
Subject: Gear lust! ;o)
Posted by [Kim](#) on Mon, 25 Jul 2005 03:02:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Everyone who wants
>>>> to has made a statement. I'd appreciate if everyone could step back
for
>> a
>>>> moment and reflect upon the needy in all this. We're all keen to find
>>
>>>> someone
>>>> to blame... someone at fault. We're all frustrated by what's going
on.
>>
>>>> Let's
>>>> stop attacking each other and think about how we may be able to help.
>>>>
>>>> At heart everybody is just frustrated by the issues and doesn't want
to
>>
>>>> see
>>>> any more mistakes. Let's reflect upon that.
>>>>
>>>> And let's remember that none of us are all right or all wrong.
>>>>
>

Subject: Re: Gear lust! ;o)
Posted by [DT](#) on Mon, 25 Jul 2005 03:28:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;>>> Like I said, I don't want to have to start editing posts and threads.
>>
>>>> Let's
>>>> chill out a bit.
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> Kim.
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>Good point. The way we are affected by the media is insidious and
all-pervasive.

The way folks are behaving on this NG is deplorable.

Jimmy

"Jeremy Luzier" <j.luzier@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:431bff2a\$1@linux...

> I actually had this thought once before but then reading some of these
posts
> reminded of that thought.
>
> the thought is this.....
>
> have debate shows on TV influenced the way ordinary people like us talk
> about our views on things? on those shows you get like 4 minutes total
for
> all sides to voice their opinion. when the issues are big and important
you
> often get very opinionated people who are practically yelling at each
other
> and not being very personable. let's face it.... if somebody makes some
> outlandish comment or calls somebody a name, its good for ratings and make
> more "news".
>
> where are we gonna be in 20 years if we can't talk about government
without
> hating each other and cussing people out?
>
> Jeremy
>
>
>He, he, Don, you're a fanatic good patriotic believer;-)

I don't know if this is the right documents but I think it's well worth
reading what The White House plans to do with the energy situation for

Subject: Re: Gear lust! ;o)

Posted by [Kim](#) on Mon, 25 Jul 2005 04:07:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Gouvernement now have learned something for the future,
>>>>that the infrastructure and weather in a country have more importance
> than
>>>>all wars for riches and oil, just to take care of the ultra high
>>>>standards
>>>>of living in some places in the world like America and have will to do
>>>>something with it.
>>>>I saw a documentation program yesterday in Norwegian TV that showed up
> how
>>>>USA now are trying to take control over the oil from WestAfrica, just

> to
>>> see
>>>>that China are trying the same thing. China needs the oil to start up
> all
>>>>their big "wheels" and USA needs the oil to get their "old wheels" spin
>>> some
>>>>rounds more. It was not good to see for the Kyoto deal and for the
>>>>future
>>> of
>>>>the earth at all.
>>>>
>>>>Erling
>>>>
>>>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>>>>news:431bdcd2\$1@linux...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Things are just getting a little too tense around here. I don't want
> to
>>>
>>>>> have
>>>>> to be editing posts, deleting threads, banning people or anything like
>>>
>>>>> that.
>>>>> Things are getting a little too heated and most of the current threads
>>> of
>>>>> discussion are currently a long way from anything I'd call
>>>>> "intelligent
>>>
>>>>> and
>>>>> fruitfull". Not that nothing positive has been said, but generally
>>>>> there's
>>>>> not much understanding happenning.
>>>>>
>>>>> We're all a little tense over this New Orleans thing. Everyone who
>>>>> wants
>>>>> to has made a statement. I'd appreciate if everyone could step back
> for
>>> a
>>>>> moment and reflect upon the needy in all this. We're all keen to find
>>>
>>>>> someone
>>>>> to blame... someone at fault. We're all frustrated by what's going
> on.
>>>
>>>>> Let's
>>>>> stop attacking each other and think about how we may be able to help.
>>>>>

>>>>> At heart everybody is just frustrated by the issues and doesn't want
> to
>>>
>>>>> see
>>>>> any more mistakes. Let's reflect upon that.
>>>>>
>>>>> And

Subject: Re: Gear lust! ;o)
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Mon, 25 Jul 2005 04:30:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

let's remember that none of us are all right or all wrong.
>>>>>
>>>>> Like I said, I don't want to have to start editing posts and threads.
>>>
>>>>> Let's
>>>>> chill out a bit.
>>>>>
>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>> Kim.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>You make an excellent point, Jeremy.

