

---

Subject: diet

Posted by [DJ](#) on Sat, 07 Jul 2007 16:58:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

PCs I built over a year ago. Is it possible this is more of  
>an Intel issue than OSX vs. Windows? Or perhaps it really is just that  
Macs  
>are so much less prevalent, esp. in corporate networks, than PCs.  
>  
>10 years of PCs and never a virus, malware or spyware. And my current set  
>of PCs don't have any virus protection at all, including the WinVista PC  
>that grabs email all day. It doesn't take much to prevent this, and I've  
>been using the same method on Macs and PCs, and life in general for years:  
>  
>PC/Mac:  
>1 - don't open spam mail  
>2 - only visit sites you trust (this isn't that difficult to do unless you  
>are an internet "explorer" that clicks on anything and everything ;-)  
>3 - don't click on popup ads  
>  
>I do recall viruses being an issue for Macs in the earlier days. Imho,  
it's  
>best to make direct comparisons - as soon as I get my hands on a Macintel  
>and Nuendo 4 I plan to do plugin/loading tests to see which OS is really  
>faster and more efficient for pro audio use, and price out the parts in  
a  
>Macintel to see how much the nice case and OSX really cost. I like some  
>aspects of Macs, and am no fan of MS or Windows, but when push comes to  
>shove, much of the propaganda against PCs is unfounded and ill-informed.  
>The rest, MS deserves.  
>  
>Regards,  
>Dedric  
>  
>On 8/13/07 12:01

---

Subject: Re: diet

Posted by [Nil](#) on Sat, 07 Jul 2007 17:33:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

t;> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together  
>>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one  
>>>>> LOL!  
>>>>>  
>>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D  
>>>>>

>>>>>  
>>>>> Neil  
>>>>>  
>&

---

---

Subject: Re: diet  
Posted by [rick](#) on Sat, 07 Jul 2007 19:09:28 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

founded and ill-informed.  
>>The rest, MS deserves.  
>>  
>>Regards,  
>>Dedric  
>>  
>>On 8/13/07 12:01 AM, in article 46bff3a8\$1@linux, "laMont"  
>><jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:  
>>  
>>>  
>>> I don't know Thad..all it takes is a person going to wrong web  
>>> site..boom..or  
>>> if a client has an affected file in a session. last year one of our email  
>>> servers(lotus domino-server 2003 got hit with the sasser and W32 ..  
>>>  
>>> MS has a mess on their hands..  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>> Poppycock. I high volume XP and 2003 servers that have been running  
>>>> stably,  
>>>> without infection or even rebooting, for literally years. It's not even  
>>> all  
>>>> that difficult. If you don't want to take the time to learn enough to  
> secure  
>>>> and stabilize a Windows box then fine, pay what you want, but what  
>>>> you're  
>>>> paying for is your ignorance and unwillingness to learn, so you should  
> call  
>>>> it what it is.  
>>>>  
>>>> TCB  
>>>>  
>>>> P.S. As you might know, I run linux whenever I have the choice.  
>>>>  
>>>> "Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:  
>>>>&gt;

---

---

Subject: Re: diet

Posted by [DJ](#) on Sat, 07 Jul 2007 21:21:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

y by a noticeable margin on the same hardware (even when run on the

>>> same system as OSX via Bootcamp). He said even Logic ran better outside

>>> OSX, but I'm not sure how that comparison was made since Logic 5 (Win)

and

>>> Logic 7 (OSX) might not be comparable. If OSX was a standalone OS, I would

>>> buy it to have both and compare, but the fastest processing, lowest

>>> bloat-factor OS would inevitably be the DAW system of choice for me.

>>>

>>> Regards,

>>> Detric

>>>

>>> On 8/9/07 8:23 PM, in article 46bbcc3f\$1@linux, "lamont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>

>>> wrote:

>>>

>>>>

>>>> I agree..after we complete this cd project i'll be moving on to our new

>> Mac

>>>> Pro(4 core) running Nuendo, PT and the new Apple Logic.

