
Subject:one more try

Posted by [Mike C](#)[Mike Claytor](#) on Mon, 18 Jul 2005 18:43:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt; It is important, if this is not to happen again, to understand what
> really happened, and not jerk too many knees too fast.

>

> Us turning this into Iraq, Kyoto, and other things not relevant,
> only angers many of us, while shedding no light on the issues.

>

> DC

Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

> DC wrote:

> Let's the knives away and stop being partisan at a time like this

(good points munched)

> You Bush-haters will find other things to hate him for. This ain't

> it, and worse, it sucks to use this terrible disaster to do your

> little hate litany again.

>

> DC

Heh. Wow. Good to see that you put away the partisan knives, Don. :^)

BTW, I agree that there's plenty of blame to go around from local gov on up to the fed response. And the warnings about the potential for this disaster were many and detailed, including a PBS documentary that spelled it all out with fancy graphics within the last year or two.

Cheers,

-Jamie K

<http://www.JamieKruz.com>Wow..that 's a "chore" I thought about moving the track to the left...because the sound is "late"...but the sampleslide moves it to the right doesn't it? What about the Track Delay,and Track Advance , plugs that come with UAD? Do you use those? Both of them seem to move the track to the right...

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:4319180d\$1@linux...

>

> You need to manually compensate in Paris. For each plug you use on a track
> you need to nudge the track to the left 400 ms (4x100)then apply
sampleslide

> (a free plug, www.analogx.com) and type in 1536 samples(except for either
> of the Pultec plugs, where you need to type in 1523) . This should get you

> sample accurate latency compensation. Your system maybe different, but most

> guys systems work with these numbers, and it will be very close at any rate.

>

> You can also a plug by voxengo that will do the same as sampleslide. I'm

> not sure whats it's called, but I'm sure someone will chime in. Either will

> work.

>

> Rod

>

> There are other ways of dealing with this, but that's the way that I do it.

> "tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:

> >Hi there..I just installed UAD -1 Project Pack card...with FXPansion VST

> >Wrapper...it is TOTALLY delaying the track when I enable the plug-in...The

> >included "Track Delay" plug doesn't seem to do much...What can be done to

> >make it usable?Please help....I'm using Win XP,the CPU meter is around

> >25%....1 Gig of RAM

> >

> >

>The sanctions were just getting started when Clinton was elected. Making sure they were enforced was the job of the UN. Making sure the UN enforced them should have been Clinton's job. He didn't do his job. Bush did not leave him with a problem. Sadaam did. Blows your theory that the war in Iraq was Bush's fault right out of the water.

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message news:431946eb@linux...

>

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message

> news:43192fdf\$1@linux...

> > That's what is so disturbing about the left.

>

> OK, you just wasted your first sentence.

>

> > This war started in 1991 with

> > Sadaam's invasion of Kuwait. There was nothing fake about it. Just because

> > Clinton and the UN didnt choose to call Sadaam on his violations of UN

> > sanctions didn't mean that somehow they weren't valid. They war never

> > stopped. Some folks just found it convenient to forget about it.

>

> what a crock of shite DeeJ. Bush 1 left a huge mess for Clinton to pick

> up, who never solved it just sat on it for years. Bush 2 comes in and

all

> of a sudden Saddam is a threat to the US again? Please. This war was
> specifically designed to get Bush reelected by having an active war during
> the elections. The repercussions are ENDLESS.

>

>Umm yeah.... leverage UN sanctions when it suits need, and ignore the UN
when not.

What a crock of shite. Transparent as vodka.

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:4319afdf\$1@linux...

> The sanctions were just getting started when Clinton was elected. Making
> sure they were enforced was the job of the UN. Making sure the UN enforced
> them should have been Clinton's job. He didn't do his job. Bush did not
> leave him with a problem. Sadaam did. Blows your theory that the war in
> Iraq

> was Bush's fault right out of the water.

>

>

> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
> news:431946eb@linux...

>>

>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>> news:43192fdf\$1@linux...

>> > That's what is so disturbing about the left.

>>

>> OK, you just wasted your first sentence.

>>

>> > This war started in 1991 with

>> > Sadaam's invasion of Kuwait. There was nothing fake about it. Just
> because

>> > Clinton and the UN didnt choose to call Sadaam on his violations of UN
>> > sanctions didn't mean that somehow they weren't valid. They war never
>> > stopped. Some folks just found it convenient to forget about it.

>>

>> what a crock of shite DeeJ. Bush 1 left a huge mess for Clinton to

>> pick

>> up, who never solved it just sat on it for years. Bush 2 comes in and

> all

>> of a sudden Saddam is a threat to the US again? Please. This war was
>> specifically designed to get Bush reelected by having an active war
>> during

>> the elections. The repercussions are ENDLESS.

>>

>>

>

>Kanye dosen't seem like he's joking.

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

><http://media.putfile.com/Kanye79>

>

>Hi Jamie,

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:43192fc8@linux...

>

> I dunno DeeJ. If we (right, left, up or down) truly acknowledged that
> the war (Iraq? Afghanistan? Terrorism? whatever you're discussing) is as
> serious as WWII, we would be sacrificing at home as in WWII. We aren't.
> Not on that scale.

I agree. that's why I think this war is being mishandled. First thing we should have done was seal the Iraqi borders. That would have taken a huge effort and required sacrifice.....but this thing might be pretty much over by now if we had done that.

We are, however, running up a huge credit account so

> our kids can make the sacrifices we are avoiding.

Agreed.

>

> If we recognized that we can't win a war in a half assed effort, we
> would have paid more attention to the total intelligence and military
> command advice, used more troops and planned better for the major part
> of the campaign, the part after marching in. We would have more
> carefully judged priorities regarding Iraq and Afghanistan. And we would
> have captured Bin Laden by now.

I don't know about capturing Bin Ladin by now. That would require denying him sanctuary. We can't control that factor. We pretty much agree on the other factors.

>

> I don't see that the officials you are putting your faith in are
> completely living up to your expectations, at least in some of these
> areas. Granted they have had some successes.

Putting my faith in them as compared to what? The alternative of doing nothing at all? I can only imagine what would have happened if Gore would have been at the helm when 911 happened and we were out there kissing the UN's ass begging for help while AQ Kahn continued to peddle his nukes to every taker and Sadaam continued to grow stronger with the help of France , etc. while our economy continued the nosedive it had taken at the end of Clinton's term.

>

> Maybe I'm missing something, but speculating about what the alternative

- > leadership might have done is less convincing than seeing what the
- > current leadership has not done.

Speculation based on observation of previous modes of behaviour is often scientifically valid.

- >
- > Another area where we need better leadership is on energy policy. What
- > we got from our current leadership seems short sighted

.....but again, what specific alternatives were presented? None. Just general mumbling about *intent* which never once took into account how the existing energy infrastructure would be handled and supported. This infrastructure works, and it works because the people in it are well paid and non-union. This includes the expertise of the engineers employed by companies like Halliburton and Schlumberger who are probably the only engineering technicians who are willing and have the technical expertise to go into a war zone and rebuild the economic infrastructure. You run companies like this out of business if there is nothing for them to do. Then they aren't there when you need them. By not specifically addressing the domestic energy issues, the Democrats basically inferred, by default, that keeping the existing domestic energy industry healthy would be less than a priority. Lots of folks, and not just the ones in the energy industry, knew that this would be a disaster and they voted *against* the Democrats.

Regards,

Deej

- >
- > Cheers,
- > -Jamie K
- > <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
- >
- >
- > DJ wrote:
- > > I agree with you. It's more than sad, it's downright scary. The middle would
- > > work for me except for one thing.....it's refusal to truly acknowledge
- > > and recognize the fact that we are in a war that is equally as serious as
- > > WWII. The right recognizes this and also recognizes that you can't fight a
- > > war in a half assed effort and you can't win a war unless you first
- > > acknowledge that you are in the middle of one.

> >
> > "rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:rn5gh1lougqaia2rkrb59sio8hf240th5@4ax.com...
> >
> >>"perhaps siding with the least dangerous of the two evils I see and
> >>seeing very little in the middle that looks reasonable to me." kinda
> >>sad when the middle becomes suspect and either extreme becomes the
> >>norm.
> >>
> >>:o(
> >>
> >>On Thu, 1 Sep 2005 17:35:20 -0600, "DJ"
> >><animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>I do indeed work in the industry. No denials, no apologies. If I am
> >>>stereotyped for not automatically assuming that every anti-industry
> >>>viewpoint is valid, then so be it.....again no apologies. I do
question
> >>>both sides. If you have read some of my posts here you might discover
> >
> > that
> >
> >>>I'm a somewhat reluctant conservative, perhaps siding with the least
> >>>dangerous of the two evils I see and seeing very little in the middle
> >
> > that
> >
> >>>looks reasonable to me.
> >>>On 9/3/05 8:26 AM, in article 4319a408@linux, "Jamie K"
<Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>
> Shouldn't "The Republican" of today be, I dunno, a Toyota Prius?
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie K
> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>.

Republican by voter registration - I drive a Subaru Legacy. I'm thinking of
getting the mountain bike in shape - the Subaru's getting on in years and
doesn't get the 30mpg it used to. I would prefer 200mpg these days.
Cutting my monthly gasoline costs to about \$10, or even better, 0, would be
nice. :-)

Regards,
Dedric
>

>
 >> CL
 >>
 >> "W. Mark Wilson" <wmarkwilson@verizon.net> wrote in message
 >> news:43193455\$1@linux...
 >>
 >>> Already done it. \$113. I drive a great big ozone killing gas loving
 >>> big-ass truck. Goes through gas like there's no tomorrow... in fact, me
 >>
 >> and
 >>
 >>> my ol' truck and GW are working on makin' sure there ain't no tomorrow.
 >>> Presently, I don't get MPG - I get GPM... the lower the better I aalways
 >>> say. Sometimes I just fire it up and let it run just to see if I can
 >>> hogcall up one of them there K5's or F7. Had my gas cap autographed this
 >>> week by GW, several big TX oil tycoons - hope to get a few more on the
 >>
 >> left
 >>
 >>> front fender and right on the tank...
 >>>
 >>> Anybody got a light?
 >>> Dubya
 >>>
 >>>
 >>> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
 >>> news:43193141@linux...
 >>>
 >>>> it costs \$100 to fill the tank.
 >>>>
 >>>> how long?
 >>>>
 >>>
 >>>
 >>
 >>"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
 news:4319b6a9\$1@linux...
 > Hi Jamie,
 >
 > "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:43192fc8@linux...
 >>
 >> I dunno DeeJ. If we (right, left, up or down) truly acknowledged that
 >> the war (Iraq? Afghanistan? Terrorism? whatever you're discussing) is as
 >> serious as WWII, we would be sacrificing at home as in WWII. We aren't.
 >> Not on that scale.
 >
 > I agree. that's why I think this war is being mishandled. First thing we
 > should have done was seal the Iraqi borders. That would have taken a huge

> effort and required sacrifice.....but this thing might be pretty much
> over by now if we had done that.
>
> We are, however, running up a huge credit account so
>> our kids can make the sacrifices we are avoiding.
>
> Agreed.
>>
>> If we recognized that we can't win a war in a half assed effort, we
>> would have paid more attention to the total intelligence and military
>> command advice, used more troops and planned better for the major part
>> of the campaign, the part after marching in. We would have more
>> carefully judged priorities regarding Iraq and Afghanistan. And we would
>> have captured Bin Laden by now.
>
> I don't know about capturing Bin Ladin by now. That would require denying
> him sanctuary. We can't control that factor. We pretty much agree on the
> other factors.
>>
>> I don't see that the officials you are putting your faith in are
>> completely living up to your expectations, at least in some of these
>> areas. Granted they have had some successes.
>
> Putting my faith in them as compared to what? The alternative of doing
> nothing at all? I can only imagine what would have happened if Gore would
> have been at the helm when 911 happened and we were out there kissing the
> UN's ass begging for help while AQ Kahn continued to peddle his nukes to
> every taker and Sadaam continued to grow stronger with the help of France
> ,
> etc. while our economy continued the nosedive it had taken at the end of
> Clinton's term.
>>
>> Maybe I'm missing something, but speculating about what the alternative
>> leadership might have done is less convincing than seeing what the
>> current leadership has not done.
>
> Speculation based on observation of previous modes of behaviour is often
> scientifically valid.
>
>>
>> Another area where we need better leadership is on energy policy. What
>> we got from our current leadership seems short sighted
>
>but again, what specific alternatives were presented? None.
> Just
> general mumbling about *intent* which never once took into account how the
> existing energy infrastructure would be handled and supported. This
> infrastructure works, and it works because the people in it are well paid

> and non-union. This includes the expertise of the engineers employed by
> companies like Halliburton and Schlumberger who are probably the only
> engineering technicians who are willing and have the technical expertise
> to
> go into a war zone and rebuild the economic infrastructure. You run
> companies like this out of business if there is nothing for them to do.
> Then
> they aren't there when you need them. By not specifically addressing the
> domestic energy issues, the Democrats basically inferred, by default, that
> keeping the existing domestic energy industry healthy would be less than a
> priority. Lots of folks, and not just the ones in the energy industry,
> knew
> that this would be a disaster and they voted *against* the Democrats.

Why are you blaming the Democrats for abuses by Republicans with complete control over the Executive, Legislative, and often the Judicial branches of government?

The Democrats know their efforts are futile at best.... it creates a political challenge where the opposition doesn't know whether to dissent or support for purely political reasons what the Republicans are doing.

I still dont think blaming a lacking opposition for the criminal behavior by the incumbents is entirely fair. Which is exactly what Clinton did.....ignore the UN because it didn't suit his needs, which should have been protecting the interest of this country, but wasn't.....and you need to learn how to spell *shit*.

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message news:4319b521@linux...

> Umm yeah.... leverage UN sanctions when it suits need, and ignore the UN
> when not.
>

> What a crock of shite. Transparent as vodka.
>

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
> news:4319afdf\$1@linux...

> > The sanctions were just getting started when Clinton was elected. Making
> > sure they were enforced was the job of the UN. Making sure the UN
enforced

> > them should have been Clinton's job. He didn't do his job. Bush did not
> > leave him with a problem. Sadaam did. Blows your theory that the war in
> > Iraq
> > was Bush's fault right out of the water.

> >
> >

> > "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
> > news:431946eb@linux...

> >>
> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
> >> news:43192fdf\$1@linux...
> >> > That's what is so disturbing about the left.
> >>
> >> OK, you just wasted your first sentence.
> >>
> >> > This war started in 1991 with
> >> > Sadaam's invasion of Kuwait. There was nothing fake about it. Just
> > because
> >> > Clinton and the UN didnt choose to call Sadaam on his violations of
UN
> >> > sanctions didn't mean that somehow they weren't valid. They war never
> >> > stopped. Some folks just found it convenient to forget about it.
> >>
> >> what a crock of shite Deej. Bush 1 left a huge mess for Clinton to
> >> pick
> >> up, who never solved it just sat on it for years. Bush 2 comes in and
> > all
> >> of a sudden Saddam is a threat to the US again? Please. This war
was
> >> specifically designed to get Bush reelected by having an active war
> >> during
> >> the elections. The repercussions are ENDLESS.
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>
>That's hilarious.

