

Subject: Copycats;)
Posted by [excelav](#) on Tue, 12 Dec 2006 22:36:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

```
p://www.engadget.com/2007/01/09/the-apple-iphone/  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>&
```

Subject: Re: Copycats;)
Posted by [DJ](#) on Wed, 13 Dec 2006 00:01:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[illegible]

Subject: Re: Copycats;)
Posted by [j to the c r o n](#) on Wed, 13 Dec 2006 00:52:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[illegible]

Subject: Re: Copycats;)
Posted by [DC](#) on Wed, 13 Dec 2006 06:27:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

r />
it. Then I forgot to save the presets, but hey, that's the way it goes.

TCBAlso older Waveburner Pro. Runs great on 9.2.2 Allows graphic arrange and crossfade/cut etc, any VST plugins on your system, plus comes with a bunch of Logic plugins bundled.

Ted

"Dale" <dalebradleycello@yahoo.com> wrote:

>

>Been using Jam, but it's pretty limited. Also Peak lite is too lite for serious

>work. What are you faves?

>

>TIA,

>DaleYou forgot one major thing;you can't run OSX on a Dell.

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>

>So, I had to set up XP on a Mac Book today. The child of an important person
>on my office had one and, surprise, most of the games a 12 year old likes
>to play don't have Mac versions.

>

>So, once and for all I can put to rest the notion that Apple hardware is
>somehow 'super special' and thus justifies the higher price. Intel chipset,
>Intel integrated graphics, Atheros wireless, Marvell NIC, generic

Subject: Re: Copycats;)

Posted by [TCB](#) on Wed, 13 Dec 2006 16:51:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

eo 700 wx syncs

>>>> with

>>>>>Win Media player (with Play for sure technology) which is wayyy better

>>>> than

>>>>>itunes..

>>>>>

>>>>

>>>> I'm not knocking the Treo or the BB. I think for a windows user they
>> are

>>>> perfect. Those products have had time to mature, and quite a bit of

>>>> third

>>>> party development. The iPhone looks pretty slick out of the gate.

Only

>>>> time will tell if the iPhone will be a success. I have to say for the

>>

>>>> average
>>>> guy the price is steep IMO, but not for someone with all the needs.
>>>> Again,
>>>> it should be noted, the iPhone is PC compatible.
>>>>
>>>> Well I'm not sure what you mean about the Plays for sure thing being
>>>> better
>>>> than iTunes, but if your in to MS DRM, cool!
>>>>
>>>> One thing I'll point out abo

Subject: Re: Copycats;)
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Wed, 13 Dec 2006 18:42:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

esisting the all-in-one device. What if it fails? That's a
lot
>>>> of
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> eggs in one basket. But I can see the appeal of the all-in-one if
>> it
>>>>>
>>>>>>> could really cover what I need. None of them quite do that now.
None
>>>>>of
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> them.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> But for people who have different needs, each of the clunky phones
>> has
>>>>>
>>>>>>> appealing features. And for those people, it's not a matter of
>>>>>>> koolaid,
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> it's a matter of using what works for them. What covers their needs.
>>>>>
>>>>>>> Choice is good.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> LaMont wrote:
>>>>>>> James,
>>>>>>> Once again, you are "drinking the Apple Kool-aid" from Mr Jobs.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The Iphone is cool, but not revolutionary. My Treo 700Wx (Windows)
>>>> is
>>>>>>> just
>>>>>>> a s cool and Superior AND is very comaptible with my PC and all
MS
>>>> applications
>>>>>>> and other Windows apps.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> www.treo.com
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "James McCloskey" <

Subject: Re: Copycats;)
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Wed, 13 Dec 2006 20:18:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Macbook loaded with Pro
>> Tools V7.0, (OSX 10.4), I think.
>> Every time we went to open PT, it crashed requiring a reboot...who knows
>> why...probably version conflicts...dunno.
>> I use Macs on a fairly regular basis, and if you could see my PC desktop,
>> you would see Rocket Dock displayed prominently at the bottom ala
>> Mac....(btw, I do own a Mac, just don't use it very often.)Hey Jamie! MacWorld Magazine has
a good video, but I cant find it. Here
are some user videos on changing RAM and the hard drive.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZMmHef6fjyM>

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YerwvktWtKA>

James

Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>

>Where's the fuel? You mean you finally noticed that Apple has gone to
>Intel for hardware designs, and onboard graphics on the low end models?

>

>This is pretty old news. When Apple announced the Intel switch more than

>a year ago, this was one of the reasons given. The Macbook is their low
>end laptop, and probably the biggest cost reduction was using the
>onboard graphics instead of something snazzier. The Macbook Pro has the
>snazzier stuff, but it's still off the shelf with OSX drivers. Apple
>doesn't and hasn't ever AFAIK developed their own graphics chipsets.
>
>Well heck, they don't develop their own CPUs either, although I imagine
>they give input to those companies. Up until last year Apple chose
>different CPU models than were blessed by Microsoft, and tried to gain
>an advantage from the differences. Now they are using the same CPUs that
>Microsoft likes, so any advantage is going to be from design and software.
>
>I like Apple's desktop case design. Really easy to get into and change
>memory, drives, etc.; quiet and cool running airflow. The laptop designs
>have varied at accessibility, sometimes compromising for thinness. For
>example my PowerBook is easy to access for memory upgrades but not for
>HD upgrades. That may have changed in the new ones.
>
>Mac laptop sales are rising, other manufacturers are copying their form
>factor, but if you ever buy one (other than overpaying for a Macbook for
>someone else, and then complaining about it) I'll be amazed. Sorry you
>had to subject yourself to working on a Mac today, but even sorrier you
>had to infect it with XP. ;^)
>
>Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com
>
>
>TCB wrote:
>> So, I had to set up XP on a Mac Book today. The child of an important
person
>> on my office had one and, surprise, most of the games a 12 year old likes
>> to play don't have Mac versions.
>>
>> So, once and for all I can put to rest the notion that Apple hardware
is
>> somehow 'super special' and thus justifies the higher price. Intel chipset,
>> Intel integrated graphics, Atheros wireless, Marvell NIC, generic firewire
>> controller. The CD/DVD burner was the slot loading kind, so that's slightly

>> cool (unless you want to pop out the CD before the machine boots when it's
>> nice to have a physical switch), but that's about the only thing unusual
>> about the hardware. If you were to show me the XP device list for a Mac Book
>> and the same list from a Dell 640m (two of which I've also set up recently)
>> I would have given a _slight_ preference to the Dell, mostly because it uses
>> an Intel wireless chipset that does b/g/n and bluetooth on one chip, and
>> I've had very good luck with those wireless cards. A 640m also has a larger
>> screen and costs around \$750.
>>
>> In better news, I wound up on modular synth dreamland for about five hours
>> last night, wiring up my John Bowen Pro Wave into modular patches and using
>> it to feed vocoders and all of the things that make computer recording worth
>> it. Then I forgot to save the presets, but hey, that's the way it goes.

>>
>> TCBHere it is:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8c6ckjy-gdY&NR>

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>Hey Jamie! MacWorld Magazine has a good video, but I cant find it. Here
>are some user videos on changing RAM and the hard drive.

