
Subject: To Air is Human

Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 18:55:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;>> either dead or are kneeling toward Mecca. Once it is too late,
>they
>>>>>can
>>>>>> then
>>>>>>> whine about how pissed off they are that there's no separation
>between
>>>>>>> mosque and state...right before they are separated from their
heads.
>>>>>|
>>>>>>> recognized it years ago. So did lots of other people.....funny,
>>|
>>>>didn't
>>>>>>> hear a peep out of OBama though.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> OK.....now I'm of to rape the land.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> bye.
>>>>>>>>
>>>

Subject: Re: To Air is Human

Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 19:32:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> again, i know the story is about language, confusion and other bright
>> ideas men come up with.
>> sorry, but i have a problem with "men" had one language thing. just
>> as i have a problem with god being suprised that adam and eve would
>> partake of the forbidden fruit when told no no no you don't. he's
>> god...for his sakes... guess he also didn't think of incest when
>> populating the earth from just one pair of peeps. i mean cain went to
>> the land of nod and eventually married...who...i don't
>> know...mom...sis...cousin rita???
>> i believe god was smart enough to create the laws of physics that
>> allow certain things to happen under certain conditions and lets the
>> chips fall where they may. to me god doesn't kill 250 people on a
>> plane and spare one as a lesson of his devine intervention
>> capabilities. he doesn't kill half a town yet spares a church so they
>> have somewhere to mourn the dead.
>> the truly (again to me) sad thing about the differing concepts of god
>> is how their used to validate the harm we do each other.
>>

>>
>> On 22 Mar 2008 00:13:36 +1000, "Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> http://ldolphin.org/babel.html
>Hey Hey.. I logged on. I'm offended that you would think that I posted that
"YA" stuff. I always put my name on my post with my real email address. I
have nothing to hide..

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>Do you really want to ruin Good Friday LaMont?
>
>"James McCloskey" <exc

Subject: Re: To Air is Human
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 19:41:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

elsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>Why don't you start a new thread and we can throw down there?
>>
>>"yah" <Blah@ya-ya.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>Why do yoy think that your opinion is the right one? Are you saying that
>>this
>>>country
>>>was'nt built on the backs of slavery? How am I a hypocrite? Ask questions?
>>>My
>>>first post was nothing but questions. Having the guts to stand up for
>>>what you believe in with integrity, honor and respect, but without any
>pansy,
>>>pacifist whining and hiding behind labels and stereotypes". are serious?
>>>Why did it take so long for every man to have the right same rights? Is'nt
>>>that something we were suppose to believe in? Honor? respect? Look,do'nt
>>>get
>>>made at me or anyone that confronts you with the truth. I love this country
>>>just as much as you. If I can say that with your permission. But we
>>>have alot of work to do. We want to blame everyone else for are problems.(not
>>>to say we are the cause of all the problems) Think what you want about
>my
>>>credibility,my words can stand on there own! This makes them no less true
>>>
>>>"Dedric Terry" <dt@noreply.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>Before you pass judgement, make ill-informed assumptions and become a

>hypocrit
>>>>yourself, read the context, ask questions, and attempt to actually understand
>>>>other people. You just read a single word and extrapolated that into
>left
>>>>field.
>>>>
>>>>This had nothing to do with race. You might have well launched off a
diatribe
>>>>on the problems with meteor storms on Pluto.
>>>>
>>>>And in case you were wondering, by "fabric" I meant guts to stand up
for
>>>>what you believe in with integrity, honor and respect, but without any
>>pansy,
>>>>pacifist whining and hiding behind labels and stereotypes.
>>>>
>>>>Btw - posting like this without your real name is cowardly and destroys
>>>any
>>>>credibility to your opinion, but at least it supports my argument in
spades.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Yah" <Blah@ya-ya.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>Listen,
>>>>>&g

Subject: Re: To Air is Human
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 19:48:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;My comments are not about the article,I'm not defending the left. I
just
>>>>>find the statement "the fabric of our country" to be bullshit. We forget
>>>>>about
>>>>>are past and pass judgement on others. This is the self-righteousness
>>I
>>>>>speak
>>>>>of. As to why I do not post my name? Because of the self-righteousness
>>>I
>>>>>speak
>>>>>of. Wake up! Clean up your backyard. Playing the race card? It couldn't
>>>>be
>>>>>played if racism wasn't true and alive today! You may look at my comments
>>>>>as detour
>>>>>into left field,but my comments are directed at your comment about the

>>>"fabric"
>>>>of are country. The truth is almost all the founding fathers were slave
>>>>owners,and
>>>>some only freed their slaves after they died. Now there is one thing
>I
>>>agree
>>>>on
>>>>with you is that this place has gone way down!
>>>>
>>>>"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>And, btw - I can't believe anyone would even dare to post such racist
>>>
>>>>>propaganda as this
>>>>>(and that's exactly what it is) when the original post was on radical
>>>islam,
>>>>>
>>>>>not slavery. Simply amazing.
>>>>>
>>>>>This forum really has lost it. I'm out. I've really had enough of
>these
>>>>>far fetched bizarre reactions and detours into left field.
>>>>&g

Subject: Re: To Air is Human
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 20:11:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;>
>>>>>>"YAH" <bla-bla@yah.com> wrote in message news:47e3dde1\$1@linux...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The fabric of are nation? What is that? Salvery? segragation? racism?
>>>>>
>>>>>> the
>>>>>> KKK?
>>>>>> self-righteousness!
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>I've heard two separate commentaries (one a major news agency, the
>>other
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>Brit's personal rant) about how the UK is catering to the whims of
>>Islam
>>>>>>> -
>>>>>>>they've restricted the use of the Three Little Pigs story in some
>schools.
>>>>>>>England is funding Islamic schools for their Muslim citizens. They

>>>are
 >>>>>>considering passing Islamic laws in some areas to appease that community
 >>>>>>(these were echoed in both, separate and independent commentaries
 >-
 >>>two
 >>>>>>completely different viewpoints seeing the same thing happen). In
 >>effect,
 >>>>>>the UK is making concessions right and left and getting nothing in
 >>return
 >>>>>> -
 >>>>>>just more demands. Forget their own history, people and culture,
 >just
 >>>>>
 >>>>>>give
 >>>>>>up in the face of fear of suicide bombers, sarin gas, and extremist
 >>>>>>protests.
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>The news agency report basically said exactly what you are DJ - the
 >>>US
 >>>>>is
 >>>>>>>doing the same thing - bowing down and letting our "pacifist" leftwing
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>veil
 >>>>>>>of "peace" and "equality" and "justice" dupe us into giving up those
 >>>>very
 >>>>>>>ideals, playing right into the hands of extremism and even terrorism.
 >>>>>>>That's the paradox that's become our country. And this is just one
 >>>>case
 >>>>>>> of
 >>>>>>>how the left is ironically proposing and touting exactly the opposite
 >>>>>>>of
 >>>>>>>what is the fabric of our country.
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>DT
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>On 3/21/08 7:49 AM, in article 47e3bffd@linux, "Mr. Simplicity"
 >>>>>>>><noway@jose.net> wrote:
 >>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>> Isn't this cute?
 >>>>>>>>> <http://markhumphrys.com/left.islamic.html>
 >>>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>>> This one is the kicker though, and elucidates my periodic rants
 >better
 >>>>>>>> than
 >>>>>>>>> I do:
 >>>>>>>>> <http://dineshdsouza.com/books/enemy-intro.html>
 >>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> Our economy is gonna bounce along the bottom for a while. This
wasn't
>>>>>>>> necessar

Subject: Re: To Air is Human
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 20:31:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

y. Now I hear the Democrats starting to harp on the economy
>>>>>and
>>>>>>> tie
>>>>>>>> it ti Iraq. there is, most definitely, a connection.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> <http://drsanity.blogspot.com/2007/04/selfless-narcissism.htm> I
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> and the following excerpt is something I've been ranting about
here
>>>>>and
>>>>>>>> elsewhere for years:
>>>>>>>> The Democrats and their glorious leaders, who have become so
>>>>>>>> narcissistically invested in this country's defeat as a political
>>>
>>>>>>>> strategy
>>>>>>>> to accumulate power and "restore American honor", that they
>>>>>>>> have--wittingly
>>>>>>>> or unwittingly--decided to sell their countrymen out to the very
>>enemy
>>>>>>> they
>>>>>>>> are currently fighting. They mean well, of course. They do it for
>>>those
>>>>>>>> wonderful 'selfless' reasons--like "peace" and "social justice"
>and
>>>>>all.
>>>>>>>> They really support the troops .
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Let's just ignore the fact that they bear considerable responsibility
>>>>>>> for
>>>>>>>> the ongoing violence and death of our troops as they enable and
>
>>>>>>>> encourage
>>>>>>>> the priorities of the enemy. Why should the terrorists alter their
>>>>
>>>>>>>> homicidal
>>>>>>>> behavior? It is working! People like Nancy Pelosi are living proof
>>>>of
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>> effectiveness of their strategy.

>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> There really is a problem. It's a problem that has been aggravated
>>>>and
>>>>>>>> encouraged by the left in this country.....you know, the
ones
>>>>>who
>>>>>>>> don't quite understand that they will be the first to be slaughtered
>>>>>
>>>>>>>> once
>>>>>>>> they have thrown a wrench in the gears of those who are willing
>to
>>>>>
>>>>>>>> protect
>>>>>>>> them. They just do not get it. The Islamists parrot the leftist
>American
>>>>>>>> Imperialist tripe that the leftists love to hear and ascribe to
>this
>>>>>
>>>>>>>> country
>>>>>>>> but the Islamists do it by design, to dupe the left into helping
>>them
>>>>>>>> destroy the protectors of the culture that the left hopes to protect
>>>>>by
>>>>>>>> trying to appease those who will not be appeased until the leftists
>>>>>are
>>>>>>>> either dead or are kneeling toward Mecca. Once it is too late,
they
>>>>>can
>>>>>>>> then
>>>>>>>> whine about how pissed off they are that there's no separation
between
>>>>>>>> mosque and state...right before they are separated from their heads.
>>>>>>I
>>>>>>>> recognized it years ago. So did lots of other people.....funny,
>I
>>>>didn't
>>>>>>>> hear a peep out of OBama though.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> OK.....now I'm of to rape the land.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> bye.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>>

>James, what is the race thing?Really? What is the race thing. ?? I think you come in here daily, I might add, with your right-winged off the wall, bull-shit ,rhetoric...Please stop posting such BS!@# and doe yourself a favor a nd study up on REAL AMERICAN HISTORY, not that Bull-shit you think you now and was taught in school...

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>"yah"

Subject: Re: To Air is Human

Posted by [TCB](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 20:34:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

rter.net" target="_blank">stevec1@charter.net>

>>wrote:

>>

>>><http://ldolphin.org/babel.html>

>>

>Steve, I don't think Rick gives a hoot about the story. He is talking about his ideas on god man, trees and swingin' cats. You're prolly not going to get any traction on that Babylonian story.

Steve Cox wrote:

> Rick, I HIGHLY reccomend you crack the book open and read the text for yourself.

> You keep coming up with all these goofy things about a tree being a tree

> and swinging a cat around... Man... that's all just foolishness. Please,

> read it first-THEN bring on the comments. Right now all you are doing is

> "babelling" about something you don't know anything about. Read the text....

> It WAS all about all the Babylonians speaking Babalonian. Not a hard concept

> to understand. God wanted to break their power of agreement so he confused

> the language and no-one understood each other and the progress of the tower

> seeced. Why are you fighting such a simple, well known, taught to millions,

> established story? You are fortunate that there are (just assuming guys)a

> handful of Believers on this Forum who (you may as well face it) won't back

> down from the Truth?

>

Subject: Re: To Air is Human

The truth is almost all the founding fathers were
slave

>>>>>>owners,and

>>>>>>some only freed their slaves after they died. Now there is one thing

>>>|

>>>>>agree

>>>>>>on

>>>>>>with you is that this place has gone way down!

>>>>>>

>>>>>>"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>>>>>>>And, btw - I can't believe anyone would even dare to post such racist

>>>>>

>>>>>>>propaganda as this

>>>>>>>(and that's exactly what it is) when the original post was on radical

>>>>>islam,

>>>>>>

>>>>>>>not slavery. Simply amazing.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>This forum really has lost it. I'm out. I've really had enough

of

>>>these

>>>>>>>far fetched bizarre reactions and detours into left field.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>"YAH" <bla-bla@yah.com> wrote in message news:47e3dde1\$1@linux...

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>The fabric of are nation? What is that? Slavery? segragation?

racisim?

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>the

>>>>>>>>KKK?

>>>>>>>>self-righteousness!

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>>>>>>>>>I've heard two separate commentaries (one a major news agency,

the

>>>>other

>>>>>>>>a

>>>>>>>>>Brit's personal rant) about how the UK is catering to the whims

>of

>>>>Islam

>>>>>>>>-

>>>>>>>>>they've restricted the use of the Three Little Pigs story in some

>>>schools.

>>>>>>>>>England is funding Islamic schools for their Muslim citizens.

They

>>>>>are
>>>>>>>>considering passing Islamic laws in some areas to appease that
community
>>>>>>>>(these were echoed in both, separate and independent commentaries
>>>-
>>>>>two
>>>>>>>>completely different viewpoints seeing the same thing happen).

>In
>>>>effect,
>>>>>>>>the UK is making concessions right and left and getting nothing
>in
>>>>return
>>>>>>>> -
>>>>>>>>just more demands. Forget their own history, people and culture,
>>>just
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>give
>>>>>>>>up in the face of fear of suicide bombers, sarin gas, and extremist
>>>>>>>>protests.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>The news agency report basically said exactly what you are DJ -
>the
>>>>>US
>>>>>>>>is
>>>>>>>>doing the same thing - bowing down and letting our "pacifist" leftwing
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>veil
>>>>>>>>of "peace" and "equality" and "justice" dupe us into giving up
those
>>>>>>>>very
>>>>>>>>ideals, playing right into the hands of extremism and even terrorism.
>>>>>>>>That's the paradox that's become our country. And this is just
>one
>>>>>case
>>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>>>how the left is ironically proposing and touting exactly the opposite
>>>>>>>>of
>>>>>>>>what is the fabric of our country.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>DT
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>On 3/21/08 7:49 AM, in article 47e3bffd@linux, "Mr. Simplicity"
>>>>>>>><noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Isn't this cute?
>>>>>>>>> <http://markhumphrys.com/left.islamic.html>
>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> This one is the kicker though, and elucidates my periodic rants
>>>better
>>>>>>>>> than
>>>>>>>>>> I do:
>>>>>>>>>> <http://dineshdsouza.com/books/enemy-intro.html>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Our economy is gonna bounce along the bottom for a while. This
>>wasn't
>>>>>>>>>> necessary. Now I hear the Democrats starting to harp on the economy
>>>>>>>>>>and
>>>>>>>>>> tie
>>>>>>>>>> it ti Iraq. there is, most definitely, a connection.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> <http://drsanity.blogspot.com/2007/04/selfless-narcissism.htm> I
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> and the following excerpt is something I've been ranting about
>>here
>>>&

Subject: Re: To Air is Human
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 22:05:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;>> of
>>>>>>>>> how the left is ironically proposing and touting exactly the opposite
>>>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>>>>> what is the fabric of our country.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> DT
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> On 3/21/08 7:49 AM, in article 47e3bffd@linux, "Mr. Simplicity"
>>>>>>>>>> <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Isn't this cute?
>>>>>>>>>> <http://markhumphrys.com/left.islamic.html>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> This one is the kicker though, and elucidates my periodic rants
>> better
>>>>>>>>>> than
>>>>>>>>>> I do:
>>>>>>>>>> <http://dineshdsouza.com/books/enemy-intro.html>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Our economy is gonna bounce along the bottom for a while. This
> wasn't
>>>>>>>>>> necessary. Now I hear the Democrats starting to harp on the economy

>>>>> and
>>>>>> tie
>>>>>>> it ti Iraq. there is, most definitely, a connection.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> <http://drsanity.blogspot.com/2007/04/selfless-narcissism.htm> I
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> and the following excerpt is something I've been ranting about
> here
>>>>> and
>>>>>>> elsewhere for years:
>>>>>>> The Democrats and their glorious leaders, who have become so
>>>>>>> narcissistically invested in this country's defeat as a political
>>>>>>> strategy
>>>>>>> to accumulate power and "restore American honor", that they
>>>>>>> have--wittingly
>>>>>>> or unwittingly--decided to sell their countrymen out to the very
>>> enemy
>>>>>>> they
>>>>>>> are currently fighting. They mean well, of course. They do it for
>>>> those
>>>>>>> wonderful 'selfless' reasons--like "peace" and "social justice"
>> and
>>>>>>> all.
>>>>>>>> They really support the troops .
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Let's just ignore the fact that they bear considerable responsibility
>>>>>>>> for
>>>>>>>> the ongoing violence and death of our troops as they enable and
>>>>>>>> encourage
>>>>>>>> the priorities of the enemy. Why should the terrorists alter their
>>>>>>>> homicidal
>>>>>>>> behavior? It is working! People like Nancy Pelosi are living proof
>>>>> of
>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>> effectiveness of their strategy.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> There really is a problem. It's a problem that has been aggravated
>>>>> and
>>>>>>>>> encouraged by the left in this country.....you know, the
> ones
>>>>>>>> who
>>>>>>>>> don't quite understand that they will be the first to be slaughtered
>>>>>>>>> once
>>>>>>>>> they have thrown a wrench in the gears of those who are willi

Subject: Re: To Air is Human

ng
>> to
>>>>>>>> protect
>>>>>>>> them. They just do not get it. The Islamists parrot the leftist
>> American
>>>>>>>> Imperialist tripe that the leftists love to hear and ascribe to
>> this
>>>>>>>> country
>>>>>>>> but the Islamists do it by design, to dupe the left into helping
>>> them
>>>>>>>> destroy the protectors of the culture that the left hopes to protect
>>>>> by
>>>>>>>> trying to appease those who will not be appeased until the leftists
>>>>> are
>>>>>>>> either dead or are kneeling toward Mecca. Once it is too late,
> they
>>>>> can
>>>>>> then
>>>>>>>> whine about how pissed off they are that there's no separation
> between
>>>>>>>> mosque and state...right before they are separated from their heads.
>>>>>> I
>>>>>>>> recognized it years ago. So did lots of other people.....funny,
>> I
>>>> didn't
>>>>>>>> hear a peep out of OBama though.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> OK.....now I'm of to rape the land.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> bye.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>Ok, if you say so. So Mr. Yah, why don't you come out in to the open?

"LaMONT" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>
>James, what is the race thing?Really? What is the race thing. ?? I think
you
>come in here daily, I might add, with your right-winged off the wall, bull-shit
>,rhetoric...Please stop posting such BS!@# and doe yourself a favor a nd
>study up on REAL AMERICAN HISTORY, not that Bull-shit you think you now
and
>was taught in school...
>

>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>"yah" <blah@ya-ya.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>I'm not Lamont.....Christ dying today could'nt get worse. I never understood
>>>how
>>>Christ dying was good,maybe it should have been Sad friday.
>>
>>Ok, LaMont usually goes off on the race thing, and he often has type-Os,
>>my mistake. As far as Christ dyeing on the cross, it is sad, it is also
>>something to be glad about and celebrate. If you under stood Christianity
>>you would understand that.
>>>
>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>Do you really want to ruin Good Friday LaMont?
>>>>
>>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>Why don't you start a new thread and we can throw down there?
>>>>>
>>>>>"yah" <Blah@ya-ya.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Why do yoy think that your opinion is the right one? Are you saying
>that
>>>>>this
>>>>>>country
>>>>>>was'nt built on the backs of slavery? How am I a hypocrite? Ask questions?
>>>>>>My
>>>>>>first post was nothing but questions. Having the guts to stand up for
>>>>>>what you believe in with integrity, honor and respect, but without
>any
>>>>>pansy,
>>>>>>pacifist whining and hiding behind labels and stereotypes". are serious?
>>>>>>Why did it take so long for every man to have the right same rights?
>>Is'nt
>>>>>>that something we were suppose to believe in? Honor? respect? Look,do'nt
>>>>>>get
>>>>>>made at me or anyone that confronts you with the truth. I love this
>country
>>>>>>just as much as you. If I can say that with your permission. But we
>>>>>>have alot of work to do. We want to blame everyone else for are problems.(not
>>>>>>to say we are the cause of all the problems) Think what you want about
>>>>my
>>>>>>credibility,my words can stand on there own! This makes them no less
>>>true
>>>>>>
>>>>>>"Dedric Terry" <dt@noreply.com> wrote:

>>>>>>
>>>>>>Before you pass judgement, make ill-informed assumptions and become
>>a
>>>hypocrit
>>>>>>yourself, read the context, ask questions, and attempt to actually
>understand
>>>>>>other people. You just read a single word and extrapolated that into
>>>left
>>>>>>field.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>This had nothing to do with race. You might have well launched off
>a
>>diatribe
>>>>>>on the problems with meteor storms on Pluto.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>And in case you were wondering, by "fabric" I meant guts to stand
>up
>>>for
>>>>>>what you believe in with integrity, honor and respect, but without
>any
>>>>pansy,
>>>>>>pacifist whining and hiding behind labels and stereotypes.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Btw - posting like this without your real name is cowardly and destroys
>>>>>>any
>>>>>>credibility to your opinion, but at least it supports my argument
>in
>>>spades.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>"Yah" <Blah@ya-ya.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Listen,
>>>>>>My comments are not about the article,I'm not defending the left.
>I
>>>just
>>>>>>find the statement "the fabric of our country" t

Subject: Re: To Air is Human

Posted by [TCB](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 22:26:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ery? How am I a hypocrite? Ask questions?

>>>>>My

>>>>>first post was nothing but questions. Having the guts to stand up for

>>>>>what you believe in with integrity, honor and respect, but without any

>>>pansy,
>>>>pacifist whining and hiding behind labels and stereotypes". are serious?
>>>>Why did it take so long for every man to have the right same rights?
>Is'nt
>>>>that something we were suppose to believe in? Honor? respect? Look,do'nt
>>>>get
>>>>made at me or anyone that confronts you with the truth. I love this
country
>>>>just as much as you. If I can say that with your permission. But we
>>>>have alot of work to do. We want to blame everyone else for are problems.(not
>>>>to say we are the cause of all the problems) Think what you want about
>>>my
>>>>credibility,my words can stand on there own! This makes them no less
>>true
>>>>
>>>>"Dedric Terry" <dt@noreply.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>Before you pass judgement, make ill-informed assumptions and become
>a
>>hypocrit
>>>>>yourself, read the context, ask questions, and attempt to actually
understand
>>>>>other people. You just read a single word and extrapolated that into
>>left
>>>>>field.
>>>>>
>>>>>This had nothing to do with race. You might has well launched off
a
>>diatribe
>>>>>on the problems with meteor storms on Pluto.
>>>>>
>>>>>And in case you were wondering, by "fabric" I meant guts to stand up
>>for
>>>>>what you believe in with integrity, honor and respect, but without
any
>>>pansy,
>>>>>pacifist whining and hiding behind labels and stereotypes.
>>>>>
>>>>>Btw - posting like this without your real name is cowardly and destroys
>>>>>any
>>>>>credibility to your opinion, but at least it supports my argument in
>>spades.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>"Yah" <

gt;>>>and
>>>>>>>>>> elsewhere for years:
>>>>>>>>>> The Democrats and their glorious leaders, who have become so
>>>>>>>>>> narcissistically invested in this country's defeat as a political
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> strategy
>>>>>>>>>> to accumulate power and "restore American honor", that they
>>>>>>>>>> have--wittingly
>>>>>>>>>> or unwittingly--decided to sell their countrymen out to the very
>>>>enemy
>>>>>>>> they
>>>>>>>>>> are currently fighting. They mean well, of course. They do it
>for
>>>>>those
>>>>>>>>>> wonderful 'selfless' reasons--like "peace" and "social justice"
>>>>and
>>>>>>>>>>all.
>>>>>>>>>> They really support the troops .
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Let's just ignore the fact that they bear considerable responsibility
>>>>>>>>>> for
>>>>>>>>>>>> the ongoing violence and death of our troops as they enable and
>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> encourage
>>>>>>>>>>>> the priorities of the enemy. Why should the terrorists alter
their
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> homicidal
>>>>>>>>>>>> behavior? It is working! People like Nancy Pelosi are living
proof
>>>>>>>>of
>>>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>>>>> effectiveness of their strategy.
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> There really is a problem. It's a problem that has been aggravated
>>>>>>>>>>>>and
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> encouraged by the left in this country.....you know, the
>>>>>>>>>>>>ones
>>>>>>>>>>>>who
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> don't quite understand that they will be the first to be slaughtered
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> once
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> they have thrown a wrench in the gears of those who are willing
>>>>to
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>>

>Sorry about they typos. I'm on my treo.

"LaMONT" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>

>James, what is the race thing?Really? What is the race thing. ?? I think you

>come in here daily, I might add, with your right-winged off the wall, bull-shit

>,rhetoric...Please stop posting such BS!@# and doe yourself a favor a nd

>study up on REAL AMERICAN HISTORY, not that Bull-shit you think you now and

>was taught in school...

>

>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>

>>"yah" <blah@ya-ya.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>I'm not Lamont.....Christ dying today could'nt get worse. I never understood

>>>how

>>>Christ dying was good,maybe it should have been Sad friday.

>>

>>Ok, LaMont usually goes off on the race thing, and he often has type-Os,

>>my mistake. As far as Christ dyeing on the cross, it is sad, it is also

>>something to be glad about and celebrate. If you under stood Christianity

>>you would understand that.

>>>

>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>>>

>>>>Do you really want to ruin Good Friday LaMont?

>>>>

>>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>>>>

>>>>>Why don't you start a new thread and we can throw down there?

>>>>>

>>>>>"yah" <Blah@ya-ya.com> wrote:

>>>>>>

>>>>>>Why do yoy think that your opinion is the right one? Are you saying

>that

>>>>>>this

>>>>>>country

>>>>>>was'nt built on the backs of slavery

Subject: Re: To Air is Human

o be bullshit. We
>forget
>>>>>>>about
>>>>>>>are past and pass judgement on others. This is the self-righteousness
>>>>>I
>>>>>>>speak
>>>>>>>of. As to why I do not post my name? Because of the self-righteousness
>>>>>>I
>>>>>>>speak
>>>>>>>of. Wake up! Clean up your backyard. Playing the race card? It could'nt
>>>>>>>be
>>>>>>>played if racism was'nt true and alive today! You may look at my
>comments
>>>>>>>as detour
>>>>>>>into left field,but my comments are directed at your comment about
>>the
>>>>>>"fabric"
>>>>>>>of are country. The truth is almost all the founding fathers were
>slave
>>>>>>>owners,and
>>>>>>>some only freed their slaves after they died. Now there is one thing
>>>>>I
>>>>>>agree
>>>>>>>on
>>>>>>>with you is that this place has gone way down!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>And, btw - I can't believe anyone would even dare to post such racist
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>propaganda as this
>>>>>>>>(and that's exactly what it is) when the original post was on radical
>>>>>>>islam,
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>not slavery. Simply amazing.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>This forum really has lost it. I'm out. I've really had enough
>of
>>>>these
>>>>>>>>far fetched bizarre reactions and detours into left field.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>"YAH" <bla-bla@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:47e3dde1\$1@linux...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> The fabric of are nation? What is that? Salvery? segragation?
>racisim?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> the

>>>>>>>> KKK?
>>>>>>>> self-righteousness!
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>I've heard two separate commentaries (one a major news agency,
>the
>>>>>other
>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>>Brit's personal rant) about how the UK is catering to the whims
>>of
>>>>>Islam
>>>>>>>> -
>>>>>>>>>they've restricted the use of the Three Little Pigs story in some
>>>>schools.
>>>>>>>>>England is funding Islamic schools for their Muslim citizens.