Jimmy

"Chris Latham" <latham_c@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:43199c8c@linux...
> I have a couple of good friends who are on different sides of the fence
> politically, socially, religiously, etc., yet they love working together.
> The conservative one drives a Ford Expedition, which they have
collectively
> nicknamed, "The Republican." I'm pretty sure it's getting pretty close to
> that GPM designation.
>
> CL
>
> "W. Mark Wilson" <wmarkwilson@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:43193455\$1@linux...
> > Already done it. \$113. I drive a great big ozone killing gas loving
> > big-ass truck. Goes through gas like there's no tomorrow... in fact, me

> and
> > my ol' truck and GW are working on makin' sure there ain't no tomorrow.
> > Presently, I don't get MPG - I get GPM... the lower the better I aalways
> > say. Sometimes I just fire it up and let it run just to see if I can
> > hogcall up one of them there K5's or F7. Had my gas cap autographed
this
> > week by GW, several big TX oil tycoons - hope to get a few more on the
> left
> > front fender and right on the tank...
> >
> > Anybody got a light?
> > Dubya
> >
> >
> > "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
> > news:43193141@linux...
> > > it costs \$100 to fill the tank.
> > >
> > > how long?
> > >
> >
> >
>
> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:4319bd73@linux...
> Which is exactly what Clinton did.....ignore the UN because it didn't
> suit his needs, which should have been protecting the interest of this
> country, but wasn't.....and you need to learn how to spell *shit*.

LOL "W. Mark Wilson" <wmarkwilson@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:43193455\$1@linux...

> Already done it. \$113. I drive a great big ozone killing gas loving
> big-ass truck. Goes through gas like there's no tomorrow... in fact, me
> and my ol' truck and GW are working on makin' sure there ain't no
> tomorrow. Presently, I don't get MPG - I get GPM... the lower the better I
> aalways say. Sometimes I just fire it up and let it run just to see if I
> can hogcall up one of them there K5's or F7. Had my gas cap autographed
> this week by GW, several big TX oil tycoons - hope to get a few more on
> the left front fender and right on the tank...

That just confirms how much of a dickhead you are, Dumbya. "Expecting Armageddon" and
Christian organizations don't define
Christianity. Armageddon is predicted in the Bible, but no one knows, or
will know when it will happen until it does. I'm not really hoping to
witness it myself, but also don't have reason to fear it if I do.

Some people do believe we are in the last days, and perhaps we are, but that
may simply depend on your perspective. Those days could be numbered in the

single digits (tomorrow) or millions (e.g. thousands of years, or more). I believe science is mostly right in that the earth has been around for millions of years (quantifying those years may be inaccurate), and it could be millions more. The Bible says that to God, a day is as a thousand years - that could be a reference for people that didn't understand a million, or it could just be a simple "for example" and have absolutely no time value whatsoever - time isn't relevant to God anyway. (I think God has a good laugh when we worry about such things).

That doesn't change the way I live my life or my faith - it is the same either way, or at least it should be (emphasis on "should" more than "is"). Yes it is quite possible the US is playing a role in setting up the end of days - every country may be, or perhaps every country is irrelevant, as God's plan is going to happen anyway. We do have free will to make our own choices, for better or worse, but it's still temporary.

God's will? That is simply for us to have a loving relationship with Him - at least that's the only part we can be absolutely sure of. Everything else either serves that one single purpose, in one way or another, or just is what it is. Perhaps that's all He wanted with Iraq - to give more of His people (i.e. all people) a chance to come back to Him.

Regards,
Dedric

On 9/3/05 12:37 AM, in article 431944c2@linux, "justcron"
<justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

> thats what I said about the fruits...
>
> Expecting Armageddon has been a part of the "Christian Coalition" at least
> since I had the enlightening experience of working on the Pat Robertson 88
> campaign, so I dont think the original point was too far off.
>
> Does Bush have a role to play? Yes. Like Pharoah did back in Moses time.
> Part of God's plan, but not necessarily serving his will.
>
> I dont know, these are just my thoughts.
>
> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
> news:BF3E9D34.407E%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
>> True, but there are easy ways to distinguish those - Jesus addressed both
>> of
>> those camps directly several times.
>>
>> On 9/2/05 11:59 PM, in article 43193bd5@linux, "justcron"
>> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>

>>> Plenty of people *think* they're doing Gods will... from the Pharasees to
>>> suicide bombers.
>>>
>>> Take it how you want.
>>>
>>> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
>>> news:BF3E9585.4072%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
>>>> You know that isn't fair. That statement could equate Bush with Saddam
>>>> Hussein and Hitler. And by the same evaluation, David (of David and
>>>> Goliath) would fall into the same category for slaying Goliath and later
>>>> conquering the Philistines, even though there is plenty of scripture to
>>>> paint a different picture of him, faults and all.
>>>>
>>>> The same would also have to be said of Presidents Madison, Polk,
>>>> Lincoln,
>>>> McKinley, Wilson, Hoover, Roosevelt, Truman, Eisenhower, Johnson, Nixon,
>>>> Ford, Reagan, Bush Sr., and Clinton, as well as a long list of other
>>>> countries' leaders, both good and bad. But that isn't fair to them
>>>> either.
>>>>
>>>> Please don't use the Bible to conveniently cast stones at people you
>>>> don't
>>>> agree with. You are above this line of reasoning.
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> Dedric
>>>>
>>>> On 9/2/05 9:06 PM, in article 43191349@linux, "justcron"
>>>> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> You'll know a true servant of God by their fruits.
>>>>>
>>>>> Bush's fruits are death and destruction.
>>>>>
>>>>> "Dedric Terry" <dedric@echomg.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:BF3E14B8.51B%dedric@echomg.com...
>>>>>> While I respect your opinion, you are guessing what another person
>>>>>> thinks
>>>>>> without knowing them personally, much less their thoughts. Without
>>>>>> knowing
>>>>>> someone's thoughts and intent first hand, your opinion isn't
>>>>>> necessarily
>>>>>> true. If you portray that person in a negative light without that
>>>>>> knowledge, it is slander, or at best gossip. Even stating the truth
>>>>>> about
>>>>>> someone else without permission is gossip.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over it.

>>>>>> Policy
>>>>>> aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a moron."
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Your last three statements here were not just "I think", but stated as
>>>>>> fact.
>>>>>> But enough statement analysis... Suffice it to say, if there is a
>>>>>> chance
>>>>>> our
>>>>>> opinions of others could be wrong, they are better left unsaid. We
>>>>>> all
>>>>>> do
>>>>>> it though. It is a hard temptation to resist, especially when we feel
>>>>>> personal frustration. I do it too, sadly.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> You also seem to have a rather negative impression of Christians. A
>>>>>> faith
>>>>>> not practiced in daily life isn't faith, but a set of beliefs adopted
>>>>>> as
>>>>>> a
>>>>>> hobby. You state religion is a crutch, but that is a sweeping
>>>>>> generalization. For some that may be true, but let's be clear about
>>>>>> the
>>>>>> distinction between religions as organizations and faith as a belief
>>>>>> in
>>>>>> a
>>>>>> God. Religion is a perspective on a belief systems adopted by people.
>>>>>> Faith is rooted in the very heart and soul, not the head or intellect.
>>>>>> Faith is a two way street - without God to guide me, my faith would be
>>>>>> useless. At the same time, if I didn't believe God would guide me, it
>>>>>> would
>>>>>> also be meaningless.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Faith requires action, or it is just lip service ("Faith without works
>>>>>> is
>>>>>> dead"). To act on religious laws or mandates is no different than
>>>>>> acting
>>>>>> under corporate guidelines - it doesn't take faith in God to follow a
>>>>>> few
>>>>>> rules, traditions or practices. Acting on faith as a Christian is
>>>>>> between
>>>>>> the believer and God, based on God's own word (the Bible and prayer).
>>>>>> Other
>>>>>> religions may claim the same communicative guidance, but my faith as a
>>>>>> Christian would be meaningless if I believed all religions were
>>>>>> another
>>>>>> form
>>>>>> of the truth, or if mine were just my personal interpretation, open
>>>>>> for

>>>>> variation, moderation and alteration at the whim of peers, society, a
>>>>> religious organization, or those that disagree with me. If there is
>>>>> no
>>>>> absolute truth, there is no absolute wrong. Simple logic tells us
>>>>> there
>>>>> has
>>>>> to be some absolutes, or we will slide into complete chaos. So where
>>>>> do
>>>>> those absolutes come from? God of course - through the Bible.
>>>>>
>>>>> The Bible is clear about homosexuality being wrong, as well as all sin
>>>>> (lying, cheating, stealing, etc, etc, etc). I don't condemn
>>>>> homosexuals
>>>>> as
>>>>> fellow citizens, friends and human beings but that doesn't somehow
>>>>> make
>>>>> it
>>>>> "right" in a relative sense (i.e. only wrong "for me", but "right" for
>>>>> them). From a Christian perspective, passing legislation to support
>>>>> and
>>>>> (in
>>>>> effect) promote what is clearly stated as wrong in God's word is
>>>>> dangerous
>>>>> for us as a country, not just people choosing that lifestyle - that's
>>>>> why
>>>>> it
>>>>> enters politics, whether you believe it should be there or not (faith
>>>>> without works...). It isn't about "pushing our beliefs on everyone
>>>>> else".
>>>>> It is about seeing Sodom and Gomorrah (Babylon, Rome, etc) re-enacted
>>>>> over
>>>>> and over throughout history as societies accept more and more decadent
>>>>> behavior. We just don't want to see our country go down the same path
>>>>> by
>>>>> making such Biblically stated sin issues a nationally supported belief
>>>>> anymore than you want Christianity to become the national religion.
>>>>> You
>>>>> wouldn't want a law passed requiring that rapists be given right to
>>>>> appeal
>>>>> custody of a resulting child would you (I hope there isn't such a law
>>>>> already, but who knows...)? Of course not. But here is the point -
>>>>> without
>>>>> a moral basis, we have no line to draw where one action is okay, and
>>>>> another
>>>>> isn't - it all become relative to personal interpretation and
>>>>> preference -
>>>>> that includes murder, rape, incest, stealing, torture, genocide, etc,
>>>>> etc.

>>>>> So, President Bush stands up for what he believes in his workplace -
>>>>> politics. Others do the same. To say he shouldn't because his
>>>>> beliefs
>>>>> are
>>>>> Christian beliefs would be denying Christians the freedom we are
>>>>> supposed
>>>>> to
>>>>> allow non-Christians.
>>>>>
>>>>> As far as Iraq - we may never know exactly what the true reason "in
>>>>> the
>>>>> grand scheme of things" was for this war - i.e. WMD seemed to be a
>>>>> decent
>>>>> reason, but there were none found (probably in Syria). However, every
>>>>> soldier returning from Iraq that I have talked to has said the Iraqis
>>>>> welcome the change, even at the price they are paying. I could post
>>>>> quotes
>>>>> and stories that would really make you think that there was a reason
>>>>> for
>>>>> this well beyond and more significant than WMD, but time is short for
>>>>> me
>>>>> today. My belief, and this isn't justification, is that Iraq will
>>>>> play
>>>>> a
>>>>> strategic role in the future in some event we don't know about - left
>>>>> to
>>>>> Saddam it could have been catastrophic, but maybe not by his hand,
>>>>> just
>>>>> as
>>>>> a
>>>>> part of the puzzle of the world's stage.
>>>>>
>>>>> Whether you believe in God or not, He does lead people today, and He
>>>>> does
>>>>> put events into motion for His purposes. Perhaps Iraq had nothing to
>>>>> do
>>>>> with future defense or terrorism concerns, but simply the ability of a
>>>>> people to have a chance at true freedom.
>>>>>
>>>>>> "I feel like God wants me to run for President. I can't explain it,
>>>>>> but
>>>>>> I
>>>>>> sense my country is going to need me. Something is going to happen...
>>>>>> I
>>>>>> know
>>>>>> it won't be easy on me or my family, but God wants me to do it."
>>>>>>
>>>>>> This is a basic faith concept for Christians. Running for President

>>>>> isn't
>>>>> common, but many of us, if not most or all, follow this very same
>>>>> leading
>>>>> every day - often into very risky and unknown territory, knowing the
>>>>> risk
>>>>> to
>>>>> our families and even our lives could be at stake - but doing it for
>>>>> God's
>>>>> glory and reasons, not ours. That is faith - being willing to do
>>>>> things
>>>>> that aren't popular without regard for social, political or financial
>>>>> standing, or even one's own life. I hadn't heard this exact quote,
>>>>> but
>>>>> in
>>>>> a
>>>>> way, it's putting pieces of a puzzle together for me - likely to an
>>>>> opposite
>>>>> conclusion from the one you seem to be drawing.
>>>>>
>>>>> Without first hand, personal knowledge of someone's intent, heart and
>>>>> mind,
>>>>> we only know them by the way we perceive them through news blurbs,
>>>>> sound
>>>>> bites and late-night talk shows. I have yet to see anyone on this
>>>>> forum
>>>>> support believing everything you think you see or hear in the news,
>>>>> much
>>>>> less believing your perception is accurate.
>>>>>
>>>>> I know pastors and Christians that personally spend time with
>>>>> President
>>>>> Bush, and have with other presidents (Clinton, Bush Sr, Reagan, Nixon,
>>>>> etc),
>>>>> and I have met some of these men, heard them speak, read their books,
>>>>> etc.
>>>>> There is a different picture painted of these Presidents than the one
>>>>> you
>>>>> are demonstrating - it is one of real people trying to do their best
>>>>> to
>>>>> lead
>>>>> our country. These aren't perfect people or perfect leaders, but no
>>>>> one
>>>>> on
>>>>> this forum is any more perfect either.
>>>>>
>>>>> The key to Christian faith is grace. God's grace gave us a way to
>>>>> reach
>>>>> Him

>>>>> through the sacrifice of His son, and offering us forgiveness through
 >>>>> His
 >>>>> own sacrifice, if we only ask for it. By the same measure of grace we
 >>>>> as
 >>>>> Christians are given the challenge to reach out to the rest of the
 >>>>> world
 >>>>> with God's love to share that very same message with our speech, our
 >>>>> actions, and our lives - not through hatred, judgement or
 >>>>> condemnation.
 >>>>> Satan loves to twist that message around, and indeed the intent of
 >>>>> well-meaning Christians - what better way to destroy God's design than
 >>>>> to
 >>>>> discredit His followers, if not dishearten or overtake them?
 >>>>>
 >>>>> Thanks for your time jp, or anyone else that read this lonnnnnnggg
 >>>>> post.
 >>>>> Back to work, and best regards.
 >>>>>
 >>>>> Dedric
 >>>>>
 >>>>>
 >>>>> in article 4318939f@linux, jp at no@mail.please wrote on 9/2/05 1:01
 >>>>> PM:
 >>>>>
 >>>>>
 >>>>>> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
 >>>>>> news:BF3DC8FD.401F%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
 >>>>>> jp - Your climatology insight is fairly well reasoned. Your post
 >>>>>> script
 >>>>>> is
 >>>>>> yet more politically opinionated slander. Sorry, it's true. GWB
 >>>>>> doesn't
 >>>>>> see himself as the "Armageddon" president. That's just ridiculous
 >>>>>> extrapolated hyperbole.
 >>>>>>
 >>>>>> Let me make one thing clear. Slander is creating false statements for
 >>>>>> the
 >>>>>> purpose of swaying ones opinion of a person or entity. I don't see
 >>>>>> how
 >>>>>> my
 >>>>>> quote...
 >>>>>>
 >>>>>> "I think this theory is now fact. I think Bush truly believes he is
 >>>>>> the
 >>>>>> "Armageddon" president, and that he and his believers will be picked
 >>>>>> up
 >>>>>> buy
 >>>>>> Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over it.

