
Subject: Guitar - compensated nut

Posted by [DC](#) on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 23:47:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

br />

>

> Usually by the second verse, if you've got a drum machine at pretty constant

> volume or parts that aren't dynamic, you're not noticing them anymore, says

> Pensado. But if you just kind of yank a drum up here, or something up there,

> your ear finds it and you'll remember it for another 32 bars or so.

Something

> I've learned from the visual analogy is that it's okay to make things loud

> for one or two bars, then tuck them back where they should be. It's okay

> to take the kick drum and at the beginning of every eight bars turn it up

> 8 dB. Make it stupid loud. The engineers might say, 'Ooh, did you hear that?',

> but 99.9 percent of the people who buy the record are going to go, 'That's

> cool!'

>

> Okay, lots of different speakers. But what exactly are you listening for?

> A lot of the music I do requires the kick drum to be as important as the

> guitars are in a Led Zeppelin song, says Pensado. If you're listening on

> the big speakers, you ca

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut

Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 00:01:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

n be thinking 'Man, it's Grammy time!' then you go

> down to the Auratones and there's nothing there. That tells you the frequencies

> below 100 cycles are right where they need to be, but the frequencies above

> there are pretty much nonexistent. This gets into the area of psychoacoustics.

> You're not ever going to get the low end from the kick drum to come out of

> the set of Auratones. But what you can get are the frequencies that make

> you think you're hearing the lower frequencies. That could be anything from

> 200 to 3K.

>

> I'll add another trick to that: If you have a word or just a silable out

> of tune, put it in a separate track. Then you can play with auto tune and

> just fix that one section without subjecting the whole song to auto tune-

> Of course make sure you have the same EQ compression and reverb as the rest
> of that section of the song or it'll sound real funny.
>
>
> John
>
> In Paris you could ride faders on multiple split vocals even automate mutes.
>Find out if dude is interested in writing a windows Vista and OS-x driver :)

AA

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:450e471d\$

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut
Posted by [brandon\[2\]](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 00:15:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

=<mailto:1@linux...> target="_blank">1@linux...
> He wrote the software program that MRI machines are using these days.
> Very
> interesting guy. I'm mastering a project for his wife. He was pretty
> interested in the Paris program. His wife is pretty well known in the LA
> music community and he digs this stuff. I may ask him if he could write a
> delay compensation applet for Paris. He really got a chuckle out of
> Frankencomp.....said he's built a few similar monstrosities himself.
> They
> will be back over here tomorrow night.
>
> ;o)
>
>
>
>Hi,
I have this Paris 3 from a person who sold his rig to me and there is this original CD but regarding the serial there is only a number written on the Ensoniq original sent envelope:
Number looks like:
lxxENxxxxx where x are numbers.
Does a Paris 3 license look at this at all ?
Also on CD there is another number printed which says:
EMU PN CDxxxxx does this mean anything at all ?
Thanks
DimitriosI thought this was worth reading if any of you are interested.

Have a great Monday!

The Unholy Alliance Rolls Over the Pope
By Andrew Walden

In what has suddenly been made into a highly controversial

Regensburg, Pope Benedict describes Christian belief in a God whose words and acts are bound by reason, truth and the law of non-contradiction. Benedict contrasts this with Islamic belief

further contrasts Christian belief with that of secular humanists
w

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 00:26:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ho see reason as being completely unbound of God.

In response, both Islamists and secularists have demanded the

genius. He has clearly laid out the differences between Christian culture and Islamic culture and the basis of the clash of civilizations we now experience as the War on Terror. His analysis also explains the underlying cause of the alliance between the western Left and the Islamofascist Right.

Islamist reaction focuses on one sentence in the speech. Reaching back to 1391, Benedict quotes Byzantine Emperor Manuel

you will find things only evil and inhuman, such as his command

unanimously condemned Pope Benedict XVI. Lebanon's top Shiite cleric demanded an apology. And in Turkey, the ruling party likened the pontiff to Hitler and Mussolini and accused him of reviving the mentality of the Crusades.

jihaad in a speech in Germany unleashed a torrent of rage that many fear could burst into violent protests like those that

safety and even fear of an attack on Vatican City.

reaction is not one of ang

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut
Posted by [Neil](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 00:27:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

n Islamic article of faith. What Muslims and

dialogue asserting his belief in Christianity. How dare he not

being Muslim? But they are not bound by reason to the point is lost on them.

this, the Times implicitly recognizes the Islamists are waging a propaganda jihad against the Pope and by extension against

jihad, but not your violent jihad.

side of the violent jihad. Nowhere is that more clear than in the Islamist reaction to the Pope.

With the Pope scheduled to visit Turkey in November the Islamists are rejecting any apology from Vatican spokespersons and demand to hear from the Pope himself. This would place raging mobs of semi-literate Islamist thugs in the position of forcing the leader of Christendom to bow before them.

In this demand for submission they are joined by the secularist mouthpiece. In its September 16 edition the Times editorializes:

the secularists are driven only by their will to power. While

the Islamists represent their demented version of God--unrestrained by reason, the secularists represent their demented version of reason--unrestrained by God. They are united by their self-worshipping world view.

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 01:26:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>

Lasers are already used to transmit high volumes of computer data over longer distances - for example, between offices, cities and across oceans - using fiber optic cables. But in computer chips, data moves at great speed over the wires inside, then slows to a snail's pace when it is sent chip-to-chip inside a computer.

With the barrier removed, computer designers will be able to rethink computers, packing chips more densely both in home systems and in giant data centers. Moreover, the laser-silicon chips - composed of a spider's web of laser light in addition to metal wires - portend a vastly more powerful and less expensive national computing infrastructure. For a few dollars apiece, such chips could transmit data at 100 times the speed of laser-based communications equipment, called optical transceivers, that typically cost several thousand dollars.

Currently fiber optic networks are used to transmit data to individual neighborhoods in cities where the data is then distributed by slower conventional wire-based communications gear. The laser chips will make it possible to send avalanches of data to and from individual homes at far less cost.

They could also give rise to a new class of supercomputers that could share data internally at speeds not possible today.

The breakthrough was achieved by bonding a layer of light-emitting indium phosphide onto the surface of a standard silicon chip etched with special channels that act as light-wave guides. The resulting sandwich has the potential to create on a computer chip hundreds and possibly thousands of tiny, bright lasers that can be switched on and off billions of times a second.

"This is a field that has just begun exploding in the past 18 months," said Eli Yablonovitch, a physicist at the University of California, Los Angeles, a leading researcher in the field. "There is going to be a lot more optical

communications in computing than people have thought."

Indeed, the results of the development work, which will be reported in a coming issue of Optics Express, an international journal, indicate that a high-stakes race is under way worldwide. While the researchers at Intel and Santa Barbara are betting on indium phosphide, Japanese scientists in a related effort are pursuing a different material, the chemical element erbium.

Although commercial chips with built-in lasers are years away, Luxtera, a company in Carlsbad, Calif., is already selling test chips that incorporate most optical components directly into sil

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut

Posted by [DC](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 01:36:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

icon and then inject laser light
from a separate source.

The Intel-Santa Barbara work proves that it is possible to make complete photonic devices using standard chip-making machinery, although not entirely out of silicon. "There has always been this final hurdle," said Mario Paniccia, director of the Photonics Technology Lab at Intel. "We have now come up with a solution that optimizes both sides."

In the past it has proved impossible to couple standard silicon with the exotic materials that emit light when electrically charged. But the university team supplied a low-temperature bonding technique that does not melt the silicon circuitry. The approach uses an electrically charged oxygen gas to create a layer of oxide just 25 atoms thick on each material. When heated and pressed together, the oxide layer fuses the two materials into a single chip that conducts information both through wires and on beams of reflected light.

"Photonics has been a low-volume cottage industry," said John E. Bowers, director of the Multidisciplinary Optical Switching Technology Center at the University of California, Santa Barbara. "Everything will change and laser communications will be everywhere, including fiber to the home."

Photonics industry experts briefed on the technique said that it would almost certainly pave the way for commercialization of the long-sought convergence of silicon chips and optical lasers. "Before, there was more hype than substance," said Alan Huang, a former Bell Laboratories researcher who is a pioneer in the field and is now chief technology officer of the Terabit Corporation, a photonics start-up company in Menlo Park, Calif. "Now I believe this will lead to future applications in optoelectronics

If I don't have one of these by next week, I will die.....

;o)What he said!

;o)

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:450eb7b2\$1@linux...

>

> I thought this was worth reading if any of you are interested.

>

> Have a great Monday!

>

>

> -----

>

> The Unholy Alliance Rolls Over the Pope

> By Andrew Walden

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut

Posted by [audioguy_editout](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 04:03:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t morality. They are asserting the only

> 'morality' they have-the will to power.

>

> "Will to Power" is a key element of Nietzsche 's philosophy-hence

> the root of the term, Islamofascist. Moreover the Western "Left"

> is today guided far more by Nietzsche existentialist thought than

> by Marxist thought-hence the alliance between the Western "Left"

> and the Islamofascist 'Right.'

>

> Reuters quotes an Indian Muslim leader doing precisely what

> Manuel II said they would: "Syed Ahmed Bukhari, the chief cleric

> of New Delhi's historic Jama Masjid, India's largest mosque,

> extolled Muslims to 'respond in a manner which forces the Pope to

> apologize.'" Note they intend to use "force" not reason.

>

> Reuters quotes an unnamed diplomat pointing out the Pope was,

> "calling a spade a spade".