>
><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZMmHef6fjyM>

>
><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YerwwktWtKA>

>
>James

>
>Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>>
>>Where's the fuel? You mean you finally noticed that Apple has gone to
>>Intel for hardware designs, and onboard graphics on the low end models?
>>
>>This is pretty old news. When Apple announced the Intel switch more than
>
>>a year ago, this was one of the reasons given. The Macbook is their low
>
>>end laptop, and probably the biggest cost reduction was using the
>>onboard graphics instead of something snazzier. The Macbook Pro has the
>
>>snazzier stuff, but it's still off the shelf with OSX drivers. Apple

>>doesn't and hasn't ever AFAIK developed their own graphics chipsets.
>>
>>Well heck, they don't develop their own CPUs either, although I imagine
>
>>they give input to those companies. Up until last year Apple chose
>>different CPU models than were blessed by Microsoft, and tried to gain

>>an advantage from the differences. Now they are using the same CPUs that
>
>>Microsoft likes, so any advantage is going to be from design and software.
>>
>>I like Apple's desktop case design. Really easy to get into and change

>>memory, drives, etc.; quiet and cool running airflow. The laptop designs
>
>>have varied at accessibility, sometimes compromising for thinness. For

>>example my PowerBook is easy to access for memory upgrades but not for

>>HD upgrades. That may have changed in the new ones.
>>
>>Mac laptop sales are rising, other manufacturers are copying their form
>
>>factor, but if you ever buy one (other than overpaying for a Macbook for
>
>>someone else, and then complaining about it) I'll be amazed. Sorry you

>>had to subject yourself to working on a Mac today, but even sorrier you
>
>>had to infect it with XP. ;^)
>>
>>Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>
>>
>>TCB wrote:
>>> So, I had to set up XP on a Mac Book today. The child of an important
>person
>>> on my office had one and, surprise, most of the games a 12 year old likes
>>> to play don't have Mac versions.
>>>
>>> So, once and for all I can put to rest the notion that Apple hardware
>is
>>> somehow 'super special' and thus justifies the higher price. Intel chipset,
>>> Intel integrated graphics, Atheros wireless, Marvell NIC, generic firewire
>>> controller. The CD/DVD burner was the slot loading kind, so that's slightly
>>> cool (unless you want to pop out the CD before the machine boots when

>it's
>>> nice to have a physical switch), but that's about the only thing unusual
>>> about the hardware. If you were to show me the XP device list for a Mac
>Book
>>> and the same list from a Dell 640m (two of which I've also set up recently)
>>> I would have given a slight preference to the Dell, mostly because
it
>uses
>>> an Intel wireless chipset that does b/g/n and bluetooth on one chip,
and
>>> I've had very good luck with those wireless cards. A 640m also has a
larger
>>> screed and costs around \$75

Subject: Re: Copycats;)
Posted by [TCB](#) on Wed, 13 Dec 2006 20:37:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lto:excelsm@hotmail.com" target="_blank">excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> Here the Keynote video demo that explains the iPhone in detail,
>> you
>>>>>might
>>>>>>>>> want to watch it. The features, design and user interface are
>>>>>>>>> superior.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> <http://events.apple.com.edgesuite.net/j47d52oo/event/>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> Very cool..But, I'm still gleaming over my new Treo 700wx.. It
>> like
>>>>>>> having
>>>>>>>>> a:
>>>>>>>>> MP3player
>>>>>>>>> Ms word,
>>>>>>>>> Excel,
>>>>>>>>> powerpoint,
>>>>>>>>> Outlook 2003,
>>>>>>>>> Media Player 10
>>>>>>>>> Sprint TV
>>>>>>>>> Camera
>>>>>>>>> Recorder
>>>>>>>>> Cool keyboard
>>>>>>>>>> Very fast Internet connection speeds..
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> This thing is IS like carrying around a laptop in my pocket..

7.3.

One question though, it

PT 7.3 LE, will that be able to be controlled by T/C, (MTC)?, as the show we are doing, (Australia's

Next Top Model), want's us the be the master clock for the 4 digital betacams that are shooting the show?

--

Martin Harrington

www.lendaneer-sound.com

"EK Sound" <askme@nospam.com> wrote in message news:45a7b9fd\$1@linux...

> Hi Martin,

>

> If this Mac book is Intel based, you will have to run PT V7.2 or better.

> The previous versions are not Intel compatible.

>

> David.

>

> Martin Harrington wrote:

>> You say that I said Macs crash..well.they do...and it happened today.

>> We were setting up a tech run for a new 10 week series I'm starting to

>> shoot, (record location audio), and set up a new

Subject: Re: Copycats;)

Posted by [excelav](#) on Thu, 14 Dec 2006 00:36:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t in it's time, in computer years, that was a long

>>>>time ago. Your still complaining about it, and calling Steve Jobs a
liar.

>>>> Of corse every company uses marketing spin, Jobs doesn't lie. And at
>>least

>>>>Apple doesn't steal the technology and call it their own. Once again
>the

>>>>G4 had a different architecture than the Pentium chips. The G4 had 8
>data

>>>>pipelines going in and out of the chip. The P4 had 2. The G4 had way
>>more

>>>>cache on board than the P4 did, in some cases more than 4 times as much.

>>>> The G4 would typically compete toe to toe with a P4 with twice the clock
>>>>speed.

>>>>

>>>> Apple, AMD. IBM and Motorola, started calling Intel out on "The MHz
Myth".

>>>> Clock speed no longer was the only determining factor in computing speed,

>>>>system and processor architecture ruled the day, thus AMD's equal to

a

>>P4
>>>>XX GHz labeling on there processors. I still use my G4 1.25 MHz and
I
>>can
>>>>tell you from experience, it is faster than my p4 2.4 and 2.8 prescott
>>dual
>>>>threaded with faster bussing.
>>>>
>>>>So you say that the G5 were slow. In there time, they weren't and they're
>>>>still not slow! But you constantly say they are, and call Steve Jobs
>a
>>>liar.
>>>> Back when the G5 came out it was #3 in the world!
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vLujLtGBJC0&mode=related&search=>
>>>> The G5 is not a slow computer. Apple uses independent testing laboratories.
>>>> It just more anti Mac bashing on your part.
>>>>
>>>>You say that because Apple moved to Intel it proves that you were right
>>>all
>>>>along, not even close! When Apple Dumped the Mac cloning, motorola was
>>>pissed.
>>>> I know first hand, they told me. They also told me they were going
to
>>>get
>>>>Apple back because they had a 90 million dollar charge off. They stalled
>>>>Apple on G4 processor shipments and intentionally slowed the processor
>>speed
>>>>jumps.
>>>>
>>>>Apple turned to IBM. When Jobs announced the G5 for the first time,
he
>>>stated
>>>>in the keynote that they would be at 3 GHz with in a year, and the road
>>>map
>>>>looked great. That was IBMs road map. IBM couldn't deliver!!!! Two
>>years
>>>>later Apple was at 2.7GHz, and not the newer generation G5s. The G5
was
>>>>too hot! Apple even turned to Delphi automotive to develop a cooling
>system.
>>>> They had no way of putting the G5 in a laptop. So they went with one
>>of
>>>>Apples contingency plans they had been working on for five years, Intel!
>>>> Intel had a new generation of processors coming out, that were faster,
>>>cooler
>>>>and they also had a low power consuming mobile processors. It was a
no
>>>brainer,

