
Subject: I am really liking this MADl system
Posted by [DJ](#) on Sun, 07 Oct 2007 00:53:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

uy her a nice chocolate milk on the way home.
>>>
>>>I stop at a light, I am in the right lane, the light goes green,
>>>everyone starts moving, and the kid starts fumbling with her
>>>drink. Not wanting chocolate milk in the nice car seats I look
>>>over to see if I need to help her, and the car in front of me
>>>
>>>just
>>>
>>>stops.....
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>BANG!
>>>
>>>
>>>There goes my day...
>>>
>>>
>>>No airbags and no one hurt, but I put the much on a nice Benz
>>>and mny Honda Odyssey looks even worse...
>>>
>>>
>>>DAMMITALL! Not his fault, he was waiting to turn.
>>>
>>>
>>>Something went through the A/C compressor, so the car drives
>>>but no A/C. It will need a bumper and who knows

Subject: Re: I am really liking this MADl system
Posted by [Chris Ludwig](#) on Sun, 07 Oct 2007 01:39:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

what.
>>>I really feel guilty because I hit a Real Estate agent. Nice guy
>>>too... They are all just starving out here right now and I am
>>>sure this is t

Subject: Re: I am really liking this MADl system
Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Sun, 07 Oct 2007 02:22:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

m> wrote in message news:471edff6\$1@linux...
>> Anything's an improvement over what we have now.
>>
>> OTOH, it's way too early to pick winners. I'm really disappointed to see
>> people dropping out already.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>
>>
>> DJ wrote:
>>> "Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote in message
>>> news:471ed2be@linux...
>>>> I'm really starting to like Rudi..... try this one out for size. Sorry
>>>> to rant about political stuff, but if we let this crazed crooked b**ch
>>>> into the white house again she'll so train wreck us we may not recover
>>>> from it, ever.
>>>> I'm not opposed to a woman in the white house in the slightest, but God
>>>> help us all if her broom gets parked out on the lawn.
>>>>
>>>> <http://youtube.com/watch?v=xq8aopATYyw&feature=bz303>
>>>>
>>>> AA
>>>>
>>> The era of Hilbilly is fast approaching. It ain't gonna' be pretty. She's
>>> as smart as she is diabolical.
>>>
>>>
>>>>

Subject: Re: I am really liking this MADl system
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Sun, 07 Oct 2007 03:01:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

save America.....
>>>>>
>>>>> Sorry, couldn't resist.
>>>>> Rich
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>

>This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_013E_01C815FD.6DE40B70

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Clean install or so I thought. Three cards, 3 C16s, 1 442.

SPDIF isn't outputting signal

2 of the 3 C-16s send now line to middle of song when double striking =
rewind.

No audio from card B except solo bus.

At start up messages read missing stuff. Nothing about audio =
connections

Subject: Re: I am really liking this MADI system
Posted by [DJ](#) on Sun, 07 Oct 2007 03:13:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>

or any of that. I'm too tired to remember but will post it tomorrow.

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?

<http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>

-----=_NextPart_000_013E_01C815FD.6DE40B70

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">

<HTML><HEAD>

<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">

<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>

<STYLE></STYLE>

</HEAD>

<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>

<DIV>Clean install or so I thought. =
Three cards, 3=20

C16s, 1 442.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>SPDIF isn't outputting =
signal</DIV>

<DIV>2 of the 3 C-16s send now =

line to middle=20
of song when double striking rewind.</DIV>
<DIV>No audio from card B except solo=20
bus.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>At start up messages read missing =
stuff. =2

Subject: Re: I am really liking this MADl system
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Sun, 07 Oct 2007 04:15:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

C
> mentally, because I don't know how much flesh I'll have left.
> Doc says that he thinks the location & extent of it makes me a
> good candidate for that Mohs' surgery I mentioned before, but
> it's pretty deep - and he can't guarantee how it will heal/how
> much will regenerate. Really, this is probably nothing, and
> people have played better than I can play with much greater
> impediments - I think I am so nervous about it because
> honestly, so much of what I do is at the edge of my abilities
> anyway, I think if I lose any technical abilities at all, it's
> going to just throw me back into not even being able to execute
> anywhere near whatever level that I've managed to play at thus
> far. Which brings me to random thought #2...
>
> 2.) So in a slightly nostalgic (will I be able to play half-ass
> decent again?) mode due to #1 , I broke out some things that I
> had done in the past - stupid, right? lol Anyway, I hadn't
> heard this stuff in a LONG time - some years, actually, and a
> few things occurred to me, namely:
>
> 2a.) Analog tape absolutely has something different on the
> low end that I just don't believe you can get out of digital
> AT ALL. Now this is my own stuff, so I know it well;
> recorded to analog on two different formats (2" 24 track
> Dolby SR, and 1" 16-track DBX) and mixed through three
> different consoles (Trident 24 & SSL 4000 for the various 2"
> stuff, and a Tascam of some kind for the 1" stuff), and some
> of it was mixed down to tape & some of it was mixed down to
> DAT, and the ONE THING that's consistent among it, is a
> certain sort of smooth strength to the low end... it's not a
> distortion (Charles Dye), it's not just a "bump" somewhere
> (Because the tape speeds varied - Tascam 1" was 15IPS,
> Studer 2" was at 30IPS, so the "bumps" are at different
> frequencies), it's a certain something that's totally
> missing from digital, and as I said, the only way I can

- > describe it is that it's very strong & present, yet smooth.
 - > To me, digital low end sounds "hollow" compared to this.
 - > Just pointing this out. It's what miss about tape, I think.
 - >
 - > 2b.) The dbx 902 is absolutely the best de-esser on the
 - > planet - I need to get one & just leave it inserted on lead
 - > vocal channels - or someone needs to port this to a plugin.
 - > Listening to it again, on stuff that I know that I used it
 - > on, really reveals this. No plugin comes close - again, it's
 - > a vibe thing ifyaknowwhatimsayin'.
 - >
 - > 2c.) I know it was an 80's trick; but DAMN, trigger
-

Subject: Re: I am really liking this MADI system
Posted by [DJ](#) on Sun, 07 Oct 2007 06:30:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ing some

