
Subject: OT Wireless Mic Buying Tips?

Posted by [Bill L](#) on Sat, 05 May 2007 20:22:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ht. The shell

>game

>>>

>>>>> is

>>>>> one of the oldest cons in the book. Even Congress has "fallen" for

>it

>>>-

>>>>> twice I believe (2 investigation into price fixing exonerated the oil

>>>>> industry...so where's the profit coming from? ...and going).

>>>>>

>>>>> I hear our illustrious new congress plans to attempt some le

Subject: Re: OT Wireless Mic Buying Tips?

Posted by [Morgan](#) on Sat, 05 May 2007 22:48:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gislation

>>>to

>>>>> ease the problem... at the end of the summer they say... great, *after*

>>>a

>>>>> full season of skyrocketed gas prices, more record profits, and just

>>>in

>>>>> time

>>>>> for prices take their token "drop" in the fall to lull the country

>into

>>>>> believing someone actually did something, and \$3.75 isn't so bad anymore

>>>>> (after we see \$4.50+). Yet another "we'll solve the problem by hoping

>>>>> everyone will forget about it so we don't actually have to do anything"

>>>>> solution.

>>>>>

>>>>> And no, the solution isn't as easy as saying "let's go find an alternative

>>>>> f

Subject: Re: OT Wireless Mic Buying Tips?

Posted by [Bill L](#) on Sun, 06 May 2007 03:01:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

quot;the crazies"

>>

>>>>> >> (how

>>>>> >> Bush I's administration referred to the "neocons").

>>>>> >
>>>>> > Bush I had achieved a scenario wherein if Sadaam violated sanctions,
>>>> there
>>>>> > were remedies. No one was willing to explore those remedies in any
>>kind
>>>> of
>>>>> > serious way. this is Clintons major crime, IMO. He had a duty to
do
>>
>>>>> > this
>>>>> > and he didn't. As the 90's wore on, a billionaire maniac who paid
>blood
>>>>> > money to the familes of terrorists to ensure that the could more

>>>>> > easlily
>>>>> > walk into Israeli shipping malls and restaurants and murder people
>>
>>>>> > while
>>>>> > he flaunted UN authority was buiding his time until sham sanctions
>>were
>>>>> > officially lifted while in Afghanistan, the weaselly rich wannabee

Subject: Re: OT Wireless Mic Buying Tips?
Posted by [JeffH](#) on Sun, 06 May 2007 05:41:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
>>who
>>>>> > felt dissed because the Saudi's didn't want him to defend them from
>>>> Sadaam
>>>>> > and decided it should be us, collects the scum of the earth and every
>>>>> > other variety of homicidal miscreant, gets some lunatic mullas to
>deify
>>>>> > them in their own minds and sends these Aassholes around the world
>>to
>>>> kill
>>>>> > people.
>>>>> >
>>>>> > Sorry Sarah....some things are not complicated at all.
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >> If this crusade is truly a righteous one, and if six figure
>>>>> >> "collateral
>>>>> >> damage" is an acceptable loss for what we've gained (?) so far,
then
>>>> why
>>>>> >> screw around? Why not just kill everyone between India and the
>>>>> >> Mediterranean (except for Israel, of course)? It's the only way

to
>>be
>>>>> >> sure. We must have the technology. After that we should probably
>>just
>>>>> >> eliminate all Muslims around the world, since apparently the Quran
>>>>> >> compels true believers to kill non-Muslims. Islamic extremists
are
>>a
>>>>> >> cancer on civilisation, and you have to get the surrounding tissue
>>
>>>>> >> when
>>>>> >> you remove a cancer.
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >> It's only logical.
>>>>> >
>>>>> > Because if you listen to the majority of the international press,

Subject: Re: OT Wireless Mic Buying Tips?
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Sun, 06 May 2007 06:53:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>they
>>>>> > would have you believe that there are a lot more crazy people in
this
>>>>> > world like the assholes we are fighting than reasonable people who
>>
>>>>> > would
>>>>> > like to be rid of them.
>>>>> >
>>>>> > In spite of the apparent lunacy that I see on the left side of the
>>>> aisle,
>>>>> > I think that they have a huge part to play in the downfall of these
>>>>> > cretins.
>>>>> >
>>>>> >
>>>> <http://www.marxists.org/archive/hekmat-mansoor/2001/misc/rise-fall-islam.htm>
>>>>> >
>>>>> > ...if the left doesn't screw the pooch beforehand and in so doing,
>>take
>>>>> > those in this country that are still willing to fight for it with
>them.
>>>>> >
>>>>> > ;o)
>>>>> >
>>>>>
>>>>>

>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>

>Now this looks really fun!!!

"David L" <david@revealaudio.com> wrote in message news:4656f4ff\$1@linux...

> On the subject of tinkering, DJ, check this out -

>

> <http://www.anti-theory.com/soundart/circuitbend/>

>

> David L

>

>

> On 24-May-2007, "Neil" <IUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>

>> That's because you're by nature a tinkerer more than you're a

>> recordist. I don't mean that in a negative way, it's just that

>> you would rather overcome the challenges inherent in your latest

>> Goldbergian setup than sit down at a fully-functioning rig that

>> boots up in less than 15 seconds & everything works

Subject: Re: OT Wireless Mic Buying Tips?

Posted by [Tom Bruhl](#) on Sun, 06 May 2007 08:52:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the moment

>> your wallpaper comes up & you can set up a mic & dial in a nice

>> preamp & record for ten hours straight with no glitches,

>> lockups, or BSOD's."Chris Lang" <yo@yo.yo> wrote in message news:46573aae\$1@linux...

>

> I have to get a new SCSI cable for Paris. It seems that I can get a 22ft.

