
Subject: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!

Posted by [Kim W](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 08:16:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;>> silly

>>>>>> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in

> the

>>>>> sky."

>>>>>> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the

> opposite,

>>> my

>>>>>> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient

>>> dogma

>>>>> that

>>>>>> I have no reason to believe.

>>>>>>

>>>>>> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer

> words:

>>> I

>>>>>> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG

> time

>>

Subject: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!

Posted by [Kim W](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 08:22:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;>>> ago),

>>>>>> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to

> believe

>>>>> that

>>>>>> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a

>>>>> smattering

>>>>>> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it

>>>>> claims

>>>>>> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient

> human

>>>>> beings

>>>>>> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible is

>>> the

>>>>>> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme

> being.

>>>>>> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe

> in

>>> a

>>>>> way

>>>>>> that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT

>>> you
>>>>>> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years.
>

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 10:39:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

and social ties and needs; no religious basis
> is
>>>>>> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be
>>> restrained
>>>>> by
>>>>>> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert
> Einstein
>>>>>> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the
>>>>> evil of
>>>>>> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about
>>> what
>>>>> has
>>>>>> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I
>>> believe
>>>>>> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about
> the
>>>>>> potential consequences of this.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour
>>>>> that I
>>>>>> could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by
> now
>>>>> that
>>>>>> the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings
>>> to
>>>>>> them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again,
>>>>> beliefs
>>>>>> are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are
>>> not
>>>>>> wrong simply because they contradict yours.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Sarah
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "DC" <

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!

Posted by [Kim](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 10:40:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

href="mailto:dc@spammersinmaui.com" target="_blank">dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message

> news:44e23a46\$1@linux...

>>>>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>>>>>>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by
>>> faith.

>>>>>>> (Speaking from personal experience).

>>>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call

> God

>>>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is

>>>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views

> are

>>>>>>> human at the source.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> I disagree emphatically.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering

>>>>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,

>>>>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and

> then

>>>> left

>>>>>>> it

>>>>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than

>>> competition.

>>>>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion

>>>>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake

>>>>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if

>>>>>>> they do? All is permitted.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> This is not God's plan for us.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> The

>>>>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and

>>>>>>> effective

>>>>>>> way to live peacefully

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!

Posted by [Kim](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 10:43:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

with our fellow humans.

>>>>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
>>>>>>> rules".

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
>>>>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm

> fine

>>>>> with

>>>>>>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun

> to

>>>>> say

>>>>>>>> "what if this . . . " or "what if that . . . " but let's be honest

>>>>> here

>>>>>>>> --

>>>>>>>> none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even

> then.

>>>>> And

>>>>>>> I'm

>>>>>>>> sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me.

>>> It's

>>>>> just

>>>>>>>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly

>>> backward

>>>>>>>> human

>>>>>>>> societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been

>>> inspired

>>>>> by

>>>>>>>> a

>>>>>>>> supreme being.

>>>>>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.

>>>>>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not

>>>>>>>> care if we have a way to know Him or not, that things proceeded in

>>>>>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are

>>>>>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort

>>>>>>>> themselves...

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic

>>>>>>>> assumptions about existence.

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead

> Iraqis

>>>>>>>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile.

> What

>>>>> do

>>>>>>> you
>>>>&g

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 10:46:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;>>>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of
>>> brown
>>>>>>>> rice?
>>>>>>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists?
>>> 100?
>>>>>>>> 500?
>>>>>>>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent
>>> and
>>>>>>>> their
>>>>>>>> families.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or
>>>> maimed.
>>>>>>>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can
> think
>>>>> of
>>>>>>>> some
>>>>>>>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but
>>> it's
>>>>> a
>>>>>>>> nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange
>>> jumpsuits
>>>>> and
>>>>>>>> chains . . . ni-i-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Sarah
>>>>>>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
>>>>>>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all
>>>>>>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
>>>>>>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you
>>>>>>>> would have to.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring
> themselves
>>> to
>>>>> do
>>>>>>>> what they must to protect our way of life.
>>>>>>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
>>>>>>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
>>>>>>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
>>>>>>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
>>>>>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms, to
>>> kill
>>>>>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
>>>>>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
>>>>>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> DC
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Kim W](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 10:55:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>>>
>>>
>
>But you still didn't answer my question. Can you name even one? Yes, there
are examples of Christianity being spread by conquest, but there are also
many examples where it wasn't. In this country, people can practice any
religion they want. Is that true in countries that are Muslim theocracies?
Can you name even one?

Deej

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e47542@linux...
>
> How about Hinduism? Sikhism? Buddhism?
>
> People have converted to Islam right here in the USA. I don't think
> we've been conquered by anyone lately (aside from the neo-con takeover
> still in progress, maybe neo-cons are secretly Islamic? ;^)
>
> I will grant you that conquering and spreading religious doctrine have
> gone hand in hand in the past, notably by various Islamic AND Christian
> sects. You start mixing religion and government and that's what you get.
> Religion becomes a tool of empire.
>
> I'm with Jefferson on this one.
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>

>
>
> DJ wrote:
> > Jamie,
> >
> > Can you name even one instance wherein a population became Muslim by
any
> > means other than military conquest?
> >
> > DeeJ
> >
> > "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e40472@linux...
> >> It's all retaliatory. That's the beauty of the Hatfield/McCoy vicious
> > cycle.
> >> We retaliated against Iraq for 9/11, even though Iraq was not involved
> >> in the attack.
> >>
> >> No problem, we created a new policy of preemptive retaliation!
> >> Preemptive retaliation, think about that oxymoron. Very creative. Lots
> >> of pins dropped when we came up with that one.
> >>
> >> I dunno DeeJ. I think your forum was a good step. I suppose some of
what
> >> you observed there illustrates the challenge we're talking about.
> >>
> >> Cheers,
> >> -Jamie
> >> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
> >>
> >>
> >> DJ wrote:
> >>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do
about
> >>>> them?
> >>>> Interesting that you should ask. there was recently a forum held at a
> > local
> >>>> church here. there were Christians, Jews, Buddhists, Muslims and
> > American
> >>>> Indians. Things were going nicely until a Jew asked the Muslims
whether
> > they
> >>>> would renounce the use of non-retaliatory violence against those of
> > other
> >>>> religions. You could have heard a pin drop for about 10 seconds before
> > the
> >>>> Muslim gentleman started scooting around like a crawdad.....and he
> > never
> >>>> gave a straight answer.

> >>>
> >>>
> >>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
news:44e3f062@linux...
> >>>> Reality is measurable. The nature of reality is often debated. The
> >>>> challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing
for
> >>>> disagreement.
> >>

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 11:09:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>
> >>>> Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the
nightly
> >>>> news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.
> >>>>
> >>>> Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to Durango
> > to
> >>>> convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors
concluded
> >>>> weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on 'em!
> >>>>
> >>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do
about
> >>> them?
> >>>> Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people
with
> >>>> little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used
and
> >>>> manipulated in the process.
> >>>>
> >>>> I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?
> >>>>
> >>>> Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet. They're
> >>>> after your wife. Vote for me and I'll \$\$olve the problem!
> >>>>
> >>>> Cheers,
> >>>> -Jamie
> >>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> DJ wrote:
> >>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about
the

> >>>>> nature of reality.
 > >>>>> I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the
 > > nightly
 > >>> news
 > >>>>> bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who is
 > >>>>> justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think I'd
 > >>> rather
 > >>>>> be dead than be forced to be a muslim.....and I know my wife
 would
 > >>> rather
 > >>>>> die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.
 > >>>>>
 > >>>>>
 > >>>>>
 > >>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
 > > news:44e3ae02@linux...
 > >>>>>> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive
 > >>> dialog
 > >>>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about
 the
 > >>>>>> nature of reality.
 > >>>>>>
 > >>>>>> Great post, Sarah.
 > >>>>>>
 > >>>>>> Cheers,
 > >>>>>> -Jamie
 > >>>>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
 > >>>>>>
 > >>>>>>
 > >>>>>> Sarah wrote:
 > >>>>>>> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I
 > >>> learned
 > >>>>>>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel
 > >>> compelled
 > >>>> to
 > >>>>>>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently
 > >>> wrong
 > >>>> in
 > >>>>>>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still
 trying
 > >>> to
 > >>>>> view
 > >>>>>>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to
 call
 > >>>>>> "me."
 > >>>>>>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant.
 > > Faith
 > >>> is

> >>>>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not
> >>> rest
> >>>> on
> >>>>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own
> > definition
> >>>> if
> >>>>> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to
me.
> >>> If
> >>>> you
> >>>>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I
believe
> > in
> >>>>> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
> >>>>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
> >>>&g

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 11:16:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;>>>
> >>>>>> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I
> >>> personally
> >>>> do
> >>>>>> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I
see
> >>> no
> >>>>>> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent
> >>> claims.
> >>>>> I
> >>>>>> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel
> > truly
> >>>>>> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe
> > this
> >>>>>> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very
> > superficial
> >>>>> and
> >>>>>> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think
> > it's
> >>>>> silly
> >>>>>> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in
> > the
> >>>>> sky."
> >>>>>> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the
> > opposite,
> >>> my

> >>>>>> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient
> >>> dogma
> >>>>> that
> >>>>>> I have no reason to believe.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer
> > words:
> >>> I
> >>>>>> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG
> > time
> >>>>> ago),
> >>>>>> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to
> > believe
> >>>>> that
> >>>>>> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a
> >>>>> smattering
> >>>>>> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because
it
> >>>>> claims
> >>>>>> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient
> > human
> >>>>> beings
> >>>>>> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible
is
> >>> the
> >>>>>> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme
> > being.
> >>>>>> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you
believe
> > in
> >>> a
> >>>>> way
> >>>>>> that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know
WHAT
> >>> you
> >>>>>> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some
years.
> >>>>> What I
> >>>>>> don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope?
> > Because
> >>>>> you
> >>>>>> were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your
> >>> parents
> >>>>>> believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you
> >>>>> happened
> >>>>>> to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been
born
> >>> in

> >>>>>> India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
> >>>>>> omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does
this
> >>>>> make
> >>>>>> any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough
of
> > a
> >>>>> threat
> >>>>>> to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill
> > him?
> >>>>>> You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to
share
> >>> with
> >>>>>> other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no
right
> > or
> >>>>>> wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in
God,
> >>>>> Heaven,
> >>>>>> or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to
behave

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Kim W](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 11:17:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> >>>>>> accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based
effectually
> >>> on
> >>>>>> sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis
> > is
> >>>>>> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be
> >>> restrained
> >>>>> by
> >>>>>> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert
> > Einstein
> >>>>>> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because
the
> >>>>> evil of
> >>>>>> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about
> >>> what
> >>>>> has
> >>>>>> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I
> >>> believe

> >>>>>> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about
 > > the
 > >>>>>> potential consequences of this.
 > >>>>>>
 > >>>>>> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another
 hour
 > >>>> that I
 > >>>>>> could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by
 > > now
 > >>>> that
 > >>>>>> the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer
 clings
 > >>> to
 > >>>>>> them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again,
 > >>>> beliefs
 > >>>>>> are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs
 are
 > >>> not
 > >>>>>> wrong simply because they contradict yours.
 > >>>>>>
 > >>>>>> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
 > >>>>>>
 > >>>>>> Sarah
 > >>>>>>
 > >>>>>>
 > >>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message
 > > news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
 > >>>>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
 > >>>>>>>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by
 > >>> faith.
 > >>>>>>>> (Speaking from personal experience).
 > >>>>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call
 > > God
 > >>>>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
 > >>>>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views
 > > are
 > >>>>>>>> human at the source.
 > >>>>>>>>
 > >>>>>>>> I disagree emphatically.
 > >>>>>>>>
 > >>>>>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
 > >>>>>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
 > >>>>>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
 > >>>>>>>>
 > >>>>>>>>
 > >>>>>>>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and
 > > then
 > >>>>> left

> >>>>>> it
> >>>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than
> >>> competition.
> >>>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
> >>>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
> >>>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
> >>>>>> they do? All is permitted.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> The
> >>>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical
and
> >>>>>> effective
> >>>>>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
> >>>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
> >>>>>> rules".
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
> >>>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm
> > fine
> >>>> with
> >>>>>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be
fun
> > to
> >>>>&

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 11:18:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt; say
> >>>>>>>> "what if this . . . " or "what if that . . . " but let's be
honest
> >>>>> here
> >>>>>>> --
> >>>>>>> none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even
> > then.
> >>>>> And
> >>>>>>> I'm
> >>>>>>> sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me.
> >>> It's
> >>>>> just

> >>>>>>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly
 > >>> backward
 > >>>>>>> human
 > >>>>>>> societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been
 > >>> inspired
 > >>>> by
 > >>>>>>> a
 > >>>>>>> supreme being.
 > >>>>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
 > >>>>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
 > >>>>>>> care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
 > >>>>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
 > >>>>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
 > >>>>>>> themselves...
 > >>>>>>>
 > >>>>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
 > >>>>>>> assumptions about existence.
 > >>>>>>>
 > >>>>>>>
 > >>>>>>>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead
 > > Iraqis
 > >>>>>>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile.
 > > What
 > >>>> do
 > >>>>>>> you
 > >>>>>>>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of
 > >>> brown
 > >>>>>>>> rice?
 > >>>>>>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists?
 > >>> 100?
 > >>>>>>>> 500?
 > >>>>>>>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were
 innocent
 > >>> and
 > >>>>>>>> their
 > >>>>>>>> families.
 > >>>>>>>>
 > >>>>>>>>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded
 or
 > >>>> maimed.
 > >>>>>>>>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can
 > > think
 > >>>> of
 > >>>>>>>> some
 > >>>>>>>>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't,
 but
 > >>> it's
 > >>>> a

> >>>>>>> nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange
> >>> jumpsuits
> >>>> and
> >>>>>>> chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Sarah
> >>>>>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
> >>>>>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in
all
> >>>>>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
> >>>>>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules,
you
> >>>>>>> would have to.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring
> > themselves
> >>> to
> >>>> do
> >>>>>>> what they must to protect our way of life.
> >>>>>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable e

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!

Posted by [no](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 11:23:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

nemy in many
> >>>>>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
> >>>>>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
> >>>>>>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
> >>>>>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms,
to
> >>> kill
> >>>>>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
> >>>>>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
> >>>>>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> DC
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>
> >
> >Hi Jamie,

Here's some food for thought vis-a-vis the Islamic fundamentalist situation

(as if there wasn't enough already).

<http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/wfchannel/index.php?pagenum =1>

The links relative to women and human rights are pretty grotesque. Yeah, I realize that there is the Guantanamo thing to counter with (though I don't buy it personally) and the "well, look at our inhumane laws regarding drug offenses and racism" argument ("some" of which I definitely do buy) but man, the Islamic Utopia is in a whole 'nuther league.

"Sure m'am, we've got chardours. What shade of black would you prefer? Here's something nice to match the color of the revolutionary jackboot."

Regards,

Deej

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e40472@linux...

>

> It's all retaliatory. That's the beauty of the Hatfield/McCoy vicious cycle.

>

> We retaliated against Iraq for 9/11, even though Iraq was not involved in the attack.

>

> No problem, we created a new policy of preemptive retaliation!

> Preemptive retaliation, think about that oxymoron. Very creative. Lots of pins dropped when we came up with that one.

>

> I dunno Deej. I think your forum was a good step. I suppose some of what you observed there illustrates the challenge we're talking about.

>

> Cheers,

> -Jamie

> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

>

>

> DJ wrote:

> >> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about > > them?

> > Interesting that you should ask. there was recently a forum held at a local

> > church here. there were Christians, Jews, Buddhists, Muslims and American

> > Indians. Things were going nicely until a Jew asked the Muslims whether they

> > would renounce the use of non-retaliatory violence against those of other

> > religions. You could have heard a pin drop for about 10 seconds before
the
> > Muslim gentleman started scooting around like a crawdad.....and he
never
> > gave a straight answer.
> >
> >
> > "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e3f062@linux...
> >> Reality is measurable. The nature of reality is often debated. The
> >> challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing for
> >> disagreement.
> >>
> >> Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the nightly
> >> news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.
> >>
> >> Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to Durango
to
> >> convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors concluded
> >> weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on 'em!
> >>
> >> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about
> > them?
> >> Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people with
> >> little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used and
> >> manipulated in the process.
> >>
> >> I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?
> >>
> >> Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet. They're
> >> after your wife. Vote for me and I'll \$\$\$olve the problem!
> >>
> >> Cheers,
> >> -Jamie
> >> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
> >>
> >>
>

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 11:26:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>> DJ wrote:
> >>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
> >>>> nature of reality.
> >>> I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the
nightly

> > news
 > >>> bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who is
 > >>> justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think I'd
 > > rather
 > >>> be dead than be forced to be a muslim.....and I know my wife would
 > > rather
 > >>> die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.
 > >>>
 > >>>
 > >>>
 > >>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
 news:44e3ae02@linux...
 > >>>> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive
 > > dialog
 > >>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
 > >>>> nature of reality.
 > >>>>
 > >>>> Great post, Sarah.
 > >>>>
 > >>>> Cheers,
 > >>>> -Jamie
 > >>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
 > >>>>
 > >>>>
 > >>>> Sarah wrote:
 > >>>>> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I
 > > learned
 > >>>>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel
 > > compelled
 > >>> to
 > >>>>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently
 > > wrong
 > >>> in
 > >>>>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying
 > > to
 > >>> view
 > >>>>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call
 > >>> "me."
 > >>>>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant.
 Faith
 > > is
 > >>>>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not
 > > rest
 > >>> on
 > >>>>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own
 definition
 > >>> if
 > >>>>> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me.

> > If
 > >>> you
 > >>>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe
 in
 > >>>> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
 > >>>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
 > >>>>
 > >>>> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I
 > > personally
 > >>> do
 > >>>> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see
 > > no
 > >>>> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent
 > > claims.
 > >>> I
 > >>>> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel
 truly
 > >>>> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe
 this
 > >>>> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very
 superficial
 > >>> and
 > >>>> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think
 it's
 > >>> silly
 > >>>> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in
 the
 > >>> sky."
 > >>>> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the
 opposite,
 > > my
 > >>>> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient
 > > dogma
 > >>> that
 > >>>> I have no reason to believe.
 > >>>>
 > >>>> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer
 words:
 > > I
 > >>>> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG
 time
 > >>> ago),
 > >>>> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to
 believe
 > >>> that
 > >>>> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a
 > >>> smattering
 > >>>> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it

> >>> claims
> >>>> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient human
> >>> beings
> >>>> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible is
> > the
> >>>> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme being.
> >>>>
> >>>> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe in
> > a
> >>> way
> >>>> that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT
> > you
> >>>> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years.
> >>> What I
> >>>> don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope? Because
> >>> you
> >>>> were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your
> > parents
> >>>> believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw J

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 12:33:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

esus? Because you
> >>> happened
> >>>> to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born
> > in
> >>>> India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
> >>>>
> >>>> Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
> >>>> omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this
> >>> make
> >>>> any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough of
> >>> a
> >>> threat
> >>>> to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill him?
> >>>>
> >>>> You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share
> > with
> >>>> other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right or

> >>>> wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God,
> >>> Heaven,
> >>>> or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
> >>>> accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually
> > on
> >>>> sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis
is
> >>>> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be
> > restrained
> >>> by
> >>>> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert
Einstein
> >>>>
> >>>> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the
> >>> evil of
> >>>> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about
> > what
> >>> has
> >>>> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I
> > believe
> >>>> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about
the
> >>>> potential consequences of this.
> >>>>
> >>>> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour
> >>> that I
> >>>> could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by
now
> >>> that
> >>>> the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings
> > to
> >>>> them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again,
> >>> beliefs
> >>>> are by definition unproven, an

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 12:34:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

d therefore someone else's beliefs are
> > not
> >>>> wrong simply because they contradict yours.
> >>>>
> >>>> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
> >>>>
> >>>> Sarah
> >>>>

> >>>>
> >>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message
news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
> >>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
> >>>>>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by
> > faith.
> >>>>>> (Speaking from personal experience).
> >>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call
God
> >>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
> >>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views
are
> >>>>>> human at the source.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> I disagree emphatically.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
> >>>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
> >>>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and
then
> >>> left
> >>>>>> it
> >>>>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than
> > competition.
> >>>>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
> >>>>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
> >>>>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
> >>>>>>> they do? All is permitted.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> The
> >>>>>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and
> >>>>>>>> effective
> >>>>>>>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
> >>>>>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
> >>>>>>>> rules".
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (o

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 13:00:16 GMT

therwise known as Sarah's

> >>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?

> >>>>>

> >>>>>

> >>>>>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm fine

> >>> with

> >>>>>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun to

> >>> say

> >>>>>> "what if this . . . " or "what if that . . . " but let's be honest

> >>> here

> >>>>>> --

> >>>>>> none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even then.

> >>> And

> >>>>>> I'm

> >>>>>> sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me.

> > It's

> >>> just

> >>>>>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly

> > backward

> >>>>>> human

> >>>>>> societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been

> > inspired

> >>> by

> >>>>>> a

> >>>>>> supreme being.

> >>>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.

> >>>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not

> >>>>>> care if we have a way to know Him or not, that things proceeded in

> >>>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are

> >>>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort

> >>>>>> themselves...

> >>>>>>

> >>>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic

> >>>>>> assumptions about existence.

> >>>>>>

> >>>>>>

> >>>>>>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis

> >>>>>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile.

What

> >>> do

> >>>>>> you

> >>>>>>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of

> > brown

> >>>>>> rice?
> >>>>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists?
> > 100?
> >>>>>> 500?
> >>>>>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent
> > and
> >>>>>> their
> >>>>>> families.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or
> >>> maimed.
> >>>>>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can
think
> >>> of

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 13:03:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
> >>>>>> some
> >>>>>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but
> > it's
> >>> a
> >>>>>> nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange
> > jumpsuits
> >>> and
> >>>>>> chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Sarah
> >>>>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
> >>>>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all
> >>>>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
> >>>>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you
> >>>>>> would have to.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring
themselves
> > to
> >>> do
> >>>>>> what they must to protect our way of life.
> >>>>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
> >>>>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
> >>>>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
> >>>>>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles

> >>>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms, to
> > kill
> >>>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
> >>>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
> >>>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> DC
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>
> >
> >"DC" <dc@spammersinchulavista.org> wrote in message
<SNIP>

<http://www.novaxguitars.com/>

DBUnless the person I'm working with is freakin annoying, I love it when the client sits in. I get some conversation, the mix usually comes out a little better for the client because they got their "input" in, and last but most importantly, I get a bigger check because we spent more time doing it.

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>
>Correct me if I'm wrong here, but isn't the 'studio industry' in fairly big
>trouble? Also, in my two lines of work, writer and IT consultant, I think
>that the client should be treated with a great deal of respect. After all,
>the client keeps my lights turned on and gas in my car and my greens fees
>paid. Are you guys all making so much money that you can treat your employers
>like shit and get away with it? Wish I had that job . . .
>

>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>>gene Lennon wrote:

>>> "Jesse Skeens" <jskeens@gmail.com> wrote:

>>>> I have a few potential clients that would like to sit in on a session
>while

>>>> I mix their tracks. I figure this will take extra time so it seems fair

>>>> to charge and hourly rate on top of the flat fee for the mix.

>>>>

>>>> Any ideas?

>>>>

>>>> Jesse

>>>>

>>>>

>>> What you need is a big sign in your control room.

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> _____
MIX RATES

>>>

>>> You Watch - \$35/hour

>>> You Comment - \$50/hour

>>> You Help - \$100/hour

>>> _____

>>>

>>That made me laugh. Thanks.

>I am not a fan of dictatorships, theocracies or royalty. So you don't have to waste any time convincing me on that point. But I'll check out your link.

I am also not a fan of scapegoating and generalizing to justify war.

I am a fan of freedom, including freedom of religion. Aggressive self-righteousness by any group is problematic. Too bad it works so well for empire building. It's certainly something to be aware of in other countries and especially in our own.

Cheers,

-Jamie

<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

DJ wrote:

> Hi Jamie,

>

> Here's some food for thought vis-a-vis the Islamic fundamentalist situation
> (as if there wasn't enough already).

>

> <http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/wfchannel/index.php?pagenum=1>

>

> The links relative to women and human rights are pretty grotesque. Yeah, I
> realize that there is the Guantanamo thing to counter with (though I don't
> buy it personally) and the "well, look at our inhumane laws regarding drug
> offenses and racism" argument ("some" of which I definitely do buy) but man,
> the Islamic Utopia is in a whole 'nuther league.

>

> "Sure m'am, we've got chardours. What shade of black would you prefer?

> Here's something nice to match the color of the revolutionary jackboot."