- > pink or white noise on the snare really makes it sound
- > farking HUGE! lol I may start doing this again! lol
- >
- > and finally on the nostalgia front...
- >
- > 2d.) Damn, I could sing a lot higher then.
- > 2e.) Damn, I could play a lot faster then.
- >
- > lol
- >
- > 4.) I'm in a creative slump/composers' block - brought on by
- > a combination of external influences, no doubt... too much
- > metal exposure recently, for one - it's hard for me to get out
- > of one mode & into another - I find I have to kind of "purify"
- > or go through "musical detox" from one genre to another, so I
- > listen to something completely opposite of what I've been doing
- > for a period. When I did this all the time it was easy to
- > switch - I could be nimble in terms of working on &
- > contributing to a jazz project one week & a C&W project the
- > next, but it seems with greater distances between projects &
- > more time spent on each particular one, it's harder to get out
- > of a certain mode & get back into something else, like writing,
- > for example (in this case). Ever experience that? Used to be
- > I'd listen to "So" over & over for a day & I was "clear" -
- > not 'so' anymore (pun intended LOL).
- >
- >
- > And the last random thought for the evening...
- >

> 4.) As I was listening to Aja, again, for the dozenth or so
> time in my attempt to get "clear" (but NOT in the manner that
> Bill Lorentzen would interpret that! lol) , it occurred to me
> that the likelihood is that there will NEVER, ever, again, be
> another "Aja", which made me quite sad upon realizing said
> revelation. I've come to this determination based on the
> following theorems:
>
> 4a.) Becker & Fagen notwithstanding, there simply aren't any
> artists out there at this time that can conglomerate the
> level of talent in the kind of numbers necessary to
> promulgate this kind of endeavor... there USED to be more -
> sure, any A-list artist could & can hire top-level talent,
> but to what purpose? To achieve something like this? Nope.
> Who did so, even back then? Steely Dan, Joni Mitchell,
> Peter Gabriel (in different genres, but still...)
>
> 4b.) There simply ARE no musicians of this caliber left!
> Well, I might be overstating it, but back in "the day", you
> could pretty much walk down any street in New York or LA &
> trip over half a dozen Larry Carltons or Bernard Purdies...
> where do you eve find people like that anymore? Sure,
> they're out there, but where? Who can find them? Are enough
> of them concentrated around where someone wants to record
> so that they can be put to work on a project? I doubt it.
>
> 4c.) The economics of the biz has changed... who gets the
> kind of budget anymore where you can afford to have seven
> different A-list guitarists (if you can even find that many)
> hit the solo on "Peg" a few times each until someone nails a
> take you like? In this same line of questioning, who can
> expect to sell enough records anymore without even going on
> tour so they don't have to worry about recouping, so
> they can sit at home doing nothing but writing & perfecting
> this shit for two or three years until it's at this kind of
> level? Who gets the kind of budget where you can essentially
> have five different bands record a basic track on a song
> until you get the one you like? NOBODY! Some rich rockstar
> like Chad Kroeger of Nickelback would have to decide they
>

Subject: Re: I am really liking this MADI system
Posted by [Gantt Kushner](#) on Sun, 07 Oct 2007 14:37:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

nt about political stuff, but if we let this crazed
>>> crooked b**ch into the white house again she'll so train wreck us we

>>> may not recover from it, ever.
>>> I'm not opposed to a woman in the white house in the slightest, but
>>> God help us all if her broom gets parked out on the lawn.
>>>
>>> <http://youtube.com/watch?v=xq8aopATYyw&feature=bz303>
>>>
>>> AA
&g

Subject: Re: I am really liking this MADI system
Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Sun, 07 Oct 2007 15:16:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

na get it. :(

"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message <news:471f4928@linux...>

>

>

> Jamie K wrote:

>>

>> Anything's an improvement over what we have now.

>

> First of all that's obviously not true.

>

> More importantly, why must we continue to pick the lesser of two evils?

> Let's vote for someone who is actually a great man and has great ideas,

> ethics and a track record that PROVES it. Ron Paul.

>

>

>>

>> OTOH, it's way too early to pick winners. I'm really disappointed to see

>> people dropping out already.

>>

>> Cheers,

>> -Jamie

>> www.JamieKrutz.com

>>

>>

>> DJ wrote:

>>> "Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote in message

>>> <news:471ed2be@linux...>

>>>> I'm really starting to like Rudi..... try this one out for size. Sorry

>>>> to rant about political stuff, but if we let this crazed crooked b**ch

>>>> into the white house again she'll so train wreck us we may not recover

>>>> from it, ever.

>>>> I'm not opposed to a woman in the white house in the slightest, but God

>>>> help us all if her broom gets parked out on the lawn.
>>>>
>>>> <http://youtube.com/watch?v=xq8aopATYyw&feature=bz303>
>>>>
>>>> AA
>>>>
>>>
>>> The era of Hilbilly is fast approaching. It ain't gonna' be pretty.
>>> She's as smart as she is diabolical.
>>>
>>>
>>>> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:471ec45e@linux...
>>>>> Rudi Giuliani's push to save America.....
>>>>>
>>>>> Sorry, couldn't resist.
>>>>> Rich
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>Hey Deej,

You can respond off list if you like, but I've never been able to understand the vitriol that some types of conservatives (remember, I consider myself a conservative) for the Clintons. I eventually figured it out with Bill, I think it was personal. So many 'social conservatives' spend their lives doing what they're supposed to do, staying out o

Subject: Re: I am really liking this MADI system
Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Sun, 07 Oct 2007 15:22:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

f trouble, denying themselves
things they would like to enjoy, all in the belief that it's the right thing to do for themselves and society. Then Bill shows up, a draft dodging, pot smoking, wife cheating hick from the STICKS of Arkansas who by rights should have been a city council member in Little Rock driving a 12 year old El Dorado. He never denied himself a damn thing, from Biggie Fries to fancy cigars to hummers from interns, and he got to be president fer effs sake. So, he's a personal affront, which I get.

However, Hillary seems to me to be deeply, deeply vanilla. She was rabidly pro Iraq war, is saber rattling against Iran and Syria, wants to expand NATO to Russia's doorstep, is probably the most pro-Israel candidate imaginable,

and more than any other leading Democrat appears like she might actually be a teensy bit Christian. Not a Bible thumper of course, but her occasional statements about god and family sound at least potentially sincere. So, and I'm asking this sincerely, why is she diabolical? Not annoying (she is), power hungry (comes with wanting the job), unwilling to answer questions straight (so are they all), or maybe a dyke (who cares). But what is diabolical about here, and what will she DO if elected that is so awful and radical and dangerous?

Lastly, I've been telling my lefty academic friends for years that they're going to find religion at some point about states rights. From gay marriage to medical pot nearly every pet issue for lefties will get squashed by federal lawmakers and the federal judiciary. The lefties are going to have to fight for the right of (their own) states to legislate on those issues. They scream and yell and hem and haw and tell me I'm nuts but they have yet to come up with a compelling argument to counter mine. Conservatives (not the ones like me, the other kinds) are going to get their comeuppance probably after the next election for executive power. Seems like a great idea to decide the president is above the law when you're guy is president, but the history of this country is the gradual erosion of judicial and legislative power, i.e. the executive branch rarely gives anything back. I suspect any Democrat who runs against any of the current Republicans will win, and then all of that executive power wrenched away from Congress will be in the hands of the other party. Law of unintended consequences, but I for one will have a hearty belly laugh when the Rush Limbaugh set starts howling about some abuse of executive power when a Democrat is the abuser.