> cable more easily than I can get a 15 footer. Is that too long? Anybody

> out there successfully using a SCSI cable longer than 15ft. between the

> MEC

> and the EDS????

>

> Thanks!

>

> Chris

Chris,

I bought 4 of these a while back. the performed perfectly. If you can live iwht 15' and the price, I can 99.9% guarantee that these will ovrk.

<http://www.thetwistergroup.com/product/2052-15%20H01364.html>"DJ"
<www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message news:465749b4@linux...
>
> "Chris Lang" <yo@yo.yo> wrote in message news:46573aae\$1@linux...
>>
>> I have to get a new SCSI cable for Paris. It seems that I can get a
>> 22ft.
>> cable more easily than I can get a 15 footer. Is that too long? Anybody
>> out there successfully using a SCSI cable longer than 15ft. between the
>> MEC
>> and the EDS????
>>
>> Thanks!
>>
>> Chris
>
> Chris,
>
> I bought 4 of these a while back. the performed perfectly. If you can live
> iwht 15' and the price, I can 99.9% guarantee that these will owrk.
>
> <http://www.thetwistergroup.com/product/2052-15%20H01364.html>
>

jeeeezzzzz.....look at my spelling...

Dyslexics..UNTIE!!!"The bush's are just helping them and appointing their people to all of those positions you speak of. Funny thing is, they have even gotten in to china, but they haven't been able to control the arab countries of the middle east.

These people are never happy unless they are taking advantage of some body. I'm not going to say who these people are but you can find them through out world history."

When I read intentionally vague stuff like this, I start to think that you are referring to [JEWS]. Of course everyone knows that [JEWS] rule the world, pulling the puppet strings from behind the scenes, hording capital, and sucking the life out of innocent communities with their rapier sharp, blood sucking vampire teeth.

In DC it's impossible to walk down the street without a [JEW] trying to lend me money at usurious rates, so I TOTALLY GET IT. If only someone could come up with a viable plan to eradicate the [JEWS]!

Chuck

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>Well Gene, you obviously don't know me! I've never liked Bush or his trilateralist family. When he ran for the nomination for the Republican party, I said he is an oil man, If he gets elected our standard of living will drop and will be paying through the nose for gas and oil.

>

>His father is a card holding member of the CFR and the Trilateral commission, and don't kid you self for a minute that it doesn't exist. At the end of George Bush the first's term, he invaded Rwanda and Somalia. The bullshit excuse was that we wanted to go in to stabilize and play Santa to all the poor children. We were lied to. Americans died for oil companies. The truth was, their leader was assassinated and two warlords were fighting for

>control. The oil companies lost their on shore and off shore oil leases.
> It was about oil! That's why we went, not playing santa to poor people.
> There has been blood shed and unrest in Africa for thousands of years.

>We don't go in to straiten things out unless there is oil at stake. I don't like the Bush family.

>

>You've got it wrong, it's not the Bush's that have control of the world and have their people running things in every nook and cranny. It's a particular group of people that are running everything. The bush's are just helping them and appointing their people to all of those positions you speak of.
> Funny thing is, they have even gotten in to china, but they haven't been able to control the arab countries of the middle east. These people are never happy unless they are taking advantage of some body. I'm not going to say who these people are but you can find them through out world history.

>

>

>

>"Gene Lennon" <g@mail.com> wrote:

>>

>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>

>> They're damned lucky I'm not in charge.

>>

>>

>>

>>
>>The last six years have been a great American experiment. At no time in
>our
>>history have we been so controlled by a single philosophy. The Bush doctrine
>>has been pushed into every nook and cranny of our government, national
and

>>in the world that gets any financial assistance or incentive from US dollars
>>goes along. The Bush administration has actually placed cronies in every
>>spot they can. Both important positions and less important positions. From
>>the key leaders of our country, our military, our Supreme Court, the UN,
>all
>>the way down to who runs Public Radio and ten thousand other examples.
Who
>>filters the science coming from NASA, NOAA etc? The civilian staffing
in
>>Iraq is a perfect example. Bush loyalist got all the key positions. Why
>bring
>>in someone with knowledge and experience when you can bring in a crony?
>Our

>>
>>Sure other administrations, both republican and democrat, have tried to
>extend
>>their sphere of influence, but at no time in American history has one administration
>>been so successful at achieving near 100 percent control. The fact that
>the
>>republicans controlled both houses of congress combined with the post 9/11
>>fear that questioning the administration could be interpreted and being
>un-patriotic

>>
>>So congratulations James. You have been in charge and you should be very
>>proud of what you have achieved.
>>
>>Gene
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>
>>>>Sorry, guys . . . of course I didn't seriously think DeeJ or any other
>>sane
>>>

>>>person would advocate killing all Muslims. I was just trying in my typical
>>>
>>>clumsy sarcastic way to make a point, that point being that I find it

>>>incomprehensible that people who support this invasion and occupation
seem
>>>
>>>to believe that the stunning "collateral damage" is a fair price for what
>>>
>>>little we've gained in return. Saddam is gone. Great. He could've been
>>>
>>>gone without declaring war on Iraq . . . without the collateral damage.<<
>>>
>>>There is always collateral damage in war. You can't put a price on collateral
>>>damage when it comes to war. This isn't patty cakes, it's war. There
>is
>>>no way to make it clean, convenient, or palatable! So how could we have
>>>removed Sadam, his sons and the whole Baath party from power with out
invading?
>>> We couldn't have. Your thinking is hindsight and vary convenient.
>>>
>>>>>And when I use the euphemism "collateral damage," I'm not just talking
>>>about
>>>the tens, maybe hundreds of thousands of dead civilians. I'm talking
about
>>>
>>>the survivors, too, who we've given reason to hate us where perhaps there
>>>
>>>wasn't reason before. And that includes innocent people rotting in places
>>>
>>>like Guantanamo. And it includes the freedom-loving dissenters in Iran
>>you
>>>
>>>refer to who now fear George Bush more than their own insane leaders.

