
Subject: levels in paris

Posted by [uptown jimmy](#) on Mon, 11 Dec 2006 20:52:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;> ? that is stopping me from getting help from the ONLY LEFT PLACE FOR

> HELP

>> ? Please if so and it's my name tell me please guys so I woun't

>> bother

>> anymore !!!! (check my last post .. # 188838)

>> Thanks alot

>

>Hey DeeJ forget the mechanical stuff...anti-grav's the only way to go...

Subject: Re: levels in paris

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Mon, 11 Dec 2006 23:28:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

wrote in message

>>news:4hneq21s7g91olejk0pt3m1eprk4lu5ipi@4ax.com...

>>> yep...you definitely need the fret factor. hopefully this will

>>> prevent you from future "pre-manufactured" things and stick to what

>>> you do best...reinventing the wheel.

>>>

>>> ;o)

>>>

>>> On Thu, 11 Jan 2007 21:06:18 -0700, "DJ" <nowayjose@dude.net> wrote:

>>>

>>>> [http://www.highspeedpc.com/Merchant2/merchant.mv?Screen=CTGY
&Category_Code=TopTechSTD](http://www.highspeedpc.com/Merchant2/merchant.mv?Screen=CTGY&Category_Code=TopTechSTD)

>>>>

>>>>I bought one back in October and have been too busy to unbox it until

>>>>today. Terrible QC. Surfaces were predrilled exactly backwards and

>>>>hardware assembly components were missing. It would have cost me more in

>>>>time that it was worth to box it back up and ship it back so I redrilled

>>>>it and went to Home Depot because I needed to finish this project, but

>>>>I'm

>>>>pissed off and would never buy another one of these. It's a good design

>>>>once it's properly assembled though and I could put one together like

>>>>this

>>>>quick and for about \$20.00 with just a little more effort than I spent

>>>>getting this one up to speed.

>>>>

>>>>Deej

>>>

>>

>Yeah...I get snubbed all the time here.

It's all part of the PARIS Newsgroup Experience.

:~)

Just Joking.

No, but I think sometimes a lot us know the answers , but are to lazy to answer something we have already answered 100 times so we overlook it and assume someone else will answer the question. I might have the record for unanswered questions on here.. I don't think ill will is intended just the people who got the answers are either too busy or not inclined to answer that day and then it goes into the forgotten pile.

All peoples are welcome here so please stay and participate Yasser.

Brandon

"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote in message news:45a7d48d\$1 @linux...
> Ditto here too...no snubbing intended...
>
> DOn
>
>
> "Carl Amburn" <carlamburn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:45a7d200@linux...
>> Hello Yasser,
>>
>> No snobbing going on - stuff just gets overlooked sometimes. It's
>> certainly
>> happened to me as well.
>>
>> As far as your C16 problem - that's not a common "fix it" type problem,
so
>> most people really wouldn't know what to tell you. I've had issues with
>> the
>> cable getting yanked a bit in the output jack, and causing paris to
>> freak/cr

Subject: Re: levels in paris
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Mon, 11 Dec 2006 23:29:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ash - but once I reset it and reboot, it's fine

Subject: Re: levels in paris

Posted by [uptown jimmy](#) on Tue, 12 Dec 2006 00:54:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>
>>>> ;o)
>>>>
>>>> On Thu, 11 Jan 2007 21:06:18 -0700, "DJ" <nowayjose@dude.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> [http://www.highspeedpc.com/Merchant2/merchant.mv?Screen=CTGY
&Category_Code=TopTechSTD](http://www.highspeedpc.com/Merchant2/merchant.mv?Screen=CTGY&Category_Code=TopTechSTD)
>>>>>
>>>>>I bought one back in October and have been too busy to unbox it until
>>>>>today. Terrible QC. Surfaces were predrilled exactly backwards and
>>>>>hardware assembly components were missing. It would have cost me more in
>>>>>time that it was worth to box it back up and ship it back so I redrilled
>>>>>it and went to Home Depot because I needed to finish this project, but
>>>>>I'm
>>>>>pissed off and would never buy another one of these. It's a good design
>>>>>once it's properly assembled though and I could put one together like
>>>>>this
>>>>>quick and for about \$20.00 with just a little more effort than I spent
>>>>>getting this one up to speed.
>>>>>
>>>>>Deej
>>>>
>>>
>>
>you might want to check the cable connection on the c-16 or the card
as i have one that no longer has all the connections...it took me many
looks between that one and the others to see the bad one.

as to the snub thing...we've got too many peeps rep'd here for that to
be an issue.