Anyway, enlighten me about Hillary.

TCB

"DJ" <animix _ at _ animas _ dot _ net> wrote:

>

>"Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote in message
>news:471ed2be@linux...

>> I'm really starting to like Rudi..... try this one out for size. Sorry
to

>> rant about political stuff, but if we let this crazed crooked b**ch into

>> the white house again she'll so train wreck us we may not recover from
it,

>> ever.

>> I'm not opposed to a woman in the white house in the slightest, but God

>> help us all if her broom gets parked out on the lawn.

>>

>> <http://youtube.com/watch?v=xq8aopATYyw&feature=bz303>

>>

>> AA
>>
>
>The era of Hilbilly is fast approaching. It ain't gonna' be pretty. She's
as
>smart as she is diabolical.
>
>
>>
>> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message
>> news:471ec45e@linux...
>>> Rudi Giuliani's push to save America.....
>>>
>>> Sorry, couldn't resist.
>>> Rich
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>Hi Rod,

I'll post some instructions on my website later today.

I think what is happening is that the PCI bus is tying up the 1 cpu and samples
are overflowing a buffer and not making it to disk. With a second CPU. it
may be enough to keep things moving smoothly. We'll just have to try it.

All the best,

Mike

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>
>Yeah, I'll take you up on that. So you think by turning hyperthreading on,
>and using your dual core fix, which will fix the hyperthreading issue,

Subject: Re: I am really liking this MADI system
Posted by [DJ](#) on Sun, 07 Oct 2007 19:40:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

..." target="_blank">471f4928@linux...
>>
>> Jamie K wrote:

>>> Anything's an improvement over what we have now.
>> First of all that's obviously not true.
>>
>> More importantly, why must we continue to pick the lesser of two evils?
>> Let's vote for someone who is actually a great man and has great ideas,
>> ethics and a track record that PROVES it. Ron Paul.
>>
>>
>>> OTOH, it's way too early to pick winners. I'm really disappointed to see
>>> people dropping out already.
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> -Jamie
>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>
>>>
>>> DJ wrote:
>>>> "Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote in message
>>>> news:471ed2be@linux...
>>>>> I'm really starting to like Rudi..... try this one out for size. Sorry
>>>>> to rant about political stuff, but if we let this crazed crooked b**ch
>>>>> into the white house again she'll so train wreck us we may not recover
>>>>> from it, ever.
>>>>> I'm not opposed to a woman in the white house in the slightest, but God
>>>>> help us all if her broom gets parked out on the lawn.
>>>>>
>>>>> <http://youtube.com/watch?v=xq8aopATYyw&feature=bz303>
>>>>>
>>>>> AA
>>>>>
>>>> The era of Hilbilly is fast approaching. It ain't gonna' be pretty.
>>>> She's as smart as she is diabolical.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message
>>>>> news:471ec45e@linux...
>>>>>> Rudi Giuliani's push to save America.....
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Sorry, couldn't resist.
>>>>>> Rich
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>
>
>Sarah, honey, don't get bummed - get busy supporting Ron Paul!

Realistically, the system will not change unless people, individuals, change. Then individual people will change the system.

Ron Paul seems to me like he wants to make a real change, not just pander to the power elite, so I like him.

Sarah wrote:

> Yechh, that's pretty disgusting. Well, I've said before I don't trust
> Hillary, so perhaps my intuition will be validated. Not that I'm happy about
> that prospect, but . . . the truth is the truth.
>
> I'm just so tired of government of the people, by the rich, for the rich.
> If money keeps people above the law then there is no law, just the haves vs
> the have-nots.
>
> So, how does this change? I mean seriously . . . anybody? How does it
> change?
>
> Sincerely,
> Pessimistic in Portland
>
>
> "Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote in message
> news:471ed2be@linux...
>> I'm really starting to like Rudi..... try this one out for size. Sorry to
>> rant about political stuff, but if we let this crazed crooked b**ch into
>> the white house again she'll so train wreck us we may not recover from it,
>> ever.
>> I'm not opposed to a woman in the white house in the slightest, but God
>> help us all if her broom gets parked out on the lawn.
>>
>> <http://youtube.com/watch?v=xq8aopATYyw&feature=bz303>
>>
>> AA
>>
>>
>> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message
>> news:471ec45e@linux...
>>> Rudi Giuliani's push to save America.....
>>>
>>> Sorry, couldn't resist.
>>> Rich
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>

>>
>
>You gotta link??
"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>
>If you guys have not tried these out please do, amazing maximizer, eq an
>punch effects. These guys have really great ears.Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>Bill L wrote:
>>
>>
>> Jamie K wrote:
>>>
>>> Anything's an improvement over what we have now.
>>
>> First of all that's obviously not true.
>
>Heh, true. But you get my point.
>
>
>> More importantly, why must we continue to pick the lesser of two evils?

>> Let's vote for someone who is actually a great man and has great ideas,

>> ethics and a track record that PROVES it. Ron Paul.
>
>Instant runoff voting or some other preferential voting system would
>help avoid "lesser of two evils" voting.
>
>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Instant-runoff_voting
>
><http://www.fairvote.org/irv/>
>
>Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com

As I always say, that might a great idea, but so is me banging Scarlett Johansson.
Neither are going to happen.

TCB

>
>
>>
>>>
>>> OTOH, it's way too early to pick winners. I'm really disappointed to

>>> see people dropping out already.

>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> -Jamie
>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>
>>>
>>> DJ wrote:
>>>> "Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote in message
>>>> news:471ed2be@linux...
>>>>> I'm really starting to like Rudi..... try this one out for size.
>>>>> Sorry to rant about political stuff, but if we let this crazed
>>>>> crooked b**ch into the white house again she'll so train wreck us we

>>>>> may not recover from it, ever.
>>>>> I'm not opposed to a woman in the white house in the slightest, but

>>>>> God help us all if her broom gets parked out on the lawn.
>>>>>
>>>>> <http://youtube.com/watch?v=xq8aopATYyw&feature=bz303>
>>>>>
>>>>> AA
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>> The era of Hilbilly is fast approaching. It a

Subject: Re: I am really liking this MADI system
Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Mon, 08 Oct 2007 02:10:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ney/

Nothing you don't know, but it's still pretty impressive.