>"Bomb
>>>
>>>bomb bomb . . . bomb bomb Iran" - John McCain doing Beach Boys, to rounds
>>>of
>>>laughter and applause. Yeah, that's funny.<<
>>>
>>>It was Muslims that declared war on the US in 1996! It was Muslims that
>>>attacked us first. It's muslims that want a holy war. What about the
>>people
>>> Sadam killed, possibly millions? Oh yeah, all those poor innocent people
>>>in Guantanamo. I love that hey terrorist should have rights too thinking.
>>> They're damned lucky I'm not in charge. As far as McCain goes he is
>a

>>>weasel.
>>>
>>>>>Of course the decent, sane Muslims in the middle East are in the majority,
>>>
>>>which is exactly why we shouldn't be in their countries making war! We're
>>>
>>>killing a lot more of them than we are Al Caeda, and that's not acceptable.
>>>
>>>What percentage of the people we've killed in Iraq were actually Al Qaeda?
>>>
>>>I bet you anything it's a single digit, maybe even a couple digits after
>>>a
>>>decimal point. (Sunni and Shia civil warring insurgents don't count).<<
>>>

Subject: Re: OT Wireless Mic Buying Tips?
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Sun, 06 May 2007 13:17:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t; If we bomb a target of insurgents, it's going to turn out to be a wedding
>>>party full of kids. That is so convenient for the left wing liberal media!
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Overall, we are NOT killing the right people. We're like an exterminator
>>>
>>>who shows up at your house to get cockroaches with a shotgun. We're trying
>>>
>>>to eliminate head lice with a hammer. Ow.<<
>>>
>>>
>>>Who is killing the innocent in Iraq? You'd like to blame the US. That
>>is
>>>so convenient for you. Why don't Sunni and Shia civil warring insurgents
>>>count? What, is it inconvenient? Is it because it doesn't fit in to
you
>>>stupid liberal thinking? What about Al Qaeda? They kill their own at
>will
>>>and it's Ok. And it's the US that is wrong. It's the US thinking that
>>is
>>>wrong. It the US that asked for this by our policies. What a pile of
>rotten,
>>>stinking, bullshit!!!!
>>>
>>>Since we are doing it all wrong, why don't you go over there and make
sure
>>>the right people get killed? They would catch you, rape you, beat you

>with
>>>an inch of your life, then they'd cut your silly head off on video tape,
>>>and send your headless rotting corpse floating down the Tigris river,
>that's
>>>why not!
>>>
>>>It's you that doesn't get it!
>>>
>>>>Al Qaeda is an international network of criminals, not a country, and
>>should
>>>
>>>be dealt with as such.
>>>
>>>Sarah<<
>>>
>>>Al Qaeda is in Iraq!!! Where should it be dealt with?
>>>
>>>
>>>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarah-tonin.com> wrote:
>>>>Sorry, guys . . . of course I didn't seriously think DeeJ or any other
>>sane
>>>
>>>>person would advocate killing all Muslims. I was just trying in my typical
>>>
>>>>clumsy sarcastic way to make a point, that point being that I find it
>
>>>>incomprehensible that people who support this invasion and occupation
>seem
>>>
>>>>to believe that the stunning "collateral damage" is a fair price for
what
>>>
>>>>little we've gained in return. Saddam is gone. Great. He could've
been
>>>
>>>>gone without declaring war on Iraq . . . without the collateral damage.
>>>>
>>>>And when I use the euphemism "collateral damage," I'm not just talking
>>about
>>>
>>>>the tens, maybe hundreds of thousands of dead civilians. I'm talking
>about
>>>
>>>>the survivors, too, who we've given reason to hate us where perhaps there
>>>
>>>>wasn't reason before. And that includes innocent people rotting in places
>>>
>>>>like Guantanamo. And it includes the freedom-loving dissenters in Iran

>>>>you
>>>>refer to who now fear George Bush more than their own insane leaders.
>
>>"Bomb
>>>
>>>>bomb bomb . . . bomb bomb Iran" - John McCain doing Beach Boys, to rounds
>>>of
>>>>laughter and applause. Yeah, that's funny.
>>>>
>>>>Of course the decent, sane Muslims in the middle East are in the majority,
>>>
>>>>which is exactly why we shouldn't be in their countries making war!
We're
>>>
>>>>killing a lot more of them than we are Al Qaeda, and that's not acceptable.
>>>
>>>>What percentage of the people we've killed in Iraq were actually Al Qaeda?
>>>
>>>>I bet you anything it's a single digit, maybe even a couple digits after
>>>a
>>>>decimal point. (Sunni and Shia civil warring insurgents don't count).
>>>>
>>>>Overall, we are NOT killing the right people. We're like an exterminator
>>>
>>>>who shows up at your house to get cockroaches with a shotgun. We're
trying
>>>
>>>>to eliminate head lice with a hammer. Ow.
>>>>

Subject: Re: OT Wireless Mic Buying Tips?