>

> Regards,

>

> Deej

>
> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e40472@linux...
>> It's all retaliatory. That's the beauty of the Hatfield/McCoy vicious
> cycle.
>> We retaliated against Iraq for 9/11, even though Iraq was not involved
>> in the attack.
>>
>> No problem, we created a new policy of preemptive retaliation!
>> Preemptive retaliation, think about that oxymoron. Very creative. Lots
>> of pins dropped when we came up with that one.
>>
>> I dunno DeeJ. I think your forum was a good step. I suppose some of what
>> you observed there illustrates the challenge we're talking about.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>
>>
>> DJ wrote:
>>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about
>>>> them?
>>>> Interesting that you should ask. there was recently a forum held at a
> local
>>>> church here. there were Christians, Jews, Buddhists, Muslims and
> American
>>>> Indians. Things were going nicely until a Jew asked the Muslims whether
> they
>>>> would renounce the use of non-retaliatory violence against those of
> other
>>>> religions. You could have heard a pin drop for about 10 seconds before
> the
>>>> Muslim gentleman started scooting around like a crawdad.....and he
> never
>>>> gave a straight answer.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e3f062@linux...
>>>> Reality is measurable. The nature of reality is often debated. The
>>>> challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing for
>>>> disagreement.
>>>>
>>>> Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the nightly
>>>> news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.
>>>>
>>>> Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to Durango
> to
>>>> convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors concluded

>>>> weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on 'em!
>>>>
>>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about
>>> them?
>>>> Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people with
>>>> little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used and
>>>> manipulated in the process.
>>>>
>>>> I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?
>>>>
>>>> Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet. They're
>>>> after your wife. Vote for me and I'll \$\$olve the problem!
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> DJ wrote:
>>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
>>>>> nature of reality.
>>>>> I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the
> nightly
>>> news
>>>>> bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who is
>>>>> justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think I'd
>>> rather
>>>>> be dead than be forced to be a mulsim.....and I know my wife would
>>> rather
>>>>> die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
> news:44e3ae02@linux...
>>>>>> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive
>>> dialog
>>>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
>>>>>> nature of reality.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Great post, Sarah.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Sarah wrote:

>>>>>> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I
>>> learned
>>>>>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel
>>> compelled
>>>>> to
>>>>>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently
>>> wrong
>>>>> in
>>>>>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying
>>> to
>>>>> view
>>>>>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call
>>>>> "me."
>>>>>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant.
> Faith
>>> is
>>>>>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not
>>> rest
>>>>> on
>>>>>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own
> definition
>>>>> if
>>>>>> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me.
>>> If
>>>>> you
>>>>>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe
> in
>>>>>> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
>>>>>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I
>>> personally
>>>>> do
>>>>>> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see
>>> no
>>>>>> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent
>>> claims.
>>>>> I
>>>>>> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel
> truly
>>>>>> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe
> this
>>>>>> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very
> superficial
>>>>> and
>>>>>> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think
> it's
>>>>> silly

>>>>>> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in
 > the
 >>>>> sky."
 >>>>>> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the
 > opposite,
 >>> my
 >>>>>> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient
 >>> dogma
 >>>>> that
 >>>>>> I have no reason to believe.
 >>>>>>
 >>>>>> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer
 > words:
 >>> I
 >>>>>> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG
 > time
 >>>>> ago),
 >>>>>> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to
 > believe
 >>>>> that
 >>>>>> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a
 >>>>> smattering
 >>>>>> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it
 >>>>> claims
 >>>>>> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient
 > human
 >>>>> beings
 >>>>>> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible is
 >>> the
 >>>>>> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme
 > being.
 >>>>>> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe
 > in
 >>> a
 >>>>> way
 >>>>>> that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT
 >>> you
 >>>>>> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years.
 >>>>> What I
 >>>>>> don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope?
 > Because
 >>>>> you
 >>>>>> were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your
 >>> parents
 >>>>>> believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you
 >>>>> happened
 >>>>>> to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born
 >>> in

>>>>>> India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
>>>>>> omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this
>>>>> make
>>>>>> any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough of
> a
>>>>> threat
>>>>>> to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill
> him?
>>>>>> You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share
>>> with
>>>>>> other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right
> or
>>>>>> wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God,
>>>>> Heaven,
>>>>>> or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
>>>>>> accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually
>>> on
>>>>>> sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis
> is
>>>>>> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be
>>> restrained
>>>>> by
>>>>>> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert
> Einstein
>>>>>> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the
>>>>> evil of
>>>>>> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about
>>> what
>>>>> has
>>>>>> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I
>>> believe
>>>>>> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about
> the
>>>>>> potential consequences of this.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour
>>>>> that I
>>>>>> could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by
> now
>>>>> that
>>>>>> the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings
>>> to
>>>>>> them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again,
>>>>> beliefs
>>>>>> are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are
>>> not

>>>>>> wrong simply because they contradict yours.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Sarah
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message
> news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
>>>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by
>>> faith.
>>>>>>> (Speaking from personal experience).
>>>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call
> God
>>>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
>>>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that a

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 13:03:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ll views
> are
>>>>>>> human at the source.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I disagree emphatically.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Everything prodeeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
>>>>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
>>>>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and
> then
>>>>> left
>>>>>>> it
>>>>>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than
>>> competition.
>>>>>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
>>>>>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
>>>>>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
>>>>>>>> they do? All is permitted.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> The
 >>>>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and
 >>>>>>> effective
 >>>>>>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
 >>>>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
 >>>>>>> rules".
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
 >>>>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm
 > fine
 >>>>> with
 >>>>>>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun
 > to
 >>>>> say
 >>>>>>> "what if this . . . " or "what if that . . . " but let's be honest
 >>>>> here
 >>>>>>> --
 >>>>>>> none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even
 > then.
 >>>>> And
 >>>>>>> I'm
 >>>>>>> sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me.
 >>> It's
 >>>>> just
 >>>>>>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly
 >>> backward
 >>>>>>> human
 >>>>>>> societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been
 >>> inspired
 >>>>> by
 >>>>>>> a
 >>>>>>> supreme being.
 >>>>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
 >>>>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
 >>>>>>> care if we have a way to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
 >>>>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
 >>>>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
 >>>>>>> themselves...
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
 >>>>>>> assumptions about existence.
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead
 > Iraqis

>>>>>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile.
> What
>>>>> do
>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of
>>> brown
>>>>>>> rice?
>>>>>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists?
>>> 100?
>>>>>>> 500?
>>>>>>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent
>>> and
>>>>>>> their
>>>>>>> families.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or
>>>>> maimed.
>>>>>>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can
> think
>>>>> of
>>>>>>> some
>>>>>>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but
>>> it's
>>>>> a
>>&

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 13:05:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;>>>>>> nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange
>>> jumpsuits
>>>>> and
>>>>>>> chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Sarah
>>>>>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
>>>>>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all
>>>>>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
>>>>>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you
>>>>>>> would have to.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring
> themselves
>>> to
>>>>> do
>>>>>>> what they must to protect our way of life.

>>>>>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
>>>>>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
>>>>>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
>>>>>>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
>>>>>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms, to
>>> kill

>>>>>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
>>>>>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
>>>>>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> DC

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>

>

>Yes I did answer your question. Islam CAN spread without conquest, we
see it right here in the USA.

So if your point is that it cannot spread with conquest, yes it can and
I gave an example.

On the flip side, Christianity and other religions can and have spread
via conquest. So Islam is not alone in being spread by conquest and
being spread without conquest.

You can argue degrees over history but you can't make a blanket
statement that Islam (or Christianity) is only spread through conquest.

And although it clearly has at times been spread via conquest, you can't
accurately claim that Islam is the only religion to ever be spread
through conquest. You have to look at the larger issue of conquest and
religions overall. Or conquest and enforced atheism, for that matter.

I think the important difference today is that we have a special country
that guarantees freedom of religion. So you can be an atheist and I can
be Islamic. Or I can be Baptist and you can be Unitarian. I can be
Branch Davidian Reformed and you can be Pagan. I can be MAC-IAN and you
can be MICROSOFT-IAN (oops, wrong religious debate... ;^)

One of the biggest challenges we face, back to my point again, is how to
have constructive dialog between people who operate from very different
assumptions about the nature of reality.

I think Jefferson had it right. Let people freely choose their religious
affiliations, and keep any one religion from being the official

religion. This requires a tolerance for freedom that sometimes bothers the more aggressively self-righteous sects but it keeps them from shooting at each other.

Cheers,

-Jamie

<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

DJ wrote:

> But you still didn't answer my question. Can you name even one? Yes, there
> are examples of Christianity being spread by conquest, but there are also
> many examples where it wasn't. In this country, people can practice any
> religion they want. Is that true in countries that are Muslim theocracies?
> Can you name even one?

>

> Deej

>

> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e47542@linux...

>> How about Hinduism? Sikhism? Buddhism?

>>

>> People have converted to Islam right here in the USA. I don't think

>> we've been conquered by anyone lately (aside from the neo-con takeover

>> still in progress, maybe neo-cons are secretly Islamic? ;^)

>>

>> I will grant you that conquering and spreading religious doctrine have

>> gone hand in hand in the past, notably by various Islamic AND Christian

>> sects. You start mixing religion and government and that's what you get.

>> Religion becomes a tool of empire.

>>

>> I'm with Jefferson on this one.

>>

>> Cheers,

>> -Jamie

>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

>>

>>

>> DJ wrote:

>>> Jamie,

>>>

>>> Can you name even one instance wherein a population became Muslim by

> any

>>> means other than military conquest?

>>>

>>> Deej

>>>

>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e40472@linux...

>>>> It's all retaliatory. That's the beauty of the Hatfield/McCoy vicious

>>> cycle.
>>>> We retaliated against Iraq for 9/11, even though Iraq was not involved
>>>> in the attack.
>>>>
>>>> No problem, we created a new policy of preemptive retaliation!
>>>> Preemptive retaliation, think about that oxymoron. Very creative. Lots
>>>> of pins dropped when we came up with that one.
>>>>
>>>> I dunno DeeJ. I think your forum was a good step. I suppose some of
> what
>>>> you observed there illustrates the challenge we're talking about.
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> DJ wrote:
>>>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do
> about
>>>>> them?
>>>>> Interesting that you s

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 13:12:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ould ask. there was recently a forum held at a
>>> local
>>>> church here. there were Christians, Jews, Buddhists, Muslims and
>>> American
>>>> Indians. Things were going nicely until a Jew asked the Muslims
> whether
>>> they
>>>> would renounce the use of non-retaliatory violence against those of
>>> other
>>>> religions. You could have heard a pin drop for about 10 seconds before
>>> the
>>>> Muslim gentleman started scooting around like a crawdad.....and he
>>> never
>>>> gave a straight answer.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
> news:44e3f062@linux...
>>>>> Reality is measurable. The nature of reality is often debated. The
>>>>> challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing

> for
 >>>>> disagreement.
 >>>>>
 >>>>> Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the
 > nightly
 >>>>> news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.
 >>>>>
 >>>>> Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to Durango
 >>> to
 >>>>> convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors
 > concluded
 >>>>> weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on 'em!
 >>>>>
 >>>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do
 > about
 >>>>> them?
 >>>>> Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people
 > with
 >>>>> little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used
 > and
 >>>>> manipulated in the process.
 >>>>>
 >>>>> I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?
 >>>>>
 >>>>> Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet. They're
 >>>>> after your wife. Vote for me and I'll \$\$olve the problem!
 >>>>>
 >>>>> Cheers,
 >>>>> -Jamie
 >>>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
 >>>>>
 >>>>>
 >>>>> DJ wrote:
 >>>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about
 > the
 >>>>>> nature of reality.
 >>>>>> I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the
 >>> nightly
 >>>>> news
 >>>>>> bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who is
 >>>>>> justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think I'd
 >>>>> rather
 >>>>>> be dead than be forced to be a mulsim.....and I know my wife
 > would
 >>>>> rather
 >>>>>> die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.
 >>>>>>
 >>>>>>

>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
>>> news:44e3ae02@linux...
>>>>>> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive
>>>> dialog
>>>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about
> the
>>>>>> nature of reality.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Great post, Sarah.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Sarah wrote:
>>>>>>> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I
>>>> learned
>>>>>>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel
>>>> compelled
>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently
>>>> wrong
>>>>>> in
>>>>>>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still
> trying
>>>> to
>>>>>> view
>>>>>>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to
> call
>>>>>> "me."
>>>>>>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant.
>>> Faith
>>>> is
>>>>>>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not
>>>> rest
>>>>>> on
>>>>>>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own
>>> definition
>>>>>> if
>>>>>>> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to
> me.
>>>> If
>>>>>> you
>>>>>>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I
> believe

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 13:28:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>> in
>>>>>>> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
>>>>>>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I
>>>> personally
>>>>>> do
>>>>>>> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I
> see
>>>>> no
>>>>>>> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent
>>>> claims.
>>>>>>> I
>>>>>>> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel
>>> truly
>>>>>>> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe
>>> this
>>>>>>> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very
>>> superficial
>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think
>>> it's
>>>>>>> silly
>>>>>>> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in
>>> the
>>>>>>> sky."
>>>>>>> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the
>>> opposite,
>>>>> my
>>>>>>> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient
>>>>> dogma
>>>>>>> that
>>>>>>> I have no reason to believe.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer
>>> words:
>>>>> I
>>>>>>> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG
>>> time
>>>>>>> ago),
>>>>>>> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to
>>> believe
>>>>>>> that
>>>>>>> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a
>>>>>>> smattering

>>>>>>> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because
> it
>>>>>>> claims
>>>>>>> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient
>>> human
>>>>>>> beings
>>>>>>> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible
> is
>>>>> the
>>>>>>> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme
>>> being.
>>>>>>> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you
> believe
>>> in
>>>>> a
>>>>>>> way
>>>>>>> that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know
> WHAT
>>>>> you
>>>>>>> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some
> years.
>>>>>>> What I
>>>>>>> don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope?
>>> Because
>>>>>>> you
>>

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??.(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Kim W](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 13:42:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;>>>>>>> were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your
>>>>> parents
>>>>>>>> believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you
>>>>>>> happened
>>>>>>>> to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been
> born
>>>>> in
>>>>>>>> India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
>>>>>>>> omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does
> this
>>>>>>> make
>>>>>>>>> any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough
> of
>>> a

>>>>>> threat
 >>>>>>> to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill
 >>> him?
 >>>>>>> You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to
 > share
 >>>>> with
 >>>>>>> other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no
 > right
 >>> or
 >>>>>>> wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in
 > God,
 >>>>>>> Heaven,
 >>>>>>> or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to
 > behave
 >>>>>>> accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based
 > effectually
 >>>>> on
 >>>>>>> sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis
 >>> is
 >>>>>>> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be
 >>>>> restrained
 >>>>>>> by
 >>>>>>> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert
 >>> Einstein
 >>>>>>> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because
 > the
 >>>>>>> evil of
 >>>>>>> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about
 >>>>> what
 >>>>>>> has
 >>>>>>> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I
 >>>>> believe
 >>>>>>> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about
 >>> the
 >>>>>>> potential consequences of this.
 >>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another
 > hour
 >>>>>>> that I
 >>>>>>>> could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by
 >>> now
 >>>>>>> that
 >>>>>>>> the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer
 > clings
 >>>>> to
 >>>>>>>> them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again,
 >>>>>>> beliefs
 >>>>>>>> are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs

> are
>>>>> not
>>>>>>> wrong simply because they contradict yours.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Sarah
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message
>>> news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
>>>>>>>> "Sarah"

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Kim W](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 13:47:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by
>>>>> faith.
>>>>>>>>> (Speaking from personal experience).
>>>>>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call
>>> God
>>>>>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
>>>>>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views
>>> are
>>>>>>>>> human at the source.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> I disagree emphatically.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
>>>>>>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
>>>>>>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and
>>> then
>>>>>>> left
>>>>>>>>> it
>>>>>>>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than
>>>>> competition.
>>>>>>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
>>>>>>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
>>>>>>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
>>>>>>>>> they do? All is permitted.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> This is not God's plan for us.

>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> The
>>>>>>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical
> and
>>>>>>>>> effective
>>>>>>>>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
>>>>>>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
>>>>>>>>> rules".
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
>>>>>>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm
>>> fine
>>>>>>> with
>>>>>>>>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be
> fun
>>> to
>>>>>>> say
>>>>>>>>> "what if this . . . " or "what if that . . . " but let's be
> honest
>>>>>>> here
>>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>>> none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even
>>> then.
>>>>>>> And
>>>>>>>>> I'm
>>>>>>>>> sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me.
>>>>> It's
>>>>>>> just
>>>>>>>>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly
>>>>> backward
>>>>>>>>> human
>>>>>>>>> societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been
>>>>> inspired
>>>>>>> by
>>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>> supreme being.
>>>>>>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
>>>>>>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
>>>>>>>>> care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
>>>>>>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!

/>

>>>>>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
>>>>>>>> themselves...

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
>>>>>>>> assumptions about existence.

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead

>>> Iraqis

>>>>>>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile.

>>> What

>>>>>>> do

>>>>>>>> you

>>>>>>>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of

>>>> brown

>>>>>>>> rice?

>>>>>>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists?

>>>> 100?

>>>>>>>> 500?

>>>>>>>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were

> innocent

>>>> and

>>>>>>>> their

>>>>>>>> families.

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded

> or

>>>>>>> maimed.

>>>>>>>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can

>>> think

>>>>>>> of

>>>>>>>> some

>>>>>>>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't,

> but

>>>> it's

>>>>>>> a

>>>>>>>> nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange

>>>> jumpsuits

>>>>>>> and

>>>>>>>> chains . . . ni-i-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> Sarah

>>>>>>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no

>>>>>>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in

> all

>>>>>>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
>>>>>>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules,
> you
>>>>>>>> would have to.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring
>>> themselves
>>>> to
>>>>>> do
>>>>>>>> what they must to protect our way of life.
>>>>>>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
>>>>>>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
>>>>>>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
>>>>>>>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
>>>>>>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms,
> to
>>>>> kill
>>>>>>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
>>>>>>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
>>>>>>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> DC
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>
>
>I doubt very seriously that this country is going to become an Islamic
theocracy without just a little conflits here and there.

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e490b7@linux...

>
> Yes I did answer your question. Islam CAN spread without conquest, we
> see it right here in the USA.
>
> So if your point is that it cannot spread with conquest, yes it can and
> I gave an example.
>
> On the flip side, Christianity and other religions can and have spread
> via conquest. So Isl

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??.(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 13:55:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

am is not alone in being spread by conquest and
> being spread without conquest.
>
> You can argue degrees over history but you can't make a blanket
> statement that Islam (or Christianity) is only spread through conquest.
>
> And although it clearly has at times been spread via conquest, you can't
> accurately claim that Islam is the only religion to ever be spread
> through conquest. You have to look at the larger issue of conquest and
> religions overall. Or conquest and enforced atheism, for that matter.
>
> I think the important difference today is that we have a special country
> that guarantees freedom of religion. So you can be an atheist and I can
> be Islamic. Or I can be Baptist and you can be Unitarian. I can be
> Branch Davidian Reformed and you can be Pagan. I can be MAC-IAN and you
> can be MICROSOFT-IAN (oops, wrong religious debate... ;^)
>
> One of the biggest challenges we face, back to my point again, is how to
> have constructive dialog between people who operate from very different
> assumptions about the nature of reality.
>
> I think Jefferson had it right. Let people freely choose their religious
> affiliations, and keep any one religion from being the official
> religion. This requires a tolerance for freedom that sometimes bothers
> the more aggressively self-righteous sects but it keeps them from
> shooting at each other.
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>
>
> DJ wrote:
> > But you still didn't answer my question. Can you name even one? Yes,
there
> > are examples of Christianity being spread by conquest, but there are
also
> > many examples where it wasn't. In this country, people can practice any
> > religion they want. Is that true in countries that are Muslim
theocracies?
> > Can you name even one?
> >
> > Deej
> >
> > "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e47542@linux...
> >> How about Hinduism? Sikhism? Buddhism?
> >>
> >> People have converted to Islam right here in the USA. I don't think

> > we've been conquered by anyone lately (aside from the neo-con takeover
> > still in progress, maybe neo-cons are secretly Islamic? ;^)
> >
> > I will grant you that conquering and spreading religious doctrine have
> > gone hand in hand in the past, notably by various Islamic AND Christian
> > sects. You start mixing religion and government and that's what you
get.
> > Religion becomes a tool of empire.
> >
> > I'm with Jefferson on this one.
> >
> > Cheers,
> > -Jamie
> > <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
> >
> >
> > DJ wrote:
> >> Jamie,
> >>
> >> Can you name even one instance wherein a population became Muslim by
> > any
> >> means other than military conquest?
> >>
> >> DeeJ
> >>
> >> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
news:44e40472@linux...
> >>> It's all retaliatory. That's the beauty of the Hatfield/McCoy vicious
> >>> cycle.
> >>> We retaliated against Iraq for 9/11, even though Iraq was not
involved
> >>> in the attack.
> >>>
> >>> No problem, we created a new policy of preemptive retaliation!
> >>> Preemptive retaliation, think about that oxymoron. Very creative.
Lots
> >>> of pins dropped when we came up with that one.
> >>>
> >>> I dunno DeeJ. I think your forum was a good step. I suppose some of
> > what
> >>> you observed there illustrates the challenge we're talking about.
> >>>
> >>> Cheers,
> >>> -Jamie
> >>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> DJ wrote:

> >>>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do
> > about
> >>>>> them?
> >>>>> Interesting that you should ask. there was recently a forum held at
a
> >>> local
> >>>>> church here. there were Christians, Jews, Buddhists, Muslims and
> >>> American
> >>>>> Indians. Things were going nicely until a Jew asked the Muslims
> > whether
> >>>

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Kim W](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 14:09:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

they
> >>>>> would renounce the use of non-retaliatory violence against those of
> >>> other
> >>>>> religions. You could have heard a pin drop for about 10 seconds
before
> >>> the
> >>>>> Muslim gentleman started scooting around like a crawdad.....and
he
> >>> never
> >>>>> gave a straight answer.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
> > news:44e3f062@linux...
> >>>>>> Reality is measurable. The nature of reality is often debated. The
> >>>>>> challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing
> > for
> >>>>>> disagreement.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the
> > nightly
> >>>>>> news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to
Durango
> >>> to
> >>>>>> convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors
> > concluded
> >>>>>> weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on
'em!
> >>>>>>

> >>>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do
 > > about
 > >>>>> them?
 > >>>>> Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people
 > > with
 > >>>>> little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used
 > > and
 > >>>>> manipulated in the process.
 > >>>>>
 > >>>>> I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?
 > >>>>>
 > >>>>> Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet.
 They're
 > >>>>> after your wife. Vote for me and I'll \$\$olve the problem!
 > >>>>>
 > >>>>> Cheers,
 > >>>>> -Jamie
 > >>>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
 > >>>>>
 > >>>>>
 > >>>>> DJ wrote:
 > >>>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about
 > > the
 > >>>>>> nature of reality.
 > >>>>>> I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the
 > >> nightly
 > >>>> news
 > >>>>>> bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who
 is
 > >>>>>> justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think
 I'd
 > >>>> rather
 > >>>>>> be dead than be forced to be a mulsim.....and I know my wife
 > > would
 > >>>> rather
 > >>>>>> die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.
 > >>>>>>
 > >>>>>>
 > >>>>>>
 > >>>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
 > >> news:44e3ae02@linux...
 > >>>>>>> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive
 > >>>> dialog
 > >>>>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about
 > > the
 > >>>>>>> nature of reality.
 > >>>>>>>
 > >>>>>>> Great post, Sarah.

> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Cheers,
> >>>>>>> -Jamie
> >>>>>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Sarah wrote:
> >>>>>>> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I
> >>>>> learned
> >>>>>>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel
> >>>>> compelled
> >>>>>>> to
> >>>>>>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much
consistently
> >>>>> wrong
> >>>>>>> in
> >>>>>>>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still
> > trying
> >>>>> to
> >>>>>>> view
> >>>>>>>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to
> > call
> >>>>>>> "me."
> >>>>>>>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant.
> >>> Faith
> >>>>> is
> >>>>>>>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does
not
> >>>>> rest
> >>>>>>> on
> >>>>>>>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own
> >>> definition
> >>>>>>> if
> >>>>>>>> you need to, but that's from the dictiona

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 14:14:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ry, and makes sense to

> > me.
> >>>>> If
> >>>>>>> you
> >>>>>>>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I
> > believe
> >>> in

> >>>>>>> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
 > >>>>>>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
 > >>>>>>>
 > >>>>>>> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I
 > >>>> personally
 > >>>>>> do
 > >>>>>>> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I
 > > see
 > >>>> no
 > >>>>>>> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent
 > >>>> claims.
 > >>>>>> I
 > >>>>>>> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel
 > >>> truly
 > >>>>>>> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to
 describe
 > >>> this
 > >>>>>>> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very
 > >>> superficial
 > >>>>>> and
 > >>>>>>> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I
 think
 > >>> it's
 > >>>>>> silly
 > >>>>>>> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy
 in
 > >>> the
 > >>>>>> sky."
 > >>>>>>> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the
 > >>> opposite,
 > >>>> my
 > >>>>>>> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to
 ancient
 > >>>> dogma
 > >>>>>> that
 > >>>>>>> I have no reason to believe.
 > >>>>>>>
 > >>>>>>>> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer
 > >>> words:
 > >>>> I
 > >>>>>>>> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17
 (LONG
 > >>> time
 > >>>>>>> ago),
 > >>>>>>>> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to
 > >>> believe
 > >>>>>> that
 > >>>>>>>> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and

a

> >>>>>> smattering

> >>>>>>> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because

> > it

> >>>>>> claims

> >>>>>>> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient

> >>> human

> >>>>>> beings

> >>>>>>> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the

bible

> > is

> >>>>> the

> >>>>>>> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme

> >>> being.

> >>>>>>> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you

> > believe

> >>> in

> >>>>> a

> >>>>>> way

> >>>>>>> that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know

> > WHAT

> >>>>> you

> >>>>>>> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some

> > years.

> >>>>>> What I

> >>>>>>> don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope?

> >>> Because

> >>>>>> you

> >>>>>>> were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your

> >>>>> parents

> >>>>>>> believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because

you

> >>>>>>> happened

> >>>>>>> to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been

> > born

> >>>>> in

> >>>>>>> India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?

> >>>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>> Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a

supposedly

> >>>>>>>> omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does

> > this

> >>>>>>> make

> >>>>>>>> any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough

> > of

> >>>>> a

> >>>>>>> threat

> >>>>>>>> to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill

> >>> him?
> >>>>>>> You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to
> > share
> >>>> with
> >>>>>>> other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no
> > right
> >>> or
> >>>>>>> wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in
> > God,
> >>>>>> Heaven,
> >>>>>>> or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to
> > behave
> >>>>>>> accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based
> > effectually
> >>>> on
> >>>>>>> sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious
basis
> >>> is
> >>>>>>> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be
> >>>> restrained
> >>>>>> by
> >>>>>>> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert
> >>> Einstein
> >>>>>>> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because
> > the
> >>>>>> evil of
> >>>>>>> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned
about
> >>>> what
> >>>>>> has
> >>>>>>> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I
> >>>> believe
> >>>>>>> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried
about
> >>> the
> >>>>>>> potential consequences of t

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 15:31:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

his.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another
> > hour
> >>>>>>> that I
> >>>>>>>> could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned

by
> >>> now
> >>>>>> that
> >>>>>>> the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer
> > clings
> >>>>> to
> >>>>>>> them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but
again,
> >>>>>> beliefs
> >>>>>>> are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs
> > are
> >>>>> not
> >>>>>>> wrong simply because they contradict yours.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Sarah
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message
> >>> news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
> >>>>>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded
by
> >>>>> faith.
> >>>>>>>>> (Speaking from personal experience).
> >>>>>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to
call
> >>> God
> >>>>>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source
is
> >>>>>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all
views
> >>> are
> >>>>>>>>> human at the source.
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>> I disagree emphatically.
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
> >>>>>>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
> >>>>>>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!"
and
> >>> then
> >>>>>>> left
> >>>>>>>>> it

> >>>>>>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than
> >>>> competition.
> >>>>>>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
> >>>>>>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive,
bake
> &g

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 15:36:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;>>>>>>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care
if
> >>>>>>>>> they do? All is permitted.
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>> The
> >>>>>>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical
> > and
> >>>>>>>>> effective
> >>>>>>>>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
> >>>>>>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
> >>>>>>>>> rules".
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as
Sarah's
> >>>>>>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care.
I'm
> >>> fine
> >>>>>> with
> >>>>>>>>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be
> > fun
> >>> to
> >>>>>>> say
> >>>>>>>>>> "what if this . . . " or "what if that . . . " but let's be
> > honest
> >>>>>> here
> >>>>>>>>> --
> >>>>>>>>>> none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even
> >>> then.
> >>>>>>> And
> >>>>>>>>> I'm

> >>>>>>>>> sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me.
> >>>>> It's
> >>>>>> just
> >>>>>>>>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly
> >>>>> backward
> >>>>>>>>> human
> >>>>>>>>> societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been
> >>>>> inspired
> >>>>>> by
> >>>>>>>>> a
> >>>>>>>>> supreme being.
> >>>>>>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
> >>>>>>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
> >>>>>>>>> care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
> >>>>>>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
> >>>>>>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
> >>>>>>>>> themselves...
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
> >>>>>>>>> assumptions about existence.
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead
> >>> Iraqis
> >>>>>>>>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile.
> >>> What
> >>>>>>> do
> >>>>>>>>> you
> >>>>>>>>>> think .

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 15:41:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

. . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl
of
> >>>>> brown
> >>>>>>>>>> rice?
> >>>>>>>>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were
terrorists?
> >>>>> 100?
> >>>>>>>>>> 500?
> >>>>>>>>>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were

> > innocent
 > >>>> and
 > >>>>>>>>> their
 > >>>>>>>>> families.
 > >>>>>>>>>
 > >>>>>>>>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded
 > > or
 > >>>>> maimed.
 > >>>>>>>>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can
 > >>> think
 > >>>>> of
 > >>>>>>>>> some
 > >>>>>>>>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't,
 > > but
 > >>>> it's
 > >>>>> a
 > >>>>>>>>> nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange
 > >>>> jumpsuits
 > >>>>> and
 > >>>>>>>>> chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
 > >>>>>>>>>
 > >>>>>>>>> Sarah
 > >>>>>>>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are
 no
 > >>>>>>>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in
 > > all
 > >>>>>>>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from
 Dresden
 > >>>>>>>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules,
 > > you
 > >>>>>>>>> would have to.
 > >>>>>>>>>
 > >>>>>>>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring
 > >>> themselves
 > >>>> to
 > >>>>> do
 > >>>>>>>>> what they must to protect our way of life.
 > >>>>>>>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
 > >>>>>>>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
 > >>>>>>>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
 > >>>>>>>>>
 > >>>>>>>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for
 the
 > >>>>>>>>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
 > >>>>>>>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms,
 > > to
 > >>>> kill
 > >>>>>>>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not

> >>>>>>>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
> >>>>>>>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>> DC
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>
> >
> >We're a lot closer to becoming a Christian theocracy at the moment.

Cheers,
-Jamie
<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

DJ wrote:

> I doubt very seriously that this country is going to become an Islamic
> theocracy without just a little conflits here and there.
>
> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e490b7@linux...
>> Yes I did answer your question. Islam CAN spread without conquest, we
>> see it right here in the USA.
>>
>> So if your point is that it cannot spread with conquest, yes it can and
>> I gave an example.
>>
>> On the flip side, Christianity and other religions can and have spread
>> via conquest. So Islam is not alone in being spread by conquest and
>> being spread without conquest.
>>
>> You can argue degrees over history but you can't make a blanket
>> sta

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Kim W](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 15:45:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

tement that Islam (or Christianity) is only spread through conquest.
>>
>> And although it clearly has at times been spread via conquest, you can't
>> accurately claim that Islam is the only religion to ever be spread
>> through conquest. You have to look at the larger issue of conquest and
>> religions overall. Or conquest and enforced atheism, for that matter.
>>
>> I think the important difference today is that we have a special country
>> that guarantees freedom of religion. So you can be an atheist and I can

>> be Islamic. Or I can be Baptist and you can be Unitarian. I can be
>> Branch Davidian Reformed and you can be Pagan. I can be MAC-IAN and you
>> can be MICROSOFT-IAN (oops, wrong religious debate... ;^)
>>
>> One of the biggest challenges we face, back to my point again, is how to
>> have constructive dialog between people who operate from very different
>> assumptions about the nature of reality.
>>
>> I think Jefferson had it right. Let people freely choose their religious
>> affiliations, and keep any one religion from being the official
>> religion. This requires a tolerance for freedom that sometimes bothers
>> the more aggressively self-righteous sects but it keeps them from
>> shooting at each other.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>
>>
>> DJ wrote:
>>> But you still didn't answer my question. Can you name even one? Yes,
> there
>>> are examples of Christianity being spread by conquest, but there are
> also
>>> many examples where it wasn't. In this country, people can practice any
>>> religion they want. Is that true in countries that are Muslim
> theocracies?
>>> Can you name even one?
>>>
>>> Deej
>>>
>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e47542@linux...
>>>> How about Hinduism? Sikhism? Buddhism?
>>>>
>>>> People have converted to Islam right here in the USA. I don't think
>>>> we've been conquered by anyone lately (aside from the neo-con takeover
>>>> still in progress, maybe neo-cons are secretly Islamic? ;^)
>>>>
>>>> I will grant you that conquering and spreading religious doctrine have
>>>> gone hand in hand in the past, notably by various Islamic AND Christian
>>>> sects. You start mixing religion and government and that's what you
> get.
>>>> Religion becomes a tool of empire.
>>>>
>>>> I'm with Jefferson on this one.
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie

>>>> http://www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> DJ wrote:
>>>>> Jamie,
>>>>>
>>>>> Can you name even one instance wherein a population became Muslim by
>>> any
>>>>> means other than military conquest?
>>>>>
>>>>> DeeJ
>>>>>
>>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
> news:44e40472@linux...
>>>>>> It's all retaliatory. That's the beauty of the Hatfield/McCoy vicious
>>>>>> cycle.
>>>>>> We retaliated against Iraq for 9/11, even though Iraq was not
> involved
>>>>>> in the attack.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> No problem, we created a new policy of preemptive retaliation!
>>>>>> Preemptive retaliation, think about that oxymoron. Very creative.
> Lots
>>>>>> of pins dropped when we came up with that one.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I dunno DeeJ. I think your forum was a good step. I suppose some of
>>> what
>>>>>> you observed there illustrates the challenge we're talking about.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>> http://www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> DJ wrote:
>>>>>>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 15:56:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

etween people who operate from very different assumptions about
>>> the
>>>>>>>>> nature of reality.
>>>>>>>>> I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the
>>>>> nightly
>>>>>>> news

>>>>>>> bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who
> is
>>>>>>> justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think
> I'd
>>>>>> rather
>>>>>>> be dead than be forced to be a muslim.....and I know my wife
>>> would
>>>>>> rather
>>>>>>> die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:44e3ae02@linux...
>>>>>>>> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive
>>>>>> dialog
>>>>>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about
>>> the
>>>>>>>> nature of reality.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Great post, Sarah.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Sarah wrote:
>>>>>>>>> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I
>>>>>>> learned
>>>>>>>>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel
>>>>>>> compelled
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much
> consistently
>>>>>>> wrong
>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>>>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still
>>> trying
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>> view
>>>>>>>>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to
>>> call
>>>>>>>> "me."
>>>>>>>>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant.
>>>>> Faith
>>>>>>> is
>>>>>>>>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does

> not
>>>>>> rest
>>>>>>> on
>>>>>>>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own
>>>>> definition
>>>>>>> if
>>>>>>>> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to
>
>>> me.
>>>>>> If
>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I
>>> believe
>>>>> in
>>>>>>>> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
>>>>>>>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I
>>>>>> personally
>>>>>>> do
>>>>>>>>> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I
>>> see
>>>>>>> no
>>>>>>>>> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent
>>>>>>> claims.
>>>>>>>> I
>>>>>>>>> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel
>>>>> truly
>>>>>>>>> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to
> describe
>>>>> this
>>>>>>>>> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very
>>>>> superficial
>>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>>>> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I
> think
>>>>> it's
>>>>>>>> silly
>>>>>>>>>> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy
> in
>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>> sky."
>>>>>>>>>> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the
>>>>> opposite,
>>>>>>>> my
>>>>>>>>>> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to
> ancient
>>>>>>>> dogma

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 16:05:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;>>> that I
>>>>>>>>> could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned
> by
>>>>> now
>>>>>>>> that
>>>>>>>>> the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer
>>> clings
>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>>> them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but
> again,
>>>>>>>> beliefs
>>>>>>>>> are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs
>>> are
>>>>>> not
>>>>>>>>> wrong simply because they contradict yours.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Sarah
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
>>>>>>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded
> by
>>>>>>> faith.
>>>>>>>>>> (Speaking from personal experience).
>>>>>>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to
> call
>>>>> God
>>>>>>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source
> is
>>>>>>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all
> views
>>>>> are
>>>>>>>>>> human at the source.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> I disagree emphatically.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
>>>>>>>>>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
>>>>>>>>>>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!"
> and
>>>>> then
>>>>>>>> left
>>>>>>>>>> it
>>>>>>>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than
>>>>>>> competition.
>>>>>>>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
>>>>>>>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive,
> bake
>>>>>>>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care
> if
>>>>>>>>>> they do? All is permitted.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> The
>>>>>>>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical
>>> and
>>>>>>>>>> effective
>>>>>>>>>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
>>>>>>>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
>>>>>>>>>> rules".
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as
> Sarah's
>>>>>>>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care.
> I'm
>>>>> fine
>>>>>>>> with
>>>>>>>>>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be
>>> fun
>>>>> to
>>>>>>>> say
>>>>>>>>>>>> "what if this . . ." or "what if that . . ." but let's be
>>> honest
>>>>>>>> here
>>>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>>>>>> none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even
>>>>> then.
>>>>>>>>>> And
>>>>>>>>>>>> I'm
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> sorry, but "because the bibl

>>>>>>> that
>>>>>>> I have no reason to believe.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer
>>>> words:
>>>>>> I
>>>>>>> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17
> (LONG
>>>> time
>>>>>>> ago),
>>>>>>>> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to
>>>> believe
>>>>>>> that
>>>>>>>> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and
> a
>>>>>>> smattering
>>>>>>>> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because
>>> it
>>>>>>> claims
>>>>>>>> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient
>>>> human
>>>>>>> beings
>>>>>>>> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the
> bible
>>> is
>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme
>>>> being.
>>>>>>>> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you
>>> believe
>>>> in
>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> way
>>>>>>>> that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know
>>> WHAT
>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some
>>> years.
>>>>>>> What I
>>>>>>>> don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope?
>>>> Because
>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>> were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your
>>>>>> parents

>>>>>>>>> believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because
> you
>>>>>>>>> happened
>>>>>>>>> to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been
>>> born
>>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>>>> India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a
> supposedly
>>>>>>>>> omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does
>>> this
>>>>>>>>> make
>>>>>>>>> any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough
>>> of
>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>> threat
>>>>>>>>> to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill
>>>>> him?
>>>>>>>>> You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to
>>> share
>>>>>>>>> with
>>>>>>>>> other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no
>>> right
>>>>> or
>>>>>>>>> wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in
>>> God,
>>>>>>>>> Heaven,
>>>>>>>>> or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to
>>> behave
>>>>>>>>> accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based
>>> effectually
>>>>>>>>> on
>>>>>>>>> sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious
> basis
>>>>> is
>>>>>>>>> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be
>>>>>>>>> restrained
>>>>>>>>> by
>>>>>>>>> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert
>>>>> Einstein
>>>>>>>>> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because
>>> the
>>>>>>>>> evil of
>>>>>>>>> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned
> about
>>>>>>>>> what
>>>>>>>>> has

>>>>>>>>> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I
>>>>>>> believe
>>>>>>>>> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried
> about
>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>> potential consequences of this.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another
>>> hour
>>>>>&g

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Kim W](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 16:15:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

e tells me so" doesn't work for
> me.
>>>>>>> It's
>>>>>>>> just
>>>>>>>>>>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly
>>>>>>> backward
>>>>>>>>>>> human
>>>>>>>>>>>>> societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been
>>>>>>> inspired
>>>>>>>> by
>>>>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>>>>>> supreme being.
>>>>>>>>>>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
>>>>>>>>>>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does
> not
>>>>>>>>>>>>> care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded
> in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection
> are
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> themselves...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> assumptions about existence.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead
>>>>> Iraqis
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile.
>>>>> What
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> do
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> you

>>>>>>>>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl
> of
>>>>>> brown
>>>>>>>>> rice?
>>>>>>>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were
> terrorists?
>>>>>> 100?
>>>>>>>>> 500?
>>>>>>>>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were
>>> innocent
>>>>>> and
>>>>>>>>> their
>>>>>>>>> families.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded
>>> or
>>>>>>>> maimed.
>>>>>>>>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can
>>>> think
>>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>>>> some
>>>>>>>>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't,
>>> but
>>>>>> it's
>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>> nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange
>>>>>> jumpsuits
>>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>>>> chains . . . ni-i-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Sarah
>>>>>>>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are
> no
>>>>>>>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in
>>> all
>>>>>>>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from
> Dresden
>>>>>>>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules,
>>> you
>>>>>>>>> would have to.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring
>>>> themselves
>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>> do
>>>>>>>>> what they must to protect our way of life.
>>>>>>>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
>>>>>>>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those

>>>>>>>>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for
> the
>>>>>>>>>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
>>>>>>>>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms,
>>> to
>>>>>> kill
>>>>>>>>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
>>>>>>>>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
>>>>>>>>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> DC
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>
>
>Are Christians doing this to former Christians who decide to convert to
Islam?

<http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=82> 16

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e490b1@linux...
>
> I am not a fan of dictatorships, theocracies or royalty. So you don't
> have to waste any time convincing me on that point. But I'll check out
> your link.
>
> I am also not a fan of sca

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 16:26:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

pegoating and generalizing to justify war.
>
> I am a fan of freedom, including freedom of religion. Aggressive
> self-righteousness by any group is problematic. Too bad it works so well
> for empire building. It's certainly something to be aware of in other
> countries and especially in our own.
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>

> DJ wrote:
> > Hi Jamie,
> >
> > Here's some food for though vis-a-vis the Islamic fundamentalist
situation
> > (as if thgere wasn't enough already).
> >
> > <http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/wfchannel/index.php?pagenum=1>
> >
> > The links relative to women and human rights are pretty grotesque. Yeah,
I
> > realize that there is the Guantanamo thing to counter with (though I
don't
> > buy it personally) and the "well, look at our inhumane laws regarding
drug
> > offenses and racism" argument ("some" of which I definitely do buy) but
man,
> > the Islamic Utopia is in a whole 'nuther league.
> >
> > "Sure m'am, we've got chardours. What shade of black would you prefer?
> > Here's something nice to match the color of the revolutionary jackboot."
> >
> > Regards,
> >
> > DeeJ
> >
> > "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e40472@linux...
> >> It's all retaliatory. That's the beauty of the Hatfield/McCoy vicious
> >> cycle.
> >> We retaliated against Iraq for 9/11, even though Iraq was not involved
> >> in the attack.
> >>
> >> No problem, we created a new policy of preemptive retaliation!
> >> Preemptive retaliation, think about that oxymoron. Very creative. Lots
> >> of pins dropped when we came up with that one.
> >>
> >> I dunno DeeJ. I think your forum was a good step. I suppose some of
what
> >> you observed there illustrates the challenge we're talking about.
> >>
> >> Cheers,
> >> -Jamie
> >> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
> >>
> >>
> >> DJ wrote:
> >>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do
about

> >>> them?

> >>> Interesting that you should ask. there was recently a forum held at a
> > local
> >>> church here. there were Christians, Jews, Buddhists, Muslims and
> > American
> >>> Indians. Things were going nicely until a Jew asked the Muslims
whether
> > they
> >>> would renounce the use of non-retaliatory violence against those of
> > other
> >>> religions. You could have heard a pin drop for about 10 seconds before
> > the
> >>> Muslim gentleman started scooting around like a crawdad.....and he
> > never
> >>> gave a straight answer.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
news:44e3f062@linux...
> >>>> Reality is measurable. The nature of reality is often debated. The
> >>>> challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing
for
> >>>> disagreement.
> >>>>
> >>>> Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the
nightly
> >>>> news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.
> >>>>
> >>>> Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to Durango
> > to
> >>>> convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors
concluded
> >>>> weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on 'em!
> >>>>
> >>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do
about
> >>> them?
> >>>> Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people
with
> >>>> little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used
and
> >>>> manipulated in the process.
> >>>>
> >>>> I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?
> >>>>
> >>>> Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet. They're
> >>>> after your wife. Vote for me and I'll \$\$olve the problem!
> >>>>

> >>>> Cheers,
> >>>> -Jamie
> >>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> DJ wrote:
> >>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about
the
> >>>>> nature of reality.
> >>>>> I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the
> > nightly
> >>> news
> >>>> bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who is
> >>>> justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think I'd
> >>> rather
> >>>>> be dead than be forced to be a muslim.....and I know my wife
would
> >>> rather
> >>>>> die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
> > news:44e3ae02@linux...
> >>>>>> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive
> >>> dialog
> >>>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about
the
> >>>>>> nature of reality.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Great post, Sarah.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Cheers,
> >>>>>> -Jamie
> >>>>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Sarah wrote:
> >>>>>>> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I
> >>> learned
> >>>>>>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel
> >>> compelled
> >>>>> to
> >>>>>>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently
> >>> wrong
> >>>>> in
> >>>>>>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still
trying

> >>> to
> >>>> view
> >>>>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to
call
> >>>> "me."
> >>>>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant.
> > Faith
> >> is
> >>>>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not
> >>>

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 16:27:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

rest
> >>>> on
> >>>>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own
> > definition
> >>>> if
> >>>>> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to
me.
> >>> If
> >>>> you
> >>>>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I
believe
> > in
> >>>>> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
> >>>>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I
> >>> personally
> >>>> do
> >>>>> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I
see
> >>> no
> >>>>> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent
> >>> claims.
> >>>> I
> >>>>> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel
> > truly
> >>>>> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe
> > this
> >>>>> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very
> > superficial
> >>>> and
> >>>>> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think

> > it's
 > >>>> silly
 > >>>>> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in
 > > the
 > >>>> sky."
 > >>>>> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the
 > > opposite,
 > >> my
 > >>>>> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient
 > >> dogma
 > >>>> that
 > >>>>> I have no reason to believe.
 > >>>>>
 > >>>>> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer
 > > words:
 > >> I
 > >>>>> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG
 > > time
 > >>>> ago),
 > >>>>> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to
 > > believe
 > >>>> that
 > >>>>> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a
 > >>>> smattering
 > >>>>> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because
 it
 > >>>> claims
 > >>>>> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient
 > > human
 > >>>> beings
 > >>>>> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible
 is
 > >>> the
 > >>>>> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme
 > > being.
 > >>>>> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you
 believe
 > > in
 > >>> a
 > >>>> way
 > >>>>> that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know
 WHAT
 > >>> you
 > >>>>> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some
 years.
 > >>>> What I
 > >>>>> don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope?
 > > Because

> >>>> you
 > >>>>> were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your
 > >>> parents
 > >>>>> believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you
 > >>>> happened
 > >>>>> to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been
 born
 > >>> in
 > >>>>> India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
 > >>>>>
 > >>>>> Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
 > >>>>> omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does
 this
 > >>>> make
 > >>>>> any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough
 of
 > > a
 > >>>> threat
 > >>>>> to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill
 > > him?
 > >>>>> You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to
 share
 > >>> with
 > >>>>> other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no
 right
 > > or
 > >>>>> wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in
 God,
 > >>>> Heaven,
 > >>>>> or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to
 behave
 > >>>>> accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based
 effectually
 > >>> on
 > >>>>> sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis
 > > is
 > >>>>> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be
 > >>> restrained
 > >>>> by
 > >>>>> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert
 > > Einstein
 > >>>>> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because
 the
 > >>>> evil of
 > >>>>> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about
 > >>> what
 > >>>> has
 > >>>>> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I

> >>> believe
> >>>>>> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about
> > the
> >>>>>> potential consequences of this.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another
hour
> >>>> that I
> >>>>>> could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by
> > now
> >>>> that
> >>>>>> the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer
clings
> >>> to
> >>>>>> them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again,
> >>>> beliefs
> >>>>>> are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs
are
> >>> not
> >>>>>> wrong simply because they contradict yours.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Sarah
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message
> > news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
> >>>>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by
> >>> faith.
> >>>>>>>> (Speaking from personal experience).
> >>>>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call
> > God
> >>>>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
> >>>>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views
> > are
> >>>>>>>> human at the source.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> I disagree emphatically.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
> >>>>>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
> >>>>>>>> changes the whole wo

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 17:42:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

A's forever. Gotta win the lotto first.