Graham"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:471f602a\$1@linux...
>
> Hey Deej,
>
> You can respond off list if you like, but I've never been able to
> understand
> the vitriol that some types of conservatives (remember, I consider myself
> a conservative) for the Clintons. I eventually figured it out with Bill,
> I think it was personal. So many 'social conservatives' spend their lives
> doing what they're supposed to do, staying out of trouble, denying
> themselves
> things they would like to enjoy, all in the belief that it's the right
> thing
> to do for themselves and society. Then Bill shows up, a draft dodging, pot

- > smoking, wife cheating hick from the STICKS of Arkansas who by rights
- > should
- > have been a city council member in Little Rock driving a 12 year old El
- > Dorado.
- > He never denied himself a damn thing, from Biggie Fries to fancy cigars to
- > hummers from interns, and he got to be president fer effs sake. So, he's
- > a personal affront, which I get.

I "dodged" the draft by staying in school. I've never cheated on my wife, but before I married I did cheat "with" certain of others' wives (and sometimes I was even aware that they "were" wives). I "did" inhale (among other more radical and destructive behaviours) and now the world is a better place (trust me on this) because I no longer do this, but I don't really have a problem with others partaking of their substance of choice, up to the point that it infringes on my safety.....and back during my salad days, I had no problem lying through my teeth so stay out of trouble regarding possible repercussions from various entities who took issue with my philandering and recreational hobbies. My SAT scores in 1968 could have easily landed me a spot at an ivy league institution but, being a Texas hick, and all, I stayed in the south where I felt I could do the most damage. :). I really had no problem with Bills history and behavioural propensities. Hell, I could really relate to the guy. He and I had some things in common and I would be the ultimate hypocrite to judge him on moral grounds. OTOH, I certainly had no business being president.

Problem with Bill was that he was supposed to be watching the store and thinking in terms of our national security and energy policy (which are synonymous and completely interrelated) instead of running a popularity contest based on global opinion polls. I don't have the time right now to rehash all of his disastrous energy and foreign policy decisions, but first and foremost, IMO, was to make sure that our "allies" didn't play us and do exactly what they did with turning the sanctions on Sadaam to his advantage and "balancing the budget" on the back of cheap oil while ignoring the declaration of war that was publicly broadcast by Bin Ladin and discouraging domestic energy production while simultaneously ramping up petrochemical imports as a quick fix to create the illusion of a healthy economy propped up on the fantasy that OPEC would be "our buddies".

- >
- > However, Hillary seems to me to be deeply, deeply vanilla. She was rabidly
- > pro Iraq war, is saber rattling against Iran and Syria, wants to expand
- > NATO
- > to Russia's doorstep, is probably the most pro-Israel candidate
- > imaginable,
- > and more than any other leading Democrat appears like she might actually
- > be a teensy bit Christian. Not a Bible thumper of course, but her
- > occasional
- > statements about god and family sound at least potentially sincere. So,

- > and
- > I'm asking this sincerely, why is she diabolical? Not annoying (she is),
- > power hungry (comes with wanting the job), unwilling to answer questions
- > straight (so are they all), or maybe a dyke (who cares). But what is
- > diabolical
- > about here, and what will she DO if elected that is so awful and radical
- > and dangerous?

.....I get your point. I just don't trust her because she was 1/2 of the disaster that was Biliary. She's the epitome of a calculating opportunist.....which, come to think of it, may be exactly what we might need as Secretary of State, or Secretary of War.....but at the same time, there something very Talleyrand'esque about both Biliary/Hilbilly which, in the end, serves their personal interests at the expense of the national interest. Just look at how popular Bill Clinton is after the incredible damage (by omission and incompetence, if nothing else) he did to our national security by allowing the situation in Iraq to fester under the watchful eye of the UN. I think that the enduring "cult of Bill", says more about the idiocy of the American public than it does about the Clinton's though. The Clinton's, being smartn'all, recognize it and feed off it like catfish in a pond full of blood bait.

- >
- > Lastly, I've been telling my lefty academic friends for years that they're
- > going to find religion at some point about states rights. From gay
- > marriage
- > to medical pot nearly every pet issue for lefties will get squashed by
- > federal
- > lawmakers and the federal judiciary. The lefties are going to have to
- > fight
- > for the right of (their own) states to legislate on those issues. They
- > scream
- > and yell and hem and haw and tell me I'm nuts but they have yet to come up
- > with a compelling argument to counter mine. Conservatives (not the ones
- > like
- > me, the other kinds) are going to get their comeuppance probably after the
- > next election for executive power. Seems like a great idea to decide the
- > president is above the law when you're guy is president, but the history
- > of this country is the gradual erosion of judicial and legislative power,
- > i.e. the executive branch rarely gives anything back. I suspect any
- > Democrat
- > who runs against any of the current Republicans will win, and then all of
- > that executive power wrenched away from Congress will be in the hands of
- > the other party. Law of unintended consequences, but I for one will have
- > a hearty belly laugh when the Rush Limbaugh set starts howling about some
- > abuse of executive power when a Democrat is the abuser.
- >
- > Anyway, enlighten me about Hillary.

I think those who are howling about how Bush ignores/circumvents/reinterprets the law are going to be in for more of the same old same old, but it's going to be less about a national security agenda and more about a "Hilary" agenda, which will be determined by popularity polls and their uberleftist buddies in Hollywood.

;o)

>

> TCB

>

> "DJ" <animix _ at _ animas _ dot _ net> wrote:

>>

>>"Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote in message

>>news:471ed2be@linux...

>>> I'm really starting to like Rudi..... try this one out for size. Sorry

> to

>>> rant about political stuff, but if we let this crazed crooked b**ch into

>

>>> the white house again she'll so train wreck us we may not recover from

> it,

>>> ever.

>>> I'm not opposed to a woman in the white house in the slightest, but God

>

>>> help us all if her broom gets parked out on the lawn.

>>>

>>> <http://youtube.com/watch?v=xq8aopATYyw&feature=bz303>

>>>

>>> AA

>>>

>>

>>The era of Hilbilly is fast approaching. It ain't gonna' be pretty. She's

> as

>>smart as she is diabolical.

>>

>>

>>>

>>> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message

>>> news:471ec45e@linux...

>>>> Rudi Giuliani's push to save America.....

>>>>

>>>> Sorry, couldn't resist.

Subject: Re: I am really liking this MADl system

;>> <http://youtube.com/watch?v=xq8aopATYyw&feature=bz303>

>>>>>

>>>>> AA

>>>>>

>>>>> The era of Hilbilly is fast approaching. It ain't gonna' be pretty.

>

>>>>> She's as smart as she is diabolical.

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>>> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message

>>>>>> news:471ec45e@linux...

>>>>>> Rudi Giuliani's push to save America.....

>>>>>>

>>>>>>> Sorry, couldn't resist.

>>>>>>> Rich

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>

>This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_0183_01C8163B.B61685B0

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Also it's not retaining the Configuration on the C-16 Pro settings.

Odd Tom

"Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote in message =

news:471f0f51\$1@linux...

Clean install or so I thought. Three cards, 3 C16s, 1 442.

SPDIF isn't outputting signal

2 of the 3 C-16s send now line to middle of song when double striking =
rewind.

No audio from card B except solo bus.

At start up messages read missing stuff. Nothing about audio =
connections

or any of that. I'm too tired to remember but will post it tomorrow.

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?

<http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

```
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Also it's not retaining the =
Configuration on the=20
C-16 Pro settings.</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Odd </FONT><FONT face=3DArial =
size=3D2>Tom</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
  <DIV>"Tom Bruhl" &lt;A=20
href=3D"mailto:arpeggio@comcast.net">arpeggio@comcast.net</A>&gt; wrote =
in message=20
  <A href=3D"news:471f0f51$1@linux">news:471f0f51$
```

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

e =20
middle of song when double striking rewind.</DIV>
<DIV>No audio from card B except solo =
bus.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>At start up messages read missing =
stuff. =20
Nothing about audi

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

rs' wives (and
 >sometimes I was even aware that they "were" wives). I "did" inhale (among
 >other more radical and destructive behaviours) and now the world is a better
 >place (trust me on this) because I no longer do this, but I don't really
 >have a problem with others partaking of their substance of choice, up to
 the
 >point that it infringes on my safety.....and back during my salad
 >days, I had no problem lying through my teeth so stay out of trouble
 >regarding possible repercussions from various entities who took issue with
 >my philandering and recreational hobbies. My SAT scores in 1968 could have
 >easily landed me a spot at an ivy league institution but, being a Texas
 >hick, and all, I stayed in the south where I felt I could do the most
 >damage. :). I really had no problem with Bills history and behavioural
 >propensities. Hell, I could really relate to the guy. He and I had some
 >things in common and I would be the ultimate hypocrite to judge him on moral
 >grounds. OTOH, I certainly had no business being president.
 >
 >Problem with Bill was that he was supposed o be watching the store and
 >thinking in terms of our national security and energy policy (which are
 >synonymous and completely interrelated) instead of running a popularity
 >contest based on global opinion polls. I don't have the time right now to
 >rehash all of his disastrous energy and foriegn policy decisions, but first
 >and foremost, IMO, was to make sure that our "allies" didn't play us and
 do
 >exactly what they did with turning the sanctions on Sadaam to his advantage
 >and "balancing the budget" on the back of cheap oil while ignoring the
 >declaration of war that was publicly broadcast by Bin Ladin and discouraging
 >domestic energy production while simultaneously ramping up petrochemical
 >imports as a quick fix to create the illusion of a healty economy propped
 up
 >on the fantasy that OPEC would be "our buddies".
 >

>>

>> However, Hillary seems to me to be deeply, deeply vanilla. She was rabidly
>> pro Iraq war, is saber rattling against Iran and Syria, wants to expand

>> NATO

>> to Russia's doorstep, is probably the most pro-Israel candidate

>> imaginable,

>> and more than any other leading Democrat appears like she might actually
>> be a teensy bit Christian. Not a Bible thumper of course, but her
>> occasional
>> statements about god and family sound at least potentially sincere. So,

>> and

>> I'm asking this sincerely, why is she diabolical? Not annoying (she is),
>> power hungry (comes with wanting the job), unwilling to answer questions
>> straight (so are they all), or maybe a dyke (who cares). But what is
>> diabolical
>> about here, and what will she DO if elected that is so awful and radical
>> and dangerous?

>

>.....I get your point. I just don't trust her because she was 1/2 of

>the disaster that was Biliary. She's the epitome of a calculating
>opportunist.....which, come to think of it, may be exactly what we might

>need as Secretary of State, or Secretary of War.....but at the same time,

>there something very Talleyrand'esque about both Biliary/Hilbilly which,
in

>the end, serves their personal interests at the expense of the national

>interest. Just look at how popular Bill Clinton is after the incredible

>damage (by omission and incompetence, if nothing else) he did to our
>national security by allowing the situation in Iraq to fester under the

>watchful eye of the UN. I think that the enduring "cult of Bill", says more

>about the idiocy of the American public than it does about the Clinton's

>though. The Clinton's, being smartn'all, recognize it and feed off it like

>catfish in a pond full of blood bait.

>

>>

>> Lastly, I've been telling my lefty academic friends for years that they're
>> going to find religion at some point about states rights. From gay
>> marriage

>> to medical pot nearly every pet issue for lefties will get squashed by
>> federal
>> lawmakers and the federal judiciary. The lefties are going to have to
>> fight
>> for the right of (their own) states to legislate on th

Subject: Re: I am really liking this MADl system
Posted by [Gantt Kushner](#) on Mon, 08 Oct 2007 12:58:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;
>>>> AA
>>>>
>>>
>>>The era of Hilbilly is fast approaching. It ain't gonna' be pretty. She's
>> as
>>>smart as she is diabolical.
>>>
>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:471ec45e@linux...
>>>>> Rudi Giuliani's push to save America.....
>>>>>
>>>>> Sorry, couldn't resist.
>>>>> Rich
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>
>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>
>Two things, Don. First, non of this explains why the Clintons IN PARTICULAR
>generate the visceral hatred they do. Nobody seems to hate Russ Feingold
>or Barney Frank PERSONALLY. I explain the PERSONAL hatred of the Clintons
>the way I do for those reasons.

Neither had the power of the Clintons. Put Barney Frank in the
White House and watch what happens...

>As far as being a lying, cheating, showboating asshole, well he's a politician.
>That's what they are, that's what they do.

Not true. Not the case with Gerald Ford, nor Jimmy Carter,
in just recent memory.

>If in a single generation we have a handful of actual decent people
>in national politics we're lucky, and those handful are almost universally
>demonized. For example, I think Ron Paul is tells us what he believes and
>would act on it. Jimmy Carter was the same way. I think Pat Buchanan is
another,
>and so is Ralph Nader.

Nader is a jerk and a self-promoter, but we agree on the point.

The problem with Ron Paul is not that he wouldn't tell the
truth, but as with Carter, would his version of truth be wise?

>Second, and a point of order, OBL might have drawn some conclusions from
>Somalia but he specifically said that it was Lebanon where he turned on
the
>USA.

There is a direct quote from him basing his view about the USA
specifically on Somalia. Lebanon was certainly an issue as
well, but exactly how does that excuse Bill??

>Remember (or more likely don't, since it's problematic for your binary
>world) that OBL was a happy recipient of US military and logistical support
>when we were bankrolling the muj against the Soviets.

Well, this might be difficult for your postmodern delusions to
coexist with, but all of it adds up, and no one gets a pass....

The hate for Reagan, from the left, was pretty virulent if you
remember.

>Was

>he, and the Democrats, unique in such cowardice? Not by a long shot.

Unique is not the issue, nor will it matter at all to history.
I am glad you agree about Clinton though.

Now, the hard part. Who to elect?

hmmmm...

We always say that "big business" is the problem, or the religious right, or the secular left, or the Soros crowd, but really, suppose the country has simply gotten too big to govern from a central point? Suppose the damn office of the president is simply a rockstar contest with the winner getting to hang with Bono? Suppose there is no one to save us? then what?

DCPuts this whole discussion in a somewhat meta-light:

going with yer gut predicts actual election results

<http://www.sciam.com/article.cfm?chanID=sa003&articleID=CE4CD4FE-E7F2-99DF-350A53757475700D&ref=rss>

-steve

"DC" <dc@spammersintheovaloffice.com> wrote:

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>

>>Two things, Don. First, non of this explains why the Clintons IN PARTICULAR
>>generate the visceral hatred they do. Nobody seems to hate Russ Feingold
>>or Barney Frank PERSONALLY. I explain the PERSONAL hatred of the Clintons
>>the way I do for those reasons.

>

>Neither had the power of the Clintons. Put Barney Frank in the
>White House and watch what happens...

>

>

>

>

>>As far as being a lying, cheating, showboating asshole, well he's a politician.
>>That's what they are, that's what they do.

>

>Not true. Not the case with Gerald Ford, nor Jimmy Carter,
>in just recent memory.

>

>
>
>>If in a single generation we have a handful of actual decent people
>>in national politics we're lucky, and those handful are almost universally
>>demonized. For example, I think Ron Paul is tells us what he believes and
>>would act

Subject: Re: I am really liking this MADI system
Posted by [TCB](#) on Mon, 08 Oct 2007 19:36:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>>Rod
>>
>

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?

<http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>

-----=_NextPart_000_0036_01C81677.C38B1350

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">

<HTML><HEAD>

<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =

charset=3Diso-8859-1">

<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>

<STYLE></STYLE>

</HEAD>

<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>

<DIV>Rod,</DIV>

<DIV>In my P3 days when it got to the edge =
I'd get those=20

clicks too.</DIV>

<DIV>I understand you don't really get three =
times the=20

power from three UADs.</DIV>

<DIV>Maybe their resources are also on the=20
brink?</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>Hey Mike, after I get the P4 =
happening=20

again I'll take you up on the Hyperthreading fix.</DIV>

<DIV>Tom</DIV>

<BLOCKQUOTE=20

style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
<DIV>"Mike Audet" <mike@....> wrote in message <A=20
=
href=3D"news:471f6311\$1@linux">news:471f6311\$1@linux...</DIV>
Hi=20
Rod,

I'll post some instructions on my website later =
today.

I=20
think what is happening is that the PCI bus is tying up the 1 cpu and=20
samples
are overflowing a buffer and not making it to disk. =
With a=20
second CPU. it
may be enough to keep things moving smoothly. =
We'll=20
just have to try it.

All the =
best,

Mike

"Rod=20
Lincoln" <<A=20
=
href=3D"mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com">rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com&g=
t;=20
wrote:
>
>Yeah, I'll take you up on that. So you think by =
turning=20
hyperthreading on,
>and using your dual core fix, which will =
fix the=20
hyperthreading issue,
it
>will fix the problem?
>Just =
to give=20
you a little more info. I just tried a bounce to disk of =
a
mix
>that=20
only contained 1 track of UAD plugs..Uad Fairchild and Cambridge.=20
I
>still got clicks and pops (about 6 total). when I get rid of =
all the=20
UAD
>plugs there are no clicks or pops.
>I have 3 UAD=20
cards.
>I'd be willing to give it a try. =
Thanks
>Rod
>"Mike=20
Audet" <mike@....> wrote:
>>
>>Hi=20
Rod,
>>
>>I'm pretty sure this happens because there =
aren't=20
enough CPU resources.
>
>>If you have hyperthreading =
turned=20
off, I've been using a dual core fix
for
>>a couple of =
months now=20
with no issues. We could try turning =
hyperthreading
>>on=20
and using the fix to see if that solves the=20
crackle.
>>
>>Good luck!
>>
>>Mike =

>>"Rod Lincoln" <<A=20
=
href=3D"mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com">rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com&g=
t;=20

wrote:
>>
>>Well...I had to go out of town =
for a=20
couple days and since I've got =
back
>>I've
>>been=20
trouble shooting the problem I posted about last =
week.
>>I've=20
definatly got it narrowed down to the UAD plugs. Now I think it=20
may
>>be a bad UAD card. Not sure yet, still more tests =
to do=20
tomorrow. It's
>>either
>>a software rev. =
mismatch with=20
Paris, or a card. I ghosted my system back
>>to the 4.6 =
UAD=20
version and I still get clicks and pops. I think I=20
have
>>4.5 version ghosted. going to try that =
tomorrow.=20
If it still has the
pops,
>>I'll start pulling cards. =
Got 3 of=20
em.
>>When I get rid of the UAD plugs the pops go away.=20

>>No, I'm not driving the plugs too hard. =
:-)
>>No=20
overs anywhere. I set up an incredibly safe mix for testing=20
this.&

Subject: Re: I am really liking this MADI system
Posted by [Gantt Kushner](#) on Mon, 08 Oct 2007 20:48:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
>>
>>We always say that "big business" is the problem, or the
>>religious right, or the secular left, or the Soros crowd, but
>>really, suppose the country has simply gotten too big
>>to govern from a central point? Suppose the damn office of
>>the president is simply a rockstar contest with the winner
>>getting to hang with Bono? Suppose there is no one to
>>save us? then what?
>>
>>DC
>Does Logic allow pitch and time changing using plugins like Pitch'n'Time and
the Waves Transform bundle's Shifter?

Thanks!

Gantt"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:471fb26a@linux...
> DJ, those things you did do not make it OK for the f/ing President to do
> them.

>

> Are you acting like that now?

Nope.....I cleaned up my act considerably about 20 years ago.

I hope you're wiser.

there's some debate about that ;o)

Well, Bill Clinton > never got wiser.

Untreated codependency and sexual addiction is a bad recipe for presidential candidates.

>

> I wouldn't cheat on my wife, and I wouldn't lie about it. I DO hold the

> President to the same standard of ethics to which i hold myself. Shouldn't

> I?

Everyone loves JFK. He gets a moral pass and Clinton doesn't?.....probably because he assassinated. If someone would have blown Clinton's head off.....well.....hmmmmn.....OK, nevermind.

When you get elected president you better crank it up a

> notch and keep your nose clean and your eyes on the ball. It's a big

> responsibility and since you asked for it you better live up to it.

If I was gonna be president, I'd probably be something along the lines of hybrid between Bush, Ron Paul and Al Gore....and everybody would hate my guts.

;o)

> DJ wrote:

>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:471f602a\$1@linux...

>>> Hey DeeJ,

>>>

>>> You can respond off list if you like, but I've never been able to

>>> understand

>>> the vitriol that some types of conservatives (remember, I consider

>>> myself

>>> a conservative) for the Clintons. I eventually figured it out with Bill,

>>> I think it was personal. So many 'social conservatives' spend their

>>> lives

>>> doing what they're supposed to do, staying out of trouble, denying

>>> themselves

>>> things they would like to enjoy, all in the belief that it's the right

>>> thing
>>> to do for themselves and society. Then Bill shows up, a draft dodging,
>>> pot
>>> smoking, wife cheating hick from the STICKS of Arkansas who by rights
>>> should
>>> have been a city council member in Little Rock driving a 12 year old El
>>> Dorado.
>>> He never denied himself a damn thing, from Biggie Fries to fancy cigars
>>> to
>>> hummers from interns, and he got to be president fer effs sake. So, he's
>>> a personal affront, which I get.
>>
>> I "dodged" the draft by staying in school. I've never cheated on my wife,
>> but before I married I did cheat "with" certain of others' wives (and
>> somethimes I was even aware that they "were" wives). I "did" inhale
>> (among other more radical and destructive behaviours) and now the world
>> is a better place (trust me on this) because I no longer do this, but I
>> don't really have a problem with others partaking of their substance of
>> choice, up to the point that it infringes on my safety.....and
>> back during my salad days, I had no problem lying through my teeth so
>> stay out of trouble regarding possible repercussions from various
>> entities who took issue with my philandering and recreational hobbies.
>> My SAT scores in 1968 could have easily landed me a spot at an ivy league
>> institution but, being a Texas hick, and all, I stayed in the south where
>> I felt I could do the most damage. :). I really had no problem with Bills
>> history and behavioural propensities. Hell, I could really relate to the
>> guy. He and I had some things in common and I would be the ultimate
>> hypocrite to judge him on moral grounds. OTOH, I certainly had no
>> business being president.
>>
>> Problem with Bill was that he was supposed o be watching the store and
>> thinking in terms of our national security and energy policy (which are
>> synonymous and completely interrelated) instead of running a popularity
>> contest based on global opinion polls. I don't have the time right now to
>> rehash all of his disastrous energy and foriegn policy decisions, but
>> first and foremost, IMO, was to make sure that our "allies" didn't play
>> us and do exactly what they did with turning the sanctions on Sadaam to
>> his advantage and "balancing the budget" on the back of cheap oil while
>> ignoring the declaration of war that was publicly broadcast by Bin Ladin
>> and discouraging domestic energy production while simultaneously ramping
>> up petrochemical imports as a quick fix to create the illusion of a
>> healty economy propped up on the fantasy that OPEC would be "our
>> buddies".
>>
>>> However, Hillary seems to me to be deeply, deeply vanilla. She was
>>> rabidly
>>> pro Iraq war, is saber rattling against Iran and Syria, wants to expand
>>> NATO

>>> to Russia's doorstep, is probably the most pro-Israel candidate
>>> imaginable,
>>> and more than any other leading Democrat appears like she might actually
>>> be a teensy bit Christian. Not a Bible thumper of course, but her
>>> occasional
>>> statements about god and family sound at least potentially sincere. So,
>>> and
>>> I'm asking this sincerely, why is she diabolical? Not annoying (she is),
>>> power hungry (comes with wanting the job), unwilling to answer questions
>>> straight (so are they all), or maybe a dyke (who cares). But what is
>>> diabolical
>>> about here, and what will she DO if elected that is so awful and radical
>>> and dangerous?
>>
>>I get your point. I just don't trust her because she was 1/2 of
>> the disaster that was Bilary. She's the epitome of a calculating
>> opportunist.....which, come to think of it, may be exactly what we
>> might need as Secretary of State, or Secretary of War.....but at the
>> same time, there some

Subject: Re: I am really liking this MADl system
Posted by [Chris Ludwig](#) on Tue, 09 Oct 2007 21:51:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

005C_01C816F9.17255710

Content-Type: text/html;
charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

```
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Great to hear Neil!&nbsp; </FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Good luck with the pain.</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>I guess it&nbsp;could have been much =
worse.&nbsp;=20
</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Back to work!</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>T.</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
```

<BLOCKQUOTE=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
<DIV>"D-unit" <cof42_AT_embarqmail.com> wrote in message <A=20
href=3D"news:4720b050\$1@linux">news:4720b050\$1@linux...</DIV>Good =
to have it=20
taken care of.

I was reading about Bob Marley yesterday.. =
It=20
seems he had a similar
situation on his foot a couple years before =
the=20
cancer killed him. He
wouldn't allow them to take any tissue =
because he=20
believed he should
be kept "whole". (religion) What a=20
shame.

db

"Neil" <<A=20
href=3D"mailto:OIU@OIU.com">OIU@OIU.com> wrote in message <A=20
=
href=3D"news:4720a0f2\$1@linux">news:4720a0f2\$1@linux...
>
&g=
t;=20
Well, the surgery went well... the good news is that it didn't
> =
go as=20
deep down the side (the inside part nearest the thumb) as
> they =

thought, so once this heals up, I should be able to grip a
> =
guitar pick=20
just fine, as far as I can tell from seeing it
> right before =
they=20
bandaged it up for the last time & sent me on
> my way =
(damn, it's=20
really hideous-looking, though - YEESH!).
> However, it went =
further=20
back past the base of the nail
> than they thought, so that took =
several=20
steps of the procedure
> to get all of the cancer cell-infested =
tissue=20
out in that
> direction... it did take a long time, I went in =
there at=20
830am &
> got out at about 3:00. So, anyway, because of =
that, the=20
nail
> (which they had to yank out completely, of course) may or =