>They
>>>>>>are
>>>>>>>>>considering passing Islamic laws in some areas to appease that
>community
>>>>>>>>>(these were echoed in both, separate and independent commentaries
>>>>-
>>>>>>two
>>>>>>>>>completely different viewpoints seeing the same thing happen).
>
>>In
>>>>>effect,
>>>>>>>>>the UK is making concessions right and left and getting nothing
>>in
>>>>>return
>>>>>>>> -
>>>>>>>>>just more demands. Forget their own history, people and culture,
>>>>just
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>give
>>>>>>>>>up in the face of fear of suicide bombers, sarin gas, and extremist
>>>>>>>>>protests.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>

Subject: Re: To Air is Human
Posted by [rick](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 11:27:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

enact all levels of retribution on others
>because we think that's what god would do.

>>
>>What concerns me is the reality of Jesus. From that issue flow all
>>others, and whether there is an objective truth here or we are
>>free to make it up as we go along.
>and whose to say where the "making up" began. it is in my nature to
>question what i see and hear and if i go to hell for it...then oh well
>it's who i am, the way i was made.
>>
>>DC
>>
>>
>>
>>rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>again, i know the story is about language, confusion and other bright
>>>ideas men come up with.
>>>sorry, but i have a problem with "men" had one language thing. just
>>>as i have a problem with god being suprised that adam and eve would
>>>partake of the forbidden fruit when told no no no you don't. he's
>>>god...for his sakes... guess he also didn't think of incest when
>>>populating the earth from just one pair of peeps. i mean cain went to
>>>the land of nod and eventually married...who...i don't
>>>know...mom...sis...cousin rita???
>>>i believe god was smart enough to create the laws of physics that
>>>allow certain things to happen under certain conditions and lets the
>>>chips fall where they may. to me god doesn't kill 250 people on a
>>>plane and spare one as a lesson of his devine intervention
>>>capabilities. he doesn't kill half a town yet spares a church so they
>>>have somewhere to mourn the dead.
>>>the truely (again to me) sad thing about the differing concepts of god
>>>is how their used to validate the harm we do each other.
>>>
>>>
>>>On 22 Mar 2008 00:13:36 +1000, "Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>><http://ldolphin.org/babel.html>
>>>
>If the global temperature raises with more than 2 degrees celcius, we'll
have a global disaster. It's no chance that we are going to avoid that. So
beware, the africans, the south asians and the south americans are coming to
knock on our door, and they are hungry, they are thirsty, and they are many.
And that's just the beginning. This thing is out of control.

Bjorn R

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:47e41af0@linux...
> James McCloskey wrote:
> > The science is about money.

>
> To an extent everything is about money. But that doesn't stop people
> from doing good, conscientious work.
>
> You yourself require money to live. Should we therefore disbelieve
> everything you say?
>
> No, I don't think so. That argument is really reaching.
>
>
> > The science of man made global warming being
> > a major factor is bullshit, and it is not provable.
>
> LOL. The science is hardly bullshit.
>
> What scientists are saying is that there is a strong chance that human
> contributions to greenhouse gases are affecting the climate.
>
> This is because we are dumping large and increasing amounts of
> greenhouse gases into the atmosphere, above and beyond current natural
> cycles. Gases that then stay in the atmosphere for extended periods,
> decades or more.
>
> Keep in mind that greenhouse gases help regulate temperature on earth by
> reflecting back infrared radiation. This is a good thing for our
> survival but it can be overdone.
>
> Since only a tiny fraction of the gases in the atmosphere reflect
> infrared radiation (nitrogen and oxygen don't), it doesn't take much of
> an increase in greenhouse gases to noticeably change the amount of heat
> directed back toward the earth.
>
> There is strong evidence that this is what is happening now, and that
> our contributions are part of the problem. Based on what we know so far
> there is a strong chance this problem will increase over time.
>
> Given that information, it's smart to consider the implications now.
>
>
> > Has the earth heated
> > up a bit, yes, the earth goes threw changes.
>
> True, and true.
>
> And evidence indicates there's a good chance we're involved in the
> current climate change event.
>
>

> > Should we as people stop polluting,
> > yes.
>
> We certainly need to be aware of the chemistry around us and how we
> interact with it.
>
> The ozone situation is a good example. Scientists noticed a change in
> the ozone layer. A human chemical cause was discovered. Policies were
> changed to stop human contributions to the problem.
>
>
> > Should we conserve, yes, I'm a conservative, not a liberal.
&g

Subject: Re: To Air is Human
Posted by [TCB](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 17:26:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

otmail.com" target="_blank">parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>> again, i know the story is about language, confusion and other bright
>>> ideas men come up with.
>>> sorry, but i have a problem with "men" had one language thing. just
>>> as i have a problem with god being suprised that adam and eve would
>>> partake of the forbidden fruit when told no no no you don't. he's
>>> god...for his sakes... guess he also didn't think of incest when
>>> populating the earth from just one pair of peeps. i mean cain went to
>>> the land of nod and eventually married...who...i don't
>>> know...mom...sis...cousin rita???
>>> i believe god was smart enough to create the laws of physics that
>>> allow certain things to happen under certain conditions and lets the
>>> chips fall where they may. to me god doesn't kill 250 people on a
>>> plane and spare one as a lesson of his devine intervention
>>> capabilities. he doesn't kill half a town yet spares a church so they
>>> have somewhere to mourn the dead.
>>> the truely (again to me) sad thing about the differing concepts of god
>>> is how their used to validate the harm we do each other.
>>>
>>>
>>> On 22 Mar 2008 00:13:36 +1000, "Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>> <http://ldolphin.org/babel.html>
>>First, I don't think it is only one party that will be on this taxation band
wagon, the bush's are trilateralist also, and others. "a thousand points
of light" " A new world order" That's trilateral talk.

Isn't it funny that China, the biggest polluter on the planet is exempt from

the law and rules the world gov. is trying to put in place. Why? This is all part of the trilateralist plan to shift the money around. The world banks have now set up inside of China.

They are trying to scare people in to a sin tax. It's about money, they want a sin tax to build up the rest of the world. It's about controlling the world economically. They want to restrict what america can build and do in the future.

Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>James McCloskey wrote:

>> The science is about money.

>

>To an extent everything is about money. But that doesn't stop people
>from doing good, conscientious work.

>

>You yourself require money to live. Should we therefore disbelieve
>everything you say?

>

>No, I don't think so. That argument is really reaching.

>

>

> > The science of man made global warming being
>> a major factor is bullshit, and it is not provable.

>

>LOL. The science is hardly bullshit.

>

>What scientists are saying is that there is a strong chance that human
>contributions to greenhouse gases are affecting the climate.

>

>This is because we are dumping large and increasing amounts of
>greenhouse gases into the atmosphere, above and beyond current natural
>cycles. Gases that then stay in the atmosphere for extended periods,
>decades or more.

>

>Keep in mind that greenhouse gases help regulate temperature on earth by

>reflecting back infrared radiation. This is a good thing for our
>survival but it can be overdone.

>

>Since only a tiny fraction of the gases in the atmosphere reflect
>infrared radiation (nitrogen and oxygen don't), it doesn't take much of

>an increase in greenhouse gases to noticeably change the amount of heat

>directed back toward the earth.

>

>There is strong evidence that this is what is happening now, and that

>our contributions are part of the problem. Based on what we know so far

>there is a strong chance this problem will increase over time.

>

>Given that information, it's smart to consider the implications now.

>

>

>> Has the earth heated

>> up a bit, yes, the earth goes threw changes.

>

>True, and true.

>

>And evidence indicates there's a good chance we're involved in the

>current climate change event.

>

>

>> Should we as people stop polluting,

>> yes.

>

>We certainly need to be aware of the chemistry around us and how we

>interact with it.

>

>The ozone situation is a good example. Scientists noticed a change in

>the ozone layer. A human chemical cause was discovered. Policies were

>changed to stop human contributions to the problem.

>

>

>> Should we conserve, yes, I'm a conservative, not a liberal.

>

>Great.

>

>To conserve what we have we need to take into account any evidence that

>shows we may have a problem.

>

>BTW climate change and conservation are not a partisan issues, in my

Subject: Re: To Air is Human
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 17:42:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lorious leaders, who have become so

>>>>>> narcissistically invested in this country's defeat as a political

>

>>>>>> strategy

>>>>>> to accumulate power and "restore American honor", that they

>>>>>> have--wittingly

>>>>>> or unwittingly--decided to sell their countrymen out to the very enemy
>>>>> they
>>>>>> are currently fighting. They mean well, of course. They do it for >those
>>>>>> wonderful 'selfless' reasons--like "peace" and "social justice" and >>>all.
>>>>>> They really support the troops .
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Let's just ignore the fact that they bear considerable responsibility >>>>> for
>>>>>> the ongoing violence and death of our troops as they enable and >>>>>> encourage
>>>>>> the priorities of the enemy. Why should the terrorists alter their >>
>>>>>> homicidal
>>>>>> behavior? It is working! People like Nancy Pelosi are living proof >>of
>>>>> the
>>>>>> effectiveness of their strategy.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> There really is a problem. It's a problem that has been aggravated >>and
>>>>>> encouraged by the left in this country.....you know, the ones >>>who
>>>>>> don't quite understand that they will be the first to be slaughtered >>>
>>>>>> once
>>>>>> they have thrown a wrench in the gears of those who are willing to >>
>>>>>> protect
>>>>>> them. They just do not get it. The Islamists parrot the leftist American >>>>>> Imperialist tripe that the leftists love to hear and ascribe to this >>>
>>>>>> country
>>>>>> but the Islamists do it by design, to dupe the left into helping them
>>>>>> destroy the protectors of the culture that the left hopes to protect >>>by
>>>>>> trying to appease those who will not be appeased until the leftists >>>are
>>>>>> either dead or are kneeling toward Mecca. Once it is too late, they >>>can
>>>>> then
>>>>>> whine about how pissed off they are that there's no separation between >>>>>> mosque and state...right before they are separated from their heads.
>>>I
>>>>>> recognized it years ago. So did lots of other people.....funny, I

> >No, I don't think so. That argument is really reaching.
> >
> >
> > > The science of man made global warming being
> >> a major factor is bullshit, and it is not provable.
> >
> >LOL. The science is hardly bullshit.
> >
> >What scientists are saying is that there is a strong chance that human
> >contributions to greenhouse gases are affecting the climate.
> >
> >This is because we are dumping large and increasing amounts of
> >greenhouse gases into the atmosphere, above and beyond current natural
> >cycles. Gases that then stay in the atmosphere for extended periods,
> >decades or more.
> >
> >Keep in mind that greenhouse gases help regulate temperature on earth by
>
> >reflecting back infrared radiation. This is a good thing for our
> >survival but it can be overdone.
> >
> >Since only a tiny fraction of the gases in the atmosphere reflect
> >infrared radiation (nitrogen and oxygen don't), it doesn't take much of
>
> >an increase in greenhouse gases to noticeably change the amount of heat
>
> >directed back toward the earth.
> >
> >There is strong evidence that this is what is happening now, and that
> >our contributions are part of the problem. Based on what we know so far
>
> >there is a strong chance this problem will increase over time.
> >
> >Given that information, it's smart to consider the implications now.
> >
> >
> >> Has the earth heated
> >> up a bit, yes, the earth goes threw changes.
> >
> >True, and true.
> >
> >And evidence indicates there's a good chance we're involved in the
> >current climate change event.
> >
> >
> >> Should we as people stop polluting,
> >> yes.
> >

> >We certainly need to be aware of the chemistry around us and how we
> >interact

Subject: Re: To Air is Human

Posted by [Ted Gerber](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 17:47:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lusions.

>

>

> > I'm I buying in the this trilateralist

>> plan to shift more money out of the USA, no way!

>

>That's fine. But again you can promote your political views without

>attacking climate scientists or dismissing scientific conclusions.

>

>

>> Back in the 70's, the scientist

>> that started this global warming stuff, was predicting that we would be
in

>> an ice age right now.

>

>Who are you talking about?

>

>

>> You can post all of the contrived research you, it won't change the truth.

>> Choose to believe what you want, man made global warming has not been
proven.

>

>If someone is exploiting scientific data in a partisan way to push a

>certain point of view you happen to disagree with, then fine, argue with

>them.

>

>But don't pretend the data itself doesn't exist. There is a lot of

>peer-reviewed research out there, and it isn't "contrived."

>

>If the only way to "prove" your point is to continue a risky worldwide

>experiment until we possibly screw up the planet for future generations,

>then your proof is too late to be in any way useful.

>

>It's much smarter to look at the decades of evidence we already have and

>make prudent risk management decisions.

>

>Cheers,

> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com
>
>
>
>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>> Wish it were that easy, James. We would be poor stewards of the land

>>> indeed if we carelessly dismissed the evidence to date. It's not
>>> particularly prudent to ignore increasing evidence that shows possible

>>> problems.
>>>
>>> The atmosphere and our use of it to store increasing amounts of unwanted
>>
>>> greenhouse gases is a big enough subject scientifically without being

>>> sidetracked by political and economic conspiracy theories.
>>>
>>> Even if you enjoy discussing perceived conspiracies, be sure you don't

>>> confuse them with the ongoing science.
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> -Jamie
>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>
>>>
>>> James McCloskey wrote:
>>>> What we need is waders and a big shovel to get rid of this load of crap.
>>
>>>>
>>>> "BR" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote:
>>>>> The humanity is facing it's biggest disaster in 100 000 years. Tax
raises
>>>>> won't solve anything, it demand a lot more action than that..
>>>>> BR
>>>>>
>>>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:47e2cfc2\$1@linux...
>>>>>> This is what i've been saying. When Al Gore buys global offsets for
>> ten
>>>>> cents
>>>>>> and sells them for a dollar, it's a big bunch of money making bullshit!
>>>>>> Now this trilateralist bureaucrat bunch of SOBs are going to try to
>> make
>>>>>> it a sin tax that we will all have to pay. You know once the government
>>>>>> gets a tax passed through they will never repeal it. We will be paying

>>>> it
>>>>> for then on! It's a scare tactic to cow the people in to believing
>> this
>>>>> bunch of bullshit. There is no significant man made global warming,
>> it's
>>>>> a lie to shift the wealth out of the United States while these rats
>> and
>>>>> their
>>>>> friends get wealthy doing it.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Mr. Simplicity" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> [http://blog.mlive.com/annarbornews/2007/09/dingell_global_warming_may_req.h](http://blog.mlive.com/annarbornews/2007/09/dingell_global_warming_may_req.html)
>>>>> tml
>>>>>> This is the biggest load of disingenuous hubris I've seen in a while.
>>>>> More
>>>>>> hypocrisy. Whether you get it or not, your intelligence has just
been
>>>>>> insulted and be assured that what this is really all about it preparing
>>>>> you
>>>>>> to be bent over as consumption falls. Expect more of this BS as gas
>> usage
>>>>>> goes down and therefore the tax revenue that a gallon of gas generates
>>>> is
>>>>>> proportionately reduced. To make up for the revenue shortfall, we're
>>>>> going
>>>>>> to get taxed into oblivion.....and I was even sorta' thinking
about
>>>>>> voting for a Democrat for president. The new formula for the American
>>>>>> economy is to tax businesses more so that they will continue to go
>>>>> elsewhere
>>>>>> while screwing the workers who will be losing their jobs.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Gimme some of that.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>There has always been global disasters.

"BR" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote:
>If the global temperature raises with more than 2 degrees celcius, we'll
>have a global disaster. It's no chance that we are going to avoid th

Subject: Re: To Air is Human
Posted by [Ted Gerber](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 17:48:57 GMT

at.
So
>beware, the africans, the south asians and the south americans are coming
to
>knock on our door, and they are hungry, they are thirsty, and they are many.
>And that's just the beginning. This thing is out of control.
>
>Bjorn R
>
>"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:47e41af0@linux...
>> James McCloskey wrote:
>> > The science is about money.
>>
>> To an extent everything is about money. But that doesn't stop people
>> from doing good, conscientious work.
>>
>> You yourself require money to live. Should we therefore disbelieve
>> everything you say?
>>
>> No, I don't think so. That argument is really reaching.
>>
>>
>> > The science of man made global warming being
>> > a major factor is bullshit, and it is not provable.
>>
>> LOL. The science is hardly bullshit.
>>
>> What scientists are saying is that there is a strong chance that human
>> contributions to greenhouse gases are affecting the climate.
>>
>> This is because we are dumping large and increasing amounts of
>> greenhouse gases into the atmosphere, above and beyond current natural
>> cycles. Gases that then stay in the atmosphere for extended periods,
>> decades or more.
>>
>> Keep in mind that greenhouse gases help regulate temperature on earth
by
>> reflecting back infrared radiation. This is a good thing for our
>> survival but it can be overdone.
>>
>> Since only a tiny fraction of the gases in the atmosphere reflect
>> infrared radiation (nitrogen and oxygen don't), it doesn't take much of
>> an increase in greenhouse gases to noticeably change the amount of heat
>> directed back toward the earth.
>>
>> There is strong evidence that this is what is happening now, and that
>> our contributions are part of the problem. Based on what we know so far

>> there is a strong chance this problem will increase over time.
>>
>> Given that information, it's smart to consider the implications now.
>>
>>
>> > Has the earth heated
>> > up a bit, yes, the earth goes threw changes.
>>
>> True, and true.
>>
>> And evidence indicates there's a good chance we're involved in the
>> current climate change event.
>>
>>
>> > Should we as people stop polluting,
>> > yes.
>>
>> We certainly need to be aware of the chemistry around us and how we
>> interact with it.
>>
>> The ozone situation is a good example. Scientists noticed a change in
>> the ozone layer. A human chemical cause was discovered. Policies were
>> changed to stop human contributions to the problem.
>>
>>
>> > Should we conserve, yes, I'm a conservative, not a liberal.
>>
>> Great.
>>
>> To conserve what we have we need to take into account any evidence that
>> shows we may have a problem.
>>
>> BTW climate change and conservation are not a partisan issues, in my
>> view. At the very least, most people don't want to screw up the area
>> where they live, no matter their politics.
>>
>>
>> > Am I buying
>> > in to the liberal's sin tax plan their trying to convince the dummies
to
>> > start paying to save the world, NO WAY!
>>
>> That's fine. But don't confuse any perceived conspiracies with ongoing
>> climate science.
>>
>> You can promote your political views without attacking climate
>> scientists or dismissing scientific conclusions.
>>

>>
>> > I'm I buying in the this trilateralist
>> > plan to shift more money out of the USA, no way!
>>
>> That's fine. But again you can promote your political views without
>> attacking climate scientists or dismissing scientific conclusions.
>>
>>
>> > Back in the 70's, the scientist
>> > that started this global warming stuff, was predicting that we would
be
>in
>> > an ice age right now.
>>
>> Who are you talking about?
>>
>>
>> > You can post all of the contrived research you, it won't change the
>truth.
>> > Choose to believe what you want, man made global warming has not been
>proven.
>>
>> If someone is exploiting scientific data in a partisan way to push a
>> certain point of view you happen to disagree with, then fine, argue with
>> them.
>>
>> But don't pretend the data itself doesn't exist. There is a lot of
>> peer-reviewed research out there, and it isn't "contrived."
>>
>> If the only way to "prove" your point is to continue a risky worldwide
>> experiment until we possibly screw up the planet for future generations,
>> then your proof is too late to be in any way useful.
>>
>> It's much smarter to look at the decades of evidence we already have and
>> make prudent risk management decisions.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>
>>
>>
>> > Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>> >> Wish it were that easy, James. We would be poor stewards of the land
>> >> indeed if we carelessly dismissed the evidence to date. It's not
>> >> particularly prudent to ignore increasing evidence that shows possible
>> >> problems.
>> >>

>> >> The atmosphere and our use of it to store increasing amounts of
>unwanted
>> >
>> >> greenhouse gases is a big enough subject scientifically without being
>> >> sidetracked by political and economic conspiracy theories.
>> >>
>> >> Even if you enjoy discussing perceived conspiracies, be sure you don't
>> >> confuse them with the ongoing science.
>> >>
>> >> Cheers,
>> >> -Jamie
>> >> www.JamieKrutz.com
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> James McCloskey wrote:
>> >>> What we need is waders and a big shovel to get rid of this load of
>crap.
>> >
>> >>>
>> >>> "BR" <

Subject: Re: To Air is Human

Posted by [TCB](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 18:13:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

f="mailto:nospam@nospam.com" target="_blank">nospam@nospam.com> wrote:

>> >>>> The humanity is facing it's biggest disaster in 100 000 years. Tax
>raises
>> >>>> won't solve anything, it demand a lot more action than that..
>> >>>> BR
>> >>>>
>> >>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >>>> news:47e2cfc2\$1@linux...
>> >>>>> This is what i've been saying. When Al Gore buys global offsets
for
>> > ten
>> >>>> cents
>> >>>>> and sells them for a dollar, it's a big bunch of money making
>bullshit!
>> >>>>> Now this trilateralist bureaucrat bunch of SOBs are going to try
to
>> > make
>> >>>>> it a sin tax that we will all have to pay. You know once the
>government
>> >>>>> gets a tax passed through they will never repeal it. We will be
>paying
>> >>> it

>> >>>> for then on! It's a scare tactic to cow the people in to believing
>> > this
>> >>>> bunch of bullshit. There is no significant man made global warming,
>> > it's
>> >>>> a lie to shift the wealth out of the United States while these rats
>> > and
>> >>>> their
>> >>>> friends get wealthy doing it.
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>> "Mr. Simplicity" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
> [http://blog.mlive.com/annarbornews/2007/09/dingell_global_warming_may_req.h](http://blog.mlive.com/annarbornews/2007/09/dingell_global_warming_may_req.html)
>> >>>> tml
>> >>>>> This is the biggest load of disingenuous hubris I've seen in a
>while.
>> >>>> More
>> >>>>> hypocrisy. Whether you get it or not, your intelligence has just
>been
>> >>>>> insulted and be assured that what this is really all about it
>preparing
>> >>>>> you
>> >>>>> to be bent over as consumption falls. Expect more of this BS as
gas
>> > usage
>> >>>>> goes down and therefore the tax revenue that a gallon of gas
>generates
>> >>>> is
>> >>>>> proportionately reduced. To make up for the revenue shortfall,
>we're
>> >>>> going
>> >>>>> to get taxed into oblivion.....and I was even sorta' thinking
>about
>> >>>>> voting for a Democrat for president. The new formula for the
>American
>> >>>>> economy is to tax businesses more so that they will continue to
go
>> >>>> elsewhere
>> >>>>> while screwing the workers who will be losing their jobs.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> Gimme some of that.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >
>
>man has always spoken in metaphoric, allegoric and or euphemistic

terms...except biblicly. ya know steve i don't profess to be right because i just don't know but it seems there are a lot of people so willing to tell me how wrong i am when they're no different than me.

On 22 Mar 2008 06:51:06 +1000, "Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote:

>

>Oh, forgot one important thing.... It wasn't men who came up with the "bright
>idea" to confuse the language, it was Gods.

>BUT it was mans idea to attempt to build the tower and according to what
>the Word is all about, they would have succeded if God hadn't interviened
>with the language confusion.

>

>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote:

>>

>>Rick, I HIGHLY reccomend you crack the book open and read the text for yourself.

>>You keep coming up with all these goofy things about a tree being a tree

>>and swinging a cat around... Man... that's all just foolishness. Please,

>>read it first-THEN bring on the comments. Right now all you are doing is

>>"babelling" about something you don't know anything about. Read the text....

>>It WAS all about all the Babylonians speaking Babalonian. Not a hard concept

>>to understand. God wanted to break their power of agreement so he confused

>>the language and no-one understood each other and the progress of the tower

>>seeced. Why are you fighting such a simple, well known, taught to millions,

>>established story? You are fortunate that there are (just assuming guys)a

>>handful of Believers on this Forum who (you may as well face it) won't back

>>down from the Truth?

>>

>>rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>>again, i know the story is about language, confusion and other bright

>>>ideas men come up with.

>>>sorry, but i have a problem with "men" had one language thing. just

>>>as i have a problem with god being supriised that adam and eve would

>>>partake of the forbidden fruit when told no no no you don't. he's

>>>god...for his sakes... guess he also didn't think of incest when

>>>populating the earth from just one pair of peeps. i mean cain went to

>>>the land of nod and eventually married...who...i don't

>>>know...mom...sis...cousin rita???

>>>i believe god was smart enough to create the laws of physics that

>>>allow certain things to happen under certain conditions and lets the

>>>chips fall where they may. to me god doesn't kill 250 people on a

>>>plane and spare one as a lesson of his devine intervention

>>>capabilities. he doesn't kill half a town yet spares a church so they

>>>have somewhere to mourn the dead.

>>>the truely (again to me) sad thing about the differing concepts of god

>>>is how their used to validate the harm we do each other.

>>>

>>>
>>>On 22 Mar 2008 00:13:36 +1000, "Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>>http://ldolphin.org/babel.html
>>>
>>Point was, you simply launched a diatribe about slavery based on one word
that had nothing, and I repeat, *nothing* to do with slavery. How about
a game of word association, I say, "orange" you say slavery. I say balloon,
you say "slavery". Get the picture yet?

If you want to start a thread about how the word "fabric" offends you, feel
free, but don't pass judgement and make assumptions about my intent in my
reply to DJ. I wasn't talking to you, about you, or for your benefit, whoever
you are.

And I find it extraordinarily offensive that you would assume you have the
right to cry about injustices done to other people over 150 years ago, and
extrapolate that to being a permanent stigma doo

Subject: Re: To Air is Human
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 18:25:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

arth goes threw changes.
>> True, and true.
>>
>> And evidence indicates there's a good chance we're involved in the
>> current climate change event.
>>
>>
>>> Should we as people stop polluting,
>>> yes.
>> We certainly need to be aware of the chemistry around us and how we
>> interact with it.
>>
>> The ozone situation is a good example. Scientists noticed a change in
>> the ozone layer. A human chemical cause was discovered. Policies were
>> changed to stop human contributions to the problem.
>>
>>
>>> Should we conserve, yes, I'm a conservative, not a liberal.
>> Great.
>>
>> To conserve what we have we need to take into account any evidence that
>
>> shows we may have a problem.

