
Subject: Update on Nappy???

Posted by [Neil](#) on Sat, 07 Jul 2007 01:49:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>

Subject: Re: Update on Nappy???

Posted by [Tom Bruhl](#) on Sat, 07 Jul 2007 04:18:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

topic I know), but I have (sometimes use),

- > PT M powered.
- > I always thought my comp felt sluggish, or at least the screed redraws
- > looked sluggish, and that was on an Intel Core2 Duo 6600 with 2 gig of
- > ram..shouldn't be the case right?
- > Everything else seemed quite snappy...put not PT.
- > Well.... now I just built a Quad Core (Intel Q6600) with 4 gb ram and
- > an N-Vidia 8500 card, (that was on the comp previously), and PT feels
- > just the same....
- > Is it PT?
- > The meters seem really sluggish although I can get really low latency
- > whilst recording with no problems. the graphics are just crappy.
- > Any ideas guys?
- >
- > Martin Harrington
- > www.lendaneer-sound.com
- > 0414 913 247
- >
- >

--

Chris Ludwig

ADK

chrisl@adkproaudio.com <<mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>>

www.adkproaudio.com <<http://www.adkproaudio.com/>>

(859) 635-5762 I know what you mean. In Cakewalk Sonar Home Studio, I'm able to delete midi tracks and everything gets renumbered. In Paris I solely use the constraint mode with audio only. I hav'nt messed with Paris midi. Sorry.

Wayne

"M. O'Reilly" <PARIS@moreilly.com> wrote in message news:46bef537@linux...

> Hey guys - been lurking for a long time, haven't posted in years. But

> I've just started working heavily with my Paris rig again, and though I've
> scoured the depths of the newsgroup and been through my manual cover to
> cover, there's one thing I can't seem to do. So I turn to you, oh wise
> and helpful compadres!
>
> How do I move (or delete) a whole track in freeform mode? I had to insert
> some audio tracks to import all my audio, and my MIDI track got stuck as
> track 34 or some such. Now I want to make it track 23, and I can't seem
> to find any way to move or delete the track itself (not just the objects).
> I can certainly add another MIDI track at #23, but then I'd have this
> orphan MIDI track hanging out in the middle of nowhere. Granted this does
> nothing more than affront my slightly OCD sensibilities, but I have a hard
> time believing that Paris doesn't have a track move/delete function. Am I
> missing it?
>
>
> On a side note, has anyone thought to make a package of the original Paris
> skin? I downloaded the new skins from the parisfaqs site, but I didn't
> see the original skin. I'm working on the whole skinning for the Mac bit,
> and I need a copy of the original BMP for comparison. If anybody has the

Subject: Re: Update on Nappy???

Posted by [Sarah](#) on Sat, 07 Jul 2007 04:42:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

e
>
>Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No extra
>
>>software or extended warranties.
>>
>>The verdict:
>>
>>Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>
>>Still a ways to go.
>>
>>JH

Subject: Re: Update on Nappy???

Posted by [Neil](#) on Sat, 07 Jul 2007 05:00:35 GMT

Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>>

>>>>Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general purpose

>>>>"music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty much

>on

>>>>topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive or taking

>>>>over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the politics

>>>>stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know quite

>a

>>>

>>> number

>>>

>>>>of people get irritated by it.

>>>>

>>>>I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like to know

>>>>what's going on in App

Subject: Re: Update on Nappy???

Posted by [audioguy_editout_](#) on Sat, 07 Jul 2007 05:26:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

le land so...

>>>>

>>>>Cheers,

>>>>Kim.

>>>>

>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>>>>

>>>>>It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.

>>>>>

>>>>>"Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>>>>>

>>>>>>Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of stuff
>here.

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>Chuck

>>>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>>>>>>

>>>>>>>I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple crap.

>>>

>>>

>>>>That's

Subject: Re: Update on Nappy???

Posted by [Tom Bruhl](#) on Sat, 07 Jul 2007 05:28:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
>>>>
>>>>>>what apple forums are for.
>>>>>>
>>>
> <http://www.3daudioinc.com/3db/showthread.php?p=110370#post110370>

In case you're interested.