>>>>>> Policy
>>>>>> aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a moron."
>>>>>>
>>>>>> ...is false in any way shape or form, unless of course YOU actually
>>>>>> know
>>>>>> what I think better than I. This is my personal opinion, is stated as
>>>>>> my
>>>>>> personal opinion, and is backed by my own research. Quotes such as
>>>>>> this:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "I feel like God wants me to run for President. I can't explain it,
>>>>>> but
>>>>>> I
>>>>>> sense my country is going to need me. Something is going to happen...
>>>>>> I
>>>>>> know
>>>>>> it won't be easy on me or my family, but God wants me to do it."
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "God told me to strike at al Qaeda and I struck them, and then he
>>>>>> instructed
>>>>>> me to strike at Saddam [Hussein], which I did, and now I am
>>>>>> determined
>>>>>> to
>>>>>> solve the problem in the Middle East. If you help me I will act, and
>>>>>> if
>>>>>> not,
>>>>>> the elections will come and I will have to focus on them."
>>>>>>
>>>>>> as well as my own interpretation of Bush's reaction to disaster,
>>>>>> leads
>>>>>> me
>>>>>> to
>>>>>> this opinion.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I accept that you don't agree with my opinion, but it is no more
>>>>>> slanderous
>>>>>> than George W. Bush's own comments on Homosexuality are slanderous to
>>>>>> those
>>>>>> who choose to practice alternative lifestyles.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I also believe that the majority of organized religions were spawned
>>>>>> as
>>>>>> a
>>>>>> crutch to deal with the fact that as human's we have become
>>>>>> temporally
>>>>>> aware, and therefore aware of not only our own existence, but aware
>>>>>> of
>>>>>> our

> attack and the sustain of a sound.
 > So you can make a kick or snare kick with real punchtake away the attack of
 > an acoustic guitar to make it not interact so much with drum groove.
 > You can also isolate better than gating the kick or and snare cutting of the
 > sustain of the sound.
 > The machine is really great.
 > Regards,
 > Dimitrios
 > "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
 > news:43191f2d\$1@linux...
 > >errrrr.....well.....anyone used one? I know
 it's
 > > been around for a while and I've been reading the literature on this. It
 > > looks like a really nice way to enhance LF elements within a mix while
 > > keeping them isolated in the sound stage. Could also be a big asset to
 > > mixing tracks that that were recorded in the same space with lots of mic
 > > bleed without having to EQ the life out fo certain tracks.....maybe?
 > >
 > >
 >
 >Agreed with all that.

Dunno if you've ever been privy to the insiders gossip.

They discuss biblical prophecy regarding what is now China and Russia. If you know what I'm talking about you know what I'm talking about. Its an endless undercurrent of religious discussion and philosophy.

The END TIMES!

Are we there?

Do you know your role?

"DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
 news:BF3F1B29.4096%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
 > "Expecting Armageddon" and Christian organizations don't define
 > Christianity. Armageddon is predicted in the Bible, but no one knows, or
 > will know when it will happen until it does. I'm not really hoping to
 > witness it myself, but also don't have reason to fear it if I do.
 >
 > Some people do believe we are in the last days, and perhaps we are, but
 > that
 > may simply depend on your perspective. Those days could be numbered in
 > the
 > single digits (tomorrow) or millions (e.g. thousands of years, or more).

> I
> believe science is mostly right in that the earth has been around for
> millions of years (quantifying those years may be inaccurate), and it
> could
> be millions more. The Bible says that to God, a day is as a thousand
> years
> - that could be a reference for people that didn't understand a million,
> or
> it could just be a simple "for example" and have absolutely no time value
> whatsoever - time isn't relevant to God anyway. (I think God has a good
> laugh when we worry about such things).
>
> That doesn't change the way I live my life or my faith - it is the same
> either way, or at least it should be (emphasis on "should" more than
> "is").
> Yes it is quite possible the US is playing a role in setting up the end of
> days - every country may be, or perhaps every country is irrelevant, as
> God's plan is going to happen anyway. We do have free will to make our
> own
> choices, for better or worse, but it's still temporary.
>
> God's will? That is simply for us to have a loving relationship with
> Him -
> at least that's the only part we can be absolutely sure of. Everything
> else
> either serves that one single purpose, in one way or another, or just is
> what it is. Perhaps that's all He wanted with Iraq - to give more of His
> people (i.e. all people) a chance to come back to Him.
>
> Regards,
> Dedric
>
> On 9/3/05 12:37 AM, in article 431944c2@linux, "justcron"
> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>
>> thats what I said about the fruits...
>>
>> Expecting Armageddon has been a part of the "Christian Coalition" at
>> least
>> since I had the enlightening experience of working on the Pat Robertson
>> 88
>> campaign, so I dont think the original point was too far off.
>>
>> Does Bush have a role to play? Yes. Like Pharoah did back in Moses
>> time.
>> Part of God's plan, but not necessarily serving his will.
>>
>> I dont know, these are just my thoughts.

>>
>> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
>> news:BF3E9D34.407E%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
>>> True, but there are easy ways to distinguish those - Jesus addressed
>>> both
>>> of
>>> those camps directly several times.
>>>
>>> On 9/2/05 11:59 PM, in article 43193bd5@linux, "justcron"
>>> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>>
>>>> Plenty of people *think* they're doing Gods will... from the Pharasees
>>>> to
>>>> suicide bombers.
>>>>
>>>> Take it how you want.
>>>>
>>>> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:BF3E9585.4072%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
>>>>> You know that isn't fair. That statement could equate Bush with
>>>>> Saddam
>>>>> Hussein and Hitler. And by the same evaluation, David (of David and
>>>>> Goliath) would fall into the same category for slaying Goliath and
>>>>> later
>>>>> conquering the Philistines, even though there is plenty of scripture
>>>>> to
>>>>> paint a different picture of him, faults and all.
>>>>>
>>>>> The same would also have to be said of Presidents Madison, Polk,
>>>>> Lincoln,
>>>>> McKinley, Wilson, Hoover, Roosevelt, Truman, Eisenhower, Johnson,
>>>>> Nixon,
>>>>> Ford, Reagan, Bush Sr., and Clinton, as well as a long list of other
>>>>> countries' leaders, both good and bad. But that isn't fair to them
>>>>> either.
>>>>>
>>>>> Please don't use the Bible to conveniently cast stones at people you
>>>>> don't
>>>>> agree with. You are above this line of reasoning.
>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> Dedric
>>>>>
>>>>> On 9/2/05 9:06 PM, in article 43191349@linux, "justcron"
>>>>> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> You'll know a true servant of God by their fruits.
>>>>>>

>>>>> Bush's fruits are death and destruction.
>>>>>
>>>>> "Dedric Terry" <dedric@echomg.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:BF3E14B8.51B%dedric@echomg.com...
>>>>> While I respect your opinion, you are guessing what another person
>>>>> thinks
>>>>> without knowing them personally, much less their thoughts. Without
>>>>> knowing
>>>>> someone's thoughts and intent first hand, your opinion isn't
>>>>> necessarily
>>>>> true. If you portray that person in a negative light without that
>>>>> knowledge, it is slander, or at best gossip. Even stating the truth
>>>>> about
>>>>> someone else without permission is gossip.
>>>>>
>>>>>> Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over it.
>>>>>> Policy
>>>>>> aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a
>>>>>> moron."
>>>>>
>>>>> Your last three statements here were not just "I think", but stated
>>>>> as
>>>>> fact.
>>>>> But enough statement analysis... Suffice it to say, if there is a
>>>>> chance
>>>>> our
>>>>> opinions of others could be wrong, they are better left unsaid. We
>>>>> all
>>>>> do
>>>>> it though. It is a hard temptation to resist, especially when we
>>>>> feel
>>>>> personal frustration. I do it too, sadly.
>>>>>
>>>>>> You also seem to have a rather negative impression of Christians. A
>>>>>> faith
>>>>>> not practiced in daily life isn't faith, but a set of beliefs
>>>>>> adopted
>>>>>> as
>>>>>> a
>>>>>> hobby. You state religion is a crutch, but that is a sweeping
>>>>>> generalization. For some that may be true, but let's be clear about
>>>>>> the
>>>>>> distinction between religions as organizations and faith as a belief
>>>>>> in
>>>>>> a
>>>>>> God. Religion is a perspective on a belief systems adopted by
>>>>>> people.
>>>>>> Faith is rooted in the very heart and soul, not the head or

>>>>>> intellect.
>>>>>> Faith is a two way street - without God to guide me, my faith would
>>>>>> be
>>>>>> useless. At the same time, if I didn't believe God would guide me,
>>>>>> it
>>>>>> would
>>>>>> also be meaningless.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Faith requires action, or it is just lip service ("Faith without
>>>>>> works
>>>>>> is
>>>>>> dead"). To act on religious laws or mandates is no different than
>>>>>> acting
>>>>>> under corporate guidelines - it doesn't take faith in God to follow
>>>>>> a
>>>>>> few
>>>>>> rules, traditions or practices. Acting on faith as a Christian is
>>>>>> between
>>>>>> the believer and God, based on God's own word (the Bible and
>>>>>> prayer).
>>>>>> Other
>>>>>> religions may claim the same communicative guidance, but my faith as
>>>>>> a
>>>>>> Christian would be meaningless if I believed all religions were
>>>>>> another
>>>>>> form
>>>>>> of the truth, or if mine were just my personal interpretation, open
>>>>>> for
>>>>>> variation, moderation and alteration at the whim of peers, society,
>>>>>> a
>>>>>> religious organization, or those that disagree with me. If there is
>>>>>> no
>>>>>> absolute truth, there is no absolute wrong. Simple logic tells us
>>>>>> there
>>>>>> has
>>>>>> to be some absolutes, or we will slide into complete chaos. So
>>>>>> where
>>>>>> do
>>>>>> those absolutes come from? God of course - through the Bible.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The Bible is clear about homosexuality being wrong, as well as all
>>>>>> sin
>>>>>> (lying, cheating, stealing, etc, etc, etc). I don't condemn
>>>>>> homosexuals
>>>>>> as
>>>>>> fellow citizens, friends and human beings but that doesn't somehow
>>>>>> make
>>>>>> it

>>>>>> "right" in a relative sense (i.e. only wrong "for me", but "right"
>>>>>> for
>>>>>> them). From a Christian perspective, passing legislation to support
>>>>>> and
>>>>>> (in
>>>>>> effect) promote what is clearly stated as wrong in God's word is
>>>>>> dangerous
>>>>>> for us as a country, not just people choosing that lifestyle -
>>>>>> that's
>>>>>> why
>>>>>> it
>>>>>> enters politics, whether you believe it should be there or not
>>>>>> (faith
>>>>>> without works...). It isn't about "pushing our beliefs on everyone
>>>>>> else".
>>>>>> It is about seeing Sodom and Gomorrah (Babylon, Rome, etc)
>>>>>> re-enacted
>>>>>> over
>>>>>> and over throughout history as societies accept more and more
>>>>>> decadent
>>>>>> behavior. We just don't want to see our country go down the same
>>>>>> path
>>>>>> by
>>>>>> making such Biblically stated sin issues a nationally supported
>>>>>> belief
>>>>>> anymore than you want Christianity to become the national religion.
>>>>>> You
>>>>>> wouldn't want a law passed requiring that rapists be given right to
>>>>>> appeal
>>>>>> custody of a resulting child would you (I hope there isn't such a
>>>>>> law
>>>>>> already, but who knows...)? Of course not. But here is the point -
>>>>>> without
>>>>>> a moral basis, we have no line to draw where one action is okay, and
>>>>>> another
>>>>>> isn't - it all become relative to personal interpretation and
>>>>>> preference -
>>>>>> that includes murder, rape, incest, stealing, torture, genocide,
>>>>>> etc,
>>>>>> etc.
>>>>>> So, President Bush stands up for what he believes in his workplace -
>>>>>> politics. Others do the same. To say he shouldn't because his
>>>>>> beliefs
>>>>>> are
>>>>>> Christian beliefs would be denying Christians the freedom we are
>>>>>> supposed
>>>>>> to
>>>>>> allow non-Christians.