>>>>win, win deal. Intel could deliver. I think it was also a message to
>>MS
>>>>to keep working with Apple on MS Office development for the Mac.
>>>>
>>>>You have to bash the Mac every chance you get. You have taken things
>personally.
>>>> Your venom for Steve Jobs is unbelievable. I think you need to be
honest
>>>>about things. How many new Mac computers have you personally bought?
>
>>Your
>>>>still not over Logic, and even though you don't use logic you rip on
it
>>>every
>>>>chance you get. It's the same old PC zealot stuff, Logic sucks and it's
>>>>all done. Apple's going out of business any minute now, try again!
>>>>
>>>>In the past, some big organizations did some studied on cost of operation.
>>>> Boeing, Hues Aircraft, the US Navy and others. What they found was
they
>>>>needed a minimum of 6 people for every 100 PCs to maintain them. They
>>only
>>>>needed 0 to one person to maintain 100 Macs. The cost difference was
>significant.
>>>> The truth is Mac users don't really need IT guys like the PC world does.
>>>> You IT guys know it and you hate that.
>>>>
>>>>So lets tell some truth. Your a Microsoft certified IT guy, right?
You
>>>>have taken quite a few Microsoft training classes, right? And you make
>>>your
>>>>living with Microsoft products and Microsoft certification, right? The
>>>real
>>>>truth is Apple computers don't need IT Guys to maintain them. Apple
computers
>>>>are a perceived threat to It guys, that's why you guys all have so much
>>>venom
>>>>for anything Apple. It's not that Apple sucks, it's that you guys all
>>hate
>>>>any thing Apple. Why don't you just admit it?
>>>>
>>>>You said or at least insinuated that Windows is a standard, but anything
>>>>Mac is not. In many cases Apple has created the standard and MS just
>copies
>>>>it. It's all just typical Mac bashing PC zealot stuff. It's down right
>>>>insulting to a Mac user to say that were drinking the Steve Jobs Kool-Aid.
>>>> The insinuation is that we are all stupid people that are gullible enough
>>>>to believe a bunch of lies. That we use an inferior product. Like I

>say
>>>>your welcome to sue Apple any time your ready to back up your BS. If
>you
>>>>were honest about it all, you be speaking up about MS's behavior instead
>>>>of giving THEM the free pass! Dude, to rip on Apple or Steve Jobs, and
>>>>in
>>>>effect putting down Mac users every chance you get is just plain lame.
>>>>
>>>>Apple doesn't suck, just admit it.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>By the way, My original post had noting to do with Mac vs. PC. I wasn't
>>>>trying to say this product is better than yours. I was just saying check
>>>>it out, it's cool!
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Hi Ted and others,
>>>>>
>>>>>I think the reason(s) why the mention of Steve Jobs and Keynotes brings
>>>>>out
>>>>>so much venom, as you say, is because of the both the past and even
current
>>>>>lies and propaganda that spews from his mouth..
>>>>>
>>>>>Statements like : Re-invent and Faster Personal Computer ever in my
opinion
>>>>>is just plain wrong. I know too many had working people who believed
>His
>>>>>(Jobs) hype. Only to be sorely disa pointed when they found out that
>the
>>>>>Cpu test were rigged, and their Mac did "crash" on both OS8,9 and even
>>>>OS-X(all
>>>>>versions).
>>>>>
>>>>>He (Jobs) usually mocks at windows user and Microsoft when he starts
>his
>>>>>keynote.(read: Dumb) When 90 percent of this world are using Windows
>based
>>>>>computers.
>>>>>
>>>>>So, the venom is actually corrections to the lies Mr Jobs spews. When
>>Mac
>>>>>users come and reguritate Mr Jobs lies, most Windows users will not
just
>>>>>let them get away with such.
>>>>>

>>>>>I don't see anything wrong with setting the record straight.
>>>>>P.S.
>>>>>The Mac Pro is currently thee fastest Personal Computer on the market..
>>>>:)
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>"Ted Gerber" <tedgerber@rogers.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I have never entere

Subject: Re: Copycats;)
Posted by [emarenot](#) on Thu, 14 Dec 2006 01:51:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

he presets, but hey, that's the way it goes.
>>>> TCB
>Ah those guys SUCK! Just kidding!

Being a drummer, I've seen them several times, there a great band, it will be a great show. I've even seen them at the NAMM show a few times. Morgenstein and Morse rock! Have fun!

James

Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>
>Thanks James. Have a great weekend! I'm off to see the Dixie Dregs. :^)
>
>Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com
>
>
>James McCloskey wrote:
>> Here it is:
>>
>> <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8c6ckjy-gdY&NR>
>>
>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>> Hey Jamie! MacWorld Magazine has a good video, but I cant find it.
Here
>>> are some user videos on changing RAM and the hard drive.
>>>
>>> <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZMmHef6fjyM>
>>>
>>> <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YerwvktWtKA>

>>>
>>> James
>>>
>>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>> Where's the fuel? You mean you finally noticed that Apple has gone to

>>>> Intel for hardware designs, and onboard graphics on the low end models?
>>>>
>>>> This is pretty old news. When Apple announced the Intel switch more
than
>>>> a year ago, this was one of the reasons given. The Macbook is their
low
>>>> end laptop, and probably the biggest cost reduction was using the
>>>> onboard graphics instead of something snazzier. The Macbook Pro has
the
>>>> snazzier stuff, but it's still off the shelf with OSX drivers. Apple

>>>> doesn't and hasn't ever AFAIK developed their own graphics chipsets.
>>>>
>>>> Well heck, they don't develop their own CPUs either, although I imagine
>>>> they give input to those companies. Up until last year Apple chose
>>>> different CPU models than were blessed by Microsoft, and tried to gain
>>
>>>> an advantage from the differences. Now they are using the same CPUs
that
>>>> Microsoft likes, so any advantage is going to be from design and software.
>>>>
>>>> I like Apple's desktop case design. Really easy to get into and change
>>
>>>> memory, drives, etc.; quiet and cool running airflow. The laptop designs
>>>> have varied at accessibility, sometimes compromising for thinness. For
>>
>>>> example my PowerBook is easy to access for memory upgrades but not for
>>
>>>> HD upgrades. That may have changed in the new ones.
>>>>
>>>> Mac laptop sales are rising, other manufacturers are copying their form
>>>> factor, but if you ever buy one (other than overpaying for a Macbook
for
>>>> someone else, and then complaining about it) I'll be amazed. Sorry you
>>
>>>> had to subject yourself to working on a Mac today, but even sorrier
you
>>>> had to infect it with XP. ;^)
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com

```

>>>>
>>>>
>>>> TCB wrote:
>>>>> So, I had to set up XP on a Mac Book today. The child of an important
>>> person
>>>>> on my office had one and, surprise, most of the games a 12 year old
likes
>>>>> to play don't have Mac versions.
>>>>>
>>>>> So, once and for all I can put to rest the notion that Apple hardware
>>> is
>>>>> somehow 'super special' and thus justifies the higher price. Intel
chipset,
>>>>> Intel integrated graphics, Atheros wireless, Marvell NIC, generic firewire
>>>>> controller. The CD/DVD burner was the slot loading kind, so that's
slightly
>>>>> cool (unless you want to pop out the CD before the machine boots when
>>> it's
>>>>> nice to have a physical switch), but that's about the only thing unusual
>>>>> about the hardware. If you were to show me the XP device list for a
Mac
>>> Book
>>>>> and the same list from a Dell 640m (two of which I've also set up recently)
>>>>> I would have given a _slight_ preference to the Dell, mostly because
>> it
>>> uses
>>>>> an Intel wireless chipset that does b/g/n and bluetooth on one chip,
>> and
>>>>> I've had very good luck with those wireless cards. A 640m also has
a
>> larger
>>>>> screed and costs around $750.
>>>>>
>>>>> In better news, I wound up on modular synth dreamland for about five
>> hours
>>>>> last night, wiring up my John Bowen Pro Wave into modular patches and
>>> using
>>>>> it to feed vocoders and all of the things that make computer recording
>>> worth
>>>>> it. Then I forgot to save the presets, but hey, that's the way it goes.
>>>>> TCB
>>Hey David,
I set Paris to word clock 44.1 and both 8 channel pre's to be slave @ 44.1.
The Aardsync has 3 word clock outs on it so I ran 3 seperate BNC cables,
one to each entity, and selected 44.1 on the Aardsync. When I enabled all
16 tracks, they snapped and popped like crazy. So I put the Aardsync back
in the case.
Then I set paris to internal and ran a BNC cable from it to the first 8 channel

