

Subject: New article
Posted by [DC](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 20:09:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

eclared
>>>>>>>>>> interest in emptying the US treasury, it's likewise beneficial
to
>>>>> have
>>>>>>>>>> the specter of an enemy out there. Fear sells.
>>>>>>&

Subject: Re: New article
Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 20:25:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

```
t;>>>>>> while
>>>>>>>>>> denying the heliocentric theory of Copernicus, which
>> describes
>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>>> actual way the solar system works.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> It also extends to a few Christian sects who, feeling
>> similarly
>>>>>>>>>> insecure, tenaciously cling to the notion that the earth
is
>>>> only
>>>>>>>> about
>>>>>>>>>> 6,000 years old, by virtue of the biblical interpretations
```

Subject: Re: New article
Posted by [dc\[5\]](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 20:30:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt; our
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> soldiers dying and our debt rising astronomically. And who
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> sometimes
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> hide behind Christianity to do so.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The fear of reason extends to some neoconservatives who find
>>>> ways
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> pretend that everyone is aligning against Christianity. And
>> in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> spreading
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> this fear attempt to incite Christians to vote to keep them

>> > > >>> clever
>> > > >>>>>>>>> (at the time) calculations of an Irish Bishop several
>> > centuries
>> > > >>> ago,
>> > > >>>>>>>

Subject: Re: New article
Posted by [DC](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 20:51:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;>>>>>>> Have a great week!
>> > > >>>>>>>>>
>> > > >>>>>>>>> Cheers,
>> > > >>>>>>>>> -Jamie
>> > > >>>>>>>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>> > > >>>>>>>>>
>> > > >>>>>>>>>
>> > > >>>>>>>>> DC wrote:
>> > > >>>>>>>>> I thought this was worth reading if any of you are
>> > interested.
>> > > >>>>>>>>>
>> > > >>>>>>>>> Have a great Monday!
>> > > >>>>>>>>>
>> > > >>>>>>>>>
>> > > >>>>>>>>> -----
>> > > >>>>>>>>>
>> > > >>>&g

Subject: Re: New article
Posted by [Neil](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:33:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;>> If you want to say you don't have faith in anything, using any of the
>>>>
>>>>>>> definitions below, then that's your prerogative and I don't have a
>>>>>>> problem with that.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> TCB wrote:
>>>>>>> Here's dictionary.com
>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> faith /feɪ/ Pronunciation Key - Show Spelled
>>>>>>> Pronunciation[feyth]
>>>>>>> Pronunciation Key - Show IPA Pronunciation
>>>>>>> -noun
>&g

Subject: Re: New article
Posted by [DC](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:41:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;>>>>>>> 1. confidence or trust in a person or thing: faith in another's
>>>>>>> ability.
>>>>>>> 2. belief that is not based on proof: He had faith that the
>>>>>>> hypothesis
>>>>>>> would
>>>>>>> be substantiated by fact.
>>>>>>> 3. belief in God or in the doctrines or teachings of religion: the
>>>>>>> firm
>>>>>>> faith
>>>>>>> of the Pilgrims.
>>>>>>> 4. belief in anything, as a code of ethics, standards of merit,
>>>>>>> etc.:
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> be of the same faith with someone concerning honesty.
>>>>>>> 5. a system of religious belief: the Christian faith; the Jewish
>>>>>>> faith.
>>>>>>> 6. the obligation of loyalty or fidelity to a person, promise,
&

Subject: Re: New article
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Tue, 22 Aug 2006 01:02:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt; sort
>>>>>>>>>>> out, like whether to restrict diet in some way, whether to wear a
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> certain type of clothing, how to pray, etc. But none of these
>>>>>>>>>>> additional
>>>>>>>>>>> practices should be imposed on society as a whole.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> The Golden Rule may also be of use as a basic moral foundation.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> So yes, you can have a moral system, one that BTW protects the
>>>>>>>>>>> freedom
>>>>>>>>>>> to practice religious beliefs (or not), without basing it on any

>>>> one
>>>>>>>>> religion. And it can protect all religions better than a system
>>>>>>>>> based
>>>>>>>>> on
>>>>>>>>> any one religion (AKA a theocracy).
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> There are other issues surrounding religions, such as the many
>>>>>>>>> examples
>>>>>>>>> of selfless dedication to helping others on the one hand, and
&g