>>
>> BTW climate change and conservation are not a partisan issues, in my
>> view. At the very least, most people don't want to screw up the area
>> where they live, no matter their politics.
>>
>>
>>> Am I buying
>>> in to the liberal's sin tax plan their trying to convince the dummies
> to
>>> start paying to save the world, NO WAY!
>> That's fine. But don't confuse any perceived conspiracies with ongoing
>> climate science.
>>
>> You can promote your political views without attacking climate
>> scientists or dismissing scientific conclusions.
>>
>>
>>> I'm I buying in the this trilateralist
>>> plan to shift more money out of the USA, no way!
>> That's fine. But again you can promote your political views without
>> attacking climate scientists or dismissing scientific conclusions.
>>
>>
>>> Back in the 70's, the scientist
>>> that started this global warming stuff, was predicting that we would be
> in
>>> an ice age right now.
>> Who are you talking about?
>>
>>
>>> You can post all of the contrived research you, it won't change the truth.
>>> Choose to believe what you want, man made global warming has not been
> proven.
>> If someone is exploiting scientific data in a partisan way to push a
>> certain point of view you happen to disagree with, then fine, argue with
>
>> them.
>>
>> But don't pretend the data itself doesn't exist. There is a lot of
>> peer-reviewed research out there, and it isn't "contrived."
>>
>> If the only way to "prove" your point is to continue a risky worldwide
>> experiment until we possibly screw up the planet for future generations,
>
>> then your proof is too late to be in any way useful.
>>
>> It's much smarter to look at the decades of evidence we already have and
>

>> make prudent risk management decisions.

>>

>> Cheers,

>> -Jamie

>> www.JamieKrutz.com

>>

>>

>>

>>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>>>> Wish it were that easy, James. We would be poor stewards of the land

>

>>>> indeed if we carelessly dismissed the evidence to date. It's not

>>>> particularly prudent to ignore increasing evidence that shows possible

>

>>>> problems.

>>>>

>>>> The atmosphere and our use of it to store increasing amounts of unwanted

>>>> greenhouse gases is a big enough subject scientifically without being

>

>>>> sidetracked by political and economic conspiracy theories.

>>>>

>>>> Even if you enjoy discussing perceived conspiracies, be sure you don't

>

>>>> confuse them with the ongoing science.

>>>>

>>>> Cheers,

>>>> -Jamie

>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> James McCloskey wrote:

>>>>> What we need is waders and a big shovel to get rid of this load of crap.

>>>>> "BR" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote:

>>>>>> The humanity is facing it's biggest disaster in 100 000 years. Tax

> raises

>>>>>> won't solve anything, it demand a lot more action than that..

>>>>>> BR

>>>>>>

>>>>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>>>>>> news:47e2cfc2\$1@linux...

>>>>>>> This is what i've been saying. When Al Gore buys global offsets for

>>> ten

>>>>>>> cents

>>>>>>> and sells them for a dollar, it's a big bunch of money making bullshit!

>>>>>>> Now this trilateralist bureaucrat bunch of SOBs are going to try to

>>> make

>>>>>>> it a sin tax that we will all have to pay. You know once the government

>>>>>>> gets a tax passed through they will never repeal it. We will be paying

>>>>> it
>>>>>> for then on! It's a scare tactic to cow the people in to believing
>>> this
>>>>>> bunch of bullshit. There is no significant man made global warming,
>>> it's
>>>>>> a lie to shift the wealth out of the United States while these rats
>>> and
>>>>>> their
>>>>>> friends get wealthy doing it.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Mr. Simplicity" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> [http://blog.mlive.com/annarbornews/2007/09/dingell_global_warming_may_req.h](http://blog.mlive.com/annarbornews/2007/09/dingell_global_warming_may_req.html)
>>>>>> tml
>>>>>>> This is the biggest load of disingenuous hubris I've seen in a while.
>>>>>> More
>>>>>>> hypocrisy. Whether you get it or not, your intelligence has just
> been
>>>>>>> insulted and be assured that what this is really all about it preparing
>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>> to be bent over as consumption falls. Expect more of this BS as gas
>>> usage
>>>>>>> goes down and therefore the tax revenue that a gallon of gas generates
>>>>> is
>>>>>>> proportionately reduced. To make up for the revenue shortfall, we're
>>>>>> going
>>>>>>> to get taxed into oblivion.....and I was even sorta' thinking
> about
>>>>>>> voting for a Democrat for president. The new formula for the American
>>>>>>> economy is to tax businesses more so that they will continue to go
>>>>>> elsewhere
>>>>>>> while screwing the workers who will be losing their jobs.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Gimme some of that.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>
>Conspiracies are another matter.
>
>Back to the science: Evidence shows the strong possibility that humans
>contributions to greenhouse gases are currently affecting the climate.
>
>If you suspect that some groups are trying to exploit this data for
>nefarious purposes, then fine, take on those groups. Dig into whatever
>conspiracies you want.

>
>But don't pretend that the scientific data doesn't exist. You can
>promote your political views without attacking climate scientists or
>dismissing scientific conclusions.

>
>Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com
>

As I've said before, there many scientists that say it is not true. And
I'll say, look at what is really behind this. People like Al Gore are profiting
from this, and hanging on to power.

>
>
>James McCloskey wrote:
>> First, I don't think it is only one party that will be on this taxation
band
>> wagon, the bush's are trilateralist also, and others. "a thousand points
>> of light" " A new world order" That's trilateral talk.
>>
>> Isn't it funny that China, the biggest polluter on the planet is exempt
from
>> the law and rules the world gov. is trying to put in place. Why? This
is
>> all part of the trilateralist plan to shift the money around. The world
>> banks have now set up inside of China.
>>
>> They are trying to scare people in to a sin tax. It's about money, they
>> want a sin tax to build up the rest of the world. It's about controlling
>> the world economically. They want to restrict what america can build
and
>> do in the future.
>>
>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>> James McCloskey wrote:
>>>> The science is about money.
>>>> To an extent everything is about money. But that doesn't stop people

>>>>from doing good, conscientious work.
>>>> You yourself require money to live. Should we therefore disbelieve
>>>> everything you say?
>>>>
>>>> No, I don't think so. That argument is really reaching.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> The science of man made global warming being

>>>> a major factor is bullshit, and it is not provable.
>>> LOL. The science is hardly bullshit.
>>>
>>> What scientists are saying is that there is a strong chance that human

>>> contributions to greenhouse gases are affecting the climate.
>>>
>>> This is because we are dumping large and increasing amounts of
>>> greenhouse gases into the atmosphere, above and beyond current natural

>>> cycles. Gases that then stay in the atmosphere for extended periods,

>>> decades or more.
>>>
>>> Keep in mind that greenhouse gases help regulate temperature on earth
by
>>
>>> reflecting back infrared radiation. This is a good thing for our
>>> survival but it can be overdone.
>>>
>>> Since only a tiny fraction of the gases in the atmosphere reflect
>>> infrared radiation

Subject: Re: To Air is Human
Posted by [Ted Gerber](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 18:47:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ming our country, and woven
into every conversation from here to eternity. I won't bother to explain
"fabric" to you since you didn't get it last time.

If you want to play the game of associating yourself with the past for sake
of playing victim, I'm part American Indian (direct descendant), and "we"
were here first, and the first to lose rights and freedoms here. ;-) If
you want to associate history with present day people, why not claim Germany
is racist and doomed because of Hitler's genocidal mania? How about the
Netherlands for the barbarians?

Enough of this. I only came back to be clear about how diluded you are in
your assumptions in this debate. It used to be fun to hang out here and
exchange opinions, however diverse, but this tangent really takes the cake
- must be the twilight zone now. I guess now you'll rant about how "cake"
or the word "twilight" is a "bull****" word as a result of slavery by some
twisted and bizarre association as well. Have fun.

"yah" <Blah@ya-ya.com> wrote:

>

>Why do you think that your opinion is the right one? Are you saying that this

>country

>wasn't built on the backs of slavery? How am I a hypocrite? Ask questions?

>My

>first post was nothing but questions. Having the guts to stand up for

>what you believe in with integrity, honor and respect, but without any pansy,

>pacifist whining and hiding behind labels and stereotypes". are serious?

>Why did it take so long for every man to have the right same rights? Isn't

>that something we were suppose to believe in? Honor? respect? Look,do'nt

>get

>made at me or anyone that confronts you with the truth. I love this country

>just as much as you. If I can say that with your permission. But we

>have alot of work to do. We want to blame everyone else for are problems.(not

>to say we are the cause of all the problems) Think what you want about my

>credibility,my words can stand on there own! This makes them no less true

>

>"Dedric Terry" <dt@noreply.com> wrote:

>>

>>Before you pass judgement, make ill-informed assumptions and become a hypocrit

>>yourself, read the context, ask questions, and attempt to actually understand

>>other people. You just read a single word and extrapolated that into left

>>field.

>>

>>This had nothing to do with race. You might has well launched off a diatribe

>>on the problems with meteor storms on Pluto.

>>

>>And in case you were wondering, by "fabric" I meant guts to stand up for

>>what you believe in with integrity, honor and respect, but without any

>>pansy,

>>pacifist whining and hiding behind labels and stereotypes.

>>

>>Btw - posting like this without your real name is cowardly and destroys

>>any

>>credibility to your opinion, but at least it supports my argument in spades.

>>

>>

>>

>>"Yah" <Blah@ya-ya.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>Listen,

>>>My comments are not about the article,I'm not defending the left. I just

>>>find the statement "the fabric of are country" to be bullshit. We forget

>>>about

>>>are past and pass judgement on others. This is the self-righteousness

|

>>speak

>>>of. As to why I do not post my name? Because of the self-righteousness
>I
>>>speak
>>>of. Wake up! Clean up your backyard. Playing the race card? It could'nt
>>be
>>>played if racism was'nt true and alive today! You may look at my comments
>>>as detour
>>>into left field,but my comments are directed at your comment about the
>"fabric"
>>>of are country. The truth is almost all the founding fathers were slave
>>owners,and
>>>some only freed their slaves after they died. Now there is one thing I
>agree
>>>on
>>>with you is that this place has gone way down!
>>>
>>>"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>And, btw - I can't believe anyone would even dare to post such racist
>
>>>>propaganda as this
>>>>(and that's exactly what it is) when the original post was on radical
>islam,
>>>
>>>>not slavery. Simply amazing.
>>>>
>>>>This forum really has lost it. I'm out. I've really had enough of these
>>>>far fetched bizarre reactions and detours into left field.
>>>>
>>>>"YAH" <bla-bla@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:47e3dde1\$1@linux...
>>>>>
>>>>> The fabric of are nation? What is that? Salvery? segragation? racism?
>>>
>>>>> the
>>>>> KKK?
>>>>> self-righteousness!
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>>I've heard two separate commentaries (one a major news agency, the
other
>>>>> a
>>>>>>Brit's personal rant) about how the UK is catering to the whims of
Islam
>>>>> -
>>>>>>they've restricted the use of the Three Little Pigs story in some schools.
>>>>>>England is funding Islamic schools for their Muslim citizens. They
>are
>>>>>>considering passing Islamic laws in some areas to appease that community

>>>>>(these were echoed in both, separate and independent commentaries -
>two
>>>>>completely different viewpoints seeing the same thing happen). In
effect,
>>>>>the UK is making concessions right and left and getting nothing in
return
>>>>> -
>>>>>just more demands. Forget their own history, people and culture, just
>>>
>>>>>give
>>>>>up in the face of fear of suicide bombers, sarin gas, and extremist
>>>>>protests.
>>>>>
>>>>>The news agency report basically said exactly what you are DJ - the
>US
>>>is
>>>>>doing the same thing - bowing down and letting our "pacifist" leftwing
>>>
>>>>>veil
>>>>>of "peace" and "equality" and "justice" dupe us into giving up those
>>very
>>>>>ideals, playing right into the hands of extremism and even terrorism.
>>>>>That's the paradox that's become our country. And this is just one
>case
>>>>> of
>>>>>how the left is ironically proposing and touting exactly the opposite
>>>of
>>>>>what is the fabric of our country.
>>>>>
>>>>>DT
>>>>>
>>>>>On 3/21/08 7:49 AM, in article 47e3bffd@linux, "Mr. Simplicity"
>>>>><noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> Isn't this cute?
>>>>>> <http://markhumphrys.com/left.islamic.html>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> This one is the kicker though, and elucidates my periodic rants better
>>>>> than
>>>>>> I do:
>>>>>> <http://dineshdsouza.com/books/enemy-intro.html>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Our economy is gonna bounce along the bottom for a while. This wasn't
>>>>>> necessary. Now I hear the Democrats starting to harp on the economy
>>>and
>>>>> tie
>>>>>> it ti Iraq. there is, most definitely, a connection.

>>>>>>
>>>>>> <http://drsanity.blogspot.com/2007/04/selfless-narcissism.htm> I
>>>>>>
>>>>>> and the following excerpt is something I've been ranting about here
>>>and
>>>>>> elsewhere for years:
>>>>>> The Democrats and their g

Subject: Re: To Air is Human
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 19:17:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>> This is because we are dumping large and increasing amounts of
> >>> greenhouse gases into the atmosphere, above and beyond current natural
>
> >>> cycles. Gases that then stay in the atmosphere for extended periods,
>
> >>> decades or more.
> >>>
> >>> Keep in mind that greenhouse gases help regulate temperature on earth
> by
> >>
> >>> reflecting back infrared radiation. This is a good thing for our
> >>> survival but it can be overdone.
> >>>
> >>> Since only a tiny fraction of the gases in the atmosphere reflect
> >>> infrared radiation (nitrogen and oxygen don't), it doesn't take much
> of
> >>
> >>> an increase in greenhouse gases to noticeably change the amount of
heat
> >>
> >>> directed back toward the earth.
> >>>
> >>> There is strong evidence that this is what is happening now, and that
>
> >>> our contributions are part of the problem. Based on what we know so
far
> >>
> >>> there is a strong chance this problem will increase over time.
> >>>
> >>> Given that information, it's smart to consider the implications now.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>> Has the earth heated
> >>>> up a bit, yes, the earth goes threw changes.
> >>> True, and true.

> >>>
> >>> And evidence indicates there's a good chance we're involved in the
> >>> current climate change event.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>> Should we as people stop polluting,
> >>>> yes.
> >>> We certainly need to be aware of the chemistry around us and how we
> >>> interact with it.
> >>>
> >>> The ozone situation is a good example. Scientists noticed a change in
>
> >>> the ozone layer. A human chemical cause was discovered. Policies were
>
> >>> changed to stop human contributions to the problem.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>> Should we conserve, yes, I'm a conservative, not a liberal.
> >>> Great.
> >>>
> >>> To conserve what we have we need to take into account any evidence
that
> >>
> >>> shows we may have a problem.
> >>>
> >>> BTW climate change and conservation are not a partisan issues, in my
>
> >>> view. At the very least, most people don't want to screw up the area
>
> >>> where they live, no matter their politics.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>> Am I buying
> >>>> in to the liberal's sin tax plan their trying to convince the dummies
> >> to
> >>>> start paying to save the world, NO WAY!
> >>> That's fine. But don't confuse any perceived conspiracies with ongoing
>
> >>> climate science.
> >>>
> >>> You can promote your political views without attacking climate
> >>> scientists or dismissing scientific conclusions.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>> I'm I buying in the this trilateralist
> >>>> plan to shift more money out of the USA, no way!
> >>> That's fine. But again you can promote your political views without
> >>> attacking climate scientists or dismissing scientific conclusions.

> >>>
> >>>
> >>>> Back in the 70's, the scientist
> >>>> that started this global warming stuff, was predicting that we would
> be
> >> in
> >>>> an ice age right now.
> >>> Who are you talking about?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>> You can post all of the contrived research you, it won't change the
> truth.
> >>>> Choose to believe what you want, man made global warming has not
been
> >> proven.
> >>> If someone is exploiting scientific data in a partisan way to push a
>
> >>> certain point of view you happen to disagree with, then fine, argue
with
> >>
> >>> them.
> >>>
> >>> But don't pretend the data itself doesn't exist. There is a lot of
> >>> peer-reviewed research out there, and it isn't "contrived."
> >>>
> >>> If the only way to "prove" your point is to continue a risky worldwide
>
> >>> experiment until we possibly screw up the planet for future
generations,
> >>
> >>> then your proof is too late to be in any way useful.
> >>>
> >>> It's much smarter to look at the decades of evidence we already have
> and
> >>
> >>> make prudent risk management decisions.
> >>>
> >>> Cheers,
> >>> -Jamie
> >>> www.JamieKrutz.com
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
> >>>>> Wish it were that easy, James. We would be poor stewards of the land
> >>
> >>>>> indeed if we carelessly dismissed the evidence to date. It's not
> >>>>> particularly prudent to ignore increasing evidence that shows

possible
> >>
> >>>> problems.
> >>>>
> >>>> The atmosphere and our use of it to store increasing amounts of
unwanted
> >>>> greenhouse gases is a big enough subject scientifically without
being
> >>
> >>>> sidetracked by political and economic conspiracy theories.
> >>>>
> >>>> Even if you enjoy discussing perceived conspiracies, be sure you
don't
> >>
> >>>> confuse them with the ongoing science.
> >>>>
> >>>> Cheers,
> >>>> -Jamie
> >>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> James McCloskey wrote:
> >>>>> What we need is waders and a big shovel to get rid of this load of
> crap.
> >>>>> "BR" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote:
> >>>>>> The humanity is facing it's biggest disaster in 100 000 years. Tax
> >> raises
> >>>>>> won't solve anything, it demand a lot more action than that..
> >>>>>> BR
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>>>>> news:47e2cfc2\$1@linux...
> >>>>>>> This is what i've been saying. When Al Gore buys global offsets
> for
> >>>> ten
> >>>>>> cents
> >>>>>>> and sells them for a dollar, it's a big bunch of money making
bullshit!
> >>>>>>> Now this trilateralist bureaucrat bunch of SOBs are going to try
> to
> >>>> make
> >>>>>>> it a sin tax that we will all have to pay. You know once the
government
> >>>>>>> gets a tax passed through they will never repeal it. We will be
> paying
> >>>>>> it
> >>>>>>> for then on! It's a scare tactic to cow the people in to
believing

> >>>> this
> >>>>>>> bunch of bullshit. There is no significant man made global warming,
> >>>> it's
> >>>>>>> a lie to shift the wealth out of the United States while these rats
> >>>> and
> >>>>>>> their
> >>>>>>> friends get wealthy doing it.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> "Mr. Simplicity" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> http://blog.mlive.com/annarbornews/2007/09/dingell_global_warming_may_req.h
> >>>>>>> tml
> >>>>>>>> This is the biggest load of disingenuous hubris I've seen in a
> while.
> >>>>>>> More
> >>>>>>>> hypocrisy. Whether you get it or not, your intelligence has just
> >> been
> >>>>>>>> insulted and be assured that what this is really all about it
preparing
> >>>>>>>> you
> >>>>>>>> to be bent over as consumption falls. Expect more of this BS as
> gas
> >>>> usage
> >>>>>>>> goes down and therefore the tax revenue that a gallon of gas
generates
> >>>>>> is
> >>>>>>>> proportionately reduced. To make up for the revenue shortfall,
> we're
> >>>>>>> going
> >>>>>>>> to get taxed into oblivion.....and I was even sorta' thinking
> >> about
> >>>>>>>> voting for a Democrat for president. The new formula for the
American
> >>>>>>>> economy is to tax businesses more so that they will continue to
> go
> >>>>>>> elsewhere
> >>>>>>>> while screwing the workers who will be losing their jobs.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> Gimme some of that.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>
>

>Remember where I asked you to put aside Al Gore and Exxon for a moment?
Scientifically they don't matter. Don't get distracted.

The scientific point is this: Evidence exists that shows the strong possibility that humans contributions to greenhouse gases are currently affecting the climate.

If you really care about conservation it would be foolish to ignore decades of data in peer-reviewed scientific journals. It would be extremely limiting to cherrypick a few scientists who disagree (or who you think disagree) and ignore the rest.

At some point you're directly participating in a different conspiracy of sorts, as a member of a group that deliberately ignores evidence and attacks the messengers in the process.

How is that helpful?

It's not.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

James McCloskey wrote:

> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>> Conspiracies are another matter.

>>

>> Back to the science: Evidence shows the strong possibility that humans contributions to greenhouse gases are currently affecting the climate.

>>

>> If you suspect that some groups are trying to exploit this data for nefarious purposes, then fine, take on those groups. Dig into whatever conspiracies you want.

>>

>> But don't pretend that the scientific data doesn't exist. You can promote your political views without attacking climate scientists or dismissing scientific conclusions.

>>

>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> www.JamieKrutz.com

>>

>

> As I've said before, there many scientists that say it is not true. And
> I'll say, look at what is really behind this. People like Al Gore are profiting

> from this, and hanging on to power.
>
>>
>> James McCloskey wrote:
>>> First, I don't think it is only one party that will be on this taxation
> band
>>> wagon, the bush's are trilateralist also, and others. "a thousand points
>>> of light" " A new world order" That's trilateral talk.
>>>
>>> Isn't it funny that China, the biggest polluter on the planet is exempt
> from
>>> the law and rules the world gov. is trying to put in place. Why? This
> is
>>> all part of the trilateralist plan to shift the money around. The world
>>> banks have now set up inside of China.
>>>
>>> They are trying to scare people in to a sin tax. It's about money, they
>>> want a sin tax to build up the rest of the world. It's about controlling
>>> the world economically. They want to restrict what america can build
> and
>>> do in the future.
>>>
>>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>> James McCloskey wrote:
>>>>> The science is about money.
>>>>> To an extent everything is about money. But that doesn't stop people
>
>>> >from doing good, conscientious work.
>>>> You yourself require money to live. Should we therefore disbelieve
>>>> everything you say?
>&

Subject: Re: To Air is Human
Posted by [TCB](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 19:31:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

buying
> >> in to the liberal's sin tax plan their trying to convince the dummies
> to
> >> start paying to save the world, NO WAY!
> >
> >That's fine. But don't confuse any perceived conspiracies with ongoing
> >climate science.
> >
> >You can promote your political views without attacking climate
> >scientists or dismissing scientific conclusions.
> >

> >
> > > I'm I buying in the this trilateralist
> >> plan to shift more money out of the USA, no way!
> >
> >That's fine. But again you can promote your political views without
> >attacking climate scientists or dismissing scientific conclusions.
> >
> >
> >> Back in the 70's, the scientist
> >> that started this global warming stuff, was predicting that we would be
> in
> >> an ice age right now.
> >
> >Who are you talking about?
> >
> >
> >> You can post all of the contrived research you, it won't change the
truth.
> >> Choose to believe what you want, man made global warming has not been
> proven.
> >
> >If someone is exploiting scientific data in a partisan way to push a
> >certain point of view you happen to disagree with, then fine, argue with
>
> >them.
> >
> >But don't pretend the data itself doesn't exist. There is a lot of
> >peer-reviewed research out there, and it isn't "contrived."
> >
> >If the only way to "prove" your point is to continue a risky worldwide
> >experiment until we possibly screw up the planet for future generations,
>
> >then your proof is too late to be in any way useful.
> >
> >It's much smarter to look at the decades of evidence we already have and
>
> >make prudent risk management decisions.
> >
> >Cheers,
> > -Jamie
> > www.JamieKrutz.com
> >
> >
> >
> >> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
> >>> Wish it were that easy, James. We would be poor stewards of the land
>
> >>> indeed if we carelessly dismissed the evidence to date. It's not

> >>> particularly prudent to ignore increasing evidence that shows possible
>
> >>> problems.
> >>>
> >>> The atmosphere and our use of it to store increasing amounts of
unwanted
> >>
> >>> greenhouse gases is a big enough subject scientifically without being
>
> >>> sidetracked by political and economic conspiracy theories.
> >>>
> >>> Even if you enjoy discussing perceived conspiracies, be sure you don't
>
> >>> confuse them with the ongoing science.
> >>>
> >>> Cheers,
> >>> -Jamie
> >>> www.JamieKrutz.com
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> James McCloskey wrote:
> >>>> What we need is waders and a big shovel to get rid of this load of
crap.
> >>
> >>>>
> >>>> "BR" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote:
> >>>>> The humanity is facing it's biggest disaster in 100 000 years. Tax
> raises
> >>>>> won't solve anything, it demand a lot more action than that..
> >>>>> BR
> >>>>>
> >>>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>>>> news:47e2cfc2\$1@linux...
> >>>>>> This is what i've been saying. When Al Gore buys global offsets
for
> >> ten
> >>>>> cents
> >>>>>> and sells them for a dollar, it's a big bunch of money making
bullshit!
> >>>>>> Now this trilateralist bureaucrat bunch of SOBs are going to try to
> >> make
> >>>>>> it a sin tax that we will all have to pay. You know once the
government
> >>>>>> gets a tax passed through they will never repeal it. We will be
paying
> >>>> it
> >>>>>> for then on! It's a scare tactic to cow the people in to believing
> >> this

> >>>>> bunch of bullshit. There is no significant man made global
 warming,
 > >> it's
 > >>>>> a lie to shift the wealth out of the United States while these rats
 > >> and
 > >>>>> their
 > >>>>> friends get wealthy doing it.
 > >>>>>
 > >>>>>
 > >>>>>
 > >>>>> "Mr. Simplicity" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
 > >>>>>
 > >>>>>
 http://blog.mlive.com/annarbornews/2007/09/dingell_global_warming_may_req.h
 > >>>>> tml
 > >>>>>> This is the biggest load of disingenuous hubris I've seen in a
 while.
 > >>>>> More
 > >>>>>> hypocrisy. Whether you get it or not, your intelligence has just
 > been
 > >>>>>> insulted and be assured that what this is really all about it
 preparing
 > >>>>>> you
 > >>>>>> to be bent over as consumption falls. Expect more of this BS as
 gas
 > >> usage
 > >>>>>> goes down and therefore the tax revenue that a gallon of gas
 generates
 > >>>> is
 > >>>>>> proportionately reduced. To make up for the revenue shortfall,
 we're
 > >>>>> going
 > >>>>>> to get taxed into oblivion.....and I was even sorta' thinking
 > about
 > >>>>>> voting for a Democrat for president. The new formula for the
 American
 > >>>>>> economy is to tax businesses more so that they will continue to go
 > >>>>> elsewhere
 > >>>>>> while screwing the workers who will be losing their jobs.
 > >>>>>>
 > >>>>>> Gimme some of that.
 > >>>>>>
 > >>>>>>
 > >>
 >Whew!

hey everybody. let's take a break and cool down. Conspiracies are another matter.

Back to the science: Evidence shows the strong possibility that humans contributions to greenhouse gases are currently affecting the climate.

If you suspect that some groups are trying to exploit this data for nefarious purposes, then fine, take on those groups. Dig into whatever conspiracies you want.