>>>>>>
>>>>>> As far as Iraq - we may never know exactly what the true reason "in
>>>>>> the
>>>>>> grand scheme of things" was for this war - i.e. WMD seemed to be a
>>>>>> decent
>>>>>> reason, but there were none found (probably in Syria). However,
>>>>>> every
>>>>>> soldier returning from Iraq that I have talked to has said the
>>>>>> Iraqis
>>>>>> welcome the change, even at the price they are paying. I could post
>>>>>> quotes
>>>>>> and stories that would really make you think that there was a reason
>>>>>> for
>>>>>> this well beyond and more significant than WMD, but time is short
>>>>>> for
>>>>>> me
>>>>>> today. My belief, and this isn't justification, is that Iraq will
>>>>>> play
>>>>>> a
>>>>>> strategic role in the future in some event we don't know about -
>>>>>> left
>>>>>> to
>>>>>> Saddam it could have been catastrophic, but maybe not by his hand,
>>>>>> just
>>>>>> as
>>>>>> a
>>>>>> part of the puzzle of the world's stage.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Whether you believe in God or not, He does lead people today, and He
>>>>>> does
>>>>>> put events into motion for His purposes. Perhaps Iraq had nothing
>>>>>> to
>>>>>> do
>>>>>> with future defense or terrorism concerns, but simply the ability of
>>>>>> a
>>>>>> people to have a chance at true freedom.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "I feel like God wants me to run for President. I can't explain it,
>>>>>>> but
>>>>>>> I
>>>>>>> sense my country is going to need me. Something is going to
>>>>>>> happen...
>>>>>>> I
>>>>>>> know
>>>>>>> it won't be easy on me or my family, but God wants me to do it."
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> This is a basic faith concept for Christians. Running for President
>>>>>>> isn't

>>>>>> common, but many of us, if not most or all, follow this very same
>>>>>> leading
>>>>>> every day - often into very risky and unknown territory, knowing the
>>>>>> risk
>>>>>> to
>>>>>> our families and even our lives could be at stake - but doing it for
>>>>>> God's
>>>>>> glory and reasons, not ours. That is faith - being willing to do
>>>>>> things
>>>>>> that aren't popular without regard for social, political or
>>>>>> financial
>>>>>> standing, or even one's own life. I hadn't heard this exact quote,
>>>>>> but
>>>>>> in
>>>>>> a
>>>>>> way, it's putting pieces of a puzzle together for me - likely to an
>>>>>> opposite
>>>>>> conclusion from the one you seem to be drawing.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Without first hand, personal knowledge of someone's intent, heart
>>>>>> and
>>>>>> mind,
>>>>>> we only know them by the way we perceive them through news blurbs,
>>>>>> sound
>>>>>> bites and late-night talk shows. I have yet to see anyone on this
>>>>>> forum
>>>>>> support believing everything you think you see or hear in the news,
>>>>>> much
>>>>>> less believing your perception is accurate.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I know pastors and Christians that personally spend time with
>>>>>> President
>>>>>> Bush, and have with other presidents (Clinton, Bush Sr, Reagan,
>>>>>> Nixon,
>>>>>> etc),
>>>>>> and I have met some of these men, heard them speak, read their
>>>>>> books,
>>>>>> etc.
>>>>>> There is a different picture painted of these Presidents than the
>>>>>> one
>>>>>> you
>>>>>> are demonstrating - it is one of real people trying to do their best
>>>>>> to
>>>>>> lead
>>>>>> our country. These aren't perfect people or perfect leaders, but no
>>>>>> one
>>>>>> on
>>>>>> this forum is any more perfect either.

>>>>>>
>>>>>> The key to Christian faith is grace. God's grace gave us a way to
>>>>>> reach
>>>>>> Him
>>>>>> through the sacrifice of His son, and offering us forgiveness
>>>>>> through
>>>>>> His
>>>>>> own sacrifice, if we only ask for it. By the same measure of grace
>>>>>> we
>>>>>> as
>>>>>> Christians are given the challenge to reach out to the rest of the
>>>>>> world
>>>>>> with God's love to share that very same message with our speech, our
>>>>>> actions, and our lives - not through hatred, judgement or
>>>>>> condemnation.
>>>>>> Satan loves to twist that message around, and indeed the intent of
>>>>>> well-meaning Christians - what better way to destroy God's design
>>>>>> than
>>>>>> to
>>>>>> discredit His followers, if not dishearten or overtake them?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Thanks for your time jp, or anyone else that read this lonnnnnnggg
>>>>>> post.
>>>>>> Back to work, and best regards.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Dedric
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> in article 4318939f@linux, jp at no@mail.please wrote on 9/2/05 1:01
>>>>>> PM:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>> news:BF3DC8FD.401F%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
>>>>>>> jp - Your climatology insight is fairly well reasoned. Your post
>>>>>>> script
>>>>>>> is
>>>>>>> yet more politically opinionated slander. Sorry, it's true. GWB
>>>>>>> doesn't
>>>>>>> see himself as the "Armaggedon" president. That's just ridiculous
>>>>>>> extrapolated hyperbole.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Let me make one thing clear. Slander is creating false statements
>>>>>>> for
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>> purpose of swaying ones opinion of a person or entity. I don't see
>>>>>>> how
>>>>>>> my

>>>>>>> quote...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "I think this theory is now fact. I think Bush truly believes he is
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>> "Armageddon" president, and that he and his believers will be
>>>>>>> picked
>>>>>>> up
>>>>>>> buy
>>>>>>> Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over it.
>>>>>>> Policy
>>>>>>> aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a
>>>>>>> moron."
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> ...is false in any way shape or form, unless of course YOU actually
>>>>>>> know
>>>>>>> what I think better than I. This is my personal opinion, is stated
>>>>>>> as
>>>>>>> my
>>>>>>> personal opinion, and is backed by my own research. Quotes such as
>>>>>>> this:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "I feel like God wants me to run for President. I can't explain it,
>>>>>>> but
>>>>>>> I
>>>>>>> sense my country is going to need me. Something is going to
>>>>>>> happen...
>>>>>>> I
>>>>>>> know
>>>>>>> it won't be easy on me or my family, but God wants me to do it."
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "God told me to strike at al Qaeda and I struck them, and then he
>>>>>>> instructed
>>>>>>> me to strike at Saddam [Hussein], which I did, and now I am
>>>>>>> determined
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> solve the problem in the Middle East. If you help me I will act,
>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>> if
>>>>>>> not,
>>>>>>> the elections will come and I will have to focus on them."
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> as well as my own interpretation of Bush's reaction to disaster,
>>>>>>> leads
>>>>>>> me
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> this opinion.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I accept that you don't agree with my opinion, but it is no more

>>>>>>> slanderous
>>>>>>> than George W. Bush's own comments on Homosexuality are slanderous
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> those
>>>>>>> who choose to practice alternative lifestyles.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I also believe that the majority of organized religions were
>>>>>>> spawned
>>>>>>> as
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> crutch to deal with the fact that as human's we have become
>>>>>>> temporally
>>>>>>> aware, and therefore aware of not only our own existence, but aware
>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>> our
>>>>>>> own termination. I believe many religions are filled with people
>>>>>>> who
>>>>>>> are
>>>>>>> simply sheep, following the herd, without really analyzing what the
>>>>>>> herd
>>>>>>> actually stands for. These are the idiots I speak about. You may
>>>>>>> agree,
>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>> exclude yourself from this flock, or you may disagree, but my
>>>>>>> beliefs
>>>>>>> will
>>>>>>> remain steadfast. IMOHO George W. Bush is a Bible toting moron.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I believe in God, but I believe that God is far beyond human
>>>>>>> comprehension
>>>>>>> (in fact He is beyond the limits of time itself). I believe most
>>>>>>> religions
>>>>>>> attempt to humanize GOD in order to understand him. This is the
>>>>>>> issue
>>>>>>> with
>>>>>>> religion that I find appalling. I believe that there is room for
>>>>>>> both
>>>>>>> an
>>>>>>> creator and evolutionary process. I have no problem stating that
>>>>>>> anyone
>>>>>>> outside this view is in my own opinion, a moron.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> again, just my opinion.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>

>Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

When the best the feds could have done, would not have made more than 1 day's difference, to then bring up Iraq and Kyoto and all these other partisan unrelated issues, to bash the president once again using such a tragedy, is is inappropriate and unacceptable, even immoral to many of us. Apprently it is just fine with you though.

To obfuscate this point, to simply turn words around into a debate is intellectually corrupt. This is what passes for reasoning with you and I am not going to participate. It is clear that you are less honest fair or balanced than you present yourself as, and I will not be responding to you in the future.

One treats books like Ezekiel, Daniel, Revelation, and others like a code or a set of specific predictions to happen in the future. These folks make numerous predictions about rebuilding the temple and the establishment if the state of Israel is enormously important to them. They argue endlessly about tribulations and milleniums and even sometimes try to set dates in advance for things.

Page 32 of 80 ---- Generated from The PARIS Forums

prophecy fulfilled in hindsight as a way of strengthening their faith rather than as a crystal ball for what will happen next week, and many are agnostic about tribulations, raptures, and milleniums. They are horrified that some Christian leaders pretend to know things that they do not, and when proven wrong, just change the subject. They prefer the Gospel to human speculation, and are in it for the long-term.

I am of the latter group.

DC

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

>Agreed with all that.

>

>Dunno if you've ever been privy to the insiders gossip.

>

>They discuss biblical prophecy regarding what is now China and Russia.

If

>you know what I'm talking about you know what I'm talking about. Its an

>endless undercurrent of religious discussion and philosophy.

>

>The END TIMES!

>

>Are we there?

>

>Do you know your role?

>

>"DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message

>news:BF3F1B29.4096%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...

>> "Expecting Armageddon" and Christian organizations don't define

>> Christianity. Armageddon is predicted in the Bible, but no one knows,

or

>> will know when it will happen until it does. I'm not really hoping to

>> witness it myself, but also don't have reason to fear it if I do.

>>

>> Some people do believe we are in the last days, and perhaps we are, but

>> that

>> may simply depend on your perspective. Those days could be numbered in

>> the

>> single digits (tomorrow) or millions (e.g. thousands of years, or more).

>> I

>> believe science is mostly right in that the earth has been around for

>> millions of years (quantifying those years may be inaccurate), and it

>> could

>> be millions more. The Bible says that to God, a day is as a thousand

>> years

>> - that could be a reference for people that didn't understand a million,

>> or

>> it could just be a simple "for example" and have absolutely no time value

>> whatsoever - time isn't relevant to God anyway. (I think God has a good

>> laugh when we worry about such things).

>>

>> That doesn't change the way I live my life or my faith - it is the same

>> either way, or at least it should be (emphasis on "should" more than

>> "is").

>> Yes it is quite possible the US is playing a role in setting up the end

>> of

>> days - every country may be, or perhaps every country is irrelevant, as

>> God's plan is going to happen anyway. We do have free will to make our

>> own

>> choices, for better or worse, but it's still temporary.

>>

>> God's will? That is simply for us to have a loving relationship with

>> Him -

>> at least that's the only part we can be absolutely sure of. Everything

>> else

>> either serves that one single purpose, in one way or another, or just

>> is

>> what it is. Perhaps that's all He wanted with Iraq - to give more of

>> His

>> people (i.e. all people) a chance to come back to Him.

>>

>> Regards,

>> Dedic

>>

>> On 9/3/05 12:37 AM, in article 431944c2@linux, "justcron"

>> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

>>

>>> thats what I said about the fruits...

>>>

>>> Expecting Armageddon has been a part of the "Christian Coalition" at

>>> least

>>> since I had the enlightening experience of working on the Pat Robertson

>>> 88

>>> campaign, so I dont think the original point was too far off.

>>>

>>> Does Bush have a role to play? Yes. Like Pharoah did back in Moses

>>> time.

>>> Part of God's plan, but not necessarily serving his will.

>>>

>>> I dont know, these are just my thoughts.

>>>

>>> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message

>>> news:BF3E9D34.407E%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...

>>>> True, but there are easy ways to distinguish those - Jesus addressed

>>>> both

>>>> of

>>>> those camps directly several times.

>>>>

>>>> On 9/2/05 11:59 PM, in article 43193bd5@linux, "justcron"

>>>> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

>>>>

>>>>> Plenty of people *think* they're doing Gods will... from the Pharasees

>>>>> to

>>>>> suicide bombers.

>>>>>

>>>>> Take it how you want.

>>>>>

>>>>> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message

>>>>> news:BF3E9585.4072%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...

>>>>>> You know that isn't fair. That statement could equate Bush with

>>>>>> Saddam

>>>>>> Hussein and Hitler. And by the same evaluation, David (of David and

>>>>>> Goliath) would fall into the same category for slaying Goliath and

>>>>>> later

>>>>>> conquering the Philistines, even though there is plenty of scripture

>>>>>> to

>>>>>> paint a different picture of him, faults and all.

>>>>>>

>>>>>> The same would also have to be said of Presidents Madison, Polk,

>>>>>> Lincoln,

>>>>>> McKinley, Wilson, Hoover, Roosevelt, Truman, Eisenhower, Johnson,

>>>>>> Nixon,

>>>>>> Ford, Reagan, Bush Sr., and Clinton, as well as a long list of other

>>>>> countries' leaders, both good and bad. But that isn't fair to them
>>>>> either.
>>>>>
>>>>> Please don't use the Bible to conveniently cast stones at people you
>>>>> don't
>>>>> agree with. You are above this line of reasoning.
>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> Dedric
>>>>>
>>>>> On 9/2/05 9:06 PM, in article 43191349@linux, "justcron"
>>>>> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> You'll know a true servant of God by their fruits.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Bush's fruits are death and destruction.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Dedric Terry" <dedric@echomg.com> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:BF3E14B8.51B%dedric@echomg.com...
>>>>>>> While I respect your opinion, you are guessing what another person
>>>>>>> thinks
>>>>>>> without knowing them personally, much less their thoughts. Without
>>>>>>> knowing
>>>>>>> someone's thoughts and intent first hand, your opinion isn't
>>>>>>> necessarily
>>>>>>> true. If you portray that person in a negative light without that
>>>>>>> knowledge, it is slander, or at best gossip. Even stating the truth
>>>>>>> about
>>>>>>> someone else without permission is gossip.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over
it.
>>>>>>>> Policy
>>>>>>>> aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a
>>>>>>>> moron."
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Your last three statements here were not just "I think", but stated

>>>>>>>> as
>>>>>>>> fact.
>>>>>>>> But enough statement analysis... Suffice it to say, if there is
a
>>>>>>>> chance
>>>>>>>> our
>>>>>>>> opinions of others could be wrong, they are better left unsaid.
We
>>>>>>>> all
>>>>>>>> do

>>>>>>> it though. It is a hard temptation to resist, especially when we

>>>>>>> feel

>>>>>>> personal frustration. I do it too, sadly.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> You also seem to have a rather negative impression of Christians.

A

>>>>>>> faith

>>>>>>> not practiced in daily life isn't faith, but a set of beliefs

>>>>>>> adopted

>>>>>>> as

>>>>>>> a

>>>>>>> hobby. You state religion is a crutch, but that is a sweeping

>>>>>>> generalization. For some that may be true, but let's be clear about

>>>>>>> the

>>>>>>> distinction between religions as organizations and faith as a belief

>>>>>>> in

>>>>>>> a

>>>>>>> God. Religion is a perspective on a belief systems adopted by

>>>>>>> people.

>>>>>>> Faith is rooted in the very heart and soul, not the head or

>>>>>>> intellect.

>>>>>>> Faith is a two way street - without God to guide me, my faith would

>>>>>>> be

>>>>>>> useless. At the same time, if I didn't believe God would guide
me,

>>>>>>> it

>>>>>>> would

>>>>>>> also be meaningless.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> Faith requires action, or it is just lip service ("Faith without

>>>>>>> works

>>>>>>> is

>>>>>>> dead"). To act on religious laws or mandates is no different than

>>>>>>> acting

>>>>>>> under corporate guidelines - it doesn't take faith in God to follow

>>>>>>> a

>>>>>>> few

>>>>>>> rules, traditions or practices. Acting on faith as a Christian
is

>>>>>>> between

>>>>>>> the believer and God, based on God's own word (the Bible and

>>>>>>> prayer).