>

> The secularist mouthpiece, New York Times,editorializes, "Pope

> Benedict XVI has insulted Muslims.." This is false. The Pope's

> description of the Islamic God as being unbound by reason is not

> an insult, it is an Islamic article of faith. What Muslims and

> secularists fear is the Pope's decision to choose to enter

> dialogue asserting his belief in Christianity. How dare he not

> "apologize" for being a Christian? That is the so-called
> "insult."
>
> One might "reasonably" ask when will Muslims "apologize" for
> being Muslim? But they are not bound by reason to the point is
> lost on them.
>
> Amazingly

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut
Posted by [Dubya Mark Wilson](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 04:07:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the Times continues: "Muslim leaders the world over
> have demanded apologies. For many Muslims, holy war - jihad - is
> a spiritual struggle, and not a call to violence." In saying
> this, the Times implicitly recognizes the Islamists are waging a
> propaganda jihad against the Pope and by extension against
> Christianity-and they explicitly endorse and join this jihad.
> The Times is saying to Islamists, 'we can join your 'spiritual'
> jihad, but not your violent jihad.
>
> The Times editors are living in a fool's paradise. The
> "spiritual" non-violent jihad of propaganda is merely the flip
> side of the violent jihad. Nowhere is that more clear than in
> the Islamist reaction to the Pope.
>
> With the Pope scheduled to visit Turkey in November the Islamists
> are rejecting any apology from Vatican spokespersons and demand
> to hear from the Pope himself. This would place raging mobs of
> semi-literate Islamist thugs in the position of forcing the
> leader of Christendom to bow before them.
>
> In this demand for submission they are joined by the secularist
> mouthpiece. In its September 16 edition the Times editorializes:
> "He needs to offer a deep and persuasive apology." The
> secularists too seek the Pope's submission. Like the Islamists,
> the secularists are driven only by their will to power. While
> the Islamists represent their demented version of
> God--unrestrained by reason, the secularists represent their
> demented version of reason--unrestrained by God. They are united
> by their self-worshipping world view.
>
> It should be noted that the carefully staged "anger' from the
> Islamic world does not condemn Benedict's characterization of
> Islam as a religion where God's "will is not bound up with any of
> our categories, even that of rationality...(The Islamic) God is

> not bound even by his own word.." This is not seen as an insult.
> Islam embraces this description. In offering this description of
> Islam, Benedict refers to the views of leading modern French
> Islamist R. Arnaldez as discussed in the writings of Professor
> Theodore Khoury of Munster.
>
> Likewise the secularists express no dismay at the pope's
> characterization of a secularist as: "(A) subject (who) then
> decides, on the basis of his experiences, what he considers
> tenable in matters of religion, and the subjective 'conscience'
> becomes the sole arbiter of what is ethical."
>
> Benedict asserts that without reason, or without God, there can
> be no modern system of morality. He explains, "In this
> way, ethics and religion lose their power to create a community
> and become (instead) a completely personal matter."
>
> Both Islamist and secularist seek to break God and reason apart.
> Each claims superiority over the Christian West. They believe
> absolute moral license makes them powerful. As globalization
> carries the Western tradition of reason throughout the world,
> both are in decline.
>
> Where the force of reason is defeated, Islamist and secularist
> will meet in combat, just as Hitler's fascists broke their pact
>

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut
Posted by [Dubya Mark Wilson](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 04:16:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

with the Soviet Union, invading in June, 1941 after the collapse
> of the allied forces on the western front.
>
> What the Islamists and the New York Times both fear is having to
> reply to the Pope's key point, borrowed from the Byzantine
> Emperor: "'Not to act reasonably, not to act with logos (word or
> reason) is contrary to the nature of God,'.. It is to this great
> logos, to this breadth of reason, that we invite our partners in
> the dialogue of cultures."
>
> Their fear of reason can only lead the world to disaster.
> The Catholic church doesn't speak for Christianity. Certainly not for
Lutherans, and for good reason.

A Pope criticizing other religions (even other Christian sects, even
other Catholics) is nothing new. Certainly much harsher things have been

said about Islam by some (not all) extreme fundamentalist Christians. And certainly much harsher things have been said by certain extremist Islamists about Christianity. The more extremist of both camps seem to be spoiling to relive the crusades. With some of the more power hungry ready to endanger civilization by attempting to incite religious wars for their own questionable ends.

It's a vast generalization to say the "the left" or "secularists" or even "Christians" are demanding an apology from the Pope. I think a whole lot of people think the Pope can say whatever he wants. It doesn't matter to most non-Catholics in the USA and in reality, Papal declarations are ignored by a fair number of Catholics here, too. Obviously some Moslems are upset in some places, but how deep does that go? When black churches were burning in the USA, it would have been an exaggeration to blame everyone in the USA for that.

The fear of reason extends to the Catholic church which only very recently apologized for the oppression of Galileo. The church hung on tenaciously to the dogma of an earth-centered view of the universe while denying the heliocentric theory of Copernicus, which describes the actual way the solar system works.

It also extends to a few Christian sects who, feeling similarly insecure, tenaciously cling to the notion that the earth is only about 6,000 years old, by virtue of the biblical interpretations and clever (at the time) calculations of an Irish Bishop several centuries ago, and in the face of solid current scientific evidence to the contrary.

The fear of reason extends to some (not all) Christian churches who, feeling similarly insecure, deny the solid and ever-mounting evidence of evolution, responding with dogma in psuedo-scientific clothing, and who seek to water down scientific education in the USA.

The fear of reason extends to power hungry industries who pay big bucks to spread denial about global warming for short term gain. Who push to control oil in the middle east with force. With our guns blazing, our soldiers dying and our debt rising astronomically. And who sometimes hide behind Christianity to do so.

The fear of reason extends to some neoconservatives who find ways to pretend that everyone is aligning against Christianity. And in spreading this fear attempt to incite Christians to vote to keep them in power. And in so pandering, help to continue the denial of reason and the focus on the use of force.

Not that I'll change your mind, DC, so go ahead and declare victory. ;^)

Have a great week!

Cheers,
-Jamie
<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

DC wrote:

- > I thought this was worth reading if any of you are interested.
- >
- > Have a great Monday!
- >
- >
- > -----
- >
- > The Unholy Alliance Rolls Over the Pope
- > By Andrew Walden
- >
- > In what has suddenly been made into a highly controversial

- > Regensberg, Pope Benedict describes Christian belief in a God
- > whose words and acts are bound by reason, truth and the law of
- > non-contradiction. Benedict contrasts this with Islamic belief

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut arrgghh!!
Posted by [DC](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 05:10:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

- see reason as being completely unbound of God.
- >
- > In response, both Islamists and secularists have demanded the

- > genius. He has clearly laid out the differences between
- > Christian culture and Islamic culture and the basis of the clash
- > of civilizations we now experience as the War on Terror. His
- > analysis also explains the underlying cause of the alliance
- > between the western Left and the Islamofascist Right.
- >
- > Islamist reaction focuses on one sentence in the speech.
- > Reaching back to 1391, Benedict quotes Byzantine Emperor Manuel

- > you will find things only evil and inhuman, such as his command
- >

- > unanimously condemned Pope Benedict XVI. Lebanon's top Shiite
- > cleric demanded an apology. And in Turkey, the ruling party

> likened the pontiff to Hitler and Mussolini and accused him of
> reviving the mentality of the Crusades.
>

> jihad in a speech in Germany unleashed a torrent of rage that
> many fear could burst into violent protests like those that

>

> safety and even fear of an attack on Vatican City.
>

> reaction is not one of anger but a calculated attempt to force
> the Pope to parrot the PC line on Islam. Since Islam need not be

> objective can be to assert the power of a God who is so
> transcendent that He is not bound by anything. If man is created

> anything. (This explains the predilection on the part of some

> their non-existent morality. They are asserting the only

>

> is today guided far more by Nietzsche existentialist thought than

>
> Reuters quotes an Indian Muslim leader doing precisely what

> of New Delhi's historic Jama Masjid, India's largest mosque,

>
> Reuters quotes an unnamed diplomat pointing out the Pope was,

>

> description of the Islamic God as being unbound by reason is not
> an insult, it is an Islamic article of faith. What Muslims and

> dialogue asserting his belief in Christianity. How dare he not

>

> being Muslim? But they are not bound by reason to the point is
> lost on them.
>

> this, the Times implicitly recognizes the Islamists are waging a
> propaganda jihad against the Pope and by extension against

> jihad, but not your violent jihad.
>

> side of the violent jihad. Nowhere is that more clear than in
> the Islamist reaction to the Pope.
>
> With the Pope scheduled to visit Turkey in November the Islamists
> are rejecting any apology from Vatican spokespersons and demand
> to hear from the Pope himself. This would place raging mobs of
> semi-literate Islamist thugs in the position of forcing the
> leader of Christendom to bow before them.
>
> In this demand for submission they a

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut arrgghh!!
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 05:49:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> the secularists are driven only by their will to power. While
> the Islamists represent their demented version of
> God--unrestrained by reason, the secularists represent their
> demented version of reason--unrestrained by God. They are united
> by their self-worshipping world view.
>

> our categories, even that of rationality...(The Islamic) God is

> Islam embraces this description. In offering this description of
> Islam, Benedict refers to the views of leading modern French
> Islamist R. Arnaldez as discussed in the writings of Professor
> Theodore Khoury of Munster.
>

> decides, on the basis of his experiences, what he considers

>

> Benedict asserts that without reason, or without God, there can

>

> Both Islamist and secularist seek to break God and reason apart.

> Each claims superiority over the Christian West. They believe

> absolute moral license makes them powerful. As globalization

> carries the Western tradition of reason throughout the world,

> both are in decline.

>

> Where the force of reason is defeated, Islamist and secularist

> with the Soviet Union, invading in June, 1941 after the collapse

> of the allied forces on the western front.

>

> What the Islamists and the New York Times both fear is having to

> logos, to this breadth of reason, that we invite our partners in

>

> Their fear of reason can only lead the world to disaster.

>Deej,

Three things:

Holy Water

Silver Bullets (and gun, duh)

Crucifix

Hey, better safe than sorry!

;>)

Tony

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:450c1c47\$1@linux...

