
Subject: Another "greatest of all time" list
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Fri, 30 Jun 2006 21:53:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

.

>

>

>Hey, BTW. I am African as well. Got my results from the
>National Geographic Genographic test.
>
><https://www3.nationalgeographic.com/geno>

Subject: Re: Another "greatest of all time" list
Posted by [Neil](#) on Fri, 30 Jun 2006 23:26:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;Do the test if you can, but prepared to be surprised.
>
>DCOh for Christ's sake . . .

1. Anyone has the right, perhaps the responsibility, to point out hypocrisy in those who claim to be Christians and yet consistently behave in ways that are clearly against everything that Jesus stood for. And as it happens, I do believe in Jesus as one of the greatest teacher/philosophers of all time, and I feel fairly certain that he would not look favorably on those who select a handful of bible passages to justify greed and violence and call themselves Chr

Subject: Re: Another "greatest of all time" list
Posted by [Dubya Mark Wilson](#) on Sat, 01 Jul 2006 00:40:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>

>Your reasoning is faulty at any rate. The analogy would only be
>>true if the question at hand was you handing over your family
>to ku kluxers for lynching in the name of forgiveness.
>
>I would hope you would rise up and take
>the lives of any ku kluxers who would try to do that. I would
>consider that a righteous act, as a Christian. Wouldn't you?
>
>

>>What kind of life is that for any African American to live, hating Whites??
>>Holding on to past generations wrong doings only "kills you" on the inside.

>>Not forgiving, actually does you more harm than the one doing the evil deeds..

>

>Bingo. Listen, I was really angry after the latest bunch of jihadis

>were caught trying to blow up airplanes, and I read Dr. Yeagley's

>web site.

>

><http://www.badeagle.com/>

>

>He is convinced that it is time to deport muslims.. I thought abo

Subject: Re: Another "greatest of all time" list

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sat, 01 Jul 2006 03:41:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

world, and though the world was made through him,
the world did not recognize him.

The Word became flesh and made his dwelling among us. John 1

No, you believe in "jesus", and yes you do have your own personal
version of him, right there on your shelf.

It bears no relationship to Jesus however. In reality Jesus was
either the greatest and most cynical fraud ever perpetrated on
humanity or he was exactly who he said he was.

He most definitely was NOT "another great teacher and
philosopher". Not unless you discard what he actually said.

>and I feel fairly certain that he would not look favorably on those who

>select a handful of bible passages to justify greed and violence and call

>themselves Christians. "I like your Christ. I do not like your Christians.

>Your Christians are so unlike your Christ." - Gandhi

I like Ghandi, (well until he killed his wife) Well, I guess I don't
like Ghandi.

Can Christians be better? Of course! But what is important is, what
if Christians are *right*? What if Jesus was who he said he was?
Just think! All those imperfect, but saved, Christians, and all those

Subject: Re: Another "greatest of all time" list
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Sat, 01 Jul 2006 05:30:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

kYuFygpJD
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bJsNZY+5xfG3fkWNf73MV0YuT0tluVm5eO6l1sVfTYWusc708Zu9u2yv3WWf
916632fzLFTyywSkJYZAzsfqwJ+rX9DT/mNmBnXDkBqvnP8A3T3+FkYPU8Ov
MxAyzGy2Ocw7Wnlrt1bx/pK3fo7qnfzdv6OxfNy9a+z2YuRZl9Oyb+nZj/50
0ForueA7+k41rbcd9n85+I9PevJU4Z4+0T2r8eJg+7S4wL0Nn7Kf/9Dicdl+
J1C

Subject: Re: Another "greatest of all time" list
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sat, 01 Jul 2006 10:37:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

y/wDQnGNGSOaLJ7zW/wD8itX6vVPqtvutmshj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I9MZd9oY4OaJcWbaS9zWtsZdULarHUu/m0/WusYt/UvtOE4ZFLsUY9jbWuaD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jisD5Q0/rD0ldNfXdjbnYOTPoEnea3ger9l9Uk+tW6v9NiXf4Wj+c9S6l9t2
l9WX7umPZMtqudt/q2Nru/6v1VK+5uV9Qce+xoc9lGLY0nUh1d7OntLT+a92
MXV/21W+qxIxMvmPWYgZ4bbEM+uKV7xlX2S4U45meA8Rswlw34O6N

Subject: Re: Another "greatest of all time" list
Posted by [Neil](#) on Sat, 01 Jul 2006 15:18:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

go4QklnA+kAAAAAAHgA
AwAAAEgASAAAAAAC3gJA/+7/7gMGAlIDZwUoA/wAAgAAAEgASAAAAAAC2AlO
AAEAAABkAAAAAQADAwMAAAABf/8AAQABAAAAAaAgAGQGQAAAA
AAAgAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA4QklnA+0AAAAABAAASAAA
AAEAAQBIAAAAAQABOEJJTQQmAAAAAAAAOAAAAAAAAAAAAAD+AAAA4QklnBA0A
AAAAAQAAAAeOEJJTQQZAAAAAAEAAAAHjhCSU0D8wAAAAAACQAAAAAAAAAA
AQA4QklnBAoAAAAAAEAADhCSU0nEAAAAAACgABAAAAAAAE4QklnA/UA
AAAAAEgAL2ZmAAEAAbGZmAAYAAAAAAEAL2ZmAAEAoZmAAYAAAAAAEAMgAA
AAEAwGAAAAAYAAAAAAEANQAAAAEALQAAAAAYAAAAAAE4QklnA/gAAAAAAHAA
AP//////////8D6AAAAAD//////////
////////A+gAAAAA//////////wPoAAAAAP////////
//////////8D6AAAOEJJTQQIAAAAAAQAAAAQAAAKAAAAJA
AAAAADhCSU0EHgAAAAABAAAAAA4QklnBB0AAAAAA1cAAAAGAAAAAAAAAAAA
AAfFAABIAAAABEARgBpAGcAdQByAGUAIAA1ACAAaQBuACAArWbVAGwAZAAy
AAAAAQAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAABAAAAAAAEgAAABXwAAAAAA
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAABAAAAAAABAAAAAAABAAAAABAAAAAAAbnVs
bAAAAAIAAAAGYm91

Subject: Re: Another "greatest of all time" list
Posted by [excelav](#) on Sat, 01 Jul 2006 17:40:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

kkVWQZnwFAAzaT8AcCoaa3N+SQ5B2RYmlGW5+15pVumTL
vtY2pF7eZ0aXpkoV0TSnkWRLkBjT82oRJV22TrB20/YhzkVjZGWzKmyMq09a
r5MlmGrJWjaXggaQvsOGmwVWfJEVfp2rY46wGQ6GajWJggpFHMgiKZFmMxQX
v1fK6WYvWUm3trovU46wFTX4g9B7C7lQdQuM5lskzQL4h0g4s0aYH6JcbQP8
dsHJy+bkt/JkdYgWVOccDW+omcBYBhRbT8DLqeBNLStMANPwLHY7oY8S0kNq
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3odO+iYZW0FP2+mR4jsvQJNja21JR7cFQmxmOqJbEDaW40EpMPPSIUw21krH
2oiSFSD7B8uE2pjOcPa+UR3cVC6R63Vxyoo6wzCg2YlwaEpNOnXm226mtjDh
cDsE6IUu8HKejt7QU1f+iYt+RnHUyrVWFBclbOAWdiQwycABNITsMxbKi+G6
U06O89Qsck9AdEEKXHoT1/mMRX9F2AoqUrLOd0g4+aYgo9bExMOqT7ddzQwm
wpEs6Zq7ok9C7B80273y2kjiW55R0VBbp+oc7jTqS3OLDR1pUOXLWvWU2cQ5
zUdNqFUDKB8B4Sk68pqBdZ5rHVrWhpW4FkuErsGUlco1wM9otfKR7NC8bro
hvk4RH3FLbyJNUpwJceOWvpHxmudWduxQruTGVHo3TTyVCljvDmPCHgBoi
DM/LOtMbHJd6SgrpOYqVOhvE1OWH4RMtsRq2j0g4VmoOD9HmXcyitTzsmfG
fpkacSfcSZUt4xZEgRZZkhfuuUm5y/btNelIXbl4krxChEn2pPL7K5NgGr2l
S9RngLar8ivMN0JZprfPjnH0LpCJkIYTafSAoHoHwL9AOatkNxzP+0rqHzYw
iiMuDoEeM19iYstrfqRoO2wvoBRcm8pSLAufCc6bWdl1qw/B5cJFeRBkomsS
IGDIZLhJIUmKJBkRo2JtvoLky8A7UY4ACU7GZTTcs2s0WeVz3sY9GbCMCC6M
1snVRT7ojl8islglALJXF7hJsDQnybBQ9zMrOpNXyDBWloOpAl2ky4kg/CH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b043pKQCr60KYTc8uRo3oJJpTdqCbUjzTi7m9X9DeuNXomr19a1DALyb+Wjt
vRH4yo7kYXrTlfefNCnh5ZPg+a26T7+SJvRNj6q8UBenFeCbVyJq4JSq1cyf
QuhtFjVqBpRsBer1e9WriTWAawL2VdgQGGoLWQJEf