>>>>>>> Other

>>>>>>> religions may claim the same communicative guidance, but my faith

as
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> Christian would be meaningless if I believed all religions were
>>>>>>> another
>>>>>>> form
>>>>>>> of the truth, or if mine were just my personal interpretation, open
>>>>>>> for
>>>>>>> variation, moderation and alteration at the whim of peers, society,

>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> religious organization, or those that disagree with me. If there
is
>>>>>>> no
>>>>>>> absolute truth, there is no absolute wrong. Simple logic tells
us
>>>>>>> there
>>>>>>> has
>>>>>>> to be some absolutes, or we will slide into complete chaos. So

>>>>>>> where
>>>>>>> do
>>>>>>> those absolutes come from? God of course - through the Bible.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The Bible is clear about homosexuality being wrong, as well as all

>>>>>>> sin
>>>>>>> (lying, cheating, stealing, etc, etc, etc). I don't condemn
>>>>>>> homosexuals
>>>>>>> as
>>>>>>> fellow citizens, friends and human beings but that doesn't somehow
>>>>>>> make
>>>>>>> it
>>>>>>> "right" in a relative sense (i.e. only wrong "for me", but "right"

>>>>>>> for
>>>>>>> them). From a Christian perspective, passing legislation to support
>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>> (in
>>>>>>> effect) promote what is clearly stated as wrong in God's word is
>>>>>>> dangerous
>>>>>>> for us as a country, not just people choosing that lifestyle -
>>>>>>> that's
>>>>>>> why
>>>>>>> it
>>>>>>> enters politics, whether you believe it should be there or not
>>>>>>> (faith
>>>>>>> without works...). It isn't about "pushing our beliefs on everyone
>>>>>>> else".

>>>>>>> It is about seeing Sodom and Gomorrah (Babylon, Rome, etc)
>>>>>>> re-enacted
>>>>>>> over
>>>>>>> and over throughout history as societies accept more and more
>>>>>>> decadent
>>>>>>> behavior. We just don't want to see our country go down the same

>>>>>>> path
>>>>>>> by
>>>>>>> making such Biblically stated sin issues a nationally supported

>>>>>>> belief
>>>>>>> anymore than you want Christianity to become the national religion.
>>>>>>> You
>>>>>>> wouldn't want a law passed requiring that rapists be given right
to
>>>>>>> appeal
>>>>>>> custody of a resulting child would you (I hope there isn't such
a
>>>>>>> law
>>>>>>> already, but who knows...)? Of course not. But here is the point
-
>>>>>>> without
>>>>>>> a moral basis, we have no line to draw where one action is okay,
and
>>>>>>> another
>>>>>>> isn't - it all become relative to personal interpretation and
>>>>>>> preference -
>>>>>>> that includes murder, rape, incest, stealing, torture, genocide,

>>>>>>> etc,
>>>>>>> etc.
>>>>>>> So, President Bush stands up for what he believes in his workplace
-
>>>>>>> politics. Others do the same. To say he shouldn't because his
>>>>>>> beliefs
>>>>>>> are
>>>>>>> Christian beliefs would be denying Christians the freedom we are
>>>>>>> supposed
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> allow non-Christians.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> As far as Iraq - we may never know exactly what the true reason
"in
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>> grand scheme of things" was for this war - i.e. WMD seemed to be
a
>>>>>>> decent

>>>>>>> reason, but there were none found (probably in Syria). However,

>>>>>>> every
>>>>>>> soldier returning from Iraq that I have talked to has said the
>>>>>>> Iraqis
>>>>>>> welcome the change, even at the price they are paying. I could
post
>>>>>>> quotes
>>>>>>> and stories that would really make you think that there was a reason
>>>>>>> for
>>>>>>> this well beyond and more significant than WMD, but time is short

>>>>>>> for
>>>>>>> me
>>>>>>> today. My belief, and this isn't justification, is that Iraq will
>>>>>>> play
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> strategic role in the future in some event we don't know about -

>>>>>>> left
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> Saddam it could have been catastrophic, but maybe not by his hand,
>>>>>>> just
>>>>>>> as
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> part of the puzzle of the world's stage.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Whether you believe in God or not, He does lead people today, and
He
>>>>>>> does
>>>>>>> put events into motion for His purposes. Perhaps Iraq had nothing

>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> do
>>>>>>> with future defense or terrorism concerns, but simply the ability
of
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> people to have a chance at true freedom.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> "I feel like God wants me to run for President. I can't explain
it,
>>>>>>>> but
>>>>>>>> I
>>>>>>>> sense my country is going to need me. Something is going to
>>>>>>>> happen...
>>>>>>>> I
>>>>>>>> know
>>>>>>>> it won't be easy on me or my family, but God wants me to do it."

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> This is a basic faith concept for Christians. Running for President
>>>>>>> isn't
>>>>>>> common, but many of us, if not most or all, follow this very same
>>>>>>> leading
>>>>>>> every day - often into very risky and unknown territory, knowing
the
>>>>>>> risk
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> our families and even our lives could be at stake - but doing it
for
>>>>>>> God's
>>>>>>> glory and reasons, not ours. That is faith - being willing to do
>>>>>>> things
>>>>>>> that aren't popular without regard for social, political or
>>>>>>> financial
>>>>>>> standing, or even one's own life. I hadn't heard this exact quote,
>>>>>>> but
>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> way, it's putting pieces of a puzzle together for me - likely to
an
>>>>>>> opposite
>>>>>>> conclusion from the one you seem to be drawing.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Without first hand, personal knowledge of someone's intent, heart

>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>> mind,
>>>>>>> we only know them by the way we perceive them through news blurbs,
>>>>>>> sound
>>>>>>> bites and late-night talk shows. I have yet to see anyone on this
>>>>>>> forum
>>>>>>> support believing everything you think you see or hear in the news,
>>>>>>> much
>>>>>>> less believing your perception is accurate.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I know pastors and Christians that personally spend time with
>>>>>>> President
>>>>>>> Bush, and have with other presidents (Clinton, Bush Sr, Reagan,

>>>>>>> Nixon,
>>>>>>> etc),
>>>>>>> and I have met some of these men, heard them speak, read their
>>>>>>> books,
>>>>>>> etc.
>>>>>>> There is a different picture painted of these Presidents than the

>>>>>>> one
>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>> are demonstrating - it is one of real people trying to do their
best
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> lead
>>>>>>> our country. These aren't perfect people or perfect leaders, but
no
>>>>>>> one
>>>>>>> on
>>>>>>> this forum is any more perfect either.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The key to Christian faith is grace. God's grace gave us a way to
>>>>>>> reach
>>>>>>> Him
>>>>>>> through the sacrifice of His son, and offering us forgiveness
>>>>>>> through
>>>>>>> His
>>>>>>> own sacrifice, if we only ask for it. By the same measure of grace

>>>>>>> we
>>>>>>> as
>>>>>>> Christians are given the challenge to reach out to the rest of the
>>>>>>> world
>>>>>>> with God's love to share that very same message with our speech,
our
>>>>>>> actions, and our lives - not through hatred, judgement or
>>>>>>> condemnation.
>>>>>>> Satan loves to twist that message around, and indeed the intent
of
>>>>>>> well-meaning Christians - what better way to destroy God's design

>>>>>>> than
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> discredit His followers, if not dishearten or overtake them?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Thanks for your time jp, or anyone else that read this lonnnnnnggg
>>>>>>> post.
>>>>>>> Back to work, and best regards.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Dedric
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> in article 4318939f@linux, jp at no@mail.please wrote on 9/2/05
1:01
>>>>>>> PM:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>>> news:BF3DC8FD.401F%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
>>>>>>>> jp - Your climatology insight is fairly well reasoned. Your post
>>>>>>>> script
>>>>>>>> is
>>>>>>>> yet more politically opinionated slander. Sorry, it's true.
GWB
>>>>>>>> doesn't
>>>>>>>> see himself as the "Armageddon" president. That's just ridiculous
>>>>>>>> extrapolated hyperbole.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Let me make one thing clear. Slander is creating false statements

>>>>>>>> for
>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>> purpose of swaying ones opinion of a person or entity. I don't
see
>>>>>>>> how
>>>>>>>> my
>>>>>>>> quote...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> "I think this theory is now fact. I think Bush truly believes he
is
>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>> "Armageddon" president, and that he and his believers will be
>>>>>>>> picked
>>>>>>>> up
>>>>>>>> buy
>>>>>>>> Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over
it.
>>>>>>>> Policy
>>>>>>>> aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a
>>>>>>>> moron."
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> ...is false in any way shape or form, unless of course YOU actually
>>>>>>>> know
>>>>>>>> what I think better than I. This is my personal opinion, is stated

>>>>>>>> as
>>>>>>>> my
>>>>>>>> personal opinion, and is backed by my own research. Quotes such
as
>>>>>>>> this:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> "I feel like God wants me to run for President. I can't explain
it,
>>>>>>>> but
>>>>>>>> I

>>>>>>> sense my country is going to need me. Something is going to
>>>>>>> happen...
>>>>>>> I
>>>>>>> know
>>>>>>> it won't be easy on me or my family, but God wants me to do it."
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "God told me to strike at al Qaeda and I struck them, and then
he
>>>>>>> instructed
>>>>>>> me to strike at Saddam [Hussein], which I did, and now I am
>>>>>>> determined
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> solve the problem in the Middle East. If you help me I will act,

>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>> if
>>>>>>> not,
>>>>>>> the elections will come and I will have to focus on them."
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> as well as my own interpretation of Bush's reaction to disaster,
>>>>>>> leads
>>>>>>> me
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> this opinion.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I accept that you don't agree with my opinion, but it is no more
>>>>>>> slanderous
>>>>>>> than George W. Bush's own comments on Homosexuality are slanderous

>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> those
>>>>>>> who choose to practice alternative lifestyles.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I also believe that the majority of organized religions were
>>>>>>> spawned
>>>>>>> as
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> crutch to deal with the fact that as human's we have become
>>>>>>> temporally
>>>>>>> aware, and therefore aware of not only our own existence, but aware
>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>> our
>>>>>>> own termination. I believe many religions are filled with people

>>>>>>> who
>>>>>>> are
>>>>>>> simply sheep, following the herd, without really analyzing what
the

you will be behind the curve the whole time. Also, the UAD-1 Delaycomp is a VST plugin, uses CPU cycles (it doesn't use the UAD-1 DSP card) and it needs to be used with a VST/DX wrapper so if you have a 48 track project happening with a Delay Comp plugin on each track, you will have a significant amount of CPU involved in running that many Delay Comp plugins and you will only have 16 more plugins available in Paris.

This is why I'm using a second DAW running Cubase SX streaming the tracks via lightpipe and summing in Paris. It's expensive and time consuming to set up and get it all happening, but once you've got your head around it, it's a dream come true for mixdown with 4 x UAD-1 cards.

Deej

"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote in message news:4319a885@linux...

> Wow..that 's a "chore" I thought about moving the track to the
> left...because the sound is "late"...but the sampleslide moves it to the
> right doesn't it? What about the Track Delay,and Track Advance , plugs
that
> come with UAD? Do you use those? Both of them seem to move the track to
the
> right...

> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:4319180d\$1@linux...
> >

> > You need to manually compensate in Paris. For each plug you use on a
track
> > you need to nudge the track to the left 400 ms (4x100)then apply
> sampleslide
> > (a free plug, www.analogx.com) and type in 1536 samples(except for
either
> > of the Pultec plugs, where you need to type in 1523) . This should get
you
> > sample accurate latency compensation. Your system maybe different, but
> most
> > guys systems work with these numbers, and it will be very close at any
> rate.

> >
> > You can also a plug by voxengo that will do the same as sampleslide. I'm
> > not sure whats it's called, but I'm sure someone will chime in. Either
> will
> > work.

> >

> > Rod

> >

> > There are other ways of dealing with this, but that's the way that I do
> it.

> > "tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:

> > >Hi there..I just installed UAD -1 Project Pack card...with FXPansion
VST
> > >Wrapper...it is TOTALLY delaying the track when I enable the
> plug-in...The
> > >included "Track Delay" plug doesn't seem to do much...What can be done
to
> > >make it usable?Please help....I'm using Win XP,the CPU meter is around
> > >25%....1 Gig of RAM
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>Interesting DC.. thanks.

"DC" <dc@armgeddonsucks.org> wrote in message news:4319c4bc\$1@linux...

>
> There's two big-picture views of last-day prophecies.
>
> One treats books like Ezekiel, Daniel, Revelation, and others like
> a code or a set of specific predictions to happen in the future.
> These folks make numerous predictions about rebuilding the temple
> and the establishment of the state of Israel is enormously important
> to them. They argue endlessly about tribulations and milleniums
> and even sometimes try to set dates in advance for things.
>
> The other is one that follows the advice to the wise virgins to trim
> your lamp and watch and wait, to stay awake. These people see
> prophecy fulfilled in hindsight as a way of strengthening their
> faith rather than as a crystal ball for what will happen next week,
> and many are agnostic about tribulations, raptures, and milleniums.
> They are horrified that some Christian leaders pretend to know
> things that they do not, and when proven wrong, just change the
> subject. They prefer the Gospel to human speculation, and are in
> it for the long-term.
>
> I am of the latter group.
>
> DC
>
>
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>Agreed with all that.
>>
>>Dunno if you've ever been privy to the insiders gossip.
>>
>>They discuss biblical prophecy regarding what is now China and Russia.
> If
>>you know what I'm talking about you know what I'm talking about. Its an

>
 >>endless undercurrent of religious discussion and philosophy.
 >>
 >>The END TIMES!
 >>
 >>Are we there?
 >>
 >>Do you know your role?
 >>
 >>"DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
 >>news:BF3F1B29.4096%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
 >>> "Expecting Armageddon" and Christian organizations don't define
 >>> Christianity. Armageddon is predicted in the Bible, but no one knows,
 > or
 >>> will know when it will happen until it does. I'm not really hoping to
 >>> witness it myself, but also don't have reason to fear it if I do.
 >>>
 >>> Some people do believe we are in the last days, and perhaps we are, but
 >
 >>> that
 >>> may simply depend on your perspective. Those days could be numbered in
 >
 >>> the
 >>> single digits (tomorrow) or millions (e.g. thousands of years, or more).
 >
 >>> I
 >>> believe science is mostly right in that the earth has been around for
 >>> millions of years (quantifying those years may be inaccurate), and it
 >
 >>> could
 >>> be millions more. The Bible says that to God, a day is as a thousand
 >
 >>> years
 >>> - that could be a reference for people that didn't understand a million,
 >
 >>> or
 >>> it could just be a simple "for example" and have absolutely no time
 >>> value
 >>> whatsoever - time isn't relevant to God anyway. (I think God has a good
 >>> laugh when we worry about such things).
 >>>
 >>> That doesn't change the way I live my life or my faith - it is the same
 >>> either way, or at least it should be (emphasis on "should" more than
 >>> "is").
 >>> Yes it is quite possible the US is playing a role in setting up the end
 > of
 >>> days - every country may be, or perhaps every country is irrelevant, as
 >>> God's plan is going to happen anyway. We do have free will to make our