```


pre and had nothing to run the second 8 channel pre. Obviously, 1-8 were pop free and 9-16 popped like crazy.
Steve

EK Sound <askme@nospam.com> wrote:

>Detail the connections you used, and how you were connecting to Paris.

>

>David.

>

>

>Steve Cox wrote:

>

>> Is there a way to know for sure that my Aardsync is outputting clock?

I fear

>> I have been ripped off. I had a New Years gig to record a group live with

>> Paris. I took the Paris and 2 Behringer 8 ch. pre's. I tried running all

>> three entities off of the Aardsync at 44.1.

>> My goodness.... snap crackle pop...Soooo I disconnected the Aardsync, and

>> ran the first 8 ch. pre off of the Paris. Well at least those first 8 tracks

>> didn't snap and pop, but of course the 9-16 did, because it was running off

>> of it's own.

>> Any ideas guys?

>> SteveHey David,

I set Paris to word clock 44.1 and both 8 channel pre's to be slave @ 44.1. The Aardsync has 3 word clock outs on it so I ran 3 seperate BNC cables, one to each entity, and selected 44.1 on the Aardsync. When I enabled all 16 tracks, they snapped and popped like crazy. So I put the Aardsync back in the case.

Then I set paris to internal and ran a BNC cable from it to the first 8 channel pre and had nothing to run the second 8 channel pre. Obviously, 1-8 were pop free and 9-16 popped like crazy.

Steve

EK Sound <askme@nospam.com> wrote:

>Detail the connections you used, and how you were connecting to Paris.

>

>David.

>

>

>Steve Cox wrote:

>

>> Is there a way to know for sure that my Aardsync is outputting clock?

I fear

>> I have been ripped off. I had a New Years gig to record a group live with
>> Paris. I took the Paris and 2 Behringer 8 ch. pre's. I tried running all
>> three entities off of the Aardsync at 44.1.
>> My goodness.... snap crackle pop...Soooo I disconnected the Aardsync,
and
>> ran the first 8 ch. pre off of the Paris. Well at least those first 8
tracks
>> didn't snap and pop, but of course the 9-16 did, because it was running
off
>> of it's own.
>> Any ideas guys?
>> SteveJames, once again, you went to one of those rigged Apple Video demos.

I build machines for studios who ar into video editing as well. And these
studios are Mac based Pro Tools HD /Ala Final cut pro. However, they saw
a Dual AMD XP(At the time) running Vega Video Pro, and they decided to go
with the Dual Opteron running Vegas Pro / with the Matrox RT card.
Most mac users find it hard to believe that aWindows based machine can out
gun them on video editing, but it's true.It just depend onthe Application..
For Mac, Adobe premier and final cut pro have been Altivec optimized..That's
great. However, on the windows side, Sony's Vegas Video as well as Avid Xpress,
can run, render like nobodys business. AND , they could save a few bucks
in the process.

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>Spoken like a true Mac bashing, Apple bashing, PC Zealot! The Truth is
it
>was #3 in the world! It's probably still there. There just more dumb mac
>users that drank the Steve jobs Kool-Aid and bought in to the G5 hype, right
>LaMont?
>
>Another over exaggeration by you. They were Apples first customer to receive
>the G5s. The Xserve G5 wasn't available until the following year. Plus,
>if they ever wanted to dismantle it to upgrade the systems, the Towers would
>have faster and better resale value. They just weren't available at the
>time of purchase.
>
>I saw a G5 demo here in town, where they were showing what the G5 could
do,
>to a group of Professional video editors. The demonstrator open 30 different
>Video windows and started playing all the videos, and asked the PC guys
if
>there systems could do that, they all shook their head

Subject: Re: Copycats;)

er design with a 3.5 LCD. The UI is obviously more advanced,
>they
>>>>>>can
>>>>>>create new user interfaces in software in to the future to add more
>>features
>>>>>>and software. It has better features like rich HTML web pages with
>>zoom
>>>>>>in, web pages look like web pages, landscape viewing, text to voice
>>etc.
>>>>>> It's best to go get an education on it and watch the video before
>you
>>>>>rip
>>>>>>on it just because it's an Apple product.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Yes, the video is a sales pitch! It's also a demo of the products.
>>
>>>It's
>>>>>>always a good thing to know what your talking about first hand, especially
>>>>>>when your going to bash something.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>James
>>>>>>
>>>>>>"Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote:
>>>>>>Hmmm, A "Zealot" calling the kettle black.
>>>>>>I hope you re-read some of your recent posts in a week or two and
>discover
>>>>>>
>>>>>>what we all see.
>>>>>>--
>>>>>>Martin Harrington
>>>>>>www.lendaneer-sound.com
>>>>>>
>>>>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>news:45a5ca84\$1@linux...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>The Treo 700wx does all those things: With a touch screen to boot.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>And while the Iphone syncs perfectly with itunes, the Treo 700
wx
>>>syncs
>>>>>>>> with
>>>>>>>>>Win Media player (with Play for sure technology) which is wayyy
>bettter
>>>>>>>>> than

>>>>>>>>itunes..
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I'm not knocking the Treo or the BB. I think for a windows user
>>they
>>>>>>>>are
>>>>>>>> perfect. Those products have had time to mature, and quite a bit
>>>of
>>>>>third
>>>>>>>> party development. The iPhone looks pretty slick out of the gate.
>>>>
>>>>>Only
>>>>>>>> time will tell if the iPhone will be a success. I have to say
for
>>>>the
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> average
>>>>>>>> guy the price is steep IMO, but not for someone with all the needs.
>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Again,
>>>>>>>> it should be noted, the iPhone is PC compatible.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Well I'm not sure what you mean about the Plays for sure thing
being
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> better
>>>>>>>> than iTunes, but if your in to MS DRM, cool!
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> One thing I'll point out about Windows Media Player, is that they
>>>have
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> copied
>>>>>>>> the itunes interface, Aqua theme/look, even the Itunes logo with
>>the
>>>>>>>>aqua
>>>>>>>> musical note and the CD. It's all hard to not notice MS's blatant
>>>>rip
>>>>>>>>off
>>>>>>>> of Apple design and innovation, just look at Vista. They been
at
>>>it
>>>>>>>>for
>>>>>>>> years.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>LaMont wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>> Jamie, it's Kool-aid to actually believe that Apple has AGAIN

>>(Lol!!)