Subject: Re: New article
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Tue, 22 Aug 2006 03:09:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>>> Dedric
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> On 9/17/06 7:12 PM, in article 450df091@linux, "Uptown Jimmy"
>>>>>>>>> <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> The idea that one needs to believe in a god in order to have a
>>>> strong
>>>>>>>>> morals
>>>>>>>>>> is absurd, I think.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Jimmy
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>>>>>>> news:C1325038.358D%dterry@keyofd.net...
>>>>>>>>>>>> Gene -
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> You probably didn't realize it (so no offense), but your
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> response
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> pretty
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> much confirms my assertion that the tendency of our c

Subject: Re: New article
Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Tue, 22 Aug 2006 03:27:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ountry
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> even

>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>>> world society, is to place blame for religious conflict,
>>>>>>>>>> violence
>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>>>>> religiously motivated terrorism anywhere but with the single
>>>>>>>>>> largest
>>>>>>>>>> growing, and currently most violent religion in the world. We
>>>> ignore
>>>>>>>> car
>>>>>>>>>> bombings, suicide bombers, torched churches, thousands of
>>>>>>>>>> tortured
>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>>>>> murdered, exiled and ostracized people in favor of blaming the
>>>>>>>>>> administration for anything and everything, as if Bush made
>>>>>>>>>> the
>>>>> Pope
>>>>>>>> quote
>>>>>>>>&g

Subject: Re: New article

Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Tue, 22 Aug 2006 03:36:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;>> a
>>>>>>>>>> Byzantine emperor by going to war in Iraq.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Islam isn't the passive, peaceful, non-threatening,
>>>>>>>>>> all-accepting
>>>>>>> religion
>>>>>>>>>> our country seems to blindly want to believe. Some western
>>>>>>>>>> Muslims
>>>>>>>> might
>>>>>>>>>> be, but just ask anyone who tried to believe in anything else
>>>> in
>>>>> many
>>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>>> conservative Islamic countries of the world. I know, have
>>>>>>>>>> talked
>>>>>>>> to,
>>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>>>>> have heard missionaries to these countries speak - it's a
>>>>>>>>>> different
>>>>>>>> world
>>>>>>>>>> from the free discussions and widely varying opinions we have
>>>> here.
>>>>>>>>>> People

>>>>>>>>>> die for converting to anything else, or their families do. At
>>>> best,
>>>>>>>>> their
>>>>>>>>>> families disown them and they sneak out of the country under

Subject: Re: New article
Posted by [Dubya Mark Wilson](#) on Tue, 22 Aug 2006 03:49:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

64;Dimensional.com> wrote:

>> >>>
>> >>>Thanks for the link. It looks like it might be a more interesting read
>> >>>than what you might expect of a book on probability theory.
>> >>>
>> >>>Without risk management there would be no insurance.
>> >>>
>> >>>Seems like we have some major risk management headaches ahead in the
>> >>>foreign relations area. I don't have much faith that our current
>> >>>government understands the situation or is capable of brokering a
>> >>>lasting and beneficial peace.
>> >>>
>> >>>Cheers,
>> >>> -Jamie
>> >>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>TCB wrote:
>> >>>> I never said faith was limited to religion _at all_ because that
>would
>> >> be
>> >>>> silly. I only bring this up because I think it's a very important
>point.
>> >>>> Nearly every time you read 'without faith there would be no XXXXXXXXX'
>> >> it's
>> >>>> just not true and XXXXXXXXX can get along just fine without faith.
>> >>>> Probably
>> >>>> the best popular book about risk is called 'Against the Gods' and
>it's
>> >> sort
>> >>>> of about this very topic.
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
> <http://www.amazon.com/Against-Gods->