But don't pretend that the scientific data doesn't exist. You can promote your political views without attacking climate scientists or dismissing scientific conclusions.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

James McCloskey wrote:

> First, I don't think it is only one party that will be on this taxation band
> wagon, the bush's are trilateralist also, and others. "a thousand points
> of light" " A new world order" That's trilateral talk.
>
> Isn't it funny that China, the biggest polluter on the planet is exempt from
> the law and rules the world gov. is trying to put in place. Why? This is
> all part of the trilateralist plan to shift the money around. The world
> banks have now set up inside of China.
>
> They are trying to scare people in to a sin tax. It's about money, they
> want a sin tax to build up the rest of the world. It's about controlling
> the world economically. They want to restrict what america can build and
> do in the future.
>
> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>> James McCloskey wrote:
>>> The science is about money.
>> To an extent everything is about money. But that doesn't stop people
>>from doing good, conscientious work.
>> You yourself require money to live. Should we therefore disbelieve
>> everything you say?
>>
>> No, I don't think so. That argument is really reaching.
>>
>>
>>> The science of man made global warming being
>>> a major factor is bullshit, and it is not provable.
>> LOL. The science is hardly bullshit.
>>
>> What scientists are saying is that there is a strong chance that human

>> contributions to greenhouse gases are affecting the climate.
>>
>> This is because we are dumping large and increasing amounts of
>> greenhouse gases into the atmosphere, above and beyond current natural
>> cycles. Gases that then stay in the atmosphere for extended periods,
>> decades or more.
>>
>> Keep in mind that greenhouse gases help regulate temperature on earth by
>
>> reflecting back infrared radiation. This is a good thing for our
>> survival but it can be overdone.
>>
>> Since only a tiny fraction of the gases in the atmosphere reflect
>> infrared radiation (nitrogen and oxygen don't), it doesn't take much of
>
>> an increase in greenhouse gases to noticeably change the amount of heat
>
>> directed back toward the earth.
>>
>> There is strong evidence that this is what is happening now, and that
>> our contributions are part of the problem. Based on what we know so far
>
>> there is a strong chance this problem will increase over time.
>>
>> Given that information, it's smart to consider the implications now.
>>
>>
>>> Has the earth heated
>>> up a bit, yes, the e

Subject: Re: To Air is Human
Posted by [TCB](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 20:08:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

(nitrogen and oxygen don't), it doesn't take much
of
>>
>>> an increase in greenhouse gases to noticeably change the amount of heat
>>
>>> directed back toward the earth.
>>>
>>> There is strong evidence that this is what is happening now, and that

>>> our contributions are part of the problem. Based on what we know so far
>>
>>> there is a strong chance this problem will increase over time.
>>>

>>> Given that information, it's smart to consider the implications now.
>>>
>>>
>>>> Has the earth heated
>>>> up a bit, yes, the earth goes threw changes.
>>> True, and true.
>>>
>>> And evidence indicates there's a good chance we're involved in the
>>> current climate change event.
>>>
>>>
>>>> Should we as people stop polluting,
>>>> yes.
>>> We certainly need to be aware of the chemistry around us and how we
>>> interact with it.
>>>
>>> The ozone situation is a good example. Scientists noticed a change in

>>> the ozone layer. A human chemical cause was discovered. Policies were

>>> changed to stop human contributions to the problem.
>>>
>>>
>>>> Should we conserve, yes, I'm a conservative, not a liberal.
>>> Great.
>>>
>>> To conserve what we have we need to take into account any evidence that
>>
>>> shows we may have a problem.
>>>
>>> BTW climate change and conservation are not a partisan issues, in my

>>> view. At the very least, most people don't want to screw up the area

>>> where they live, no matter their politics.
>>>
>>>
>>>> Am I buying
>>>> in to the liberal's sin tax plan their trying to convince the dummies
>> to
>>>> start paying to save the world, NO WAY!
>>> That's fine. But don't confuse any perceived conspiracies with ongoing

>>> climate science.
>>>
>>> You can promote your political views without attacking climate
>>> scientists or dismissing scientific conclusions.
>>>

>>>
>>>> I'm I buying in the this trilateralist
>>>> plan to shift more money out of the USA, no way!
>>> That's fine. But again you can promote your political views without
>>> attacking climate scientists or dismissing scientific conclusions.
>>>
>>>
>>>> Back in the 70's, the scientist
>>>> that started this global warming stuff, was predicting that we would
be
>> in
>>>> an ice age right now.
>>> Who are you talking about?
>>>
>>>
>>>> You can post all of the contrived research you, it won't change the
truth.
>>>> Choose to believe what you want, man made global warming has not been
>> proven.
>>> If someone is exploiting scientific data in a partisan way to push a

>>> certain point of view you happen to disagree with, then fine, argue with
>>
>>> them.
>>>
>>> But don't pretend the data itself doesn't exist. There is a lot of
>>> peer-reviewed research out there, and it isn't "contrived."
>>>
>>> If the only way to "prove" your point is to continue a risky worldwide

>>> experiment until we possibly screw up the planet for future generations,
>>
>>> then your proof is too late to be in any way useful.
>>>
>>> It's much smarter to look at the decades of evidence we already have
and
>>
>>> make prudent risk management decisions.
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> -Jamie
>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>
>>>
>>>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>>> Wish it were that easy, James. We would be poor stewards of the land
>>

>>>>> indeed if we carelessly dismissed the evidence to date. It's not
>>>>> particularly prudent to ignore increasing evidence that shows possible
>>
>>>>> problems.
>>>>>
>>>>> The atmosphere and our use of it to store increasing amounts of unwanted
>>>>> greenhouse gases is a big enough subject scientifically without being
>>
>>>>> sidetracked by political and economic conspiracy theories.
>>>>>
>>>>> Even if you enjoy discussing perceived conspiracies, be sure you don't
>>
>>>>> confuse them with the ongoing science.
>>>>>
>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> James McCloskey wrote:
>>>>>> What we need is waders and a big shovel to get rid of this load of
crap.
>>>>>> "BR" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> The humanity is facing it's biggest disaster in 100 000 years. Tax
>> raises
>>>>>>> won't solve anything, it demand a lot more action than that..
>>>>>>> BR
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>> news:47e2cfc2\$1@linux...
>>>>>>>> This is what i've been saying. When Al Gore buys global offsets
for
>>>> ten
>>>>>>> cents
>>>>>>>> and sells them for a dollar, it's a big bunch of money making bullshit!
>>>>>>>> Now this trilateralist bureaucrat bunch of SOBs are going to try
to
>>>> make
>>>>>>>> it a sin tax that we will all have to pay. You know once the government
>>>>>>>> gets a tax passed through they will never repeal it. We will be
paying
>>>>>> it
>>>>>>>> for then on! It's a scare tactic to cow the people in to believing
>>>> this
>>>>>>>> bunch of bullshit. There is no significant man made global warming,
>>>> it's
>>>>>>>> a lie to shift the wealth out of the United States while these rats
>>>> and

>>>>>> their
>>>>>> friends get wealthy doing it.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Mr. Simplicity" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> [http://blog.mlive.com/annarbornews/2007/09/dingell_global_warming_may_req.h](http://blog.mlive.com/annarbornews/2007/09/dingell_global_warming_may_req.html)
>>>>>> tml
>>>>>>> This is the biggest load of disingenuous hubris I've seen in a
while.
>>>>>> More
>>>>>>> hypocrisy. Whether you get it or not, your intelligence has just
>> been
>>>>>>> insulted and be assured that what this is really all about it preparing
>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>> to be bent over as consumption falls. Expect more of this BS as
gas
>>>> usage
>>>>>>> goes down and therefore the tax revenue that a gallon of gas generates
>>>>>> is
>>>>>>> proportionately reduced. To make up for the revenue shortfall,
we're
>>>>>> going
>>>>>>> to get taxed into oblivion.....and I was even sorta' thinking
>> about
>>>>>>> voting for a Democrat for president. The new formula for the American
>>>>>>> economy is to tax businesses more so that they will continue to
go
>>>>>> elsewhere
>>>>>>> while screwing the workers who will be losing their jobs.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Gimme some of that.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>> Racism is alive today and it goes both ways. Want to know what pisses me
off? The Black Job expo, the Black phone book and the Black everything else
that is exclusionary. You can't have it both ways. Then again I'm pissed
at old people getting cheaper movie tickets. hehe

JohnI would have loved this to be a conspiracy, or some politicians agenda. It's
not. Nobody benefits from this.

Bjorn R

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:47e424e1\$1@linux...
>

> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
> >
> >Conspiracies are another matter.
> >
> >Back to the science: Evidence shows the strong possibility that humans
> >contributions to greenhouse gases are currently affecting the climate.
> >
> >If you suspect that some groups are trying to exploit this data for
> >nefarious purposes, then fine, take on those groups. Dig into whatever
> >conspiracies you want.
> >
> >But don't pretend that the scientific data doesn't exist. You can
> >promote your political views without attacking climate scientists or
> >dismissing scientific conclusions.
> >
> >Cheers,
> > -Jamie
> > www.JamieKrutz.com
> >
>
> As I've said before, there many scientists that say it is not true. And
> I'll say, look at what is really behind this. People like Al Gore are
profiting
> from this, and hanging on to power.
>
> >
> >
> >James McCloskey wrote:
> >> First, I don't think it is only one party that will be on this taxation
> >band
> >> wagon, the bush's are trilateralist also, and others. "a thousand
points
> >> of light" " A new world order" That's trilateral talk.
> >>
> >> Isn't it funny that China, the biggest polluter on the planet is exempt
> >from
> >> the law and rules the world gov. is trying to put in place. Why? This
> >is
> >> all part of the trilateralist plan to shift the money around. The
world
> >> banks have now set up inside of China.
> >>
> >> They are trying to scare people in to a sin tax. It's about money,
they
> >> want a sin tax to build up the rest of the world. It's about
controlling
> >> the world economically. They want to restrict what america can build
> >and

Subject: Re: To Air is Human
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 20:53:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Page 65 of 129 ---- Generated from The PARIS Forums

4UgthzQin2uu2BWYaimNIXEigoxqO2KtEkmp6/dh6K0NzQk0xSuoNqdPE4hF
rikZA3r4jphVv7P2RT6cUUsJYn4TTFLYcr13/DBSt1XfphV3IeNMFquoB8QY
Co7YUrfhoAT92CkN+oi9Seta40rmkioSoP0nDSSocn6718MlstF//9DzSwYb
np2yVJc5B6bDtTFaUsUNg0xVsHFNLqjwxQ2PHFLZQ4oaGKrwwVsbNfV3Xa
mKQtqeW3QYq7YnpXFS3WvToMUNp0NMVdU/TgtNLIe2AobDe2KthqmgH04qu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5diDUU8DgtV4QkcmB+7bG0BxAJNe2wxLJYdtqY0gtgE9sC2uCjwrhpC4IW+G
n0YFcFoduo64pbl/tXS0F7nFivCFjtWuEKtCsOhlwq6rjoTtkVa5SU/jTCFV
QfhFakewwopwdlHSvzxSsYgnFJcFTxp3BxVsrU4ocAR2wKuEb9RvXG1XcXPb
p2xtWxEfcPG1bERqRUHbDurvTPSp44q/wD/0/NckhY0ACr2Udskqma4pW8T
XcYqF1NsUtrixbHhirYBGBV1D/ZhVcBtgVcvTcVwqr29nc3Uixwxl5G2UDI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2UGRPry4qlZ5vQNK8v2/L6uaJMxAjVqUG9O9a+OljLub5S2ThPLmnwStBVSe
JJWdjWidWA8FPhluPHI82vxEDc2TQyf6Op+pqWeQiqcvhrUmorjPFIHYs4yH
VLNW083lpHcFkLECqBqDhWpU7fy/tZVKHmzjJiGpraM0jSyOeNPTjUFj17mg
yIERzLIA1sEhks4Z5hKsB2NSKUBHhkeKHez4SnVqYYCS0MixrRt+Q3r0ORMo
AsRAo64vrAsOMfoiq8uZYhq9t64mQ6BIFBaJxcCsT+pAkgVkSpND7U/zXJcZ
7mJCVaroEV3EVZaI5PH4hUk1oFWmESl3rueD+YvLSwxCW2X93IRwdgCK+x+
eZEZS6sCAWE3cDxPxxKEg9xloYkKPJB+yKdPp+/Fi20w4+mFHH5CpwUFa5oF
oUQ16VrX8Mkt7H4ggB8NzihbwQvUqAB2xVcSpFaDvTbDukBYvQk0+Q64raoP
TIC0UeIJIPz64oWMIbjvQeFf1YCI1EA6sH8QRSmKt+mINyS3v/Zjul3DbiWA
JxQ4JTckFvbfFVY8BQ03O5FOMKS3RONdqfl+OBX/1fNFCF6bHJJcKYocpofb
3wq2GG4P0Yq6qgU47998Cadyr2pihejU2G5Pjvja0qOWNFrWmKso8keTp9dv
1Dgpax0aaQigC/PKcsyOTVMkmg+lvJvLS9JjhtlFjhVj8cvEYVMKqCTU/Tk
lYl3NkcfDHZ6JaWNjaswkiQQKhZiACPChPfp8OX+pjXepF7O5lmFnZLMPgC8
RRg42FDQV6/zZGYJ5NgHeUmvltQ0u748eSyRtJMI25INuUcq71/IOVgGJ3L
liMuS+10bXNbHARWsreQ0M7V+wAP2QKN/wALybCSCsSIIMrX8vtKitGlued3
LIGPAOCrMTsajyp/LIYxj+JkZ2i08s6BDGpS2HquFPps68q+4+JfnkPCgOi
jJJC3OiaQEcwQL6ikgOOJ48TybtvlkYgDYKZEncpXd20cKkmP1JGQdQrKT1r
xYbZA3ewZA7JPPd2zWqySWsbl8jRnZQAU+HZacuvxE5MkkckCJBKQX9IZG4I
T0/RC0IIT4TuduQAWuQIGV7FIOyVXolarBWOC6DRA8o4pBsTy5da/DtkeGXV

NoP/AEG+sZrdo/SmQM4joFJ6lgDTBy5lQHnPmfy48TfWVVnhfdWlqQOldsyY
THe1lhNxAlYSpIFcu2Y0o8d+pFDsa02xYlaeXi1e++EK3xYgHl86jFFuKcTs
258fDHdLdR0BqT0lxV3wDck17Ef7WAKvVgE7n6d/1Y2tL0kDbVINOv8AmMQU
U0ERqsWO3WIBvhS3IIWp49j/ALWBK0sn8uwFA24ONBDQZCdwSfY4paZ0VjVT
sOhriKVvkacOHav8cCv/1vNBjIBOSVqopilw33pih3xDpirgSevXFJbAOKqi
jblXcdKYOTryz5bvdcv47aCgStXkNdh7UrXlyLXodbDm+oPI35XQWdrBambh
JltJOWwqN2BH7J75RGEybtnH0jlu9GtfKmnSy/V7WeZoYgVlIO618VPepGEY
yORbOljm03lf6rJllvdylyjlxm5NG/foBQfRk+E97HiCEW+hhuhYWzLLdHkw
KcieTL8RUtQf80LjEgHcrIWm9j5YgttoxqN5L9YmRDIsX+61cAFSCu1Vp9qmM
xHmoNbdE5S/tfRSLcSCJZAHqfhag+10P3/5WDiFlkOqGW/tZLekBREWqBq8A
K7DiB88hYplG6AtLuEadD8afW4oyGRDTkBspG9ceM9zZIG1E36XkAkjKLEQV
YKcVfQAITX9rb9r7WCOTJ3l4Qx++kRHWQEqofYAliDQnjv0yJjK+bNj8vqCv
71Sl3ZqVrx2qx/H/AILAYnvZ3aEXhRlXMr9OIPLoVG30/F0x4R3sCOiw/V5U
mZSwBK8XNfhFa0r0ovT/ACmwER70b2k2pW0MxYAFcQu8MgFTVT28fflHhZ7p
Cl39bkFINGpkCEKWpxceA/yh4ZlGuQRJ5r5n0qazneMceO5Kg19+tMy4Sscm
JjTFjUE9zk2unVnK1w2hwXvyGKFsjJtTria0MD2p742lcKH54LQq/DTY0p0A
3/XhCrAR36A4quDRkgkA4FXGdw1KBq98CrS6ncjphTa9ZAAKGgxQ07sw8ab
U98bVZzfn9rfphS//9fzPTJt36sVt221ehxQ3UioXYePtiras1QBSnv2xS6
u9KjFCtbx+rlkY6sQMSiRoPf/wAsPKcthbW120dJp2rGpIIUDoab0zFmL6sc
IBsl7do+jahxRpQV9NwMtkwYkMaDbq38vX4stGPYbthkE3ge+tHkeetvbiOj
CMh6MvwqGKn37ftYnDEl4iSEvbXldGgUGS4kHpRKqkfbP2ian5ZVOUeVtohR
tN9H0yx0uRP92ahJ8NzdyAnkzCpjXwAGliALDAmzZRd/rkEluoSx5oAvAgKB
yHfke9cmcm3JAiebFdX8y20BMYkFTRREHHJQBsa4LFfu+zlJmebkY4A9Em/x
LcySBoo3lEZqCq1Yr7swX/rnKTnLIR0ppu41/U7sGRYSs5YVkc0PEjoQvj2y
Jz95THSHpyWvrWo8v7r97RXVkuRI+yR4Yx1EepT+SkgLjWXjE/wcH9QkEqT
v49Th8WHen8rJlpdVu3ZiYSR14CgBPia/a/ycBywSNKQEI99qFFEiBkHRTQj
xHcd/s5HxY9yRpwFFtV1FAQyrJFWpi47V6D5/wCThGRjLTxTNL6PVreVIVMV
6w3LMNhh2VV+jLYZL6OHlx8LHb7S3VvW1YFoPJew6Fwd9+gy0cTWx3WLQ6zZS
TMoNzCCZAorv2ByUSQm72eY3kDQTFWXYjkKjxzltqKEYHwoMLFaQKe+FVIR4
b4q0CKkEYFXxlQak0phKtileuBVy8CACu/jiq4RgnYGvz2xtbbMZ+GhB/HcQ
mzd6DI7Yq2X2ApvTeuDdWmO/w7dsKaW8DTv1+1gRb//Q80Gh98krVQDil1f9
rFDVBTfFV3wg+P4YqV1WNDIRNvy38qrqrQvcNSFGA4Fa8j/AC12+k5TMjk0
TJls+qPJen2kd26RQt6NmtTxPEtJ1ZzSp+AbKuQgl31b5ChTOrK6t2tmuwpe
dnCBn2pxGwA+nLDkiEiJqkbHeWr85JpKB3CJDIPsIR2HfphK0AdyXaSPWjl
1i7UK8j01tF2WNTRTUE/tlgXuQmZ3ockHe3mnmFrOSpTkJfritR45S3KpoPi
o32F/awTJ7kjvYh5j8131nlsUkkdwy8+EhWrEV/aJ/V/NIBmQN3Jx4r5ILRN
lv8AUK3WoceMvxlGFAF6itO7ZrM+WUuRdtjwil5bsmtrCFEahgoNQR860+n
Mb4t0jsqzW8ZopAYde344KHesSULLDzUqDy33r4YgQbomkFc2twbnGWPTJc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nqmiYZZK+p61Gkh5Rg/EzE/ZB2+I/tHomYOp2HN2uki9PsreKO2RKkbAltcl
5A73KnJHLbol6kCldvnh9LQchWNbMFrTrgsMxNBXCKCKbV/WMeLycmBQN0SW
4kV8R1w8Z7m+I2WXEfJl6EEkcj2HSh8cPqITE80p1BY4+KlySR0NONAd2OCI
kyISHWPijFGGoQDVTt79f15djB73Gyhg+rRhuTMKsD3NTmZEebhTKzyLr0mn6
02nszLb3p9OoNDUb0Pj8suMdrdfmNFIHmnQWt7lIX1FQBqAfCA1DVq775aJ
CtmiTzbzFpyzW7XHAD6tIYKNsTSrVr/q5OMkVYYDLGqyNt3y9rktIDFRTYeO
EIUQpHvTvih3Ek7d8VcUam/ftjatA8TsfXG1XAV+KvelMVbKMxPSO74qp7+
GFW6g7dMCruJA3xVo7Hb7sbCabqOHt4VHX7sC2//0vMxNMkm2sUN1BFO+KQX
fPFXD2xQm/ljTxv9VjBCxggyORUAV2+EfaP+TkJyr3sJ70H1V+X+ISWeISN
GysyclluSMtGCjr8h+zIMCbSlgCg9She3t/qtzRRBKlaMVbi5+Ev+H+yy3ik
T5l4aCnd3aw6+1lM6mKQclpG2jA41Wu23vgllDth3CXT6jd3XmuygU1tyWYg
mgIALAEnr/IHISu+blj0WEJrOp8ZZ4LIBJDGWVVUPQgdAa9R8shwd5ZkMA1k
xkOyMaoCAOIBAO9N8ZAd7OJBKp5CWFUlmckyOwFN2BH3eOajPVu800fTT0WO
4RqUNRsaU+nMUEdyhWyYesGj+CpPXLlz8nHMD1Ga5Kg8gaeFcST3NkYIKd6
gsFrTwwVJviKS+VpiDTjuKUONFYQEK7SkcfUIIG6gEgU7nr/ALHhPeyNIO7
h4qHZ+opWld+3tjSbDHNS5AsS9KVBpTYDp1yzHTRnYPrctGfh23zYQAp1uRh
93eyW14l1GaSQMJp+BOZUBYpwsM72e/1G31ry/Y3Jl4ySxfAzL8Pw0HGo3FO
382RgSRTjQltbzDXQ4hureSnqTSql+BJUIgPj+vLha9WE31uEkYGu1KfOmWD
kgoOg407r9OFrQ5XfI0+WFKzlQ71GNlp1Ty8T2xStcNXb+uFDW1d8iqpSPic
pPlDR40wqtPLbbrh2Wm+XA1oDXAFdueux8MKtfHuBtXvgpVTjtw5b06Ypp//
0/MxHWv0ZJVuKabrt7+OK07fFbbU74oZr+WEdbilptHlrAgb126V27ZVlap
fU+INFeeJJwnxQKzyMwU1oxqsm33VwY8feWwk9GQw6heTWgWGFZYCyIOXjQt
x2+ECvlfy/Zw0L5oiU2j8uvJZJ9euJGgB5PbBwVQAEj4qFiwPvxyBjbISS3Q
UsYfMF6yzh0tlaxtISQPVIBpQVNMglxtsnxUGtXlvRamQT28tB9rny6ew3pv
4ZMxTGQLz/zDdxW1u7sP7xSJJGVl5MTUceh45CXDXm2YwSUZ+X8q/ovm3wc3
Ykfr/tzU5zvsHf6bkzmCaPgPhpSvQUBHicxxfczjkoJy6hfiA7EADD6micWp
S24Ykqfl3x9TKNIWZ2LUQVB6/Rg4T1LbEISQGpZevgRjwjyb48lkzKFYFuMn
ahArT5YKioS24njZC3VepI6Htt/xrhHCUmwXrVeDOOP2QPpU+/8AxrmRCh0c
bKTbCddjAmKgUG5BG+ZkDs4WRgmrv++YDdSCKe2ZkBs66Zos9/LrWXv/ACjN
YuBIYj6TletAeS0lOxpjwblwozMZkdEHq9pb+hK9spbhII5l9w3GIR0yURQ5
s5c2AalbsqsjhQwU12J8PDfJgsz5JUjbgVrUEEfTk2qQ3c4/ZA/hiqid+orTG
02s2xQuoaU8e2KtdTQDb2wK2qV36DCi1Qo+xoGp4YhKw1O5G3h74VDFXqkUx
V1R8XQV7YFa+CIO3jhV//9TzMTUHHJKGSWRaxQ3Wg264q7FDOvy4jmiuhccRJ
ENuLHiK9a1r2ynJVtR3lb6A8tWt9dRxMzfV7aY8F9VuRbboFApTYfa+yuRiY
tki9SkubSO1jUqDBCryBCBiOpI8dskTEi0CJ96VeYPMTxRyNDLH9Vkv+LP0
HJqg0U+HTK5TAbOR70l8kTW0t7dW0qNKZlyVCmgZTUIOjd1+HBiMSVymqK3U
dVslLdwLeSsTn0onCrQjbZ1HJh7ZaZx7kCBPV5/5kma5jNxM5iCciw3lAGwF
PD/jbKpEnkHJhzpkXkMu+hWuCAjV22rTr1Nc0+aR4iA9Bph6bZhbs5Xj6g2G
7E1l375TUu9nLvpG29QB+8AJ8d8eHzaZ7rpzViOR32rv2wEDvRFBSkRyAFjg
9LkR3CHmaNArbljtV/HCCO5tgI3ZfkQoPEk+w+nCD5M9gFWJFmt+ewUHjSm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AGOMOe6JSMjQ5MY0TUY31mZkZLe7kYLayMaR8IJorNsRz6VwiZB2ZzG2/JEa
5e3a/wC9OmOLmUFealljr0qNskJySAHnXmSWWKza1dh68pVZAp3Ck+B9tshl
yDkY9zbOfJKImkWobiFKDgP2eSk0pmnyxsnd3ml0KHJktpMvqEMvBWJJFABW
u9CRIZjHvbN0q1X81PJehztb/WH1G9AINrZj1eJH+/H2Vf8AgsysGiMt62db
qNWlmrY7cfn/AHbyiP8ARUkFqvwQ8PljXlYnfM78gAHBGrAPJFQfnJos4Lz
2tyhr8KcVcAA7nkjMd8xp6CXQBzcXaEOuypD+ZfIK9uolS/SAGo9G5/dMWps
AHoMx5abIOjnw1mM8in2isl4nrRsDEQ5LChXiB02ygiYlu5JyR4dku07WbS3
uZrS9mSOBwaTSMAtQaNWuTOOR5MZ5ABZYT5w/MzQ4JJLaykS441QyVotAex6
nM/Do585F1WfXxqovNtT88z3D/A540pRB/E1zOjpYh1ktXl8mL6lqt/OzO3M
KxryOXCADT4hKD0/WL+zuxcQTFJh0frh4AWB3euflfr7ahp95FdRG61SEn0p
GUHmHIPJj1LLvQZXPYckCNnc7NecbfUXhLLQmvKrFjuPuyEDIHdvgQ8zuoiJ
SxNTXc9MsYzWKWMDf6+/ti1hYGPQ9fwwqsB367YFVITqaV74sQVjLvWgpkmS
mV3B6eOCiWlt+v040q9mFOmNK7I332wK1Uk1UdOv04Vb4bUqeNa1+jwxRT//
1vMx6ZJVuLJ2KC7FbbHXEOev/l6lxo9jG7UMMqlizKWHJ6V5AdVpTbMWUieS
Mlvd6bpd1cXcUlvpvJyoVnFCIVDVFSx3HtgM5VTbte7J/L2i3dtp7J6sb3U
kctVjr8PBRwWrKD35Nt8eECaOLdD2fmBPqAgMv7ziyurFqkE71p771yVTPNG
wOyT6focuqvPcQyxwWAP7uacndhsQvc0b7X8uDwyTzTKdlnU9M8yrZww29/D
NCyjk7SsSPmr9KD+XCYYCgi3m3mSBNNumiuJlnuKgtKASCTuApNDvkMkSXMx
Hqntl+YHI3SNDt45boetFQvEnSg6rU+OYcdlZm3OOSjCNXuWpZV+c2o36TWt
jK8Nu+wEZ4kDp1+10zNx6KEd+rr82vnLb6QxLyvoev8AmC7eHT3MYrV5Caf7
eZdAODdspv8A8p9VsoTLe3ISBU7nCsF1BJNOllgvGLqafCxGKLQ0ms6jOOM
8plHblvhZCVMp8oedvNdi407SnklaY0WAE0P45RkxQG8nlhmdokqfm2+8zr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SJXUVooJYj4VNa0qenTEclHNSe9G6B5YtHSXWdfjdTC9lbR9wD/M3dv8lclj6
Sa6KbKe2moWltZrJYQ+keBBSleCUFdhUsNztlgMCg80N5gupbO04xBZrxo6y
PKS3BfFO5qfs5LjA6M8Y4qkeTy3zVqMvpJLK3OapqxFEUU6KOtfngBMm8AA2
nvkGaZdLiYgGrha7mhNSa/OuazUAmRdzpJ+nd6ZpBhniaKdqxSKVkvjXIXr
t9OYdSBc7lCYvIDz75WuPLvmjUNOKlo45WMLU6xtupH0HOkw5BKILyWfHwTI