Subject: Re: Another "greatest of all time" list
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Sun, 02 Jul 2006 15:17:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;>>
>>
&g=
t;>
>>
>>
>> "Tyrone=20
Corbett" <<A=20
=
href=3D"mailto:tyronecorbett@comcast.net">tyronecorbett@comcast.net&g=
t;=20
wrote:
>>
>>>Brandon, I've been using a =
Logitech=20
wireless Keyboard/mouse with my Win98SE
>>>computer for =
about 5=20
years with no=20
=
problems.
>>
>>>Tyrone
>>
>
</=
BLOCKQUOTE>
<DIV>

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, =
and=20
you?
<A=20
href=3D"http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html">http://www.polesoft.com/refer=
..html </DIV></BODY ></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_0063_01C6BFEA.259F57D0--Excellent point Tom...
I guess I will have to compromise and use my old keyboard and mouse for the
internet comp and have that old keyboard switch just the monitor between
the two comps.
Should work, but I will see.
Thaks for the heads up..I really didn't even take time to consider how it
would hook up...
B

"Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>
>Brandon,
>I noticed you are using a KVM on your two comps.
>A wireless keyboard/mouse will not work in that setup.

>I wanted to go wireless too but the KVM held me back.
>
>Maybe yours is different?
>Tom
>
>
>
> "Brandon" <A@a.com> wrote in message news:44dfa16e@linux...
>
> I got the Logitech wireless mouse / keyboard.
> The keyboard is split into two seperate pads. Both wireless.
> The numberpad is it's own wireless transmitter and can also double as
=
>an
> independent calculator.
> I will let you know how they work. \$129
>
> b
>
>
> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> >You might want to consider Kensington. I use the Expert Mouse PRO, =
>it's
> a
> >track ball. After using it for a week or so, there was no going back
=
>to
> >a mouse. I also use a Macally track ball. I also have many =
>different mice,
> >including a top of the line Microsoft wireless mouse. I rarely use =
>it,
> I
> >will say that it is vary smooth, but it kind of eats batteries. =20
> >
> >Here is a link to Kensington's Expert Mouse Wireless. =
><http://us.kensington.com/html/4136.html>
> >
> >I think if you try a Kensington track ball for a while, you won't =
>want to
> >use a mouse.
> >
> >James
> >
> >
> >"Brandon" <a@a.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>Thanks guys.

> >>I have had a few friends who used these wireless keyboards and it =
>always
> >>screwed up their systems. I tried to help them get it
> >>working, but eventually told them to stop using it. That's why I =
>worry
> about
> >>trying it myself. It would solve some wiring issues for me and also
=
>be
> cool
> >>to have a remote transport control. I will have to try it. How far =
>is the
> >>range? Have you guys tested it?
> >>thx
> >>b
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>"Tyrone Corbett" <tyronecorbett@comcast.net> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>Brandon, I've been using a Logitech wireless Keyboard/mouse with my
=
>Win98SE
> >>>computer for about 5 years with no problems.
> >>>
> >>>Tyrone
> >>
> >
>
>
>
>I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?
><http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>
>
><!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
><HTML><HEAD>
><META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
>charset=3Diso-8859-1">
><META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>
><STYLE></STYLE>
></HEAD>
><BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
><DIV>Brandon,</DIV>
>&l

Subject: Re: Another "greatest of all time" list
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Sun, 02 Jul 2006 15:32:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;DIV>I noticed you are using a KVM on your =
>two=20
>comps.</DIV>
><DIV>A wireless keyboard/mouse will not work
=
>in that=20
>setup.</DIV>

>but the KVM=20
>held me back.</DIV>

><DIV>Maybe yours is different?</DIV>
><DIV>Tom</DIV>

><BLOCKQUOTE=20
>style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
> <DIV>"Brandon" <A@a.com> wrote in =
>message=20
> news:44dfa16e@linux...</DIV>
I
=
>got the=20
> Logitech wireless mouse / keyboard.
The keyboard is split into two
=
>seperate=20
> pads. Both wireless.
The numberpad is it's own wireless transmitter
=
>and can=20
> also double as an
independent calculator.
I will let you know =
>how they=20
> work. \$129

b

"James McCloskey" <<A=20
> href=3D"mailto:excelsm@hotmail.com">excelsm@hotmail.com>=20

>use the=20

>for a week=20

>Macally=20

>top of=20

>it,
I
>will say=20

Subject: Re: Another "greatest of all time" list
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Sun, 02 Jul 2006 15:40:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

```
> =  
> href=3D"http://us.kensington.com/html/4136.html">http://us.kensington.com=  
>/html/4136.html</A><BR>><BR>>I=20  
> think if you try a Kensington track ball for a while, you won't want=20  
> to<BR>>use a =  
>mouse.<BR>><BR>>James<BR>><BR>><BR>>"Brandon"=20  
> <<A href=3D"mailto:a@a.com">a@a.com</A>=>=20  
> wrote:<BR>>><BR>>>Thanks guys.<BR>>>I have had a few =  
>friends=20  
> who used these wireless keyboards and it always<BR>>>screwed up =  
>their=20  
> systems. I tried to help them get it<BR>>>working, but =  
>eventually told=20  
> them to stop using it. That's why I worry<BR>about<BR>>>trying =  
>it=20  
> myself. It would solve some wiring issues for me and also=20  
> be<BR>cool<BR>>>to have a remote transport control. I will have =  
>to try=20  
> it. How far is the<BR>>>range? Have you guys tested=20  
> =  
>it?<BR>>>thx<BR>>>b<BR>>><BR>>><BR>>><BR>>><BR>>&g=  
>t;<BR>>><BR>>><BR>>>"Tyrone=20  
> Corbett" <<A=20  
> =  
>href=3D"mailto:tyronecorbett@comcast.net">tyronecorbett@comcast.net</A>&g=  
>t;=20  
> wrote:<BR>>>><BR>>>>Brandon, I've been using a =  
>Logitech=20  
> wireless Keyboard/mouse with my Win98SE<BR>>>>computer for =  
>about 5=20  
> years with no=20  
> =  
>problems.<BR>>>><BR>>>>Tyrone<BR>>>><BR>><BR></>=  
>BLOCKQUOTE>  
><DIV><FONT size=3D2><BR><BR>I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, =  
>and=20  
>you?<BR><A=20  
>href=3D"http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html">http://www.polesoft.com/refer=
```

>
>Just had to tell somebody!!

;-)

JeremyHowzit hold up against, say the Gtr Port?
I hate to say POD, because I completely don't dig the ver 2.... too dark for me from what I heard coming out of it. Ver 1x wasn't too bad, but still had the plastic vibe to it. Somewhere in that range...better... worse?

AA

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:44dfe32c@linux...

> This thing sounds great. Best \$70.00 I've spent in a while. the twelve
> string emulation is about as good as I've ever heard, short of a real 12
> string. It can transform a strat into a pretty convincing Ricky.....at
> least to my old ears.
>
> DeeJ
>
>Aaron,

As far as tone, right out of the box, I like it more than my POD XT Pro. My guitar port is buried somewhere right now.

Deej

"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote in message
news:44e133f0\$1@linux...

> Howzit hold up against, say the Gtr Port?
> I hate to say POD, because I completely don't dig the ver 2.... too dark for
> me from what I heard coming out of it. Ver 1x wasn't too bad, but still had
> the plastic vibe to it. Somewhere in that range...better... worse?
>
>
> AA
>
> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
> news:44dfe32c@linux...
> > This thing sounds great. Best \$70.00 I've spent in a while. the twelve
> > string emulation is about as good as I've ever heard, short of a real 12
> > string. It can transform a strat into a pretty convincing Ricky.....at
> > least to my old ears.
> >

> > Deej

> >

> >

>

>Whatever, dude. None of us knows what Jesus said - we only know what some people wrote about him decades after he died, people who had nothing but legend to go on. All we can do is read this stuff and try to piece together for ourselves who or what Jesus was. You can't tell me I'm "utterly wrong about what Jesus stood for" just because what your belief about him differs from mine. I believe he was a man trying to teach us how we should live, you believe he was God. Fine. And I don't quote him when it suits me anymore than you do.

Furthermore, I am not "deluded" simply because I look at the what is happening in the world and come to a different conclusion than you do. Nor is my view "based on the mistaken idea that some cultures are too backward and too stupid to enjoy freedom." I just do not believe that the invasion of Iraq had anything to do with freedom or terrorism. Bin Laden has convinced his followers that the West is engaged in a war on Islam, and he was probably overjoyed when Bush attacked Iraq. What do you think, that there is a limited supply of jihadists? As long as we generate hatred for America, as this war has done, there will be a bottomless pool of potential jihadists. By what acrobatic logic can anyone conclude that what we've done in Iraq has eliminated more terrorists that it has created?