;o)Please. You may be very good at what you do and you may also be somewhat lucky, but to imply that any competent IT professional with a little bit of knowledge can stop all worm and virus problems certainly makes the rest of the world look dumb.

From this article:

<http://www.cnn.com/2005/TECH/internet/08/16/computer.worm/index.html>

CNN) -- A fast-moving computer worm Tuesday attacked computer systems using Microsoft operating systems, shutting down computers in the United States, Germany and Asia.

The director of Microsoft's security response center, Debbie Fry Wilson, said the computer giant was in an "emergency response" mode. "Right now, we're mobilizing our two war rooms," she told CNN.

At any given time there are thousands of computer worms and viruses in existence. Last year, the Sasser worm shut down millions of computers worldwide (Windows

Gene

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>
>Poppycock. I high volume XP and 2003 servers that have been running stably,
>without infection or even rebooting, for literally years. It's not even
all
>that difficult. If you don't want to take the time to learn enough to secure

>and stabilize a Windows box then fine, pay what you want, but what you're
>paying for is your ignorance and unwillingness to learn, so you should call
>it what it is.

>

>TCB

>

>P.S. As you might know, I run linux whenever I have the choice.

>I don't know Thad..all it takes is a person going to wrong web site..boom..or
if a client has an affected file in a session. last year one of our email
servers(lotus domino-server 2003 got hit with the sasser and W32 ..

MS has a mess on their hands..

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>

>Poppycock. I high volume XP and 2003 servers that have been running stably,
>without infection or even rebooting, for literally years. It's not even
all

>that difficult. If you don't want to take the time to learn enough to secure
>and stabilize a Windows box then fine, pay what you want, but what you're
>paying for is your ignorance and unwillingness to learn, so you should call
>it what it is.

>

>TCB

>

>P.S. As you might know, I run linux whenever I have the choice.

>

>"Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:

>>

>>Yeah, but having the stability and security of OS-X is worth much more
than

>>the difference in price between a PC and Mac.... especially in a pro environment.

>>

>>Steve

>>

>>Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:

>>>Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>>business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.). No extra

>>

>>>software or extended warranties.

>>>

>>>The verdict:

>>>

>>>Dell Vostro 15" \$1443

>>>Macbook Pro 15" \$2074

>>>

>>>Still a ways to go.
>>>
>>>JH
>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used
>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>> are out there.
>>>>
>>>> I look at it this way - someday they'll get their shit together
>>>> & price things accordingly to make it worth my while to buy one
>>>> LOL!
>>>>
>>>> Now, if only Ferrari would do the same :D
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Neil
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general purpose
>>>>>"music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty much
>>on
>>>>>topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive or
taking
>>>>>over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the politics
>>>>>stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know quite
>>a
&

Subject: Re: Update on Nappy???
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Sat, 07 Jul 2007 05:40:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple crap.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>That's
>>>>>
>>>>>>>what apple forums are for.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>

>yes I would pay 300 bucks for pc version of osx..even without ilife

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>>And if you are running an HD rig, the Mac route makes sense. (Of course

>>there is no other option for Logic). I'm curious to see where the next

>>version of Logic goes.

>>

>>I've been wondering if Apple would give it more of a tie in with FCP - more

>>post capabilities. Maybe this time?

>>

>>Imho, Apple is missing a big boat by not letting OSX loose, but I have a

>>feeling it might not play well with 80% of the hardware out there - one

>>reason for a closed system, and of course, profit is higher with a close

Subject: Re: Update on Nappy???

Posted by [Sarah](#) on Sat, 07 Jul 2007 05:54:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

d

>>platform, so I don't expect it to happen in the next 1425604 years. ;-)

>>

>>Dedric

>

>I feel like I'm about to pour gas on this thread. Would you be willing to

>pay \$299.00 for a PC version of OSX if it was unsupported? Would you buy

>it if there was no iLife or iWorks for it?

