
Subject: Zeppelin Rehearsal Tracks!!!

Posted by [excelav](#) on Tue, 21 Nov 2006 07:51:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ook 'tough on security' kind of way.

>>>

>>>As for Saddam, few alive were more deserving of the walk down that plank

>>>and the snap of the neck. But anyone who aided, abetted, and bankrolled

>>his

>>>crimes against humanity (that would include anyone who paid US income taxes

>>>in the 1980's, among ma

Subject: Re: Zeppelin Rehearsal Tracks!!!

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Tue, 21 Nov 2006 13:20:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;>>>

>>>>The i/o options are the same for the Project & Pro cards

>>>>

>>>>NOW... BE WARNED: the "Classic" & "Plus" i/o's can be used with

>>>>hardware you (most likely) already have - it's straight-ahead

>>>>analog & digiblah inizes & outsies, but the "Z-Link" has to

>>>>have another piece of their hardware in order to make full use

>>>>of the 28 total i/o's... the "Z-Link" i/o set is designed to

>>>>work with one of THESE:

Subject: Re: Zeppelin Rehearsal Tracks!!!

Posted by [brandon\[2\]](#) on Tue, 21 Nov 2006 15:02:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote:

>> less DSP's and an older software

>>> pack?)?)

>>

>>Yup, that's exactly what I did. It's the Pulsar II I think. I got it in

> the

>>interests of testing it's summing and some synth action, and if I really

>

>>like it I'll get a newer card. The latency on this older one is higher,

> but

>>for this purpose I really didn't care. If I get a newer one to go with it,

>

>>the latency will then drop to the newer one's settings.

>>Something you might wanna note Neil is that using this and the 3.1

>>software,
>
>>88200 shows up as an option in the sampling rate.
>>
>>AA
>>
>>"Neil" <IOUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:4595d664\$

Subject: Re: Zeppelin Rehearsal Tracks!!!
Posted by [Rod Lincoln](#) on Tue, 21 Nov 2006 23:58:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

r product nomenclatures & i/o options...

>>>>>

>>>>>First of all, the question I had was: "Is it Creamware, is it
>>>>>Scope, or is it Pulsar?" These guys have a marketing/branding
>>>>>nightmare going on, really. I guess I started calling it
>>>>>Pulsar, because two of the cards bear (or BORE) that name. No
>>>>>one on the Pulsar forum corrected me or was confused by this,
>>>>>so I guess the terms are more or less swappable. Confusing, but
>>>>>swappable.