>>>>>>>>>Re-invented

>>>>>>>>> the phone...

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>Well, it's a phone/media player/PDA/camera (what did I leave out?).

>>>>>The

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>"reinvention" really has to do with the finger-touch-screen interface,

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>right? So we'll see if it lives up to the hype when it ships.

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> Man, that guy (Mr Jobs) does not quit with his BS.. Please Mr

>>Jobs

>>>>>>>stop

>>>>>>>>with

>>>>>>>>> the propaganda.

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>Heh. It's a good thing you're immune to hyped advertising because

>>>>we're

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>surrounded by it from all directions.

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> Next week, if Apple comes out with a new version of Logic, they'll

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> claim

>>>>>>>>> to ahve Re-Invented the DAW..

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>They can claim whatever they want, as long as the next version

>is

>>>>at

>>>>>>>>>least as solid as the current version, further streamlined in

the

>>>>UI,

>>>>>>>>>and no less capable. :^)

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>Cheers,

>>>>>>>>> -Jamie

>>>>>>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>>>>>>>>>> Liking a product from a particular company isn't necessarily

>>a

>>>>koolaid

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>> proposition. Sometimes engineers earn their fans.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> In this case, it looks like Apple's engineers have done something
>>>>>>>>>> different from other options, with some unique interactive
features
>>>>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> UI implementations that may well be useful to a lot of people.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Just as Palm and Blackberry have engineered products that have
>>>>earned
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> the loyalty and praise of people who have found they need the
>>>features
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> they provide.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> We can speculate before the iPhone ships but I'll withhold
my
>>>final
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> judgment until it's available to try.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Having said that, my first impression of all three, the iPhone,
>>>>>the
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Treo, the Blackberry, is that they ALL seem too big and clumsy
>>>>for
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> phones.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> So far, all I want from my phone is that it be a phone, and
>that
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> be
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> compact and pocketable. My little flaptop LG does the trick.
>>That
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> it's
>>>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> speakerphone is a bonus.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> When I want a computer, I want something with a real screen
>and
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>>> keyboard I can really type on, that runs my goto productivity
>>>>>>>>>> applications (video, audio, animation, and graphics apps, plus

Page 23 of 42 ---- Generated from The PARIS Forums

>>>
>>>
>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>Here's the correct link on the G5:
>>>> <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vLujLtgBJC0&mode=related&search>
>>>>
>>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>LaMont I love ya man, but I gotta call you out on this one. The G4 you
>>>>>work
>>>>>on is a 867Mhz right? That machine is from 2001! That's a six year
>>>>>old
>>>>>machine your talking about being slow!!!! Check it out at
>>>>>www.apple-history.com
>>>>>
>>>>>You conveniently remember things the way you want to. When Apple
>>>>>announced
>>>>>that they had the fastest personal computer on the planet, they did.
>>
>>That
>>>>>was the truth, that's the fact, if it wasn't, Intel, AMD, Dell,
>>>>>Gateway,
>>>>>HP, and every other other PC manufacture would have sued Apple and
>>>>>won!!!!
>>>>> Now might be a good time for you to file a suit against Apple if you
>>think
>>>>>they misrepresented a product they sold you. However, I think you
>>>>>would
>>>>>find that claim would be frivolous. Computer processors through out
>> history
>>>>>have quickly leapfrogged each other in processing power. For a time
>> the
>>>>>were the fastest. The testing was done independently. The Mac bashing
>>>>>PC
>>>>>zealots spread the lie that the tests were fixed.
>>>>>
>>>>>The G4 was a good processor, it was noted in the industry for being a
>>work
>>>>>horse. The G4 was fast in it's time, in computer years, that was a
>>>>>long
>>>>>time ago. Your still complaining about it, and calling Steve Jobs a
>> lier.
>>>>> Of corse every company uses marketing spin, Jobs doesn't lie. And at
>>>least
>>>>>Apple doesn't steal the technology and call it their own. Once again
>>the
>>>>>G4 had a different architecture than the Pentium chips. The G4 had 8

>>data
 >>>>>pipelines going in and out of the chip. The P4 had 2. The G4 had way
 >>>more
 >>>>>cache on board than the P4 did, in some cases more than 4 times as
 >>>>>much.
 >>>>> The G4 would typically compete toe to toe with a P4 with twice the
 >>>>> clock
 >>>>>speed.
 >>>>>
 >>>>> Apple, AMD. IBM and Motorola, started calling Intel out on "The MHz
 > Myth".
 >>>>> Clock speed no longer was the only determining factor in computing
 >>>>> speed,
 >>>>>system and processor architecture ruled the day, thus AMD's equal to
 > a
 >>>P4
 >>>>>XX GHz labeling on there processors. I still use my G4 1.25 MHz and
 > I
 >>>can
 >>>>>tell you from experience, it is faster than my p4 2.4 and 2.8 prescott
 >>>dual
 >>>>>threaded with faster bussing.
 >>>>>
 >>>>>So you say that the G5 were slow. In there time, they weren't and
 >>>>>they're
 >>>>>still not slow! But you constantly say they are, and call Steve Jobs
 >>a
 >>>>>liar.
 >>>>> Back when the G5 came out it was #3 in the world!
 >>>>> <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vLujLtGBJC0&mode=related&search=>
 >>>>> The G5 is not a slow computer. Apple uses independent testing
 >>>>> laboratories.
 >>>>> It just more anti Mac bashing on your part.
 >>>>>
 >>>>>You say that because Apple moved to Intel it proves that you were right
 >>>>all
 >>>>>along, not even close! When Apple Dumped the Mac cloning, motorola was
 >>>>pissed.
 >>>>> I know first hand, they told me. They also told me they were going
 > to
 >>>>get
 >>>>>Apple back because they had a 90 million dollar charge off. They
 >>>>>stalled
 >>>>>Apple on G4 processor shipments and intentionally slowed the processor
 >>>speed
 >>>>>jumps.
 >>>>>
 >>>>>Apple turned to IBM. When Jobs announced the G5 for the first time,

> he
>>>>stated
>>>>>in the keynote that they would be at 3 GHz with in a year, and the road
>>>>map
>>>>>looked great. That was IBMs road map. IBM couldn't deliver!!!! Two
>>>years
>>>>>later Apple was at 2.7GHz, and not the newer generation G5s. The G5
> was
>>>>>too hot! Apple even turned to Delphi automotive to develop a cooling
>>system.
>>>>> They had no way of putting the G5 in a laptop. So they went with one
>>>of
>>>>>Apples contingency plans they had been working on for five years,
>>>>>Intel!
>>>>> Intel had a new generation of processors coming out, that were faster,
>>>>cooler
>>>>>and they also had a low power consuming mobile processors. It was a
> no
>>>>brainer,
>>>>>win, win deal. Intel could deliver. I think it was also a message to
>>>MS
>>>>>to keep working with Apple on MS Office development for the Mac.
>>>>>
>>>>>You have to bash the Mac every chance you get. You have taken things
>>personally.
>>>>> Your venom for Steve Jobs is unbelievable. I think you need to be
> honest
>>>>>about things. How many new Mac computers have you personally bought?
>>
>>>Your
>>>>>still not over Logic, and even though you don't use logic you rip on
> it
>>>>every
>>>>>chance you get. It's the same old PC zealot stuff, Logic sucks and
>>>>>it's
>>>>>all done. Apple's going out of business any minute now, try again!
>>>>>
>>>>>In the past, some big organizations did some studied on cost of
>>>>>operation.
>>>>> Boeing, Hues Aircraft, the US Navy and others. What they found was
> they
>>>>>needed a minimum of 6 people for every 100 PCs to maintain them. They
>>>only
>>>>>needed 0 to one person to maintain 100 Macs. The cost difference was
>>significant.
>>>>> The truth is Mac users don't really need IT guys like the PC world
>>>>> does.
>>>>> You IT guys know it and you hate that.

