:29:11 -0800, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>
>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> I think you got it, Don. Psychologists pretending to know something

>>>>>> decided that discipline and competen were harmful to children's
>>>>>> mental health.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> S
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4789a15e\$1@linux...
>>>>>>> Self esteem replaced achievement.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>
>Good Morning Steve,

Yes - I

Subject: Re: the Punk chronicles.....
Posted by [Neil](#) on Sat, 24 Nov 2007 20:27:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

. DC name checks Richard Dawkins! That's like
me quoting ibn-Qutab or Pat Robertson with a straight face!

This is classic mis-reading of Dawkins, which is unsurprising. Between the
two of us 'The God Delusion' has been read twice, both times by me. Dawkins
goes to great length in the first chapter of that book to defend against
precisely what you're doing. That is, many scientists are 'social Christians,'
that is, they go to church to meet their friends and neighbors before settling
down to watch the Sunday football game. It's a very English thing, walk down
to see the vicar, talk about the rain, see the neighbor's kid growing up.

Many scientists (Dawkins singles out Einstein in particular) also make vague statements about the mysteries they have yet to figure out that sound more or less 'spiritual' but are at most crypto-deism.

Dawkins argues that the twice born use both of these things to heave onto scientists attributes like the belief in a personal god and belief in a Christian nation. He finds this especially perplexing for Americans since while the first white Americans were religious nut jobs they were fleeing religious oppression (in England) and went out of their way to take religion out of control of the state.

So, Don, you're doing just what Dawkins says shouldn't be done. He says 'I like singing Christmas carols' and you take that to mean 'I believe in a common set of Christian values' where in fact he argues extensively, and repeatedly, that Christian values as represented in scripture and the actions of fundamentalist Christians are anathema to him.

So, Richard Dawkins vehemently disagrees.

TCB

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>

>I think there is common ground. I think our traditions provide us with
>a set of behaviors we should expect of each other.

>

>Richard Dawkins evidently agrees.

>

>http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/politics/7136682.stm

>

>The truth is, I do not know which morals will be selected

Subject: Re: the Punk chronicles.....

Posted by [JNeil](#) on Sat, 24 Nov 2007 20:32:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

, or should be

>because I believe in a secular, (not secularist!) government and those
>choices are the product of a people, not a person.

>

>How would you teach morals in grade school? Should we be doing this?

>

>Well, I think so, and I think there is enough common ground to do so

>

>agree?

>

>DC

>
>"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>
>>Obfuscate all you want, you're digging a hole and hanging curtains. There's
>>no conversation here, just you being my

Subject: Re: the Punk chronicles.....
Posted by [Cujjo](#) on Sun, 25 Nov 2007 01:35:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
>> people being their usual crazy selves.
>>
>> But if you're going to have this be a big conspiracy, you better include
>
>> the parents and teachers in on it. No one is forcing parents to drug their
>
>> kids. I had a problem child, and I didn't drug him. The school wanted
> us
>> to, and we said, "NO!" Children are all individual people who learn in
>> different ways and at different rates, and I'm not going to drug him just
>
>> because they can't figure how to teach him.
>>
>> Some shrinks drug their patients, others don't. I'm not buying the
> big
>> faceless EVIL. It's just the pharmaceutical-medical-patient triangle at
>
>> work.
>>
>> Many years ago, the American Psychiatric Association rejected and
>> criticized Dianetics/Scientology, and ever since then the Church of
>> Scientology has been following L. Ron's policy to attack the attackers,
>
>> rather than defend the Church. The entire profession of psychiatry became
>
>> "fair game." Is it possible that, as a loyal member of the COS, this
>> decades old conflict is an element of your passionate feelings about psychs?
>
>> Can you confront that possibility?
>>
>> I agree philosophically about the use of psychiatric drugs on children
>
>> (and adults for that matter), but broad generalities are just not at all
>
>> persuasive or helpful.
>>

>> Peace,
>>
>> Sarah(tonin re-uptake inhibitor)
>>
>>
>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:478b7089@linux...
>>> Sarah, It's hard to confront evil. Sometimes evil people smile and laugh
>
>>> and seem like,they are your friend. But truly evil actions are not done
> by
>>> accident. Can you think of any word other than "evil" for drugging 8
>>> million helpless and innocent children with a harmful and very powerful
>
>>> narcotic? It is NO ACCIDENT and it is VERY EVIL.
>>>
>>> Confront it.
>>>
>>> Sarah wrote:
>>>> Now, settle down, Bill . . . don't go all Tom Cruise on us. "Battlefield
>
>>>> Earth" was just a book and a movie . . . the psych-iatrists/ologists
> are
>>>> not evil aliens from another galaxy . . . or ARE they? (dunt dunt
>>>> duuunnnnn . . .) Psychs . . . Psychlos . . . hmmm.
>>>>
>>>> I admire that Scientology usually demands specifics and personal
>>>> observation, but when it comes to psychiatry, it's all broad
>>>> generalities. I know a fair number of psychs thro