I don't hate "big business," I hate greed. Greed kills. Maybe you should ask yourself who has really profited from our little holy war.

And finally, regarding the idea of authoritarianism creeping into our government, it's great that you will "take up a rifle and kill anyone who tries to do such a thing, and I will lay down my life to prevent it here." The problem is, you won't take up that rifle if you're in denial about the motives of those in power. Not to mention the fact that if you even talked

Subject: Re: Another "greatest of all time" list
Posted by [DC](#) on Sun, 02 Jul 2006 16:20:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

r />

that way against this administration, you might just wind up in Guantanamo as an "enemy combatant."

Yours, in Christ,

Sarah "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>Whatever, dude. None of us knows w

me as often as not. A man? Hardly, although that was certainly part of it. The other part is the creator of the universe. You quote him exactly as Michael Moore does. You don't want the real Jesus, just the flat one-dimensional 'jesus' that you can make a quick and dirty hammer out of without any real understanding. Then back on the shelf goes "jesus" until you need him again.

Nice...

>Furthermore, I am not "deluded" simply because I look at the what is
>happening in the world and come to a different conclusion than you do.

No dammit, you are deluded for believing that you are more likely to be killed by W and a big nuclear war than by terrorists. Coming to that conclusion is a delusion, and not because it differs from mine but because the evidence simply is not there for it, while the evidence for a persistent jihad against westerners, and especially against "uppity" females like you is voluminous indeed.

You deserve better conclusions. Don't hate Bush so much that you are lead astray by nonsense. At minimum, admit that you are in real danger from jihadists. That doesn't mean you have to take showers with W...

>Nor

>is my view "based on the mistaken idea that some cultures are too backward

>and too stupid to enjoy freedom." I just do not believe that the invasion

>of Iraq had anything to do with freedom or terrorism. Bin Laden has
>convinced his followers that the West is engaged in a war on Islam, and
he
>was probably overjoyed when Bush attacked Iraq. What do you think, that

>there is a limited supply of jihadists? As long as we generate hatred for

>America, as this war has done, there will be a bottomless pool of potential

>jihadists. By what acrobatic logic can anyone conclude that what we've
done

>in Iraq has eliminated more terrorists that it has created?

The belief that war simply creates more terrorists than it eliminates is simply pacifist twaddle. It was spewed by pacifists to keep us out of WWII as well, and it was defeatist crap then and it is defeatist crap now.

Now you're going to tell me that we can have "peace in our time" because this Iranian president is a pretty reasonable fellow...

Right...

Moslems believe that the leader with the true message will be successful and that a defeated leader is obviously not the right one.

See a possible strategy here?

>I don't hate "big business," I hate greed. Greed kills. Maybe you should

>ask yourself who has really profited from our little holy war.

Besides the Iraqis who voted in higher percentages than we have in a hundred years, despite the terrible danger? Perhaps the US free from successful attacks for these five years?

If we catch the bastards you say "oh see, we made more of them" if we don't catch them, you say "oh see W did not make us safer"

There is no solution for you other than pacifist ones. Big problem; they all fail and have done so time after time.

>And finally, regarding the idea of authoritarianism creeping into our government, it's great that you will "take up a rifle and kill anyone who

>tries to do such a thing, and I will lay down my life to prevent it here."

>The problem is, you won't take up that rifle if you're in denial about the

>motives of those in power.

yeah, that's me Mr Denial... Did you ever consider that your approaches are simply unconvincing?

Sheesh, it's not even that hard to convince me, of all people, to distrust the gummint. My support for W is a mile wide and an inch deep. The real problem is that the opposition is morally and intellectually bankrupt, not that I am in denial. You guys just don't have any ammo, so I remain unconvinced.

Denial.. boy, that's a good one.

>Not to mention the fact that if you even talked
>that way against this administration, you might just wind up in Guantanamo

>as an "enemy combatant."

There you go... These jihadi head cutters, these scum murderers
are the same as those who would prevent a kristalnacht here in
America! What heroes those braves jihadis' must be!

good grief such is the inverted world of pacifist moral equivalence.

>Yours, in Christ,
>
>Sarah

Careful... He might not be done with you yet... . Using his name
in false sincerity might make such phrases make sense, seem
somehow "right" to you. Who knows where that could lead...

DC

DCDC, how can I miss-quote you by cutting & pasting your own writings?
Your words:Appeasement?
>Self-hatred?
>The demise of the state of Israel?
>more dead Americans?
>The U.N.?

There only solution for all of this (as I believe) is for return of our Lord
& Savior (Jesus Christ). The fact is, we as Americans are scared to death
that this "ride" maybe soon coming to a "screeching" halt.. That's not unusual.
It's human..
But, we as Christians are supposed to be leaders and help prepare the way
of our Lord Jesus return. Not give into the violence, which by the way is
not our fight to fight. It's a Spiritual war bwt God Almighty and satan.
To be honest, we are just pawns in their battle. But, we are joint heirs
with the Victor(God) almighty.

It's really a shame that our Leaders have frighten the good people of this
nation under the auspices of following God. These leaders are no more than
plain Warmongers who God goes on record as saying he will judge them.

So, they hate the west. Jesus says" They will hated me first" So you being followers, will be hated as well."

DC, do you believe inthe book of Revelations? If so, then you know what's in store. Simply put..There will be some good times ahead, then, not dark times. Then, finally, Glorious times. Amen!

LAD

>I would love to see a solution here. All you seem to have is
>hatred of the west. That's not good enough.

>

>DC

>

>

>

>

>

>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>>

>>I would hope you would rise up and take the lives of any ku kluxers who
>would

>>try to do that I would consider that a righteous act, as a Christian. Wouldn't
>>you?

>

>>"Vengance is mine sayeth the Lord" ..

>

>>So,I go out and kill a few Klux(ers) then what?? Does it bring back my
loved

>>one? Violence begats violence. Live bu the sword, you die by the sword.

Seconf

"DC" <dc@spammersintelaviv.com> wrote:

>

>Selective misquoting...

>

>LaMont you can do better than this.

>

>I did NOT say to go kill some kluxers in revenge.

>

>I said it was righteous to stop them from killing you and your
>family.

>

>Now, if you want to dispute with me, start from what I actually said.

>

>Further, I say Ghandi himself would be sinning by letting someone
>kill his family. 'course, he did it himself didn't he?

>
>
>I do believe what I read in the Bible. Including this:
>
>Luke 22-36
>
>He said to them, "But now if you have a purse, take it, and also a bag;
and
>if you don't have a sword, sell your cloak and buy one.
>
>
>In Romans 13, Paul says:
>
>Do you want to be free from fear of the one in authority

Subject: Re: Another "greatest of all time" list
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Sun, 02 Jul 2006 18:06:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

? Then do what is
>right and he will commend you. For he is God's servant to do you good. But
>if you do wrong, be afraid, for he does not bear the sword for nothing.
>
>You notice the bearing of the sword by government is accepted as
>a normal practice and not condemned at all?
>
>
>Romans 12:18 says:
>
>If it is possible, as far as it depends on you, live at peace with everyone.
>
>
>And I just want to know LaMont, HOW is it possible for us
>to live in peace with jihadis?
>
>Appeasement?
>Self-hatred?
>The demise of the state of Israel?
>more dead Americans?
>The U.N.?
>
>I would love to see a solution here. All you seem to have is
>hatred of the west. That's not good enough.
>
>DC
>
>

>
>
>
>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>
>>I would hope you would rise up and take the lives of any ku kluxers who
>would
>>try to do that I would consider that a righteous act, as a Christian. Wouldn't
>>you?
>
>>"Vengance is mine sayeth the Lord" ..
>
>>So,I go out and kill a few Klux(ers) then what?? Does it bring back my
loved
>>one? Violence begats violence. Live bu the sword, you die by the sword.
>
>>Do yu really believe anything in the Bible??
>>
>>I found it to be a bit to "boxey" sounding. Mesa is really trying to break
nto the blues market dominated by Fender and other custom manufactures..
And the verb is "too" thick. Just my opinion.
A fender Blues deville,BAssman, Tonemaster,vibrolux, has a more open , yet
sweeter sound than the Mesa..
IAD

"Jeremy Luzier" <j.luzier@comcast.net> wrote:

>Just had to tell somebody!!

>

>;-)

>

>Jeremy

>

>DC, YOur Words: AND, the
most likely scenario for a huge, global nuclear war is with an
appeaser in the White House who would have made so many bad
decisions that there would be no other options than massive,
nuclear war.

You really believe that President Bush has :

-Protected you (us) from terrorist?

-You believe that we are better of today in the world than before 911?

-You really believe that those who oppose war are Passice and appeaser?

Then you would call Jesus an appeaser. You need to read His sermon on the
mountain": It Goes

Beatitudes

Blessed are the poor in spirit, for theirs is the kingdom of heaven.

Blessed are those who mourn, for they shall be comforted.