>>>>

>>>> I'm really burned out with XP and viruses..with getting on the

>>>> internet..sorry

>>>> but u like being able to go on the internet on my daw.

>>>>

>>>> I'll covert my current dual opteron daw into a dedicated vsti machine.

>>>>

>>>> LAD

>>>>

>>>> "Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:

>>>>>

>>>>> Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much more

>> than

>>>>> the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a pro

>>>>> environment.

>>>>>

>>>>> Steve

>>>>>

>>>>> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:

>>>>>> Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small

>>>>>> business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as

>>>>>> possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No

extra

>>>>>

>>>>> software or extended warranties.  
>>>>>

---

---

Subject: Re: diet  
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Sat, 07 Jul 2007 23:58:09 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

e to learn enough to  
>> secure  
>>>>> and stabilize a Windows box then fine, pay what you want, but what  
>>>>> you're  
>>>>> paying for is your ignorance and unwillingness to learn, so you should  
>> call  
>>>>> it what it is.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> TCB  
>>>>>  
>>>>> P.S. As you might know, I run linux whenever I have the choice.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> "Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>> Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much  
>>>>>> more  
>>>>> than  
>>>>>> the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a pro  
>>>>>> environment.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> Steve  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:  
>>>>>>> Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small  
>>>>>>> business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as  
>>>>>>> possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No  
>>>>>>> extra  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> software or extended warranties.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> THe verdict:  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> Dell Vostro 15" \$1443  
>>>>>>>>

---

---

Subject: Re: diet  
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Sun, 08 Jul 2007 00:12:19 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

;> Macbook Pro 15" \$2074  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> Still a ways to go.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> JH  
>>>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used  
>>>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options  
>>>>>> are out there.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together  
>>>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one  
>>>>>> LOL!  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> Neil  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general  
>>>>>>> purpose  
>>>>>>> "music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty  
>> much  
>>>>>> on  
>>>>>>> topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive  
>> or  
>>>> taking  
>>>>>>> over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the  
>>>>>>> politics  
>>>>>>> stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know  
>>>>>>> quite  
>>>>>> a  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> number  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> of people get irritated by it.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like  
>> to  
>>>> know  
>>>>>>> what's going on in Apple land so...  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>

---

---

Subject: Re: diet  
Posted by [wireline\[33\]](#) on Sun, 08 Jul 2007 02:43:50 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

```
>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of
>>>>>>>>>> stuff
>>>>>>> here.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Chuck
>>>>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple
>>> crap.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> That's
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> what apple forums are for.
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>That's the point. We have to install anit-spyware, anti virus ware. Peole
should be able to surf without being attacked liek they are today.
```

Porn sites or not. A user should not have to go thru such means.

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>  
>I'm not saying M\$oft don't have problems with security, I'm saying it's  
a  
>fairly minor task to secure a Windows box. Get a firewall (or turn on the  
>M\$oft on

Subject: Re: diet  
Posted by [rick](#) on Sun, 08 Jul 2007 09:12:38 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

uff too, but I know

>>>>>>>>> quite

>>>>>>> a

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> number

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> of people get irritated by it.

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like

>>>> to

>>>>>> know

>>>>>>>>> what's going on in Apple land so...

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> Cheers,

>>>>>>>>> Kim.

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>> It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.

>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>> "Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>> Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds  
of

>>>>>>>>>>>> stuff

>>>>>>>> here.

>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>> Chuck

>>>>>>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>> I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple  
>>>> crap.

>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>> That's

>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>> what apple forums are for.

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>Agreed. Know what else? I think I should get to bang Scarlet Johansson. But

I don't worry much about that 'should' because it's not the way things are.

---

---

Subject: Re: diet  
Posted by [rick](#) on Sun, 08 Jul 2007 09:14:31 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

/>  
TCB

"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>

>That's the point. We have to install anit-spyware, anti virus ware. Peole  
>should be able to surf without being attacked liek they are today.

>

>Porn sites or not. A user should not have to go thru such means.