>
 >>> own
 >>> choices, for better or worse, but it's still temporary.
 >>>
 >>> God's will? That is simply for us to have a loving relationship with
 >
 >>> Him -
 >>> at least that's the only part we can be absolutely sure of. Everything
 >
 >>> else
 >>> either serves that one single purpose, in one way or another, or just
 > is
 >>> what it is. Perhaps that's all He wanted with Iraq - to give more of
 > His
 >>> people (i.e. all people) a chance to come back to Him.
 >>>
 >>> Regards,
 >>> Dedric
 >>>
 >>> On 9/3/05 12:37 AM, in article 431944c2@linux, "justcron"
 >>> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
 >>>
 >>>> thats what I said about the fruits...
 >>>>
 >>>> Expecting Armageddon has been a part of the "Christian Coalition" at
 >
 >>>> least
 >>>> since I had the enlightening experience of working on the Pat Robertson
 >
 >>>> 88
 >>>> campaign, so I dont think the original point was too far off.
 >>>>
 >>>> Does Bush have a role to play? Yes. Like Pharoah did back in Moses
 >
 >>>> time.
 >>>> Part of God's plan, but not necessarily serving his will.
 >>>>
 >>>> I dont know, these are just my thoughts.
 >>>>
 >>>> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
 >>>> news:BF3E9D34.407E%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
 >>>>> True, but there are easy ways to distinguish those - Jesus addressed
 >
 >>>>> both
 >>>>> of
 >>>>> those camps directly several times.
 >>>>>
 >>>>> On 9/2/05 11:59 PM, in article 43193bd5@linux, "justcron"

>>>>> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> Plenty of people *think* they're doing Gods will... from the
>>>>>> Pharasees
>
>>>>>> to
>>>>>> suicide bombers.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Take it how you want.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:BF3E9585.4072%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
>>>>>> You know that isn't fair. That statement could equate Bush with
>>>>>> Saddam
>>>>>> Hussein and Hitler. And by the same evaluation, David (of David and
>>>>>> Goliath) would fall into the same category for slaying Goliath and
>
>>>>>> later
>>>>>> conquering the Philistines, even though there is plenty of scripture
>
>>>>>> to
>>>>>> paint a different picture of him, faults and all.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The same would also have to be said of Presidents Madison, Polk,
>>>>>> Lincoln,
>>>>>> McKinley, Wilson, Hoover, Roosevelt, Truman, Eisenhower, Johnson,
>
>>>>>> Nixon,
>>>>>> Ford, Reagan, Bush Sr., and Clinton, as well as a long list of other
>>>>>> countries' leaders, both good and bad. But that isn't fair to them
>>>>>> either.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Please don't use the Bible to conveniently cast stones at people you
>>>>>> don't
>>>>>> agree with. You are above this line of reasoning.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>> Dedic
>>>>>>
>>>>>> On 9/2/05 9:06 PM, in article 43191349@linux, "justcron"
>>>>>> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> You'll know a true servant of God by their fruits.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Bush's fruits are death and destruction.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> "Dedic Terry" <dedric@echomg.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>> news:BF3E14B8.51B%dedric@echomg.com...

>>>>>>> While I respect your opinion, you are guessing what another person
>>>>>>> thinks
>>>>>>> without knowing them personally, much less their thoughts.
>>>>>>> Without
>>>>>>> knowing
>>>>>>> someone's thoughts and intent first hand, your opinion isn't
>>>>>>> necessarily
>>>>>>> true. If you portray that person in a negative light without that
>>>>>>> knowledge, it is slander, or at best gossip. Even stating the
>>>>>>> truth
>>>>>>> about
>>>>>>> someone else without permission is gossip.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over
> it.
>>>>>>>> Policy
>>>>>>>> aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a
>>>>>>>> moron."
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Your last three statements here were not just "I think", but
>>>>>>>> stated
>
>>>>>>>> as
>>>>>>>> fact.
>>>>>>>> But enough statement analysis... Suffice it to say, if there is
> a
>>>>>>>> chance
>>>>>>>> our
>>>>>>>> opinions of others could be wrong, they are better left unsaid.
> We
>>>>>>>> all
>>>>>>>> do
>>>>>>>> it though. It is a hard temptation to resist, especially when we
>
>>>>>>>> feel
>>>>>>>> personal frustration. I do it too, sadly.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> You also seem to have a rather negative impression of Christians.
> A
>>>>>>>> faith
>>>>>>>> not practiced in daily life isn't faith, but a set of beliefs
>>>>>>>> adopted
>>>>>>>> as
>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>> hobby. You state religion is a crutch, but that is a sweeping
>>>>>>>> generalization. For some that may be true, but let's be clear
>>>>>>>> about
>>>>>>>> the

>>>>>>> distinction between religions as organizations and faith as a
 >>>>>>> belief
 >>>>>>> in
 >>>>>>> a
 >>>>>>> God. Religion is a perspective on a belief systems adopted by
 >>>>>>> people.
 >>>>>>> Faith is rooted in the very heart and soul, not the head or
 >>>>>>> intellect.
 >>>>>>> Faith is a two way street - without God to guide me, my faith
 >>>>>>> would
 >
 >>>>>>> be
 >>>>>>> useless. At the same time, if I didn't believe God would guide
 > me,
 >>>>>>> it
 >>>>>>> would
 >>>>>>> also be meaningless.
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>> Faith requires action, or it is just lip service ("Faith without
 >
 >>>>>>> works
 >>>>>>> is
 >>>>>>> dead"). To act on religious laws or mandates is no different than
 >>>>>>> acting
 >>>>>>> under corporate guidelines - it doesn't take faith in God to
 >>>>>>> follow
 >
 >>>>>>> a
 >>>>>>> few
 >>>>>>> rules, traditions or practices. Acting on faith as a Christian
 > is
 >>>>>>> between
 >>>>>>> the believer and God, based on God's own word (the Bible and
 >>>>>>> prayer).
 >>>>>>> Other
 >>>>>>> religions may claim the same communicative guidance, but my faith
 > as
 >>>>>>> a
 >>>>>>> Christian would be meaningless if I believed all religions were
 >>>>>>> another
 >>>>>>> form
 >>>>>>> of the truth, or if mine were just my personal interpretation,
 >>>>>>> open
 >>>>>>> for
 >>>>>>> variation, moderation and alteration at the whim of peers,
 >>>>>>> society,
 >
 >>>>>>> a

>>>>>>> religious organization, or those that disagree with me. If there
> is
>>>>>>> no
>>>>>>> absolute truth, there is no absolute wrong. Simple logic tells
> us
>>>>>>> there
>>>>>>> has
>>>>>>> to be some absolutes, or we will slide into complete chaos. So
>
>>>>>>> where
>>>>>>> do
>>>>>>> those absolutes come from? God of course - through the Bible.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The Bible is clear about homosexuality being wrong, as well as all
>
>>>>>>> sin
>>>>>>> (lying, cheating, stealing, etc, etc, etc). I don't condemn
>>>>>>> homosexuals
>>>>>>> as
>>>>>>> fellow citizens, friends and human beings but that doesn't somehow
>>>>>>> make
>>>>>>> it
>>>>>>> "right" in a relative sense (i.e. only wrong "for me", but "right"
>
>>>>>>> for
>>>>>>> them). From a Christian perspective, passing legislation to
>>>>>>> support
>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>> (in
>>>>>>> effect) promote what is clearly stated as wrong in God's word is
>>>>>>> dangerous
>>>>>>> for us as a country, not just people choosing that lifestyle -
>>>>>>> that's
>>>>>>> why
>>>>>>> it
>>>>>>> enters politics, whether you believe it should be there or not
>>>>>>> (faith
>>>>>>> without works...). It isn't about "pushing our beliefs on
>>>>>>> everyone
>>>>>>> else".
>>>>>>> It is about seeing Sodom and Gomorrah (Babylon, Rome, etc)
>>>>>>> re-enacted
>>>>>>> over
>>>>>>> and over throughout history as societies accept more and more
>>>>>>> decadent
>>>>>>> behavior. We just don't want to see our country go down the same
>
>>>>>>> path

>>>>>>> by
>>>>>>> making such Biblically stated sin issues a nationally supported
>
>>>>>>> belief
>>>>>>> anymore than you want Christianity to become the national
>>>>>>> religion.
>>>>>>> You
>>>>>>> wouldn't want a law passed requiring that rapists be given right
> to
>>>>>>> appeal
>>>>>>> custody of a resulting child would you (I hope there isn't such
> a
>>>>>>> law
>>>>>>> already, but who knows...)? Of course not. But here is the point
> -
>>>>>>> without
>>>>>>> a moral basis, we have no line to draw where one action is okay,
> and
>>>>>>> another
>>>>>>> isn't - it all become relative to personal interpretation and
>>>>>>> preference -
>>>>>>> that includes murder, rape, incest, stealing, torture, genocide,
>
>>>>>>> etc,
>>>>>>> etc.
>>>>>>> So, President Bush stands up for what he believes in his workplace
> -
>>>>>>> politics. Others do the same. To say he shouldn't because his
>>>>>>> beliefs
>>>>>>> are
>>>>>>> Christian beliefs would be denying Christians the freedom we are
>>>>>>> supposed
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> allow non-Christians.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> As far as Iraq - we may never know exactly what the true reason
> "in
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>> grand scheme of things" was for this war - i.e. WMD seemed to be
> a
>>>>>>> decent
>>>>>>> reason, but there were none found (probably in Syria). However,
>
>>>>>>> every
>>>>>>> soldier returning from Iraq that I have talked to has said the
>>>>>>> Iraqis
>>>>>>> welcome the change, even at the price they are paying. I could
> post

>>>>>>> quotes
>>>>>>> and stories that would really make you think that there was a
>>>>>>> reason
>>>>>>> for
>>>>>>> this well beyond and more significant than WMD, but time is short
>
>>>>>>> for
>>>>>>> me
>>>>>>> today. My belief, and this isn't justification, is that Iraq will
>>>>>>> play
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> strategic role in the future in some event we don't know about -
>
>>>>>>> left
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> Saddam it could have been catastrophic, but maybe not by his hand,
>>>>>>> just
>>>>>>> as
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> part of the puzzle of the world's stage.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Whether you believe in God or not, He does lead people today, and
> He
>>>>>>> does
>>>>>>> put events into motion for His purposes. Perhaps Iraq had nothing
>
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> do
>>>>>>> with future defense or terrorism concerns, but simply the ability
> of
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> people to have a chance at true freedom.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> "I feel like God wants me to run for President. I can't explain
> it,
>>>>>>>> but
>>>>>>>> I
>>>>>>>> sense my country is going to need me. Something is going to
>>>>>>>> happen...
>>>>>>>> I
>>>>>>>> know
>>>>>>>> it won't be easy on me or my family, but God wants me to do it."
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> This is a basic faith concept for Christians. Running for
>>>>>>>> President
>>>>>>>> isn't
>>>>>>>> common, but many of us, if not most or all, follow this very same
>>>>>>>> leading

>>>>>>> every day - often into very risky and unknown territory, knowing
> the
>>>>>>> risk
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> our families and even our lives could be at stake - but doing it
> for
>>>>>>> God's
>>>>>>> glory and reasons, not ours. That is faith - being willing to do
>>>>>>> things
>>>>>>> that aren't popular without regard for social, political or
>>>>>>> financial
>>>>>>> standing, or even one's own life. I hadn't heard this exact
>>>>>>> quote,
>>>>>>> but
>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> way, it's putting pieces of a puzzle together for me - likely to
> an
>>>>>>> opposite
>>>>>>> conclusion from the one you seem to be drawing.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Without first hand, personal knowledge of someone's intent, heart
>
>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>> mind,
>>>>>>> we only know them by the way we perceive them through news blurbs,
>>>>>>> sound
>>>>>>> bites and late-night talk shows. I have yet to see anyone on this
>>>>>>> forum
>>>>>>> support believing everything you think you see or hear in the
>>>>>>> news,
>>>>>>> much
>>>>>>> less believing your perception is accurate.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I know pastors and Christians that personally spend time with
>>>>>>> President
>>>>>>> Bush, and have with other presidents (Clinton, Bush Sr, Reagan,
>
>>>>>>> Nixon,
>>>>>>> etc),
>>>>>>> and I have met some of these men, heard them speak, read their
>>>>>>> books,
>>>>>>> etc.
>>>>>>> There is a different picture painted of these Presidents than the
>
>>>>>>> one
>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>> are demonstrating - it is one of real people trying to do their

> best
 >>>>>>> to
 >>>>>>> lead
 >>>>>>> our country. These aren't perfect people or perfect leaders, but
 > no
 >>>>>>> one
 >>>>>>> on
 >>>>>>> this forum is any more perfect either.
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>> The key to Christian faith is grace. God's grace gave us a way to
 >>>>>>> reach
 >>>>>>> Him
 >>>>>>> through the sacrifice of His son, and offering us forgiveness
 >>>>>>> through
 >>>>>>> His
 >>>>>>> own sacrifice, if we only ask for it. By the same measure of
 >>>>>>> grace
 >
 >>>>>>> we
 >>>>>>> as
 >>>>>>> Christians are given the challenge to reach out to the rest of the
 >>>>>>> world
 >>>>>>> with God's love to share that very same message with our speech,
 > our
 >>>>>>> actions, and our lives - not through hatred, judgement or
 >>>>>>> condemnation.
 >>>>>>> Satan loves to twist that message around, and indeed the intent
 > of
 >>>>>>> well-meaning Christians - what better way to destroy God's design
 >
 >>>>>>> than
 >>>>>>> to
 >>>>>>> discredit His followers, if not dishearten or overtake them?
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>> Thanks for your time jp, or anyone else that read this lonnnnnnggg
 >>>>>>> post.
 >>>>>>> Back to work, and best regards.
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>> Dedric
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>> in article 4318939f@linux, jp at no@mail.please wrote on 9/2/05
 > 1:01
 >>>>>>> PM:
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
 >>>>>>>> news:BF3DC8FD.401F%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...