Blessed are the gentle, for they shall inherit the earth.

Blessed are those who hunger and thirst for righteousness, for they shall be satisfied.

Blessed are the merciful, for they shall receive mercy.

Blessed are the pure in heart, for they shall see God.

Blessed are the peacemakers, for they shall be called sons of God.

Blessed are those who have been persecuted for the sake of righteousness, for theirs is the kingdom of heaven.

Blessed are you when people insult you and persecute you, and falsely say all kinds of evil against you because of Me.

Rejoice and be glad, for your reward in heaven is great; for in the same way they persecuted the prophets who were before you.

It seems that the Bush followers have forgotten Jesus's teaching above.

So, we fight and what, gain a few more years of existence. God's Will, will be done..Weather we like it or not. The best thing to do, is to line with God's word and do the best we can.

LAD

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.org> wrote:

>

>

>

>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>

>>1. Anyone has the right, perhaps the responsibility, to point out hypocrisy

>

>>in those who claim to be Christians and yet consistently behave in ways

>that

>>are clearly against everything that Jesus stood for.

>

>

>You are utterly wrong about what Jesus stood for. You quote him

>only when it suits you. Expect to be called on it when you do.

>
>
>>And as it happens, I
>>do believe in Jesus as one of the greatest teacher/philosophers of all
time,
>
>
>Which means that you do not believe that what he actually said
>about himself,
>
>"Jesus answered, "I am the way and the truth and the life. No one
>comes to the Father except through me" John 14:6
>
>and
>
>In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the
>Word was God. He was with God in the beginning.
>
>Through him all things were made; without him nothing was made
>that has been made.
>
>He was in the world, and though the world was made through him,
>the world did not recognize him.
>
>The Word became flesh and made his dwelling among us. John 1
>
>
>No, you believe in "jesus", and yes you do have your own personal
>version of him, right there on your shelf.
>
>It bears no relationship to Jesus however. In reality Jesus was
>either the greatest and most cynical fraud ever perpetrated on
>humanity or he was exactly who he said he was.
>
>He most definitely was NOT "another great teacher and
>philosopher". Not unless you discard what he actually said.
>
>
>>and I feel fairly certain that he would not look favorably on those who
>
>>select a handful of bible passages to justify greed and violence and call
>
>>themselves Christians. "I like your Christ. I do not like your Christians.
>
>>Your Christians are so unlike your Christ." - Gandhi
>
>
>I like Ghandi, (well until he killed his wife) Well, I guess I don't

>like Ghandi.

>

>Can Christians be better? Of course! But what is important is, what

>if Christians are *right*? What if Jesus was who he said he was?

>Just think! All those imperfect, but saved, Christians, and all those

>self-righteous, but lost, pacifists! How unjust!!

>

>Unless of course, you actually listen to what Jesus SAID instead of

>quoting him where it suits you...

>

>

>>2. Christians have believed these are the last days for the last 2000

>>years! In Matthew 24, when Jesus describes the "end times," he was talking

>

>>about his own times. Matthew 24:34 - "Verily I say unto you, This

>>generation shall not pass, till all these things be fulfilled." Since then,

>

>>with every major war or natural disaster, there have been those crying

>>"repent for the end is near!" on street corners.

>

>

>There is much debate on Matthew 24. Most Christians believe it

>applies to both AD 70 (the Roman conquest of Jerusalem) and

>the last days. The evidence for our own time, being unique and

>indeed at the end of history, is quite massive in Scripture. I will be

>happy to discuss it with you privately if you like.

>

>

>>I don't fear terrorists

>>nearly as much as I fear having someone like George Bush start a global

>

>>thermonuclear war out of some brain-damaged belief that Jesus will come

>and

>>float him to Heaven.

>

>

>Then you are deluded. Sorry Sarah. Terrorists are much more likely

>to kill you than is the scenario you described to happen. AND, the

>most likely scenario for a huge, global nuclear war is with an

>appeaser in the White House who would have made so many bad

>decisions that there would be no other options than massive,

>nuclear war.

>

>

>>3. What you say is indisputable is in fact easily disputed. The plot

>>uncovered and thwarted in England (by the use of standard police work)

>>demonstrates two things: terrorism is best prevented with good intelligence
>
>>work, and obliterating Iraq (or Iran, or Syria, etc) does nothing to prevent
>
>>such groups from planning their attacks.
>
>
>This is utterly an assertion on your part since you do not know how
>many dead jihadis would have plotted even more attacks given
>an appeaser in the White House.
>
>Again, we are not fighting them, we are catching them. You are not
>being honest in implying that the war caused these attacks.
>You do not believe what the jiahdis themselves say they want to do, and
that
>makes your position a very dangerous one.
>
>
>>Every time an innocent Iraqi dies
>>in our foolish, ethnocentric quest to "spread democracy" (i.e., make the
>
>>world safe for American business), we risk inspiring angry desperate
>>civilians to join the ranks of the terrorists. Put yourself in their
>>place - isn't that what Jesus would do?
>
>
>First your view is based on the mistaken idea that some cultures
>are too bac

Subject: Re: Another "greatest of all time" list
Posted by [excelav](#) on Sun, 02 Jul 2006 19:40:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t; the world. Well, except for those ones that just popped up in
>England,
>> >>> but
>> >>>> those are the last ones. Really.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Sarah
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>> >>>> news:44db78dd@linux...
>> >>>> > We need to know exactly how these plots were foiled. I'm depending
>> >on

>> >>> the
>> >>>> > NY
>> >>>> > times to save us from the evil bastards that stopped this from
>> >>> happening.
>> >>>> > I'm sure my rights have been violated somehow.
>> >>>> >
>> >>>> > Sorry..... I couldn't resist. Things were getting so boring with
>all
>> >>> these
>> >>>> > relavent audio posts and all.
>> >>>> >
>> >>>> > There goes the neighborhood.....
>> >>>> >
>> >>>> > ;o)
>> >>>> >
>> >>>> >
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>Sarah,

I have no intention of expanding the debate of this thread, but I felt I should at least voice my opinion of one aspect of your last post.

I respectfully request that you not publicly insult those of us who actually do believe in Christ. The tone and approach of your post led me to believe your intent with this signature and quoting of scripture was one of sarcasm and vehemence. If you don't believe, please respect those that do and don't use His name as the basis for sarcasm, or quote Bible verses to support what appears to me to be an argument of throwing stones at Christianity. If you truly believe in peace and mutual respect, I am sure you will understand my request.

If you do believe Christ, be careful about what you say, and keep reading. The truth is in there, but it doesn't come from selectively lifting verses to build an argument born of frustration. Believe me when I say that I have weighed my words carefully in this post for the very same reason.

Whether we agree with each others' positions or not, in part this debate may just be evidence that the terrorists are in effect winning (at least their motivator is winning). Why wage jihad on Americans by blowing up buildings if you can get the people to turn on their own neighbors? This is a bigger

war than just a handful of terrorists - it is a war for the hearts and souls of every human being. Even though that may sound trite or melodramatic to some, it is true, and at the very core of the Christian faith. Deception is the greatest weapon being wielded - and I'm not talking about governments or big business - the lies and deceit there, whether real or suspected, pale in comparison.

Rome fell from within, and so may the US - the foundation is already crumbling. There is always hope, but it doesn't come from hatred - for or from anyone, regardless of what we view as injustice or intolerance.

Dedric

On 8/14/06 9:51 PM, in article 44e14375\$1@linux, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>
> Yours, in Christ,
>
> Sarah
>
>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>DC, YOur Words: AND, the
>most likely scenario for a huge, global nuclear war is with an
>appeaser in the White House who would have made so many bad
>decisions that there would be no other options than massive,
>nuclear war.
>
>You really believe that President Bush has :
>-Protected you (us) from terrorist?

Yes. It is clear that keeping them on the run keeps us safer.

>-You believe that we are better off today in the world than before 911?

No, we are better off since 911, which would not have happened if clinton had taken this all more seriously.

>-You really believe that those who oppose war are Passice and appeaser?

No, some are and some aren't. There lots of Stalinists and Kim Jong groupies in the mix as well.

>Then you would call Jesus an appeaser.

Jesus was never in the White House. His disciples tried and he would have none of it.

When a mob tried to kill him before it was his time, he simply walked through them like they weren't there. Pretty good aikido huh?

Jesus was not in politics.

>You need to read His sermon on the

>mountain": It Goes

>

>Beatitudes

>Blessed are the poor in spirit, for theirs is the kingdom of heaven.

And you think that the poor in spirit should apply to entire countries?

>Blessed are those who mourn, for they shall be comforted.

Should we mourn because we let the jihadi kill our families?

>Blessed are the gentle, for they shall inherit the earth.

It doesn't say this. It says "meek" and they are not the same.
See below..

>Blessed are those who hunger and thirst for righteousness, for they shall be satisfied.

Righteousness indeed. Righteousness is what we needed when we stood in the pretend gas chambers of the Holocaust museum.
Only 3 of us in that crowd had any of it.