YzTqPDfLbaKtoilPfEJ4U10aymeQTLGWaoEagVJY9KDJSIQTGNmg+mfJ+iTa
L5csrNtrkj1pWNPheT4iv0ZzWozCUyaew0mDgxiKB/M+x+u6ULulWkjlkYDq
w2PXLNNI9XJp1MP SQ8DTTZLqN41Xk0ZIIHUGZuhOubzMsZvZJrjTpInKiuW2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VQAKg16dMxZ4/N2cJGkF+YPkiw84WVtLHOLTVrdD6U5HNXXrwkpRuv2Wy/Sa
oYJR5FxnZ2f4osemTxq6/KTziZDH+jg0o/3ZHInFvfqM2f57Ed7dOezMwPK0
LYflF55nueLacyKh+JpCFX7yckddiA5oh2fmJ5U9c/L/APLu20C6S+1eSN7t
RS2iX7Ebfzb/AGm8M1uo1xntEbO40vZnhHilvJnFxfae3LhUy7LGAO53Pt88
wjxOxBo0xzzDzu9MngBLMhqw9u4y6HECGnJRBeMXcH6I101B9KQ15HYb5th6
ovPZR4c2RNpOkXqhp7dWrv6gPHt7dfHMYZJR5Fy/BhMWQl+p6R5ct4SYrepU
dSxO9NqjL4Zpnq05MGOI2YPqcgflxUKPAbDMuldfJN/lcGni4e7vQrRQ0IRx
VS/bkD+wMhkJvZpqzun115msklm9GGJUf4d40IHcZHhJbaAYlq1zFJIXUEK
1SCIACfl2y0Wt2ISuC9F2rhLWVdAPhO5PfAhFKKU6mu/hkdkOIL1CdfDtklp
pVDHfanRfE42VWsWUkt8I8BvilodN/teH8cNK4/E1Canf2rirTCooNqYEHYV
LNyLVO52wodyFOH7FK071p/XG0v/0vMnc5JNOxWnYrTsVbFK79MStM98q3ca
WUcccnpzK1ULCqMCKEVpVTIUiO5hA09I8pQSSLPqV7GXht6Rxlx5LzJO+47Z
WZ71TMblmFvrzSQeg7qtz0YMaKwHT+3JiRrkj6SxbU7zUBKYhGzmtlloGq3W
i964LI1bb6t2Vp5ytJZL/wCrzAyJxaMghSvXdTkfUtgpPrXmGSLkHtytXlTy
AFBU7U2O2MYkttBhFzqN5eamDMQvE0CDw+muTnGgyB3ekaTJHLpghqAwWvEd
61+L+AzVSA2mOapBdFJSD8L7Cq+wyBEXOGWQ2Gpuka8adRRVoMokI25ImaT
JLn1KVG67rQV28QMF+TZzThrmxgs0LenzII6Eddth88sjM9zTGNmy871rVYW
1Zl2qYQayUJ3p075eBKtmOeQulj67pikLHcBKH+7JFBTw+nB4UurQMsQn+g6
ppdx6jXcxUujcCepbqOg/jlUsZtu47Gzyzz49uLlmWIQ1VNa7kmoObHTDZ02
uAtFeVJje6QT9r0W49K/In2yrMBGTLREyiR3JX5irHGwptVgemX4aYakUGB3
bmh/DM0OsKK8sgTXsdrI3G3kceu3+T9HjkJ8tmk3ezKtaGhBA8OnuEbaKRgF
rTr0wRjLq3x4aYnfKtawLRTvv1ywBjYQCgB/i/txLCSLjABGxpTAhFRlePxC
oPcYLQtL8G/UO9MNPXGp69fE40hRI7jqe/SuKQ7YLtt74qFpNSOpO9BirqMw
oBX2PbCIYOXJidqVxtS3V/UpQV40pkrV/9PzKadskm2sUuxV2LF2KbZR5KH1
nUre0ldlt2kBkCbMQorQHfrTK5k9GqWxe36Zd2TaebZyYF5NE4Wnw90Yj9rt
T+blgyZ/SdkBeQS+pzaWi7IXjcENTuF6rg9RZkhrycYjqM9xMTJLCQFDMWO9
T1r344mMu9hLnSbX3mV5C0ct6bZ0PSFSSK7AV8PGmRN97eRTAPMOryXLESSi
5eOo9agUt/rU6tkhEDqzDF0IN6ZTTk1CtdqkdcMxsmMt2f8Al24U27UbfjTv
8812QAOyxIMrpE5F0FVO4J25A+B/z+HKifJz8ZtG6fOvEMB9rw2/2shu5UaT
yC4DRkDqAKEnavalMhUm3iFIG+1MiFmDKFSO2B2NegrIgiWHGk//Ql8wNeS
TMYwaKJR9pTWtQDk5zMSA4JJkbQep/ILb1cx6pL6vUMyDjt8t8u/NVz5OLPR
mV7sP1GbWfLXKGaUTxdI5UNa09jl0YQycnGGSeLY7sO1TWrzUZuUhlXoBmVH
GI8nCzZjM7sx/L+/ltYbiCRS0U4A603zE1MQTbkaCVSN8iiPN3ExNQD6OmOn
LI6zk86um2NO+Z9uoKvo0r+skKPwaRxufuyEg0y2ZpqUFj6VGuiHCj4SfhJp
4AbZGINN0eW7C9R5hz8XldqdMtClBrTmK9O+JLVJMUtbkCOMC0BFR14ilOIG
C0KbxtQsaDwwslJ6qQCa07DFVpf7QYjvbTfGlcFblQUP0f0wWwq7cHoa9v7MI

VbViCfiAHXCpWMDSvj0xpbdl+sVoOXh7YaS/wD/1PMmSUOXZOxV2KHYppP/
ACdPNDrMEsYJ4HkwUVNBschNoy8wz+81BmlNxDK0Un8wUkMB8q5ExLdatpf6
U1ecLE7QoB8c7KRRf5Rt1/IGVkv1ZA9yf2GI2+m6zFYxOUqG9RgPjdl3+I96
nGY7yxloWndxrenaNGGUpCWBqqRc67/tt88gMYlzbK3ec+Y/M2kzmV47ZS7D
ZgSFr8h1y8QDZxMOaVmuUIFSWJ+HDKmFi2beWJ2EIRWqO/SvjvmDIBBc7DLZ
IUTmdAvEbAEIvfx+ffKCS7HEQiLKUqtahRXbbc/PIGJcuEwVf6y5jcMSCvUU
pWv6sjwnvbBO0suRc3snpwgkbVNOpl6nJCouPlyc0/8AKsUFRD9VBo3l+qxF
A3Y0wSgCbJcQzIR+rsyWkjR7MeX2Aeg6VqNq5MCHJjxI51fW6X+oPHMaxUCH
Wr1O2XCQiNmmceJhep6HFaXUGA2Un5U9vHMyOS3AyYqR2nOn1blGPsfap/D3
yuV2zxGITzFPysQ9filpv7YMMTBk5p3Fgdwa9DmaHW2ts2dLIHXqrChyJDXJ
OxOVRua8mNKHw+QwAJBSu9kq+2TZICqx57muBrKaWxNFIO3hkd2KMUGUHHik
D2x3ZAKUrDcA1BxVTI38DUB/ANuEFVM8wxoK1O1cVWgSDqOu9e9cNqW2DtUn
tiFaDMDUkgUI298Vp3wKad6ePc99sKqfEep9sU61/HAl/9XzJkldiydirsVd
iqY6FftZajHcKaFD8617ZCYtryDZljTyM3O2Z4VqkWpXhU77U2wUGQIYt6J5
dmtl0COSlcn5nk3uPHp1ynhjacd7oSfW0uNctXj+CQyMnp0PMsR0GTki8LKR
qKPvF01eccImk0xA9aWQCgP+TWvEZESDOupYN5oOkJcsYrVIXoTyjNV367E5
ZEIIW27E5ryMGsSEFvh5sf1ZMhrNMt8rsGgDA7ft+O2YmS7cnByZdYy8VIJA
AAC1rsR0NDXxzGnAudjmmJWoqDTj1B7075SYebm45q8EfMmpPxClB+o1yNBs
lktUvaWFiZWWjUI3619/8rDCAJaTKgwyZ882UGoSzXEv2fsoBWpr4DMueHuD
hnJG91uofmReXjFbeFhEK8QFLEj3O2AYQG7xonklU/mdGcStCTNQ7em1ST8s
RjLGWSIY7qFqxq16WmMLrU0+LuPlmTGg4WSM5b1st8tW8k+ovbyzCJWH/AA3Y
Y5pUGJHH1Uu84P6TC1BJ4Dr4k98OAdW3MaFMONrTxpmS4a+xfjla03FAT2yJ
YIMjco68EgBUbb/rrg5LYCDuCNmIYx8jvk0oUA8ulK4IBTW1YKgG5NMhTWrt
ICNxUe3fGmTRVRU7EGlOtdvnjslaykCmw70xtVGrt1Ff4YQVWMxoPEYSo

Subject: Re: ...to be Slim is Design

Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 21:07:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>
> >>>> cycles. Gases that then stay in the atmosphere for extended periods,
> >
> >>>> decades or more.
> >>>>
> >>>> Keep in mind that greenhouse gases help regulate temperature on earth
> > by
> >>>> reflecting back infrared radiation. This is a good thing for our
> >>>> survival but it can be overdone.
> >>>>
> >>>> Since only a tiny fraction of the gases in the atmosphere reflect
> >>>> infrared radiation (nitrogen and oxygen don't), it doesn't take much
> > of
> >>>> an increase in greenhouse gases to noticeably change the amount of
heat
> >>>> directed back toward the earth.
> >>>>
> >>>> There is strong evidence that this is what is happening now, and that

> >

> >>> our contributions are part of the problem. Based on what we know so far

> >>> there is a strong chance this problem will increase over time.

> >>>

> >>> Given that information, it's smart to consider the implications now.

> >>>

> >>>

> >>>> Has the earth heated

> >>>> up a bit, yes, the earth goes threw changes.

> >>> True, and true.

> >>>

> >>> And evidence indicates there's a good chance we're involved in the

> >>> current climate change event.

> >>>

> >>>

> >>>> Should we as people stop polluting,

> >>>> yes.

> >>> We certainly need to be aware of the chemistry around us and how we

> >>> interact with it.

> >>>

> >>> The ozone situation is a good example. Scientists noticed a change in

> >

> >>> the ozone layer. A human chemical cause was discovered. Policies were

> >

> >>> changed to stop human contributions to the problem.

> >>>

> >>>

> >>>> Should we conserve, yes, I'm a conservative, not a liberal.

> >>> Great.

> >>>

> >>> To conserve what we have we need to take into account any evidence that

> >>> shows we may have a problem.

> >>>

> >>> BTW climate change and conservation are not a partisan issues, in my

> >

> >>> view. At the very least, most people don't want to screw up the area

> >

> >>> where they live, no matter their politics.

> >>>

> >>>

> >>>> Am I buying

> >>>> in to the liberal's sin tax plan their trying to convince the dummies

> >>> to

> >>>> start paying to save the world, NO WAY!

> >>> That's fine. But don't confuse any perceived conspiracies with

ongoing

> >

> >>>> climate science.

> >>>>

> >>>> You can promote your political views without attacking climate

> >>>> scientists or dismissing scientific conclusions.

> >>>>

> >>>>

> >>>>> I'm I buying in the this trilateralist

> >>>>> plan to shift more money out of the USA, no way!

> >>>> That's fine. But again you can promote your political views without

> >>>> attacking climate scientists or dismissing scientific conclusions.

> >>>>

> >>>>

> >>>>> Back in the 70's, the scientist

> >>>>> that started this global warming stuff, was predicting that we would

> > be

> >>> in

> >>>>> an ice age right now.

> >>>> Who are you talking about?

> >>>>

> >>>>

> >>>>> You can post all of the contrived research you, it won't change the

> > truth.

> >>>>> Choose to believe what you want, man made global warming has not
been

> >>> proven.

> >>>> If someone is exploiting scientific data in a partisan way to push a

> >

> >>>> certain point of view you happen to disagree with, then fine, argue
with

> >>>> them.

> >>>>

> >>>> But don't pretend the data itself doesn't exist. There is a lot of

> >>>> peer-reviewed research out there, and it isn't "contrived."

> >>>>

> >>>> If the only way to "prove" your point is to continue a risky
worldwide

> >

> >>>> experiment until we possibly screw up the planet for future
generations,

> >>>> then your proof is too late to be in any way useful.

> >>>>

> >>>> It's much smarter to look at the decades of evidence we already have

> > and

> >>>> make prudent risk management decisions.

> >>>>

> >>>> Cheers,

> >>>> -Jamie
> >>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
> >>>>>> Wish it were that easy, James. We would be poor stewards of the
land
> >>>>>> indeed if we carelessly dismissed the evidence to date. It's not
> >>>>>> particularly prudent to ignore increasing evidence that shows
possible
> >>>>>> problems.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> The atmosphere and our use of it to store increasing amounts of
unwanted
> >>>>>> greenhouse gases is a big enough subject scientifically without
being
> >>>>>> sidetracked by political and economic conspiracy theories.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Even if you enjoy discussing perceived conspiracies, be sure you
don't
> >>>>>> confuse them with the ongoing science.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Cheers,
> >>>>>> -Jamie
> >>>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> James McCloskey wrote:
> >>>>>>> What we need is waders and a big shovel to get rid of this load of
> > crap.
> >>>>>>> "BR" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>>> The humanity is facing it's biggest disaster in 100 000 years.
Tax
> >>> raises
> >>>>>>>> won't solve anything, it demand a lot more action than that..
> >>>>>>>> BR
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>>>>>>>> news:47e2cfc2\$1@linux...
> >>>>>>>>>> This is what i've been saying. When Al Gore buys global offsets
> > for
> >>>>> ten
> >>>>>>>>> cents
> >>>>>>>>>> and sells them for a dollar, it's a big bunch of money making
bullshit!
> >>>>>>>>>>> Now this trilateralist bureaucrat bunch of SOBs are going to try
> > to

> >>>>> make
 > >>>>>>> it a sin tax that we will all have to pay. You know once the
 government
 > >>>>>>> gets a tax passed through they will never repeal it. We will be
 > > paying
 > >>>>>> it
 > >>>>>>> for then on! It's a scare tactic to cow the people in to
 believing
 > >>>> this
 > >>>>>>> bunch of bullshit. There is no significant man made global
 warming,
 > >>>> it's
 > >>>>>>> a lie to shift the wealth out of the United States while these
 rats
 > >>>> and
 > >>>>>>> their
 > >>>>>>> friends get wealthy doing it.
 > >>>>>>>
 > >>>>>>>
 > >>>>>>>
 > >>>>>>> "Mr. Simplicity" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
 > >>>>>>>
 > >>>>>>>
 http://blog.mlive.com/annarbornews/2007/09/dingell_global_warming_may_req.h
 > >>>>>>> tml
 > >>>>>>>> This is the biggest load of disingenuous hubris I've seen in a
 > > while.
 > >>>>>>> More
 > >>>>>>>> hypocrisy. Whether you get it or not, your intelligence has
 just
 > >>> been
 > >>>>>>>> insulted and be assured that what this is really all about it
 preparing
 > >>>>>>>> you
 > >>>>>>>> to be bent over as consumption falls. Expect more of this BS as
 > > gas
 > >>>> usage
 > >>>>>>>> goes down and therefore the tax revenue that a gallon of gas
 generates
 > >>>>>> is
 > >>>>>>>> proportionately reduced. To make up for the revenue shortfall,
 > > we're
 > >>>>>>> going
 > >>>>>>>> to get taxed into oblivion.....and I was even sorta'
 thinking
 > >>> about
 > >>>>>>>> voting for a Democrat for president. The new formula for the
 American

> >>>>>>>> economy is to tax businesses more so that they will continue to
> > go
> >>>>>>>> elsewhere
> >>>>>>>> while screwing the workers who will be losing their jobs.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> Gimme some of that.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>You are right about that Rick. I ain't no different than you.

"willing to tell me how wrong i am when they're no different than me".

rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:

>man has always spoken in metaphoric, allegoric and or euphemistic
>terms...except biblicly. ya know steve i don't profess to be right
>because i just don't know but it seems there are a lot of people so
>willing to tell me how wrong i am when they're no different than me.
>
>On 22 Mar 2008 06:51:06 +1000, "Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net>
>wrote:
>
>>
>>Oh, forgot one important thing.... It wasn't men who came up with the "bright
>>idea" to confuse the language, it was Gods.
>>BUT it was mans idea to attempt to build the tower and according to what
>>the Word is all about, they would have succeded if God hadn't interviened
>>with the language confusion.
>>
>>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>Rick, I HIGHLY reccomend you crack the book open and read the text for
yourself.
>>>You keep coming up with all these goofy things about a tree being a tree
>>>and swinging a cat around... Man... that's all just foolishness. Please,
>>>read it first-THEN bring on the comments. Right now all you are doing
is
>>>"babelling" about something you don't know anything about. Read the text....
>>>It WAS all about all the Babylonians speaking Babalonian. Not a hard concept
>>>to understand. God wanted to break their power of agreement so he confused
>>>the language and no-one understood each other and the progress of the
tower
>>>seeced. Why are you fighting such a simple, well known, taught to millions,
>>>established story? You are fortunate that there are (just assuming guys)a
>>>handful of Believers on this Forum who (you may as well face it) won't
back
>>>down from the Truth?
>>>

>>>>rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>again, i know the story is about language, confusion and other bright
>>>>ideas men come up with.
>>>>sorry, but i have a problem with "men" had one language thing. just
>>>>as i have a problem with god being suprised that adam and eve would
>>>>partake of the forbidden fruit when told no no no you don't. he's
>>>>god...for his sakes... guess he also didn't think of incest when
>>>>populating the earth from just one pair of peeps. i mean cain went to
>>>>the land of nod and eventually married...who...i don't
>>>>know...mom...sis...cousin rita???
>>>>i believe god was smart enough to create the laws of physics that
>>>>allow certain things to happen under certain conditions and lets the
>>>>chips fall where they may. to me god doesn't kill 250 people on a
>>>>plane and spare one as a lesson of his devine intervention
>>>>capabilities. he doesn't kill half a town yet spares a church so they
>>>>have somewhere to mourn the dead.
>>>>the truly (again to me) sad thing about the differing concepts of god
>>>>is how their used to validate the harm we do each other.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>On 22 Mar 2008 00:13:36 +1000, "Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net>
>>>>wrote:
>>>>
>>>>><http://ldolphin.org/babel.html>
>>>>
>>>
>It seems it was yah that said no or was it no that said yah;-) Seems someone
have it fun on a long Friday with someone and something here;-)

"Dedric Terry" <notme@nowhere.com> skrev i en meddelelse
news:47e421e9\$1@linux...

>
> Point was, you simply launched a diatribe about slavery based on one word
> that had nothing, and I repeat, *nothing* to do with slavery. How about
> a game of word association, I say, "orange" you say slavery. I say
> balloon,
> you say "slavery". Get the picture yet?
>
> If you want to start a thread about how the word "fabric" offends you,
> feel
> free, but don't pass judgement and make assumptions about my intent in my
> reply to DJ. I wasn't talking to you, about you, or for your benefit,
> whoever
> you are.
>
> And I find it extraordinarily offensive that you would assume you have the
> right to cry about injustices done to other people over 150 years ago, and

> extrapolate that to being a permanent stigma dooming our country, and
> woven
> into every conversation from here to eternity. I won't bother to explain
> "fabric" to you since you didn't get it last time.
>
> If you want to play the game of associating yourself with the past for
> sake
> of playing victim, I'm part American Indian (direct descendant), and "we"
> were here first, and the first to lose rights and freedoms here. ;-) If
> you want to associate history with present day people, why not claim
> Germany
> is racist and doomed because of Hitler's genocidal mania? How about the
> Netherlands for the barbarians?
>
> Enough of this. I only came back to be clear about how diluded you are in
> your assumptions in this debate. It used to be fun to hang out here and
> exchange opinions, however diverse, but this tangent really takes the cake
> - must be the twilight zone now. I guess now you'll rant about how "cake"
> or the word "twilight" is a "bull****" word as a result of slavery by some
> twisted and bizarre association as well. Have fun.
>
>
> "yah" <Blah@ya-ya.com> wrote:
>>
>>Why do yoy think that your opinion is the right one? Are you saying that
> this
>>country
>>was'nt built on the backs of slavery? How am I a hypocrite? Ask questions?
>>My
>>first post was nothing but questions. Having the guts to stand up for
>>what you believe in with integrity, honor and respect, but without any
>>pansy,
>>pacifist whining and hiding behind labels and stereotypes". are serious?
>>Why did it take so long for every man to have the right same rights? Is'nt
>>that something we were suppose to believe in? Honor? respect? Look,do'nt
>>get
>>made at me or anyone that confronts you with the truth. I love this
>>country
>>just as much as you. If I can say that with your permission. But we
>>have alot of work to do. We want to blame everyone else for are
>>problems.(not
>>to say we are the cause of all the problems) Think what you want

Subject: Re: To Air is Human

Posted by [TCB](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 21:53:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;>>
>>>> No, I don't think so. That argument is really reaching.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> The science of man made global warming being
>>>>> a major factor is bullshit, and it is not provable.
>>>> LOL. The science is hardly bullshit.
>>>>
>>>> What scientists are saying is that there is a strong chance that human
>
>>>> contributions to greenhouse gases are affecting the climate.
>>>>
>>>> This is because we are dumping large and increasing amounts of
>>>> greenhouse gases into the atmosphere, above and beyond current natural
>
>>>> cycles. Gases that then stay in the atmosphere for extended periods,
>
>>>> decades or more.
>>>>
>>>> Keep in mind that greenhouse gases help regulate temperature on earth
> by
>>>> reflecting back infrared radiation. This is a good thing for our
>>>> survival but it can be overdone.
>>>>
>>>> Since only a tiny fraction of the gases in the atmosphere reflect
>>>> infrared radiation (nitrogen and oxygen don't), it doesn't take much
> of
>>>> an increase in greenhouse gases to noticeably change the amount of heat
>>>> directed back toward the earth.
>>>>
>>>> There is strong evidence that this is what is happening now, and that
>
>>>> our contributions are part of the problem. Based on what we know so far
>>>> there is a strong chance this problem will increase over time.
>>>>
>>>> Given that information, it's smart to consider the implications now.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Has the earth heated
>>>>> up a bit, yes, the earth goes threw changes.
>>>> True, and true.
>>>>
>>>> And evidence indicates there's a good chance we're involved in the
>>>> current climate change event.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Should we as people stop polluting,
>>>>> yes.

>>>> We certainly need to be aware of the chemistry around us and how we
>>>> interact with it.
>>>>
>>>> The ozone situation is a good example. Scientists noticed a change in
>
>>>> the ozone layer. A human chemical cause was discovered. Policies were
>
>>>> changed to stop human contributions to the problem.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Should we conserve, yes, I'm a conservative, not a liberal.
>>>> Great.
>>>>
>>>> To conserve what we have we need to take into account any evidence that
>>>> shows we may have a problem.
>>>>
>>>> BTW climate change and conservation are not a partisan issues, in my
>
>>>> view. At the very least, most people don't want to screw up the area
>
>>>> where they live, no matter their politics.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Am I buying
>>>>> in to the liberal's sin tax plan their trying to convince the dummies
>>> to
>>>>> start paying to save the world, NO WAY!
>>>> That's fine. But don't confuse any perceived conspiracies with ongoing
>
>>>> climate science.
>>>>
>>>> You can promote your political views without attacking climate
>>>> scientists or dismissing scientific conclusions.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> I'm I buying in the this trilateralist
>>>>> plan to shift more money out of the USA, no way!
>>>> That's fine. But again you can promote your political views without
>>>> attacking climate scientists or dismissing scientific conclusions.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Back in the 70's, the scientist
>>>>> that started this global warming stuff, was predicting that we would
> be
>>> in
>>>>> an ice age right now.
>>>> Who are you talking about?
>>>>

>>>>

>>>>> You can post all of the contrived research you, it won't change the
> truth.

>>>>> Choose to believe what you want, man made global warming has not been
>>> proven.

>>>> If someone is exploiting scientific data in a partisan way to push a
>

>>>> certain point of view you happen to disagree with, then fine, argue with
>>>> them.

>>>>

>>>>> But don't pretend the data itself doesn't exist. There is a lot of
>>>> peer-reviewed research out there, and it isn't "contrived."

>>>>

>>>>> If the only way to "prove" your point is to continue a risky worldwide
>

>>>>> experiment until we possibly screw up the planet for future generations,
>>>>> then your proof is too late to be in any way useful.

>>>>>

>>>>> It's much smarter to look at the decades of evidence we already have
> and

>>>>> make prudent risk management decisions.

>>>>>

>>>>> Cheers,

>>>>> -Jamie

>>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>>>>>>> Wish it were that easy, James. We would be poor stewards of the land
>>>>>>> indeed if we carelessly dismissed the evidence to date. It's not
>>>>>>> particularly prudent to ignore increasing evidence that shows possible
>>>>>>> problems.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> The atmosphere and our use of it to store increasing amounts of unwanted
>>>>>>>> greenhouse gases is a big enough subject scientifically without being
>>>>>>>> sidetracked by political and economic conspiracy theories.

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> Even if you enjoy discussing perceived conspiracies, be sure you don't
>>>>>>>>> confuse them with the ongoing science.

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>> Cheers,

>>>>>>>>>> -Jamie

>>>>>>>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com

>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>> James McCloskey wrote:

>>>>>>>>>>>> What we need is waders and a big shovel to get rid of this load of

> crap.

>>>>>> "BR" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote:

>>>>>> The humanity is facing it's biggest disaster in 100 000 years. Tax

>>> raises

>>>>>> won't solve anything, it demand a lot more action than that..

>>>>>> BR

>>>>>>

>>>>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>>>>>> news:47e2cfc2\$1@linux...

>>>>>> This is what i've been saying. When Al Gore buys global offsets

> for

>>>> ten

>>>>>> cents

>>>>>>> and sells them for a dollar, it's a big bunch of money making bullshit!