I think it includes cable TV, talk radio and partisan web sites, and it's fed by "think tanks" who are funded to come up with arguments and distractions that support particular outlooks, whether they coincide with reality or not. The products are rationalizations, generalizations, emotional distractions and even villifications. In other words, politics as usual.

In the case of TV/radio, for the sake of spectacle extreme positions are pitted against each other, sometimes without regard for moderate positions. Or a single extreme position is expounded with the help of screened callers so that there is no need to be distracted by evidence or logic that contradicts the particular perspective being

Subject: Re: Gear lust! ;o)
Posted by [Kim](#) on Mon, 25 Jul 2005 04:35:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

rationalized.

In no way do these "entertainment" programs present the full range of perspectives and facts that would be useful for thoughtful awareness, although they usually pretend that they do. Major conclusions built on the basis of what these shows present are likely to be incomplete and faulty.

Add to that the human tendency to proj

Subject: Re: Gear lust! ;o)

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Mon, 25 Jul 2005 05:08:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ect our own experiences, beliefs
and prejudices onto others, plus our gigantic egos, and you get the kind
of self-righteous certainty that justifies rudeness to others while
ironically obfuscating the quest for truth. Also, there's something
about communicating via text that allows people to be rude in ways they
probably wouldn't be if they were together in an actual room.

In 20 years? Good question.

Cheers,

-Jamie K

<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

Jeremy Luzier wrote:

- > I actually had this thought once before but then reading some of these posts
 - > reminded of that thought.
 - >
 - > the thought is this.....
 - >
 - > have debate shows on
-

Subject: Re: Gear lust! ;o)

Posted by [Kim](#) on Mon, 25 Jul 2005 05:15:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TV influenced the way ordinary people like us talk

- > about our views on things? on those shows you get like 4 minutes total for
- > all sides to voice their opinion. when the issues are big and important you
- > often get very opinionated people who are practically yelling at each other
- > and not being very personable. let's face it.... if somebody makes some

> outlandish comment or calls somebody a name, its good for ratings and make
> more "news".
>
> where are we gonna be in 20 years if we can't talk about government without
> hating each other and cussing people out?
>
> Jeremy
>
>
>Don't get me started on the French.....

;o)

"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote in message news:431c5555@linux...
> You mean Paris "Texas"? I thought this was a rodeo group. Freedom Fries
for
> everyone...
> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:431c4ded@linux...

Subject: Re: Gear lust! ;o)
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Mon, 25 Jul 2005 06:03:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>
> >
> > She was in Greece cavorting around with Tara Reid on "Taradise" last
> night.
> > 8oP
> > rod
> > "Mike" <spamthis@alltel.net> wrote:
> > >I would like to discuss Paris - anybody?.... anybody?
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>Just because I do not believe you does not make me a, what was
that? oh a "fanatic good patriotic believer".

I simply do not believe anything you say.

You lost all credibility when you used a call to civility as an
opportunity for more America bashing.

Shame on you.

DC

"erlilo" <erlilo@online.no> wrote:

>He, he, Don, you're a fanatic good patriotic believer;-)

>

>I don't know if this is the right documents but I think it's well worth

>reading what The White House plans to do with the energy situation for

>America in the future: <http://www.whitehouse.gov/energy/>

>

>rling

>

>"DC" <dc@saywhat.com> skrev i en meddelelse news:431c6266\$1@linux...

>>

>> Kim,

>>

>> You going to address this?

>>

>> Or is this just the left-wing newsgroup?

>>

>> thanks

>>

>> DC

>>

>>

>> "erlilo" <erlilo@online.no> wrote:

>>>What lies are you talking about here Don? Or are you just believing as

>>>allways, that everybody are serving lies if they're not in your own great

>>

>>>picture of America?

Subject: Re: Gear lust! ;o)

Posted by [Kim](#) on Mon, 25 Jul 2005 06:15:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

r />

>>>WP Cheney have documented very well how much oil America are needing in

>> the

>>>coming years in documents from the White House. Hope some American wish

>> and

>>>want to find out about these papers to serve the truth for you. I'm not

>> sure

>>>if it was 30 or 50 % more oil America will need each year in the coming

>>

>>>year. I think it was 50 % I heard about in the documentation yesterday,

>>

>>>documentations that I think isn't political correct to show up in todays
>>
>>>America with Bush and Cheney with the power. What's happening in West

>>>Africa
>>
>>>now, where there are oil, was showing up well documented in the program
>>
>>>without setting any fingers at all on morality problems, just that