>>>>>>>>> jp - Your climatology insight is fairly well reasoned. Your
>>>>>>>>> post
>>>>>>>>> script
>>>>>>>>> is
>>>>>>>>> yet more politically opinionated slander. Sorry, it's true.
> GWB
>>>>>>>>> doesn't
>>>>>>>>> see himself as the "Armageddon" president. That's just
>>>>>>>>> ridiculous
>>>>>>>>> extrapolated hyperbole.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Let me make one thing clear. Slander is creating false statements
>
>>>>>>>>> for
>>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>> purpose of swaying ones opinion of a person or entity. I don't
> see
>>>>>>>>> how
>>>>>>>>> my
>>>>>>>>> quote...
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "I think this theory is now fact. I think Bush truly believes he
> is
>>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>> "Armageddon" president, and that he and his believers will be
>>>>>>>>> picked
>>>>>>>>> up
>>>>>>>>> buy
>>>>>>>>> Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over
> it.
>>>>>>>>> Policy
>>>>>>>>> aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a
>>>>>>>>> moron."
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> ...is false in any way shape or form, unless of course YOU
>>>>>>>>> actually
>>>>>>>>> know
>>>>>>>>> what I think better than I. This is my personal opinion, is
>>>>>>>>> stated
>
>>>>>>>>> as
>>>>>>>>> my
>>>>>>>>> personal opinion, and is backed by my own research. Quotes such
> as
>>>>>>>>> this:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "I feel like God wants me to run for President. I can't explain
> it,

>>>>>>>> but
 >>>>>>>> I
 >>>>>>>> sense my country is going to need me. Something is going to
 >>>>>>>> happen...
 >>>>>>>> I
 >>>>>>>> know
 >>>>>>>> it won't be easy on me or my family, but God wants me to do it."
 >>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>> "God told me to strike at al Qaeda and I struck them, and then
 > he
 >>>>>>>> instructed
 >>>>>>>> me to strike at Saddam [Hussein], which I did, and now I am
 >>>>>>>> determined
 >>>>>>>> to
 >>>>>>>> solve the problem in the Middle East. If you help me I will act,
 >
 >>>>>>>> and
 >>>>>>>> if
 >>>>>>>> not,
 >>>>>>>> the elections will come and I will have to focus on them."
 >>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>> as well as my own interpretation of Bush's reaction to disaster,
 >>>>>>>> leads
 >>>>>>>> me
 >>>>>>>> to
 >>>>>>>> this opinion.
 >>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>> I accept that you don't agree with my opinion, but it is no more
 >>>>>>>> slanderous
 >>>>>>>> than George W. Bush's own comments on Homosexuality are
 >>>>>>>> slanderous
 >
 >>>>>>>> to
 >>>>>>>> those
 >>>>>>>> who choose to practice alternative lifestyles.
 >>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>> I also believe that the majority of organized religions were
 >>>>>>>> spawned
 >>>>>>>> as
 >>>>>>>> a
 >>>>>>>> crutch to deal with the fact that as human's we have become
 >>>>>>>> temporally
 >>>>>>>> aware, and therefore aware of not only our own existence, but
 >>>>>>>> aware
 >>>>>>>> of
 >>>>>>>> our
 >>>>>>>> own termination. I believe many religions are filled with people
 >

[illegible]

>>

>As I read more and get more familiar with what this can accomplish, I'm starting to drool.

;oP

,
,
,
,

"uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:4319bfbe@linux...

> The guy who enigneered the classic mid-period Petty stuff, and the
Wilburys

> and solo Orbison and Harrison stuff, swears by this box.

>

> Jimmy

>

>

> "Dimitrios" <musurgio@otenet.gr> wrote in message news:4319a3ef\$1@linux...

>> Hi,

>> Transient designer does not enhance low frequency but gives or takes the
>> attack and the sustain of a sound.

>> So you can make a kick or snare kick with real punchtake away the attack
> of

>> an acoustic guitar to make it not interact so much with drum groove.

>> You can also isolate better than gating the kick or and snare cutting of
> the

>> sustain of the sound.

>> The machine is really great.

>> Regards,

>> Dimitrios

>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message

>> news:43191f2d\$1@linux...

>>>errrrrr.....well.....anyone used one? I know

> it's

>>> been around for a while and I've been reading the literature on this.

It

>>> looks like a really nice way to enhance LF elements within a mix while

>>> keeping them isolated in the sound stage. Could also be a big asset to

>>> mixing tracks that that were recorded in the same space with lots of
mic

>>> bleed withtout having to EQ the life out fo certain tracks.....maybe?

>>>

>>>

>>

>>

>

>"DC" <dc@goodbyetojamie.org> wrote in message news:4319c0d2\$1@linux...

>

> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>

>>But describing people who disagree with any of the current

>>administration's policies as "you Bush-haters" doing a "little hate

>>litany" is a "partisan knife" way of asking people not to politicize the

>

>>disaster.

>

> When the best the feds could have done, would not have made

> more than 1 day's difference, to then bring up Iraq and Kyoto

> and all these other partisan unrelated issues, to bash the president

> once again using such a tragedy, is inappropriate and

> unacceptable, even immoral to many of us. Apparently it is just fine

> with you though.

Hold Up. The FEMA budget went where? The FEMA budget was focused on what?

> Balance is not hearing both sides presented here, nor is it partisan

> to expect the anti-Bush tirades to abate for a few days at least

> during this awful event. It's the decent thing to do. It's obvious

> to most people.

Fuck Bush. I'd smash his fuckin face in myself... you know where to find me.

> To obfuscate this point, to simply turn words around into a debate

> is intellectually corrupt. This is what passes for reasoning with you

> and I am not going to participate. It is clear that you are less

> honest fair or balanced than you present yourself as, and I will not

> be responding to you in the future.

> DC

Interesting.<http://www.michellemalkin.com/>

Great, great stories...I've heard speculation in various forms. It's interesting, but for me that's as far as it goes (at least beyond my own personal pondering from time to time). We don't know for sure, and don't gain anything by trying to figure it out.

God does have a plan for each of us (or knows our future depending on how you look at it), but the only way to figure it out is to just seek His guidance daily and then look back and say - "that seemed to be planned -I did learn something." For me it's usually a dumb decision I made, and wondered why later :-). God works everyday, we just usually get too caught up in our day to day or looking for lightning bolts to notice.

On 9/3/05 9:22 AM, in article 4319bfd2@linux, "justcron"
<justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

> Agreed with all that.
>
> Dunno if you've ever been privy to the insiders gossip.
>
> They discuss biblical prophecy regarding what is now China and Russia. If
> you know what I'm talking about you know what I'm talking about. Its an
> endless undercurrent of religious discussion and philosophy.
>
> The END TIMES!
>
> Are we there?
>
> Do you know your role?
>
> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
> news:BF3F1B29.4096%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
>> "Expecting Armageddon" and Christian organizations don't define
>> Christianity. Armageddon is predicted in the Bible, but no one knows, or
>> will know when it will happen until it does. I'm not really hoping to
>> witness it myself, but also don't have reason to fear it if I do.
>>
>> Some people do believe we are in the last days, and perhaps we are, but
>> that
>> may simply depend on your perspective. Those days could be numbered in
>> the
>> single digits (tomorrow) or millions (e.g. thousands of years, or more).
>> I
>> believe science is mostly right in that the earth has been around for
>> millions of years (quantifying those years may be inaccurate), and it
>> could
>> be millions more. The Bible says that to God, a day is as a thousand
>> years
>> - that could be a reference for people that didn't understand a million,
>> or
>> it could just be a simple "for example" and have absolutely no time value
>> whatsoever - time isn't relevant to God anyway. (I think God has a good
>> laugh when we worry about such things).
>>
>> That doesn't change the way I live my life or my faith - it is the same
>> either way, or at least it should be (emphasis on "should" more than
>> "is").
>> Yes it is quite possible the US is playing a role in setting up the end of
>> days - every country may be, or perhaps every country is irrelevant, as
>> God's plan is going to happen anyway. We do have free will to make our

>> own
>> choices, for better or worse, but it's still temporary.
>>
>> God's will? That is simply for us to have a loving relationship with
>> Him -
>> at least that's the only part we can be absolutely sure of. Everything
>> else
>> either serves that one single purpose, in one way or another, or just is
>> what it is. Perhaps that's all He wanted with Iraq - to give more of His
>> people (i.e. all people) a chance to come back to Him.
>>
>> Regards,
>> Dedic
>>
>> On 9/3/05 12:37 AM, in article 431944c2@linux, "justcron"
>> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
news:BF3F2A3C.40A9%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
> I've heard speculation in various forms. It's interesting, but for me
> that's as far as it goes (at least beyond my own personal pondering from
> time to time). We don't know for sure, and don't gain anything by trying
> to
> figure it out.
>
> God does have a plan for each of us (or knows our future depending on how
> you look at it), but the only way to figure it out is to just seek His
> guidance daily and then look back and say - "that seemed to be planned -I
> did learn something." For me it's usually a dumb decision I made, and
> wondered why later :-). God works everyday, we just usually get too
> caught
> up in our day to day or looking for lightning bolts to notice.

good stuff DTerry.

God works in my life on a DAILY BASIS.If any Reason users (or fans), Prop heads released
Rebirth free. It's
mothballed now. Seems like it needs a new name now, unless they are
planning on resurrecting it someday. ;-)

FYI, it's a 500M download CD image.

Regards,
Dedic <http://movies.crooksandliars.com/Hannity-Colmes-Smith-Rivera -freak-in-NO.wmv>Doing it
with Sampleslide takes 3 seconds once you get it down.

"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:

>Wow..that 's a "chore" I thought about moving the track to the
>left...because the sound is "late"...but the sampleslide moves it to the
>right doesn't it? What about the Track Delay,and Track Advance , plugs that
>come with UAD? Do you use those? Both of them seem to move the track to
the
>right...

>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:4319180d\$1@linux...

>>

>> You need to manually compensate in Paris. For each plug you use on a track
>> you need to nudge the track to the left 400 ms (4x100)then apply
>sampleslide

>> (a free plug, www.analogx.com) and type in 1536 samples(except for either
>> of the Pultec plugs, where you need to type in 1523) . This should get
you

>> sample accurate latency compensation. Your system maybe different, but
>most

>> guys systems work with these numbers, and it will be very close at any
>rate.

>>

>> You can also a plug by voxengo that will do the same as sampleslide. I'm
>> not sure whats it's called, but I'm sure someone will chime in. Either
>will

>> work.

>>

>> Rod

>>

>> There are other ways of dealing with this, but that's the way that I do
>it.

>> "tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:

>> >Hi there..I just installed UAD -1 Project Pack card...with FXPansion
VST

>> >Wrapper...it is TOTALLY delaying the track when I enable the
>plug-in...The

>> >included "Track Delay" plug doesn't seem to do much...What can be done
to

>> >make it usable?Please help....I'm using Win XP,the CPU meter is around
>> >25%....1 Gig of RAM

>> >

>> >

>>

>

>Don, this gal is something else. I've corresponded with her on some local
heated political issues in recent years. Very personable, articulate,
intelligent, collected. There was a local radio talk show host that got
booted by the stations parent company for making some fair factual but
politically intemperate statements about a particluar governor's race here
in WA state. Michelle blasted the parent company - very publicly - at

length. The talk show host is back on the air with the biggest slot at drive time any host has ever had here.

W. Mark Wilson

"DC" <dc@gospamyermama.com> wrote in message news:4319cdf2\$1@linux...

>
> <http://www.michellemalkin.com/>
>
> Great, great stories...Ah - go find some of your weed and light up dude. Don't burn your pointing finger... GW needs your pointing finger almost as much as you do.

Now where's the nearest gas station... I need me some more gas !!!

W. Mark Wilson

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message news:4319be9e\$1@linux...

> "W. Mark Wilson" <wmarkwilson@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:43193455\$1@linux...
>> Already done it. \$113. I drive a great big ozone killing gas loving
>> big-ass truck. Goes through gas like there's no tomorrow... in fact, me
>> and my ol' truck and GW are working on makin' sure there ain't no
>> tomorrow. Presently, I don't get MPG - I get GPM... the lower the better
>> I always say. Sometimes I just fire it up and let it run just to see if
>> I can hogcall up one of them there K5's or F7. Had my gas cap
>> autographed this week by GW, several big TX oil tycoons - hope to get a
>> few more on the left front fender and right on the tank...
>
> That just confirms how much of a dickhead you are, Dumbya.
><http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/9162119Character>. What a concept.

But even if someone disagrees with her politics, those stories are just incredible.

DC

"W. Mark Wilson" <wmarkwilson@verizon.n.o.s.p.a.m.net> wrote:

>Don, this gal is something else. I've corresponded with her on some local

>heated political issues in recent years. Very personable, articulate,
>intelligent, collected. There was a local radio talk show host that got

>booted by the stations parent company for making some fair factual but
>politically intemperate statements about a particluar governor's race here

>in WA state. Michelle blasted the parent company - very publicly - at
>length. The talk show host is back on the air with the biggest slot at

>drive time any host has ever had here.

>

>W. Mark Wilson

>

>"DC" <dc@gospamyermama.com> wrote in message news:4319cdf2\$1@linux...

>>

>> <http://www.michellemalkin.com/>

>>

>> Great, great stories...

>

>Hi Rod...Thank you for your help..I tried to contact your address
directly,but could not...so I hope you see this..After I nudge the track to
the left 100x 4,it seems to sound fine without the "sampleslide" Do you
put the "sampleslide" on the SAME track with the plug,or the other tracks
that do not have the plus... ..at least until I add a 2nd plug in...The
recommended UAD way is to add the "Track delay" VST plug, on all the other
tracks that do NOT have a plug-in...that works good ,until you add more
plugins.,then you have to change all the values.....I just hooked this up
yesterday...

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:4319180d\$1@linux...

>

> You need to manually compensate in Paris. For each plug you use on a track
> you need to nudge the track to the left 400 ms (4x100)then apply
sampleslide

> (a free plug, www.analogx.com) and type in 1536 samples(except for either
> of the Pultec plugs, where you need to type in 1523) . This should get you
> sample accurate latency compensation. Your system maybe different, but
most

> guys systems work with these numbers, and it will be very close at any
rate.

>

> You can also a plug by voxengo that will do the same as sampleslide. I'm
> not sure whats it's called, but I'm sure someone will chime in. Either
will
> work.

>

> Rod

>

> There are other ways of dealing with this, but that's the way that I do
it.