>>>>>>> Now this trilateralist bureaucrat bunch of SOBs are going to try

> to

>>>> make

>>>>>>> it a sin tax that we will all have to pay. You know once the government

>>>>>>> gets a tax passed through they will never repeal it. We will be

> paying

>>>>>> it

>>>>>>> for then on! It's a scare tactic to cow the people in to believing

>>>> this

>>>>>>> bunch of bullshit. There is no significant man made global warming,

>>>> it's

>>>>>>> a lie to shift the wealth out of the United States while these rats

>>>> and

>>>>>>> their

>>>>>>> friends get wealthy doing it.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> "Mr. Simplicity" <noway@jose.net> wrote:

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> http://blog.mlive.com/annarbornews/2007/09/dingell_global_warming_may_req.h

>>>>>>> tml

>>>>>>>> This is the biggest load of disingenuous hubris I've seen in a

> while.

>>>>>>> More

>>>>>>>> hypocrisy. Whether you get it or not, your intelligence has just

>>> been

>>>>>>>> insulted and be assured that what this is really all about it preparing

>>>>>>>> you

>>>>>>>> to be bent over as consumption falls. Expect more of this BS as

> gas

>>>> usage

>>>>>>>> goes down and therefore the tax revenue that a gallon of gas generates

>>>>>> is

>>>>>>>> proportionately reduced. To make up for the revenue shortfall,
> we're
>>>>>>>> going
>>>>>>>> to get taxed into oblivion.....and I was even sorta' thinking
>>> about
>>>>>>>> voting for a Democrat for president. The new formula for the American
>>>>>>>> economy is to tax businesses more so that they will continue to
> go
>>>>>>>> elsewhere
>>>>>>>> while screwing the workers who will be losing their jobs.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Gimme some of that.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>Spell check on your default page ... guaranty should be guarantee

Pretty clean, concise and easy to navigate pages, I like the layout Bill.
It's all about delivery of content in short frames of time, as you've
correctly done. Social networking pages make me absolutely insane with all
the junk 'bling' all over them.

Since you are familiar with Adobe stuff, no doubt you're aware they bought
macromedia and now handle flash. Let me just say this. As an end
user/business network installer, flash in a browser now sucks. Adobe has
totalled screwed it up... why's that matter? If you design any flash
components your pages likely will have a high correct load failure.

How good/bad is Expression Web to operate?
Proprietary components?

AA

"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:47e41e97@linux...
> Purely, mon, dis be de Babylon!
>
> The last few weeks I've been learning web design, designing a couple sites
> for my new company. What a trip! I'm a pretty competent graphic designer
> with the Adobe Creative Suite stuff, but I'm using MS' new Expression Web
> program, which is cool, but nothing at all like designing for print.
>
> Anyway, my mind is slightly overwhelmed most of the time, with brief
> moments of joy when something miraculously works like I want. There's so
> much new terminology to learn, and the book I bought is kind of steep (720
> pages). I learned graphics by the seat of my pants. I guess I can do the
> same with this.
>
> Here's my first site:

>
> <http://wammoleads.com/default.html>I agree with Aaron, it's a clean layout, easy to grok.

Bill, what CSS book are you using? Do you recommend it?

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

Aaron Allen wrote:

> Spell check on your default page ... guaranty should be guarantee
>
> Pretty clean, concise and easy to navigate pages, I like the layout Bill.
> It's all about delivery of content in short frames of time, as you've
> correctly done. Social networking pages make me absolutely insane with all
> the junk 'bling' all over them.
>
> Since you are familiar with Adobe stuff, no doubt you're aware they bought
> macromedia and now handle flash. Let me just say this. As an end
> user/business network installer, flash in a browser now sucks. Adobe has
> totalled screwed it up... why's that matter? If you design any flash
> components your pages likely will have a high correct load failure.
>
> How good/bad is Expression Web to operate?
> Proprietary components?
>
> AA
>
>
> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:47e41e97@linux...
>> Purely, mon, dis be de Babylon!
>>
>> The last few weeks I've been learning web design, designing a couple sites
>> for my new company. What a trip! I'm a pretty competent graphic designer
>> with the Adobe Creative Suite stuff, but I'm using MS' new Expression Web
>> program, which is cool, but nothing at all like designing for print.
>>
>> Anyway, my mind is slightly overwhelmed most of the time, with brief
>> moments of joy when something miraculously works like I want. There's so
>> much new terminology to learn, and the book I bought is kind of steep (720
>> pages). I learned graphics by the seat of my pants. I guess I can do the
>> same with this.
>>
>> Here's my first site:
>>

>> http://wammoleads.com/default.html
>
>This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

---=_linux47e4326b
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Bach is a benevolent diety to whom all musicians should offer
a prayer to save themselves from the curse of mediocrity...

-- Claude Debussy

---=_linux47e4326b
Content-Type: image/jpeg; name="bach_shades.jpg"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64
Content-Disposition: attachment; filename="bach_shades.jpg"

/9j/4AAQSkZJRgABAgEASABIAAD/4QukRXhpZgAATU0AKgAAAABwESAAMA
AAABAAEAAAE

Subject: Re: To Air is Human
Posted by [excelav](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 22:00:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

aAAUAAAABAAAAYgEbAAUAAAABAAAAAgEoAAMAAAABAAIAAAEx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AgMEBQYHCAkKCxAAAAQQAwwIEAgUHBggFAwwzAQACEQMEIRIxBUFRYRMicYEy
BhSRobFCIyQVUsFiMzRygtFDBYWSU/Dh8WNzNRaisoMmRJNUZEXCo3Q2F9JV
4mXys4TD03Xj80YnlKSftJXE1OT0pbXF1eX1VmZ2hpamtsbW5vY3R1dnd4eX

p7fH1+f3EQACAgECBAQDBAUGBwcGBTUBAAIRAyExEgRBUWFXlhMFMoGRFKGx
QiPBuThwMyRi4XKCKkNTFWNzNPEIBhaisoMHJjXC0kSTVKMXZEVVnNRI4vKz
hMPTdePzRpSkhbSVxNTk9KW1xdXI9VZmdoaWprbG1ub2JzdHV2d3h5ent8f/
2gAMAwEAAhEDEQA/APM3fefIJHXjRJFK4Cn5lR4En8i6fo31C6v1JgtyJwq
nasa9svcPpT7ixlf9tlkDdDzSf5rusn/ABdYuMw7sq0PHP0Tp+8z6G9ZmL9T
fUssF+Q4MbpW5rCJP8r6X/RTTMDU0XmgPBOFv531RvoYbcW4ZDQPc0thw+4r
CLC0lroBHIMyiCDsoimPH+5OefyJ9saiPvS2yfPtKKFBPtBGpMd+EwB8FIDy
CSn/0PM06ZTx6X5F9dDBLrXtYB8Sip7T/F79Vh1C4dVymPfTS79WY1oILmn3
WuL/AG+3/Be1emtrLnegywxraP0vqgANke2Ghv6Z37m//ripdExqem9Pqq9c
NrDWshsAhoG3xdu2f1f8lh9Z6pdThA17t9jfUcXkTLwNu7aNjttbtijJ6piL
bozOmYTvQx2Ta2A61oNj4BBbvdLm7v3P/A1T6j1MWsnbYx39V08+1H6dj04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lnzRzclETdaef9Z7frX1g6PAxabfteY5zmejjg2kwfpBzNzP0i5nnpUPQ6y/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6Xj9W6YHZWDfWyx1NZ/S0kgb/T59avd/1xVsfMOM03U2/aNv85TZDXiP623/
AKS6r/FL1odQ6C7ptrv1jpbgxviaLJfT/wBtu9StbH1l+pvTetMa99TW5DdB
a0ljtfFzfpKKUL3ZIZCHyLrvXr+ouhzTWO5P5AsNwJM9lOX1n+r2F0C5tT8v
7RIP1FDRJa39+yzd7Wu/M9nvXPQSCO/KfAADTZbORJspcauSXHtwFZ2gCJWe
CRqDCsVZJGlmv8ocokLUxMd/uUHkmY4Cdx4bmmW+ShuKSn/1PNAANSmJ1Tu
UQipfsm0TyiY9dVtu215rZEyBJ/FJT0H+LzrVnSPrrJOBPO5Z+zXNmAQ8+x3
9h69T+v31o/5u9Ja6hrX5uW4144dwyB78hzfz/S/c/fXi3Q6X29awmVan7Qw
NPwcuo/xq9V+2fWT7Ex26rptYrn/AIR4bZd/6LYhWqY7nw1eOvvtvufdc91t
1h3WWPMuc48uc5Qa4jVNyUhCKFHlIJzCYlJS7XFpkH4oweHDTSOQgJxoZSU/
/9XzNxTJymKKVljqLG1NtdGx/wBHWTP4hPj41uQ4tqAlo3GTCgSY95Omnw+A
SQ9J/i8w/tH1nx7DqzGBvcP6g9rVj9WynZfVMzKedzr77LC7x3OcuV8A8XmO
MXpXVeqvES30ayedAbHx/wBFcLMyfHX70AbJ+xPS+7FOCMsCKF00pJJXSCZ
Okh//9bzlpclJTbVbsNoHsaQC7wPwRUzdXfjWbXg1vieRwfMSmx6Lclrx6W

Page 87 of 129 ---- Generated from The PARIS Forums
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HoEvVKBRn2VCI14IPn+a5/8A1SpgAnRXOtFxd5rdUDwHuDjA9p3Fiqt1BPj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UNElrf37LN3ta78z2e9c9BII78p8AANNIs5Emylxq5Jce3AVnaAlIZ4JGoMK
xVvkaWa/yhyiQtTex3+5QeSZjgJ3FrhuaZb5KG4pKf/U80AA1KYnVO5RCKI+
ybRPKJj11W27bXmtkTIE8UUIPQf4vOtWdl+tGM4E+jln7Nc2YBDz7Hf2Hr1P
6/fWj/m70lrqGtfm5bjXjh3DIHvyHN/P9L9z99eLdDpfb1rCZVqftDA0/By6
j/Gr1X7Z9ZPsTHbqum1iuf8AhHhtl3/otiFapjufDV46++2+591z3W3WHdZY
8y5zjy5zlBriNU3JSEIoUeUgnMJiUlTcWmQfijB4cNNI5CAnGhIJT//1fM3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Page 89 of 129 ---- Generated from The PARIS Forums

Page 90 of 129 ---- Generated from The PARIS Forums

Subject: Re: To Air is Human
Posted by [excelav](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 22:19:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

79+p64FXNQ0NaGNTtiAoUyFruOg2PTCrq/vK7fPbFL//1vMpGSTbWK27FDsU
h2KSuRqOD4d8WJZj5GSG81u3jmfIElW4knixHTkMqmRTRM1t5vRtXvZtNX1l
gEtvleMsYqCAPs0O3bK4yBcuq5ML1DVjHdpd2kbhonEoMta/jl2x2QalpkMt
5FrVj9emcw2qAB+BCAt4eOV8RHlljkUwFWJNNMzCEsyqabsxrl0b6tshTH7
uarALso6DC1kbM38pz0tojUAs2+1eu2YmQG3l08hTLbWQRS9QRT7XUj6BmPK
lcqKep6fpcQaK1CCD07d8qMYuTHluiYoxAYgd2G5/jkdu5sMtlPWZJbmH6vG
AvqGICQem2WxlG9NRJPJGt5O046NAGtlMsK1kmWhYknfKBmkZFyMOKMRulQ0
/SrND6rqGpTg6VX6aYfWW7igGPajJokM5liuV5VqF49PamXRjMii488+MG2N
6vrHq8vQBY03boPuGZOPFTg59WZcknsnngl+sE8WNa/LLpAEU4lJu1PW743c
quTyIFK1qT88OMUE5J2kkpJlrS1AfjFela4CxKYsXbj7ACgCgAxCQNkFLK7
1qThS3ApLVwFgSmMakrsD71PTAhWqVUePemRFMg7nlK179K4VaLSb1l6VONo
WUr47e4xS0S24A2l+eEKp7gCrAHwxVeC5FBT5jG1aZGKn4adO/XCqlUdKfTi
r//X8y02rkIaxZU7FBdih2LluxYsh8p3Ulrd/WY6AwnlU9Oh65CTVONkPSYt
egv7flcymOaNVT0iAVAG/wAP7Xv9Rkt+VOQCxPXLIGn4oJHaXYmQEEgeFctj
abrdDQamRob6eKoRLVWloKdafjiRu2MCBInvSa8C8uK7nu3icmEpfdRklpY/
Gf1YoTnyzqBjKxlqFTt8jlGSNssZovQlLhHtkdaMdxTuflmKac6JR1lqL8gp
av8AL/CmA13M42mMVzsSKOOoNdvoP/Ecj8GwytfbTEXKyMyCvQE/D4d8ZWRY
QJUWUW94RCoDhQR2238BmMRK3LjLZJNcie55qsqE16CpNPI4ZZAEcyxyUWJ
3HIG9UiaW09NG+L4h8QHyy7xB3uMcRPRK9T09AnAKsYH2qe2ThLdqnDuYlqb
iM8F+yP898y4BxJ8kndySd9xk2m0M5JOKr4FStXJoOgGLFc7qWqol+nJMIMk
1xQUbbIhQGtfHllh1RUR+im238cHJJVE40BOx60xtfJ0orvSorhS3Qnda/I7
bfRgRazYE/DXuaf24pDmrUla8j+AxVYV68hhtVycxuF+Gn34oK2Rth1APQHf
DZZBQ+HjWnxVp7Yre7//0PMpyStYq7FXYSnYq7FBTDSbsQTAMaKtv9OxyEwW
uY6s0lvlbmBPRRAFHSm9aV2lofuyNFnEgpbw3KwmUrxU7B22NP5V5b5KPNn
VoGeN4QS3xo9CVBBINMPNiO9A3F2X2UbHx2ArhATSXTMSfbCpTbyxpN5qM0w
tRykhT1Co6kDrlc5gc0AXJlOl6hID6UuxB7mID9GUzAcnHMhNQ8q1cDmhBNB
1+QyFtoVk10xABIjP1B2+7AYErxglu312J3Dcq+/8MicZZjlCyrS9Qimi3+L
tTxr2zHnA97lYzgsK042i/GVpxFdqV8P9rKK33Lf02SvWtRtXjcoQBU8SOoF
d/8Am3LlxiGsl5tr1/Cpkqfhp8O30ZlYouPmk8+v7pZJX4j4K7AZnAOunJAO
QF6b5lNagTU4lFtjbFC7liEhy9cSpKZW8bhBQbEdMDBWjUhjXv1FMUIUCuq1
6g+OCymg2y/AQTQdsAUFYFUD7RJ9sJVtmVgajfucQFcXqKdAT2xtVtQST3A2
Bwq7mCRQ18cUqUpqwruldicUKe3p12pWIK98KX//0fMpG3vkkYpdituxRbs
UOXVchow/XiVPJPLG8vLenpPyA6ioNPcZEhhFWutR9X+9qvgoFPnTfbECm0F
ATXilhx+EKDxB679zkqWkDJKW6HFJKkQScUF6D+TPFvMUIsSFaeOgY9KhlOU
Zr6MBKpB6j5u/KW7nD6jp0QW43aRAKq3f24nKo8Q5hyuMSLAfS50+Y295A0E
sezl44mvhTCY3yZA0mtmLWf4niFG2+Km/wAsqlA97bGYKYnOdNngJMbRP2IA

6+++2VgkHm28lQEgutHbhKCUG6sa0p75LhEuSgUqf4tRU4rJRab0NN8HghkM
wSfUvNy+meL8jSlBuaZZDEwInFMK1bWZLliCSQe1cyowpw8mUkpSK9TsBk2l
SkJPyw2qlSmBBbBNckELsFpRVpBVgz/ZHbAxO6ZA1QinEjcHI7hQKXiFSQag
k703w2VbaN6EAVAxUNGKo3AB8MUqRQIKUO3gcVXKD7hT37nCq1qcRQbb1JxS
F23Asw9gcG6EOWJGx3ySVjHYV3GKFIDXjXAYf//S8ymtK0yTJrFadiinYrTs
UOxZOxQUVbXUkVB9oDoDkWBC55nl2C8a98kztioFX3P6sVU6ISAB9OFXSp8
G2wOBDKfyzuxZ+ZrWbeqt0FOm2/0ZXkBLCCqIfbfeC21DRreSrMvJi++9SP
aleJP7WV8EjzLbEpL54/K3Std3lMQDknHlAykCmx79OmUmPD1ZwnezwrzH
5A8w+Xp2aNXurRSaMB8QA8fcd8l4S3AFK7PXzGeEpIYdVeoNfkcYBsGRHXO
qWd3blJaMKdG3/XkQK5MhkHVhusWlkXf02MfyNeuZMCWqdc2L3MLKSPUJ9hl
oLjFBFQm7bYULHIL7AbYbVaakY0xdwWm/U40qwoPHCq9QopUd8igppbFOAI3
9hgtQiRQkELvTp/t4N0rhRVB+jrvjSrRIK774qul3rSp8MdlUWpU0r7DCqkz
kk8gR22OFVjgkAHvirgpAIJ2r1l6YkK6h3p18BiFalBI7UHWmKqfpt1pt1rh
oK//0/MmSSHYPdiguxS7FAdikr1iY/LG2NoqKFFUs257YsVrSIBsKHfKAplu
ZxTybFF3PzwoWs9RSm2KorRNRNhqdvdkCJ1L068Qd/wyMhYRIWH3v8Alrf2
OseUrK8t2DMkaqTGw5EAVU0r4HKgBTCBvkyoBX5JIKMtHAndq9q5GWOLMEoD
U9CjvUKGNSu4AJ6gD+uUmNHk3Rm8y83fllPd5G7JbFJSOoG6n5g71wRyUeTa
C8J80+Q9c0h3a25XEINCoB5intmRGVqYgslu/wBKREia1kX/AFII/hk6aiCE
rmuLgkgx8fmKZOmAKGMczmrYaRaqliiH9WBCgW32wpK6plr38MQxtTJ3xS4E
YquWVINVB8a4rSul/IBRviGCKluK7ilpXqR1PfAtokVO+xHtkdkgr+Q4VpU
4UhSb4j026nqMd1UmGw6UO4rhAQpn2w0rY/1qgb0PTArt+RPfrTDsl3lcRz6
Hfx6jFDXJKftdPo+eKbf/9TzJkmTsUF2Kh2KW1Ut0xYqylqgE9cVXV3r4Yqt
eQmvbFKnUnfFVTYcmlQps1RilxNPnihZikvp7/nFPzmptpNCmlYSQybKtsY3
B4dfAhhIG0ZX3tMQbl/zn07JDHKF5LUDcHbLBjtpqSJwwwMF6Ur0GFBQ0qOw
9N4TV6/EvxLQb+xyJEjySDTCfMug2E7nmD6m/wCyQVJ98jKM+rMSeYeZfl8V
xEQ7Kat1NKbH23wcJZCywHUPypu3kf6snsFNFFceOuqOEsd1L8vLuxDvdzli
xV58d6U2Jr7YjKCaQYFh2ovax1itzzA+0/Y/LLoheGkt2+XjhYtrVtlihYw
IO+KStxQ3irRr2xVsGmKoy2vzGQDuOm++2RY8NJkkvOjldj1+nBulexahBB6
0rhTyUWARWu3cDoMCqRCqfhJJ7+GFSpsw7nDSreW+5pjsno0WYKSd9qfRjaF
3E+I1341xW3/1fMmSTbsU22ATigrghPb5nFDZNOmKWixxQ32xVrrimlyqQK/
hihotXFNLCa4paxYuxSSy78rvM7eXvOFlePJwXb07negKnpX2ByEweYacw2
vufBoWqQajpkFzCQx4gONGVNP6b402A7JluOuC2Tqknp2w9VQt7BHKtGAPs
ab7dN8ryxHUSopNd6ZZpa1aNNgJFJG52oRX6co4lmyBJLz/AM13ui6RDPNO
6QWyx15sQaCnwmo67/YGGMAeW7IEkWXy/wCe/PNxrV08cJKWf2VXoWAOxbMq
OOI5MDJhhYkk+OTtha3FC6JmDGMKC3PGygEnfFkFCnvitLqbVrih2KtGmKtg
kY0yXxzlW6/dgLFGw6iNkk3A+ye+AxRyRRKulUYkdyMFsuanJx/ZFB9+EKpE
p2+kYULaA9TXwpgVo0oQNvDCtKnJPsbV49ab+ONFPR//1vMnf55JW6GtMVVF
SnbAm1x+zt92SQslOBNNVxQ7FW0A3P3Yq4k1xStlrlqhxRbqYodQ/TikLoz
Qg4olfZ3/OOfnpNW0KCzuZF9bilnqTy9WIAD/g1plURIFqxnoecXtxG/Q79T
4Uw8LctfZ1NTTpQDbfucBO/NVC8YLGzluPGgLHoN65DIQkMD88+bNO0PSZ7i
/ueEaRhRuCzFuyf5RyuERMtwFPkv8wvzl1LzLeul622mpQQWoIoY/Z5n9phm
XGEY8msyNUwN3LEk7k9cLC1pO1MULeVRiq5GoemKCFdviBOLIIzXVoV6UxQ
3iq01xS3TucVdTviobxUhVhupYj8LEA9R2yKESI0JNqcW70xVcTXt9+FVyoO
FK9e2NqscKAaVxKuo/q/5VOuKej/AP/X8zBTklXhRWtMVXsakhphVYTTbtgV
aSPHFLQG+KGxucVXGgGGIW4FdirRIGKuNMVcD1xVytT6MVeqlkj5kfS9a9IP
xjek1P8AKiIP6spyR3tq5T9/pfcGlahFqFhBeRfYnQOB88mJA8m0lkgVr3Ap
grdNsV88+bNP0DRru9vTSGFK0pVmNaBR06nl0JGgGUI2Xxl+ZH5g6l5r1OSa
QtFZoSILckkKte/iTmRsBQb5zAHCGCSvVqZFxpV7+GBC0tXCq0E0pilhDih

ekpFdqjwOFVpbc4GVrDXrXFiUqTirRxVrfFNuofHFDqHxxVd2xVwY9ehwUqK
huN6OaAb1xpCIPxU4nGk2ouSBv0Pc4UlfyHqce3T8MCOj//Q81lvj0ySrtqU
riqwkDFK1mxQtJqcVdUjFVyda98VbJGKaaNe2KFvuMVcTXFNYYodirYxTScE
Wr02msWswJosi8gOtK0I+7lyApqyd77q/KLVFufKkERarQSOiipJ4jda/Rll
MQeTMHcs3kuY442ZzQlpZq+Ay3iZR3fKX/OSPnGW4u7bRo5XKxVmnUmpqdlq
R1oMlhJonvcuEeEEvAppSSakkVyTjSQzHtgYtE7fTihaeOKtDrilsGhxQ3Xf
FWyMVWkU+WJSHKabd/HEIaxVcCK40rdK4qtlpuMVdXFW8U04HfFCvDNTZt/A
ZEopEVDcM1MKbWcRy5U98dl6P//R81jYeOSVpju0xVax+7FVhNcVcBFXU3x
VdirsU27FWj1xQ1ilrFDsVbNAAO+KoqwPG5Snj1GA8mMuT7M/lbUp/0KsBBf
mkb9QKEL8VfHKLlfJrxl6P5nv0trFy3wJSpPSotT0G2+Wni6N8AHwr+YGuya
x5mv7wskkzkzenv0UGgplxBAAcvJsKY053yDilZgDFbXt1wq4H2wWrQ64VXEh
FWx1xVutCva3Br17YqtrTtiq6mKtEYppwJ6YocRilqlMUOJqMU24eOKGwfDr
gKVaGQk8T374UK/lde2BX//S81kmm3bJkTJ8cUrWll22xQsxVupxVtcVXUxS
1ih2/wBGKtNiq3FIR2naRc33lxiKoLVckVC7tQAGtKZEyAY2LpdqOiX2nei
06gxzrzhlWpVgdvYqf8AJbDGVsgL3CBYdPHCKk9opMopvTrgLCT69/laGR7a
ycHiqp8LEUqQoAG//C5R6ifJqidt04/PfzPJpnlu6EcnG4ZPTHsvxmIRmQIE
lzdON3xlcyM7sSd++SknJKyhiCd+nvkGlXlCIQASepwoIusbQuAxV1N8Vxbk
4q7icVboMVcem+KqZ64qvDdu2C1W4UhoHxxQ3XxxSHUGKGqHFXAYCrdB2xW2
02brhS3z+Gnv1wlF/9PzYelMkqxq0+XbFIWMD17YoK3FXYpK8YoaJpim3cji
ho1xVrFLsVZDo93awxE0jDuFUGQAioNVI//ysrq2qGx3Xa5ftNZ8OfOMsgj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DFDdMVbBxVsE4q6uKuNBilqoxWmie2KGxTFXA+OKu6jFNupipbxQtOKuWtfb
Gkt1GKu2r74KV//W80sdqZJKxsULcUh2Krh0xQ0euKQWsVbJxQ6lcVcFLEKO
p2xUINyTbUGtjO1eO5FBXYAGu3bfrkOI2xhLiKAniEZK9xljblUpUGBgrLFF
xTerNXkMCL5va/yL0hH1L12SpQABth1NT+rMfJkAO7CEbek/m9eCLytdRs4q
IKBQPhHL7JH/AAWTxTutnKxAavIO5dnkYk7nvlzBDMTXFCwjfBSGsaUuwodi
rdfHFWsVXCtcVaHfFW+uKaa28MVDWkuHXFS7AULsIUN4q0cVaOKtimLJqmBD
qHrhWn//1/M5NckoaYYsluK22OuLFtjTFVukadih2KtinfFKrbAiYEKqvdh
Tb5VwEMJsh/S08dqE9f1FCigopp8yBtvkRBcWyRXkoklLda5NtJ2Q7YoV7GP
nKBXftgJa5Ppf8ldJkh09btY2YUCMOtefUdNvhGYUpky2DZCNBb+feoEacYk
XiGeNSldgFUnMnFK23H1Pk+a5Wq7H3OWlqU8Qi1pJxQ1irsVdirsVdiq7p0x
V246Ypaqa++KGia4q7FLgKYrbsULgfHFVxv1fvxStxQ7pirdNsU23xHKmBD
/9DzQ2SS6YpW4sVy4q0cUtYrbYxQ1irsVC4MRipXDmfl3xVcE4ip6YhKzg7
KXA+GtK4pTby/aNPdIFFfiA3yucqa5bvsh8udPNn5dih9E1WITkmnVhX4ttw
MwyZkt0KDyT88btmhjBX43mclqipAHU/fmbAEM4nYvCHPxHJtJW4oWkYq1ir
sU07FDsUuxUt++KHVxVrFXyq6m2KuwWrsKt9sVdXbArW+FXUxV2Kab3wWh1D
1xpNP//R8znxyStE7YpC3FNLhWlcWLRr3xVrFXypAbFT074oTK30G5ntzOJE
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FIHYHKpy2YD1Gn1p5B0AaRoSMsf72YAuSP2OtPbl9o/y5hiESbJci+jlrm5+
p6PNMgb1rwh1Lrx5l2wQE/YHEV2/ZyPoZVZfPv586u4jsNPTbirTSDru/Qn3
pmZhEasBMiQHhsteVT1OXtFqRrXfFBcfwxQ4DFXDriDQMuhrrjSC132xKux
CuxV2KuxV2KuxV2KXYodirsVdXFXV2rirdD1xVvFXduu+Kv/1vMzYWTRwoDW
KHYq7FV0b8HDURtTiQgi05g1m3Wlgl6bkUfK16UO+RApERSW3VyZpS5JPzyd
tl7KJPfAGK/1V4ABaeOAKERYWjzyKoFRXfBKVMJzp7z+UfkkIY7uRKBWU/FQ
BjypQk06ZhzAkdyzwrNW98ltyXhtlqplKgoTx+BRV607d3yHDCO3NvBrfqh/
Nc8T8Lc/EEUkDoKfAKjfRuzYRKACLPzfl/5r6w1/5uv3RiY4n9JCdjSNQu/3
ZnQ5JnyYExJJPU5JoW1xV3amKuAGKt9Nu2AJaBw0hxod64q1il2KHYq7FXDI
OrsIV2FXyKV2FXYPdsUOyNK2BhCtgYIW8KtZGl/1/MxG2SVrFNU7YqWsVpu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---=_linux47e4326b--http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080321/D8VI00RG0.html

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080321/D8VHSS681.html>

>

>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>

>>I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense

>in

>>supporting this government in any way.

>>

>><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>

>>

>><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>

><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080321/D8VI2UN0H.html><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080321/D8VHOFEG0.html>

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080321/D8VI00RG0.html>

>

>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>

>><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080321/D8VHSS681.html>

>>

>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense

>>in

>>>supporting this government in any way.

>>>

>>><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>

>>>

>>><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>

>>

>I don't think we're going to convince James, but it's a lot of other thinking members of this group. I hope some of them take the message, it's not about left or right, it's about doing the right thing. This group know about science (recorded music is mainly about physics and technology, right?), and the world need that kind of people right now, to cut the crap and focus on the facts given us by the scientists, and the facts tells us that we are facing rough times, even in our lifetime. So, as I said, step up to the plate, this is not religion, this is facts. This is not the end of the world, this is not the end of humanity, but this may be the end of our civilisation. In other words: no nurcery homes for you , no healthcare for your children, no law, no order ...

Bjorn R

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:47e42e99@linux...

>

> Remember where I asked you to put aside Al Gore and Exxon for a moment?

> Scientifically they don't matter. Don't get distracted.

>

> The scientific point is this: Evidence exists that shows the strong

> possibility that humans contributions to greenhouse gases are currently

> affecting the climate.

>

> If you really care about conservation it would be foolish to ignore

> decades of data in peer-reviewed scientific journals. It would be

> extremely limiting to cherry-pick a few scientists who disagree (or who

> you think disagree) and ignore the rest.

>

> At some point you're directly participating in a different conspiracy of

> sorts, as a member of a group that deliberately ignores evidence and

> attacks the messengers in the process.

>

> How is that helpful?

>

> It's not.

>

> Cheers,

> -Jamie

> www.JamieKrutz.com

>

>

>

> James McCloskey wrote:

> > Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

> >> Conspiracies are another matter.

> >>

> >> Back to the science: Evidence shows the strong possibility that humans

> >> contributions to greenhouse gases are currently affecting the climate.

> >>

> >> If you suspect that some groups are trying to exploit this data for

> >> nefarious purposes, then fine, take on those groups. Dig into whatever

> >> conspiracies you want.

> >>

> >> But don't pretend that the scientific data doesn't exist. You can

> >> promote your political views without attacking climate scientists or

> >> dismissing scientific conclusions.

> >>

> >> Cheers,

> >> -Jamie

> >> www.JamieKrutz.com

> >>

> >

> > As I've said before, there many scientists that say it is not true. And
> > I'll say, look at what is really behind this. People like Al Gore are
profiting
> > from this, and hanging on to power.
> >
> >>
> >> James McCloskey wrote:
> >>> First, I don't think it is only one party that will be on this
taxation
> > band
> >>> wagon, the bush's are trilateralist also, and others. "a thousand
points
> >>> of light" " A new world order" That's trilateral talk.
> >>>
> >>> Isn't it funny that China, the biggest polluter on the planet is
exempt
> > from
> >>> the law and rules the world gov. is trying to put in place. Why?
This
> > is
> >>> all part of the trilateralist plan to shift the money around. The
world
> >>> banks have now set up inside of China.
> >>>
> >>> They are trying to scare people in to a sin tax. It's about money,
they
> >>> want a sin tax to build up the rest of the world. It's about
controlling
> >>> the world economically. They want to restrict what america can build
> > and
> >>> do in the future.
> >>>
> >>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
> >>>> James McCloskey wrote:
> >>>>> The science is about money.
> >>>>> To an extent everything is about money. But that doesn't stop people
> >
> >>> >from doing good, conscientious work.
> >>>> You yourself require money to live. Should we therefore disbelieve
> >>>> everything you say?
> >>>>
> >>>> No, I don't think so. That argument is really reaching.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>> The science of man made global warming being
> >>>>> a major factor is bullshit, and it is not provable.
> >>>>> LOL. The science is hardly bullshit.
> >>>>>

> >>>> What scientists are saying is that there is a strong chance that
human
> >
> >>>> contributions to greenhouse gases are affecting the climate.
> >>>>
> >>>> This is because we are dumping large and increasing amounts of
> >>>> greenhouse gases into the atmosphere, above and beyond current
natural
>

Subject: Re: ...to be Slim is Design
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 23:23:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

br />
>rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>man has always spoken in metaphoric, allegoric and or euphemistic
>>terms...except biblicly. ya know steve i don't profess to be right
>>because i just don't know but it seems there are a lot of people so
>>willing to tell me how wrong i am when they're no different than me.
>>
>>On 22 Mar 2008 06:51:06 +1000, "Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>Oh, forgot one important thing.... It wasn't men who came up with the "bright
>>>idea" to confuse the language, it was Gods.
>>>BUT it was mans idea to attempt to build the tower and according to what
>>>the Word is all about, they would have succeded if God hadn't interviened
>>>with the language confusion.
>>>
>>>"Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>Rick, I HIGHLY reccomend you crack the book open and read the text for
>yourself.
>>>>You keep coming up with all these goofy things about a tree being a tree
>>>>and swinging a cat around... Man... that's all just foolishness. Please,
>>>>read it first-THEN bring on the comments. Right now all you are doing
>is
>>>>"babelling" about something you don't know anything about. Read the text....
>>>>It WAS all about all the Babylonians speaking Babalonian. Not a hard concept
>>>>to understand. God wanted to break their power of agreement so he confused
>>>>the language and no-one understood each other and the progress of the
>tower
>>>>seeced. Why are you fighting such a simple, well known, taught to millions,
>>>>established story? You are fortunate that there are (just assuming guys)a
>>>>handful of Believers on this Forum who (you may as well face it) won't

>back

>>>>down from the Truth?

>>>>

>>>>rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>>>>again, i know the story is about language, confusion and other bright

>>>>>ideas men come up with.

>>>>>sorry, but i have a problem with "men" had one language thing. just

>>>>>as i have a problem with god being suprised that adam and eve would

>>>>>partake of the forbidden fruit when told no no no you don't. he's

>>>>>god...for his sakes... guess he also didn't think of incest when

>>>>>populating the earth from just one pair of peeps. i mean cain went to

>>>>>the land of nod and eventually married...who...i don't

>>>>>know...mom...sis...cousin rita???

>>>>>i believe god was smart enough to create the laws of physics that

>>>>>allow certain things to happen under certain conditions and lets the

>>>>>chips fall where they may. to me god doesn't kill 250 people on a

>>>>>plane and spare one as a lesson of his devine intervention

>>>>>capabilities. he doesn't kill half a town yet spares a church so they

>>>>>have somewhere to mourn the dead.

>>>>>the truely (again to me) sad thing about the differing concepts of god

>>>>>is how their used to validate the harm we do each other.

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>>On 22 Mar 2008 00:13:36 +1000, "Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net>

>>>>>wrote:

>>>>>

>>>>>><http://ldolphin.org/babel.html>

>>>>>>

>>>>>

>>Thanks Aaron. Actually guaranty is one of those words you can spell both way, like advertising and advertizing. I seem to get confused a lot with English spellings. Some words fall into a kind of grey area or some other colour I can't distinguish.

I'm sorry to hear they screwed up Flash, but no wuckers, I won't be writing any Flash stuff for a while! Adobe is tops in the print medias area IMHO, so most likely they will sort things out soon.

Aaron Allen wrote:

> Spell check on your default page ... guaranty should be guarantee

>

> Pretty clean, concise and easy to navigate pages, I like the layout Bill.

> It's all about delivery of content in short frames of time, as you've

> correctly done. Social networking pages make me absolutely insane with all

> the junk 'bling' all over them.

>

> Since you are familiar with Adobe stuff, no doubt you're aware they bought

> macromedia and now handle flash. Let me just say this. As an end

> user/business network installer, flash in a browser now sucks. Adobe has
> totalled screwed it up... why's that matter? If you design any flash
> components your pages likely will have a high correct load failure.
>
> How good/bad is Expression Web to operate?
> Proprietary components?
>
> AA
>
>
> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:47e41e97@linux...
>> Purely, mon, dis be de Babylon!
>>
>> The last few weeks I've been learning web design, designing a couple sites
>> for my new company. What a trip! I'm a pretty competent graphic designer
>> with the Adobe Creative Suite stuff, but I'm using MS' new Expression Web
>> program, which is cool, but nothing at all like designing for print.
>>
>> Anyway, my mind is slightly overwhelmed most of the time, with brief
>> moments of joy when something miraculously works like I want. There's so
>> much new terminology to learn, and the book I bought is kind of steep (720
>> pages). I learned graphics by the seat of my pants. I guess I can do the
>> same with this.
>>
>> Here's my first site:
>>
>> <http://wammoleads.com/default.html>
>
> I have next to no experience with other programs, but the deal with EW
is it is supposed to be extremely standards compliant. Nothing at all
like Frontpage in that regard.

I bought a book on Expression Web "Using Expression Web", but it's got
plenty of CSS in it, OY!

Jamie K wrote:

>
> I agree with Aaron, it's a clean layout, easy to grok.
>
> Bill, what CSS book are you using? Do you recommend it?
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com
>
>
>
>

> Aaron Allen wrote:
>> Spell check on your default page ... guaranty should be guarantee
>>
>> Pretty clean, concise and easy to navigate pages, I like the layout
>> Bill. It's all about delivery of content in short frames of time, as
>> you've correctly done. Social networking pages make me absolutely
>> insane with all the junk 'bling' all over them.
>>
>> Since you are familiar with Adobe stuff, no doubt you're aware they
>> bought macromedia and now handle flash. Let me just say this. As an
>> end user/business network installer, flash in a browser now sucks.
>> Adobe has totalled screwed it up... why's that matter? If you design
>> any flash components your pages likely will have a high correct load
>> failure.
>>
>> How good/bad is Expression Web to operate?
>> Proprietary components?
>>
>> AA
>>
>>
>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:47e41e97@linux...
>>> Purely, mon, dis be de Babylon!
>>>
>>> The last few weeks I've been learning web design, designing a couple
>>> sites for my new company. What a trip! I'm a pretty competent graphic
>>> designer with the Adobe Creative Suite stuff, but I'm using MS' new
>>> Expression Web program, which is cool, but nothing at all like
>>> designing for print.
>>>
>>> Anyway, my mind is slightly overwhelmed most of the time, with brief
>>> moments of joy when something miraculously works like I want. There's
>>> so much new terminology to learn, and the book I bought is kind of
>>> steep (720 pages). I learned graphics by the seat of my pants. I
>>> guess I can do the same with this.
>>>
>>> Here's my first site:
>>>
>>> <http://wammoleads.com/default.html>
>>
>>Dedric,

Wow....this one went around the bend PDQ. Maybe we should start small bites,
like discussing this article:

<http://dineshdsouza.com/books/enemy-intro.html>

There is a lot there to think about. that has nothing to do with race.

;o)
Deej

"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
news:C4091FAC.13618%dterry@keyofd.net...

> I've heard two separate commentaries (one a major news agency, the other a
> Brit's personal rant) about how the UK is catering to the whims of Islam -
> they've restricted the use of the Three Little Pigs story in some schools.
> England is funding Islamic schools for their Muslim citizens. They are
> considering passing Islamic laws in some areas to appease that community
> (these were echoed in both, separate and independent commentaries - two
> completely different viewpoints seeing the same thing happen). In effect,
> the UK is making concessions right and left and getting nothing in
> return -
> just more demands. Forget their own history, people and culture, just
> give
> up in the face of fear of suicide bombers, sarin gas, and extremist
> protests.
>
> The news agency report basically said exactly what you are DJ - the US is
> doing the same thing - bowing down and letting our "pacifist" leftwing
> veil
> of "peace" and "equality" and "justice" dupe us into giving up those very
> ideals, playing right into the hands of extremism and even terrorism.
> That's the paradox that's become our country. And this is just one case
> of
> how the left is ironically proposing and touting exactly the opposite of
> what is the fabric of our country.
>
> DT
>
> On 3/21/08 7:49 AM, in article 47e3bffd@linux, "Mr. Simplicity"
> <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>
>> Isn't this cute?
>> <http://markhumphrys.com/left.islamic.html>
>>
>>
>> This one is the kicker though, and elucidates my periodic rants better
>> than
>> I do:
>> <http://dineshdsouza.com/books/enemy-intro.html>
>>
>> Our economy is gonna bounce along the bottom for a while. This wasn't
>> necessary. Now I hear the Democrats starting to harp on the economy and
>> tie
>> it to Iraq. there is, most definitely, a connection.

>>
>> <http://drsanity.blogspot.com/2007/04/selfless-narcissism.htm> I
>>
>> and the following excerpt is something I've been ranting about here and
>> elsewhere for years:
>> The Democrats and their glorious leaders, who have become so
>> narcissistically invested in this country's defeat as a political
>> strategy
>> to accumulate power and "restore American honor", that they
>> have--wittingly
>> or unwittingly--decided to sell their countrymen out to the very enemy
>> they
>> are currently fighting. They mean well, of course. They do it for those
>> wonderful 'selfless' reasons--like "peace" and "social justice" and all.
>> They really support the troops .
>>
>> Let's just ignore the fact that they bear considerable responsibility for
>> the ongoing violence and death of our troops as they enable and encourage
>> the priorities of the enemy. Why should the terrorists alter their
>> homicidal
>> behavior? It is working! People like Nancy Pelosi are living proof of the
>> effectiveness of their strategy.
>>
>> There really is a problem. It's a problem that has been aggravated and
>> encouraged by the left in this country.....you know, the ones who
>> don't quite understand that they will be the first to be slaughtered once
>> they have thrown a wrench in the gears of those who are willing to
>> protect
>> them. They just do not get it. The Islamists parrot the leftist American
>> Imperialist tripe that the leftists love to hear and ascribe to this
>> country
>> but the Islamists do it by design, to dupe the left into helping them
>> destroy the protectors of the culture that the left hopes to protect by
>> trying to appease those who will not be appeased until the leftists are
>> either dead or are kneeling toward Mecca. Once it is too late, they can
>> then
>> whine about how pissed off they are that there's no separation between
>> mosque and state...right before they are separated from their heads. I
>> recognized it years ago. So did lots of other people.....funny, I didn't
>> hear a peep out of OBama though.
>>
>> OK.....now I'm of to rape the land.
>>
>> bye.
>>
>>
>>
>>

>Don't feed the trolls!

erlilo wrote:

> It seems it was yah that said no or was it no that said yah;-) Seems someone
> have it fun on a long Friday with someone and something here;-)

>

>

> "Dedric Terry" <notme@nowhere.com> skrev i en meddelelse

> news:47e421e9\$1@linux...

>> Point was, you simply launched a diatribe about slavery based on one word

>> that had nothing, and I repeat, *nothing* to do with slavery. How about

>> a game of word association, I say, "orange" you say slavery. I say

>> balloon,

>> you say "slavery". Get the picture yet?

>>

>> If you want to start a thread about how the word "fabric" offends you,

>> feel

>> free, but don't pass judgement and make assumptions about my intent in my

>> reply to DJ. I wasn't talking to you, about you, or for your benefit,

>> whoever

>> you are.

>>

>> And I find it extraordinarily offensive that you would assume you have the

>> right to cry about injustices done to other people over 150 years ago, and

>> extrapolate that to being a permanent stigma dooming our country, and

>> woven

>> into every conversation from here to eternity. I won't bother to explain

>> "fabric" to you since you didn't get it last time.

>>

>> If you want to play the game of associating yourself with the past for

>> sake

>> of playing victim, I'm part American Indian (direct descendant), and "we"

>> were here first, and the first to lose rights and freedoms here. ;-) If

>> you want to associate history with present day people, why not claim

>> Germany

>> is racist and doomed because of Hitler's genocidal mania? How about the

>> Netherlands for the barbarians?

>>

>> Enough of this. I only came back to be clear about how diluded you are in

>> your assumptions in this debate. It used to be fun to hang out here and

>> exchange opinions, however diverse, but this tangent really takes the cake

>> - must be the twilight zone now. I guess now you'll rant about how "cake"

>> or the word "twilight" is a "bull***" word as a result of slavery by some

>> twisted and bizarre association as well. Have fun.

>>

>>

>> "yah" <Blah@ya-ya.com> wrote:

>>> Why do yoy think that your opinion is the right one? Are you saying that

>> this
>>> country
>>> was'nt built on the backs of slavery? How am I a hypocrite? Ask questions?
>>> My
>>> first post was nothing but questions. Having the guts to stand up for
>>> what you believe in with integrity, honor and respect, but without any
>>> pansy,
>>> pacifist whining and hiding behind labels and stereotypes". are serious?
>>> Why did it take so long for every man to have the right same rights? Is'nt
>>> that something we were suppose to believe in? Honor? respect? Look,do'nt
>>> get
>>> made at me or anyone that confronts you with the truth. I love this
>>> country
>>> just as much as you. If I can say that with your permission. But we
>>> have alot of work to do. We want to blame everyone else for are
>>> problems.(not
>>> to say we are the cause of all the problems) Think what you want about my
>>> credibility,my words can stand on there own! This makes them no less true
>>>
>>> "Dedric Terry" <dt@noreply.com> wrote:
>>>> Before you pass judgement, make ill-informed assumptions and become a
>>>> hypocrit
>>>> yourself, read the context, ask questions, and attempt to actually
>>>> understand
>>>> other people. You just read a single word and extrapolated that into
>>>> left
>>>> field.
>>>>
>>>> This had nothing to do with race. You might has well launched off a
>>>> diatribe
>>>> on the problems with meteor storms on Pluto.
>>>>
>>>> And in case you were wondering, by "fabric" I meant guts to stand up for
>>>> what you believe in with integrity, honor and respect, but without any
>> pansy,
>>>> pacifist whining and hiding behind labels and stereotypes.
>>>>
>>>> Btw - posting like this without your real name is cowardly and destroys
>>> any
>>>> credibility to your opinion, but at least it supports my argument in
>>>> spades.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Yah" <Blah@ya-ya.com> wrote:
>>>>> Listen,
>>>>> My comments are not about the article,I'm not defending the left. I just
>>>>> find the statement "the fabric of are country" to be bullshit. We forget

>>>>> about
>>>>> are past and pass judgement on others. This is the self-righteousness
>> I
>>>> speak
>>>>> of. As to why I do not post my name? Because of the self-righteousness
>>> I
>>>>> speak
>>>>> of. Wake up! Clean up your backyard. Playing the race card? It could'nt
>>>> be
>>>>> played if racism was'nt true and alive today! You may look at my
>>>>> comments
>>>>> as detour
>>>>> into left field, but my comments are directed at your comment about the
>>> "fabric"
>>>>> of are country. The truth is almost all the founding fathers were slave
>>>> owners, and
>>>>> some only freed their slaves after they died. Now there is one thing I
>>> agree
>>>>> on
>>>>> with you is that this place has gone way down!
>>>>>
>>>>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>> And, btw - I can't believe anyone would even dare to post such racist
>>>>>> propaganda as this
>>>>>> (and that's exactly what it is) when the original post was on radical
>>> islam,
>>>>>> not slavery. Simply amazing.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> This forum really has lost it. I'm out. I've really had enough of
>>>>>> these
>>>>>> far fetched bizarre reactions and detours into left field.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "YAH" <bla-bla@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:47e3dde1\$1@linux...
>>>>>>> The fabric of are nation? What is that? Slavery? segregation?
>>>>>>> racism?
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>> KKK?
>>>>>>> self-righteousness!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>> I've heard two separate commentaries (one a major news agency, the
>> other
>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>> Brit's personal rant) about how the UK is catering to the whims of
>> Islam
>>>>>>>> -
>>>>>>>> they've restricted the use of the Three Little Pigs story in some

>>>>>>> schools.
>>>>>>> England is funding Islamic schools for their Muslim citizens. They
>>> are
>>>>>>> considering passing Islamic laws in some areas to appease that
>>>>>>> community
>>>>>>> (these were echoed in both, separate and independent commentaries -
>>> two
>>>>>>> completely different viewpoints seeing the same thing happen). In
>> effect,
>>>>>>> the UK is making concessions right and left and getting nothing in
>> return
>>>>>>> -
>>>>>>> just more demands. Forget their own history, people and culture,
>>>>>>> just
>>>>>>> give
>>>>>>> up in the face of fear of suicide bombers, sarin gas, and extremist
>>>>>>> protests.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The news agency report basically said exactly what you are DJ - the
>>> US
>>>>> is
>>>>>>> doing the same thing - bowing down and letting our "pacifist"
>>>>>>> leftwing
>>>>>>>&g

Subject: Re: ...to be Slim is Design
Posted by [TCB](#) on Thu, 17 Jan 2008 00:13:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

, and attempt to actually
>>>understand
>>>other people. You just read a single word and extrapolated that into
>>>left
>>>field.
>>>
>>>This had nothing to do with race. You might have well launched off a
>>>diatribe
>>>on the problems with meteor storms on Pluto.
>>>
>>>And in case you were wondering, by "fabric" I meant guts to stand up for
>>>what you believe in with integrity, honor and respect, but without any
> pansy,
>>>pacifist whining and hiding behind labels and stereotypes.
>>>
>>>Btw - posting like this without your real name is cowardly and destroys
>>any
>>>credibility to your opinion, but at least it supports my argument in

>>>spades.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>"Yah" <Blah@ya-ya.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>Listen,
>>>>My comments are not about the article,I'm not defending the left. I just
>>>>find the statement "the fabric of are country" to be bullshit. We forget
>>>>about
>>>>are past and pass judgement on others. This is the self-righteousness
> I
>>>speak
>>>>of. As to why I do not post my name? Because of the self-righteousness
>>I
>>>>speak
>>>>of. Wake up! Clean up your backyard. Playing the race card? It could'nt
>>>be
>>>>played if racism was'nt true and alive today! You may look at my
>>>>comments
>>>>as detour
>>>>into left field,but my comments are directed at your comment about the
>>"fabric"
>>>>of are country. The truth is almost all the founding fathers were slave
>>>owners,and
>>>>some only freed their slaves after they died. Now there is one thing I
>>agree
>>>>on
>>>>with you is that this place has gone way down!
>>>>
>>>>"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>And, btw - I can't believe anyone would even dare to post such racist
>>
>>>>>propaganda as this
>>>>>(and that's exactly what it is) when the original post was on radical
>>islam,
>>>>>
>>>>>not slavery. Simply amazing.
>>>>>
>>>>>This forum really has lost it. I'm out. I've really had enough of
>>>>>these
>>>>>far fetched bizarre reactions and detours into left field.
>>>>>
>>>>>"YAH" <bla-bla@yah.com> wrote in message news:47e3dde1\$1@linux...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The fabric of are nation? What is that? Salvery? segragation?
>>>>>> racisim?
>>>>>

>>>>> the
>>>>> KKK?
>>>>> self-righteousness!
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>>I've heard two separate commentaries (one a major news agency, the
> other
>>>>>> a
>>>>>>Brit's personal rant) about how the UK is catering to the whims of
> Islam
>>>>>> -
>>>>>>>they've restricted the use of the Three Little Pigs story in some
>>>>>>>schools.
>>>>>>>England is funding Islamic schools for their Muslim citizens. They
>>are
>>>>>>>considering passing Islamic laws in some areas to appease that
>>>>>>>community
>>>>>>>(these were echoed in both, separate and independent commentaries -
>>two
>>>>>>>completely different viewpoints seeing the same thing happen). In
> effect,
>>>>>>>the UK is making concessions right and left and getting nothing in
> return
>>>>>>> -
>>>>>>>just more demands. Forget their own history, people and culture,
>>>>>>>just
>>>>>
>>>>>>>give
>>>>>>>up in the face of fear of suicide bombers, sarin gas, and extremist
>>>>>>>protests.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>The news agency report basically said exactly what you are DJ - the
>>US
>>>>>is
>>>>>>>doing the same thing - bowing down and letting our "pacifist"
>>>>>>>leftwing
>>>>>
>>>>>>>veil
>>>>>>>of "peace" and "equality" and "justice" dupe us into giving up those
>>>very
>>>>>>>ideals, playing right into the hands of extremism and even terrorism.
>>>>>>>That's the paradox that's become our country. And this is just one
>>case
>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>>how the left is ironically proposing and touting exactly the opposite
>>>>>of
>>>>>>>what is the fabric of our country.

>>>>>>
>>>>>>DT
>>>>>>
>>>>>>On 3/21/08 7:49 AM, in article 47e3bffd@linux, "Mr. Simplicity"
>>>>>><noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Isn't this cute?
>>>>>>> <http://markhumphrys.com/left.islamic.html>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> This one is the kicker though, and elucidates my periodic rants
>>>>>>> better
>>>>>>> than
>>>>>>> I do:
>>>>>>> <http://dineshdsouza.com/books/enemy-intro.html>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Our economy is gonna bounce along the bottom for a while. This
>>>>>>> wasn't
>>>>>>> necessary. Now I hear the Democrats starting to harp on the economy
>>>>>>>and
>>>>>>> tie
>>>>>>> it ti Iraq. there is, most definitely, a connection.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> <http://drsanity.blogspot.com/2007/04/selfless-narcissism.htm> I
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> and the following excerpt is something I've been ranting about here
>>>>>>>and
>>>>>>> elsewhere for years:
>>>>>>> The Democrats and their glorious leaders, who have become so
>>>>>>> narcissistically invested in this country's defeat as a political
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> strategy
>>>>>>> to accumulate power and "restore American honor", that they
>>>>>>> have--wittingly
>>>>>>> or unwittingly--decided to sell their countrymen out to the very
> enemy
>>>>>>> they
>>>>>>> are currently fighting. They mean well, of course. They do it for
>>those
>>>>>>> wonderful 'selfless' reasons--like "peace" and "social justice" and
>>>>>>>all.
>>>>>>> They really support the troops .
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Let's just ignore the fact that they bear considerable
>>>>>>> responsibility
>>>>>>> for
>>>>>>> the ongoing violence and death of our troops as they enable and
>>>>>>> encourage

>>>>>>> the priorities of the enemy. Why should the terrorists alter their
>>>
>>>>>>> homicidal
>>>>>>> behavior? It is working! People like Nancy Pelosi are living proof
>>>of
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>> effectiveness of their strategy.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> There really is a problem. It's a problem that has been aggravated
>>>and
>>>>>>> encouraged by the left in this country.....you know, the ones
>>>>who
>>>>>>> don't quite understand that they will be the first to be
>>>>>>> slaughtered
>>>>
>>>>>>> once
>>>>>>> they have thrown a wrench in the gears of those who are willing to
>>>
>>>>>>> protect
>>>>>>> them. They just do not get it. The Islamists parrot the leftist
>>>>>>> American
>>>>>>> Imperialist tripe that the leftists love to hear and ascribe to
>>>>>>> this
>>>>
>>>>>>> country
>>>>>>> but the Islamists do it by design, to dupe the left into helping
> them
>>>>>>> destroy the protectors of the culture that the left hopes to
>>>>>>> protect
>>>>by
>>>>>>> trying to appease those who will not be appeased until the leftists
>>>>are
>>>>>>> either dead or are kneeling toward Mecca. Once it is too late, they
>>>>can
>>>>>>> then
>>>>>>> whine about how pissed off they are that there's no separation
>>>>>>> between
>>>>>>> mosque and state...right before they are separated from their
>>>>>>> heads.
>>>>I
>>>>>>> recognized it years ago. So did lots of other people.....funny, I
>>didn't
>>>>>>> hear a peep out of OBama though.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> OK.....now I'm of to rape the land.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> bye.
>>>>>>>

>It seems we have a hell of a day here;-) Ohhh, it's seems it midnight here right now, just passed to Saturday...