> I'm going to be meeting the owner of the property onsite next week. I'm

> going to ask him about this. The headstones of these graves are very old

> and
> discolored by time and weather. I'll tell you something though, this guy
> is
> in his 80's, shoes horses for a living and looks about my age. I know one
> of
> his cousins who is in his 90's, still slings 70 lb bales of hay from the
> ground to the bed of a flatbed trailer with one arm and looks like he's in
> his 50's. He just married a 50 something Phillipino woman. The Martinez/De
> La Madrid folks have some mighty impressive genetics. I wonder if some of
> them might have long pointy canines.
>
> ;o)
>
>
> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:450c196d@linux...
>>
>> Do you suppose there was a fresh burial in an old grave, or someone
>> stealing skeletal parts? Might want to report that one to the county
>> sheriff.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>
>>
>> DJ wrote:
>> > Strange.....I know ya'll think I'm nuts anyway so I can be honest
>> > here.
>> > Back in 1966 I was driving home from a gig with our drummer about 1AM
>> > on
>> > Loop 820 in Ft. Worth Tx. We both saw something sorta like this except
>> > the
>> > tail wasn't nearly as long and it was moving at incredible speed and
>> > changing directions instantaneously. It was right over Carswell AFB and
>> > a
>> > few minutes after whatever this was started dancing across the sky, you
>> > could see a couple of jets scrambling, at which time the *thing* just
>> > sorta'
>> > went *poof* and was gone.
>> >
>> > I saw something else *incredibly* strange day before yesterday. I was
>> > out in
>> > a very isolated wilderness area bordering an Indian reservation up in
>> > the
>> > mountains. I had parked my car and walked about a mile off the main
>> > road. I
>> > know the guy who owns this property and was scouting a location for a
>> > road

>> > through there to reach an area where some gas wells are going to be
> drilled
>> > next year. It was a beautiful fall day with the sagebrush blooming,
> aspens,
>> > cottonwoods, elms and oaks are starting to turn and there had been a
>> > downpour the night before. Everything is green and a thousand other
> colors.
>> > Lots of birds, deer, badgers, prairie

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut arrgghh!!
Posted by [Neil](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 12:02:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>> cleric demanded an apology. And in Turkey, the ruling party
>> likened the pontiff to Hitler and Mussolini and accused him of
>> reviving the mentality of the Crusades.
>>
>> "Across the Islamic world Friday, Benedict's remarks on Islam and
>> jihad in a speech in Germany unleashed a torrent of rage that
>> many fear could burst into violent protests like those that
>> followed publication of caricatures of the Prophet Muhammad."
>>
>> Reuters quoted other sources expressing fears for the Pope's
>> safety and even fear of an attack on Vatican City.
>>
>> The Islamist reaction proves Manuel II's 600-year-old point. The
>> reaction is not one of anger but a calculated attempt to force
>> the Pope to parrot the PC line on Islam. Since Islam need not be
>> internally consistent and it is not bound by reason, it's only
>> objective can be to assert the power of a God who is so
>> transcendent that He is not bound by anything. If man is created
>> in God's image then by extension Islamic man is not bound by
>> anything. (This explains the predilection on the part of some
>> Muslims to lie.) Islamists are not responding to any 'offense' to
>> their non-existent morality. They are asserting the only
>> 'morality' they have-the will to power.
>>
>> "Will to Power" is a key element of Nietzsche's philosophy-hence
>> the root of the term, Islamofascist. Moreover the Western "Left"
>> is today guided far more by Nietzsche existentialist thought than
>> by Marxist thought-hence the alliance between the Western "Left"
>> and the Islamofascist 'Right.'
>>
>> Reuters quotes an Indian Muslim leader doing precisely what
>> Manuel II said they would: "Syed Ahmed Bukhari, the chief cleric
>> of New Delhi's historic Jama Masjid, India's largest mosque,

>> extolled Muslims to 'respond in a manner which forces the Pope to
>> apologize.'" Note they intend to use "force" not reason.
>>
>> Reuters quotes an unnamed diplomat pointing out the Pope was,
>> "calling a spade a spade".
>>
>> The secularist mouthpiece, New York Times,editorializes, "Pope
>> Benedict XVI has insulted Muslims.." This is false. The Pope's
>> description of the Islamic God as being unbound by reason is not
>> an insult, it is an Islamic article of faith. What Muslims and
>> secularists fear is the Pope's decision to choose to enter
>> dialogue asserting his belief in Christianity. How dare he not
>> "apologize" for being a Christian? That is the so-called
>> "insult."
>>
>> One might "reasonably" ask when will Muslims "apologize" for
>> being Muslim? But they are not bound by reason to the point is
>> lost on them.
>>
>> Amazingly the Times continues: "Muslim leaders the world over
>> have demanded apologies. For many Muslims, holy war - jihad - is
>> a spiritual struggle, and not a call to violence." In saying
>> this, the Times implicitly recognizes the Islamists are waging a
>> propaganda jihad against the Pope and by extension against
>> Christianity-and they explicitly endorse and join this jihad.
>> The Times is saying to Islamists, 'we can join your 'spiritual'
>> jihad, but not your violent jihad.
>>
>> The Times editors are living in a fool's paradise. The
>> "spiritual" non-violent jihad of propaganda is merely the flip
>> side of the violent jihad. Nowhere is that more clear than in
>> the Islamist reaction to the Pope.
>>
>> With the Pope scheduled to visit Turkey in November the Islamists
>> are rejecting any apology from Vatican spokespersons and demand
>> to hear from the Pope himself. This would place raging mobs of
>> semi-literate Islamist thugs in the position of forcing the
>> leader of Christendom to bow before them.
>>
>> In this demand for submission they are joined by the secularist
>> mouthpiece. In its September 16 edition the Times editorializes:
>> "He needs to offer a deep and persuasive apology." The
>> secularists too seek the Pope's submission. Like the Islamists,
>> the secularists are driven only by their will to power. While
>> the Islamists represent their demented version of
>> God--unrestrained by reason, the secularists represent their
>> demented version of reason--unrestrained by God. They are united
>> by their self-worshipping world view.

>>

>> It should be noted that the carefully staged 'anger' from the Islamic world does not condemn Benedict's characterization of Islam as a religion where God's "will is not bound up with any of our categories, even that of rationality...(The Islamic) God is not bound even by his own word.." This is not seen as an insult. Islam embraces this description. In offering this description of Islam, Benedict refers to the views of leading modern French Islamist R. Arnaldez as discussed in the writings of Professor Theodore Khoury of Munster.

>>

>> Likewise the secularists express no dismay at the pope's characterization of a secularist as: "(A) subject (who) then decides, on the basis of his experiences, what he considers tenable in matters of religion, and the subjective 'conscience' becomes the sole arbiter of what is ethical."

>>

>> Benedict asserts that without reason, or without God, there can be no modern system of morality. He explains, "In this way, ethics and religion lose their power to create a community and become (instead) a completely personal matter."

>>

>> Both Islamist and secularist seek to break God and reason apart. Each claims superiority over the Christian West. They believe absolute moral license makes them powerful. As globalization carries the Western tradition of reason throughout the world, both are in decline.

>>

>> Where the force of reason is defeated, Islamist and secularist will meet in combat, just as Hitler's fascists broke their pact with the Soviet Union, invading in June, 1941 after the collapse of the allied forces on the western front.

>>

>> What the Islamists and the New York Times both fear is having to reply to the Pope's key point, borrowed from the Byzantine Emperor: "'Not to act reasonably, not to act with logos (word or reason) is contrary to the nature of God,'.. It is to this great logos, to this breadth of reason, that we invite our partners in the dialogue of cultures."

>>

>> Their fear of reason can only lead the world to disaster.

>>

>

>Here, read this! The war with America started on 9/11.

<http://apnews.excite.com/article/20060918/D8K7B5UO1.html>

Now how do we stop them? Talk to them, apologize to them, convert to Islam?

Do you want to convert to Islam?

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut arrgghh!!
Posted by [Brian Porick](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 13:47:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

of americans, blame the
spineless
>>politicians that wanted to talk things out and find political solutions
>instead
>>of facing the hard truth, we are at WAR. We should take care of the problems
>>now, but we are just too weak as a nation. Really think about why we
are
>>weak as a nation, and where the blame should go.
>>
>>James
>>
>
>
>James,

change

>statement:
>

>
>Please help me define what the problem is. Is it Muslims? Just some Muslims?
>Which ones?
>
>What about North Korea? They are not Muslims, but they are first (or perhaps

>things. Pakistan is Muslim and they have several bombs. They have just signed
>a treaty with the Taliban, and they are hiding the real person that caused
>9/11, where is the outrage against Osama bin Laden and the people protecting
>him?
>
>How about the Non-Aligned Movement. Over 100 countries banding together

>with Cuba or Venezuela?
>
>Now that we have succeeded in alienating ourselves from the majority of
the
>world, should we see them all as threats? Almost all of our allies are abandoning

>us, or at the least distancing themselves from us. The only real leader
left
>is on our side is Tony Blair, and he has been summarily dismissed by his
>own party. With him out and anti-American sentiment running high in Great
>Britain, who do we have left?
>
>I never said it was all Bushes fault. He just took a relatively unheard
of
>small time international criminal/terrorist (Osama bin Laden) and turned
>him into an international movement to destroy the US.
>
>_____

>
>At a level of 1.2 billion, Muslims represent about 22% of the world's population.
>They are the second largest religion in the world. Only Christianity is
larger,
>with 33% of the world's inhabitants.
>Islam is growing about 2.9% per year. This is faster than the total world
>population which increases about 2.3% annually. It is thus attracting a
progressively
>larger percentage of the world's population.
>
>Peace to all
>Gene
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>It doesn't matter at all whether this was the Pope. The bottom line is that
it is rationality as opposed to irrationality.

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:450ec970@linux...