Anyway, I haven't had my ears on them, but I've heard nothing but good stuff about the ADAM monitors.

Tony

"Pete Ruthenburg" <ruthenburg@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message news:44e1e169\$1@linux...

>

> When I was at Michael Wagener's place in Nashville we were
> working on these and they seemed pretty cool. Down at the new
> studio we mainly use NS-10s so I've been trying to get used to
> those. Always had those Adams in the back of my mind.

> Anybody around here have experience with these and watta ya
> think? Yeah I know, they ain't cheap.

>

> TIA,

> Pete There are untold of examples of man's inhumanity to man via religious persecution. The enforcement of empire and power has long been tied to religion.

The Egyptians ruled for thousands of years based on a claim to deity, and they were brutal to Christian slaves!

The KKK thought they were protecting Christianity by hanging black people!

China persecutes members of Falun Gong!

We could come up with examples all day.

Are you trying to say that all religious persecution should be stopped or are you trying to say Islamic religious persecution should be stopped?

Did you somehow think I was advocating putting people to death for their beliefs? I'm having a hard time figuring out your point, exactly. What are you getting at?

One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive dialog between people who operate from very different assumptions about the nature of reality. That's my point in this thread. Are we living it here? :^)

I don't think our assumptions about the nature of reality are that far apart but we seem to have a difference in our view of religion and public policy. Specifically (correct me if I'm wrong), you seem to be

very afraid of Muslims, any Muslims, wherever you find them. Whereas it looks to me that yet another group is being scapegoated, all members tarred because of the actions of a few, possibly to detract from real failures in our own foreign policy.

Cheers,

-Jamie

<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

DJ wrote:

> Are Christians doing this to former Christians who decide to convert to
> Islam?

>

> <http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=8216>

>

>

> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e490b1@linux...

>> I am not a fan of dictatorships, theocracies or royalty. So you don't

>> have to waste any time convincing me on that point. But I'll check out

>> your link.

>>

>> I am also not a fan of scapegoating and generalizing to justify war.

>>

>> I am a fan of freedom, including freedom of religion. Aggressive

>> self-righteousness by any group is problematic. Too bad it works so well

>> for empire building. It's certainly something to be aware of in other

>> countries and especially in our own.

>>

>> Cheers,

>> -Jamie

>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

>>

>> DJ wrote:

>>> Hi Jamie,

>>>

>>> Here's some food for thought vis-a-vis the Islamic fundamentalist

> situation

>>> (as if there wasn't enough already).

>>>

>>> <http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/wfchannel/index.php?pagenum=1>

>>>

>>> The links relative to women and human rights are pretty grotesque. Yeah,

> I

>>> realize that there is the Guantanamo thing to counter with (though I

> don't

>>> buy it personally) and the "well, look at our inhumane laws regarding

> drug

>>> offenses and racism" argument ("some" of which I definitely do buy) but
> man,
>>> the Islamic Utopia is in a whole 'nuther league.
>>>
>>> "Sure m'am, we've got chardours. What shade of black would you prefer?
>>> Here's something nice to match the color of the revolutionary jackboot."
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>>
>>> Deej
>>>
>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e40472@linux...
>>>> It's all retaliatory. That's the beauty of the Hatfield/McCoy vicious
>>> cycle.
>>>> We retaliated against Iraq for 9/11, even though Iraq was not involved
>>>> in the attack.
>>>>
>>>> No problem, we created a new policy of preemptive retaliation!
>>>> Preemptive retaliation, think about that oxymoron. Very creative. Lots
>>>> of pins dropped when we came up with that one.
>>>>
>>>> I dunno Deej. I think your forum was a good step. I suppose some of
> what
>>

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [no](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 19:02:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ists, Muslims and
>>> American
>>>> Indians. Things were going nicely until a Jew asked the Muslims
> whether
>>> they
>>>> would renounce the use of non-retaliatory violence against those of
>>> other
>>>> religions. You could have heard a pin drop for about 10 seconds before
>>> the
>>>> Muslim gentleman started scooting around like a crawdad.....and he
>>> never
>>>> gave a straight answer.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
> news:44e3f062@linux...
>>>>>> Reality is measurable. The nature of reality is often debated. The
>>>>>> challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing

> for
>>>>> disagreement.
>>>>>
>>>>> Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the
> nightly
>>>>> news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.
>>>>>
>>>>> Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to Durango
>>> to
>>>>> convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors
> concluded
>>>>> weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on 'em!
>>>>>
>>>>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do
> about
>>>>> them?
>>>>> Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people
> with
>>>>> little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used
> and
>>>>> manipulated in the process.
>>>>>
>>>>> I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?
>>>>>
>>>>> Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet. They're
>>>>> after your wife. Vote for me and I'll \$\$olve the problem!
>>>>>
>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> DJ wrote:
>>>>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about
> the
>>>>>>> nature of reality.
>>>>>>> I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the
>>> nightly
>>>>> news
>>>>>>> bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who is
>>>>>>> justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think I'd
>>>>> rather
>>>>>>> be dead than be forced to be a mulsim.....and I know my wife
> would
>>>>> rather
>>>>>>> die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>

>>>>>>
 >>>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
 >>> news:44e3ae02@linux...
 >>>>>> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive
 >>>> dialog
 >>>>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about
 > the
 >>>>>> nature of reality.
 >>>>>>
 >>>>>> Great post, Sarah.
 >>>>>>
 >>>>>> Cheers,
 >>>>>> -Jamie
 >>>>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
 >>>>>>
 >>>>>>
 >>>>>> Sarah wrote:
 >>>>>>> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I
 >>>> learned
 >>>>>>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel
 >>>> compelled
 >>>>>> to
 >>>>>>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently
 >>>> wrong
 >>>>>> in
 >>>>>>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still
 > trying
 >>>> to
 >>>>>> view
 >>>>>>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to
 > call
 >>>>>> "me."
 >>>>>>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant.
 >>> Faith
 >>>> is
 >>>>>>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not
 >>>> rest
 >>>>>> on
 >>>>>>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own
 >>> definition
 >>>>>> if
 >>>>>>> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to
 > me.
 >>>> If
 >>>>>> you
 >>>>>>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I
 > believe
 >>> in

>>>>>>> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
>>>>>>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I
>>>> personally
>>>>>> do
>>>>>>> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I
> see
>>>> no
>>>>>>> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent
>>>> claims.
>>>>>> I
>>>>>>> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel
>>> truly
>>>>>>> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe
>>> this
>>>>>>> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very
>>> superficial
>>>>>> and
>>>>>>> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think
>>> it's
>>>>>>> silly
>>>>>>> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in
>>> the
>>>>>>> sky."
>>>>>>> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the
>>> opposite,
>>>>> my
>>>>>>> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient
>>>> dogma
>>>>>> that
>>>>>>> I have no reason to believe.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer
>>> words:
>>>>> I
>>>>>>> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG
>>> time
>>>>>>> ago),
>>>>>>> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to
>>> believe
>>>>>> that
>>>>>>> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a
>>>>>>> smattering
>>>>>>> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because
> it
>>>>>>> claims
>>>>>>> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient

>>> human
 >>>>>> beings
 >>>>>>> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible
 > is
 >>>>> the
 >>>>>>> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme
 >>> being.
 >>>>>>> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you
 > believe
 >>> in
 >>>>> a
 >>>>>>> way
 >>>>>>> that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know
 > WHAT
 >>>>> you
 >>>>>>> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some
 > years.
 >>>>>>> What I
 >>>>>>> don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope?
 >>> Because
 >>>>>>> you
 >>>>>>>> were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your
 >>>>> parents
 >>>>>>>> believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you
 >>>>>>> happened
 >>>>>>>> to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been
 > born
 >>>>>>> in
 >>>>>>>> India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
 >>>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>> Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
 >>>>>>>>> omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does
 > this
 >>>>>>>>> make
 >>>>>>>>>> any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough
 > of
 >>>> a
 >>>>>>>>> threat
 >>>>>>>>>> to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill
 >>> him?
 >>>>>>>>>> You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to
 > share
 >>>>>>>>> with
 >>>>>>>>>>> other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no
 > right
 >>>> or
 >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in
 > God,

>>>>>> Heaven,
 >>>>>>> or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to
 > behave
 >>>>>>> accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based
 > effectually
 >>>>> on
 >>>>>>> sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis
 >>> is
 >>>>>>> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be
 >>>>> restrained
 >>>>>> by
 >>>>>>> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert
 >>> Einstein
 >>>>>>> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because
 > the
 >>>>>>> evil of
 >>>>>>> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about
 >>>>> what
 >>>>>>> has
 >>>>>>> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I
 >>>>> believe
 >>>>>>> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about
 >>> the
 >>>>>>> potential consequences of this.
 >>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another
 > hour
 >>>>>>> that I
 >>>>>>>> could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by
 >>> now
 >>>>>>> that
 >>>>>>>> the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer
 > clings
 >>>>> to
 >>>>>>>> them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again,
 >>>>>>> beliefs
 >>>>>>>> are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs
 > are
 >>>>> not
 >>>>>>>> wrong simply because they contradict yours.
 >>>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
 >>>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>> Sarah
 >>>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message
 >>> news:44e23a46\$1@linux...

>>>>>>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by
>>>>> faith.
>>>>>>>>> (Speaking from personal experience).
>>>>>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call
>>> God
>>>>>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
>>>>>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views
>>> are
>>>>>>>>> human at the source.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> I disagree emphatically.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
>>>>>>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
>>>>>>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and
>>> then
>>>>>>> left
>>>>>>>>> it
>>>>>>>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than
>>>>> competition.
>>>>>>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
>>>>>>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
>>>>>>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
>>>>>>>>> they do? All is permitted.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> The
>>>>>>>>>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical
> and
>>>>>>>>>>>> effective
>>>>>>>>>>>>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
>>>>>>>>>>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
>>>>>>>>>>>>> rules".
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
>>>>>>>>>>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm
>>> fine
>>>>>>>>>>> with
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be

> fun
>>> to
>>>>>> say
>>>>>>>> "what if this . . . " or "what if that . . . " but let's be
> honest
>>>>>> here
>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>> none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even
>>> then.
>>>>>> And
>>>>>>>> I'm
>>>>>>>> sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me.
>>>> It's
>>>>>> just
>>>>>>>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly
>>>> backward
>>>>>>>> human
>>>>>>>> societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been
>>>> inspired
>>>>>> by
>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>> supreme being.
>>>>>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
>>>>>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
>>>>>>>> care if we have a way to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
>>>>>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
>>>>>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
>>>>>>>> themselves...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
>>>>>>>> assumptions about existence.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead
>>> Iraqis
>>>>>>>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile.
>>> What
>>>>>> do
>>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of
>>>> brown
>>>>>>>>> rice?
>>>>>>>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists?
>>>> 100?
>>>>>>>>> 500?
>>>>>>>>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were
> innocent
>>>> and

>>>>>>>>> their
>>>>>>>>> families.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded
> or
>>>>>>> maimed.
>>>>>>>>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can
>>> think
>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>>>> some
>>>>>>>>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't,
> but
>>>>> it's
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>> nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange
>>>>> jumpsuits
>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>>>> chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Sarah
>>>>>>>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
>>>>>>>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in
> all
>>>>>>>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
>>>>>>>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules,
> you
>>>>>>>>> would have to.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring
>>> themselves
>>>>> to
>>>>>>> do
>>>>>>>>> what they must to protect our way of life.
>>>>>>>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
>>>>>>>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
>>>>>>>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
>>>>>>>>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
>>>>>>>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms,
> to
>>>>> kill
>>>>>>>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
>>>>>>>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
>>>>>>>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> DC
>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>

>>>

>

>I think there might be some civilians in Gaza who would be interested in the answer to the other side of that question.

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about >them?

>>

>Interesting that you should ask. there was recently a forum held at a local >church here. there were Christians, Jews, Buddhists, Muslims and American >Indians. Things were going nicely until a Jew asked the Muslims whether they

>would renounce the use of non-retaliatory violence against those of other >religions. You could have heard a pin drop for about 10 seconds before the >Muslim gentleman started scooting around like a crawdad.....and he never >gave a straight answer.

>

>

>"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e3f062@linux...

>>

>> Reality is measurable. The nature of reality is often debated. The >> challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing for >> disagreement.

>>

>> Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the nightly >> news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.

>>

>> Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to Durango to

>> convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors concluded >> weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on 'em!

>>

>> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about >them?

>>

>> Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people with >> little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used and >> manipulated in the process.

>>

>> I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?

>>

>> Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet. They're >> after your wife. Vote for me and I'll \$\$olve the problem!

>>

>> Cheers,

>> -Jamie
>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>
>>
>> DJ wrote:
>> >> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
>> >> nature of reality.
>> >
>> > I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the nightly
>news
>> > bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who is
>> > justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think I'd
>rather
>> > be dead than be forced to be a muslim.....and I know my wife would
>rather
>> > die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e3ae02@linux...
>> >> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive
>dialog
>> >> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
>> >> nature of reality.
>> >>
>> >> Great post, Sarah.
>> >>
>> >> Cheers,
>> >> -Jamie
>> >> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Sarah wrote:
>> >>> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I
>learned
>> >>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel
>compelled
>> > to
>> >>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently
>wrong
>> > in
>> >>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying
>to
>> > view
>> >>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call
>> > "me."
>> >>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant. Faith
>is

>> >>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not
>rest
>> > on
>> >>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own definition
>> > if
>> >>> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me.
>If
>> > you
>> >>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe
in
>> >>> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
>> >>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
>> >>>
>> >>> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I
>personally
>> > do
>> >>> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see
>no
>> >>> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent
>claims.
>> > I
>> >>> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel truly
>> >>> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe
this
>> >>> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very superficial
>> > and
>> >>> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think
it's
>> > silly
>> >>> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in
the
>> > sky."
>> >>> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the opposite,
>my
>> >>> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient
>dogma
>> > that
>> >>> I have no reason to believe.
>> >>>
>> >>> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer words:
>I
>> >>> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG
time
>> > ago),
>> >>> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to believe
>> > that
>> >>> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a
>> > smattering

>> >>> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it
>> > claims
>> >>> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient human
>> > beings
>> >>> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible
is
>the
>> >>> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme being.
>> >>>
>> >>> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe
in
>a
>> > way
>> >>> that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT
>you
>> >>> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years.
>> > What I
>> >>> don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope? Because
>> > you
>> >>> were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your
>parents
>> >>> believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you
>> > happened
>> >>> to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born
>in
>> >>> India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
>> >>>
>> >>> Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
>> >>> omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this
>> > make
>> >>> any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough of
a
>> > threat
>> >>> to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill him?
>> >>>
>> >>> You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share
>with
>> >>> other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right
or
>> >>> wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God,
>> > Heaven,
>> >>> or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
>> >>> accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually
>on
>> >>> sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis
is
>> >>> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be
>restrained

>> > by
>> >>> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert Einstein
>> >>>
>> >>> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the
>> > evil of
>> >>> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about
>what
>> > has
>> >>> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I
>believe
>> >>> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about
the
>> >>> potential consequences of this.
>> >>>
>> >>> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour
>> > that I
>> >>> could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by
now
>> > that
>> >>> the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings
>to
>> >>> them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again,
>> > beliefs
>> >>> are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are
>not
>> >>> wrong simply because they contradict yours.
>> >>>
>> >>> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
>> >>>
>> >>> Sarah
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>> "DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
>> >>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>> >>>>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by
>faith.
>> >>>>> (Speaking from personal experience).
>> >>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call
God
>> >>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
>> >>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views
are
>> >>>>> human at the source.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> I disagree emphatically.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
>> >>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,

>> >>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?

>> >>>>

>> >>>>

>> >>>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and then

>> > left

>> >>>> it

>> >>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than >competition.

>> >>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion

>> >>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake

>> >>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if

>> >>>> they do? All is permitted.

>> >>>>

>> >>>> This is not God's plan for us.

>> >>>>

>> >>>>

>> >>>>> The

>> >>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and

>> >>>>> effective

>> >>>>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.

>> >>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the

>> >>>> rules".

>> >>>>

>> >>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's

>> >>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?

>> >>>>

>> >>>>>

>> >>>>>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm fine

>> > with

>> >>>>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun to

>> > say

>> >>>>> "what if this . . . " or "what if that . . . " but let's be honest

>> > here

>> >>>> --

>> >>>>> none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even then.

>> > And

>> >>>> I'm

>> >>>>> sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me.

>It's

>> > just

>> >>>>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly >backward

>> >>>>> human

>> >>>>> societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been >inspired

>> > by
>> >>>> a
>> >>>>> supreme being.
>> >>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
>> >>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
>> >>>> care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
>> >>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
>> >>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
>> >>>> themselves...
>> >>>>
>> >>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
>> >>>> assumptions about existence.
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis
>> >>>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile.
What
>> > do
>> >>>> you
>> >>>>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of
>brown
>> >>>>> rice?
>> >>>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists?
>100?
>> >>>> 500?
>> >>>>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent
>and
>> >>>>> their
>> >>>>> families.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or
>> > maimed.
>> >>>>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can think
>> > of
>> >>>> some
>> >>>>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but
>it's
>> > a
>> >>>>> nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange
>jumpsuits
>> > and
>> >>>>> chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> Sarah
>> >>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
>> >>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all
>> >>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
>> >>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you

>
>
> DB
>
>

>I have known Ralph Novax for years. Nice guy, great guitars.

It has nothing to do with compensated nuts. A Novax guitar can still have the exact same problem if the nut is not setup to match the needs of the strings the player uses and their particular instrument.

DC

"D-unit" <c0f@intrex.net> wrote:

>
>"DC" <dc@spammersinchulavista.org> wrote in message
><SNIP>

>
>
><http://www.novaxguitars.com/>

>
>
>DB
>
>

>For most of its life Islam was a comparatively tolerant religion. In the Persian muslim and Ottoman empires local populations were not forced to convert and could practice whatever religion they wished. Often they were subject to additional taxes or travel restrictions or restrictive property rights, but they certainly weren't killed. Most converted.

But the comparison of Islam to Christianity on the conquest front is grossly unfair. Had Mohammed NOT been an effective soldier and military leader we would not know of him. He was born at a time when no religion had a chance if it couldn't defend and, yes, conquer. Jesus (the historical one I recognize, not the divine one you believe in) was born into occupied Palestine and had he had a shred of militant resistance about him his movement would have been subjected to the violence of the sword the Romans so casually used on non-Romans. It was precisely because early Christians had no political/military ambitions that they were allowed to work their way into Roman society. Had they expressed any ambitions beyond personal salvation they'd have been squashed like bugs. All of which is to say that there are historical reasons why one religion might tend toward militarism more than the other.

And then the question becomes, is western 'secular militarism' better than middle eastern 'religious militarism?' Think of that from the perspective of a) a middle class American christian, b) a Guatemalan union organizer, c) and Egyptian medical doctor, d) a Turkish guest worker living in Frankfurt,

and e) a Thai buddhist motorcycle messenger.

TCB

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>Jamie,

>

>Can you name even one instance wherein a population became Muslim by any
>means other than military conquest?

>

>Deej

>

>"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e40472@linux...

>>

>> It's all retaliatory. That's the beauty of the Hatfield/McCoy vicious
>cycle.

>>

>> We retaliated against Iraq for 9/11, even though Iraq was not involved
>> in the attack.

>>

>> No problem, we created a new policy of preemptive retaliation!

>> Preemptive retaliation, think about that oxymoron. Very creative. Lots
>> of pins dropped when we came up with that one.

>>

>> I dunno Deej. I think your forum was a good step. I suppose some of what
>> you observed there illustrates the challenge we're talking about.

>>

>> Cheers,

>> -Jamie

>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

>>

>>

>> DJ wrote:

>> >> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about
>> > them?

>> > Interesting that you should ask. there was recently a forum held at
>> a

>> local

>> > church here. there were Christians, Jews, Buddhists, Muslims and
>> American

>> > Indians. Things were going nicely until a Jew asked the Muslims whether
>> > they

>> > would renounce the use of non-retaliatory violence against those of
>> > other

>> > religions. You could have heard a pin drop for about 10 seconds before
>> > the

>> > Muslim gentleman started scooting around like a crawdad.....and he
>> > never

>> > gave a straight answer.
>> >
>> >
>> > "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e3f062@linux...
>> >> Reality is measurable. The nature of reality is often debated. The
>> >> challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing
for
>> >> disagreement.
>> >>
>> >> Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the nightly
>> >> news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.
>> >>
>> >> Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to Durango
>to
>> >> convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors concluded
>> >> weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on 'em!
>> >>
>> >> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about
>> > them?
>> >> Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people with
>> >> little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used
and
>> >> manipulated in the process.
>> >>
>> >> I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?
>> >>
>> >> Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet. They're
>> >> after your wife. Vote for me and I'll \$\$olve the problem!
>> >>
>> >> Cheers,
>> >> -Jamie
>> >> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> DJ wrote:
>> >>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about
the
>> >>>> nature of reality.
>> >>>> I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the
>nightly
>> > news
>> >>>> bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who
is
>> >>>> justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think I'd
>> > rather
>> >>>> be dead than be forced to be a mulsim.....and I know my wife would
>> > rather
>> >>>> die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.

>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
>news:44e3ae02@linux...
>> >>>> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive
>> > dialog
>> >>>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about
the
>> >>>> nature of reality.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Great post, Sarah.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Cheers,
>> >>>> -Jamie
>> >>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Sarah wrote:
>> >>>>> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I
>> > learned
>> >>>>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel
>> > compelled
>> >>> to
>> >>>>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently
>> > wrong
>> >>> in
>> >>>>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying
>> > to
>> >>> view
>> >>>>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call
>> >>> "me."
>> >>>>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant.
>Faith
>> > is
>> >>>>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not
>> > rest
>> >>> on
>> >>>>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own
>definition
>> >>> if
>> >>>>> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to
me.
>> > If
>> >>> you
>> >>>>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe
>in
>> >>>>> gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,

>> >>>> demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I
>> > personally
>> >>> do
>> >>>> not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I
see
>> > no
>> >>>> evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent
>> > claims.
>> >>> I
>> >>>> do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel
>truly
>> >>>> connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe
>this
>> >>>> "supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very
>superficial
>> >>> and
>> >>>> frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think
>it's
>> >>> silly
>> >>>> to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy
in
>the
>> >>> sky."
>> >>>> I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the
>opposite,
>> > my
>> >>>> mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient
>> > dogma
>> >>> that
>> >>>> I have no reason to believe.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer
>words:
>> > I
>> >>>> have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG
>time
>> >>> ago),
>> >>>> and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to
>believe
>> >>> that
>> >>>> the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and
a
>> >>> smattering
>> >>>> of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because
it
>> >>> claims

>> >>>> to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient
>human
>> >>> beings
>> >>>> whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible
is
>> > the
>> >>>> word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme
>being.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe
>in
>> > a
>> >>> way
>> >>>> that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT
>> > you
>> >>>> believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years.
>> >>> What I
>> >>>> don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope?
>Because
>> >>> you
>> >>>> were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your
>> > parents
>> >>>> believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you
>> >>> happened
>> >>>> to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been
born
>> > in
>> >>>> India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
>> >>>> omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does
this
>> >>> make
>> >>>> any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough
of
>a
>> >>> threat
>> >>>> to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill
>him?
>> >>>>
>> >>>> You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share
>> > with
>> >>>> other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right
>or
>> >>>> wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in
God,
>> >>> Heaven,
>> >>>> or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave

>> >>>>> accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually
>> > on
>> >>>>> sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis
>is
>> >>>>> necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be
>> > restrained
>> >>> by
>> >>>>> fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert
>Einstein
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because
the
>> >>> evil of
>> >>>>> "ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about
>> > what
>> >>> has
>> >>>>> been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I
>> > believe
>> >>>>> that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about
>the
>> >>>>> potential consequences of this.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another
hour
>> >>> that I
>> >>>>> could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned
by
>now
>> >>> that
>> >>>>> the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings
>> > to
>> >>>>> them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again,
>> >>> beliefs
>> >>>>> are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs
are
>> > not
>> >>>>> wrong simply because they contradict yours.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> Sarah
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message
>news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
>> >>>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>> >>>>>>> The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by
>> > faith.