Posted by [aaron allen\[3\]](#) on Sun, 06 May 2007 14:17:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>
>>>>Al Qaeda is an international network of criminals, not a country, and
>should
>>>
>>>>be dealt with as such.
>>>>
>>>>Sarah
>>>>
>>>>"Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:4654d702\$1@linux...
>>>>>> > Because if you listen to the majority of the international press,
>>they
>>>>>> > would have you believe that there are a lot more crazy people in

>this
>>>>> > world like the assholes we are fighting than reasonable people who
>>>
>>>>> > would
>>>>> > like to be rid of them.
>>>>>
>>>>> Sarah, I think what DeeJ is saying here is that there are many, many
>>more,
>>>>> non fundamentalist Muslims around the world who are reasonable, not
>>>>> radicalized and want to live under democracy rather than theocracy.
>What
>>>
>>>>> the
>>>>> press would have you believe is just the opposite, that the crazy ones
>>>are
>>>>> the majority. You don't see the press covering dissenting views by
more
>>>>> moderate Islamics. I agree with DeeJ. This can be supported if you
look
>>>at
>>>>> the contemptuous reaction by the younger and educated in Iran against
>>>the
>>>>> mullahs and Amadinejad. There is much dissent in Iran, which you only
>>>read
>>>>> about in rare publications. There is also much repression in Iran against
>>>>> the voice of democracy. We need to be the light and hope for the world
>>>and
>>>>> for democratic freedoms. The alternative is frightening, isn't it!
>>>>>
>>>>> http://www.nowpublic.com/silencing_dissent_in_iran_four_hanged_0
>>>>>
>>>>> <http://www.hrw.org/english/docs/2004/06/07/iran8774.htm>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message news:4653b5af@linux...
>>>>>> So . . . hang on a minute here . . . you're saying you think we SHOULD
>>>>> kill
>>>>>> all Muslims, starting with the entire Middle East?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> S
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message news:4653a4f0@linux...
>>>>>> >
>>>>>> > "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:46537917@linux...
>>>>>> >> "To occupy Iraq would instantly shatter our coalition, turning
the
>>>>> whole

>>>>> >> Arab world against us and make a broken tyrant into a latter-day
>>hero
>>>>> ...
>>>>> >> assigning young soldiers to a fruitless hunt for a securely entrenched
>>>>> >> dictator and condemning them to fight in what would be an un-winnable
>>>>> >> urban guerilla war. It could only plunge that part of the world
>into
>>>>> even
>>>>> >> greater instability."
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >> - George H.W. Bush
>>>>> >
>>>>> > And you are referring to what coalition????.....the
>>one
>>>>> that
>>>>> > Clinton failed to hold together the minute he was elected???
>>>>> >
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >> Baby Bush shoulda listened to Big Bush instead of to "the crazies"
>>>
>>>>> >> (how
>>>>> >> Bush I's administration referred to the "neocons").
>>>>> >
>>>>> > Bush I had achieved a scenario wherein if Sadaam violated sanctions,
>>>>> there
>>>>> > were remedies. No one was willing to explore those remedies in any
>>>kind
>>>>> of
>>>>> > serious way. this is Clintons major crime, IMO. He had a duty to
>do
>>>
>>>>> > this
>>>>> > and he didn't. As the 90's wore on, a billionaire maniac who paid
>>blood
>>>>> > money to the familes of terrorists to ensure that the could more
>
>>>>> > easlily
>>>>> > walk into Israeli shipping malls and restaurants and murder people
>>>
>>>>> > while
>>>>> > he flaunted UN authority was buiding his time until sham sanctions
>>>were
>>>>> > officially lifted while in Afghanistan, the weaselly rich wannabee
>&

Subject: Re: OT Wireless Mic Buying Tips?

Posted by [Bill L](#) on Sun, 06 May 2007 15:17:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ow.com/content/links.aspx

>

> Chuck -- I know the stuff is available in Virginia and maryland; don't

> know about DC, but it will inevitably be available in all metro areas.

> And the VW's from the last few years are really cool cars -- stuff

> your little family (and your keyboards) into a late model Passat, for

> instance, running on 100% not petroleum, and see if you don't find

> yourself smiling...

>

> -- maybe too late for the world, but it's a pleasant way to go, for

> now -- good luck -- chas.

>

> On 26 May 2007 04:30:04 +1000, "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>

>>

>>Wow, that is really cool. I'll be googling shortly. Thanks for the info.

>>

>>

>>Chuck

>>Chas. Duncan <duncan5199ATsbcglobalDOTnet@> wrote:

>>>

>>>It's all over the place on this coast -- from San Diego to Seattle,

>>>first at specialty fuel places, and now more and more at regular "gas

>>>stations..." I get it in two different pumps in this county (central

>>>coast of CA). There's a big independent fuel retailer in the cities

>>>(maybe national, I don't know) called USA -- that has 100% biodiesel

>>>at the pump, right next to the regular petro-diesel, unleaded gas,

>>>etc. Others are doing the same. The number of retailers is growing

>>>exponentially -- it was harder to find a year ago than now, and next

>>>year it will be still easier to find...

>>>

>>>Also -- for the geek inclined -- it is incredibly easy to brew your

>>>own, from any number of local sources (used cooking oil, etc.) -- do

>>>a little google searching and see what happens...

>>>

>>>Maybe I'll get busy later and post a few links, but it's really very

>>>easy to find info about this stuff...

>>>

>>>-- Chas.

>>>

>>>On 26 May 2007 03:25:10 +1000, "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>>

>>>>Wow, where do you buy biodiesel? Sounds really cool!

>>>>

>>>>Chuck

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Chas. Duncan <duncan5199ATsbcglobalDOTnet@> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>OK, I'll guess.... The bike!
>>>>>
>>>>>Out here in the middle of nowhere, it's drive not at all (work at
>>>>>home) or 25 miles to the nearest town. Got an 02 Jetta Wagon last
>>>>>summer -- a TDI diesel. Terrific car. Gets over 40 MPG -- on
>>>>>biodiesel. No petroleum necessary, no conversion, nada. Just put the
>>>>>stuff in and go. 550 miles to the tank. \$3.05 a gallon. Technology
>>>>>has been around for a hundred years.
>>>>>
>>>>>With all the problems we have in the world today due to our dependence
>>>>>on oil, it's more than a little bit mind-boggling that more people
>>>>>haven't gotten around to this... There's nothing to develop, no big
>>>>>invention to prove out, no "breakthrough" to wait for: the vehicles
>>>>>exist and so does the fuel... What in the hell is it going to take?
>>>>>
>>>>>-- see you on the road -- chas.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>On Fri, 25 May 2007 07:35:44 -0500, "Aaron Allen"
>>>>><know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>I have been affecting change in my own way to some degree, and having
>>fun
>>>>>
>>>>>>while doing it. It takes almost \$50 to fill my jap car, and that is
>>>>>>NUTS.
>>>>>My
>>>>>>bike, on the other hand will run over a 100 miles on about \$7. Which
>>one
>>>>>do
>>>>>>you think I drive daily when weather permits?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>AA
>>>>>>
>>>>>>"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>news:C27BD82F.A11A%dterry@keyofd.net...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20070525/ap_on_re_us/gas_price_pr otest_8;_ylt=Aht
>>>>>>> vulXvk9kQ0cba2FtBdQL1vAI
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> A good idea in theory, but I doubt it would help, even if every
>>>>>>> station
>>>>>>>

>>>>>> did
>>>>>> this, since demand will just make up for it the next day. At least
>>
>>>>>> someone
>>>>>> is doing something.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> It is getting old being held hostage by oil companies making record
>>
>>>>>> profits
>>>>>> every quarter and claiming gas prices have nothing to do with
>>>>>> it...yeah,
>>>>>> right, and the sun has nothing to do with day and night. The shell
>>game
>>>>
>>>>>> is
>>>>>> one of the oldest cons in the book. Even Congress has "fallen" for
>>it
>>>>-
>>>>>> twice I believe (2 investigation into price fixing exonerated the
>>>>>> oil
>>>>>> industry...so where's the profit coming from? ...and going).
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I hear our illustrious new congress plans to attempt some
>>>>>> legislation
>>>>to
>>>>>> ease the problem... at the end of the summer they say... great,
>>>>>> *after*
>>>>a
>>>>>> f

Subject: Re: OT Wireless Mic Buying Tips?
Posted by [EK Sound](#) on Mon, 07 May 2007 14:55:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

N THE UNITED STATES AND OUTSIDE OF
>>>AMERICA.

>>>

>>>

>>

>

>Hi Neil,

There are times I will be playing around midi editor (piano scroller) and the program will just stop passing audio (requiring not just closing the project, but also closing the program). Also, sometimes when using BFD, the system will just freeze up. this never happened in CSX3 and doesn't happen on my slave box with VStack. When relaunching the program, the VST I/O

settings for the external FX are scrambled, requiring repatching. Also, I have also named my outboard gear in the "favorites" menu, but somehow this got duplicated and now, when I launch Cubase, the I/O that was assigned to these processors shows up split between one or the other duplicate group. If the wrong duplicate is instantiated by Cubase 4, the, of course, audio is muted for that channel, requiring me to do a lot of troubleshooting every time I open a project. Cubase will not allow me to delete the duplicate external gear names.

There are some things about C4 that are very *wrong*.....at least here.

Deej

"Neil" <IOUIOU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:46585aab\$1@linux...

>

> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>>

>>I'm sure you're right but it always amazes me when they advertise

>>applications

>>as pro and major features are buggy and broken.

>

> I noticed you mentioned something like: "forget MIDI" amongst

> other things... what's wrong with the MIDI? I use MIDI a LOT in

> Cubase & have never found any kind of problem with it - it's

> easy & bug-free, from my perspective. Now I'll grant you, I'm

> not on C4, I'm still on SX3, so I can't speak to anything

> specific to version 4, but I'm curious... what MIDI-related

> issues are you having?

>

> Neill saw that also. I know a guy in this area who is an engineer (perhaps a paranoid eccentric, perhaps not) who has a similar prototype sitting in a barn/workshop down on the New Mexico border. He claims that he has been threatened that if he publicizes this, he will *disappear*.

Deej

"Louis Guarino Jr." <kateeba@snet.net> wrote in message news:4658407a\$1@linux...

>

>

> Ahhhhhh I FOUND the video!!!!!! Actually there seems to be several.

> This

> page has the one I got that Fox news showed. Here:

>

>

> <http://hytechapps.com/company/press>

>

>
> Lou
>
>
>
> "Louis Guarino Jr." <kateeba@snet.net> wrote:
>>
>>I still can't find a link to the video, but I found the place that
>>invented
>>the technology. Here are two links to the company:
>>
>> http://www.supplemental-hydrogen.com/?gclid=CJyVzrf_q4wCFQcf_gAod9iTKJQ
>>
>>http://hytechapps.com/
>>
>>"Louis Guarino Jr." <kateeba@snet.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>I don't know if anyone saw this, but I was sent a 3mb video that shows
> a
>>guy
>>>who invented a way to use water to make a gas that burns as hot as the
>>sun.
>>> He also uses this method to power his car. A car that works on water.
>>
>>> It seems really legit.
>>>
>>>I don't have a link, since it was sent to me. It was shown on Fox News.
>>> I went to Fox news to try to find a link, but couldn't find it. This
>>guy
>>>supposedly is in negotiations with one major car manufacturer, and said
>>he
>>>has been to a meeting with congress. Now, if this is true,

Subject: Re: OT Wireless Mic Buying Tips?
Posted by [Tom Bruhl](#) on Mon, 07 May 2007 15:28:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

B) Our own Federal Trade Commission.

If you agree with the GAO that industry consolidation is hurting rather than helping the consumer at the pump, then something is wrong over at the FTC. The FTC is our consumer protection watchdog, they oversee and approves mergers and they enforce anti-trust legislation. Is the fox guarding the hen house?

C) Our own government.

We have problems using long term planning to avoid situations where our security is at risk from poor energy policy. This is a failure of leadership by both major parties.

How do we get better leadership? Is it by electing politicians who represent multi-national industries? Or is it by electing politicians who represent their actual constituents?

If you believe in the latter, i.e. "democracy," the election system is broken on several fronts.

First, the increasing amount of money it takes to get elected means that most politicians butter their bread from high money sources, because that's the easy money. K street lobbyists are funneling vast sums of money to both parties in order to push special interest agendas. This results in politicians who are beholden to special interest groups for their jobs. Lobbyists themselves are helping to write new legislation and are even being appointed to government oversight positions.

This will not be easy to reign in. Possible solutions include:

- Using some amount of the public airwaves for elections without charge, to make running for office less costly and thus level the playing field for candidates who don't feed at the lobbyist trough;

- Instant runoff voting so people can vote for their true favorite candidates without fear of throwing their vote away;

- Full disclosure laws for groups doing political advertising (including those doing thinly veiled "issue advertising"), so everyone knows exactly which special interests are behind any disinformation campaign;

- A responsible fourth estate that shines a bright light on ad campaigns, showing the funding sources, revealing their interests, and contrasting any claims with actual facts. We need to balance a special interest group's right to brazenly lie with the public's need to know the facts. :^)

- Campaign finance reform. This is an interesting challenge: how to keep an election from being bought without infringing on "free speech." Free speech is in quotes because there is some controversy about whether restricting campaign donations is the same as restricting actual speech.

Second, the election mechanism itself is suspect. A large percentage of the votes are compiled by computer-based systems that do not keep a

physical record of the vote. There is no way of knowing if the electronic vote has been hacked, except that 1) we know electronic voting makes it possible to cheat quickly, undetectably and on a large scale, and 2) we have a clear history of various parties eagerly cheating in elections when possible. Draw your own conclusions.

Even with systems that keep a physical, recountable record, it's important that the ballot of record be the physical representation and not the electronic representation. The physical representation should be counted for the first official tally as well as all recounts. It's exceedingly important that vote tallies are regularly audited using the physical ballots, with a sufficient percentage of precincts audited to be very sure that no cheating falls through the cracks.

On the other side of the equation, no party should be allowed to manipulate the federal system to throw legitimate voters off the rolls or fail to count any legitimate ballot, provisional or direct. Nor should dead people vote.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

PS. James, you also raised another issue, that of the arms industry, in which we are a dominant player. I won't go into it here except to note that one of the objections you raise about Venezuela is that they are buying from a competitor.

James McCloskey wrote:

> This was a email I received.

>

> Diane Sawyer on ABC news interviewed the Venezuelan leader Chavez just last week -- March 2007 He is so dangerous, and you can tell he is thrilled to be selling oil to America and having a foot on the throat of this country.

> He is something else. If you did not hear the interview, the following was discussed and it is true. They have all this money coming in and the majority of his own people are living in poverty and he is so rich. He is best of friends with Castro and Russian leaders. He told Diane Sawyer that he was friends with all the world leaders, but President Bush is as dumb as a 'donkey'.

>

> CITGO CHANGING NAME...this is serious Americans...make sure you read ...
> NEWS FLASH: Chavez is NOW getting a Russian Weapons Factory built by Putin.
> The RUSSIANS are building an AK-47 Kalashnikov Assault Rifle factory in Venezuela, to give armament support to Communist Rebel groups throughout the Americas.

>

> Chavez NOW has IRANIANS operating his oil refineries in Venezuela for him.
> It is likely only a matter of time, if not already, before Chavez has Iranian

> built LONG RANGE missiles, with a variety of warhead types aimed at: Guess
 > Who?
 >
 > CITGO is NOW in the process of Changing Its Name to PETRO EXPRESS due to
 > the loss of gasoline sales in the USA due to the recent publicity of ownership
 > by Chavez of Venezuela.
 >
 > Every dollar you spend with CITGO or PETRO EXPRESS gasoline will be used
 > against you, your basic human rights, and your freedoms. He will start wars
 > here in the Americas that will probably be the death of millions of free
 > people.
 >
 > THIS IS VERY IMPORTANT because Chavez is starting to feel the loss of revenue
 > from his holdings. HE OWNS CITGO. This is a very important move that everyone
 > should be aware of.
 >
 > ANNOUNCED JUST RECENTLY, CITGO, BEING AWARE THAT SALES ARE DOWN DUE TO
 U.S.
 > CUSTOMERS NOT WANTING TO BUY FROM "CITGO-CHAVEZ", HAVE STARTED TO
 CHANGE
 > THE NAME OF SOME OF THEIR STORES TO: "PETRO EXPRESS"
 > DO NOT BUY FROM "PETRO EXPRESS" EITHER!!! "PETRO EXPRESS" IS ALSO 100%
 OWNED
 > BY "CHAVEZ."
 >
 > KEEP THIS MEMO GOING SO THAT EVERYONE KNOWS WHAT IS HAPPENING.
 >
 > BOYCOTT "CITGO" AND "PETRO EXPRESS".....MAKE SURE THIS IS PASSED
 > ON TO EVERYONE in YOUR E-MAIL LIST IN THE UNITED STATES AND OUTSIDE OF
 AMERICA.
 >
 >"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message news:46583323\$1@linux...
 >I love movies, so this could change from day to day, but today here are a
 >few at the top of my list:
 >
 > Unforgiven
 > American Beauty
 > The Shawshank Redemption
 > A Hard Day's Night (changed my life)
 > The Exorcist
 > Fargo
 > Blue Velvet
 > Tombstone
 > It's A Wonderful Life
 > Schindler's List
 > Fantasia (1940)
 > The Godfather
 >

> Aaa, it's hopeless . . . I could go on and on. :)
>
> S

.....what about such classics as Reservoir Dogs, Pulp Fiction,
Boogie Nights, The Fearless Vampire Killers, Rocky Horror Picture Show
.....let's do the time warp again.

;o)I saw that a couple of years ago. I hope something happens soon. I think
the oil companies will keep this from happening, besides if it did we'd be
paying a hundred dollars for a quart of oil. We'd quickly see their supply
and demand excuse, is a farce.

"Louis Guarino Jr." <kateeba@snet.net> wrote:

>
>
>Ahhhhhhh I FOUND the video!!!!!! Actually there seems to be several.
This
>page has the one I got that Fox news showed. Here:

>
>
><http://hytechapps.com/company/press>

>
>
>Lou

>
>
>
>
>"Louis Guarino Jr." <kateeba@snet.net> wrote:

>>
>>I still can't find a link to the video, but I found the place that invented
>>the technology. Here are two links to the company:
>>
>> http://www.supplemental-hydrogen.com/?gclid=CJyVzrf_q4wCFQcf_gAod9iTKJQ
>>
>><http://hytechapps.com/>

>>
>>"Louis Guarino Jr." <kateeba@snet.net> wrote:
>>>

>>>I don't know if anyone saw this, but I was sent a 3mb video that shows
>a
>>guy
>>>who invented a way to use water to make a gas that burns as hot as the
>>sun.
>>> He also uses this method to power his car. A car that works on water.
>>
>>> It seems really legit.
>>>

>>>I don't have a link, since it was sent to me. It was shown on Fox News.
>>> I went to Fox news to try to find a link, but couldn't find it. This
>>guy
>>>supposedly is in negotiations with one major car manufacturer, and said
>>he
>>>has been to a meeting with congress. Now, if this is true, couldn't something
>>>like this sort of change the world??
>>>
>>>I would love to show this video so you guys can see it but I am not sure
>>>if I can post a 3mb video here. Is there a way?? If anyone is interested,
>>>I could email it to you.
>>>
>>>Lou
>>
>I called these guys and left a message. I also e-mailed them inquiring about
how to buy stock.

Deej

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:46586e29\$1@linux...

>
> I saw that a couple of years ago. I hope something happens soon. I think
> the oil companies will keep this from happening, besides if it did we'd be
> paying a hundred dollars for a quart of oil. We'd quickly see their
> supply
> and demand excuse, is a farce.
>
> "Louis Guarino Jr." <kateeba@snet.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>Ahhhhhhh I FOUND the video!!!!!! Actually there seems to be several.
> This
>>page has the one I got that Fox news showed. Here:
>>
>>
>><http://hytechapps.com/company/press>
>>
>>
>>Lou
>>
>>
>>
>>"Louis Guarino Jr." <kateeba@snet.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>I still can't find a link to the video, but I found the place that
>>>invented
>>>the technology. Here are two links to the company:

>>>
>>> http://www.supplemental-hydrogen.com/?gclid=CJyVzrf_q4wCFQcf_gAod9iTKJQ
>>>
>>><http://hytechapps.com/>
>>>
>>>"Louis Guarino Jr." <kateeba@snet.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>I don't know if anyone saw this, but I was sent a 3mb video that shows
>>>a
>>>guy
>>>>who invented a way to use water to make a gas that burns as hot as the
>>>sun.
>>>> He also uses this method to power his car. A car that works on water.
>>>
>>>> It seems really legit.
>>>>
>>>>I don't have a link, since it was sent to me. It was shown on Fox News.
>>>> I went to Fox news to try to find a link, but couldn't find it. This
>>>guy
>>>>supposedly is in negotiations with one major car manufacturer, and said
>>>he
>>>>has been to a meeting with congress. Now, if this is true, couldn't
>>>>something
>>>>like this sort of change the world??
>>>>
>>>>I would love to show this video so you guys can see it but I am not sure
>>>>if I can post a 3mb video here. Is there a way?? If anyone is
>>>>interested,
>>>>I could email it to you.
>>>>
>>>>Lou
>>>
>>
>Misguided is not the term I would have thought of...but whatever.

Funny how no one seems to remember the great failed experiment called socialism
(USSR, anyone?) Or better yet, the great failed experiment called facism
(Nazi Germany, anyone?)

The irony is stifling that people seem to forget these things on Memorial
Day weekend...either grossly insensitive, totally out of touch with reality
on this planet, or some combination therein, I would imagine.

If you can read this, thank a teacher - if you can read this in ENGLISH,
thank a veteran.

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:
>>Before you become enamoured with Somoza,

>
>sorry.....I meant Chavez.....
>
>
>and another thought.....just because Chavez echoes your personal opinions

>about Bush, does not make him a "liberator". People like Chavez will say

>whatever they think you want to hear as long as it suits their
>agenda.....sorta like most American politicians.
>
>Deej
>
>"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message news:46585f86@linux...
>> LaMont, my friend. I think you are misguided here. I respect your
>> opinions, but I wonder if you have ever been up close and personal with
a
>> totalitarian state.....socialist or otherwise. I have seen it (Nicaragua
>> during and after Somoza). Before you become enamoured with Somoza, I
>> suggest you take some time off and go to Venezuela while you still can

>> travel without a *state tender* (if this is even still possible) and spend

>> about a month travelling around down there.
>>
>> Regards,
>>
>> DJ
>>
>>
>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:4657da5b\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> Hugo Chavez is a hero. A man willing to sand up for his counry and not

>>> let
>>> a another country (USA) tell him how to run thier commodity.
>>>
>>> This is nothing more than tipical US spin machine out to sway puplic

>>> opinion
>>> about those leaders that don't drink the US Kool-Aid.. They (Venezuelans)
>>> don't need our aid. They have their own precious commodity.
>>>
>>> Wake up people, are we to believe every word coming from our Goverment??
>>>
>>> Thanks God for Citgo..
>>>

>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>This was a email I received.
>>>>
>>>>Diane Sawyer on ABC news interviewed the Venezuelan leader Chavez just

>>>>last
>>>>week -- March 2007 He is so dangerous, and you can tell he is thrilled
to
>>>>be selling oil toAmerica and having a foot on the throat of this country.
>>>>He is something else. If you did not hear the interview, the following

>>>>was
>>>>discussed and it is true. They have all this money coming in and the

>>>>majority
>>>>of his own people are living in poverty and he is so rich. He is best
of
>>>>friends with Castro and Russian leaders. He told Diane Sawyer that he
was
>>>>friends with all the world leaders, but President Bush is as dumb as
a
>>>>'donkey'.
>>>>
>>>>CITGO CH

Subject: Re: OT Wireless Mic Buying Tips?
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Mon, 07 May 2007 15:50:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;>BY "CHAVEZ."
>>>>
>>>>KEEP THIS MEMO GOING SO THAT EVERYONE KNOWS WHAT IS HAPPENING.
>>>>
>>>>BOYCOTT "CITGO" AND "PETRO EXPRESS".....MAKE SURE THIS IS PASSED
>>>>ON TO EVERYONE in YOUR E-MAIL LIST IN THE UNITED STATES AND OUTSIDE OF

>>>>AMERICA.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>
>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>>
>>Hugo Chavez is a hero.
>
>BWAH HAH HAH HAH HAH!!!
>
>And Hillary is the next American Idol...
>
>And Bush is a great orator!
>
>And Mike Tyson is a women's rights supporter...
>
>
>You betcha...
>
>DC
>

Now that was funny, LOL!When I was growing up, a friend's family had 4 or 5 Danes and in the winter they used to feed them in a room off the kitchen. After eating when they all started farting, it was literally a health risk to enter that room.

I'm impressed w/ Ron Paul too. (2 first names - doesn't that automatically make him a star?) He recently was involved in creating legislation to prevent federal funds from being used for mandatory mental health screening. Just imagine "One flew over the cuckoo's nest" for the whole country.

DJ wrote:

>> I honestly think your opinion of our current Mesopotamian capers would be
>> different of the war were fought by Great Danes.
>>
>> TCB
>
> You're right about this. However, if you think we've got a world o' shit to
> deal with now, you could come over to the house and give me a hand with the
> pooper scooper.....then imagine the mess that an army of great danes would
> create.
>
> What's uncle Ron's energy policy? When great danes get cold they tend to
> jump up on the bed and cuddle with you, whether you like it or not..
>
> ;o)
>
>This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_013F_01C79F8A.E38A66B0

Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Here's another perspective

<http://www.groupsrv.com/science/about156295.html>

this partiular post caught my eye:

>HHO is total and utter bullshit, caused by gross incompetence of the=20
purported researchers.=20

There is no difference whatsoever in any way shape or from between=20
Brown's Gas and a standard stoichiometric mix of normal hydrogen and=20
oxygen.=20

The energy density of normal hydrogen by volume is 2.7 watthours per STP =
liter electrically recoverable or 3.3 watthours total available. This=20
compares to gasoline at 9000 wh/l.=20

The energy density of normal hydrogen by weight is meaningless for=20
terrestrial apps, because any CONTAINED hydrogen energy density is MUCH=20
LESS than gasoline.=20

See <http://tinaja.com/glib/muse120.pdf> for an accurate and detailed=20
analysis of the Brown's Gas ludicosity.=20

BUT -- Credible peer reviewed mainstream research shows that modest (5%) =
injection of hydrogen into a conventional ICE can in fact produce=20
significant improvements in performance and pollution reduction. The=20
huge Gotcha is whether any new engine loads, compatibility with other=20
new ICE developments, and per-mile amortization costs can allow a net=20
benefit.=20

Anything involving on-board electrolysis certainly can not. Per=20
<http://www.tinaja.com/glib/muse153.pdf>=20

Anything involving an ordinary fan belt certainly can not. Per=20
<http://www.tinaja.com/whtnu06.asp> and earlier.=20

Energy fundamentals are found at <http://www.tinaja.com/glib/energfun.pdf> =

--=20

Many thanks,=20

Don Lancaster voice phone: (928)428-4073=20

Synergetics 3860 West First Street Box 809 Thatcher, AZ 85552=20

rss: <http://www.tinaja.com/whtnu.xml> email: don@tinaja.com=20

Please visit my GURU's LAIR web site at <http://www.tinaja.com><

and also this one:

>This stuff is dangerous. If you aspirate even a small amount, it can =
kill=20

you. If you abstain from any use of it, however, you will solve at least =
one=20

problem in the universe.<

so perhaps this bears some further scrutiny.....

;o)

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message =
news:46586e29\$1@linux...

>=20

> I saw that a couple of years ago. I hope something happens soon. I =
think

> the oil companies will keep this from happening, besides if it did =
we'd be

> paying a hundred dollars for a quart of oil. We'd quickly see their =
supply

> and demand excuse, is a farce.

>=20

> "Louis Guarino Jr." <kateeba@snet.net> wrote:

>>

>>

>>Ahhhhhhh I FOUND the video!!!!!! Actually there seems to be several. =

> This

>>page has the one I got that Fox news showed. Here:

>>

>>

>><http://hytechapps.com/company/press>

>>

>>

>>Lou

>>

>>
>>
>>"Louis Guarino Jr." <