>

>>

>>On 8/10/07 11:57 AM, in article 46bca718\$1@linux, "LaMont"

>><jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>>

>>>

>>> Hey Dedric,

>>> I see where you are coming from. For my needs, the Mac Pro with OSX is

>just

>>> fine. 80-120 tracks is fine for what I do..Plus, I don't monitor via

in

>the

>>> box, so I can and do run my current DAW 2048 ms latency, which as you

>know,

>>> takes a "big" strain off the system resources..

>>>
>>> I guess, I'm really really impressed with OS-X and the ability to run
>(true)XP
>>> (via Boot Camp)on one machine. As well as, being ready for the NEW Logic
>>> Audio..
>>>
>>> having said that, I would run Os-X on my current DAWs if Apple allow
for
>>> such. Yes, I know you can already do it(smile)..

Subject: Re: Update on Nappy???
Posted by [DJ](#) on Sat, 07 Jul 2007 07:44:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
>might
>>>> be better multi-threaded - I would like to see some actual comparisons.
>>> I
>>>> might have to load OSX on one of my PCs.
>>>>
>>>> Dedric
>>>>
>>>> On 8/10/07 9:16 AM, in article 46bc8130\$1@linux, "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Hey Dedric,. The reason for this change is that I was over to a buddies
>>> studio
>>>>> who btw runs PT-HD(8) Accel!! Yikes!! :) with a DM-2000.
>>>>>
>>>>> But, since his studio is commercial he has to run all the major DAWs.
>>> Cubase
>>>>> 3 is one of them. His DAW computer in Studio A is an G5 (Quad-non Intel)...
>>>>>
>>>>> Well, I ask him to do me a favor and let me see how Cubase SX3 runs
>on
>>> his
>>>>> Mac. So, for testing we imported various wav files from ProTool sessions.
>>>>> Around 80 track..
>>>>>
>>>>> We then activated 5 verbs on the sends.. Activated the on-board Eq(all
>>> 4
>>>>> bands). Called up about 20 Ren Comps..
>>>>> Hit the space bar..
>>>>> Ran like a champ.. No skips hiccups.. Nothing...Mean while...In the
>back

>>>>> round. we were surfing the web ...Had about 4 pages open, along with
>...Pro
>>>>> Tools (HD) still open with a session..!!
>>>>>
>>>>> I was sold.. Because.. I assumes this machine as was one of newer (Intel)
>>>>> bases Macs Pros.. Nope..T

Subject: Re: Update on Nappy???
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Sat, 07 Jul 2007 12:05:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> Jusr for fun, I priced out one of the new Dell Vostro laptops (small
>>>>>>>> business class)attempting to match the 15"macbook pro as best as
>>>>>>>> possible (proc, ram, and HD size and speed, screen res, etc.).
No
>>> extra
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> software or extended warranties.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> THe verdict:
>>>>>>>>
>>&g

Subject: Re: Update on Nappy???
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sat, 07 Jul 2007 14:00:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;>>>>>>>
>

Subject: Re: Update on Nappy..still no word
Posted by [DJ](#) on Sat, 07 Jul 2007 17:03:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;>
>>>>> Dell Vostro 15" \$1443
>>>>> Macbook Pro 15" \$2074
>>>>>
>>>>> Still a ways to go.
>>>>>
>>>>> JH
>>>>>> I would agree... i'm not a "Mac guy", per se' but I've used

>>>>> Macs & they're fine, and it's nice to know what other options
>>>>> are out there.
>>>>>
>>>>&g

Subject: Re: Update on Nappy..still no word
Posted by [Neil](#) on Sat, 07 Jul 2007 17:36:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Hehe, yes I've got to agree with Chuck. It's pretty much a general
>>>>>>> purpose
>>>>>>> "music focussed anything goes" kinda group. Apple stuff is pretty
much
>>>> on
>>>>>>> topic, but off topic is fine by me so long as it's not offensive
or
>> taking
>>>>>>> over the group for weeks on end and annoying people as some of the
>>>>>>> politics
>>>>>>> stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know quite
>>>> a
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> nu

Subject: Re: Update on Nappy..still no word
Posted by [DJ](#) on Sat, 07 Jul 2007 17:51:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mber
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> of people get irritated by it.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like
to
>> know
>>>>>>> what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>>> Kim.

>>>>>>
>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of stuff
>>>> here.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Chuck
>>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple
crap.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> That's
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> what apple forums are for.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>The t

Subject: Re: Update on Nappy..still no word
Posted by [steve the artguy](#) on Sat, 07 Jul 2007 18:47:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

all on the same Mac in 2 years - not a great record for quality parts. There is more to the story than the single argument about viruses, and that's my main point. I'm always keeping my options open, and may move to Macs at some point if it makes me more efficient and/or profitable, but comparing the performance vs. cost is a big deal when I can build 2 PCs for the cost of 1 Mac, with identical hardware, and get double the processing capability (or more) by doing so. For what I do, that's not a trivial point. And, imho, that's the biggest hurdle for Macs with pro audio PC users, not virus susceptibility (when that is a known, as it is now) or nice looking GUIs - just simple power vs. cost.

If I could access the German Keys mag report I would post the results as it apparently found that OSX isn't exactly as fast or low latency friendly as WinXP, even under Bootcamp, but I don't have numbers to confirm, and that's 2nd hand info. That's where the rubber meets the road for me and a lot of

other audio-PC users. I don't know too many people that are in love with Windows (I'm certainly not) - just getting the most for our money. Until Apple takes interest in that side of the equation, MS will continue to dominate the market in general. I would have no problem switching if I truly would get more for my money with a Mac running my given applications (no, Bootcamping XP isn't a feature when you pay several hundred *extra* for BIOS locked hardware to have it).

Just my .02.

Regards,
Dedric

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:46c095f7\$1@linux...

>
> The amount of viruses for a Mac are minuscule in comparison to a windows
> machine.
> There are around 150 known viruses for a Mac. Of those viruses, less than
> half are serious. On a PC there are over two hundred thousand viruses.
> The reality for most Mac users is they don't have to worry about viruses,
> and they

Subject: Re: Update on Nappy..still no word
Posted by [steve the artguy](#) on Sat, 07 Jul 2007 18:52:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

won't get a virus.

>
> Apple has left the door open with Darwin for hackers, so there is some
> concern.
> However it's no big deal at this time, and Apple can close the door
> anytime
> they want. Times are changing, and the word is, MS is funneling money to
> groups to exploit Mac OSX. You will see more articles about it, it's big
> news when there is a new exploit, there is big money that sees to that.
> There are always going to be hackers that create computer viruses, there's
> no stopping that. Anything can happen in the future, but Mac users have
> vary little to worry about, Apple is on it.
>
> Big Money wants people to think that Macs and PCs are the same when it
> comes
> to security and viruses, that is not even close to the truth. They just
> want it to appear that way.
>
> And yes, that article is old.
>

> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/12537279/
>>
>>No longer an apparent PC-only issue, even though this is an older article.
>>By comparison our Mac updates almost weekly with security updates. I have
>>yet to update PCs I built over a year ago. Is it possible this is more
> of
>>an Intel issue than OSX vs. Windows? Or perhaps it really is just that
> Macs
>>are so much less prevalent, esp. in corporate networks, than PCs.
>>
>>10 years of PCs and never a virus, malware or spyware. And my current set
>>of PCs don't have any virus protection at all, including the WinVista PC
>>that grabs email all day. It doesn't take much to prevent this, and I've
>>been using the same method on Macs and PCs, and life in general for years:
>>
>>PC/Mac:
>>1 - don't open spam mail
>>2 - only visit sites you trust (this isn't that difficult to do unless you
>>are an internet "explorer" that clicks on anything and everything ;-)
>>3 - don't click on popup ads
>>
>>I do recall viruses being an issue for Macs in the earlier days. Imho,
> it's
>>best to make direct comparisons - as soon as I get my hands on a Macintel
>>and Nuendo 4 I plan to do plugin/loading tests to see which OS is really
>>faster and more efficient for pro audio use, and price out the parts in
> a
>>Macintel to see how much the nice case and OSX really cost. I like some
>>aspects of Macs, and am no fan of MS or Windows, but when push comes to
>>shove, much of the propaganda against PCs is un

Subject: Re: Update on Nappy..still no word
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Sat, 07 Jul 2007 21:43:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ingly to make it worth my while to buy one

Subject: Re: Update on Nappy..still no word
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Sat, 07 Jul 2007 23:33:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;>>>> politics
>>>>>>> stuff does. I actually like the political stuff too, but I know
quite

```

>>>>> a
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> number
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> of people get irritated by it.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I'm not going to go to an Apple group for Apple updates but like
to
>> know
>>>>>>> what's going on in Apple land so...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>>> Kim.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> "Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of
stuff
>>>>> here.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Chuck
>>>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple
>> crap.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> That's
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> what apple forums are for.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>Clearly, if Microsoft could ship a friendly Dedric or a Thad with every
copy, MSWindows would be a lot safer, and the overall statistics on
infected MSWindows installations wouldn't be so bad.

```

Whatever it takes...we need people to buy non-OSX machines to keep some competitive pressure going.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

Dedric Terry wrote:

> The truth is, we are all paying for the lack of scruples of hackers. MS
> and Apple certainly have to, and should
> protect the integrity of their OSs, but inevitably, users still pay for
> whatever does or doesn't work in that regard (we get almost weekly
> security updates from Apple via OSX update manager, so it isn't a
> non-issue).
>
> But, when comparing the best choice for a given user and application,
> viruses are hardly a main concern for those of us
> that just don't put ourselves in a position to be at risk (just as I
> don't buy stereos from guys in white vans in 7-11 parking lots...yep,
> seen that one :-).
>
> Not a virus or spyware in 10 years. Two system drives destroyed by
> kernel panics (an OS should *never* crash a drive at the hardware
> level), a bad m

Subject: Re: Update on Nappy..still no word
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sun, 08 Jul 2007 00:11:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

n.com/id/12537279/" target="_blank"><http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/12537279/>
>>>
>>> No longer an apparent PC-only issue, even though this is an older
>>> article.
>>> By comparison our Mac updates almost weekly with security updates. I
>>> have
>>> yet to update PCs I built over a year ago. Is it possible this is more
>> of
>>> an Intel issue than OSX vs. Windows? Or perhaps it really is just that
>> Macs
>>> are so much less prevalent, esp. in corporate networks, than PCs.
>>>
>>> 10 years of PCs and never a virus, malware or spyware. And my
>>> current set
>>> of PCs don't have any virus protection at all, including the WinVista PC
>>> that grabs email all day. It doesn't take much to prevent this, and
>>> I've
>>> been using the same method on Macs and PCs, and life in general for
>>> years:

>>>
>>> PC/Mac:
>>> 1 - don't open spam mail
>>> 2 - only visit sites you trust (this isn't that difficult to do
>>> unless you
>>> are an internet "explorer" that clicks on anything and everything ;-)
>>> 3 - don't click on popup ads
>>>
>>> I do recall viruses being an issue for Macs in the earlier days. Imho,
>> it's
>>> best to make direct comparisons - as soon as I get my hands on a
>>> Macintel
>>> and Nuendo 4 I plan to do plugin/loading tests to see which OS is really
>>> faster and more efficient for pro audio use, and price out the parts in
>> a
>>> Macintel to see how much the nice case and OSX really cost. I like some
>>> aspects of Macs, and am no fan of MS or Windows, but when push comes to
>>> shove, much of the propaganda against PCs is unfounded and ill-informed.
>>> The rest, MS deserves.
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> Dedic
>>>
>>> On 8/13/07 12:01 AM, in article 46bff3a8\$1@linux, "laMont"
>>> <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> I don't know Thad..all it takes is a person going to wrong web
>>>> site..boom..or
>>>> if a client has an affected file in a session. last year one of our
>>>> email
>>>> servers(lotus domino-server 2003 got hit with the sasser and W32 ..
>>>>
>>>> MS has a mess on their hands..
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> Poppycock. I high volume XP and 2003 servers that have been running
>>>>> stably,
>>>>> without infection or even rebooting, for literally years. It's not
>>>>> even
>>>> all
>>>>> that difficult. If you don't want to take the tim

Subject: Re: Update on Nappy..still no word

;>>> Cheers,
>>>>>>> Kim.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> It's 100 degrees in the shade here. I think I'm delirious.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Man what's up with you today? People post about all kinds of
>>>>>>>> stuff
>>>>> here.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Chuck
>>>>>>>> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> I just want to know why he's spamming a Paris group with Apple
>> crap.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> That's
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> what apple forums are for.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:46c0b08e@linux...
>
> Clearly, if Microsoft could ship a friendly Dedric or a Thad with every
> copy, MSWindows would be a lot safer, and the overall statistics on
> infected MSWindows installations wouldn't be so bad.
>

Lol. They couldn't afford me..... then again... I guess they could. ;-)

It wouldn't hurt if Apple just released OSX....but maybe they are afraid of what guys like us would try to do with it. ;-))

Hey - curiosity question - why are there so few retail outlets that carry Macs? I know Apple went through the 3rd party thing a few years back and wasn't happy with the results - was that a

deciding factor, or is it just that the Staples and Office Depots of the world have deals with Dell, HP, etc? I know CompUSA carries Macs, but other than that and the Apple Store (of which there isn't one in Co Springs) and online, coverage seems rather limited - almost a "find us if you can" approach. Coverage is a big part of a product becoming popular, though obviously it can be rather expensive and risky.

Regards,
Dedric

> Whatever it takes...we need people to buy non-OSX machines to keep some
> competitive pressure going.

>

> Cheers,

> -Jamie

> www.JamieKrutz.com

>

>

>

> Dedric Terry wrote:

>> The truth is, we are all paying for the lack of scruples of hackers. MS

>> and Apple certainly have to, and should

>> protect the integrity of their OSs, but inevitably, users still pay for

>> whatever does or doesn't work in that regard (we get almost weekly

>> security updates from Apple via OSX update manager, so it isn't a

>> non-issue).

>>

>> But, when comparing the best choice for a given user and application,

>> viruses are hardly a main concern for those of us

>> that just don't put ourselves in a position to be at risk (just as I

>> don't buy stereos from guys in white vans in 7-11 parking lots...yep,

>> seen that one :-).

>>

>> Not a virus or spyware in 10 years. Two system drives destroyed by

>> kernel panics (an OS should *never* crash a drive at the hardware level),

>> a bad motherboard, and a bad combo drive, all on the same Mac in 2

>> years - not a great record for quality parts. There is more to the

>> story than the single argument about viruses, and that's my main point.

>> I'm always keeping my options open, and may move to Macs at some point if

>> it makes me more efficient and/or profitable, but comparing the

>> performance vs. cost is a big deal when I can build 2 PCs for the cost of

>> 1 Mac, with identical hardware, and get double the processing capability

>> (or more) by doing so. For what I do, that's not a trivial point. And,

>> imho, that's the biggest hurdle for Macs with pro audio PC users, not

>> virus susceptibility (when that is a known, as it is now) or nice looking

>> GUIs - just simple power vs. cost.

>>

>> If I could access the German Keys mag report I would post the results as
>> it apparently found that OSX isn't exactly as fast or low latency
>> friendly as WinXP, even under Bootcamp, but I don't have numbers to
>> confirm, and that's 2nd hand info. That's where the rubber meets the
>> road for me and a lot of other audio-PC users. I don't know too many
>> people that are in love with Windows (I'm certainly not) - just getting
>> the most for our money. Until Apple takes interest in that side of the
>> equation, MS will continue to dominate the market in general. I would
>> have no problem switching if I truly would get more for my money with a
>> Mac running my given applications (no, Bootcamping XP isn't a feature
>> when you pay several hundred *extra* for BIOS locked hardware to have
>> it).
>>
>> Just my .02.
>>
>> Regards,
>> Dedic
>>
>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:46c095f7\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> The amount of viruses for a Mac are minuscule in comparison to a windows
>>> machine.
>>> There are around 150 known viruses for a Mac. Of those viruses, less
>>> than
>>> half are serious. On a PC there are over two hundred thousand viruses.
>>> The reality for most Mac users is they don't have to worry about
>>> viruses,
>>> and they won't get a virus.
>>>
>>> Apple has left the door open with Darwin for hackers, so there is some
>>> concern.
>>> However it's no big deal at this time, and Apple can close the door
>>> anytime
>>> they want. Times are changing, and the word is, MS is funneling money
>>> to
>>> groups to exploit Mac OSX. You will see more articles about it, it's
>>> big
>>> news when there is a new exploit, there is big money that sees to that.
>>> There are always going to be hackers that create computer viruses,
>>> there's
>>> no stopping that. Anything can happen in the future, but Mac users have
>>> vary little to worry about, Apple is on it.
>>>
>>> Big Money wants people to think that Macs and PCs are the same when it
>>> comes
>>> to security and viruses, that is not even close to the truth. They just
>>> want it to appear that way.

>>>
>>> And yes, that article is old.
>>>
>>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>> <http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/12537279/>
>>>>
>>>> No longer an apparent PC-only issue, even though this is an older
>>>> article.
>>>> By comparison our Mac updates almost weekly with security updates. I
>>>> have
>>>> yet to update PCs I built over a year ago. Is it possible this is more
>>> of
>>>> an Intel issue than OSX vs. Windows? Or perhaps it really is just that
>>> Macs
>>>> are so much less prevalent, esp. in corporate networks, than PCs.
>>>>
>>>> 10 years of PCs and never a virus, malware or spyware. And my current
>>>> set
>>>> of PCs don't have any virus protection at all, including the WinVista
>>>> PC
>>>> that grabs email all day. It doesn't take much to prevent this, and
>>>> I've
>>>> been using the same method on Macs and PCs, and life in general for
>>>> years:
>>>>
>>>> PC/Mac:
>>>> 1 - don't open spam mail
>>>> 2 - only visit sites you trust (this isn't that difficult to do unless
>>>> you
>>>> are an internet "explorer" that clicks on anything and everything ;-)
>>>> 3 - don't click on popup ads
>>>>
>>>> I do recall viruses being an issue for Macs in the earlier days. Imho,
>>> it's
>>>> best to make direct comparisons - as soon as I get my hands on a
>>>> Macintel
>>>> and Nuendo 4 I plan to do plugin/loading tests to see which OS is
>>>> really
>>>> faster and more efficient for pro audio use, and price out the parts in
>>> a
>>>> Macintel to see how much the nice case and OSX really cost. I like
>>>> some
>>>> aspects of Macs, and am no fan of MS or Windows, but when push comes to
>>>> shove, much of the propaganda against PCs is unfounded and
>>>> ill-informed.
>>>> The rest, MS deserves.
>>>>
>>>> Regards,

>>>> Dedric
>>>>
>>>> On 8/13/07 12:01 AM, in article 46bff3a8\$1@linux, "laMont"
>>>> <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> I don't know Thad..all it takes is a person going to wrong web
>>>>> site..boom..or
>>>>> if a client has an affected file in a session. last year one of our
>>>>> email
>>>>> servers(lotus domino-server 2003 got hit with the sasser and W32 ..
>>>>>
>>>>> MS has a mess on their hands..
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Poppycock. I high volume XP and 2003 servers that have been running
>>>>>> stably,
>>>>>> without infection or even rebooting, for literally years. It's not
>>>>>> even
>>>>>> all
>>>>>> that difficult. If you don't want to take the time to learn enough to
>>> secure
>>>>>> and stabilize a Windows box then fine, pay what you want, but what
>>>>>> you're
>>>>>> paying for is your ignorance and unwillingness to learn, so you
>>>>>> should
>>> call
>>>>>> it what it is.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> TCB
>>>>>>
>>>>>> P.S. As you might know, I run linux whenever I have the choice.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Steve Helm" <shelm@radford.edu> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>&