>> >>>>>> (Speaking from personal experience).
>> >>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call
>God
>> >>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source
is
>> >>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views
>are
>> >>>>>> human at the source.
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> I disagree emphatically.
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
>> >>>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
>> >>>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and
>then
>> >>> left
>> >>>>>> it
>> >>>>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than
>> > competition.
>> >>>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
>> >>>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
>> >>>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care
if
>> >>>>>> they do? All is permitted.
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>> The
>> >>>>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical
and
>> >>>>>>> effective
>> >>>>>>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
>> >>>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
>> >>>>>> rules".
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
>> >>>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm
>fine
>> >>> with
>> >>>>>>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be
fun

>to
 >> >>> say
 >> >>>>>> "what if this . . . " or "what if that . . . " but let's be honest
 >> >>> here
 >> >>>>>> --
 >> >>>>>> none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even
 >then.
 >> >>> And
 >> >>>>>> I'm
 >> >>>>>> sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me.
 >> > It's
 >> >>> just
 >> >>>>>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly
 >> > backward
 >> >>>>>> human
 >> >>>>>> societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been
 >> > inspired
 >> >>> by
 >> >>>>>> a
 >> >>>>>> supreme being.
 >> >>>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
 >> >>>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
 >> >>>>>> care if we have a way to know Him or not, that things proceeded
 in
 >> >>>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
 >> >>>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
 >> >>>>>> themselves...
 >> >>>>>>
 >> >>>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
 >> >>>>>> assumptions about existence.
 >> >>>>>>
 >> >>>>>>
 >> >>>>>>>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead
 >Iraqis
 >> >>>>>>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile.
 >What
 >> >>> do
 >> >>>>>> you
 >> >>>>>>>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl
 of
 >> > brown
 >> >>>>>>>> rice?
 >> >>>>>>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists?
 >> > 100?
 >> >>>>>>>> 500?
 >> >>>>>>>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent
 >> > and
 >> >>>>>>>> their

>> >>>>>> families.
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded
or
>> >>> maimed.
>> >>>>>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can
>think
>> >>> of
>> >>>>>> some
>> >>>>>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't,
but
>> > it's
>> >>> a
>> >>>>>> nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange
>> > jumpsuits
>> >>> and
>> >>>>>> chains . . . ni-i-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> Sarah
>> >>>>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
>> >>>>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in
all
>> >>>>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
>> >>>>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules,
you
>> >>>>>> would have to.
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring
>themselves
>> > to
>> >>> do
>> >>>>>> what they must to protect our way of life.
>> >>>>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
>> >>>>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
>> >>>>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
>> >>>>>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
>> >>>>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms,
to
>> > kill
>> >>>>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
>> >>>>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
>> >>>>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> DC
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>

>> >>>>>>

>> >>>

>> >

>> >

>

>Well, thank you very much, mate. :) We do the best we can. I'm enjoying yours, too. Tell ten friends to buy our CD, then they'll tell ten friends, then they'll tell ten friends

Hugs,

Sarah

"macle" <Mates9999@cs.com> wrote in message news:44e3cc28\$1@linux...

>

> Oh my gawd, lucifer!

> either way, it's so absurd,

> look around it's

> such a blur you see!!

>

> Why is live and let live so hard? I dunno. Seems so easy.

>

> I've taken to burying my head in the sand. Ignorance is bliss

> sort of nearly almost. It'll all work out in the end (except

> for those of us burning in hell for eternity...OUCH!)

>

> Sarah, I listened to the clips on your site...very very

> nice Paris sound. Thumbs up!

>

> Peace brothers and sisters!!

>okay, i'll put it in the top two as i'm sure many killings go un publicized if it doesn't involve population segments not currently popular on the "oh that's really a shame" or "hey, dems my peeps" index.

On 17 Aug 2006 23:05:22 +1000, "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>

>Here's the thing, you'll cause just as much trouble saying it's #5 or #10

>as #1. And I suspected you thought as much which is why I asked. Maybe not.

>Influenza takes a few hundred thousand per year in the off years, so maybe

>over the long haul it's first, just by the yearly grind. But even then I

>doubt it.

>

>But in any case, just tell the truth as you see it, regardless of the trouble

>it might cause. We need more of that.

>

>TCB
>
>rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>i didn't want to cause trouble by calling it #1
>>
>>On 17 Aug 2006 06:17:12 +1000, "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>>I'd be interested to hear the nine candidates you would propose ahead of
>it.
>>>
>>>
>>>TCB
>>>
>>>rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>sarah
>>>>
>>>>>everyone wants to be the holder of "the truth" and feel compelled to
>>>>>convince others even if they have to kill them to do it. thankfully
>>>>>not all go to that extreme...unfortunately many are willing to do just
>>>>>that...on both sides of the argument. religion has to be in the top
>>>>>ten killers of humanity throughout history.
>>>>
>>>>>On Wed, 16 Aug 2006 12:00:26 -0700, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>
>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>(heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I learned
>>>>
>>>>>>years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel compelled
>>>>to
>>>>>>make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently wrong
>>>>in
>>>>>>your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying
>to
>>>>view
>>>>>>me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call "me."
>>>>>
>>>>>>First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant. Faith
>is
>>>>
>>>>>>essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not rest
>>>>on
>>>>>>logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own definition
>>>>if
>>>>>>you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me.
>If
>>>>you
>>>>>>have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe in

>>>
>>>>>gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
>>>>>demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
>>>>>
>>>>>Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I personally
>>>do
>>>>>not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see
>no
>>>
>>>>>evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent claims.
>>> I
>>>>>do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel truly
>
>>>>>connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe this
>>>
>>>>>"supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very superficial
>>>and
>>>>>frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think it's
>>>silly
>>>>>to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in the
>>>sky."
>>>>>I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the opposite,
>my
>>>
>>>>>mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient dogma
>>>that
>>>>>I have no reason to believe.
>>>>>
>>>>>Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer words:
>
>>>I
>>>>>have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG time
>>>ago),
>>>>>and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to believe
>that
>>>
>>>>>the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a smattering
>>>
>>>>>of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it claims
>>>
>>>>>to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient human
>beings
>>>
>>>>>whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible is
>the
>>>
>>>>>word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme being.
>>>>>

>>>>>Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe in
>>>a way
>>>>>that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT you
>>>
>>>>>believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years.
> What
>>>I
>>>>>don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope? Because
>>>you
>>>>>were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your parents
>>>
>>>>>believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you happened
>>>
>>>>>to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born
>in
>>>
>>>>>India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
>>>>>
>>>>>Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
>>>>>omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this
>make
>>>
>>>>>any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough of a
>threat
>>>
>>>>>to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill him?
>>>>>
>>>>>You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share with
>>>
>>>>>other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right or
>>>
>>>>>wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God,
>Heaven,
>>>
>>>>>or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
>
>>>>>accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually
>on
>>>
>>>>>sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis is
>
>>>>>necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be restrained
>>>by
>>>>>fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert Einstein
>>>>>
>>>>>And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the
>evil
>>>of

>>>>>"ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about what
 >>>has
 >>>>>been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I believe
 >>>
 >>>>>that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about the
 >>>
 >>>>>potential consequences of this.
 >>>>>
 >>>>>But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour
 >that
 >>>I
 >>>>>could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by now
 >>>that
 >>>>>the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings
 >to
 >>>
 >>>>>them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again, beliefs
 >>>
 >>>>>are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are
 >not
 >>>
 >>>>>wrong simply because they contradict yours.
 >>>>>
 >>>>>OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
 >>>>>
 >>>>>Sarah
 >>>>>
 >>>>>
 >>>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
 >>>>>>
 >>>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
 >>>>>>>The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by faith.
 >>>>>>>(Speaking from personal experience).
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call God
 >>>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
 >>>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views are
 >>>>>>> human at the source.
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>> I disagree emphatically.
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
 >>>>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
 >>>>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>
 >>>>>>>>Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and then
 >>>left

>>>>> it
>>>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than competition.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
>>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
>>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
>>>>> they do? All is permitted.
>>>>>
>>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> The
>>>>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and
>
>>>>>>> effective
>>>>>
>>>>>>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
>>>>>
>>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
>>>>> rules".
>>>>>
>>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
>>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm fine
>>> with
>>>>>
>>>>>>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun to
>>> say
>>>>>
>>>>>>> "what if this . . . " or "what if that . . . " but let's be honest
> here
>>>>> --
>>>>>>> none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even then.
>
>>> And
>>>>>>> I'm
>>>>>>>> sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me. It's
>>> just
>>>>>
>>>>>>>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly backward
>>>
>>>>>>>> human
>>>>>
>>>>>>>> societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been inspired
>>> by

>>>>> a
>>>>>>supreme being.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
>>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
>>>>> care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
>>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
>>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
>>>>> themselves...
>>>>>
>>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
>>>>> assumptions about existence.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis
>>>>>>(conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile. What
>>>do
>>>>> you
>>>>>>think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of brown
>>>
>>>>>>rice?
>>>>>
>>>>>>I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists? 100?
>>>>> 500?
>>>>>>1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent and
>>>
>>>>>>their
>>>>>
>>>>>>families.
>>>>>
>>>>>>Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or maimed.
>>>>>
>>>>>>If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can think
>>>of
>>>>> some
>>>>>>heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but it's
>>>a
>>>>>
>>>>>>nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange jumpsuits
>>>and
>>>>>
>>>>>>chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
>>>>>
>>>>>>Sarah
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no

Have developed a new amp circuit called Class T. It allows for extreme efficiency (95% in some cases) and potential for reducing distortions significantly.

Oh, and there is a class T amp out now, with 15 watts a side, that people like the sound of, that costs 30.00 (USD)... !

http://www.si-technologies.com/frontEnd/cm_productDetail.jsp ?productID=18

Tape Op reviewed it recently and while they didn't throw their other amps in the trash, they did like it. Now, a 30 buck amp that sounds good is cool enough, but what is really cool is a 300.00 amp that kills 2K amps and puts out 1000 watts. This is where the Class T technology seems to be leading.

Yes, this will show up in our industry.

Anybody seen/heard any Class T stuff?

DCOn 8/17/06 10:19 AM, in article 44e495a1@linux, "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>
> We're a lot closer to becoming a Christian theocracy at the moment.
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>

Actually most Christians, including many Christian leaders and ministers I've talked to agree with me that we are closer to outlawing Christianity than accepting it as a nation, much less adopting it. Separation of church and state is actually quite a well-respected concept for most of the Christian community. However, it isn't really fair to expect Christians to just sit out of politics and voting completely to ease the fears of the non-Christian community. For a non-Christian, any hint of Christianity may seem like too much, or even a threat, but to a longtime Christian, the deterioration of acceptance for our faith is sadly quite apparent, even on this forum.

Let's be brutally honest here, how many people on this forum really don't mind a Christian sharing their views with conviction, and how many truthfully have a problem with it? I'm sure it is tolerable (at best) as long as it doesn't challenge or confront others' views (which by very nature, it will, otherwise it wouldn't be faith with any life-impacting substance). On the other hand, most religious threads here have rather strong opinions on the non-Christian side - often even claiming that Christianity is more violent than Islam, or that Christianity somehow is

responsible for our country going to Iraq (incredibly far from the truth). Isn't that just one step away from Mel Gibson's drunken debacle of slandering Jews? I'm sure that may not be the intention, but consider the arguments here for equal rights and peace vs. the way Christianity is discussed. If we are truly tolerant of one another, we wouldn't need to ascribe violence and/or all of our country's, or the world's problems to the faith of someone we might chat with casually in other circumstances. I'm not asking this from a "victim" mentality in any form or fashion (far from it). I'm just raising the question out of genuine curiosity and broadening the perspectives here.

For one societal example, in New Orleans the ACLU is protesting (and probably filed suit) against people in one community for wanting to build a memorial to victims of Katrina - one that includes a cross. The land is private as is the funding, but as it is in public view, the ACLU is taking issue. If we exercise equality of "rights" would that not give me the right as a Christian to file suit against an adult nightclub for a billboard promoting topless dancing, with suggestive photos? No, that would violate the owner's right to free speech, and others' opinion of what is "suggestive" and whether that could "suggest" anything objectionable for anyone. What is the difference? A cross isn't going to tempt anyone to get drunk, spend money that should be going to support a family, or worst case, rape a woman (really worst case, not a statistical norm that I know of, at least hope not) - it is actually more likely to cause the exact opposite, but yet it is becoming a more common source of public outcry than crime rates or corporate corruption.

My prediction is that Christian churches will start being targeted by the ACLU and other "rights" groups not wanting structures of any kind that promote Christianity in public view. And it wouldn't be much of a leap from there to having something as simple as praying over one's meal in public become grounds for dismissal from a restaurant, if not legal or criminal action. Sure, that's extrapolation, but that may give you a bit of insight into why there seems to be more of a push by Christians to get Christians involved in political issues - not to take over or dictate, but to protect the freedom we are also supposed to be allowed. There are other examples of how this trend is progressing, but I have to get back to work. ;-)

The thing is, most Christians believe it is coming. The question is, who wants to be on the side that one day tells me personally that I and my family will no longer be welcome here? Just something to think about. I really don't mind opposing opinions in the least and enjoy well-tempered discussions. My faith is far greater than any government, threat of being ostracized, or even death, so a little discussion is hardly a .

I hope anyone reading this (however few ;-)) will take it in the spirit it is intended - just something to consider.

Regards,
Dedric

>
>
> I beg to differ with you, it is part of the same quest as trying to change
the individual string lengths, at the termination ends... How to get a fretted
instrument to maintain the same mathematical ratio, as it goes up the
fretboard.. also how to get the octave harmonic at the 12th fret to be
exact.... Novax has solved another side of the same problem. It is a different
sound to play the fanned frets.... It takes some getting used to... He could
put a "compensated" nut on those guitars.... He must have tried it, and found
it didn't really help. As long as you get the 12th fret at the exact 1/2
way point of the scale, you're in good shape... as long as the fret distances
are measured and cut correctly. And you are correct that the quality of the
string, and string gauges matter quite a bit... lot's and lot's of
variables... there are many more.
"DC" <dc@spammersinnigeria.org> wrote in message news:44e4aeb1\$1@linux...
>
> I have known Ralph Novax for years. Nice guy, great guitars.
>
> It has nothing to do with compensated nuts. A Novax guitar can
> still have the exact same problem if the nut is not setup to match
> the needs of the strings the player uses and their particular instrument.
>
> DC
>
> "D-unit" <c0f@intrex.net> wrote:
> >
> > "DC" <dc@spammersinchulavista.org> wrote in message
> > <SNIP>
> >
> >
> > http://www.novaxguitars.com/
> >
> >
> > DB
> >
> >
> >
> Can anyone confirm or deny this information?
Sources please :)

<http://www.aish.com/movies/PhotoFraud.asp> Got any design spec pics/info?
AA

"DC" <dc@spammersinbrooklyn.org> wrote in message news:44e4e63c\$1@linux...

>
> These guys
>
> <http://www.tripath.com/>
>
> Have developed a new amp circuit called Class T. It allows for
> extreme efficiency (95% in some cases) and potential for reducing
> distortions significantly.
>
> Oh, and there is a class T amp out now, with 15 watts a side, that
> people like the sound of, that costs 30.00 (USD)... !
>
> http://www.si-technologies.com/frontEnd/cm_productDetail.jsp?productID=18
>
> Tape Op reviewed it recently and while they didn't throw their
> other amps in the trash, they did like it. Now, a 30 buck amp that
> sounds good is cool enough, but what is really cool is a 300.00
> amp that kills 2K amps and puts out 1000 watts. This is where
> the Class T technology seems to be leading.
>
> Yes, this will show up in our industry.
>
> Anybody seen/heard any Class T stuff?
>
> DC
> Hey Dedric,

If I've said anything that makes you think I am advocating shipping you and your family out of the country, call me on it and let's talk. I do not support the making of scapegoats. And we'd miss you around here!

The Bill of Rights protects religious freedom. I am all for that.

The point I've been trying to make in this thread is that getting people to talk to each other rather than past each other is a major challenge when people have very different understandings of the nature of reality.

Yet we must succeed at communicating between groups as diverse as Christians and Muslims, or for a tougher example, AELC Lutherans and Wisconsin Synod Lutherans. I'm only half joking about that last one...

In the USA some (not all) members of the following groups feel persecuted because of religious bias in our culture:

- 1) Christians (way too diverse a group to be under a single label, BTW).
- 2) Muslims

- 3) Jews
- 4) Sikhs
- 5) Atheists
- 6) etc.

I don't know if Buddhists bother feeling persecuted.

I do like the ACLU for the most part, because the Bill of Rights is constantly under attack. Since it's a fundamental part of what makes the USA a special place it needs to be looked after. So I'll add:

7) The Bill Of Rights

Even though it's not a group, it protects all of us from a variety of bad stuff. Hey, it's my list and I'm adding it! :^)

How do we balance different outlooks and perceptions around all this? It ain't easy. Talking is good, though.

I don't see anything that indicates we are about to outlaw Christianity. You'll have to convince me on that one. The appropriateness of government sanctioning of the symbol of one religion over another is an interesting issue but I wouldn't read that as moving toward banning Christianity. Probably makes great material for rousing sermons, though, in some churches, while other churches can and do support the separation of church and state for religious reasons so their sermons would go the other way. See how hard it is to generalize about Christianity as one entity?

Our great Bill of Rights protects Christianity and all its sects. It seems to me that certain subsets of Christian thought are very influential in our government right now, hence my comment. I'm not seeing that level of influence from other religions.

We should have a conversation about this, Dedric, next time you're up this way.

Cheers,
-Jamie
<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

Dedric Terry wrote:

> On 8/17/06 10:19 AM, in article 44e495a1@linux, "Jamie K"

> <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>

>> We're a lot closer to becoming a Christian theocracy at the moment.

>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>
> Actually most Christians, including many Christian leaders and ministers
> I've talked to agree with me that we are closer to outlawing Christianity
> than accepting it as a nation, much less adopting it. Separation of church
> and state is actually quite a well-respected concept for most of the
> Christian community. However, it isn't really fair to expect Christians to
> just sit out of politics and voting completely to ease the fears of the
> non-Christian community. For a non-Christian, any hint of Christianity may
> seem like too much, or even a threat, but to a longtime Christian, the
> deterioration of acceptance for our faith is sadly quite apparent, even on
> this forum.
>
> Let's be brutally honest here, how many people on this forum really don't
> mind a Christian sharing their views with conviction, and how many
> truthfully have a problem with it? I'm sure it is tolerable (at best) as
> long as it doesn't challenge or confront others' views (which by very
> nature, it will, otherwise it wouldn't be faith with any life-impacting
> substance). On the other hand, most religious threads here have rather
> strong opinions on the non-Christian side - often even claiming that
> Christianity is more violent than Islam, or that Christianity somehow is
> responsible for our country going to Iraq (incredibly far from the truth).
> Isn't that just one step away from Mel Gibson's drunken debacle of
> slandering Jews? I'm sure that may not be the intention, but consider the
> arguments here for equal rights and peace vs. the way Christianity is
> discussed. If we are truly tolerant of one another, we wouldn't need to
> ascribe violence and/or all of our country's, or the world's problems to the
> faith of someone we might chat with casually in other circumstances. I'm
> not asking this from a "victim" mentality in any form or fashion (far from
> it). I'm just raising the question out of genuine curiosity and broadening
> the perspectives here.
>
> For one societal example, in New Orleans the ACLU is protesting (and
> probably filed suit) against people in one community for wanting to build a
> memorial to victims of Katrina - one that includes a cross. The land is
> private as is the funding, but as it is in public view, the ACLU is taking
> issue. If we exercise equality of "rights" would that not give me the right
> as a Christian to file suit against an adult nightclub for a billboard
> promoting topless dancing, with suggestive photos? No, that would violate
> the owner's right to free speech, and others' opinion of what is
> "suggestive" and whether that could "suggest" anything objectionable for
> anyone. What is the difference? A cross isn't going to tempt anyone to get
> drunk, spend money that should be going to support a family, or worst case,
> rape a woman (really worst case, not a statistical norm that I know of, at
> least hope not) - it is actually more likely to cause the exact opposite,

> but yet it is becoming a more common source of public outcry than crime
> rates or corporate corruption.
>
> My prediction is that Christian churches will start being targeted by the
> ACLU and other "rights" groups not wanting structures of any kind that
> promote Christianity in public view. And it wouldn't be much of a leap from
> there to having something as simple as praying over one's meal in public
> become grounds for dismissal from a restaurant, if not legal or criminal
> action. Sure, that's extrapolation, but that may give you a bit of insight
> into why there seems to be more of a push by Christians to get Christians
> involved in political issues - not to take over or dictate, but to protect
> the freedom we are also supposed to be allowed. There are other examples of
> how this trend is progressing, but I have to get back to work. ;-)
>
> The thing is, most Christians believe it is coming. The question is, who
> wants to be on the side that one day tells me personally that I and my
> family will no longer be welcome here? Just something to think about. I
> really don't mind opposing opinions in the least and enjoy well-tempered
> discussions. My faith is far greater than any government, threat of being
> ostracized, or even death, so a little discussion is hardly a .
>
> I hope anyone reading this (however few ;-)) will take it in the spirit it is
> intended - just something to consider.
>
> Regards,
> Dedric
>
>
>>
>>
>Yes, we must spread *the word*!! Our hope is to be bigger than
Jesus, Allah, John Lennon and L.Ron Hubbard put together!!

Rock on Sarah!!

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>Well, thank you very much, mate. :) We do the best we can. I'm enjoying

>yours, too. Tell ten friends to buy our CD, then they'll tell ten friends,

>then they'll tell ten friends

>

>Hugs,

>

>Sarah

>

>

>"macle" <Mates9999@cs.com> wrote in message news:44e3cc28\$1@linux...

>>
>> Oh my gawd, lucifer!
>> either way, it's so absurd,
>> look around it's
>> such a blur you see!!
>>
>> Why is live and let live so hard? I dunno. Seems so easy.
>>
>> I've taken to burying my head in the sand. Ignorance is bliss
>> sort of nearly almost. It'll all work out in the end (except
>> for those of us burning in hell for eternity...OUCH!)
>>
>> Sarah, I listened to the clips on your site...very very
>> nice Paris sound. Thumbs up!
>>
>> Peace brothers and sisters!!
>>
>
>it just so happens that the two CDs on constant rotation in my car are sarah's
and yours.

Very very good stuff.

-steve

"macle" <Mates9999@cs.com> wrote:

>
>Yes, we must spread *the word*!! Our hope is to be bigger than
>Jesus, Allah, John Lennon and L.Ron Hubbard put together!!
>
>Rock on Sarah!!
>
>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>Well, thank you very much, mate. :) We do the best we can. I'm enjoying
>
>>yours, too. Tell ten friends to buy our CD, then they'll tell ten friends,
>
>>then they'll tell ten friends
>>
>>Hugs,
>>
>>Sarah
>>
>>
>>"macle" <Mates9999@cs.com> wrote in message news:44e3cc28\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> Oh my gawd, lucifer!

>>> either way, it's so absurd,
>>> look around it's
>>> such a blur you see!!
>>>
>>> Why is live and let live so hard? I dunno. Seems so easy.
>>>
>>> I've taken to burying my head in the sand. Ignorance is bliss
>>> sort of nearly almost. It'll all work out in the end (except
>>> for those of us burning in hell for eternity...OUCH!)
>>>
>>> Sarah, I listened to the clips on your site...very very
>>> nice Paris sound. Thumbs up!
>>>
>>> Peace brothers and sisters!!
>>>
>>
>>
>I can confirm it. :-)

"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote:

>Can anyone confirm or deny this information?

>Sources please :)

>

>

><http://www.aish.com/movies/PhotoFraud.asp>

>

>To Tonehouse: Most skilled piano tuners use "stretch tuning", not equal temperament, in the first place. Not many instruments (except for things such as trombone, and fretless stringed instruments) are even able to be played in perfect temperament in the first place, so if a piano tuner were to use equal-tempered tuning, the instrument would sound "off" - IOW, not what we're used to hearing.

To Don: If you were addressing my post about adjusting your tuning, or using tempered tuning dependent on the key of the song, you're right, it's still not going to be "perfect", but you said it yourself, it's going to be "better", and better as opposed to not better is still better in my book! :)

If you somehow manage to get your guitar in perfect tune with itself & to have perfect equal temperament, it's STILL going to sound "off" with most modern keyboards/synths, because most of the good ones use stretch tuning anyway nowadays (mainly applied on sampled pianos & such). In fact, some of them can even allow you to adjust just how much "stretch" you have (like my Fantom, for example).

Neil

"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:

>As I was saying before,all of this stuff has been tried since the
>1960's,including having seperate nut saddles for each string,and seperate
>bridge saddles for each string..total freedom of compensation....but it
>didn't catch on...You can certainly make it sound "better",but not equal
>temperment,and chromatically .What you are doing is what harpsichord tuners
>did 300 years ago..use a non- equal temperment. Some people love that
>sound,as did Bach,until his compositions demanded the equal temperment...
>Any system such as Buzz Feitan ,still is not truly equal temperment,but
can

>sound better on some guitars,and in some keys.....

>"DC" <dc@spammersinnyc.com> wrote in message news:44e36951\$1@linux...