>
> The Catholic church doesn't speak for Christianity. Certainly not for
> Lutherans, and for good reason.
>
> A Pope criticizing other religions (even other Christian sects, even
> other Catholics) is nothing new. Certainly much harsher things have been
> said about Islam by some (not all) extreme fundamentalist Christians.
> And certainly much harsher things have been said by certain extremist
> Islamists about Christianity. The more extremist of both camps seem to
> be spoiling to relive the crusades. With some of the more power hungry
> ready to endanger civilization by attempting to incite religious wars
> for their own questionable ends.
>
> It's a vast generalization to say the "the left" or "secularists" or

> even "Christians" are demanding an apology from the Pope. I think a
> whole lot of people think the Pope can say whatever he wants. It doesn't
> matter to most non-Catholics in the USA and in reality, Papal
> declarations are ignored by a fair number of Catholics here, too.
> Obviously some Moslems are upset in some places, but how deep does that
> go? When black churches were burning in the USA, it would have been an
> exaggeration to blame everyone in the USA for that.
>
> The fear of reason extends to the Catholic church which only very
> recently apologized for the oppression of Galileo. The church hung on
> tenaciously to the dogma of an earth-centered view of the universe while
> denying the heliocentric theory of Copernicus, which describes the
> actual way the solar system works.
>
> It also extends to a few Christian sects who, feeling similarly
> insecure, tenaciously cling to the notion that the earth is only about
> 6,000 years old, by virtue of the biblical interpretations and clever
> (at the time) calculations of an Irish Bishop several centuries ago, and
> in the face of solid current scientific evidence to the contrary.
>
> The fear of reason extends to some (not all) Christian churches who,
> feeling similarly insecure, deny the solid and ever-mounting evidence of
> evolution, responding with dogma in pseudo-scientific clothing, and who
> seek to water down scientific education in the USA.
>
> The fear of reason extends to power hungry industries who pay big bucks
> to spread denial about global warming for short term gain. Who push to
> control oil in the middle east with force. With our guns blazing, our
> soldiers dying and our debt rising astronomically. And who sometimes
> hide behind Christianity to do so.
>
> The fear of reason extends to some neoconservatives who find ways to
> pretend that everyone is aligning

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut
Posted by [TCB](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 14:59:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

against Christianity. And in spreading
> this fear attempt to incite Christians to vote to keep them in power.
> And in so pandering, help to continue the denial of reason and the focus
> on the use of force.
>
> Not that I'll change your mind, DC, so go ahead and declare victory. ;^)
>
> Have a great week!
>

> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>
>
> DC wrote:
>> I thought this was worth reading if any of you are interested.
>>
>> Have a great Monday!
>>
>>
>> -----
>>
>> The Unholy Alliance Rolls Over the Pope
>> By Andrew Walden
>>
>> In what has suddenly been made into a highly controversial

>> Regensberg, Pope Benedict describes Christian belief in a God
>> whose words and acts are bound by reason, truth and the law of
>> non-contradiction. Benedict contrasts this with Islamic belief

>> further contrasts Christian belief with that of secular humanists
>> who see reason as being completely unbound of God.
>>
>> In response, both Islamists and secularists have demanded the

>> genius. He has clearly laid out the differences between
>> Christian culture and Islamic culture and the basis of the clash
>> of civilizations we now experience as the War on Terror. His
>> analysis also explains the underlying cause of the alliance
>> between the western Left and the Islamofascist Right.
>>
>> Islamist reaction focuses on one sentence in the speech.
>> Reaching back to 1391, Benedict quotes Byzantine Emperor Manuel

>> you will find things only evil and inhuman, such as

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut (temperment)
Posted by [tonehouse](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 18:09:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ere burning in the USA, it would have been an
>> exaggeration to blame everyone in the USA for that.
>>
>> The fear of reason extends to the Catholic church which only very
>> recently apologized for the oppression of Galileo. The church hung on

>> tenaciously to the dogma of an earth-centered view of the universe while
>> denying the heliocentric theory of Copernicus, which describes the
>> actual way the solar system works.
>>
>> It also extends to a few Christian sects who, feeling similarly
>> insecure, tenaciously cling to the notion that the earth is only about
>> 6,000 years old, by virtue of the biblical interpretations and clever
>> (at the time) calculations of an Irish Bishop several centuries ago, and
>> in the face of solid current scientific evidence to the contrary.
>>
>> The fear of reason extends to some (not all) Christian churches who,
>> feeling similarly insecure, deny the solid and ever-mounting evidence of
>> evolution, responding with dogma in psuedo-scientific clothing, and who
>> seek to water down scientific education in the USA.
>>
>> The fear of reason extends to power hungry industries who pay big bucks
>> to spread denial about global warming for short term gain. Who push to
>> control oil in the middle east with force. With our guns blazing, our
>> soldiers dying and our debt rising astronomically. And who sometimes
>> hide behind Christianity to do so.
>>
>> The fear of reason extends to some neoconservatives who find ways to
>> pretend that everyone is aligning against Christianity. And in spreading
>> this fear attempt to incite Christians to vote to keep them in power.
>> And in so pandering, help to continue the denial of reason and the focus
>> on the use of force.
>>
>> Not that I'll change your mind, DC, so go ahead and declare victory. ;^)
>>
>> Have a great week!
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>
>>
>> DC wrote:
>> > I thought this was worth reading if any of you are interested.
>> >
>> > Have a great Monday!
>> >
>> >
>> > -----
>> >
>> > The Unholy Alliance Rolls Over the Pope
>> > By Andrew Walden
>> >
>> > In what has suddenly been made into a highly controversial

>> > speech, the day after September 11, at Bavaria's University of
>> > Regensburg, Pope Benedict describes Christian belief in a God
>> > whose words and acts are bound by reason, truth and the law of
>> > non-contradiction. Benedict contrasts this with Islamic belief
>

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut
Posted by [Mark McDermott](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 18:44:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

son.
>> >
>> > Reuters quotes an unnamed diplomat pointing out the Pope was,
>> > "calling a spade a spade".
>> >
>> > The secularist mouthpiece, New York Times, editorializes, "Pope
>> > Benedict XVI has insulted Muslims.." This is false. The Pope's
>> > description of the Islamic God as being unbound by reason is not
>> > an insult, it is an Islamic article of faith. What Muslims and
>> > secularists fear is the Pope's decision to choose to enter
>> > dialogue asserting his belief in Christianity. How dare he not
>> > "apologize" for being a Christian? That is the so-called
>> > "insult."
>> >
>> > One might "reasonably" ask when will Muslims "apologize" for
>> > being Muslim? But they are not bound by reason to the point is
>> > lost on them.
>> >
>> > Amazingly the Times continues: "Muslim leaders the world over
>> > have demanded apologies. For many Muslims, holy war - jihad - is
>> > a spiritual struggle, and not a call to violence." In saying
>> > this, the Times implicitly recognizes the Islamists are waging a
>> > propaganda jihad against the Pope and by extension against
>> > Christianity

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut
Posted by [DC](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 18:52:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

-and they explicitly endorse and join this jihad.
>> > The Times is saying to Islamists, 'we can join your 'spiritual'
>> > jihad, but not your violent jihad.
>> >
>> > The Times editors are living in a fool's paradise. The
>> > "spiritual" non-violent jihad of propaganda is merely the flip

>> > side of the violent jihad. Nowhere is that more clear than in
>> > the Islamist reaction to the Pope.
>> >
>> > With the Pope scheduled to visit Turkey in November the Islamists
>> > are rejecting any apology from Vatican spokespersons and demand
>> > to hear from the Pope himself. This would place raging mobs of
>> > semi-literate Islamist thugs in the position of forcing the
>> > leader of Christendom to bow before them.
>> >
>> > In this demand for submission they are joined by the secularist
>> > mouthpiece. In its September 16 edition the Times editorializes:
>> > "He needs to offer a deep and persuasive apology." The
>> > secularists too seek the Pope's submission. Like the Islamists,
>> > the secularists are driven only by their will to power. While
>> > the Islamists represent their demented version of
>> > God--unrestrained by reason, the secularists represent their
>> > demented version of reason--unrestrained by God. They are united
>> > by their self-worshipping world view.
>> >
>> > It should be noted that the carefully staged "anger" from the
>> > Islamic world does not condemn Benedict's characterization of
>> > Islam as a religion where God's "will is not bound up with any of
>> > our categories, even that of rationality...(The Islamic) God is
>> > not bound even by his own word.." This is not seen as an insult.
>> > Islam embraces this description. In offering this description of
>> > Islam, Benedict refers to the views of leading modern French
>> > Islamist R. Arnaldez as discussed in the writings of Professor
>> > Theodore Khoury of Munster.
>> >
>> > Likewise the secularists express no dismay at the pope's
>> > characterization of a secularist as: "(A) subject (who) then
>> > decides, on the basis of his experiences, what he considers
>> > tenable in matters of religion, and the subjective 'conscience'
>> > becomes the sole arbiter of what is ethical."
>> >
>> > Benedict asserts that without reason, or without God, there can
>> > be no modern system of morality. He explains, "In this
>> > way, ethics and religion lose their power to create a community
>> > and become (instead) a completely personal matter."
>> >
>> > Both Islamist and secularist seek to break God and reason apart.
>> > Each claims superiority over the Christian West. They believe
>> > absolute moral license makes them powerful. As globalization
>> > carries the Western tradition of reason throughout the world,
>> > both are in decline.
>> >
>> > Where the force of reason is defeated, Islamist and secularist
>> > will meet in combat, just as Hitler's fascists broke their pact

>> > with the Soviet Union, invading in June, 1941 after the collapse
>> > of the allied forces on the western front.
>> >
>> > What the Islamists and the New York Times both fear is having to
>> > reply to the Pope's key point, borrowed from the Byzantine
>> > Emperor: ""Not to act reasonably, not to act with logos (word or
>> > reason) is contrary to the nature of God,'.. It is to this great
>> > logos, to this breadth of reason, that we invite our partners in
>> > the dialogue of cu

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut
Posted by [tonehouse](#) on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 20:02:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I believe this will lead to future applications in optoelectronics

>

>If I don't have one of these by next week, I will die.....

>

>;o)

>You're confusing 'faith' with 'a willingness to take risks.' Animals take risks, to get food, create more animals, etc., but I doubt they have faith. One needs no faith to start businesses, invest money, get married, etc.

Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>

>Faith is complete trust or confidence in something or someone. Religious

>faith is one form of faith but not the only definition of "faith."

>

>For example I have faith that if I drop a guitar pick it will find its

>way to the floor based on the gravitational attraction it has to the

>planet. I have faith that I'll breathe my next breath, that I'll see

>tomorrow morning. I have faith that other people are put together much

>like I am and that I can therefore relate to other folks.

>

>Without faith, people would not invest money. Without faith people would

>not vote. Without faith people would not start businesses, hire other

>people, raise children. Without faith people would not ride trains, fly

>in planes or drive cars. Without faith no one would investigate

>scientific questions about reality.

>

>While you can clearly have faith without religion, you cannot have

>religion without faith. Religion depends on faith that one or more

>deities (good and sometimes bad) exist, that their associated stories

>actually occurred, and often, that there is some sort of afterlife.

>

>However, religious people believe in a variety of different deities.

>Even those who believe in the same deity disagree, sometimes violently,

>about the nature of their deity. Religions sometimes even disagree about

>the nature of reality. If you want to base morality strictly on

>religion, and you look around, you'll notice that religion can be a

>somewhat chaotic basis unless...

>

>Ah, you might say, I want to base morality on MY religion. Well, you

>just dissed the majority of religions. No problem because THEY ARE

>WRONG. And people who believe in those religions may just be saying the

>same thing about you and your religion. For those religions who are not

>tolerant of other ideas, you may just have started a war.

>

>So perhaps it's BETTER, in our time, to have a system of justice that is

>NOT based on a religion. But one which guarantees everyone the right to

>practice the religion of their choice, guarantees other freedoms such as

>we in the USA do in our Bill of Rights, encourages honesty and

>integrity, while enforcing some common sense limits such as no human

>sacrifices, a minimum age for marriage, no incest, no slavery, no

>murder, no rape, no stealing, those sorts of things.

>

>What is viewed as morality beyond a fair justice system and common sense

>rules of behavior can be left to each freely chosen religion to sort

>out, like whether to restrict diet in some way, whether to wear a

>certain type of clothing, how to pray, etc. But none of these additional

>practices should be imposed on society as a whole.

>

>The Golden Rule may also be of use as a basic moral foundation.

>

>So yes, you can have a moral system, one that BTW protects the freedom

>to practice religious beliefs (or not), without basing it on any one

>religion. And it can protect all religions better than a system based on

>any one religion (AKA a theocracy).

>

>There are other issues surrounding religions, such as the many examples

>of selfless dedication to helping others on the one hand, and hijacked

>religions used to legitimize earthly power structures in other cases.
>Dedric, I look forward to talking with you about the positives and
>negatives of various religions, and where a moral culture ought to go
>from here, whenever we next get together.
>
>Cheers,
> -Jamie
> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>
>
>Dedric Terry wrote:
>> Hey Jimmy,
>>
>> No doubt one can be a good person without believing in God - there are
tons
>> of great people with no faith, or very little. That in and of itself
tells
>> me there must be a God so even non-believers would have a strong sense
of
>> right and wrong on a societal and even global level.
>>
>> To walk through some thoughts: with no God, or higher reference point,
what
>> would be considered moral, or at least good? What would one use to decide
>> what is right and wrong?
>>
>> Laws? Most would agree that we can't legislate morality now, so with
no
>> basis for what morality is, why would we even bother with laws since
>> everyone would make their own choices anyway?
>>
>> Intellect? That would simply depend on what one cho

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 01:08:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

alk to them, apologize to them, convert to Islam?
>> Do you want to convert to Islam?
>>
>
>
>

>
>The key word is group.
>

>
>A group of neo-Nazis won seats in this weeks elections in Germany.
>
> http://www.nytimes.com/2006/09/17/world/europe/17cnd-germany.html?_r=1&hp&ex=1158552000&en=ae34ff540e2ea150&ei=5094&partner=homepage&oref=slogin
>
>This group does not speak for all Germans.
>
>A recent NYT article talks about the infiltration of the US Army with skinheads.

color
>of your skin.
>
> <http://select.nytimes.com/gst/abstract.html?res=F50713FE3B540C748CDDAE0894DE404482&showabstract=1>
>
>This group does not speak for all members of the Armed Services or all Americans.
>
>The world is filled with small groups of extremists, even here in the USA.
>The trick when dealing with them is to stop them without turning them into
>figureheads or martyrs. Our blundering has had the opposite effect.
>
>On 9/11 a small group of extremists who were basically seen as outcasts
by
>the majority of the Muslim world attacked our country. Our actions since
>have doubled and doubled again the scope and severity of this problem.
>
>I am afraid you are right, if we continue on our current course we will
turn
>this into a world war.
>
>Gene
>

I don't think we are going to have a choice. WHEN they take out a couple of American cities, will you still believe in talk? Will you care what the rest of the world thinks of us?

We should have crossed the border in to Pakistan and got Bin laden, now what? Their not going to stop. The people or countries that harbor al-Qaida are the enemies of the US. The head of the CIA said that they have a "vary excellent idea of where Osama Bin laden is", but for political reasons they can't go get him. So who do you think is protecting him?

There is a lot more I could say, but the bottom line is we don't have the guts to do what is necessary. There are too many people in our country that are weak minded and weak willed. They know they can destroy us because our

people are weak and divided.

Think about who has been against us the whole way. There is a much bigger picture.

JamesThey didn't really mean it DJ. If we just ask them nicely not to kill us, they'll leave us alone. ;>)

Tony

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message news:450ef92a@linux...

>I haven't seen us react with irrationality.....yet. Al Quaeda just
> came out with an ultimatum stating that it's full on war now and the west
> will be destroyed. The only way to save ourselves is to convert to Islam.
> So
> where to you draw the line between irrationality and self defense?

>

>

> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:450ee7ef@linux...

>>

>> Let's keep from reacting to irrationality with irrationality of our own.

>> There's a lot of fear mongering. Chicken Little is back.

>>

>> Cheers,

>> -Jamie

>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

>>

>>

>> DJ wrote:

>> > It doesn't matter at all whether this was the Pope. The bottom line is
> that

>> > it is rationality as opposed to irrationality.

>> >

>> > "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message

>> > news:450ec970@linux...

>> >> The Catholic church doesn't speak for Christianity. Certainly not for

>> >> Lutherans, and for good reason.

>> >>

>> >> A Pope criticizing other religions (even other Christian sects, even

>> >> other Catholics) is nothing new. Certainly much harsher things have

> been

>> >> said about Islam by some (not all) extreme fundamentalist Christians.

>> >> And certainly much harsher things have been said by certain extremist

>> >> Islamists about Christianity. The more extremist of both camps seem to

>> >> be spoiling to relive the crusades. With some of the more power hungry

>> >> ready to endanger civilization by attempting to incite religious wars

>> >> for their own questionable ends.
>> >>
>>

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut
Posted by [excelav](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 03:37:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mber

>of emotions and are able to apply them to how we treat others is something

>else to ponder.

>

>Tony

The problem, of course, is that without a belief in moral absolutes, reflecting realities designed into us by our creator, anyone can say "who cares" to any social construct, contract, set of mutual obligations, or anthropological observation that morals do indeed exist.

In fact, one is invited to do so by an ideology that places humankind, not God at the center of existence. When the self is the center of the universe, one easily decides that someone else's morals and ideas of a social contract are an illusion. Worse, ideas about morals may even put them at a competitive disadvantage compared to the person who has none. (welcome to the music biz!)

This result is likely given that set of assumptions, but it becomes inevitable once you go down the road of the neo-darwinists and socio-biologists who assert that humanity is simply a vehicle for furthering our "selfish genes" rather than a created being made to live in community with God and each other.

The theist (and certainly the Christian) must always live in submission to a greater power, never being the center of existence, and deriving morality from a higher power than the self. Humility is our greatest calling, one I fail at regularly, but still, it is there.

Without God, all is permitted.

DC

"It appears that the great sacred-cow of our culture, the self, is not automatically interesting".

-Robert Hughes
Of course they came out with a blustery ultimatum. That's not news, it's an old pattern. It works for them to sit back and suggest that others do something via terror-grams such as this. If it makes you afraid as well, my guess is they would see that as a bonus.

By goading the USA into overreacting it helps them grow, raise money and convince others to actually see us as evil and act accordingly. It works, we keep falling for it. OTOH, for those here with a declared interest in emptying the US treasury, it's likewise beneficial to have the specter of an enemy out there. Fear sells.

We have yet to actually declare war on anyone in this whole mess. How do you declare war on a tactic?

Forced conversion and head taxes would go over like a lead balloon in the USA. Not gonna happen. We're far more sectarian than, say, Iraq.

Responsible leadership is needed in the world to calm extremist tendencies on all sides and help rational people who are trying to get by in worsening times. At the same time we need to be, and are attempting to be, vigilant against any self righteous group with fantasies of violence in the USA.

Any rush to some sort of "holy war" is irrational. There is nothing holy about war.