>Blessed are the merciful, for they shall receive mercy.

http://gallery.michaelyon-online.com/index.php?main_page=product_info&cPath=1&products_id=14

>Blessed are the pure in heart, for they shall see God.

Does this look pure to you?

<http://www.thereligionofpeace.com/index.html#Attacks>

>Blessed are the peacemakers, for they shall be called sons of God.

Blessed indeed:

<http://www.americanhandgunner.com/GunCrank2.html>

>Blessed are those who have been persecuted for the sake of righteousness,
for theirs is the kingdom of heaven.

Oh yes they will

<http://www.christianpersecution.info/>

>Blessed are you when people insult you and persecute you, and falsely say
all kinds of evil against you because of Me.

http://www.zombietime.com/walk_for_life/

>Rejoice and be glad, for your reward in heaven is great; for in the same
way they persecuted the prophets who were before you.

You. like many others mistakenly think that the Beatitudes are prescriptions for behavior. They are not. As theologian and philosopher Dallas Willard states in "The Divine Conspiracy", the beatitudes are not instructing us to be meek, poor in spirit and weep a lot. They are intended to give hope and faith to those who ARE mistreated, who do weep and who are fearful. They are promised the Kingdom, in spite of these things happening to them, not because of them. Read that book, please. It's amazingly good.

>It seems that the Bush followers have forgotten Jesus's teaching above.

Or not...

>So, we fight and what, gain a few more years of existence. God's Will,
will

>be done..Weather we like it or not. The best thing to do, is to line with

>God's word and

Subject: Re: Another "greatest of all time" list
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sun, 02 Jul 2006 20:59:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

br />

You misquoted me when you said:

>So,I go out and kill a few Klux(ers) then what?? Does it bring back my loved
>one? Violence begets violence. Live bu the sword, you die by the sword.

I never said to go out and kill anyone. That is revenge and I do not believe in revenge. I said it is righteous to stand up and defend your life and family should the lynch mob come for you. Those poor black americans lynched, castrated, and dragged behind cars needed guns, not pity.

<http://www.blackmanwithagun.com>

>The fact is, we as Americans are scared to death
>that this "ride" maybe soon coming to a "screeching" halt.. That's not unusual.
>It's human..

Every country, us and Israel included, has the right to self-defense.

Now, if you do not see Iraq as self defense, fine, you have a lot of company, but please give the other side some credit for good motives as well.

>But, we as Christians are supposed to be leaders and help prepare the way
>of our Lord Jesus return. Not give into the violence, which by the way is
>not our fight to fight. It's a Spiritual war bwt God Almighty and satan.
>To be honest, we are just pawns in their battle. But, we are joint heirs
>with the Victor(God) almighty.

>

>It's really a shame that our Leaders have frighten the good people of this
>nation under the auspices of following God. These leaders are no more than
>plain Warmongers who God goes on record as saying he will judge them.

There is plenty to be frightened of in this world without ascribing any manipulation to the gummint.

The spiritual war is real, and I think it is coming. It is likely to be someone promising to lead us out of the morass of war and killing.

He will talk about peace a lot and be very kind. Many will follow...

>DC, do you believe in the book of Revelations? If so, then you know what's
>in store. Simply put..There will be some good times ahead, then, not dark
>times. Then, finally, Glorious times. Amen!

Revelation is not clear to me, at least not in the particulars. The big arc of history and who wins is clear, but those who try to find predictions for next week in Revelation are going to be disappointed.

Since no two denominations agree on Revelation, I think it is a safe position to wait and see.

I will cease my support of the war on terror when I am convinced our government is evil. I am not convinced yet, but I do not trust those in power.

take care

DC You're a thoughtful person, Dedric. Consider that nobody speaks for all Christians. No one could, since Christians are splintered and divided into multiple sects and sub-sects that disagree passionately about fundamental doctrine. LaMont and DC are only one example.

Here in these United States of America, LaMont and DC are free to speak their minds even on religious and political topics.

And so is Sarah. She is free to study any religious or non-religious text and draw conclusions about it. She is completely entitled to her opinion about any topic, including the topic of Jesus Christ's teachings on interpersonal relationships. She can express her opinions in ways that she thinks are most communicative.

Clearly there are differences of opinions. Like it or not, in a free country differences of opinion are allowed. Otherwise we'd have one true church and membership would be compulsory. Many of our ancestors fled such situations and it would be a waste of a good revolution to reinstate that here.

I could say that some people who claim they believe in Jesus Christ or Islam act like they believe in Ghengis Khan. I could say that if those people want to relive the crusades in 2006, that's pretty silly and maybe they should find something more constructive to do. I could say that people with their heads firmly planted in the middle ages are

letting themselves be manipulated by such things as financial goals of extractive industries and territorial goals of nationalistic groups, odd bedfellows at best.

In this great country of ours we are allowed to think for ourselves. We are allowed to be wrong along the way in so doing, which is amply demonstrated by a percentage of the posts that float by here and elsewhere.

But it is our responsibility to contribute to the debate, listen, learn and grow. If my beliefs are challenged in the course of that debate, I need to step back and see the wider picture. I can defend my perspective or be swayed by reasonable arguments. An open mind is a useful starting place (not a feeble mind, mind you).

This is not a theocracy where a priesthood of ANY religion can hide behind blasphemy laws and self-righteous certitude. This is a collection of free citizens participating in their own governance.

IMO we do NOT need to relive the crusades in 2006. Hopefully we've learned a few things since 1095. We DO need to continually craft effective policy in changing circumstances, in order to live together constructively with others on our planet.

Such policies should not be built on Hatfield/McCoy recursivity. Nor should they be built on establishing totalitarian government here or elsewhere.

The Christianity I grew up with says that God is love. I respect that.

Cheers,
-Jamie
<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

Dedric Terry wrote:

> Sarah,
>
> I have no intention of expanding the debate of this thread, but I felt I
> should at least voice my opinion of one aspect of your last post.
>
> I respectfully request that you not publicly insult those of us who actually
> do believe in Christ. The tone and approach of your post led me to believe
> your intent with this signature and quoting of scripture was one of sarcasm
> and vehemence. If you don't believe, please respect those that do and don't
> use His name as the basis for sarcasm, or quote Bible verses to support what
> appears to me to be an argument of throwing stones at Christianity. If you
> truly believe in peace and mutual respect, I am sure you will understand my

> request.
>
> If you do believe Christ, be careful about what you say, and keep reading.
> The truth is in there, but it doesn't come from selectively lifting verses
> to build an argument born of frustration. Believe me when I say that I have
> weighed my words carefully in this post for the very same reason.
>
> Whether we agree with each others' positions or not, in part this debate may
> just be evidence that the terrorists are in effect winning (at least their
> motivator is winning). Why wage jihad on Americans by blowing up buildings
> if you can get the people to turn on their own neighbors? This is a bigger
> war than just a handful of terrorists - it is a war for the hearts and souls
> of every human being. Even though that may sound trite or melodramatic to
> some, it is true, and at the very core of the Christian faith. Deception is
> the greatest weapon being wielded - and I'm not talking about governments or
> big business - the lies and deceit there, whether real or suspected, pale in
> comparison.
>
> Rome fell from within, and so may the US - the foundation is already
> crumbling. There is always hope, but it doesn't come from hatred - for or
> from anyone, regardless of what we view as injustice or intolerance.
>
> Dedric
>
> On 8/14/06 9:51 PM, in article 44e14375\$1@linux, "Sarah"
> <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>
>> Yours, in Christ,
>>
>> Sarah
>>
>>
> If you think I'm an atheist, we are clearly not communicating, and I don't
think the problem is entirely at my end.

I'm not really a pacifist either, and in fact I think comparing this misguided blunder in Iraq to WWII is an insult to every soldier who fought to stop Hitler. Trust me, I will shoot a bad guy, and I may even be tempted to start with his kneecaps.

I'm also not a cultural relativist, but since it seems important for you to put me in a category, I'll make it easy and just tell you that I'm basically a utilitarian. More accurately, I'm what I would call a "golden-rule-ist," which I guess would be like a utilitarian but with more concern for individual rights.

I'm also not a "leftist," I just look that way because the center has been dragged so friggin' far to the right. Nixon would be a leftist by today's

standard.

We all have a right to our beliefs, but what we believe effects what we perceive, and I feel like your strong beliefs are warping my communication into things I didn't even say. (e.g. "There you go... These jihadi head cutters, these scum murderers are the same as those who would prevent a kristalnacht here in America! What heroes those braves jihadis' must be! good grief such is the inverted world of pacifist moral equivalence.")

Believing is not knowing, and it's impossible to debate someone who seems to equate the two.

I give up.

Sarah

PS: Sorry about the "yours in Christ" thing. That was snotty and sarcastic and I regret doing it.Dedric,

You're very right, and I apologize. I am certainly a Christian philosophically, but it's true that I was being sarcastic with my sign off, and that was disrespectful. As far as quoting Jesus, however, I do that because I believe he was trying to teach us how to live in peace, and to me that is more important right now than beliefs about the afterlife.