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>

>>I'm not saying M\$oft don't have problems with security, I'm saying it's

>a

>>fairly minor task to secure a Windows box. Get a firewall (or turn on the  
>>M\$oft one), install patches, update virus definitions. If it's a production  
>>box (audio or email) then nobody should be using it to web surf anyway,  
>and

>>if they do it should be using a VM.

>>

>>Now then, if someone wants to run cracks the download from the net and  
surf

>>porn sites, well, not much can be done then, but it's \_not\_ rocket science  
>>to run a Windows box.

>>

>>TCB

>>

>>"laMont " <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>I don't know Thad..all it takes is a person going to wrong web site..boom..or

>>>if a client has an afected file in a session. last year one of our email

>>>servers(lotus domino-server 2003 got hit with the sasser and W32 ..

>>>

>>>MS has a mess on their hands..

>>>

>>>

>>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>>>

>>>>Poppycock. I high volume XP and 2003 servers that have been running stably,



>>>>without infection or even rebooting, for literally years. It's not even  
>>>all  
>>>>that difficult. If you don't want to take the time to learn enough to  
>secure  
>>>>and stabilize a Windows box then fine, pay what you want, but what you're  
>>>>paying for is your ignorance and unwillingness to learn, so you should  
>>call  
>>>>it what it is.  
>>>>  
>>>>TCB  
>>>>  
>>>>P.S. As you might know, I run linux whenever I have the choice.  
>>>>  
>>>>"Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much more  
>>>>than  
>>>>>the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a pro  
>environment.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>Steve  
>>>>>  
>>>>>Jeff Hoover <

---

---

Subject: Re: diet

Posted by [Bill L](#) on Sun, 08 Jul 2007 16:09:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

ugs since version 4.7 came out? I  
tried to render the fairchild today (been using them, Neve channel strip  
etc, with no problem, but never had to render) and got a C++ visual runtime  
error. It happens right at the end of the render.  
After that happened I rebooted, and tried again...same thing happened. Then  
Paris got totally wonky. I re-ghosted back to a drive image with UAD 4.6  
and all was well. I ran several tests and it was rock solid. I then uninstalled  
4.6 and installed 4.7 again, opened up a fresh ppj, added audio file, put  
a UAD plug on it and it played fine, then I tried to render and I got the  
exact same runtime C++ error. Any one else seen this???

I already bought the Neve strip and the LA3A, maybe I can de-authorize them  
if this new finally finally breaks Paris.

RodWell, these just arrived today

<http://www.mercenary.com/ada7mopwiads.html>

and I'll be setting them up soon. I did a lot of listening, though not as  
much as I would have liked, and settled on those. It came down to the Focals  
for a couple hundred more, but no sub, and these. I've usually avoided the

stereo + sub thing but as my music has become more and more bass oriented I'd like to know a little more about what's going on below 80 Hz. They claim to be only 3db down at 28 Hz, which is more than enough for a room like I have.

The final thing that clinched it for me is the remote for the sub. I want to be able to turn it off most of the time, and only have it there when I want to check something on the low, low end. With the remote that's a lot easier to do.

Anywho, after I've listened to them for a few weeks I'll let y'all know. From NS10s to Mackie to NHT to KRK V8s to these. At the very least I should understand a lot more about how monitors sound ;-)

TCBTCB wrote:

> Agreed. Know what else? I think I should get to bang Scarlet Johansson. But  
> I don't worry much about that 'should' because it's not the way things are.

Clearly she only hangs out with people who use OSX. ;^)

Cheers,  
-Jamie  
[www.JamieKrutz.com](http://www.JamieKrutz.com)

>  
> TCB  
>  
> "LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:  
>> That's the point. We have to install anti-spyware, anti virus ware. People  
>> should be able to surf without being attacked like they are today.  
>>  
>> Porn sites or not. A user should not have to go thru such means.  
>>  
>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:  
>>> I'm not saying M\$oft don't have problems with security, I'm saying it's  
>> a  
>>> fairly minor task to secure a Windows box. Get a firewall (or turn on the

---