Cheers,
-Jamie
<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

DJ wrote:

> I haven't seen us react with irrationality.....yet. Al Quaeda just
> came out with an ultimatum stating that it's full on war now and the west
> will be destroyed. The only way to save ourselves is to convert to Islam. So
> where to you draw the line between irrationality and self defense?
>
>
> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:450ee7ef@linux...
>> Let's keep from reacting to irrationality with irrationality of our own.
>> There's a lot of fear mongering. Chicken Little is back.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie

>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>
>>
>> DJ wrote:
>>> It doesn't matter at all whether this was the Pope. The bottom line is
> that
>>> it is rationality as opposed to irrationality.
>>>
>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:450ec970@linux...
>>>> The Catholic church doesn't speak for Christianity. Certainly not for
>>>> Lutherans, and for good reason.
>>>>
>>>> A Pope criticizing other religions (even other Christian sects, even
>>>> other Catholics) is nothing new. Certainly much harsher things have
> been
>>>> said about Islam by some (not all) extreme fundamentalist Christians.
>>>> And certainly much harsher things have been said by certain extremist
>>>> Islamists about Christianity. The more extremist of both camps seem to
>>>> be spoiling to relive the crusades. With some of the more power hungry
>>>> ready to endanger civilization by attempting to incite religious wars
>>>> for their own questionable ends.
>>>>
>>>> It's a vast generalization to say the "the left" or "secularists" or
>>>> even "Christians" are demanding an apology from the Pope. I think a
>>>> whole lot of people think the Pope can say whatever he wants. It
> doesn't
>>>> matter to most non-Catholics in the USA and in reality, Papal
>>>> declarations are ignored by a fair number of Catholics here, too.
>>>> Obviously some Moslems are upset in some places, but how deep does that
>>>> go? When black churches were burning in the USA, it would have been an
>>>> exaggeration to blame everyone in the USA for that.
>>>>

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut
Posted by [DC](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 06:42:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

b12@linux...

> >
> > Of course they came out with a blustery ultimatum. That's not news, it's
> > an old pattern. It works for them to sit back and suggest that others do
> > something via terror-grams such as this. If it makes you afraid as well,
> > my guess is they would see that as a bonus.
> >
> > By goading the USA into overreacting it helps them grow, raise money and
> > convince others to actually see us as evil and act accordingly. It
> > works, we keep falling for it. OTOH, for those here with a declared

> > interest in emptying the US treasury, it's likewise beneficial to have
> > the specter of an enemy out there. Fear sells.
> >
> > We have yet to actually declare war on anyone in this whole mess. How do
> > you declare war on a tactic?
> >
> > Forced conversion and head taxes would go over like a lead balloon in
> > the USA. Not gonna happen. We're far more sectarian than, say, Iraq.
> >
> > Responsible leadership is needed in the world to calm extremist
> > tendencies on all sides and help rational people who are trying to get
> > by in worsening times. At the same time we need to be, and are
> > attempting to be, vigilant against any self righteous group with
> > fantasies of violence in the USA.
> >
> > Any rush to some sort of "holy war" is irrational. There is nothing holy
> > about war.
> >
> > Cheers,
> > -Jamie
> > <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
> >
> >
> >
> > DJ wrote:
> > > I haven't seen us react with irrationality.....yet. Al Quaeda
> > just
> > > came out with an ultimatum stating that it's full on war now and the
> > west
> > > will be destroyed. The only way to save ourselves is to convert to
> > Islam. So
> > > where to you draw the line between irrationality and self defense?
> > >
> > >
> > > "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
news:450ee7ef@linux...
> > >> Let's keep from reacting to irrationality with irrationality of our
> > own.
> > >> There's a lot of fear mongering. Chicken Little is back.
> > >>
> > >> Cheers,
> > >> -Jamie
> > >> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> DJ wrote:
> > >>> It doesn't matter at all whether this was the Pope. The bottom line
is

> > > that
> > >> it is rationality as opposed to irrationality.
> > >>
> > >> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
> news:450ec970@linux...
> > >>> The Catholic church doesn't speak for Christianity. Certainly not
for
> > >>> Lutherans, and for good reason.
> > >>>
> > >>> A Pope criticizing other religions (even other Christian sects,
even
> > >>> other Catholics) is nothing new. Certainly much harsher things have
> > > been
> > >>> said about Islam by some (not all) extreme fundamentalist
Christians.
> > >>> And certainly much harsher things have been said by certain
extremist
> > >>> Islamists about Christianity. The more extremist of both camps seem
> to
> > >>> be spoiling to relive the crusades. With some of the more power
> hungry
> > >>> ready to endanger civilization by attempting to incite religious
wars
> > >>> for their own questionable ends.
> > >>>
> > >>> It's a vast generalization to say the "the left" or "secularists"
or
> > >>> even "Christians" are demanding an apology from the Pope. I think a
> > >>> whole lot of people think the Pope can say whatever he wants. It
> > > doesn't
> > >>> matter to most non-Catholics in the USA and in reality, Papal
> > >>> declarations are ignored by a fair number of Catholics here, too.
> > >>> Obviously some Moslems are upset in some places, but how deep does
> that
> > >>> go? When black churches were burning in the USA, it would have been
> an
> > >>> exaggeration to blame everyone in the USA for that.
> > >>>
> > >>> The fear of reason extends to the Catholic church which only very
> > >>> recently apologized for the oppression of Galileo. The church hung
on
> > >>> tenaciously to the dogma of an earth-centered view of the universe
> > > while
> > >>> denying the heliocentric theory of Copernicus, which describes the
> > >>> actual way the solar system works.
> > >>>
> > >>> It also extends to a few Christian sects who, feeling similarly
> > >>> insecure, tenaciously cling to the notion that the earth is only

> about
> > >>> 6,000 years old, by virtue of the biblical interpretations and
clever
> > >>> (at the time) calculations of an Irish Bishop several centuries
ago,
> > > and
> > >>> in the face of solid current scientific evidence to the contrary.
>

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut
Posted by [D-unit](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 15:48:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

..." target="_blank">4506fa00@linux...
>> >> >> Do you know where I can get the AK5393 chip online?
>> >> >> Anyone?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Thanks,
>> >> >>
>> &g

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut
Posted by [tonehouse](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 17:49:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t-Gods-Remarkable-Story-Risk/dp/
0471295639/sr=8-1/qid=1158631839/ref=pd_bbs_1/002-2500887-29 81628?ie=UTF8&s=books
>>>
>>> TCB
>>>
>>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>> There you go, clearly faith is not limited to religion. Although just
> as
>>>
>>>> clearly it's important for religion.
>>>>
>>>> As to risk, many people have faith that their risks will pan out. Some
>
>>>> people do things because they have such faith. I know people like that.
>>>>
>>>> I am people like that. That doesn't preclude anyone from doing
>>>> something
>>>>
>>>> for some other reason, of course.
>>>>

>>>> If you want to say you don't have faith in anything, using any of the
>
>>>> definitions below, then that's your prerogative and I don't have a
>>>> problem with that.
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> TCB wrote:
>>>>> Here's dictionary.com
>>>>>
>>>>> [faith](#); /fe#618;#952;/ Pronunciation Key - Show Spelled
>>>>> Pronunciation[feyth]
>>>>> Pronunciation Key - Show IPA Pronunciation
>>>>> -noun
>>>>>>

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut
Posted by [DC](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 18:00:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

; 1. confidence or trust in a person or thing: faith in another's
>>>>> ability.
>>>>> 2. belief that is not based on proof: He had faith that the hypothesis
>>> would
>>>>> be substantiated by fact.
>>>>> 3. belief in God or in the doctrines or teachings of religion: the
>>>>> firm
>>> faith
>>>>> of the Pilgrims.
>>>>> 4. belief in anything, as a code of ethics, standards of merit, etc.:
>>> to
>>>>> be of the same faith with someone concerning honesty.
>>>>> 5. a system of religious belief: the Christian faith; the Jewish
>>>>> faith.
>>>>>>

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut
Posted by [tonehouse](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 22:25:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

and act accordingly. It
> >>>> works, we keep falling for it. OTOH, for those here with a declared

> >>>> interest in emptying the US treasury, it's likewise beneficial to have
> >>>> the specter of an enemy out there. Fear sells.
> >>>>
> >>>> We have yet to actually declare war on anyone in this whole mess. How
> > do
> >>>> you declare war on a tactic?
> >>>>
> >>>> Forced conversion and head taxes would go over like a lead balloon in
> >>>> the USA. Not gonna happen. We're far more sectarian than, say, Iraq.
> >>>>
> >>>> Responsible leadership is needed in the world to calm extremist
> >>>> tendencies on all sides and help rational people who are trying to get
> >>>> by in worsening times. At the same time we need to be, and are
> >>>> attempting to be, vigilant against any self righteous group with
> >>>> fantasies of violence in the USA.
> >>>>
> >>>> Any rush to some sort of "holy war" is irrational. There is nothing
> > holy
> >>>> about war.
> >>>>
> >>>> Cheers,
> >>>> -Jamie
> >>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> DJ wrote:
> >>>>> I haven't seen us react with irrationality.....yet. Al
Quaeda
> >>> just
> >>>>> came out with an ultimatum stating that it's full on war now and the
> >>> west
> >>>>> will be destroyed. The only way to save ourselves is to convert to
> >

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut
Posted by [Neil](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 01:29:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

forces the Pope
to

> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> Reuters quotes an unnamed diplomat pointing out the Pope was,

> >>>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>> description of the Islamic God as being unbound by reason is not
> >>>>>>>> an insult, it is an Islamic article of faith. What Muslims and

> >>>>>>>> dialogue asserting his belief in Christianity. How dare he not

> >>>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>> being Muslim? But they are not bound by reason to the point is
> >>>>>>>> lost on them.
> >>>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>> this, the Times implicitly recognizes the Islamists are waging a
> >>>>>>>> propaganda jihad against the Pope and by extension against

> >>>>>>>> jihad, but not your violent jihad.
> >>>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>> side of the violent jihad. Nowhere is that more clear than in
> >>>>>>>> the Islamist reaction to the Pope.
> >>>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>> With the Pope scheduled to visit Turkey in November the
Islamists
> >>>>>>>> are rejecting any apology from Vatican spokespersons and demand
> >>>>>>>> to hear from the Pope himself. This would place raging mobs of
> >>>>>>>> semi-literate Islamist thugs in the position of forcing the
> >>>>>>>> leader of Christendom to bow before them.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> In this demand for submission they are joined by the secularist
> >>>>>>>> mouthpiece. In its September 16 edition the Times
editorializes:

> >>>>>>>> the secularists are driven only by their will to power. While
> >>>>>>>> the Islamists represent their demented version of
> >>>>>>>> God--unrestrained by reason, the secularists represent their
> >>>>>>>> demented version of reason--unrestrained by God. They are
united
> >>>>>>>> by their self-worshipping world view.
> >>>>>>>>

of

> >>>>>>> our categories, even that of rationality...(The Islamic) God is

insult.