>>

>> Ok, here's the short version. (It's my wife's birthday and we are
>> getting out of town)

>>

>> I will do a longer version, with pics, later.

>>

>> I started thinking about all this a year or so ago when I got my
>> Petersen Virtual Strobe tuner. On the chromatic setting you can
>> check the intonation very easily at the nut by perfectly tuning the
>> open string and the pressing down the string at the first fret.

>>

>> Ouch, my guitars averaged 4 out of 6 strings out of tune.

>>

>> (btw, let me add, that you cannot fix this problem with adjusting
>> your tuning. You can make it a bit better, in certain keys, but you
>> can't fix it. oh, also, if the height of the string slot is right, the
>> pressing down of the string is not significant here in terms of tuning
>> accuracy. a fact I doubted, until I checked it)

>>

>>

>> Then my guitar builder friend told me about this guy.

>>

>> <http://www.mimf.com/nutcomp/>

>>

>> Check out the pics if you don't want to read the whole thing.

>>

>> BTW, none of the fixed staggered nuts like the Earvana are likely
>> to truly work well unless you use the exact same guitar, and strings
>> that they used. Fixed, staggered nuts suffer from the same design
>> flaw as fixed bridges at the other end of the guitar - one size fits all.

>>

>> Well it doesn't. Not if you want it right.

>>

>> Anyway, I first did a version of Delft's nut a year ago on one of the
>> guitars using pick material of different thicknesses as shim stock
>> and attaching them with super glue. Worked great. The guitar
>> played more in tune than any guitar I have played. (I do use the
>> Petersen sweetened tuning BTW, but do NOT use it or any other
>> when setting up the nut staggers. Standard equal temperment only
>> and you need a good chromatic tuner)
>>
>> Because that guitar plays better than all the others, (and it has worn
>> out frets!) I decided to do this with my favorite 87 Anderson strat
>> that has new stainless steel frets (which I LOVE) on it.
>>
>> I didn't want to do the whole glue-on leetle pieces of pick routine,
>> and it looks goofy anyway, so here's what I did:
>>
>> (this only works on strats. I have not tried it on anything else yet)
>>
>> --PLEASE don't try this if you care about your guitar's resale value--
>>
>> Also, this requires significant luthery skills (woodworking etc) if
>> you are not sure you can do this, you can ruin your neck!
>>
>> You get a Gibson nut blank form StewMac.
>>
>> http://www.stewmac.com/shop/Nuts,_saddles/String_nuts/Graph_Tech_Nuts.html
>>
>> #1868
>>
>> It is 3/16 wide as opposed to the 1/8 of strats. You inlet the
>fingerboard
>> in the direction of the body only, to make the nut slot
>> wider for the new nut.
>>
>> All of the extra width must be in the direction of the
>> body! (Please, if you can't do a beautiful job of inletting the
>> fingerboard, take it to a repair guy who can!)
>>
>>
>> In a few words, you file the new, wider nut back towards the
>> headstock to match the needs of each string. (using the tuner)
>>
>> Use new strings of the kind you always
>> use, since this will not be right when you change brands / gauges.
>>
>> You end up with a staggered nut that perfectly matches your
>> guitar, frets and strings.
>>
>> Oh yes, you will hear the difference.

>>
>> I have left out lots of important details, and you need some
>> really nice tools to do this, so don't go grabbing the files
>> just yet.
>>
>> Did I mention that it is a LOT of work to do this right? Well it is.
>> I have a lot of guitar tools, and I bought 120.00 more from various
>> sources, and it still took me almost 2 days...
>>
>> I intend to show Dan at Top Gear how I did this, and if he likes the
>> idea he may offer it as a service. Expect it to cost a few bucks.
>>
>> More to follow.
>>
>> DC
>
>LOL! Good point, Thad!

I'll take a shot at a few. Maybe...:

Famine or malnutrition
Influenza (various endemics of such, throughout time)
Old Age (ok, it's kind of a "Duh!", but it's still a killer)
Infection of wounds
Death at the hands (paws) of wild beasts

That's all I can come up with.

Neil

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>
>I'd be interested to hear the nine candidates you would propose ahead of
it.
>
>
>TCB
>
>rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>sarah
>>
>>everyone wants to be the holder of "the truth" and feel compelled to
>>convince others even if they have to kill them to do it. thankfully
>>not all go to that extreme...unfortunately many are willing to do just
>>that...on both sides of the argument. religion has to be in the top
>>ten killers of humanity throughout history.

>>
>>On Wed, 16 Aug 2006 12:00:26 -0700, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>(heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I learned
>
>>>years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel compelled
>to
>>>make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently wrong
>in
>>>your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying to
>view
>>>me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call "me."
>>>
>>>First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant. Faith
>is
>
>>>essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not rest
>on
>>>logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own definition
>if
>>>you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me. If
>you
>>>have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe in
>
>>>gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
>>>demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
>>>
>>>Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I personally
>do
>>>not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see no
>
>>>evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent claims.
>I
>>>do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel truly

>>>connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe this
>
>>>"supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very superficial
>and
>>>frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think it's
>silly
>>>to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in the
>sky."
>>>I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the opposite,
>my
>
>>>mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient dogma

>that
>>>I have no reason to believe.
>>>
>>>Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer words:

>I
>>>have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG time
>ago),
>>>and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to believe
that
>
>>>the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a smattering
>
>>>of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it claims
>
>>>to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient human
beings
>
>>>whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible is the
>
>>>word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme being.
>>>
>>>Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe in
>a way
>>>that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT you
>
>>>believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years.
What
>I
>>>don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope? Because
>you
>>>were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your parents
>
>>>believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you happened
>
>>>to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born in
>
>>>India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
>>>
>>>Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
>>>omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this make
>
>>>any sense? Isn't it more likely that Jesus simply became enough of a
threat
>
>>>to the Romans and the Jewish heirarchy that they decided to kill him?
>>>
>>>You speak a lot about assumptions. OK, here's one you seem to share with

>
 >>>other believers that drives me nuts: without God there is no right or
 >
 >>>wrong. This is such nonsense. One does not need to believe in God, Heaven,
 >
 >>>or Hell to know the difference between right and wrong and to behave
 >>>accordingly. "A man's ethical behavior should be based effectually on
 >
 >>>sympathy, education, and social ties and needs; no religious basis is
 >>>necessary. Man would indeed be in a poor way if he had to be restrained
 >by
 >>>fear of punishment and hope of reward after death." - Albert Einstein
 >>>
 >>>And finally, I didn't mention "ghouls and head choppers" because the evil
 >of
 >>>"ghouls and head choppers" is not in dispute. I'm concerned about what
 >has
 >>>been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I believe
 >
 >>>that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about the
 >
 >>>potential consequences of this.
 >>>
 >>>But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour that
 >I
 >>>could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by now
 >that
 >>>the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings to
 >
 >>>them. You are of course free to believe what you want, but again, beliefs
 >
 >>>are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are not
 >
 >>>wrong simply because they contradict yours.
 >>>
 >>>OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
 >>>
 >>>Sarah
 >>>
 >>>
 >>>"DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
 >>>>
 >>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
 >>>>>The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by faith.
 >>>>>(Speaking from personal experience).
 >>>>
 >>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call God

>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views are
>>>> human at the source.
>>>>
>>>> I disagree emphatically.
>>>>
>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and then
>left
>>>> it
>>>>>up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than competition.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
>>>> they do? All is permitted.
>>>>
>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>The
>>>>>"Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and
>>>>>effective
>>>>
>>>>>way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
>>>>
>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
>>>> rules".
>>>>
>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm fine
>with
>>>>
>>>>>the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun to
>say
>>>>
>>>>>"what if this . . . " or "what if that . . . " but let's be honest here
>>>> --
>>>>>none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even then.

>And
>>>> I'm
>>>>> sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me. It's
>just
>>>>
>>>>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly backward
>
>>>>> human
>>>>
>>>>> societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been inspired
>by
>>>> a
>>>>> supreme being.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
>>>> care if we have a way to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
>>>> themselves...
>>>>
>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
>>>> assumptions about existence.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis
>>>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile. What
>do
>>>> you
>>>>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of brown
>
>>>>> rice?
>>>>
>>>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists? 100?
>>>> 500?
>>>>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent and
>
>>>>> their
>>>>
>>>>> families.
>>>>
>>>>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or maimed.
>>>>
>>>>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can think
>of
>>>> some
>>>>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but it's

>a
 >>>>
 >>>>>nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange jumpsuits
 >and
 >>>>
 >>>>>chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
 >>>>>
 >>>>>Sarah
 >>>>
 >>>>
 >>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
 >>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all
 >>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
 >>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you
 >>>> would have to.
 >>>>
 >>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring themselves
 >to do
 >>>> what they must to protect our way of life.
 >>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
 >>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
 >>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.
 >>>>
 >>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
 >>>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
 >>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms, to kill
 >>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
 >>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
 >>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.
 >>>>
 >>>> DC
 >>>>
 >>>>
 >>>>
 >>>
 >>
 >>
 >IME, you're right, redrawing only works if it's something
 that's clipping "out" - if it clipped "in", you're screwed -
 you're better off pasting another section in.

Neil

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>Muse wrote:

>> I have a good track with a couple of spots that clipped. How can I repair

>> that clipping?

>>

>> Thanks, Kevin

>>

>>

>Good question. You could cut 'n paste from other areas, or try to

>redraw, but I doubt that would work. Tell him this (or something like it in your own words):

<begin letter>

Dear Billy-Bob,

When I charge my flat fee of \$xxx per song, that fee is based on the assumption that:

- 1.) I'll be doing the mixing in a timely fashion, but also more or less at my convenience with regard to other scheduling commitments I have.
- 2.) I'll be doing the mixing using certain sets of procedures that I am accustomed to, and allows me to proceed with it in an efficient manner, hence allowing me to charge that very reasonable, aforementioned, flat fee.
- 3.) It allows for an initial mix or "trial mix" that I send to clients, upon listening to which, they can offer up input as to any changes or adjustments they might wish to hear, which I then implement in order to get their final product.

For someone who wants to sit in on the entire proceedings, a flat fee isn't really appropriate or relevant under those conditions, since:

- 1.) I would have to schedule around not only my other commitments, but yours as well; which is fine, but also more restrictive for both of us.
- 2.) I would no doubt be using a different set of procedures than the ones that I am able to implement in my flat fee rate - in other words, you would no doubt want to be more involved & have ongoing input, ask questions, etc (otherwise why would you want to be there, yes?), which could possibly alter the amount of time spent on each mix.
- 3.) Instead of one "trial mix", there would likely be several "trial mixes" & variants thereof made of each song before you made a decision as to what you liked/didn't like about each one.

Considering the above circumstances - which I am sure you can identify with & relate to - if you want to sit in on a mix session, I would be glad to accommodate you. Under those circumstances, my normal hourly rate of \$xx would apply.

Perhaps you might want to sit in on one mix & see how it goes; that is, if you're interested in seeing how I work & the processes I go through? If, after doing one song like that,

it's looking like it might be out of your budget range to do all of them that way, then we can always switch to the flat fee per song for the rest, using the first set of circumstances & guidelines I outlined.

Let me know - looking forward to working with you!
<\end letter>

Send him that. He'll respond.

Neil

"Jesse Skeens" <jskeens@gmail.com> wrote:

>
>
>
>
>Hehe you might be right as he hasnt emailed me back ;-)
>
>Maybe I'll follow up and let him know I didn't mean he'd be charged. I told
>him the fee for the mix and that I also off

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 19:27:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

er training at \$30 an hour (which
>insinuated I'd charge him to sit in). But maybe I can reverse the outcome
>so far.
>
>Jesse
>
>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.org> wrote:
>>
>>Oh boy.. I wish!!
>>
>>Wouldn't that be cool. But if you do so, you will lose the client
>>IMO.
>>
>>You can, of course, lay down some rules about talking and giving
>>you constant advice while you are eq'ing a soloed track...
>>
>>"Oh, the mix went away!! It was so good a minute ago and now
>>the guitar is too loud!"

>>
>>grrrrrr
>>
>>DC
>>
>>
>>"Jesse Skeens" <jskeens@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>I have a few potential clients that would like to sit in on a session while
>>>I mix their tracks. I figure this will take extra time so it seems fair
>>>to charge and hourly rate on top of the flat fee for the mix.
>>>
>>>Any ideas?
>>>
>>>Jesse
>>
>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>If you somehow manage to get your guitar in perfect tune with
>itself & to have perfect equal temperament, it's STILL going to
>sound "off" with most modern keyboards/synths, because most of
>the good ones use stretch tuning anyway nowadays (mainly
>applied on sampled pianos & such). In fact, some of them can
>even allow you to adjust just how much "strech" you have (like
>my Fantom, for example).

Of course. They are just 2 seperate subjects, temperment & intonation. I wanted to raise one without the other, but someone always raises temperment when you raise intonation, so I tried to seperate the two issues again.

In truth, almost no guitarists care about temperment, and most care very much about intonation. The reason for this is that the guitar is flawed in its design in offering intonation compensation at the bridge (well some guitars do at least) but not at the nut.

Just checking the open pitch vs. the pitch at the first fret will confirm this, and fixing it has a dramatic effect on the guitars intonation.

Intonation is defined here as how well the guitar matches its intended temperment at all pitches.

The whole issue of how out of tune equal temperment is, is for another discussion. Frankly, like it or not, it's mostly an academic discussion.

I'd like to see if stretch tuning on kb's corresponds at all to the Feiten system or the Petersen sweetened guitar tuning.

best,

DCReuters, the folks who published the phony pics, took down all the work of the photog, and fired him.

Sounds confirmed.

DC

"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote:

>Can anyone confirm or deny this information?

>Sources please :)

>

>

><http://www.aish.com/movies/PhotoFraud.asp>

>

>There's quite a bet of techie info on the Tripath site, but it is at the component level.

That's all I know of.

DC

"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote:

>Got any design spec pics/info?

>AA

>

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinbrooklyn.org> wrote in message news:44e4e63c\$1@linux...

>>

>> These guys

>>

>> <http://www.tripath.com/>

>>

>> Have developed a new amp circuit called Class T. It allows for
>> extreme efficiency (95% in some cases) and potential for reducing
>> distortions significantly.

>>

>> Oh, and there is a class T amp out now, with 15 watts a side, that
>> people like the sound of, that costs 30.00 (USD)... !

>>

>> http://www.si-technologies.com/frontEnd/cm_productDetail.jsp?productID=18

>>

>> Tape Op reviewed it recently and while they didn't throw their

>> other amps in the trash, they did like it. Now, a 30 buck amp that
>> sounds good is cool enough, but what is really cool is a 300.00
>> amp that kills 2K amps and puts out 1000 watts. This is where
>> the Class T technology seems to be leading.
>>
>> Yes, this will show up in our industry.
>>
>> Anybody seen/heard any Class T stuff?
>>
>> DC
>>
>
>"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:
>I beg to differ with you, it is part of the same quest as trying to change
>the individual string lengths,at the termination ends...

Over the entire instrument, not between the nut and the first fret.

Ralph told me that his guitars are designed to sound full and warm on lead and twangy and clear on the low strings. A strat and a Les Paul on one guitar. The issue of nut compensation cannot be addressed by scale length, or even multiple scale lengths on one instrument. it can only be addressed by a compensated nut.

>How to get a fretted
>instrument to maintain the same mathematical ratio,as it goes up the
>fretboard..also how to get the octave harmonic at the 12th fret to be
>exact....

And once you fret a note, the issue of nut intonation no longer exists. Compensated guitar nuts is purely an approach to deal with the pitch accuracy between open and fretted notes.

>Novax has solved another side of the same problem.It is a different
>sound to play the fanned frets....It takes some getting used to...He could
>put a "compensated" nut on those guitars....He must have tried it,and found
>it didn't really help .

Why don't you ask him? I can assure you that it does help, and in significant ways. BTW, I got comfortable with the fanned frets in about 5 minutes. Lovely guitars really.

>As long as you get the 12th fret at the exact 1/2
>way point of the scale,you're in good shape...as long as the fret distances
>are measured and cut correctly.

This simply is not the case.

Without compensating the nut, the open string and the fretted strings will not match. This is why open E chords and open D chords do not usually both sound good on guitars. We adjust for it by avoiding the strings that don't work in the other chord, but that is not fixing it.

DCHey Jamie,

I probably should have replied originally in a generic post so you didn't think all of that was referring to you (I wasn't referring to anyone in particular - in general this is a great group of people - diverse opinions, but usually even tempered discussion which I greatly appreciate).

The possibility of our country outlawing Christianity isn't a given, but a trend I see - I really don't want to be right, and don't assume I am either. We may never reach that point, but there are many other reasons why I see that as a distinct possibility (for one, in Revelation when God talks about the last days, there is not correlation to any entity resembling the United States in its' current form - not that tying Revelation to any country outside of Israel is obvious - it isn't. But there are parallels for other countries, in some form).

I just sense that our country won't exist in this form eventually - either through hostile, economic or religious takeover (not Christianity btw), or gradual descent into another social and governmental form that self-destructs. Another possibility is that we team up with Canada and Mexico and form the North American Trade Union to compete with the EU (we would have more letters in our acronym, which is always good, or not), but that's completely unrelated, and far more likely to occur in our lifetimes...

Specifically related to your post, I was mainly addressing your assertion that our country is becoming a theocracy. Since the beginning of our country we have actually taken more associations with

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 20:19:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

and public acceptances of God and Christianity out of our society and out of public view, not the other way around. Some of that is just part of our ever changing interpretation of the separation of church and state, but the problem is, we don't seem to be abiding by a solid basis for many constitutional decisions as we might like to believe. As the separation of church and state concept

isn't actually in the Constitution in that form (we've all discussed that before here), we are interpreting what is there in various forms - the original statement just says that the state/government shall not advocate a given religion, which it doesn't, and never has. There are of course state laws and tax laws that further define what that means (e.g. a minister can not endorse any political candidate from the pulpit and retain non-profit/religious institution status - that's a grey area between the restriction of the government not endorsing a religion and freedom of speech - e.g. the bill of rights applies as long as it doesn't interfere with our interpretation of the Constitution, and hence its' malleability gradually increases when it applies to religion).

Bill of Rights - there is a reason for it no doubt, and it works, or should, for everyone's benefit. That doesn't make governments, laws or bills more morally perfect than God's laws, but I think most of the ones we have work pretty well usually. But we have to remember that in a situation such as faith, a lack of faith can't be considered a neutral point of view in terms of rights. Where faith must be bound, so must irreligious beliefs - both are faith decisions - one deciding to believe in God, the other deciding not to. The reason is that to provide equal rights without conflict or contradiction between two contradictory belief systems (Christianity vs. Atheism/Agnosticism for example), one belief would have to be false to the point of being considered non-existent.

Sidebar - Blaise Pascal had an interesting comment on the idea of conflicting beliefs:
"Pascal's wager":

"Either Christianity is true or it's false. If you bet that it's true, and you believe in God and submit to Him, then if it IS true, you've gained God, heaven, and everything else. If it's false, you've lost nothing, but you've had a good life marked by peace and the illusion that ultimately, everything makes sense. If you bet that Christianity is not true, and it's false, you've lost nothing. But if you bet that it's false, and it turns out to be true, you've lost everything and you get to spend eternity in hell."

On 8/17/06 5:05 PM, in article 44e4f4b2@linux, "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>
> Yet we must succeed at communicating between groups as diverse as
> Christians and Muslims, or for a tougher example, AELC Lutherans and
> Wisconsin Synod Lutherans. I'm only half joking about that last one...

Agreed. The church we attend here isn't one of the largest (about 1000), but has held two community discussion forums (both got local press) - the first was to discuss homosexuality, and the second religious diversity (including a Jew, Muslim, Catholic, etc). I wasn't able to attend either

one, but heard both were very successful at opening up dialogue and giving people a chance to ask questions of panel members on all sides of both issues. The reason our church hosted this was simply to open up dialogue. I believe it both were moderated by 3rd parties so there wouldn't be a question of objectivity.

>

> In the USA some (not all) members of the following groups feel
> persecuted because of religious bias in our culture:

>

> 1) Christians (way too diverse a group to be under a single label, BTW).
To a degree that is true, but a Christian is simply someone who believes that Jesus is the son of one true God, and that he died to overcome our sins, giving us a direct path to God and the promise of eternal life.

> 2) Muslims

> 3) Jews

> 4) Sikhs

> 5) Atheists

> 6) etc.

>

> I don't know if Buddhists bother feeling persecuted.

>

> I do like the ACLU for the most part, because the Bill of Rights is
> constantly under attack. Since it's a fundamental part of what makes the
> USA a special place it needs to be looked after. So I'll add:

>

> 7) The Bill Of Rights

>

> Even though it's not a group, it protects all of us from a variety of
> bad stuff. Hey, it's my list and I'm adding it! :^)

7 is a good number. I do also see the Bill of Rights under attack, but perhaps from a different perspective.

>

> How do we balance different outlooks and perceptions around all this? It
> ain't easy. Talking is good, though.

It isn't easy, from any perspective. But I also agree, talking is the only way to understand, if not resolve differences.

>

> I don't see anything that indicates we are about to outlaw Christianity.
> You'll have to convince me on that one. The appropriateness of
> government sanctioning of the symbol of one religion over another is an
> interesting issue but I wouldn't read that as moving toward banning
> Christianity. Probably makes great material for rousing sermons, though,
> in some churches, while other churches can and do support the separation
> of church and state for religious reasons so their sermons would go the
> other way. See how hard it is to generalize about Christianity as one

> entity?

Actually I've never heard a sermon about a specific move to outlaw Christianity. Some ministers do refer to specific events where a Christian organization or event was sanctioned because it was perceived as being too public (a deviation of the separation of church and state). Why would the ACLU want to prevent someone from putting a cross on their own land? Are we going to extend eminent domain to enforce the separation of church and state, as a local judicial court chooses to interpret it?

In general most Christians don't worry about the future, and I don't either. I only proposed this perspective, hypothetically for the sake of this discussion, to open up thinking about where we could end up if the trend many of us see continues to build. Most of the events indicating such a trend never make the news. If you are interested, I'll relate more the next time we have a chance to get together.

>

> Our great Bill of Rights protects Christianity and all its sects. It
> seems to me that certain subsets of Christian thought are very
> influential in our government right now, hence my comment. I'm not
> seeing that level of influence from other religions.

And I see the opposite also being true - Ted Kennedy for one. There is some public profile and influence from prominent Christians, but I don't think it is nearly as strong as the news would have you believe. I've either attended churches headed by some of these leaders, or know other ministers that have relationships with them.

>

> We should have a conversation about this, Dedric, next time you're up
> this way.

That would be great. I'll drop you a line the next time I'm in your neck of the hills - probably should make a business trip up that way soon.

Regards,
Dedric

>

> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>

>

>

>

> Dedric Terry wrote:

>> On 8/17/06 10:19 AM, in article 44e495a1@linux, "Jamie K"

>> <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>>
>>> We're a lot closer to becoming a Christian theocracy at the moment.
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> -Jamie
>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>
>> Actually most Christians, including many Christian leaders and ministers
>> I've talked to agree with me that we are closer to outlawing Christianity
>> than accepting it as a nation, much less adopting it. Separation of church
>> and state is actually quite a well-respected concept for most of the
>> Christian community. However, it isn't really fair to expect Christians to
>> just sit out of politics and voting completely to ease the fears of the
>> non-Christian community. For a non-Christian, any hint of Christianity may
>> seem like too much, or even a threat, but to a longtime Christian, the
>> deterioration of acceptance for our faith is sadly quite apparent, even on
>> this forum.
>>
>> Let's be brutally honest here, how many people on this forum really don't
>> mind a Christian sharing their views with conviction, and how many
>> truthfully have a problem with it? I'm sure it is tolerable (at best) as
>> long as it doesn't challenge or confront others' views (which by very
>> nature, it will, otherwise it wouldn't be faith with any life-impacting
>> substance). On the other hand, most religious threads here have rather
>> strong opinions on the non-Christian side - often even claiming that
>> Christianity is more violent than Islam, or that Christianity somehow is
>> responsible for our country going to Iraq (incredibly far from the truth).
>> Isn't that just one step away from Mel Gibson's drunken debacle of
>> slandering Jews? I'm sure that may not be the intention, but consider the
>> arguments here for equal rights and peace vs. the way Christianity is
>> discussed. If we are truly tolerant of one another, we wouldn't need to
>> ascribe violence and/or all of our country's, or the world's problems to the
>> faith of someone we might chat with casually in other circumstances. I'm
>> not asking this from a "victim" mentality in any form or fashion (far from
>> it). I'm just raising the question out of genuine curiosity and broadening
>> the perspectives here.
>>
>> For one societal example, in New Orleans the ACLU is protesting (and
>> probably filed suit) against people in one community for wanting to build a

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Sat, 08 Jul 2006 22:13:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

br />
I don't own a PRS, but I've played them, and they seem to be

better "in tune" overall with their barely-variable bridge intonation setup than either Gibbys' or Fenders, and we're talking about a PRS' 25" scale length vs. Gibsons' 24 3/4" and Fender's 25 1/2", so maybe there IS a "magic" scale length somewhere in there? (25 & 1/16's"???)