 $\vee$ $\succ$ $\vee$ $\vee$ $\vee$ $\vee$ $\vee$ $\succ$ $\vee$

✓

>>i believe in do unto others as you would have them do unto you. what
>>else can be said for human behavior. of course not everyone has that
>>capability due to thier genetic wiring. just as some people can kill
>>without remorse others cannot accept certain "truths"; it is that
>>equal deserving of eternal hell according to some religious sects i
>>find problematic. after all the vengeful god of the old testament
>>become the god of love in the new and i'm not really feelin' the love
>>here according to the words of some. (not spoken here but lets be

>>honest out in the real world you can't swing a dead cat and not hit
>>someone who is willing to tell you that hell, hades, sheol is your
>>final destination.
>>
>>On 22 Mar 2008 02:42:44 +1000, "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>Rick, there's some good insights here. How God works is not
>>>always transparent to us.
>>
>>see, now that's where i think the problem lies. god should know that
>>we're not going to get the message as he wants us to because we're
>>human and will translate anything to our own advantage. of all the
>>things to deserve eternal damnation for (if you believe in it) one
>>would think that the inability to "get it" would not be one of them.
>>
>>would you as a parent condemn your child to a never ending life of
>>torment simply because he or she couldn't understand the totality of
>>you being "the creator (parent)" of them. you know, it can take only
>>one gene to make someone either a murderer, saint and everything in
>>between. so to me a loving, just and forgiving god would have a
>>better plan then eternal suffering. we on the other hand are more
>>than willing to threaten and enact all levels of retribution on others
>>because we think that's what god would do.
>>>
>>>What concerns me is the reality of Jesus. From that issue flow all
>>>others, and whether there is an objective truth here or we are
>>>free to make it up as we go along.
>>and whose to say where the "making up" began. it is in my nature to
>>question what i see and hear and if i go to hell for it...then oh well
>>it's who i am, the way i was made.
>>>
>>>DC
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>again, i know the story is about language, confusion and other bright
>>>>ideas men come up with.
>>>>sorry, but i have a problem with "men" had one language thing. just
>>>>as i have a problem with god being suprised that adam and eve would
>>>>partake of the forbidden fruit when told no no no you don't. he's
>>>>god...for his sakes... guess he also didn't think of incest when
>>>>populating the earth from just one pair of peeps. i mean cain went to
>>>>the land of nod and eventually married...who...i don't
>>>>know...mom...sis...cousin rita???
>>>>i believe god was smart enough to create the laws of physics that
>>>>allow certain things to happen under certain conditions and lets the

>>>>chips fall where they may. to me god doesn't kill 250 people on a
>>>>plane and spare one as a lesson of his devine intervention
>>>>capabilities. he doesn't kill half a town yet spares a church so they
>>>>have somewhere to mourn the dead.
>>>>the truely (again to me) sad thing about the differing concepts of god
>>>>is how their used to validate the harm we do each other.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>On 22 Mar 2008 00:13:36 +1000, "Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net>
>>>>wrote:
>>>>
>>>>><http://ldolphin.org/babel.html>
>>>>
>>
>Bill,
Traction? Don't have a clue what you are talking about and don't think you
do either. It looks like you jumped in about half way through the topic and
are responding to something you know nothing about. But that's ok. I wasn't
responding to you anyhow. I was responding to Rick's statement. ORIGINALLY
he clearly said the tower of babel was not about languages it was about gods.
As soon as you quit attacking me, I will drop the subject.

"Steve, I don't think Rick gives a hoot about the story. He is talking
>about his ideas on god man, trees and swingin' cats. You're proolly not
>going to get any traction on that Babylonian story".

rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>thanks bill. people will believe what they CAN believe more than what
>they're told to believe.. hell, i was raised catholic...school...the
>hole shot and if that didn't work i guess nothing will. according to
>all religious soothsayers "if you don't believe the way we/i do you
>are going to hell" so i guess everyone is.

>
>
>
>On Fri, 21 Mar 2008 15:39:07 -0400, Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Steve, I don't think Rick gives a hoot about the story. He is talking
>>about his ideas on god man, trees and swingin' cats. You're proolly not

>>going to get any traction on that Babylonian story.
>>
>>
>>Steve Cox wrote:

>>> Rick, I HIGHLY recommend you crack the book open and read the text for yourself.
>>> You keep coming up with all these goofy things about a tree being a tree
>>> and swinging a cat around... Man... that's all just foolishness. Please,
>>> read it first-THEN bring on the comments. Right now all you are doing is
>>> "babelling" about something you don't know anything about. Read the text....
>>> It WAS all about all the Babylonians speaking Babalonian. Not a hard concept
>>> to understand. God wanted to break their power of agreement so he confused
>>> the language and no-one understood each other and the progress of the tower
>>> seceded. Why are you fighting such a simple, well known, taught to millions, established story? You are fortunate that there are (just assuming guys)a handful of Believers on this Forum who (you may as well face it) won't back
>>> down from the Truth?
>>>
>>> rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>> again, i know the story is about language, confusion and other bright
>>>> ideas men come up with.
>>>> sorry, but i have a problem with "men" had one language thing. just
>>>> as i have a problem with god being suprised that adam and eve would
>>>> partake of the forbidden fruit when told no no no you don't. he's
>>>> god...for his sakes... guess he also didn't think of incest when
>>>> populating the earth from just one pair of peeps. i mean cain went to
>>>> the land of nod and eventually married...who...i don't
>>>> know...mom...sis...cousin rita???
>>>> i believe god was smart enough to create the laws of physics that
>>>> allow certain things to happen under certain conditions and lets the
>>>> chips fall where they may. to me god doesn't kill 250 people on a
>>>> plane and spare one as a lesson of his devine intervention
>>>> capabilities. he doesn't kill half a town yet spares a church so they
>>>> have somewhere to mourn the dead.
>>>> the truly (again to me) sad thing about the differing concepts of god
>>>> is how their used to validate the harm we do each other.
>>>>
>>>>

Subject: Re: To Air is Human
Posted by [Paul Braun](#) on Thu, 17 Jan 2008 03:30:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

than willing to threaten and enact all levels of retribution on others
>>because we think that's what god would do.
>>>

>>>What concerns me is the reality of Jesus. From that issue flow all
>>>others, and whether there is an objective truth here or we are
>>>free to make it up as we go along.
>>and whose to say where the "making up" began. it is in my nature to
>>question what i see and hear and if i go to hell for it...then oh well
>>it's who i am, the way i was made.
>>>
>>>DC
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>again, i know the story is about language, confusion and other bright
>>>>ideas men come up with.
>>>>sorry, but i have a problem with "men" had one language thing. just
>>>>as i have a problem with god being suprised that adam and eve would
>>>>partake of the forbidden fruit when told no no no you don't. he's
>>>>god...for his sakes... guess he also didn't think of incest when
>>>>populating the earth from just one pair of peeps. i mean cain went to
>>>>the land of nod and eventually married...who...i don't
>>>>know...mom...sis...cousin rita???
>>>>i believe god was smart enough to create the laws of physics that
>>>>allow certain thin

Subject: Re: To Air is Human
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Thu, 17 Jan 2008 04:10:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

l.html

>>>>

>>+1 unrock on jo

On 22 Mar 2008 09:10:51 +1000, "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>
>
>
>
>
>

>Bach is a benevolent diety to whom all musicians should offer

>a prayer to save themselves from the curse of mediocrity...

>

>-- Claude Debussybut if we can for the first time be a part a mitigating it's efx.
then why the hell not try.

On 22 Mar 2008 07:56:11 +1000, "James McCloskey"
<excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>There has always been global disasters.
>
>"BR" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote:
>>If the global temperature raises with more than 2 degrees celcius, we'll
>>have a global disaster. It's no chance that we are going to avoid that.
>So
>>beware, the africans, the south asians and the south americans are coming
>to
>>knock on our door, and they are hungry, they are thirsty, and they are many.
>>And that's just the beginning. This thing is out of control.
>>
>>Bjorn R
>>
>>"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:47e41af0@linux...
>>> James McCloskey wrote:
>>> > The science is about money.
>>>
>>> To an extent everything is about money. But that doesn't stop people
>>> from doing good, conscientious work.
>>>
>>> You yourself require money to live. Should we therefore disbelieve
>>> everything you say?
>>>
>>> No, I don't think so. That argument is really reaching.
>>>
>>>
>>> > The science of man made global warming being
>>> > a major factor is bullshit, and it is not provable.
>>>
>>> LOL. The science is hardly bullshit.
>>>
>>> What scientists are saying is that there is a strong chance that human
>>> contributions to greenhouse gases are affecting the climate.
>>>
>>> This is because we are dumping large and increasing amounts of
>>> greenhouse gases into the atmosphere, above and beyond current natural
>>> cycles. Gases that then stay in the atmosphere for extended periods,
>>> decades or more.
>>>
>>> Keep in mind that greenhouse gases help regulate temperature on earth
>by
>>> reflecting back infrared radiation. This is a good thing for our
>>&g

Subject: Re: To Air is Human

Posted by [excelav](#) on Thu, 17 Jan 2008 05:44:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t; survival but it can be overdone.

>>>

>>> Since only a tiny fraction of the gases in the atmosphere reflect
>>> infrared radiation (nitrogen and oxygen don't), it doesn't take much of
>>> an increase in greenhouse gases to noticeably change the amount of heat
>>> directed back toward the earth.

>>>

>>> There is strong evidence that this is what is happening now, and that
>>> our contributions are part of the problem. Based on what we know so far
>>> there is a strong chance this problem will increase over time.

>>>

>>> Given that information, it's smart to consider the implications now.

>>>

>>>

>>> > Has the earth heated
>>> > up a bit, yes, the earth goes threw changes.

>>>

>>> True, and true.

>>>

>>> And evidence indicates there's a good chance we're involved in the
>>> current climate change event.

>>>

>>>

>>> > Should we as people stop polluting,
>>> > yes.

>>>

>>> We certainly need to be aware of the chemistry around us and how we
>>> interact with it.

>>>

>>> The ozone situation is a good example. Scientists noticed a change in
>>> the ozone layer. A human chemical cause was discovered. Policies were
>>> changed to stop human contributions to the problem.

>>>

>>>

>>> > Should we conserve, yes, I'm a conservative, not a liberal.

>>>

>>> Great.

>>>

>>> To conserve what we have we need to take into account any evidence that
>>> shows we may have a problem.

>>>

>>> BTW climate change and conservation are not a partisan issues, in my
>>> view. At the very least, most people don't want to screw up the area
>>> where they live, no matter their politics.

>>>

>>>
>>> > Am I buying
>>> > in to the liberal's sin tax plan their trying to convince the dummies
>to
>>> > start paying to save the world, NO WAY!
>>>
>>> That's fine. But don't confuse any perceived conspiracies with ongoing
>>> climate science.
>>>
>>> You can promote your political views without attacking climate
>>> scientists or dismissing scientific conclusions.
>>>
>>>

Subject: Re: To Air is Human
Posted by [excelav](#) on Thu, 17 Jan 2008 06:00:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>
>>> But don't pretend the data itself doesn't exist. There is a lot of
>>> peer-reviewed research out there, and it isn't "contrived."
>>>
>>> If the only way to "prove" your point is to continue a risky worldwide
>>> experiment until we possibly screw up the planet for future generations,
>>> then your proof is too late to be in any way useful.
>>>
>>> It's much smarter to look at the decades of evidence we already have and
>>> make prudent risk management decisions.
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> -Jamie
>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>
>>>
>>> > Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>> >> Wish it were that easy, James. We would be poor stewards of the land
>>> >> indeed if we carelessly dismissed the evidence to date. It's not
>>> >> particularly prudent to ignore increasing evidence that shows possible
>>> >> problems.
>>> >>
>>> >> The atmosphere and our use of it to store increasing amounts of
>>> >>unwanted
>>> >
>>> >> greenhouse gases is a big enough subject scientifically without being
>>> >> sidetracked by political and economic conspiracy theories.
>>> >>

>>> >> Even if you enjoy discussing perceived conspiracies, be sure you don't
>>> >> confuse them with the ongoing science.
>>> >>
>>> >> Cheers,
>>> >> -Jamie
>>> >> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >> James McCloskey wrote:
>>> >>> What we need is waders and a big shovel to get rid of this load of
>>crap.
>>> >
>>> >>>
>>> >>> "BR" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote:
>>> >>>> The humanity is facing it's biggest disaster in 100 000 years. Tax
>>raises
>>> >>>> won't solve anything, it demand a lot more action than that..
>>> >>>> BR
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> >>>> news:47e2cfc2\$1@linux...
>>> >>>>> This is what i've been saying. When Al Gore buys global offsets
>for
>>> > ten
>>> >>>> cents
>>> >>>>> and sells them for a dollar, it's a big bunch of money making
>>bullshit!
>>> >>>>> Now this trilateralist bure

Subject: Re: To Air is Human
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Thu, 17 Jan 2008 06:06:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>preparing
>>> >>>>> you
>>> >>>>>> to be bent over as consumption falls. Expect more of this BS as
>gas
>>> > usage
>>> >>>>>> goes down and therefore the tax revenue that a gallon of gas
>>generates
>>> >>> is
>>> >>>>>> proportionately reduced. To make up for the revenue shortfall,
>>we're
>>> >>>> going
>>> >>>>>> to get taxed into oblivion.....and I was even sorta' thinking
>>about

>>> >>>>> voting for a Democrat for president. The new forumla for the
>>American
>>> >>>>> economy is to tax businesses more so that they will continue to
>go
>>> >>>> elsewhere
>>> >>>>> while screwing the workers who will be losing their jobs.
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> Gimme some of that.
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >
>>
>>definitely the devils work...but i forgive you.

;o) :o) ;o) :o) ;o) :o) ;o) :o)

On Fri, 21 Mar 2008 16:33:56 -0400, Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com>
wrote:

><http://wammoleads.com/default.html>here it's the women'a expo...every day has something to do
with
boobs...though once a year they throw us guy a bone...err...a prostate
exam. i'm still waiting for the color ribbon representing my...you
know...stuff...;o)

On 22 Mar 2008 08:28:26 +1000, "John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>
>Racism is alive today and it goes both ways. Want to know what pisses me
>off? The Black Job expo, the Black phone book and the Black everything else
>that is exclusionary. You can't have it both ways. Then again I'm pissed
>at old people getting cheaper movie tickets. hehe
>
>Johnwtf is duid?

On Fri, 21 Mar 2008 17:14:25 -0600, "Mr. Simplicity" <noway@jose.net>
wrote:

>Uhhhhh.....duid anyone read the article by Dinesh D'Sousa? Care to discuss
>it? Care to debunk it with facts?
>I'd be interested to hear arguments against it as it is so spot on, IMO,
>that it should be glaringly obvious to anyone over 12 years old..
>
>Of course, if you disagree with it, or with the content of my post, I'd be
>winning to listen.....and if you have any family photos with everyone
>wearing matching kaffiyas and AK47's.....that would be interesting to
>discuss too.
>

>Oh yah.....BTW, my name is Doug Joyce
>
>
>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:47e42369\$1@linux...
>>
>> Whew!
>>
>> hey everybody. let's take a break and cool down.
>>
>>
>>
>can we please for once discuss masturbation??? talk about not talked
about???

On Fri, 21 Mar 2008 17:29:05 -0600, "Mr. Simplicity" <noway@jose.net>
wrote:

>Dedric,
>
>Wow....this one went around the bend PDQ. Maybe we should start small bites,
>like discussing this article:
>
><http://dineshdsouza.com/books/enemy-intro.html>
>
>There is a lot there to think about. that has nothing to do with race.
>
>;o)
>Deej
>
>"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
>news:C4091FAC.13618%dterry@keyofd.net...
>> I've heard two separate commentaries (one a major news agency, the other a
>> Brit's

Subject: Re: To Air is Human
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Thu, 17 Jan 2008 06:13:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

personal rant) about how the UK is catering to the whims of Islam -
>> they've restricted the use of the Three Little Pigs story in some schools.
>> England is funding Islamic schools for their Muslim citizens. They are
>> considering passing Islamic laws in some areas to appease that community
>> (these were echoed in both, separate and independent commentaries - two
>> completely different viewpoints seeing the same thing happen). In effect,
>> the UK is making concessions right and left and getting nothing in
>> return -
>> just more demands. Forget their own history, people and culture, just

>> give
>> up in the face of fear of suicide bombers, sarin gas, and extremist
>> protests.
>>
>> The news agency report basically said exactly what you are DJ - the US is
>> doing the same thing - bowing down and letting our "pacifist" leftwing
>> veil
>> of "peace" and "equality" and "justice" dupe us into giving up those very
>> ideals, playing right into the hands of extremism and even terrorism.
>> That's the paradox that's become our country. And this is just one case
>> of
>> how the left is ironically proposing and touting exactly the opposite of
>> what is the fabric of our country.
>>
>> DT
>>
>> On 3/21/08 7:49 AM, in article 47e3bffd@linux, "Mr. Simplicity"
>> <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>
>>> Isn't this cute?
>>> <http://markhumphrys.com/left.islamic.html>
>>>
>>>
>>> This one is the kicker though, and elucidates my periodic rants better
>>> than
>>> I do:
>>> <http://dineshdsouza.com/books/enemy-intro.html>
>>>
>>> Our economy is gonna bounce along the bottom for a while. This wasn't
>>> necessary. Now I hear the Democrats starting to harp on the economy and
>>> tie
>>> it ti Iraq. there is, most definitely, a connection.
>>>
>>> <http://drsanity.blogspot.com/2007/04/selfless-narcissism.htm> I
>>>
>>> and the following excerpt is something I've been ranting about here and
>>> elsewhere for years:
>>> The Democrats and their glorious leaders, who have become so
>>> narcissistically invested in this country's defeat as a political
>>> strategy
>>> to accumulate power and "restore American honor", that they
>>> have--wittingly
>>> or unwittingly--decided to sell their countrymen out to the very enemy
>>> they
>>> are currently fighting. They mean well, of course. They do it for those
>>> wonderful 'selfless' reasons--like "peace" and "social justice" and all.
>>> They really support the troops .
>>>

>>> Let's just ignore the fact that they bear considerable responsibility for
>>> the ongoing violence and death of our troops as they enable and encourage
>>> the priorities of the enemy. Why should the terrorists alter their
>>> homicidal
>>> behavior? It is working! People like Nancy Pelosi are living proof of the
>>> effectiveness of their strategy.
>>>
>>> There really is a problem. It's a problem that has been aggravated and
>>> encouraged by the left in this country.....you know, the ones who
>>> don't quite understand that they will be the first to be slaughtered once
>>> they have thrown a wrench in the gears of those who are willing to
>>> protect
>>> them. They just do not get it. The Islamists parrot the leftist American
>>> Imperialist tripe that the leftists love to hear and ascribe to this
>>> country
>>> but the Islamists do it by design, to dupe the left into helping them
>>> destroy the protectors of the culture that the left hopes to protect by
>>> trying to appease those who will not be appeased until the leftists are
>>> either dead or are kneeling toward Mecca. Once it is too late, they can
>>> then
>>> whine about how pissed off they are that there's no separation between
>>> mosque and state...right before they are separated from their heads. I
>>> recognized it years ago. So did lots of other people.....funny, I didn't
>>> hear a peep out of OBama though.
>>>
>>> OK.....now I'm of to rape the land.
>>>
>>> bye.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>no, what i said was that instead of assigning the parable to language
that the metaphor was relating to how we deal with different concepts
or con

Subject: Re: To Air is Human
Posted by [Neil](#) on Thu, 17 Jan 2008 06:42:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
>>> >>>> tml
>>> >>>>> This is the biggest load of disingenuous hubris I've seen in a
>>>while.
>>> >>>> More
>>> >>>>> hypocrisy. Whether you get it or not, your intelligence has just

>>been

>>> >>>>> insulted and be assured that what this is really all about it

Subject: Re: To Air is Human

Posted by [rick](#) on Thu, 17 Jan 2008 11:01:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

.html" target="_blank"><http://wammoleads.com/default.html>

>i guess the other question is what religion declares a preteen girl who gets impregnated by an infinitely old god by a surrogate angel and pins the deed on a 30 something old carpenter as okey dokey and savior of us all??? not wanting to be critical but...if it were today people would be calling for the old eye for an eye thing and ignoring the vengeance is mine sayeth the lord...finishing of the phrase thing.

On 22 Mar 2008 07:52:37 +1000, "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>

>Hell, I wouldn't even send Thad to hell!

>

>grin

>

>No one

Subject: Re: To Air is Human

Posted by [TCB](#) on Thu, 17 Jan 2008 16:05:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;>here according to the words of some. (not spoken here but lets be

>>honest out in the real world you can't swing a dead cat and not hit

>>someone who is willing to tell you that hell, hades, sheol is your

>>final destination.

>>

>>On 22 Mar 2008 02:42:44 +1000, "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>>

>>>

>>>Rick, there's some good insights here. How God works is not

>>>always transparent to us.

>>

>>see, now that's where i think the problem lies. god should know that

>>we're not going to get the message as he wants us to because we're

>>human and will translate anything to our own advantage. of all the

>>things to deserve eternal damnation for (if you believe in it) one

>>would think that the inability to "get it" would not be one of them.

>>

>>would you as a parent condemn your child to a never ending life of
>>torment simply because he or she couldn't understand the totality of
>>you being "the creator (parent)" of them. you know, it can take only
>>one gene to make someone either a murderer, saint and everything in
>>between. so to me a loving, just and forgiving god would have a
>>better plan then eternal suffering. we on the other hand are more
>>than willing to threaten and enact all levels of retribution on others
>>because we think that's what god would do.

>>>

>>>What concerns me is the reality of Jesus. From that issue flow all
>>>others, and whether there is an objective truth here or we are
>>>free to make it up as we go along.
>>and whose to say where the "making up" began. it is in my nature to
>>question what i se

Subject: Re: To Air is Human

Posted by [TCB](#) on Thu, 17 Jan 2008 16:06:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

e and hear and if i go to hell for it...then oh well

>>it's who i am, the way i was made.

>>>

>>>DC

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>>>again, i know the story is about language, confusion and other bright

>>>>ideas men come up with.

>>>>sorry, but i have a problem with "men" had one language thing. just

>>>>as i have a problem with god being suprised that adam and eve would

>>>>partake of the forbidden fruit when told no no no you don't. he's

>>>>god...for his sakes... guess he also didn't think of incest when

>>>>populating the earth from just one pair of peeps. i mean cain went to

>>>>the land of nod and eventually married...who...i don't

>>>>know...mom...sis...cousin rita???

>>>>i believe god was smart enough to create the laws of physics that

>>>>allow certain things to happen under certain conditions and lets the

>>>>chips fall where they may. to me god doesn't kill 250 people on a

>>>>plane and spare one as a lesson of his devine intervention

>>>>capabilities. he doesn't kill half a town yet spares a church so they

>>>>have somewhere to mourn the dead.

>>>>the truly (again to me) sad thing about the differing concepts of god

>>>>is how their used to validate the harm we do each other.

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>On 22 Mar 2008 00:13:36 +1000, "Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net>

>>>>wrote:

>>>>

>>>>><http://ldolphin.org/babel.html>

>>>>

>>as many as the days are long my son...what's my cut??? okay, now we're really gonna piss some peeps off.

enjoy the weekend for what it means to you....

On Fri, 21 Mar 2008 20:25:37 -0400, Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>Thank you, Father. How many Halle Berres should I do? Give me a lot!

>

>rick wrote:

>> definitely the devils work...but i forgive you.

>>

>> ;o) :o) ;o) :o) ;o) :o) ;o) :o)

>>

>> On Fri, 21 Mar 2008 16:33:56 -0400, Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com>

>> wrote:

>>

>>> <http://wammoleads.com/default.html>

>><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080321/D8VI3LVO2.html>

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080321/D8VHOFEG0.html>

>

>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>

>><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080321/D8VI00RG0.html>

>>

>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>>

Subject: Re: To Air is Human

Posted by [excelav](#) on Thu, 17 Jan 2008 21:26:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;>>>>others, and whether there is an objective truth here or we are

>>>>>free to make it up as we go along.

>>>>and whose to say where the "making up" began. it is in my nature to

>>>>question what i see and hear and if i go to hell for it...then oh well

>>>>it's who i am, the way i was made.

>>>>
>>>>DC
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>again, i know the story is about language, confusion and other bright
>>>>>ideas men come up with.
>>>>>sorry, but i have a problem with "men" had one language thing. just
>>>>>as i have a problem with god being suprised that adam and eve would
>>>>>partake of the forbidden fruit when told no no no you don't. he's
>>>>>god...for his sakes... guess he also didn't think of incest when
>>>>>populating the earth from just one pair of peeps. i mean cain went
to
>>>>>the land of nod and eventually married...who...i don't
>>>>>know...mom...sis...cousin rita???
>>>>>i believe god was smart enough to create the laws of physics that
>>>>>allow certain things to happen under certain conditions and lets the
>>>>>chi

Subject: Re: To Air is Human
Posted by [rick](#) on Fri, 18 Jan 2008 11:03:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[illegible]

ain't helping any of us.

> The Bull-shit American History I'm talking about is the one that was
> "taught"
> in most US schools..Which just happend to leave out and omit certain
> truths..Hey DJ, thanks for posting that link. I read it. I thought it was very informative,
and I think its right on target.

Rod

"Mr. Simplicity" <noway@jose.net> wrote:

>Uhhhhh.....duid anyone read the article by Dinesh D'Sousa? Care to discuss

>it? Care to debunk it with facts?

>I'd be interested to hear arguments against it as it is so spot on, IMO,

>that it should be glaringly obvious to anyone over 12 years old..

>

>Of course, if you disagree with it, or with the content of my post, I'd
be

>winning to listen.....and if you have any family photos with e