> >>>>>>> Islam embraces this description. In offering this description

of

> >>>>>>> Islam, Benedict refers to the views of leading modern French

> >>>>>>> Islamist R. Arnaldez as discussed in the writings of Professor

> >>>>>>> Theodore Khoury of Munster.

> >>>>>>>

> >>>>>>> decides, on the basis of his experiences, what he considers

> >>>>>>>

> >>>>>>> Benedict asserts that without reason, or without God, there can

> >>>>>>>

> >>>>>>> Both Islamist and secularist seek to break God and reason apart.

> >>>>>>> Each claims superiority over the Christian West. They believe

> >>>>>>> absolute moral license makes them powerful. As globalization

> >>>>>>> carries the Western tradition of reason throughout the world,

> >>>>>>> both are in decline.

> >>>>>>>

> >>>>>>> Where the force of reason is defeated, Islamist and secularist

> >>>>>>> with the Soviet Union, invading in June, 1941 after the collapse

> >>>>>>> of the allied forces on the western front.

> >

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut

Posted by [DC](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 02:45:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;>>>>> certain type of clothing, how to pray, etc. But none of these

>>>>>>> additional

>>>>>>> practices should be imposed on society as a whole.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> The Golden Rule may also be of use as a basic moral foundation.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> So yes, you can have a moral system, one that BTW protects the

>>>>>>> freedom

>>>>>>> to practice religious beliefs (or not), without basing it on any
>> one
>>>>>>> religion. And it can protect all religions better than a system
>>>>>>> based
>>>>>> on
>>>>>>> any one religion (AKA a theocracy).
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> There are other issues surrounding religions, such as the many
>>>>>>> examples
>>>>>>> of selfless dedication to helping others on the one hand, and
>>>>>>> hijacked
>>>>>>> religions used to legitimize earthly power structures in other
>>>>>>> cases.
>>>>>>> Dedric, I look forward to talking with you about the positives and
>>>>
>>>>>>> negatives of various religions, and where a moral culture ought to
>>>> go
>>>>>>> >from here, whenever we

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut
Posted by [DC](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 02:59:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hypothesis that has
>>>> a
>>>>>> proven
>>>>>>>> outcome in a given situation. Change the situation, and the
>>>>>>>> reasoning
>>>>>>> or
>>>>>>>> logic behind the "right" or "wrong" could easily change.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Experience? What if one's experience is filled with hatred,
>>>>>>>> abuse,
>>>>>> anger
>>>>>>>> and violence, or worse? Then someone would have to decide whose
>> experience
>>>>>>>> we would use as a reference point. There would be no guarantee
>> that
>>>>>> person
>>>>>>>> or persons had experiences that would be best for the good of the
>>>> whole.
>>>>>>>> Survival instinct? If it were a reference point, then stealing,
>> lying,
>>>>>>>> cheating and even killing would be perfectly justified as those
>> can
>>>>>> be
>>>>>>>> means

>>>>>>>> of survival.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Why would right and wrong even exist? I would think that the
>>>>>>>> differences
>>>>>>>> between societies' definitions of right and wrong, assuming
>>>>>>>> societies
>>>>>>>> even
>>>>>>>> existed, would be so drastic we would never have ventured into any
>>>> form
>>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>>> inter-cultural/inter-geographic interaction, much less
>>>>>>>>&

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut
Posted by [Neil](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 03:03:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

y to force someone to remove their belief from public
>>>> in
>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>>> country that promotes the freedom to believe as one wishes, is
>> to
>>>>>> outlaw
>>>>>>>>>> it.
>>>>>>>>>> Yet another paradox.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Through our short sighted political glasses we want to see the
>> world
>>>>>>>>>> as a
>>>>>>>>>>>> black and white, free-will, partisan vote where one's party
>>>>>>>>>>>> always
>>>>>> wins
>>>>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>>>>>>> the decisions are always in our favor, but fail to see any
>>>>>>>>>>>>&

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 03:12:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt; validity
>>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>>>>>>> believing a God that gave us the very moral compass to maintain
>>>> the
>>>>>>>>>>>> balance

>>>>>>>>>> that kind of choice affords us. In essence we put our trust in
>>>> the
>>>>>>>> very
>>>>>>>>>> thing we prove day in and day out to be one of the most fallible
>>>>>>>>>> characteristics of humanity - political and relativistic
>>>>>>>>>> ideology.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> I guess I ignored my own first comment....sorry about that.

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut
Posted by [Neil](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 03:22:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> I should get back to mourning NI Battery 2's destruction of 10
>> hours
>>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>>>>> work
>>>>>>>>>> :-(....
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>>>> Dedric
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> On 9/16/06 9:09 PM, in article 450cbc70\$1@linux, "gene Lennon"
>>>>>>>>>> <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>> I don't want to start another religious or political thread
>> -...
>>>>>>>>>>>> These are frightening times. While the true neocons in the
>>>>>>>>>>>> current
>>>>>>>>>>>> administration
>>>>>>>>>>>> have had a variety of political, financial and power-based
>>>>>>>>>>>> re

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut
Posted by [DC](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 05:00:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>Mind you we seem to be staying fairly civil about things generally which
>is great to see. No intolerable meaningless abuse that I've noticed. Perhaps
>we could move some of the politics on to the General group though if we want
>to keep it going. I know some on the group aren't fans of politics in the

>main group, which I understand and appreciate.
>
>Finish your discussions if you feel the need, but any new threads might be
>better placed in General if that's cool... I don't want to dampen the discussion.
>Just don't want the audio discussion on the main group getting lost in the
>politics...
>
>Thought I'd better say something as it's showing no signs of easing off...
> ...don't mean to spoil the party. ;o)
>
>Cheers,
>Kim.nah...it's just what happens when you talk to yourself...a lot...

On 19 Sep 2006 23:38:31 +1000, "Kim" <hiddenounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
&g

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut (Physics)
Posted by [tonehouse](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 16:09:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[illegible]

>>>>>>> for
>>>>>>>>> perusing
>>>>>>>>> the war against Iraq, the president has had an even scarier
>>>>>>>>> motivation.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Religion.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> If you missed it, this week Bush has announced the "Third
>>>>>>>>> Great
>>>>>>>>> Awakening"
>>>>>>>>> of the international religious struggle. This is a good thing
>>> as
>>>>>> he
>>>>>>> sees
>>>>>>>>> it and it has been partially brought on by the new fight
>>>>>>>>> against
>>>>>>>>> terrorists
>>>>>>>>> (Translation - Due to his good work in God's name). A war
>>>>>>>>> that
>>>>> he
>>>>>>>>> depicts
>>>>>>>>> as "a confrontation between good and evil."
>>>>>>>>> In 2001 he used the word "crusade" and got into quite a bit
>>>>>>>>> of
>>>>> trouble
>>>>>>>>> (as
>>>>>>>>> has the Pope), but he seems to have the gloves off now.
>>>>>>>>>>

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut (Physics)
Posted by [DC](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 16:40:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

work of enlightened
>>>>>>>>> genius. He has clearly laid out the differences between
>>>>>>>>> Christian culture and Islamic culture and the basis of the clash
>>>>>>>>> of civilizations we now experience as the War on Terror. His
>>>>>>>>> analysis also explains the underlying cause of the alliance
>>>>>>>>> between the western Left and the Islamofascist Right.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Islamist reaction focuses on one sentence in the speech.
>>>>>>>>> Reaching back to 1391, Benedict quotes Byzantine Emperor Manuel

>>>>>>>>> you will find things only evil and inhuman, such as his command

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> unanimously condemned Pope Benedict XVI. Lebanon's top Shiite
>>>>>>>>> cleric demanded an apology. And in Turkey, the ruling party
>>>>>>>>> likened the pontiff to Hitler and Mussolini and accused him of
>>>>>>>>> reviving the mentality of the Crusades.
>>>>>>>>>

> and
>>>>>>>>> jihad in a speech in Germany unleashed a torrent of rage that
>>>>>>>>> many fear could burst into violent protests like those that

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> safety and even fear of an attack on Vatican City.
>>>>>>>>>

> The
>>>>>>>>> reaction is not one of anger but a calculated attempt to force
>>>>>>>>> the Pope to parrot the PC line on Islam. Since Islam need not be

>>>>>>>>> objective can be to assert the power of a God who is so
>>>>>>>>> transcendent that He is not bound by anything. If man is created

>>>>>>>>> anything. (This explains the predilection on the part of some

> to
>>>>>>>>> their non-existent morality. They are asserting the only

>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut (Physics)
Posted by [tonehouse](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 17:54:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>>>>>>>> description of the Islamic God as being unbound by reason is not
>>>>>>>>> an insult, it is an Islamic article of faith. What Muslims and

>>>>>>>>> dialogue asserting his belief in Christianity. How dare he not

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> being Muslim? But they are not bound by reason to the point is
>>>>>>>>> lost on them.
>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> this, the Times implicitly recognizes the Islamists are waging a
>>>>>>>>> propaganda jihad against the Pope and by extension against

>>>>>>>>> jihad, but not your violent jihad.
>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> side of the violent jihad. Nowhere is that more clear than in
>>>>>>>>> the Islamist reaction to the Pope.

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> With the Pope scheduled to visit Turkey in November the
> Islamists

>>>>>>>>> are rejecting any apology from Vatican spokespersons and demand
>>>>>>>>> to hear from the Pope himself. This would place raging mobs of
>>>>>>>>> semi-literate Islamist thugs in the position of forcing the
>>>>>>>>> leader of Christendom to bow before them.

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> In this demand for submission they are joined by the secularist
>>>>>>>>> mouthpiece. In its September 16 edition the Times
> editorializes:

>>>>>>>>> the secularists are driven only by their will to power. While
>>>>>>>>> the Islamists represent their demented version of
>>>>>>>>> God--unrestrained by reason, the secularists represent their
>>>>>>>>> demented version of reason--unrestrained by God. They are
> united
>>>>>>>>> by their self-worshipping world view.
>>>>>>>>>

> of

>>>>>>>>> our categories, even that of rationality...(The Islamic) God is

> insult.

>>>>>>>>> Islam embraces this description. In offering this description

> of

>>>>>>>>> Islam, Benedict refers to the views of leading modern French
>>>>>>>>> Islamist R. Arnaldez as discussed in the writings of Professor
>>>>>>>>> Theodore Khoury of Munster.

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> decides, on the basis of his experiences, what he considers

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> Benedict asserts that without reason, or without God, there can

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> Both Islamist and secularist seek to break God and reason apart.

>>>>>>>>> Each claims superiority over the Christian West. They believe

>>>>>>>>> absolute moral license makes them powerful. As globalization

>>>>>>>>> carries the Western tradition of reason througho

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut (Physics)

Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 18:28:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;>>> 2. belief that is not based on proof: He had faith that the hypothesis

> >>>> would

> >>>>> be substantiated by fact.

> >>>>> 3. belief in God or in the doctrines or teachings of religion: the

> >>>>> firm

> >>>> faith

> >>>>> of the Pilgrims.

> >>>>> 4. belief in anything, as a code of ethics, standards of merit, etc.:

> >>>> to

> >>>>> be of the same faith with someone concerning honesty.

> >>>>> 5. a system of religious belief: the Christian faith; the Jewish

> >>>>> faith.

> >>>>> 6. the obligation of loyalty or fidelity to a person, promise,

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut (Physics)

Posted by [tonehouse](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 18:47:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> >>>>>>>> somewhat chaotic basis unless...

> >>>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>> Ah, you might say, I want to base morality on MY religion. Well,

> >> you

> >>>>>>>> just dissed the majority of religions. No problem because THEY

ARE

> >>>>

> >>>>>>>> WRONG. And people who believe in those religions may just be saying

> >>>> the

> >>>>>>>> same thing about you and your religion. For those religions who are

> >>>> not

> >>>>>>>> tolerant of other ideas, you may just have started a war.

> >>>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>> So perhaps it's BETTER, in our time, to have a system of justice > >> that

> >>>>> is

> >>>>>>>> NOT based on a religion. But one which guarantees everyone the

> >>>>>>>> right

> >>>>>> to

> >>>>>>>> practice the religion of their choice, guarantees other freedoms

> >> such

> >>>>&

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut (Physics)

Posted by [DC](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 18:50:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt; as

> >>>>>>>> we in the USA do in our Bill of Rights, encourages honesty and

> >>>>>>>> integrity, while enforcing some common sense limits such as no

> >>>>>>>> human

> >>>>>>>> sacrifices, a minimum age for marriage, no incest, no slavery, no

> >>

> >>>>>>>> murder, no rape, no stealing, those sorts of things.

> >>>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>> What is viewed as morality beyond a fair justice system and common

> >>>> sense

> >>>>>>>> rules of behavior can be left to each freely chosen religion to

> >>>>>>>> sort

> >>>>>>>> out, like whether to restrict diet in some way, whether to wear a

> >>

> >>>>>>>> certain type of clothing, how to pray, etc. But none of these

> >>>>>>>> additional

> >>>>>>>> practices should be imposed on society as a whole.

> >>>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>> The Golden Rule may also be of use as a basic moral foundation.

> >>>>>>>>

> >>>>>>> So yes, you can have a moral system, one that BTW protects the
> >>>>>>> freedom
> >>>>>>> to practice religious beliefs (or not), without basing it on any
> >> one
> >>>>>>> religion. And it can protect all religions better than a system
> >>>>>>> based
> >>>>> on
> >>>>>>> any one religion (AKA a theocracy).
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> There are other issues surrounding religions, such as the many
> >>>>>>> examples
> >>>>>>> of selfless dedication to helping others on the one hand, and
>

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut (Physics)
Posted by [Neil](#) on Sat, 19 Aug 2006 03:43:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;>>>> - it
> >>>>>>>> would just be another event in time.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> But by grace and as a gift of freedom, God gave us a choice,
both
> >>>> in
> >>>>> whether
> >>>>>>>> to believe in Him and whether to make right or wrong decisions.
> >> With
> >>>>>>> moral
> >>>>>>>> absolutes (God's word) as a reference we have a way to evaluate
> >> drastically
> >>>>>>>> differing situations on an equivalent basis; with consistency
in
> >> reasoning
> >>>>>>>>> and compassion; by choice and instinct rather than puppetry.
Even
> >>>> when
> >>>>>>> we
> >>>>>>>>> choose to do wrong, He is willing to forgive us. Without that
> >>>>>>>>> option
> >>>>>>>> to
> >>>>>>>>> choose, balanced by God's grace and forgiveness, there would be
> >> no
> >>>> power
> >>>>>>> in
> >>>>>>>>> choosing to believe in Him. That's what makes God a personal
and
> >>>> loving

> >>>>>>> God
> >>>>>>> rather than a dictator or puppet master.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Odd as it may seem to anyone who doesn't believe, I can see God
> >> in
> >>>> the
> >>>>>>> way
> >>>>>>> that 1) we as a group of intelligent people on this forum can
> >>>>>>> discuss
> >>>>>>> completely opposing opinions and still care enough to consider
> >>>>>>> insulting,
> >>>>>>> belittling, slandering and hating one another an intolerable
> >>>>>>> concept;
> >>>>>>> and 2)
> >>>>>>> in all likelihood agree that peace and compassion completely
trump
> >>>> greed
> >>>>>>> and
> >>>>>>> power in importance to life and survival together on this
planet.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> Regards,
> >>>>>>>> Dedic
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> On 9/17/06 7:12 PM, in article 450df091@linux, "Uptown Jimmy"
> >>>>>>>> <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>
> >>&g

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut (Physics)
Posted by [Gary Flanigan](#) on Sat, 19 Aug 2006 15:31:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

onsibility for the =
disappointment though and I'm really sorry that things are still in =
limbo regarding this.

Deej

"Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote in message =
news:4510129a\$1@linux...
DJ,
I'm in for the upgrades. =20

What happened to the AES box you were talking about?

Tom

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message =
news:450f1a7f@linux...

Do we have any money to pay him? I don't think he's gonna work for =
free.

;oP

"Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote in message
news:

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut (Physics)
Posted by [Neil](#) on Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:58:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
> Rick,
>
> Do you think it would help if we could just figure out a way to get into
the
> UN gallery (do they have a gallery?) and then start mooning people? I want
> to do something constructive instead of sitting around bitching all the
> time.
>
> Deej
>
>
> "rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:k0c0h2hl6cc83qvha4esc96935fo3nqtb1@4ax.com...
> > i nominate you for john's diplomat search..props to you...damn, i
> > wasn't going to enter this fray...
> >
> > On Tue, 19 Sep 2006 11:31:50 -0500, "Tony Benson"
> > <tony@standinghampton.com> wrote:
> >
> > >With all due respect ulfiyya, the general consensus here is that anyone
> can
> > >discuss anything they feel like discussing. This group has morphed into
> more
> > >of a gathering place for PARIS users and former users. An online coffee
> > >house as such. The key for you is to simply skip the topics you don't
> want
> > >to read.
> > >
> > >Tony

> > >
> > >
> > >"ulfiyya" <ulfiyya@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:450f7bfa\$1@linux...
> > >&

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut (Physics)
Posted by [tonehouse](#) on Sun, 20 Aug 2006 21:27:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>>> description of the Islamic God as being unbound by reason is
not

> >>>>>>>>> an insult, it is an Islamic article of faith. What Muslims
and

> >>>>>>>>> dialogue asserting his belief in Christianity. How dare he
not

> >>>>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>>> being Muslim? But they are not bound by reason to the point is

> >>>>>>>>> lost on them.

> >>>>>>>>>

is

> >>>>>>>>> this, the Times implicitly recognizes the Islamists are waging
a

> >>>>>>>>> propaganda jihad against the Pope and by extension against

> >>>&g

Subject: Re: Guitar - compensated nut (Physics)
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Sun, 20 Aug 2006 22:22:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> wrote in message =
> >news:450f1a7f@linux...

>> Do we have any money to pay him? I don't think he's gonna work for =
>>free.
>>
>> ;oP
>>
>> "Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote in message
>> news:450e9b9e@linux...
>> > Find out if dude is interested in writing a windows Vista and OS-x
>=
>>driver
>> :)
>> >
>> > AA
>> >
>> >
>> > "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>> > news:450e471d\$1@linux...
>> >> He wrote the software program that MRI machines are using these
>=
>>days.
>> >> Very
>> >> interesting guy. I'm mastering a project for his wife. He was
>=
>>pretty
>> >> interested in the Paris program. His wife is pretty well known =
>>in the LA
>> >> music community and he digs this stuff. I may ask him if he =
>>could write
>> a
>> >> delay compensation applet for Paris. He really got a chuckle out
>=
>>of
>> >> Frankencomp.....said he's built a few similar monstrosities =
>>himself.
>> >> They
>> >> will be back over here tomorrow night.
>> >>
>> >> ;o)
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>>
>>

> > I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?
> > <http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>
> >
> ><!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
> ><HTML><HEAD>
> ><META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
> > charset=3Diso-8859-1">
> ><META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1106" name=3DGENERATOR>
> ><STYLE></STYLE>
> ></HEAD>
> ><BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
> ><DIV>Well.....if I get something going with
> =
> >this, I'll=20
> >let you know. I'm not sure exactly what happened with the AES thing at =
> >this=20
> >point. All I can say is that the intent was certainly there and sincere
> =
> >on=20
> >everyone's part, but for reasons that are still unknown to me, this has
> =
> >been put=20
> >on hold indefinitely. I guess I'll take responsibility for the =
> >disappointment=20
> >though and I'm really sorry that things a