NeilMy first impression is that there don't have nea

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??.(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Martin Harrington](#) on Sun, 09 Jul 2006 00:18:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sound of, that costs 30.00 (USD)... !
>>>>
>>>> http://www.si-technologies.com/frontEnd/cm_productDetail.jsp?productID=18
>>>>
>>>> Tape Op reviewed it recently and while they didn't throw their
>>>> other amps in the trash, they did like it. Now, a 30 buck amp that
>>>> sounds good is cool enough, but what is really cool is a 300.00
>>>> amp that kills 2K amps and puts out 1000 watts. This is where
>>>> the Class T technology seems to be leading.
>>>>
>>>> Yes, this will show up in our industry.
>>>>
>>>> Anybody seen/heard any Class T stuff?
>>>>
>>>> DC
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>Hey all,

I am writing this on our new Dell Inspiron 6400 laptop.

Pretty nice actually. I still like OSX way better than XP, but this is a nice computer. Dual core, 1G ram etc etc.

One thing I really like is the hot-stuff Radeon X1400 video card. I have a dual-display extended desktop going where I can jabber with you all on this screen while watching porno on the other.

Just kidding...

It is cool though if you want to quote something, or keep track

of something while doing something else. I have used extended desktops on lots of macs but this is the first PC. Works well, and the card looks terrific.

Why buy a PC? My wife needs it for work, and I need it for a bunch of audio apps for my work as well.

I like it!

DCJerry Tubbs has a pretty solid track record in Austin.

"Chris Latham" <latham_c@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:44e54728@linux...

> An old high school buddy of mine, Philipp Hubner, is a mastering engineer in

> Austin, at the Production Block.

>

> <http://productionblock.com/index2.html>

>

> He was a good cello player back in the day. I've never heard any of his
> mastering work, but the mastering folks I've spent some time around, are
> generally open minded to whatever the client wants to hear. You might
blow

> in a call to him.

>

>Well I've finished my employment at my previous job.

I no longer have a company car with fully funded fuel and maintenance. :o(

However, what I do have is a job somewhat in the entertainment industry, finally, as a day job, so I'll be able to really get into what I'm doing with any luck.

And I do have a nice classic car with a V8. Petrol, as we call it, or what U.S. residents usually call "gas" is priced through the roof, and it does guzzle the gas, but conveniently our government just introduced \$2000 subsidies on LPG conversions, which is an actual gas in the physics sense to run cars. Petrol here costs about \$1.40 a litre at the moment, or \$5.30 a gallon. LPG costs only about 40c a litre, or \$1.51 a gallon. You do use about 20% more fuel, but at those prices the savings are obvious, and I can run my big old heavy car with a V8 for considerably less than the average new car on petrol, so it's all good.

Hmmm... Austereo... finally a job in the right industry... WOOHOO!!

Cheers,
Kim.boring lectures
brittany spears interviews

stuff like that

On 18 Aug 2006 11:42:17 +1000, "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>
>LOL! Good point, Thad!
>
>I'll take a shot at a few. Maybe...:
>
>Famine or malnutrition
>Influenza (various endemics of such, throughout time)
>Old Age (ok, it's kind of a "Duh!", but it's still a killer)
>Infection of wounds
>Death at the hands (paws) of wild beasts
>
>That's all I can come up with.
>
>Neil
>
>
>
>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>
>>I'd be interested to hear the nine candidates you would propose ahead of
>it.
>>
>>
>>TCB
>>
>>rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>sarah
>>>
>>>everyone wants to be the holder of "the truth" and feel compelled to
>>>convince others even if they have to kill them to do it. thankfully
>>>not all go to that extreme...unfortunately many are willing to do just
>>>that...on both sides of the argument. religion has to be in the top
>>>ten killers of humanity throughout history.
>>>
>>>On Wed, 16 Aug 2006 12:00:26 -0700, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>>(heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I learned
>>
>>>>years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel compelled
>>to
>>>>make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently wrong

>>in
>>>>your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying to
>>view
>>>>me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call "me."
>>>>
>>>>First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant. Faith
>is
>>
>>>>essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not rest
>>on
>>>>logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own definition
>>if
>>>>you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me. If
>>you
>>>>have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe in
>>
>>>>gravity, even though I can't explain it to you. It's obviously,
>>>>demonstrably, and universally agreeably real.
>>>>
>>>>Second, I am not saying God is unknowable . . . I'm saying I personally
>>do
>>>>not know who or what created this universe or when or why, and I see no
>>
>>>>evidence that anyone else does either, in spite of their fervent claims.
>> I
>>>>do believe in a higher power which is very exhilarating to feel truly
>
>>>>connected to, but the attempts of religion in general to describe this
>>
>>>>"supreme being" anthropomorphically just strike me as very superficial
>>and
>>>>frankly, kind of childish. I put "God" in quotes because I think it's
>>silly
>>>>to try and turn this awesome everpresent life force into a "guy in the
>>sky."
>>>>I do not "blind" myself with this point of view. Quite the opposite,
>my
>>
>>>>mind is wide open to spiritual experience, not limited to ancient dogma
>>that
>>>>I have no reason to believe.
>>>>
>>>>Which leads me to the real point I was hoping to make in fewer words:
>
>>I
>>>>have been arguing these ideas with believers since I was 17 (LONG time
>>ago),
>>>>and in all that time not ONE of them has given me a REASON to believe

>that
>>
>>>>the bible is anything more than legend, parable, mythology, and a smattering
>>
>>>>of history. I should believe it's the word of God why? Because it claims
>>
>>>>to be? Those are the claims of Roman bishops and other ancient human
>beings
>>
>>>>whose motives and honesty I know nothing about. And if the bible is the
>>
>>>>word of God, I'm not impressed. I expect better from a supreme being.
>>>>
>>>>Like the dozens before you, you tell me repeatedly what you believe in
>>a way
>>>>that suggests no opposing belief can possibly be true. I know WHAT you
>>
>>>>believe, I've heard it a thousand times over the last 30-some years.
>What
>>I
>>>>don't know is WHY you believe it. Because it gives you hope? Because
>>you
>>>>were desperate for answers and a bible was handy? Because your parents
>>
>>>>believed it? Because you dropped acid and saw Jesus? Because you happened
>>
>>>>to be born here rather than India or Iran? And if you had been born in
>>
>>>>India or Iran, would you be just as fervently Hindu or Muslim?
>>>>
>>>>Nor has anyone ever been able to explain the logic in a supposedly
>>>>omnipotent being sending his son to die for our sins. How does this make
>>
>>>>any sense? Isn't it more lik

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sun, 09 Jul 2006 00:34:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

is not in dispute. I'm concerned about what
>>has
>>>>been done and is being done in my name as an American, because I believe
>>
>>>>that to also be evil and I'm just a little more than worried about the
>>
>>>>potential consequences of this.
>>>>

>>>>But I have to be honest . . . I feel like I just wasted another hour that
>>I
>>>>could have been catching up on sleep in. I should have learned by now
>>that
>>>>the more you challenge fixed beliefs, the harder the believer clings to
>>
>>>>them. You are of course free to believe what

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sun, 09 Jul 2006 00:50:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

you want, but again, beliefs
>>
>>>>are by definition unproven, and therefore someone else's beliefs are not
>>
>>>>wrong simply because they contradict yours.
>>>>
>>>>OK, I mean it this time . . . I give up.
>>>>
>>>>Sarah
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinmaui.com> wrote in message news:44e23a46\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>>>>The problem with blind faith is that one risks being blinded by faith.
>>>>>>(Speaking from personal experience).
>>>>>
>>>>> Faith in God is not blind. What is blind is the choice to call God
>>>>> unknowable. That is a choice to remain blind, and its source is
>>>>> solely and admittedly, human. Your assumption is that all views are
>>>>> human at the source.
>>>>>
>>>>> I disagree emphatically.
>>>>>
>>>>> Everything proceeds from one's assumptions. Even considering
>>>>> that the creator cared enough to send his son to die for us,
>>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and then
>>left
>>>>> it
>>>>>>up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than competition.
>>>>>
>>>>>

>>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
 >>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
 >>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
 >>>>> they do? All is permitted.
 >>>>>
 >>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
 >>>>>
 >>>>>
 >>>>>>The
 >>>>>>"Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and
 >>>>>>effective
 >>>>>
 >>>>>>way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
 >>>>>
 >>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
 >>>>> rules".
 >>>>>
 >>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
 >>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
 >>>>>
 >>>>>
 >>>>>>As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm fine
 >>with
 >>>>>
 >>>>>>the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun to
 >>say
 >>>>>
 >>>>>>"what if this . . . " or "what if that . . . " but let's be honest here
 >>>>> --
 >>>>>>none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even then.
 >
 >>And
 >>>>> I'm
 >>>>>>sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me. It's
 >>just
 >>>>>
 >>>>>>stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly backward
 >>
 >>>>>>human
 >>>>>
 >>>>>>societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been inspired
 >>by
 >>>>> a
 >>>>>>supreme being.
 >>>>>
 >>>>>
 >>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
 >>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not

>>>>> care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
>>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
>>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
>>>>> themselves...
>>>>>
>>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
>>>>> assumptions about existence.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis
>>>>>>(conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile. What
>>do
>>>>> you
>>>>>>think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of brown
>>
>>>>>>rice?
>>>>>
>>>>>>I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists? 100?
>>>>> 500?
>>>>>>1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent and
>>
>>>>>>their
>>>>>
>>>>>>families.
>>>>>
>>>>>>Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or maimed.
>>>>>
>>>>>>If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can think
>>of
>>>>> some
>>>>>>heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but it's
>>a
>>>>>
>>>>>>nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange jumpsuits
>>and
>>>>>
>>>>>>chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.
>>>>>
>>>>>>Sarah
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
>>>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all
>>>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
>>>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you
>>>>> would have to.
>>>>>
>>>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring themselves

>>to do

>>>>> what they must to protect our way of life.

>>>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many

>>>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those

>>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.

>>>>>

>>>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the

>>>>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles

>>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms, to kill

>>>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not

>>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at

>>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.

>>>>>

>>>>> DC

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>>way cool...have fun and post the conversion pics when done.

On 18 Aug 2006 17:52:21 +1000, "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

 $\succ$ ∇

>Well I've finished my employment at my previous job.

 $\succ$

>I no longer have a company car with fully funded fuel and maintenance. :o(

 $\vee$

>However, what I do have is a job somewhat in the entertainment industry,

>finally, as a day job, so I'll be able to really get into what I'm doing

>with any luck.

 $\succ$

>And I do have a nice classic car with a V8. Petrol, as we call it, or what

>U.S. residents usually call "gas" is priced through the roof, and it does

>guzzle the gas, but conveniently our government just introduced \$2000 subsidies

>on LPG conversions, which is an actual gas in the physics sense to run cars.

>Petrol here costs about \$1.40 a litre at the moment, or \$5:30 a gallon. LPG

>costs only about 40c a litre, or \$1.51 a gallon. You do use about 20% more

>fuel, but at those prices the savings are obvious, and I can run my big old

>heavy car with a V8 for considerably less than the average new car on petrol,

>so it's all good.

 ∇

>Hmmm... Austereo... finally a job in the right industry... WOOHOO!!

 $\vee$

>Cheers,

>Kim.Wow,

never heard of this place.

It looks nice and they certainly appear to have lots of clientel.
They charge \$150/hr for tracking. That has got to be close to the most
I have heard of around these parts.

--

Brandon

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:44e54e71@linux...

> Jerry Tubbs has a pretty solid track record in Austin.

>

> "Chris Latham" <latham_c@bellsouth.net> wrote in message

> news:44e54728@linux...

> > An old high school buddy of mine, Philipp Hubner, is a mastering
engineer

> in

> > Austin, at the Production Block.

> >

> > <http://productionblock.com/index2.html>

> >

> > He was a good cello player back in the day. I've never heard any of his

> > mastering work, but the mastering folks I've spent some time around, are

> > generally open minded to whatever the client wants to hear. You might

> blow

> > in a call to him.

> >

> >

>

>Congratulations Kim!

James

"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>

>Well I've finished my employment at my previous job.

>

>I no longer have a company car with fully funded fuel and maintenance. :o(

>

>However, what I do have is a job somewhat in the entertainment industry,

>finally, as a day job, so I'll be able to really get into what I'm doing

>with any luck.

>

>And I do have a nice classic car with a V8. Petrol, as we call it, or what

>U.S. residents usually call "gas" is priced through the roof, and it does

>guzzle the gas, but conveniently our government just introduced \$2000 subsidies

>on LPG conversions, which is an actual gas in the physics sense to run cars.

>Petrol here costs about \$1.40 a litre at the moment, or \$5:30 a gallon.

LPG

>costs only about 40c a litre, or \$1.51 a gallon. You do use about 20% more

>fuel, but at those prices the savings are obvious, and I can run my big old

>heavy car with a V8 for considerably less than the average new car on petrol,
>so it's all good.

>

>Hmmm... Austereo... finally a job in the right industry... WOOHOO!!

>

>Cheers,

>Kim.I know I'm going to sound like a

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sun, 09 Jul 2006 01:04:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

pedant but I'm going to say it anyway. The founders were keenly aware of the importance of keeping religion out of the public sphere precisely because the recent history of Europe had shown how dangerous it is when it gets there. About a hundred years earlier (and the American aristocracy that made the country were keen historians almost to a one) a religious war had sent England very close to complete anarchy. The entire idea of the country was to avoid entanglement in the issues that had plagued Europe for centuries, sectarian violence surrounding religion and monarchy. It bothers me immensely when somehow Franklin and Jefferson are retrofitted with vestments.

As I've said here, I respect anyone's beliefs unless and until they interfere with my life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness. If you don't like 'suggestive' billboards or strip clubs you'll have to pass laws against them that meet the standards of the constitution. As Jefferson said, whether my neighbor wishes to worship a different god than I do, or no god at all, neither picks my pockets nor breaks my bones. But considering the damage that religious strife has caused in history I think any error should be made on the side of caution.

TCB

Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>On 8/17/06 10:19 AM, in article 44e495a1@linux, "Jamie K"

><Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>

>>

>> We're a lot closer to becoming a Christian theocracy at the moment.

>>

>> Cheers,

>> -Jamie

>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

>

>Actually most Christians, including many Christian leaders and ministers
>I've talked to agree with me that we are closer to outlawing Christianity
>than accepting it as a nation, much less adopting it. Separation of church
>and state is actually quite a well-respected concept for most of the
>Christian community. However, it isn't really fair to expect Christians
to
>just sit out of politics and voting completely to ease the fears of the
>non-Christian community. For a non-Christian, any hint of Christianity
may
>seem like too much, or even a threat, but to a longtime Christian, the
>deterioration of acceptance for our faith is sadly quite apparent, even
on
>this forum.

>

>Let's be brutally honest here, how many people on this forum really don't
>mind a Christian sharing their views with conviction, and how many
>truthfully have a problem with it? I'm sure it is tolerable (at best) as
>long as it doesn't challenge or confront others' views (which by very
>nature, it will, otherwise it wouldn't be faith with any life-impacting
>substance). On the other hand, most religious threads here have rather
>strong opinions on the non-Christian side - often even claiming that
>Christianity is more violent than Islam, or that Christianity somehow is
>responsible for our country going to Iraq (incredibly far from the truth).
>Isn't that just one step away from Mel Gibson's drunken debacle of
>slandering Jews? I'm sure that may not be the intention, but consider the
>arguments here for equal rights and peace vs. the way Christianity is
>discussed. If we are truly tolerant of one another, we wouldn't need to
>ascribe violence and/or all of our country's, or the world's problems to
the
>faith of someone we might chat with casually in other circumstances. I'm
>not asking this from a "victim" mentality in any form or fashion (far from
>it). I'm just raising the question out of genuine curiosity and broadening
>the perspectives here.

>

>For one societal example, in New Orleans the ACLU is protesting (and
>probably filed suit) against people in one community for wanting to build
a
>memorial to victims of Katrina - one that includes a cross. The land is
>private as is the funding, but as it is in public view, the ACLU is taking
>issue. If we exercise equality of "rights" would that not give me the right
>as a Christian to file suit against an adult nightclub for a billboard
>promoting topless dancing, with suggestive photos? No, that would violate
>the owner's right to free speech, and others' opinion of what is
>"suggestive" and whether that could "suggest" anything objectionable for
>anyone. What is the difference? A cross isn't going to tempt anyone to
get

>drunk, spend money that should be going to support a family, or worst case,
 >rape a woman (really worst case, not a statistical norm that I know of,
 at
 >least hope not) - it is actually more likely to cause the exact opposite,
 >but yet it is becoming a more common source of public outcry than crime
 >rates or corporate corruption.
 >
 >My prediction is that Christian churches will start being targeted by the
 >ACLU and other "rights" groups not wanting structures of any kind that
 >promote Christianity in public view. And it wouldn't be much of a leap
 from
 >there to having something as simple as praying over one's meal in public
 >become grounds for dismissal from a restaurant, if not legal or criminal
 >action. Sure, that's extrapolation, but that may give you a bit of insight
 >into why there seems to be more of a push by Christians to get Christians
 >involved in political issues - not to take over or dictate, but to protect
 >the freedom we are also supposed to be allowed. There are other examples
 of
 >how this trend is progressing, but I have to get back to work. ;-)
 >
 >The thing is, most Christians believe it is coming. The question is, who
 >wants to be on the side that one day tells me personally that I and my
 >family will no longer be welcome here? Just something to think about. I
 >really don't mind opposing opinions in the least and enjoy well-tempered
 >discussions. My faith is far greater than any government, threat of being
 >ostracized, or even death, so a little discussion is hardly a .
 >
 >I hope anyone reading this (however few ;-)) will take it in the spirit it
 is
 >intended - just something to consider.
 >
 >Regards,
 >Dedric
 >
 >
 >>
 >>
 >>
 >Actually, you have called belief in God "morally suspect", and
 have expressed a fair amount of disrespect for faith on several
 occasions. Just for the record. Your use of the word "respect"
 here is window dressing for your real agenda and opinions it seems.

As for religion in the public sphere, the first amendment says
 less about it than some think.

It clearly bans a state religion and the
 prohibition of religious practice, and I think it is reasonable to

extrapolate that it protects the beliefs of atheists. However, the idea that it can be used to ban crosses on veterans cemeteries, the pledge, and "In God We Trust" on currency, is legally and historically suspect. There are several cases in the works to test this theory, so we shall see.

DC

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>As I've said here, I respect anyone's beliefs unless and until they interfere
>with my life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness. If you don't like 'suggestive'
>billboards or strip clubs you'll have to pass laws against them that meet
>the standards of the constitution. As Jefferson said, whether my neighbor
>wishes to worship a different god than I do, or no god at all, neither picks
>my pockets nor breaks my bones. But considering the damage that religious
>strife has caused in history I think any error should be made on the side
>of caution.

>

>TCB

>

>Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>>On 8/17/06 10:19 AM, in article 44e495a1@linux, "Jamie K"

>><Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>>

>>>

>>> We're a lot closer to becoming a Christian theocracy at the moment.

>>>

>>> Cheers,

>>> -Jamie

>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

>>

>>Actually most Christians, including many Christian leaders and ministers

>>I've talked to agree with me that we are closer to outlawing Christianity

>>than accepting it as a nation, much less adopting it. Separation of church

>>and state is actually quite a well-respected concept for most of the

>>Christian community. However, it isn't really fair to expect Christians

>to

>>just sit out of politics and voting completely to ease the fears of the

>>non-Christian community. For a non-Christian, any hint of Christianity

>may

>>seem like too much, or even a threat, but to a longtime Christian, the

>>deterioration of acceptance for our faith is sadly quite apparent, even

>on

>>this forum.

>>

>>Let's be brutally honest here, how many people on this forum really don't

>>mind a Christian sharing their views with conviction, and how many

>>truthfully have a problem with it? I'm sure it is tolerable (at best)
as
>>long as it doesn't challenge or confront others' views (which by very
>>nature, it will, otherwise it wouldn't be faith with any life-impacting
>>substance). On the other hand, most religious threads here have rather
>>strong opinions on the non-Christian side - often even claiming that
>>Christianity is more violent than Islam, or that Christianity somehow is
>>responsible for our country going to Iraq (incredibly far from the truth).
>>Isn't that just one step away from Mel Gibson's drunken debacle of
>>slandering Jews? I'm sure that may not be the intention, but consider
the
>>arguments here for equal rights and peace vs. the way Christianity is
>>discussed. If we are truly tolerant of one another, we wouldn't need to
>>ascribe violence and/or all of our country's, or the world's problems to
>the
>>faith of someone we might chat with casually in other circumstances. I'm
>>not asking this from a "victim" mentality in any form or fashion (far from
>>it). I'm just raising the question out of genuine curiosity and broadening
>>the perspectives here.
>>
>>For one societal example, in New Orleans the ACLU is protesting (and
>>probably filed suit) against people in one community for wanting to build
>a
>>memorial to victims of Katrina - one that includes a cross. The land is
>>private as is the funding, but as it is in public view, the ACLU is taking
>>issue. If we exercise equality of "rights" would that not give me the
right
>>as a Christian to file suit against an adult nightclub for a billboard
>>promoting topless dancing, with suggestive photos? No, that would violate
>>the owner's right to free speech, and others' opinion of what is
>>"suggestive" and whether that could "suggest" anything objectionable for
>>anyone. What is the difference? A cross isn't going to tempt anyone to
>get
>>drunk, spend money that should be going to support a family, or worst case,
>>rape a woman (really worst case, not a statistical norm that I know of,
>at
>>least hope not) - it is actually more likely to cause the exact opposite,
>>but yet it is becoming a more common source of public outcry than crime
>>rates or corporate corruption.
>>
>>My prediction is that Christian churches will start being targeted by the
>>ACLU and other "rights" groups not wanting structures of any kind that
>>promote Christianity in public view. And it wouldn't be much of a leap
>from
>>there to having something as simple as praying over one's meal in public
>>become grounds for dismissal from a restaurant, if not legal or criminal
>>action. Sure, that's extrapolation, but that may give you a bit of insight
>>into why there seems to be more of a push by Christians to get Christians

>>involved in political issues - not to take over or dictate, but to protect
>>the freedom we are also supposed to be allowed. There are other examples
>of
>>how this trend is progressing, but I have to get back to work. ;-)
>>
>>The thing is, most Christians believe it is coming. The question is, who
>>wants to be on the side that one day tells me personally that I and my
>>family will no longer be welcome here? Just something to think about.
I
>>really don't mind opposing opinions in the least and enjoy well-tempered
>>dis

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sun, 09 Jul 2006 01:16:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cussions. My faith is far greater than any government, threat of being
>>ostracized, or even death, so a little discussion is hardly a .
>>
>>I hope anyone reading this (however few ;-) will take it in the spirit
it
>is
>>intended - just something to consider.
>>
>>Regards,
>>Dedric
>>
>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>But considering the damage that religious
>strife has caused in history I think any error should be made on the side
>of caution.

I call comments like these "atheist campfire songs", because they
allow atheists to feel superior while ignoring history.

Considering that the 20th century brought us 200 million dead
at the hands of secularists like Mao, Stalin, Pol Pot and so many
others, it might not quite be time for the superior dance yet...

Well I wasn't expecting the STALINIST PURGES!

NOBODY expects the STALINIST PURGES!! our chief weapons are fear, intimidation, the gulag and murder!!

DCActually, I said that _I consider_ the three religions based on the vengeful Levantine sky god 'morally suspect' which I still believe. Unsurprisingly, you equate a general 'belief in God' with 'DC's christian beliefs' which might bring quizzical looks from 850 million Hindus.

And of course our currency always had 'in god we trust' on it, except that it didn't.

<http://www.treasury.gov/education/fact-sheets/currency/in-go-d-we-trust.shtml>

We atheists don't really have an agenda. How could we? There's not much prosteltizing to be done for non-belief. I guess we do have one agenda, to be left alone on that pursuit that happiness Jefferson promised us.

TCB

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>

>Actually, you have called belief in God "morally suspect", and
>have expressed a fair amount of disrespect for faith on several
>occasions. Just for the record. Your use of the word "respect"
>here is window dressing for your real agenda and opinions it seems.

>

>As for religion in the public sphere, the first amendment says
>less about it than some think.