Subject: Re: New article

Posted by [Kim](#) on Tue, 22 Aug 2006 09:14:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

```
>
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?
>>> > http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html
>>> >
>>> ><!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
>>> ><HTML><HEAD>
>>> ><META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
>>> > charset=3Diso-8859-1">
>>> ><META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1106" name=3DGENERATOR>
>>> ><STYLE></STYLE>
>>> ></HEAD>
>>> ><BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
>>> ><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Well.....if I get something going with
>>> =
>>> >this, I'll=20
>>> >let you know. I'm not sure exactly what happened with the AES thing
at =
>>> >this=20
>>> >point. All I can say is that the intent was certainly there and sincere
>>> =
>>> >on=20
>>> >everyone's part, but for reasons that are still unknown to me, this
has
>>> =
>>> >been put=20
>>> >on hold indefinitely. I guess I'll take responsibility for the =
>>> >disappointment=20
>>> >though and I'm really sorry that things are still in limbo regarding=20
>>> >this.</FONT></DIV>
>>> ><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>>> ><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>
```

Subject: Re: New article

Posted by [DC](#) on Tue, 22 Aug 2006 18:31:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

```
gt;>>> either,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> or at least we wouldn't view the outcome as a good or bad
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> consequence
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> - it
```

>>>>>>>>>> would just be another event in time.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> But by grace and as a gift of freedom, God gave us a choice,
>>>>>>>>>> both
>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>>> whether
>>>>>>>>>> to believe in Him and whether to make right or wrong
>>>>>>>>>> decisions.
>>>>> With
>>>>>>>>> moral
>>>>>>>>>> absolutes (God's word) as a reference we have a way to
>>>>>>>>>> evaluate
>>>>> drastically
>>>>>>>>>> differing situations on an equivalent basis; with consistency
>>>>>>>>>> in
>>>>> reasoning
>>>>>>>>>> and compassion; by choice and instinct rather than puppetry.
>>>>>>>>>> Even
>>>>>>> when
>>>>>>>>> we
>>>>>>>>>> choose to do wrong, He is willing to forgive us. Without that
>>>>>>>>>> option
>>>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>>>> choose, balanced by God's grace and forgiveness, there would
>>>>>>>>>> be
>>>>> no
>>>>>>> power
>>>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>>>>> choosing to believe in Him. That's what makes God a personal
>>>>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>> loving
>>>>>>>>> God
>>>>>&g

Subject: Re: New article
Posted by [Dale](#) on Tue, 22 Aug 2006 21:13:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>> at
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> best, all is lost for eternity. This is the paradox that we
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> should
>>>>>>>>>>> be
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> considering, and yet the most fear-inducing thought is that
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>> President
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> might believe in something other than nothing. Is no belief
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> really

>>>>>>>>> better
>>>>>>>>>> than belief? What reference point for right and wrong
>>>>>>>>>> accompanies
>>>>>>>>>> disbelief
>>>>>>>>>> in anything higher than one's own decisions? What reference
>>>>>>>>>> point
>>>>>>> for
>>>>>>>>>> respect for other people's beliefs accompanies a lack of
>>>>>>>>>> belief
>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>> any
>>>>>>>>>> guideline for living life and having respect and compassion
>>>>>>>>>> for
>>>>>>> others?
>>>>>>>>>> It isn't the administration's fault that the Pope quoted a
>>>>>>>>>> guy
>>>>> Islam
>>>>>>>>>> doesn't
>>>>>>>>>> like just because he called like he saw it - something we do
>>>>>>>>>> on
>>>>>>> this
>>>>>>>>>> forum
>>>>>>>>>> every single day, ironically. It also isn't Christianity's
>>>>>>>>>> intent
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>>>> take
>>>>>>>>>> over the world, or the government. Far from it. The only
>>>>>>>>>> goal
>>>>>>> is
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>>>> give
>>>>>>>>>> people a chance to decide. Yet, those that wan

Subject: Re: New article

Posted by [Sarah](#) on Tue, 22 Aug 2006 22:14:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

">1@linux, "gene

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Lennon"

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote:

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> I don't want to start another religious or political

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> thread

>>>>> -...

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> These are frightening times. While the true neocons in the

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> current

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>
>Hey guys, there is another alternative :-)
>
>Just buy up a bunch of IF2's and mod them.
>
>Chuck
>"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:
>>I may have a builder for you if you need one.
>>
>>DOn
>>
>>
>>"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>>news:451072ab@linux...
>>>I don't have the schematics. I'll check, though I did run this up the
>>> flagpole a while back and got no response..
>>>
>>> DJ
>>>
>>>
>>> "James McCloskey"