>>>>>
>>>>>So lets tell some truth. Your a Microsoft certified IT guy, right?
> You
>>>>>have taken quite a few Microsoft training classes, right? And you make
>>>>>your
>>>>>living with Microsoft products and Microsoft certification, right? The
>>>>>real
>>>>>truth is Apple computers don't need IT Guys to maintain them. Apple
> computers
>>>>>are a perceived threat to It guys, that's why you guys all have so much
>>>>>venom
>>>>>for anything Apple. It's not that Apple sucks, it's that you guys all
>>>hate
>>>>>any thing Apple. Why don't you just admit it?
>>>>>
>>>>>You said or at least insinuated that Windows is a standard, but
>>>>>anything
>>>>>Mac is not. In many cases Apple has created the standard and MS just
>>copies
>>>>>it. It's all just typical Mac bashing PC zealot stuff. It's down
>>>>>right
>>>>>insulting to a Mac user to say that were drinking the Steve Jobs
>>>>>Kool-Aid.
>>>>> The insinuation is that we are all stupid people that are gullible
>>>>> enough
>>>>>to believe a bunch of lies. That we use an inferior product. Like I
>>say
>>>>>your welcome to sue Apple any time your ready to back up your BS. If
>>you
>>>>>were honest about it all, you be speaking up about MS's behavior
>>>>>instead
>>>>>of giving THEM the free pass! Dude, to rip on Apple or Steve Jobs, and
>>>>>in
>>>>>effect putting down Mac users every chance you get is just plain lame.
>>>>>
>>>>>Apple doesn't suck, just admit it.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>By the way, My original post had noting to do with Mac vs. PC. I
>>>>>wasn't
>>>>>trying to say this product is better than yours. I was just saying
>>>>>check
>>>>>it out, it's cool!
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Hi Ted and others,

>>>>>>
>>>>>>I think the reason(s) why the mention of Steve Jobs and Keynotes
>>>>>>brings
>>>>>>out
>>>>>>so much venom, as you say, is because of the both the past and even
> current
>>>>>>lies and propaganda that spews from his mouth..
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Statements like : Re-invent and Faster Personal Computer ever in my
> opinion
>>>>>>is just plain wrong. I know too many had working people who believed
>>His
>>>>>>(Jobs) hype. Only to be sorely disa pointed when they found out that
>>the
>>>>>>Cpu test were rigged, and their Mac did "crash" on both OS8,9 and even
>>>>OS-X(all
>>>>>>versions).
>>>>>>
>>>>>>He (Jobs) usually mocks at windows user and Microsoft when he starts
>>his
>>>>>>keynote.(read: Dumb) When 90 percent of this world are using Windows
>>based
>>>>>>computers.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>So, the venom is actually corrections to the lies Mr Jobs spews. When
>>>Mac
>>>>>>users come and reguritate Mr Jobs lies, most Windows users will not
> just
>>>>>>let them get away with such.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I don't see anything wrong with setting the record straight.
>>>>>>P.S.
>>>>>>The Mac Pro is currently thee fastest Personal Computer on the
>>>>>>market..
>>>>>>.)
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>"Ted Gerber" <tedgerber@rogers.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I have never entered into any computer platform discussion pro or con
>>>>>>>in this newsgroup. I have my own preferences having worked on both,
>>but
>>>>>>>I'm
>>>>>>>not pushing my views on anyone else, except over beers at the local
>>pub
>>>>>>>with
>>>>>>>friends who are ready, willing and able. (As an aside, they are 1.

> Always
>>>>>>vehemont, and 2. Without any actual real world experience on one of
>>the
>>>>>>platforms in question).
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I have to say that I am amazed by the venom that spews whenever
>>>>>>someone
>>>>>>points to something Mac. It seems irrational to me. As if Steve Jobs
>>>personally
>>>>>>injured some on this group. Why do we worry so much about things we
>>have
>>>>>>no
>>>>>>control over? If someone points out a new plug, or a new amp, or
>>>>>>instrument,
>>>>>>things usually don't get personal. The recent donnybrook over
>>>>>>Politics,
>>>>>>Religion
>>>>>>and
>>>>>>War is regrettable but not surprising. But somebody pointing to a new
>>>>product
>>>>>>from a computer co and getting sarcasm, disgust, resentment and
>>>>>>mockery just makes no sense.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Ted
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Well Martin, you can call me a Mac zealot, but it's not exactly
>>>>>>>>true.
>>>>>
>>>>>>Unlike
>>>>>>>you, I use and own both PCs and Macs. I actually use my PCs on a
> regular
>>>>>>>basis. I've used PCs longer than I've used Macs, by about ten
>>>>>>>years.
>>>>>
>>>>>>I've
>>>>>>>been a licensed and authorized computer dealer. I've bought, sold,
>>>and
>>>>>>>built
>>>>>>>many PCs
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I think windows XP is a great improvement over MS's past operating

Subject: Re: Copycats;)

probably even give them another try when I build =
my dual=20

octocore DAW next week.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>;o)</DIV></DIV>

<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20

style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">

<DIV>"DJ" <<A =

href=3D"mailto:nowayjose@dude.net">nowayjose@dude.net>=20

wrote in message <A=20

href=3D"news:45a70623@linux">news:45a70623@linux...</DIV>

<DIV><A=20

=

href=3D" http://www.highspeedpc.com/Merchant2/merchant.mv?Screen=3DCT GY&am=
p;Category_Code=3DTopTechSTD"><FONT=20

face=3DArial=20

=

size=3D2> http://www.highspeedpc.com/Merchant2/merchant.mv?Screen=3DCT GY&a=
mp;Category_Code=3DTopTechSTD</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>I bought one back in October and =
have been too=20

busy to unbox it until today. Terrible=20

QC. Surfaces were predrilled exactly backwards =
and=20

hardware assembly components were missing. It would have cost me =
more in=20

time that it was worth to box it back up and ship it back so I =
redrilled it=20

and went to Home Depot because I needed to finish this project, but =
I'm=20

pissed off and would never buy another one of these. It's a good =
design once=20

it's properly assembled though and I could put one together like =
this quick=20

and for about \$20.00 with just a little more effort than I =
spent=20

getting this one up to speed.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV><FONT face=3DArial=20

size=3D2>Deej</DIV></BLOCKQUOTE></BLOCKQUOTE></BODY ></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_0033_01C73688.684A4B20--Yer right, That IS a class act, and look what it
resulted in...

turned a 100% dissatisfied customer into a possible future

customer after all.

Imagine that.