I would not throw stones at Christianity, but I will always throw stones at hypocrisy and fanaticism, and I see a lot of those nowadays.

Peace,

Sarah

"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
news:C106BFF9.2683%

Subject: Re: Another "greatest of all time" list
Posted by [Dubya Mark Wilson](#) on Mon, 03 Jul 2006 00:53:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

not say these things. I think there is a day of judgement coming, and I *must* warn others of it. This is my duty and I cannot be silent. When you quote Jesus to bash Christians, I must speak up. I am not against you, just some of these ideas.

best,

DC

>PS: Sorry about the "yours in Christ" thing. That was snotty and sarcastic

>and I regret doing it.

Didn't bother me. No worries. I wish you would say it more often.It's a good bet.

"Paul" <paul@nospam.com> wrote:

>

>So are they discontinuing the thing or something?

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.org> wrote:

>>

>>It's a Yamaha MagicStomp.

>>

>> <http://www.musiciansfriend.com/product/Yamaha-Magicstomp-II-Guitar-Effects-Processor?sku=151560>

>>

>>Looks like they are out until the 18th.

>>

>>DC

>>

>>

>>TC <tc@spammetodeathyoubastards.org> wrote:

>>>Deej,

>>>

>>>Which box is that? I missed it..

>>>

>>>Cheers,

>>>

>>>TC

>>>

>>>DJ wrote:

>>>> This thing sounds great. Best \$70.00 I've spent in a while. the twelve

>>>> string emulation is about as good as I've ever heard, short of a real

>>>>12

>>>> string. It can transform a strat into a pretty convincing Ricky.....at

>>>> least to my old ears.

>>>>

>>>> Deej

>>>>

>>>>

>>

>Two problems wherein a faith (any faith) based on peace & love
conflict with reality:

1.) You can't just "live & let live" when there are people out there who are not of that mind, whether it's a Hitler or a Ghengis Khan, or Bin Laden & his ilk.

2.) All religions are man-made, anyway - I must confess that at this point I don't know who God is or what he's all about, or sometimes even if he even exists, but if he's been around for more millennia than we can even comprehend, don't you think that since all we have are snippets of what amounts to a couple-thousand-year long game of "telephone" that there's a better chance than not that we (or "they", depending on who's faith & scripture you're referring to) have all gotten it wrong somehow, somewhere along the line?

Lots of people throughout history have given us good guidelines to live by... if everyone would just use common sense, that alone tells you that the harmonious aspects of the teachings of Jesus, Mohammed, Bhudda, Ghandi - to name a few - are certainly better & more productive than fighting & killing... especially in the name of one god or another. Nonetheless, unless everyone on the planet ascribes to this, there's always going to be someone that wants to kill you or otherwise do you harm because their wants & needs conflict with yours. This is the reality.

So do we turn the other cheek as a nation - in other words, follow a certain religious philosophy - when we as a nation are supposed to have a separation of church & state? No, because that goes against the very reason people band together, from the very first tribes to today's systems of government. It's at the core of our beings... people didn't first band together because it's easier to have an agrarian society when there's more people working the fields, they banded together to protect themselves from the danger of hunting wild beasts alone, and then as an offshoot, from the threat of other bands trying to prevent you from hunting those same beasts because THEY wanted to do so & bring back the food for THEIR tribe. So, this is in our nature, and one could make the argument that because God created us this way - with a strong survival instinct - that it's actually his will for us to fight & kill each other. Are we supposed to have evolved from this state by now? Who's to say - after all, Christians don't believe in evolution, and the bible says that God is "unchanging", so maybe our nature isn't supposed to change either if we're made in his image & likeness, right? See what I'm saying about religions & things being "lost in translation" everything I just said goes against the grain of good common sense, doesn't it?

Just food for thought.

Neil

rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:

>i wonder at what point god said to nobody in particular..."really, i
>thought they were ready for me...all i said was do unto others as..."

>

>

>

>On Tue, 15 Aug 2006 01:25:48 -0700, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>
>wrote:

>

>>Dedric,

>>

>> You're very right, and I apologize. I am certainly a Christian
>>philosophically, but it's true that I was being sarcastic with my sign
off,

>>and that was disrespectful. As far as quoting Jesus, however, I do that

>>because I believe he was trying to teach us how to live in peace, and to
me

>>that is more important right now than beliefs about the afterlife.

>>

>> I would not throw stones at Christianity, but I will always throw stones

>>at hypocrisy and fanaticism, and I see a lot of those nowadays.

>>

>>Peace,

>>

>>Sarah

>>

>>

>>"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message

>>news:C106BFF9.2683%dterry@keyofd.net...

>>> Sarah,

>>>

>>> I have no intention of expanding the debate of this thread, but I felt
I

>>> should at least voice my opinion of one aspect of your last post.

>>>

>>> I respectfully request that you not publicly insult those of us who
>>> actually

>>> do believe in Christ. The tone and approach of your post led me to

>>> believe
>>> your intent with this signature and quoting of scripture was one of
>>> sarcasm
>>> and vehemence. If you don't believe, please respect those that do and

>>> don't
>>> use His name as the basis for sarcasm, or quote Bible verses to support

>>> what
>>> appears to me to be an argument of throwing stones at Christianity.
If
>>> you
>>> truly believe in peace and mutual respect, I am sure you will understand

>>> my
>>> request.
>>>
>>> If you do believe Christ, be careful about what you say, and keep reading.
>>> The truth is in there, but it doesn't come from selectively lifting verses
>>> to build an argument born of frustration.

Subject: Re: Another "greatest of all time" list
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Mon, 03 Jul 2006 03:11:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

arahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message
> > >>> news:44e00d42\$1@linux...
> > >>>> How these plots were foiled? How these plots were foiled?!?
Weren't
> > >
> > >>>> they
> > >>>> foiled because Freedom is on the March in Iraq? Aren't we
fighting
> > >
> > >>>> "them"
> > >>>> there, so we don't have to fight "them" here? The British police
> > could
> > >>>> never have thwarted this plot if we hadn't invaded Iraq, right?
> How
> > > does
> > >>>> this work? Well, y'see, we've proved now that Jesus can kick
> > Mohammed's
> > >>>> ass, thereby demoralizing and discouraging all the would be
> > terrorists
> > > in
> > >>>> the world. Well, except for those ones that just popped up in
> > England,

I mean.....that god guy is a riot, don't you think? The burning bush and all? We've got gas seeps from the coal seams around here and on any given day somewhere in these parts, there is likely to be a burning bush (most likely sagebrush or a juniper). The other day some dude was sitting on the crapper smoking a cigarette and dropped the butt (pun intended) in the thermos. There was natural gas in the groundwater and the cigarette ignited the gas, providing him a spiritual experience.

When god is made in man's image, all sorts of cool stuff can happen.

;o)

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:2ob3e29pl390s0a5jahu40c25lamovs3fj@4ax.com...

> i wonder at what point god said to nobody in particular..."really, i
> thought they were ready for me...all i said was do unto others as..."

>

>

>

> On Tue, 15 Aug 2006 01:25:48 -0700, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>
> wrote:

>

> >Dedric,

> >

> > You're very right, and I apologize. I am certainly a Christian
> >philosophically, but it's true that I was being sarcastic with my sign
off,

> >and that was disrespectful. As far as quoting Jesus, however, I do that
> >because I believe he was trying to teach us how to live in peace, and to
me

> >that is more important right now than beliefs about the afterlife.

> >

> > I would not throw stones at Christianity, but I will always throw
stones

> >at hypocrisy and fanaticism, and I see a lot of those nowadays.

> >

> >Peace,

> >

> >Sarah

> >

> >

> >"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message

> >news:C106BFF9.2683%dterry@keyofd.net...

> >> Sarah,

> >>

> >> I have no intention of expanding the debate of this thread, but I felt
I

> >> should at least voice my opinion of one aspect of your last post.

> >>

> >> I respectfully request that you not publicly insult those of us who
> >> actually

> >> do believe in Christ. The tone and approach of your post led me to

> >> believe

> >> your intent with this signature and quoting of scripture was one of
> >> sarcasm
> >> and vehemence. If you don't believe, please respect those that do and
> >> don't
> >> use His name as the basis for sarcasm, or quote Bible verses to support
> >> what
> >> appears to me to be an argument of throwing stones at Christianity. If
> >> you
> >> truly believe in peace and mutual respect, I am sure you will
understand
> >> my
> >> request.
> >>
> >> If you do believe Christ, be careful about what you say, and keep
reading.
> >> The truth is in there, but it doesn't come from selectively lifting
verses
> >> to build an argument born of frustration. Believe me when I say that I
> >> have
> >> weighed my words carefully in this post for the very same reason.
> >>
> >> Whether we agree with each others' positions or not, in part this
debate
> >> may
> >> just be evidence that the terrorists are in effect winning (at least
their
> >> motivator is winning). Why wage jihad on Americans by blowing up
> >> buildings
> >> if you can get the people to turn on their own neighbors? This is a
> >> bigger
> >> war than just a handful of terrorists - it is a war for the hearts and
> >> souls
> >> of every human being. Even though that may sound trite or melodramatic
to
> >> some, it is true, and at the very core of the Christian faith.
Deception
> >> is
> >> the greatest weapon being wielded - and I'm not talking about
governments
> >> or
> >> big business - the lies and deceit there, whether real or suspected,
pale
> >> in
> >> comparison.
> >>
> >> Rome fell from within, and so may the US - the foundation is already
> >> crumbling. There is always hope, but it doesn't come from hatred - for
or

> >> from anyone, regardless of what we view as injustice or intolerance.