> "tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:

> >Hi there..I just installed UAD -1 Project Pack card...with FXPansion VST

> >Wrapper...it is TOTALLY delaying the track when I enable the
plug-in...The

> >included "Track Delay" plug doesn't seem to do much...What can be done to
> >make it usable?Please help....I'm using Win XP,the CPU meter is around
> >25%....1 Gig of RAM
> >
> >
>Put sampleslide with the delay setting at 1536 on the track that you nudged
and you will be in the pocket.
don't mess with the drack delay thing in paris.
Rod
rlincoln@kc.rr.com
"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:
>Hi Rod...Thank you for your help..I tried to contact your address
>directly,but could not...so I hope you see this..After I nudge the track
to
>the left 100x 4,it seems to sound fine without the "sampleslide" Do you
>put the "sampleslide" on the SAME track with the plug,or the other tracks
>that do not have the plus... ..at least until I add a 2nd plug in...The
>recommended UAD way is to add the "Track delay" VST plug, on all the other
>tracks that do NOT have a plug-in...that works good ,until you add more
>plugins.,then you have to change all the values.....I just hooked this up
>yesterday...
>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:4319180d\$1@linux...
>>
>> You need to manually compensate in Paris. For each plug you use on a track
>> you need to nudge the track to the left 400 ms (4x100)then apply
>sampleslide
>> (a free plug, www.analogx.com) and type in 1536 samples(except for either
>> of the Pultec plugs, where you need to type in 1523) . This should get
you
>> sample accurate latency compensation. Your system maybe different, but
>most
>> guys systems work with these numbers, and it will be very close at any
>rate.
>>
>> You can also a plug by voxengo that will do the same as sampleslide. I'm
>> not sure whats it's called, but I'm sure someone will chime in. Either
>will
>> work.
>>
>> Rod
>>
>> There are other ways of dealing with this, but that's the way that I do
>it.
>> "tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:
>> >Hi there..I just installed UAD -1 Project Pack card...with FXPansion
VST
>> >Wrapper...it is TOTALLY delaying the track when I enable the

>plug-in...The
>> >included "Track Delay" plug doesn't seem to do much...What can be done
to
>> >make it usable?Please help....I'm using Win XP,the CPU meter is around
>> >25%....1 Gig of RAM
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>what he said. easy as pie.
rod
"cujo" <chris@applemanstudio.com> wrote:
>
>Doing it with Sampleslide takes 3 seconds once you get it down.
>
>
>
>"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:
>>Wow..that 's a "chore" I thought about moving the track to the
>>left...because the sound is "late"...but the sampleslide moves it to the
>>right doesn't it? What about the Track Delay,and Track Advance , plugs
that
>>come with UAD? Do you use those? Both of them seem to move the track to
>the
>>right...
>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
>>news:4319180d\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> You need to manually compensate in Paris. For each plug you use on a
track
>>> you need to nudge the track to the left 400 ms (4x100)then apply
>>sampleslide
>>> (a free plug, www.analogx.com) and type in 1536 samples(except for either
>>> of the Pultec plugs, where you need to type in 1523) . This should get
>you
>>> sample accurate latency compensation. Your system maybe different, but
>>most
>>> guys systems work with these numbers, and it will be very close at any
>>rate.
>>>
>>> You can also a plug by voxengo that will do the same as sampleslide.
I'm
>>> not sure whats it's called, but I'm sure someone will chime in. Either
>>will
>>> work.
>>>
>>> Rod
>>>

>>> There are other ways of dealing with this, but that's the way that I do
>>it.
>>> "tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:
>>> >Hi there..I just installed UAD -1 Project Pack card...with FXPansion >VST
>>> >Wrapper...it is TOTALLY delaying the track when I enable the >>plug-in...The
>>> >included "Track Delay" plug doesn't seem to do much...What can be done >to
>>> >make it usable?Please help....I'm using Win XP,the CPU meter is around >>> >25%....1 Gig of RAM
>>> >
>>> >
>>>
>>
>>
>As "transparent" as Vodka unless you've got a a nice THICK weed cloud between you and your Vodka Mr. BC Bud!!! Certainly, it is only an opinion but, I think that anyone whose website features a RAP tune that begins with the words "Since I was a sperm in my mom's privates" (and gets worse from there) does not have the same operability in the logic genes that most other folks have... especially if or when that same person holds his/herself to be more intelligent than a world leader.

Dubya

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message news:4319b521@linux...
> Umm yeah.... leverage UN sanctions when it suits need, and ignore the UN > when not.
>
> What a crock of shite. Transparent as vodka.
>
> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message > news:4319afdf\$1@linux...
>> The sanctions were just getting started when Clinton was elected. Making >> sure they were enforced was the job of the UN. Making sure the UN >> enforced
>> them should have been Clinton's job. He didn't do his job. Bush did not >> leave him with a problem. Sadaam did. Blows your theory that the war in >> Iraq
>> was Bush's fault right out of the water.
>>
>>
>> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message >> news:431946eb@linux...
>>>

>>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>>> news:43192fdf\$1@linux...
>>> > That's what is so disturbing about the left.
>>>
>>> OK, you just wasted your first sentence.
>>>
>>> > This war started in 1991 with
>>> > Sadaam's invasion of Kuwait. There was nothing fake about it. Just
>> because
>>> > Clinton and the UN didnt choose to call Sadaam on his violations of UN
>>> > sanctions didn't mean that somehow they weren't valid. They war never
>>> > stopped. Some folks just found it convenient to forget about it.
>>>
>>> what a crock of shite Deej. Bush 1 left a huge mess for Clinton to
>>> pick
>>> up, who never solved it just sat on it for years. Bush 2 comes in and
>> all
>>> of a sudden Saddam is a threat to the US again? Please. This war was
>>> specifically designed to get Bush reelected by having an active war
>>> during
>>> the elections. The repercussions are ENDLESS.
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
> http://www.shortnews.com/shownews.cfm?id=49937&u_id=4141 5

Problem is, how do you talk the user into suiting up?

DubyaAlso, the sample slide thing becomes more odvious when using a UAD oplug
on one track of a multi tracked instrument (dierct box on the bass or just
overheads or something)

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>
>Put sampleslide with the delay setting at 1536 on the track that you nudged
>and you will be in the pocket.
>don't mess with the drack delay thing in paris.
>Rod
>rlincoln@kc.rr.com
>"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:
>>Hi Rod...Thank you for your help..I tried to contact your address
>>directly,but could not...so I hope you see this..After I nudge the track
>to
>>the left 100x 4,it seems to sound fine without the "sampleslide" Do
you
>>put the "sampleslide" on the SAME track with the plug,or the other tracks

>>that do not have the plus... ..at least until I add a 2nd plug in...The
>>recommended UAD way is to add the "Track delay" VST plug, on all the other
>>tracks that do NOT have a plug-in...that works good ,until you add more
>>plugins.,then you have to change all the values.....I just hooked this
up
>>yesterday...
>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
>>news:4319180d\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> You need to manually compensate in Paris. For each plug you use on a
track
>>> you need to nudge the track to the left 400 ms (4x100)then apply
>>sampleslide
>>> (a free plug, www.analogx.com) and type in 1536 samples(except for either
>>> of the Pultec plugs, where you need to type in 1523) . This should get
>you
>>> sample accurate latency compensation. Your system maybe different, but
>>most
>>> guys systems work with these numbers, and it will be very close at any
>>rate.
>>>
>>> You can also a plug by voxengo that will do the same as sampleslide.
I'm
>>> not sure whats it's called, but I'm sure someone will chime in. Either
>>will
>>> work.
>>>
>>> Rod
>>>
>>> There are other ways of dealing with this, but that's the way that I
do
>>it.
>>> "tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:
>>> >Hi there..I just installed UAD -1 Project Pack card...with FXPansion
>VST
>>> >Wrapper...it is TOTALLY delaying the track when I enable the
>>plug-in...The
>>> >included "Track Delay" plug doesn't seem to do much...What can be done
>to
>>> >make it usable?Please help....I'm using Win XP,the CPU meter is around
>>> >25%.....1 Gig of RAM
>>> >
>>> >
>>>
>>
>>
>Kayne was not joking. He meant every word of it.

http://www.shortnews.com/shownews.cfm?id=49925&u_id=4141 5

These effusive bleeders are all alike - united by a common thread of insuperable self-obsessing vapid politicisism.

I'm sure Mike Meyers now has a renewed appreciation for NBC and anarchist/revolutionist style rappers around the world.

Dubya

"Will Wilson" <wwilson12@kc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:4319b684\$1@linux...

>
> Kanye dosen't seem like he's joking.
>
>
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>
> <http://media.putfile.com/Kanye79>

>>
> I see you found the weed and Vodka ! Things are *clearer* for you now???

Dubya

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
news:4319c79d\$1@linux...

>
> Fuck Bush. I'd smash his fuckin face in myself... you know where to find
> me. In this area, if you prepay with a credit card, the pump shuts off at \$50.00
and you have to reauthorize the card to keep going. I've got a Honda
Passport with a 21 gallon tank. I can get about 400 miles (highway) out of
it.. I've also got an Accord with a (I think) 12 gallon tank that I can get
almost 400 miles out of on the highway.

Both are paid for and have been for years.

Next for me will be hybrids. No doubt about it at all. I may buy an old
pickup truck to park next to the horse trailer to use occasionally for short
hauls of horses and hay. That all the action it's going to see.

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:sntih154b667rqlqtlbadim0dlqs98p90b@4ax.com...

> for my 14 gal tank when it hits 7 bucks. but, they just dropped it
> .40 a gallon here yesterday so i don't know.

>
> On Sat, 3 Sep 2005 01:14:35 -0400, "justcron"
> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>
> >it costs \$100 to fill the tank.
> >
> >how long?
> >
> There is a very usable (and free) VST plug made by
digitalfishphones.com called Dominion that has a similar function.

It probably doesn't compare to the SPL hardware unit, but it is VERY handy.

"uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> The guy who engineered the classic mid-period Petty stuff, and the Wilburys
> and solo Orbison and Harrison stuff, swears by this box.
>
> Jimmy
>
>
> "Dimitrios" <musurgio@otenet.gr> wrote in message news:4319a3ef\$1@linux...
>> Hi,
>> Transient designer does not enhance low frequency but gives or takes the
>> attack and the sustain of a sound.
>> So you can make a kick or snare kick with real punch take away the attack
> of
>> an acoustic guitar to make it not interact so much with drum groove.
>> You can also isolate better than gating the kick or and snare cutting
> of
> the
>> sustain of the sound.
>> The machine is really great.
>> Regards,
>> Dimitrios
>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>> news:43191f2d\$1@linux...
>> >errrrrr.....well.....anyone used one? I know
> it's
>> > been around for a while and I've been reading the literature on this.
> It
>> > looks like a really nice way to enhance LF elements within a mix while
>> > keeping them isolated in the sound stage. Could also be a big asset
> to
>> > mixing tracks that that were recorded in the same space with lots of
> mic
>> > bleed without having to EQ the life out of certain tracks.....maybe?

>> >

>> >

>>

>>

>

>I have long since stopped using plugins. I know it's sacrilege,
but I MUCH prefer hardware.

(Ducking)

Jimmy

"Phil Aiken" <paiken@partners.org> wrote in message news:431a476a\$1@linux...

>

> There is a very usable (and free) VST plug made by
> digitalfishphones.com called Dominion that has a similar function.

>

> It probably doesn't compare to the SPL hardware unit, but it is VERY
> handy.

>

>

> "uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote:

> >The guy who engineered the classic mid-period Petty stuff, and the
Wilburys

> >and solo Orbison and Harrison stuff, swears by this box.

> >

> >Jimmy

> >

> >

> >"Dimitrios" <musurgio@otenet.gr> wrote in message
news:4319a3ef\$1@linux...

> >> Hi,

> >> Transient designer does not enhance low frequency but gives or takes
the

> >> attack and the sustain of a sound.

> >> So you can make a kick or snare kick with real punchtake away the
attack

> >>of

> >> an acoustic guitar to make it not interact so much with drum groove.

> >> You can also isolate better than gating the kick or and snare cutting

> of

> >the

> >> sustain of the sound.

> >> The machine is really great.

> >> Regards,

> >> Dimitrios

> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message

> >> news:43191f2d\$1@linux...

> pickup truck to park next to the horse trailer to use occasionally for short
> hauls of horses and hay. That all the action it's going to see.
>> maybe a chihuahua...

You know, of course, that these dogs were bred, by the Aztecs, to be portable snack food.....right?

;o)

"uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:431a7438@linux...

> Amen, brother.

>

> My situation, and my plan, exactly.

>

> Not the horses (fuck that), bit everything else....maybe a chihuahua...it won't eat the cats....

>

> Jimmy

>

>

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message

> news:431a3a77\$1@linux...

> >

> >

> > Both (cars) are paid for, and have been for years.

> >

> > Next for me will be hybrids. No doubt about it at all. I may buy an old

> > pickup truck to park next to the horse trailer to use occasionally for

> short

> > hauls of horses and hay. That all the action it's going to see.

> >

>

>

>

> Better-than-portable, self-ambulating food.

Dubya

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message news:431a7af0\$1@linux...

> > maybe a chihuahua...

>

> You know, of course, that these dogs were bred, by the Aztecs, to be

> portable snack food.....right?

>

> ;o)

>

> "uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:431a7438@linux...
>> Amen, brother.
>>
>> My situation, and my plan, exactly.
>>
>> Not the horses (fuck that), bit everything else....maybe a chihuahua...it
>> won't eat the cats....
>>
>> Jimmy
>>
>>
>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>> news:431a3a77\$1@linux...
>> >
>> >
>> > Both (cars) are paid for, and have been for years.
>> >
>> > Next for me will be hybrids. No doubt about it at all. I may buy an old
>> > pickup truck to park next to the horse trailer to use occasionally for
>> short
>> > hauls of horses and hay. That all the action it's going to see.;o)

"W. Mark Wilson" <wmarkwilson@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:431a7b9f\$1@linux...
> Better-than-portable, self-ambulating food.
> Dubya
>
> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
> news:431a7af0\$1@linux...
> > > maybe a chihuahua...
> >
> > You know, of course, that these dogs were bred, by the Aztecs, to be
> > portable snack food.....right?
> >
> > ;o)
> >
> > "uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:431a7438@linux...
> >> Amen, brother.
> >>
> >> My situation, and my plan, exactly.
> >>
> >> Not the horses (fuck that), bit everything else....maybe a
chihuahua...it
> >> won't eat the cats....
> >>
> >> Jimmy

> >>
> >>
> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
> >> news:431a3a77\$1@linux...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Both (cars) are paid for, and have been for years.
> >> >
> >> > Next for me will be hybrids. No doubt about it at all. I may buy an
old
> >> > pickup truck to park next to the horse trailer to use occasionally
for
> >> short
> >> > hauls of horses and hay. That all the action it's going to see.
>
>"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>Uhhhhhhh.....OK. So exactly how does the potential rapee
>discuss the use of a condom with the perp?.....say something like "oh,
>and while you're beating the shit out of me with one hand, would you drop
>that knife that's in your other hand for a second and put these parking
lot
>spikes on your dick?

I got it! Spike Strips for Dicks!

We can get a patent and make millions. Just think how much
fun it will be to watch the cops lay those puppies out and catch
those bad guys just like on TeeVee!same here if you pay at the pump with the shut off thing. i
agree
that hybrids are the way to go but i feel they're taking the wrong
approach. i'm no engineer but i think they should be built more along
the line of a locomotive engine. in that the gas power plant provides
the electricity; a smaller generator and a larger ele. motor

On Sat, 3 Sep 2005 18:09:42 -0600, "DJ"
<animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>In this area, if you prepay with a credit card, the pump shuts off at \$50.00
>and you have to reauthorize the card to keep going. I've got a Honda
>Passport with a 21 gallon tank. I can get about 400 miles (highway) out of
>it.. I've also got an Accord with a (I think) 12 gallon tank that I can get
>almost 400 miles out of on the highway.
>
>Both are paid for and have been for years.
>
>Next for me will be hybrids. No doubt about it at all. I may buy an old
>pickup truck to park next to the horse trailer to use occasionally for short

>hauls of horses and hay. That all the action it's going to see.

>

>

>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>news:
