
Subject: OT: First Victim of Supreme Court Land Seizure Ruling

Posted by [Nei](#) on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 03:12:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>

It's more or less an open-air museum now.

It was definitely a first-strike scenario if there were no
nukes involved... whoever

Subject: Re: First Victim of Supreme Court Land Seizure Ruling

Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 03:35:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

vacation - which
means more of your reserve troops would have been away in
Spain, Italy, etc. Even some of your active troops would try to
get away then to be with friends & family, etc.; so mainly
skeleton staffs would have been left to man their posts in the
German element.

b.) On a **weekend** in August... since you guys like to take
off at noon on Fridays (at least you did then - dunno if that's
still a common practice), so that there would be ev

Subject: Re: OT: First Victim of Supreme Court Land Seizure Ruling

Posted by [TC](#) on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 03:43:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

en less

troops in place. Coming over at 3am on a Sunday Morning would
be nice & quiet & stealthy, don't you think? Or since they
didn't have much in the way of night vision capabilities, a
Sunday afternoon woul

Subject: Re: OT: First Victim of Supreme Court Land Seizure Ruling

Posted by [rick](#) on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 08:59:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;Neil

> >

>"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

>

>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42d71a43\$1@linux...

>>

>> "justcron" <

Subject: Re: OT: First Victim of Supreme Court Land Seizure Ruling

Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 15:30:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

because of the fact that theres a not too bad
track record of deescalation in history)

> You haven't convinced me that Rabin could
>have pulled it off.

i didnt expect to. heck, i dont know it myself.

>Here's how the palestinians could have peace in a week:

>

>Go Ghandi on them. Sit down, inb the streets, renounce violence forever.

>Ask the world for justice. They would get their demands in a week

>or less, and if israel didn't like it, the american people would force it

>on them by withholding any more aid.

yes. too bad it wouldnt work because their religious
fanatic idiots. theres a reason why i talked so much
about the good timing of rabin. you gotta force religious
idiots into their own good. too bad the timing wasnt quite
right and a handful of steps at a time was still to
extreme of a dialog for some extremist idiots. it
wasnt even an islamic extremist idiot but a jewish one
who ultimatively destroyed it all - but it could very
well have been one.

> Why do you euros never demand a DAMN THING from the
>arabs and the palestinians,

Subject: Re: First Victim of Supreme Court Land Seizure Ruling

Posted by [Brian Porick](#) on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 15:40:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

wants his post totally going berserk and

extremely to the right. and if theres a classic debate in germany if ive ever seen one, its the debate about whether its good that its a principal no-no to openly criticize anything thats even remotely related to anything jewish. there are extremists that have a problem with that (they call it a sick case of non-judgmentalism...sounds familiar), i personally think its only adequate and should

Subject: Re: OT: First Victim of Supreme Court Land Seizure Ruling
Posted by [rick](#) on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 18:41:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

br />

That was painful for you wasn't it? I should appreciate the effort, but you really should admit how awful their actions are more often, and leave off the excuses. Then we can treat them as adults and hold them to a standard of civilized behavior. Do you have any idea how patronizing, not to say racist, it is to hold a double standard where you understand terrorists, but condemn the only democracy in the middle east?

>from their point of view, theyre actually doing exactly
>the same thing - use brute force. they dont have planes,
>so they use suicide bombers.

They don't have planes because their mullahs told them they don't need scientific progress 1000 years ago, and they use suicide bombers because they are a degraded culture, rotten from within from their religion, and used as cannon fodder by their cynical leaders.

>if you were on their side,
>its what you would recommend. and if the enemy threatened to
>use more power, youd recommend that the enemy gets a
>demonstration of your force.

BULLSHIT! If I was on their side, I would advise them to go Ghandi, renounce all violence, and appeal to the wolrd for justice. And I would be the George Washington of a new Palestine. The problem is that they have no one like that and they would kill them if they did.

>im not saying its the ultimate solution. i find pretending

>to have absolute solutions (a very popular practice here,
>or so it seems) rather silly. im trying to have a civilised
>discu

Subject: Re: First Victim of Supreme Court Land Seizure Ruling
Posted by [excelav](#) on Thu, 30 Jun 2005 06:20:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

br />

> presumed agreement between questioner and respondent on political issues.

>

> Dubya Mark Wilson

>

> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message

> news:42d585d3\$1@linux...

>> the questions are simplistic while complex. they're trying to score you

>> on an axis with imperfect questions.

>

>As a rule people only call into radio shows and post to blogs and such
to have THEIR world view reinforced. Not to have in depth discussions
about other people

Subject: Re: First Victim of Supreme Court Land Seizure Ruling
Posted by [Rich Lamanna](#) on Thu, 30 Jun 2005 07:37:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ogress. I kinda understand more where people are coming from. Its

>> admirable to think the best about humans and believe the government is

>> acting in our best interest. I know people are ultimately concerned with

>> their own security and survival.

>>

>> The politicalcompass thing was helpful to show that political beliefs are

>> really a complex combination of issues, at the very least needing 2 axes...

>> liberal/conservative context is hardly useful to categorize people.

>>

>> Some people say you shouldn't catch feelings over a message b