> >>

> >> Dedic

> >>

> >> On 8/14/06 9:51 PM, in article 44e14375\$1@linux, "Sarah"

> >> <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

> >>

> >>>

> >>> Yours, in Christ,

> >>>

> >>> Sarah

> >>>

> >>>

> >>

> >

>What This "gummint" ???

"DC" <dc@spammersincongress.com> wrote:

>

>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>>

>>Man, what are you saying:

>>"I will cease my support of the war on terror when I am convinced our government

>>is evil. I am not convinced yet, but I do not trust >those in power."

>

>One should never trust the gummint. It's basic americanism and

>goes all the way back. They have to prove themselves at every

>point and on every iss

Subject: Re: Another "greatest of all time" list

Posted by [Sarah](#) on Mon, 03 Jul 2006 10:00:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>out). There is no politically correct balance to be maintained in

>perpetuity - that is a myth. The only constant is God and His word.

>Without constants, there is nothing but a continual cycle of chaos and

>compromise.

>

>Regards,

>Dedic

>

>On 8/15/06 2:25 AM, in article 44e183aa@linux, "Sarah"

><sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>

>> Dedic,

>>

>> You're very right, and I apologize. I am certainly a Christian
>> philosophically, but it's true that I was being sarcastic with my sign
off,
>> and that was disrespectful. As far as quoting Jesus, however, I do that
>> because I believe he was trying to teach us how to live in peace, and
to me
>> that is more important right now than beliefs about the afterlife.
>>
>> I would not throw stones at Christianity, but I will always throw stones
>> at hypocrisy and fanaticism, and I see a lot of those nowadays.
>>
>> Peace,
>>
>> Sarah
>>
>>
>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
>> news:C106BFF9.2683%dterry@keyofd.net...
>>> Sarah,
>>>
>>> I have no intention of expanding the debate of this thread, but I felt
I
>>> should at least voice my opinion of one aspect of your last post.
>>>
>>> I respectfully request that you not publicly insult those of us who
>>> actually
>>> do believe in Christ. The tone and approach of your post led me to
>>> believe
>>> your intent with this signature and quoting of scripture was one of
>>> sarcasm
>>> and vehemence. If you don't believe, please respect those that do and
>>> don't
>>> use His name as the basis for sarcasm, or quote Bible verses to support
>>> what
>>> appears to me to be an argument of throwing stones at Christianity.
If
>>> you
>>> truly believe in peace and mutual respect, I am sure you will understand
>>> my
>>> request.
>>>
>>> If you do believe Christ, be careful about what you say, and keep reading.
>>> The truth is in there, but it doesn't come from selectively lifting verses
>>> to build an argument born of frustration. Believe me when I say that
I
>>> have
>>> weighed my words carefully in this post for the very same reason.
>>>

>>> Whether we agree with each others' positions or not, in part this debate
>>> may
>>> just be evidence that the terrorists are in effect winning (at least
their
>>> motivator is winning). Why wage jihad on Americans by blowing up
>>> buildings

Subject: Re: Another "greatest of all time" list
Posted by [Neil](#) on Mon, 03 Jul 2006 12:48:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> >that is more important right now than beliefs about the afterlife.
>> >
>> > I would not throw stones at Christianity, but I will always throw
>stones
>> >at hypocrisy and fanaticism, and I see a lot of those nowadays.
>> >
>> >Peace,
>> >
>> >Sarah
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >

Subject: Re: Another "greatest of all time" list
Posted by [excelav](#) on Mon, 03 Jul 2006 14:33:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
>> >news:C106BFF9.2683%dterry@keyofd.net...
>> >> Sarah,
>> >>
>> >> I have no intention of expanding the debate of this thread, but I felt
>I
>> >> should at least voice my opinion of one aspect of your last post.
>> >>
>> >> I respectfully request that you not publicly insult those of us who
>> >> actually
>> >> do believe in Christ. The tone and approach of your post led me to
>> >> believe
>> >> your intent with this signature and quoting of scripture was one of
>> >> sarcasm
>> >

Subject: Re: Another "greatest of all time" list
Posted by [gene Lennon\[3\]](#) on Mon, 03 Jul 2006 18:00:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MIX RATES

You Watch - \$35/hour
You Comment - \$50/hour
You Help - \$100/hour

On 14 Aug 2006 03:39:43 +1000, "gene lennon"
<glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote:

>Gene (somewhat in doubt)

>

>

Not necessary: if you don't exist, you didn't say anything.

You're good to go. Hope this helps.

chas."Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange jumpsuits and

>chains . . . ni-i-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.

>

>Sarah

>

Not very likely since the Bush administration has drafted amendments to the War Crimes Act that would retroactively protect policymakers from possible criminal charges for authorizing humiliating and degrading treatment of detainees or worse.

The White House, without elaboration, said in a statement that the bill "will apply to any conduct by any U.S. personnel, whether committed before or after the law is enacted."

See:

http://www.latimes.com/news/printedition/asection/la-na-warcrimes10aug10,1,4466016.story?coll=la-news-a_section"rick"

<parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message

news:f093e2hhl6uklcm5lqiuvgrv7b8l0pd3ca@4ax.com...

> An elephant is walking through the jungle when he comes across a

> naked man

> standing in a clearing.

>
> The elephant slowly looks the man up and down and says,
>
> "How the hell do ya feed yourself with that?"
>
>Hahaha! That's funny. Reminds me of another joke:

Jack went to a urologist and told him that he was having a problem, in that, he was unable to get his penis erect. After a complete exam the doctor told Jack that the muscles around the base of his penis were damaged from a prior viral infection and there was nothing he could do for him. However, he knew of an experimental treatment that might be applicable, if he were willing to take the risk.

The treatment consisted of implanting muscle tissue from an elephant's trunk in Jack's penis. Jack thought about it for a while. The thought of going through life without ever experiencing sex again was just too much for him to bear. So, with the assurance that there would be no cruelty or adverse effect on the elephant, Jack decided to go for it.

A few weeks after the operation, Jack was given the green light to use his newly renovated equipment. As a result, he planned a romantic evening with his girlfriend and took her to one of the nicest restaurants in the city. However, in the middle of dinner he felt a stirring between his legs that continued to the point of being extremely painful. To release the pressure, Jack unzipped his fly and immediately his penis sprung from his pants, went to the top of the table, grabbed a roll, then returned to his pants.

His girl friend was stunned at first, but then with a sly smile on her face said: "Jack, that was incredible. Can you do that again?"

Jack, with his eyes watering, replied: "I think I can, but I'm not sure that I can fit another roll up my ass."

:)

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:f093e2hhl6uklcm5lqiuvgrv7b8l0pd3ca@4ax.com...

> An elephant is walking through the jungle when he comes across a
> naked man
> standing in a clearing.
>
> The elephant slowly looks the man up and down and says,
>
> "How the hell do ya feed yourself with that?"
>

>Chas. Duncan <duncan5199ATsbcglobalDOTnet@> wrote:
>On 14 Aug 2006 03:39:43 +1000, "gene lennon"
><glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote:
>
>>Gene (somewhat in doubt)
>>

>>
>
>
>Not necessary: if you don't exist, you didn't say anything.
>
>You're good to go. Hope this helps.
>
>chas.

Thanks Chas,
You would have appreciated the phone conversation I had with UA.

GHey, a girl can dream, can't she?

S

"gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSP.com> wrote in message news:44e223f2\$1@linux...
>
> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
> Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange jumpsuits and
>>chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
>>
>>Sarah
>>
>
> Not very likely since the Bush administration has drafted amendments to
> the
> War Crimes Act that would retroactively protect policymakers from possible
> criminal charges for authorizing humiliating and degrading treatment of
> detainees
> or worse.
>
> The White House, without elaboration, said in a statement that the bill
> "will
> apply to any conduct by any U.S. personnel, whether committed before or
> after
> the law is enacted."
>
>

> See:
> http://www.latimes.com/news/printedition/asection/la-na-warcimes10aug10,1,4466016.story?coll=la-news-a_section
> I heard that one a long time ago except the roll was a baked potato.
It was an old Buddy Hackett joke.
My girlfriend thinks it's the funniest thing she ever heard.
She always has me tell it at gatherings.
It always gets a good laugh.