On 13 Jan 2007 05:10:12 +1000, "Yasser Shaaban"
<yasser_shaaban61@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>I have been noticing that you guys DO help eachother and alot.. in the very
>few times I asked for some help ... I either have gotten rather nothing
>or someone taking the piss .. rarely have been helped .. although you guys
>talk , exchange ideas even about subejcts that are not related to Paris...
>jokes even... so is it my name? which says what background I come from
>? that is stopping me from getting help from the ONLY LEFT PLACE FOR HELP
>? Please if so and it's my name tell me please guys so I woun't bother
>anymore !!!! (check my last post .. # 188838)

> Thanks alotls there a way to know for sure that my Aardsync is outputting clock? I fear

I have been ripped off. I had a New Years gig to record a group live with Paris. I took the Paris and 2 Behringer 8 ch. pre's. I tried running all three entities off of the Aardsync at 44.1.

My goodness.... snap crackle pop...Soooo I disconnected the Aardsync, and ran the first 8 ch. pre off of the Paris. Well at least those first 8 tracks didn't snap and pop, but of course the 9-16 did, because it was running off of it's own.

Any ideas guys?

SteveDetail the connections you used, and how you were connecting to Paris.

David.

Steve Cox wrote:

> Is there a way to know for sure that my Aardsync is outputting clock? I fear

> I have been ripped off. I had a New Years gig to record a group live with

> Paris. I took the Paris and 2 Behringer 8 ch. pre's. I tried running all

> three entities off of the Aardsync at 44.1.

> My goodness.... snap crackle pop...Soooo I disconnected the Aardsync, and

> ran the first 8 ch. pre off of the Paris. Well at least those first 8 tracks

> didn't snap and pop, but of course the 9-16 did, because it was running off

> of it's own.

> Any ideas guys?

> SteveNo. It is not your name. I have a no-so-common name too but I just pester people here until they get annoyed and talk to me so I will leave them alone

;o). They know that if they ignore me for too long, I will find out where

they live and come to visit. I did read your post though. I just had no

answer

Subject: Re: levels in paris

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Tue, 12 Dec 2006 00:56:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> so

> > most people really wouldn't know what to tell you. I've had issues with

> > > the

> > > cable getting yanked a bit in the output jack, and causing paris to

> > > freak/crash - but once I reset it and reboot, it's fine again. I would

> > > definitely try to get your rig up and running without the C16, and then

> > > start messing with it.

> > >

> > > -Carl
> > >
> > >
> > > "Yasser Shaaban" <yasser_shaaban61@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:45a7cf04\$1@linux...
> > >>
> > >> I have been noticing that you guys DO help eachother and alot.. in
> the
> > > very
> > >> few times I asked for some help ... I

Subject: Re: levels in paris
Posted by [emarenot](#) on Tue, 12 Dec 2006 02:12:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

again. I would
> > definitely try to get your rig up and running without the C16, and then
> > start messing with it.
> >
> > -Carl
> >
> >
> > "Yasser Shaaban" <yasser_shaaban61@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:45a7cf04\$1@linux...
> > >>
> > >> I have been noticing that you guys DO help eachother and alot.. in
the
> > > very
> > >> few times I asked for some help ... I either have gotten rather nothing
> > >> or someone taking the piss .. rarely have been helpe

Subject: Re: levels in paris
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Tue, 12 Dec 2006 13:17:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

for being a
work
>>>horse. The G4 was fast in it's time, in computer years, that was a long
>>>time ago. Your still complaining about it, and calling Steve Jobs a liar.
>>> Of corse every company uses marketing spin, Jobs doesn't lie. And at
>least
>>>Apple doesn't steal the technology and call it their own. Once again
the
>>>G4 had a different architecture than the Pentium chips. The G4 had 8
data

>>>pipelines going in and out of the chip. The P4 had 2. The G4 had way
>more
>>>cache on board than the P4 did, in some cases more than 4 times as much.
>>> The G4 would typically compete toe to toe with a P4 with twice the clock
>>>speed.
>>>
>>> Apple, AMD, IBM and Motorola, started calling Intel out on "The MHz Myth".
>>> Clock speed no longer was the only determining factor in computing speed,
>>>system and processor architecture ruled the day, thus AMD's equal to a
>P4
>>>XX GHz labeling on there processors. I still use my G4 1.25 MHz and I
>can
>>>tell you from experience, it is faster than my p4 2.4 and 2.8 prescott
>dual
>>>threaded with faster bussing.
>>>
>>>So you say that the G5 were slow. In there time, they weren't and they're
>>>still not slow! But you constantly say they are, and call Steve Jobs
>a
>>liar.
>>> Back when the G5 came out it was #3 in the world!
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vLujLtgBJC0&mode=related&search=>
>>> The G5 is not a slow computer. Apple uses independent testing laboratories.
>>> It just more anti Mac bashing on your part.
>>>
>>>You say that because Apple moved to Intel it proves that you were right
>>all
>>>along, not even close! When Apple Dumped the Mac cloning, motorola was
>>pissed.
>>> I know first hand, they told me. They also told me they were going to
>>get
>>>Apple back because they had a 90 million dollar charge off. They stalled
>>>Apple on G4 processor shipments and intentionally slowed the processor
>speed
>>>jumps.
>>>
>>>Apple turned to IBM. When Jobs announced the G5 for the first time, he
>>stated
>>>in the keynote that they would be at 3 GHz with in a year, and the road
>>map
>>>looked great. That was IBMs road map. IBM couldn't deliver!!!! Two
>years
>>>later Apple was at 2.7GHz, and not the newer generation G5s. The G5 was
>>>too hot! Apple even turned to Delphi automotive to develop a cooling
system.
>>> They had no way of putting the G5 in a laptop. So they went with one
>of
>>>Apples contingency plans they had been working on for five years, Intel!

>>> Intel had a new generation of processors coming out, that were faster,
>>cooler
>>>and they also had a low power consuming mobile processors. It was a no
>>brainer,
>>>win, win deal. Intel could deliver. I think it was also a message to
>MS
>>>to keep working with Apple on MS Office development for the Mac.
>>>
>>>You have to bash the Mac every chance you get. You have taken things
personally.
>>> Your venom for Steve Jobs is unbelievable. I think you need to be honest
>>>about things. How many new Mac computers have you personally bought?

>Your
>>>still not over Logic, and even though you don't use logic you rip on it
>>every
>>>chance you get. It's the same old PC zealot stuff, Logic sucks and it's
>>>all done. Apple's going out of business any minute now, try again!
>>>
>>>In the past, some big organizations did some studied on cost of operation.
>>> Boeing, Hues Aircraft, the US Navy and others. What they found was they
>>>needed a minimum of 6 people for every 100 PCs to maintain them. They
>only
>>>needed 0 to one person to maintain 100 Macs. The cost difference was
significant.
>>> The truth is Mac users don't really need IT guys like the PC world does.
>>> You IT guys know it and you hate that.
>>>
>>>So lets tell some truth. Your a Microsoft certified IT guy, right? You
>>>have taken quite a few Microsoft training classes, right? And you make
>>your
>>>living with Microsoft products and Microsoft certification, right? The
>>real
>>>truth is Apple computers don't need IT Guys to maintain them. Apple computers
>>>are a perceived threat to It guys, that's why you guys all have so much
>>venom
>>>for anything Apple. It's not that Apple sucks, it's that you guys all
>hate
>>>any thing Apple. Why don't you just admit it?
>>>
>>>You said or at least insinuated that Windows is a standard, but anything
>>>Mac is not. In many cases Apple has created the standard and MS just
copies
>>>it. It's all just typical Mac bashing PC zealot stuff. It's down right
>>&g