Neil

"Mike R." <emarenot@yahoo.com> wrote:

>

>

>Now that is a class act. Customer services is generally so effing bad these
>days.

>MR

> "DJ" <nowayjose@dude.net> wrote in message news:45a73099@linux...

> I sent a post to the company where I purchased this bench. I received
the

>following reply:

>

> I sincerely apologize for any dissatisfaction you have with the Tech
> Station and I thank you for bringing this to my attention. I am having
> your complete purchase price including shipping refunded to your PayPal
> account right away, no need to return the unit you purchased. The Tech
> Stations are not for everyone and we do offer everyone a complete refund
> if unsatisfied...

>

> About the quality issue, these are all made by hand as we are a small
> company and currently do not have the resources to automate the majority
> of the fabrication so there will be slight variances but nothing that
> should effect performance. Since your bench has some problems I can
> only assume that human error was at play, our fabricators were very much
> overworked the last couple months - not an excuse, just a potential
> reason - and I will be dealing with any quality control issues personally.

>

> Thank you again for your feedback and I hope there is some way we can
> compensate you for your trouble and I'll do my best to address any
> additional concerns you may have.

>

> That's a class act. I'm suddenly very impressed. I'll probably even give
>them another try when I build my dual octocore DAW next week.

>

> ;o)

> "DJ" <nowayjose@dude.net> wrote in message news:45a70623@linux...

>

> [http://www.highspeedpc.com/Merchant2/merchant.mv?Screen=CTGY
&Category_Code=TopTechSTD](http://www.highspeedpc.com/Merchant2/merchant.mv?Screen=CTGY&Category_Code=TopTechSTD)

>

> I bought one back in October and have been too busy to unbox it until
>today. Terrible QC. Surfaces were predrilled exactly backwards and hardware
>assembly components were missing. It would have cost me more in time that

it
>was worth to box it back up and ship it back so I redrilled it and went
to
>Home Depot because I needed to finish this project, but I'm pissed off and
>would never buy another one of these. It's a good design once it's properly
>assembled though and I could put one together like this quick and for about
>\$20.00 with just a little more effort than I spent getting this one up to
>speed.
>
> Deej
>
>
><!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
><HTML><HEAD>
><META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
>charset=3Diso-8859-1">
><META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1555" name=3DGENERATOR>
><STYLE></STYLE>
></HEAD>
><BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>

>services is=20

><DIV>MR</DIV>
><BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
>style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
> <DIV>"DJ" <<A =
>href=3D"mailto:nowayjose@dude.net">nowayjose@dude.net>=20
> wrote in message <A=20
>href=3D"news:45a73099@linux">news:45a73099@linux...</DIV>
> <DIV>
> <DIV>I sent a post to the company where I
=
>purchased=20
> this bench. I received the following reply:</DIV>

> <DIV>I sincerely apologize for any dissatisfaction you have with =
>the Tech=20
>
Station and I thank you for bringing this to my =

> am having
your complete purchase price including shipping refunded
=
>to your=20
> PayPal
account right away, no need to return the unit you =

> The Tech
Stations are not for everyone and we do offer everyone a
=

>complete=20
> refund
if unsatisfied...

About the quality issue, these are
=
>all=20
> made by hand as we are a small
company and currently do not have =
>the=20
> resources to automate the majority
of the fabrication so there =
>will be=20

>Since=20
> your bench has some problems I can
only assume that human error =
>was at=20
> play, our fabricators were very much
overworked the last couple =
>months -=20
> not an excuse, just a potential
reason - and I will be dealing =
>with any=20
> quality control issues personally.

Thank you again for your =
>feedback=20

>trouble=20
> and I'll do my best to address any
additional concerns you may=20
> have.</DIV>

> <DIV>That's a class act. I'm suddenly very
=
>

>my dual=20
> octocore DAW next week.</DIV>

> <DIV>;o)</DIV></DIV>
> <BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
> style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
> <DIV>"DJ" <<A =
>href=3D"mailto:nowayjose@dude.net">nowayjose@dude.net>=20
> wrote in message <A=20
> href=3D"news:45a70623@linux">news:45a70623@linux...</DIV>
> <DIV><A=20
> =
>href=3D" http://www.highspeedpc.com/Merchant2/merchant.mv?Screen=3DCT GY&am=
>p;Category_Code=3DTopTechSTD"><FONT=20
> face=3DArial=20
> =
>size=3D2> http://www.highspeedpc.com/Merchant2/merchant.mv?Screen=3DCT GY&a=
>mp;Category_Code=3DTopTechSTD</DIV>

> <DIV>I bought one back in October and =

>have been too=20
 > busy to unbox it until today. Terrible=20
 > QC. Surfaces were predrilled exactly backwards
 =
 >and=20
 > hardware assembly components were missing. It would have cost me =
 >more in=20
 > time that it was worth to box it back up and ship it back so I =
 >redrilled it=20
 > and went to Home Depot because I needed to finish this project, but
 =
 >I'm=20
 > pissed off and would never buy another one of these. It's a good =
 >design once=20
 > it's properly assembled though and I could put one together like =
 >this quick=20

 >spent=20
 > getting this one up to speed.</DIV>

 > <DIV><FONT face=3DArial=20
 >size=3D2>Deej</DIV></BLOCKQUOTE></BLOCKQUOTE></BODY ></HTML>
 >
 >Well???

:DI have setup my mac as a duel boot with OS X. I master in waveburner wich comes with logic.

I mix back into Paris , export the files as Wav or SD2 and then import them in Waveburner in OS X. I do need an M Audio card for OS X .All works great.

I cant use ASIO in OS 9 sa it wont work with three cards, only one or two.

Hank

>

>Dale,

>Older TC Spark / XL works well for me, runs XLNT under OS9.1 and 9.2. Spark

>v2.5 is the last version that will run on 9.2.

>I also have Bias Peak 3, which I like a lot, but I have to run it on a different

>Mac running OSX.

>If you search eBay for a while for TC Spark 2.5, 2.2 or 2.0, you

>might be able to get a good deal.

>Cheers, simmo.

>

>"Dale" <dalebradleycello@yahoo.com> wrote:

>>

>>Been using Jam, but it's pretty limited. Also Peak lite is too lite for

>serious

>>work. What are you faves?

>>

>>TIA,

>>Dale
>cool name! Is it French?
;o)

"Dipshit" <Dipshit@dipshit.dip> wrote in message news:45a833d5\$1@linux...
>
>
> Well???
>
> :DNo, you're probably thinking of my cousins: the Douchebags.