may
> not grow back either at all or properly/normally, which is =

not
> a big deal at all, of course, it's just a lot more tissue=20
gone
> than they thought they'd have to take, but it's more on=20
the
> upper area of the finger, which doesn't have anything to=20
do
> with playing anything. The great news is the side of =
the
>=20
finger... the fact that they only had to go down about halfway
> =

all the way across; and didn't even have to touch the pad/underside at=20

all (which it looked at first like they'd have
do)!>>>=20

[illegible]

it's hurting like a son of a bitch, and no, they didn't give =
me any=20

pain meds - which is probably fine because I need to be able to go=20

into work, anyway.
>
> It'll be all wrapped-up in this big =
ol'=20

bandage until the end
> of the day today when i'm supposed to =
take it=20

off, clean the area, and put a smaller one on... a process = which i'm=20

```
    not<BR>&gt; looking forward to!&nbsp; lol<BR>&gt;<BR>&gt; So, bottom =
line:=20
```

better outcome than we originally thought,
> it'll be useless

Subject: Re: I am really liking this MADI system
Posted by [DJ](#) on Wed, 10 Oct 2007 00:54:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>

>>DJ wrote:

>>> How are you doing man?

>>>

>>>

>This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----= NextPart 000 00C5 01C8171F.54F38690

Content-Type: text/plain;

```
charset="iso-8859-1"
```

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

XP 3.0

"EK Sound" <ask_me@nospam.net> wrote in message news:4720e8eb@linux...

Ok, this is getting a bit silly... Which OS version? which Paris = version?

David

Tom Bruhl wrote:

> Aaron,

> I should have mentioned this started happening after I installed the

> newer (but not Mikes yet) Paris effects. The FreQ or modulation =
bundle=20
> don't show up either. They're probably one of the problem here.
> Tom
>=20
> "Tom Bruhl" <arpegio@comcast.net <mailto:arpegio@comcast.net>> =
wrote
> in message news:4720b46a@linux...
> Hey Aaron,
> This is a clean install or so I thought. Should I try to =
replace
> the files
> it's looking for or do I need to do a complete reinstall? No =
Pace.
> =20
> Today's the day.
> Tom
> =20
> =20
>=20
> "Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude
> <mailto:know-spam@not_here.dude>> wrote in message
> news:4720412a@linux...
> This sounds like you have a bad/corrupt set of files to me. =
Did
> you try another installer?
> Also, if you still have 2.1 or 2.2, have you tried that as =
well?
> If you pulled this from an image (ghost, acronis, PING, =
etc...)
> I'd bet on a bad image. If not, I'd bet on bad installer. =
Either
> way, I think you're fooling with corrupted files.
> =20
> AA
>=20
> "Tom Bruhl" <arpegio@comcast.net
> <mailto:arpegio@comcast.net>> wrote in message
> news:47203fcd@linux...
> Hey everyone,
> I am functional but barely right now. One 442 with no =
SPDIF
> is no bargain...
> =20
> Major problems with newest install and computer:
> =20
> At Paris start up it says: StockFx.dll not found twice.
> It also says: mod3a.dll in system32 folder not a valid

> Windows image.
> =20
> I think I should just remove the

Subject: Re: I am really liking this MADI system
Posted by [Erling](#) on Wed, 10 Oct 2007 06:22:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

n
> message
> > news:471f0f51\$1@linux...
> > Clean install or so I thought. Three
> cards, 3
> > C16s, 1 442.
> >
> > SPDIF isn't outputting signal
> > 2 of the 3 C-16s send now line to middle
> of song
> > when double striking rewind.
> > No audio from card B except solo bus.
> >
> > At start up messages read missing stuff.
> > Nothing about audio connections
> > or any of that. I'm too tired to
> remember but
> > will post it tomorrow.
> >
> >
> > I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam,
> and you?
> > <http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>Hi Tom,

If you want, send me your phone number by email, and I'll give you a call tomorrow night. I am truly puzzled. I think this has gone beyond what I can offer help with over email.

Hope to talk to you soon,

Mike

"Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>
>Aaron,
>I should have mentioned this started happening after I installed the
>newer (but not Mikes yet) Paris effects. The FreQ or modulation bundle=20
>don't show up either. They're probably one of the problem here.

>Tom
> "Tom Bruhl" <arpegio@comcast.net> wrote in message =
>news:4720b46a@linux...
> Hey Aaron,
> This is a clean install or so I thought. Should I try to replace the
=
>files
> it's looking for or do I need to do a complete reinstall? No Pace.
>
> Today's the day.
> Tom
>
>
> "Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote in message =
>news:4720412a@linux...
> This sounds like you have a bad/corrupt set of files to me. Did you
=
>try another installer?
> Also, if you still have 2.1 or 2.2, have you tried that as well?
> If you pulled this from an image (ghost, acronis, PING, etc...) I'd
=
>bet on a bad image. If not, I'd bet on bad installer. Either way, I =
>think you're fooling with corrupted files.
>
> AA
> "Tom Bruhl" <arpegio@comcast.net> wrote in message =
>news:47203fcd@linux...
> Hey everyone,
> I am functional but barely right now. One 442 with no SPDIF is no
=
>bargain...
>
> Major problems with newest install and computer:
>
> At Paris start up it says: StockFx.dll not found twice.
> It also says: mod3a.dll in system32 folder not a valid Windows =
>image.
>
> I think I should just remove the mod3a.dll from the system32 =
>folder right?
> StockFx.dll should have been part of the Paris install right? =
>Where
> can I find it and where does it go? Paris Pro folder?
>
> Paris also works smoothly except two of the three C-16s won't work
=
>entirely right.
> I tried to get them all working in classic mode but the =

>configuration is never saved.
> It remains C-16 1 is Classic and 2 & 3 are Pro mode.
> I saved the project before resarting though. Hmmm? Everything =
>else seems to save ok.
> The audio on card B never works. I have to assign Submix 2 to =
>Card C. I've tried two cards
> with the same results. I'll try another. Paris closes fine but a
=
>Windows error comes up and=20
> asks if I want to send it to their sorry asses everytime. No BSOD
=
>though.
>
> Lots of nutty stuff here but at least it kinda works.
> Any tips would be great at this time. I have two days to get in =
>tip top shape for
> real work. I wish I knew more.
>
> Thanks for all the help you guys.
> Tom
>
> "Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote in message =
>news:47201cc6\$1@linux...
> Had a minimal session today. Paris performed but there are =
>kooky
> things going on. I'll post the error messages as soon as I get
=
>down there=20
> again tonight.
>
>
> "Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote in message =
>news:471f77cc@linux...
> Also it's not retaining the Configuration on the C-16 Pro =
>settings.
> Odd Tom
>
> "Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote in message =
>news:471f0f51\$1@linux...