--

Brandon

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message news:44e22506\$2@linux...

> Hahaha! That's funny. Reminds me of another joke:

>

> Jack went to a urologist and told him that he was having a problem, in

> that, he was unable to get his penis erect. After a complete exam the

> doctor told Jack that the muscles around the base of his penis were
damaged

> from a prior viral infection and there was nothing he could do for him.

> However, he knew of an experimental treatment that might be applicable, if

> he were willing to take the risk.

>

> The treatment consisted of implanting muscle tissue from an elephant's

> trunk in Jack's penis. Jack thought about it for a while. The thought of

> going through life without ever experiencing sex again was just too much

> for him to bear. So, with the assurance that there would be no cruelty or

> adverse effect on the elephant, Jack decided to go for it.

>

> A few weeks after the operation, Jack was given the green light to use

> his newly renovated equipment. As a result, he planned a romantic evening

> with his girlfriend and took her to one of the nicest restaurants in the

> city. However, in the middle of dinner he felt a stirring between his

> legs that continued to the point of being extremely painful. To release

> the

> pressure, Jack unzipped his fly and immediately his penis sprung from his

> pants, went to the top of the table, grabbed a roll, then returned to his

> pants.

>

> His girl friend was stunned at first, but then with a sly smile on her

> face said: "Jack, that was incredible. Can you do that again?"

>

> Jack, with his eyes watering, replied: "I think I can, but I'm not sure

> that I can fit another roll up my ass."

>

> :)

>

> "rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:f093e2hhl6uklcm5lqiuvgrv7b8l0pd3ca@4ax.com...
> > An elephant is walking through the jungle when he comes across a
> > naked man
> > standing in a clearing.
> >
> > The elephant slowly looks the man up and down and says,
> >
> > "How the hell do ya feed yourself with that?"
> >
> >
>
> Just a quick note here. Your beliefs about what _I consider_ an historically
circumscribed, morally questionable iron age Levantine sky god are your business--unless
and until they interfere with the life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness
Jefferson so eloquently promised me. However, the only thing that is 'surgical'
about a 'strike' is that it might keep more of the attackers' soldiers from
having surgery. Unless the attacker has a) perfect intelligence, b) perfectly
accurate weapons, and c) the target isn't around anyone else, there is no
such thing as a 'surgical strike.' So, if the target agrees to be in a field
at a particular time and the weapons of the attacker always work, we can
strike him 'surgically.'

Instead air power (nobody every talks about attacks by infantry or artillery
being 'surgical') always involves 'collateral damage' which is a highly dubious
way to say 'dead civilians.' The ratio of civilian to Hezbollah dead is somewhere
between 2.5:1 or 7:1 depending on whose stats you believe, which I would
call far from surgical except in the sense it kept the pilots out of surgery.

All of which might be perfectly valid military strategy, I'm not arguing
that, I'm only saying that as long as we lie to ourselves about what's going
on, we'll never figure how to do it better.

TCB

"DC" <dc@spammersinchulavista.com> wrote:
>
> "Lamont Davis" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>> DC,
>> You said: "He also never defined loving your enemies as letting them murder
>> your family, nor turning"..
>
>
>> If you truly believe your above statement: Then me as an African American(Who's
>> Great Grandfather was in-slaved) and who's past ancestors were mammed,
tortured,
>> beaten, women repeatedly and brutally raped, hung, castrated, lynched,

tared

>>& feathered ,whipped, & murdered. According to your set up biblical rules
>>and interpretation, "African Americans should view all whites(Christians
>>included) as their enemy and never trust them as long as they live.?????????

>

>

>Why would you do that to people who had nothing to do with it?

>That would simply make you as evil as the lynch mobs.

>

>Your reasoning is faulty at any rate. The analogy would only be

>>true if the question at hand was you handing over your family

>to ku kluxers for lynching in the name of forgiveness.

>

>I would hope you would rise up and take

>the lives of any ku kluxers who would try to do that. I would

>consider that a righteous act, as a Christian. Wouldn't you?

>

>

>>What kind of life is that for any African American to live, hating Whites.??

>>Holding on to past generations wrong doings only "kills you" on the inside.

>>Not forgiving, actually does you more harm than the one doing the evil
deeds..

>

>Bingo. Listen, I was really angry after the latest bunch of jihadis

>were caught trying to blow up airplanes, and I read Dr. Yeagley's

>web site.

>

><http://www.badeagle.com/>

>

>He is convinced that it is time to deport muslims.. I thought about

>that, and sure enough, when I picked my kid up from karate that

>night there was a new student there, with his family, all muslim.

>

>Nice people.

>

>Coincidence? nah. I don't usually go in to pick her up. It was clear

>to me that Jesus would not deport muslims. (I told Yeagley about

>this too)

>

>Hating muslims is simply evil. I will not do it. I don't even need to

>forgive those nice folks at karate since they were not responsible.

>

>Forgive the jihadi's? What would be the point? Forgiveness must

>be redemptive. Turning the other cheek can be VERY redemptive,

>but handing over the other daughter to a rapist is a sin, since it

>results in nothing but evil for everyone involved.

>

>

>

>>Jesus (God) ways are not Man's evil wicked, divisive ways. Jesus say to

>turn

>>the other cheek, because operating under the "Messianic Law" of Eye for

>and

>>Eye yield "Nothing" but 10,000 years of silly fighting with no end in sight.

>>

>>What happens when a man turns the the proverbial cheek?

>>Is he the weaker one?? Even if the aggressor keeps hitting you, eventually,

>>he starts to understand that he cannot break you down" and thus he starts

>>to honor your calm strength..

>

>

>Doesn't work with bombs. There is no redemption and no softening

>of hearts.

>

>Do you think your application of Jesus' advice was meant for Hitler?

>Would it have worked?

>Why do you think it will work with Hezbollah?

>

>Who is redeemed when all the good people are dead?

>

>

>

>>Well you say " Our enemies only no one way and that their aggression". Well,

>>what has that gotten the USA?? Once we gave into their aggression, we now

>>have "Lowered" our standards" to their level.. Now, who's running who..??

>

>It has gotten us relative peace since 911. What would

>appeasement of evil have gotten us?

>

>We have NOT lowered our standard to their level. We put those

>who target civilians on trial, they put them up as martyrs. Israel

>pays, every day, with their blood for their desire to not kill

>innocents. The jihadis put the rockets in the middle of

>neighborhoods so that innocents may be killed and paraded for

>cameras.

>

>Israel loses the best and bravest conducting surgical strikes to

>protect the innocent when they could have simply leveled the

>whole neighborhood and lost none of their own.

>

>If you think the west is no different than the jihadis, you are simply

>not morally serious.

>

>

>>The Late Dr Martin Luther King Jr, used Ghandi's method of change their

>"Non-Violence"

>>and it was effective.

>

>And you know what? It would work like magic if the jihadi's would

>use it. Want their own country? Great. Sit down, go on hunger

>strike, march! Nah, they won't because they desire one thing and

>one thing only; the destruction of Israel and the death of the Jews.

>

>Take them at their words. Believe them.

>

>BTW, Ghandi's method has limits and in his own case became

>nothing but self righteousness to the point he ended up killing

>his wife because he would not allow an injection of antibiotics.

>

>He called the injection "violence" and would not permit it, so she

>died of an easily-treatable infection.

>

>Think Jesus approved?

>

>

>>Some in our race (Islam) wanted to use Force, which

>>would've only incited a full-out race war. What good would that have done??What

>>would've been gained if the African American populous would've listened

>to

>>the Nation of Islam and gone "Guns Blazing"?? We'd still be killing each

>>other. Thanks God cooler heads prevailed..

>

>

>Wouldn't have happened. The Nation of Islam would have been

>annihilated and many innocents would have suffered, most of them

>black. The remaining black americans (the vast majority) would

>have been operating some of the weapons cutting down the Nation

>of Islam. We would have gone on as a country, just like we did after

>the SLA. Sorry, but that's reality.

>

>There is no equivlence between national defense, carried out to the

>best of our ability, and the potential actions of the Nation of Islam.

>

>

>>All in all, God ways are the best way and not Man's way.

>>LAD..

>

>You are right, and what scares the crap out of me is the idea of

>being led into war by a corrupt leader and having those deaths on

>my head. I hope I can see the point at which we can no longer

>support the govt. but I do not think we have reached it yet.

>

>

>Hey, BTW. I am African as well. Got my results from the

>National Geographic Genographic test.

>

><https://www3.nationalgeographic.com/genographic/>

>

>I thought we were Italian on my Dad's side, but it turns out that

>Dad's family started in Somalia and Ethiopia. We are most highly

>represented in the current population of North Africans and there

>are Jews, Greeks, Italians, and Spaniards in their too, but it is an African

>family line.

>

>Do the test if you can, but prepared to be s