"areptiledysfunction" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:
>cool name! Is it French?
>;o)
>
>"Dipshit" <Dipshit@dipshit.dip> wrote in message news:45a833d5\$1@linux...
>>
>>
>> Well???
>>
>> :D
>
>No. Because when I use the clock in Paris to run one of the 8 ch pre's it works beautifully, but as soon as I run it with the Aardsync, it's as if the Aardsync wasn't even connected. I get snaps and pops through the roof. What my original question is, How do I know that the Aardsync is indeed even producing clock? It doesn't appear to be!
Steve

"Neil" <IUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:
>
>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote:
>>I had a New Years gig to record a group live with
>>Paris. I took the Paris and 2 Behringer 8 ch. pre's. I tried running all
>>three entities off of the Aardsync at 44.1.
>>My goodness.... snap crackle pop...
>
>Far be it from me to suggest that this may be the way Behringer
>pre's are, in fact, supposed to sound.
>
>:DVegas is a superb video app. Under-rated for sure. Best workflow o

Subject: Re: Copycats;)
Posted by [TCB](#) on Thu, 14 Dec 2006 19:55:41 GMT

;>>>>>crashes.
>>>>>>> That the guys you work with have told you and you've see it. I've
>>>been
>>>>>>>using Mac OSX every day for over five years now with out a crash.
>
>>I
>>>>think
>>>>>>>the other Mac guys here would tell you pretty much the same thing.
>>
>>>I
>>>>>don't
>>>>>>>know why they would have so much problems, but OSX is for the most
>>part
>>>>>>>problem
>>>>>>>free for most users. To state other wise is not correct generally
>>speaking,
>>>>>>>and sounds like typical mac bashing.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I've never had a virus on a Mac and I've been using them everyday
> since
>>>>>>>1994!
>>>>>>> I would say, most of the guys here that use PCs for audio, don't
> use
>>>>>the
>>>>>>>same machine for the internet, to a Mac user that's crazy and
>>>>>>>laughable.
>>>>>>> A lot of people say that a Mac cost twice as much as a PC, yeah,
> but
>>>>>you
>>>>>>>have to have two of them to safely do what a Mac does. So what's
> it
>>>>>cost???
>>>>>>>The logic is funny. Yes you can build a PC cheaper, but look at all
>>>>>the
>>>>>>>problems and the hassle. Mac are not perfect, ricks G4 800 is an
> example
>>>>>>>of a lemon, but it's not the norm. If you love Windows and you love
>>>>>to
>>>>>>>tinker
>>>>>>>and build cheap machines, that's cool! Different strokes for
>>>>>>>different
>>>>>>>folks.
>>>>>>> Just don't bash the Mac or the Mac users.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>My original post about the iPhone was just to say check it out. I
>>was
>>>>>>>impressed

>>>>>>>with it's design and capabilities. It's slick! Of course the same
>>>guys
>>>>>>>that bash any thing Apple Posted replys, and it becomes, So what,
> My
>>>>thing
>>>>>>>can do anything that can. My phone is better because it runs
>>>>>>>windows.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>The
>>>>>>>old , my thing is bigger and better than your thing, pissing
>>>>>>>contest.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>My
>>>>>>>windows PC is better than your Mac. It wasn't a contest post, or
> a
>>>>draw
>>>>>>>to arms challenge.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I wasn't trying to tell anybody to go buy one. The reply was, mine
>>>is
>>>>>>>better
>>>>>>>and it doesn't get better because of Windows compatibility and
>>>>>>>software.
>>>>>>> I said check it out, because you don't know until you check it out
>>>completely.
>>>>>>> it is windows compatible. I stated the features, design and user
> interface
>>>>>>>are superior. It's not a big and bulky as it's competitors. It's
>>>a
>>>>>smaller
>>>>>>>sleeker design with a 3.5 LCD. The UI is obviously more advanced,
>>they
>>>>>>>can
>>>>>>>create new user interfaces in software in to the future to add more
>>>features
>>>>>>>and software. It has better features like rich HTML web pages with
>>>zoom
>>>>>>>in, web pages look like web pages, landscape viewing, text to voice
>>>etc.
>>>>>>> It's best to go get an education on it and watch the video before
>>you
>>>>>>>rip
>>>>>>>on it just because it's an Apple product.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Yes, the video is a sales pitch! It's also a demo of the products.
>>>
>>>>It's
>>>>>>>always a good thing to know what your talking about first hand,

>>>>>>>especially
 >>>>>>>when your going to bash something.
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>James
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>"Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote:
 >>>>>>>Hmmm, A "Zealot" calling the kettle black.
 >>>>>>>I hope you re-read some of your recent posts in a week or two and
 >>discover
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>what we all see.
 >>>>>>>--
 >>>>>>>Martin Harrington
 >>>>>>>www.lendanear-sound.com
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
 >>>>>>>news:45a5ca84\$1@linux...
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
 >>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>The Treo 700wx does all those things: With a touch screen to
 >>>>>>>>boot.
 >>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>And while the Iphone syncs perfectly with itunes, the Treo 700
 > wx
 >>>>syncs
 >>>>>>>> with
 >>>>>>>>>Win Media player (with Play for sure technology) which is wayyy
 >>bettter
 >>>>>>>> than
 >>>>>>>>>itunes..
 >>>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>> I'm not knocking the Treo or the BB. I think for a windows user
 >>>they
 >>>>>>>>are
 >>>>>>>>> perfect. Those products have had time to mature, and quite a bit
 >>>>of
 >>>>>>>>third
 >>>>>>>>> party development. The iPhone looks pretty slick out of the
 >>>>>>>>> gate.
 >>>>>
 >>>>>>>>Only
 >>>>>>>>> time will tell if the iPhone will be a success. I have to say
 > for
 >>>>>>>>the
 >>>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>> average

>>>>>>>> guy the price is steep IMO, but not for someone with all the
>>>>>>>> needs.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Again,
>>>>>>>> it should be noted, the iPhone is PC compatible.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Well I'm not sure what you mean about the Plays for sure thing
> being
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> better
>>>>>>>> than iTunes, but if your in to MS DRM, cool!
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> One thing I'll point out about Windows Media Player, is that they
>>>>have
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> copied
>>>>>>>> the itunes interface, Aqua theme/look, even the Itunes logo with
>>>>the
>>>>>>>>aqua
>>>>>>>> musical note and the CD. It's all hard to not notice MS's
>>>>>>>> blatant
>>>>>rip
>>>>>>>>off
>>>>>>>> of Apple design and innovation, just look at Vista. They been
> at
>>>>>it
>>>>>>>>for
>>>>>>>> years.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>LaMont wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> Jamie, it's Kool-aid to actually believe that Apple has AGAIN
>>>>(Lol!!)
>>>>>>>>>Re-invented
>>>>>>>>>> the phone...
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Well, it's a phone/media player/PDA/camera (what did I leave
>>>>>>>>>>>>out?).
>>>>>>>>The
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>"reinvention" really has to do with the finger-touch-screen
>>>>>>>>>>>>interface,
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>right? So we'll see if it lives up to the hype when it ships.
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Man, that guy (Mr Jobs) does not quit with his BS.. Please Mr

>>>Jobs
>>>>>>stop
>>>>>>>with
>>>>>>>> the propaganda.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Heh. It's a good thing you're immune to hyped advertising
>>>>>>>>because
>>>>we're
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>surrounded by it from all directions.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Next week, if Apple comes out with a new version of Logic,
>>>>>>>>>> they'll
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> claim
>>

Subject: Re: Copycats;)
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Thu, 14 Dec 2006 20:04:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

different from other options, with some unique interactive
> features
>>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> UI implementations that may well be useful to a lot of
>>>>>>>>>> people.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Just as Palm and Blackberry have engineered products that
>>>>>>>>>>> have
>>>>>earned
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> the loyalty and praise of people who have found they need the
>>>>features
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> they provide.
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> We can speculate before the iPhone ships but I'll withhold
> my
>>>>final
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> judgment until it's available to try.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Having said that, my first impression of all three, the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> iPhone,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>the

>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Treo, the Blackberry, is that they ALL seem too big and
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> clumsy
>>>>>for
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> phones.
>>>>>