>

>It clearly bans a state religion and the
>prohibition of religious practice, and I think it is reasonable to
>extrapolate that it protects the beliefs of atheists. However,
>the idea that it can be used to ban crosses on veterans cemeteries, the
pledge,
>and "In God We Trust" on currency, is legally and
>historically suspect. There are several cases in the works to test
>this theory, so we shall see.

>

>DC

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>

>>As I've said here, I respect anyone's beliefs unless and until they interfere
>>with my life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness. If you don't like 'suggestive'
>>billboards or strip clubs you'll have to pass laws against them that meet
>>the standards of the constitution. As Jefferson said, whether my neighbor

>>wishes to worship a different god than I do, or no god at all, neither picks

>>my pockets nor breaks my bones. But considering the damage that religious

>>strife has caused in history I think any error should be made on the side

>>of caution.

>>

>>TCB

>>

>>Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>>>On 8/17/06 10:19 AM, in article 44e495a1@linux, "Jamie K"

>>><Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>>

>>>> We're a lot closer to becoming a Christian theocracy at the moment.

>>>>

>>>> Cheers,

>>>> -Jamie

>>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

>>>

>>>Actually most Christians, including many Christian leaders and ministers

>>>I've talked to agree with me that we are closer to outlawing Christianity

>>>than accepting it as a nation, much less adopting it. Separation of church

>>>and state is actually quite a well-respected concept for most of the

>>>Christian community. However, it isn't really fair to expect Christians

>>to

>>>just sit out of politics and voting completely to ease the fears of the

>>>non-Christian community. For a non-Christian, any hint of Christianity

>>may

>>>seem like too much, or even a threat, but to a longtime Christian, the

>>>deterioration of acceptance for our faith is sadly quite apparent, even

>>on

>>>this forum.

>>>

>>>Let's be brutally honest here, how many people on this forum really don't

>>>mind a Christian sharing their views with conviction, and how many

>>>truthfully have a problem with it? I'm sure it is tolerable (at best)

>as

>>>long as it doesn't challenge or confront others' views (which by very

>>>nature, it will, otherwise it wouldn't be faith with any life-impacting

>>>substance). On the other hand, most religious threads here have rather

>>>strong opinions on the non-Christian side - often even claiming that

>>>Christianity is more violent than Islam, or that Christianity somehow

>>>is

>>>responsible for our country going to Iraq (incredibly far from the truth).

>>>Isn't that just one step away from Mel Gibson's drunken debacle of

>>>slandering Jews? I'm sure that may not be the intention, but consider

>the

>>>arguments here for equal rights and peace vs. the way Christianity is

>>>discussed. If we are truly tolerant of one another, we wouldn't need
to
>>>ascribe violence and/or all of our co

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sun, 09 Jul 2006 01:19:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

untry's, or the world's problems
to
>>the
>>>faith of someone we might chat with casually in other circumstances.
I'm
>>>not asking this from a "victim" mentality in any form or fashion (far
from
>>>it). I'm just raising the question out of genuine curiosity and broadening
>>>the perspectives here.
>>>
>>>For one societal example, in New Orleans the ACLU is protesting (and
>>>probably filed suit) against people in one community for wanting to build
>>a
>>>memorial to victims of Katrina - one that includes a cross. The land
is
>>>private as is the funding, but as it is in public view, the ACLU is taking
>>>issue. If we exercise equality of "rights" would that not give me the
>right
>>>as a Christian to file suit against an adult nightclub for a billboard
>>>promoting topless dancing, with suggestive photos? No, that would violate
>>>the owner's right to free speech, and others' opinion of what is
>>>"suggestive" and whether that could "suggest" anything objectionable for
>>>anyone. What is the difference? A cross isn't going to tempt anyone
to
>>get
>>>drunk, spend money that should be going to support a family, or worst
case,
>>>rape a woman (really worst case, not a statistical norm that I know of,
>>at
>>>least hope not) - it is actually more likely to cause the exact opposite,
>>>but yet it is becoming a more common source of public outcry than crime
>>>rates or corporate corruption.
>>>
>>>My prediction is that Christian churches will start being targeted by
the
>>>ACLU and other "rights" groups not wanting structures of any kind that
>>>promote Christianity in public view. And it wouldn't be much of a leap
>>from
>>>there to having something as simple as praying over one's meal in public

>>>become grounds for dismissal from a restaurant, if not legal or criminal
>>>action. Sure, that's extrapolation, but that may give you a bit of insight
>>>into why there seems to be more of a push by Christians to get Christians
>>>involved in political issues - not to take over or dictate, but to protect
>>>the freedom we are also supposed to be allowed. There are other examples
>>of
>>>how this trend is progressing, but I have to get back to work. ;-)
>>>
>>>The thing is, most Christians believe it is coming. The question is,
who
>>>wants to be on the side that one day tells me personally that I and my
>>>family will no longer be welcome here? Just something to think about.
>I
>>>really don't mind opposing opinions in the least and enjoy well-tempered
>>>discussions. My faith is far greater than any government, threat of being
>>>ostracized, or even death, so a little discussion is hardly a .
>>>
>>>I hope anyone reading this (however few ;-) will take it in the spirit
>it
>>is
>>>intended - just something to consider.
>>>
>>>Regards,
>>>Dedric
>>>
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>Hi again..You could possibly be correct in a small way,on a electric
solid-body guitar...but not in a "universal" and scientific way.You are
totally wrong about the "open vs fretted" scale...they are totally
related...You are not taking in to account many variables,including
"partials",neck relief,fret height,finger pressure.As I said before your
"experiment" with nuts has been done,WAY back in the early 1960's.by using a
fully adjustable nut (imagine your Tune-O-matic bridge on the nut and
saddle). This did NOT catch on to any builders(except Microfret,which went
out of business) Why didn't Fender or Gibson,or Martin pursue this type of
adjustment??..It is a trade-off only..You are only "splittting the
difference" of the total distance of the string...It is still impossible to
divide the freq numbers evenly....This is "Physics",based on Pythagorean
theory..If you like,I can recommend a book for you to study about the
subject. Understanding the scale of a 6-string guitar is elementary,compared
to the 200 plus strings on a grand piano scale design...There are literally
hundreds of scale designs for pianos..NONE of them are perfect....
"DC" <dc@spammersinoregon.com> wrote in message news:44e52d21\$1@linux...

>
> "tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:
> >I beg to differ with you, it is part of the same quest as trying to
change
> >the individual string lengths,at the termination ends...
>
>
> Over the entire instrument, not between the nut and the first fret.
>
> Ralph told me that his guitars are designed to sound full and warm
> on lead and twangy and clear on the low strings. A strat and a Les
> Paul on one guitar. The issue of nut compensation cannot be
> addressed by scale length, or even multiple scale lengths on one
> instrument. it can only be addressed by a compensated nut.
>
>
> >How to get a fretted
> >instrument to maintain the same mathematical ratio,as it goes up the
> >fretboard..also how to get the octave harmonic at the 12th fret to be
> >exact....
>
> And once you fret a note, the issue of nut intonation no longer
> exists. Compensated guitar nuts is purely an approach to deal with
> the pitch accuracy between open and fretted notes.
>
>
> >Novax has solved another side of the same problem.It is a different
> >sound to play the fanned frets....It takes some getting used to...He
could
> >put a "compensated" nut on those guitars....He must have tried it,and
found
> >it didn't really help .
>
> Why don't you ask him? I can assure you that it does help, and
> in significant ways. BTW, I got comfortable with the fanned frets
> in about 5 minutes. Lovely guitars really.
>
> >As long as you get the 12th fret at the exact 1/2
> >way point of the scale,you're in good shape...as long as the fret
distances
> >are measured and cut correctly.
>
> This simply is not the case.
> Without compensating the nut, the open string and the fretted
> strings will not match. This is why open E chords and open D
> chords do not usually both sound good on guitars. We adjust for it
> by avoiding the strings that don't work in the other chord, but that
> is not fixing it.

>

> DC

>But you said *right below* that you respect "anyone's" beliefs, and indeed you do not. Glad to hear you say you respect Hindus, but I doubt many of them would find your respect something they could be certain of.

As far as proselytizing. Here you go:

Atheist evangelists on parade:

<http://www.the-brights.net/>

<http://www.atheists.org/>

<http://www.atheists.com/>

and there's lots more. My uncles were good friends with Madalyn Murray O'Hare, and I can tell you that while atheist proselytizing may take the form of ridicule, hostility, and hatred more than exhortation and encouragement, it does, most certainly, exist.

As far as the currency goes, I care much less that the statement is on there, than I am alarmed at the push to take it off, all the while

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sun, 09 Jul 2006 01:58:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

e denying an agenda...

amazing really.

DC

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>

>Actually, I said that _I consider_ the three religions based on the vengeful

>Levantine sky god 'morally suspect' which I still believe. Unsurprisingly,

>you equate a general 'belief in God' with 'DC's christian beliefs' which

>might bring quizzical looks from 850 million Hindus.

>

>And of course our currency always had 'in god we trust' on it, except that

>it didn't.

>

> [http://www.treasury.gov/education/fact-sheets/currency/in-go d-we-trust.shtml](http://www.treasury.gov/education/fact-sheets/currency/in-go-d-we-trust.shtml)

>

>We atheists don't really have an agenda. How could we? There's not much
>prosteltizing

>to be done for non-belief. I guess we do have one agenda, to be left alone
>on that pursuit that happiness Jefferson promised us.

>

>TCB

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>>

>>Actually, you have called belief in God "morally suspect", and
>>have expressed a fair amount of disrespect for faith on several
>>occasions. Just for the record. Your use of the word "respect"
>>here is window dressing for your real agenda and opinions it seems.

>>

>>As for religion in the public sphere, the first amendment says
>>less about it than some think.

>>

>>It clearly bans a state religion and the
>>prohibition of religious practice, and I think it is reasonable to
>>extrapolate that it protects the beliefs of atheists. However,
>>the idea that it can be used to ban crosses on veterans cemeteries, the
>pledge,

>>and "In God We Trust" on currency, is legally and
>>historically suspect. There are several cases in the works to test
>>this theory, so we shall see.

>>

>>DC

>>

>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>

>>>As I've said here, I respect anyone's beliefs unless and until they interfere
>>>with my life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness. If you don't like 'suggestive'
>>>billboards or strip clubs you'll have to pass laws against them that meet
>>>the standards of the constitution. As Jefferson said, whether my neighbor
>>>wishes to worship a different god than I do, or no god at all, neither
>picks

>>>my pockets nor breaks my bones. But considering the damage that religious
>>>strife has caused in history I think any error should be made on the side
>>>of caution.

>>>

>>>TCB

>>>

>>>Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>>>>On 8/17/06 10:19 AM, in article 44e495a1@linux, "Jamie K"

>>>><Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>>>>

>>>>>
>>>>> We're a lot closer to becoming a Christian theocracy at the moment.
>>>>>
>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>>>>
>>>>> Actually most Christians, including many Christian leaders and ministers
>>>>> I've talked to agree with me that we are closer to outlawing Christianity
>>>>> than accepting it as a nation, much less adopting it. Separation of
church
>>>>> and state is actually quite a well-respected concept for most of the
>>>>> Christian community. However, it isn't really fair to expect Christians
>>>>> to
>>>>> just sit out of politics and voting completely to ease the fears of the
>>>>> non-Christian community. For a non-Christian, any hint of Christianity
>>>>> may
>>>>> seem like too much, or even a threat, but to a longtime Christian, the
>>>>> deterioration of acceptance for our faith is sadly quite apparent, even
>>>>> on
>>>>> this forum.
>>>>>
>>>>> Let's be brutally honest here, how many people on this forum really don't
>>>>> mind a Christian sharing their views with conviction, and how many
>>>>> truthfully have a problem with it? I'm sure it is tolerable (at best)
>>>>> as
>>>>> long as it doesn't challenge or confront others' views (which by very
>>>>> nature, it will, otherwise it wouldn't be faith with any life-impacting
>>>>> substance). On the other hand, most religious threads here have rather
>>>>> strong opinions on the non-Christian side - often even claiming that
>>>>> Christianity is more violent than Islam, or that Christianity somehow
>is
>>>>> responsible for our country going to Iraq (incredibly far from the truth).
>>>>> Isn't that just one step away from Mel Gibson's drunken debacle of
>>>>> slandering Jews? I'm sure that may not be the intention, but consider
>>the
>>>>> arguments here for equal rights and peace vs. the way Christianity is
>>>>> discussed. If we are truly tolerant of one another, we wouldn't need
>to
>>>>> ascribe violence and/or all of our country's, or the world's problems
>to
>>>>> the
>>>>> faith of someone we might chat with casually in other circumstances.

>I'm
>>>>> not asking this from a "victim" mentality in any form or fashion (far
>from
>>>>> it). I'm just raising the question out of genuine curiosity and broadening

>>>>the perspectives here.
>>>>
>>>>For one societal example, in New Orleans the ACLU is protesting (and
>>>>probably filed suit) against people in one community for wanting to build
>>>a
>>>>memorial to victims of Katrina - one that includes a cross. The land
>is
>>>>private as is the funding, but as it is in public view, the ACLU is taking
>>>>issue. If we exercise equality of "rights" would that not give me the
>>right
>>>>as a Christian to file suit against an adult nightclub for a billboard
>>>>promoting topless dancing, with suggestive photos? No, that would violate
>>>>the owner's right to free speech, and others' opinion of what is
>>>>"suggestive" and whether that could "suggest" anything objectionable
>for
>>>>anyone. What is the difference? A cross isn't going to tempt anyone
>to
>>>get
>>>>drunk, spend money that should be going to support a family, or worst
>case,
>>>>rape a woman (really worst case, not a statistical norm that I know of,
>>>at
>>>>least hope not) - it is actually more likely to cause the exact

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sun, 09 Jul 2006 02:37:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

opposite,
>>>>but yet it is becoming a more common source of public outcry than crime
>>>>rates or corporate corruption.
>>>>
>>>>My prediction is that Christian churches will start being targeted by
>the
>>>>ACLU and other "rights" groups not wanting structures of any kind that
>>>>promote Christianity in public view. And it wouldn't be much of a leap
>>>from
>>>>there to having something as simple as praying over one's meal in public
>>>>become grounds for dismissal from a restaurant, if not legal or criminal
>>>>action. Sure, that's extrapolation, but that may give you a bit of insight
>>>>into why there seems to be more of a push by Christians to get Christians
>>>>involved in political issues - not to take over or dictate, but to protect
>>>>the freedom we are also supposed to be allowed. There are other examples
>>>of
>>>>how this trend is progressing, but I have to get back to work. ;-)
>>>>
>>>>The thing is, most Christians believe it is coming. The question is,

>who
>>>>wants to be on the side that one day tells me personally that I and my
>>>>family will no longer be welcome here? Just something to think about.
>>I
>>>>really don't mind opposing opinions in the least and enjoy well-tempered
>>>>discussions. My faith is far greater than any government, threat of being
>>>>ostracized, or even death, so a little discussion is hardly a .
>>>>
>>>>I hope anyone reading this (however few ;-) will take it in the spirit
>>it
>>>is
>>>>intended - just something to consider.
>>>>
>>>>Regards,
>>>>Dedric
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>I think you're confusing 'respect' with 'adhere to.' I can respect someone's
beliefs and still disagree with them. I respect the beliefs of those who
believe an alien abduction but I don't think that happens.

As an atheist, when I get tax exempt buildings and special parking spaces
I'll start believing we have an agenda.

TCB

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.org> wrote:

>
>But you said *right below* that you respect "anyone's" beliefs,
>and indeed you do not. Glad to hear you say you respect Hindus,
>but I doubt many of them would find your respect something they
>could be certain of.
>
>As far as proselytizing. Here you go:
>
>Atheist evangelists on parade:
>
><http://www.the-brights.net/>
><http://www.atheists.org/>
><http://www.atheists.com/>
>
>and there's lots more. My uncles were good friends with Madalyn

>Murray O'Hare, and I can tell you that while atheist proselytizing
>may take the form of ridicule, hostility, and hatred more than
>exhortation and encouragement, it does, most certainly, exist.
>
>As far as the currency goes, I care much less that the statement
>is on there, than I am alarmed at the push to take it off, all the
>while denying an agenda...
>
>amazing really.
>
>DC
>
>
>
>
>
>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>
>>Actually, I said that _I consider_ the three religions based on the vengeful
>>Levantine sky god 'morally suspect' which I still believe. Unsurprisingly,
>>you equate a general 'belief in God' with 'DC's christian beliefs' which
>>might bring quizzical looks from 850 million Hindus.
>>
>>And of course our currency always had 'in god we trust' on it, except that
>>it didn't.
>>
>> <http://www.treasury.gov/education/fact-sheets/currency/in-god-we-trust.shtml>
>>
>>We atheists don't really have an agenda. How could we? There's not much
>prosteltizing
>>to be done for non-belief. I guess we do have one agenda, to be left alone
>>on that pursuit that happiness Jefferson promised us.
>>
>>TCB
>>
>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>Actually, you have called belief in God "morally suspect", and
>>>have expressed a fair amount of disrespect for faith on several
>>>occasions. Just for the record. Your use of the word "respect"
>>>here is window dressing for your real agenda and opinions it seems.
>>>
>>>As for religion in the public sphere, the first amendment says
>>>less about it than some think.
>>>
>>>It clearly bans a state religion and the
>>>prohibition of religious practice, and I think it is reasonable to
>>>extrapolate that it protects the beliefs of atheists. However,
>>>the idea that it can be used to ban crosses on veterans cemeteries, the

>>pledge,
>>>and "In God We Trust" on currency, is legally and
>>>historically suspect. There are several cases in the works to test
>>>this theory, so we shall see.
>>>
>>>DC
>>>
>>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>As I've said here, I respect anyone's beliefs unless and until they interfere
>>>>with my life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness. If you don't like 'suggestive'
>>>>billboards or strip clubs you'll have to pass laws against them that
meet
>>>>the standards of the constitution. As Jefferson said, whether my neighbor
>>>>wishes to worship a different god than I do, or no god at all, neither
>>picks
>>>>my pockets nor breaks my bones. But considering the damage that religious
>>>>strife has caused in history I think any error should be made on the
side
>>>>of caution.
>>>>
>>>>TCB
>>>>
>>>>Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>>On 8/17/06 10:19 AM, in article 44e495a1@linux, "Jamie K"
>>>>><Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> We're a lot closer to becoming a Christian theocracy at the moment.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Actually most Christians, including many Christian leaders and ministers
>>>>>>I've talked to agree with me that we are closer to outlawing Christianity
>>>>>>than accepting it as a nation, much less adopting it. Separation of
>church
>>>>>>and state is actually quite a well-respected concept for most of the
>>>>>>Christian community. However, it isn't really fair to expect Christians
>>>>to
>>>>>>just sit out of politics and voting completely to ease the fears of
the
>>>>>>non-Christian community. For a non-Christian, any hint of Christianity
>>>>may
>>>>>>seem like too much, or even a threat, but to a longtime Christian, the
>>>>>>deterioration of acceptance for our faith is sadly quite apparent, even
>>>>on

>>>>>this forum.
>>>>>
>>>>>Let's be brutally honest here, how many people on this forum really
don't
>>>>>mind a Christian sharing their views with conviction, and how many
>>>>>truthfully have a problem with it? I'm sure it is tolerable (at best)
>>>>as
>>>>>long as it doesn't challenge or confront others' views (which by very
>>>>>nature, it will, otherwise it wouldn't be faith with any life-impacting
>>>>>substance). On the other hand, most religious threads here have rat

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sun, 09 Jul 2006 02:49:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

morial to victims of Katrina - one that includes a cross. The land
>>is
>>>>>private as is the funding, but as it is in public view, the ACLU is
taking
>>>>>issue. If we exercise equality of "rights" would that not give me the
>>>right
>>>>>as a Christian to file suit against an adult nightclub for a billboard
>>>>>promoting topless dancing, with suggestive photos? No, that would violate
>>>>>the owner's right to free speech, and others' opinion of what is
>>>>>"suggestive" and whether that could "suggest" anything objectionable
>for
>>>>>anyone. What is the difference? A cross isn't going to tempt anyone
>>to
>>>>get
>>>>>drunk, spend money that should be going to support a family, or worst
>>case,
>>>>>rape a woman (really worst case, not a statistical norm that I know
of,
>>>>at
>>>>>least hope not) - it is actually more likely to cause the exact opposite,
>>>>>but yet it is becoming a more common source of public outcry than crime
>>>>>rates or corporate corruption.
>>>>>
>>>>>My prediction is that Christian churches will start being targeted by
>>the
>>>>>ACLU and other "rights" groups not wanting structures of any kind that
>>>>>promote Christianity in public view. And it wouldn't be much of a leap
>>>>from
>>>>>there to having something as simple as praying over one's meal in public
>>>>>become grounds for dismissal from a restaurant, if not legal or criminal
>>>>>action. Sure, that's extrapolation, but that may give you a bit of
insight

>>>>>into why there seems to be more of a push by Christians to get Christians
>>>>>involved in political issues - not to take over or dictate, but to protect
>>>>>the freedom we are also supposed to be allowed. There are other examples
>>>>>of
>>>>>how this trend is progressing, but I have to get back to work. ;-)
>>>>>
>>>>>The thing is, most Christians believe it is coming. The question is,
>>who
>>>>>wants to be on the side that one day tells me personally that I and
my
>>>>>family will no longer be welcome here? Just something to think about.
>>>I
>>>>>really don't mind opposing opinions in the least and enjoy well-tempered
>>>>>discussions. My faith is far greater than any government, threat of
being
>>>>>ostracized, or even death, so a little discussion is hardly a .
>>>>>
>>>>>I hope anyone reading this (however few ;-) will take it in the spirit
>>>it
>>>>>is
>>>>>intended - just something to consider.
>>>>>
>>>>>Regards,
>>>>>Dedric
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:
>Hi again..You could possibly be correct in a small way,on a electric
>solid-body guitar...but not in a "universal" and scientific way.

You're just not getting it. Try this. Take a good tuner, say a Petersen. Now take a variety of guitars, use any scale length or multiple scale lengths that you wish.

Compare the perfectly tuned open string to the pitch at the first fret. Use consistent pressure. Over a sampling of several guitars, you will find pitch problems unless the nut is compensated.

These pitch problems make significant problems when the chord you play has different open strings than another chord. If one chord

is in tune, another is not. Compensating the nut to match the needs of the individual guitars solves the problem.

I can show you the difference on a Petersen tuner, and it certainly is audible.

>You are
>totally wrong about the "open vs fretted" scale...they are totally
>related...

What I did was simply correct the inaccuracy of the nut on one particular guitar. Get it?

>You are not taking in to account many variables,including
>"partials",neck relief,fret height,finger pressure.

Don't need to. I can correct the built-in inaccuracy of the stock nut however. You need to have a well setup guitar before you do any of this. Pressure is up to the player, but good players are pretty consistent and that has no more to do with a compensated nut than it has to do with a compensated bridge.

>As I said before your
>"experiment" with nuts has been done,WAY back in the early 1960's.by using
a
>fully adjustable nut (imagine your Tune-O-matic bridge on the nut and
>saddle). This did NOT catch on to any builders(except Microfret,which went
>out of business) Why didn't Fender or Gibson,or Martin pursue this type
of
>adjustment??

Because they sell so many guitars without caring about it?
Because to do this right requires individual attention to the uniqueness of each guitar?
Because they do not know what strings you are going to use? Because it would add 50 bucks or so to the cost of each guitar to spend the time to get it right? Because a lot of players don't care and don't want to spend the money?

Some of us do care.

...It is a trade-off only..You are only "splitting the
>difference" of the total distance of the string...It is still impossible

to

>divide the freq numbers evenly....This is "Physics",based on Pythagorean
>theory..If you like,I can recommend a book for you to study about the
>subject.

Nonsense. I simply did the same thing at the nut that we do
at the bridge. You apparently don't understand this yet. Instead
of recommending books, why don't you try it yourself?

>Understanding the scale of a 6-string guitar is elementary,compared
>to the 200 plus strings on a grand piano scale design...There are literally
>hundreds of scale designs for pianos..NONE of them are perfect....

Perfection is a non-issue. Improvement is a real issue indeed
considering how many guitars have this problem.

Go check this out for yourself before you tell me I am wrong any
more ok?

thanks

DC"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>

>I think you're confusing 'respect' with 'adhere to.' I can respect someone's
>beliefs and still disagree with them. I respect the beliefs of those who
>believe an alien abduction but I don't think that happens.

No you don't. You have zero respect for those beliefs and
anyone who knows you can confirm this.

We say we respect beliefs, but we often do not. Madalyn Murray
O'Hare was a nasty old bat, full of hate and bitterness, who in the
end was killed by someone who subscribed to the same almighty
self as the final authority, that she did. Her beliefs lead straight to

hell IM-ever-so-HO.

Now, as an american, and certainly as a christian, I hope I would
change her tire in the rain, and I hope I would jump in and save
your butt from a beating if you got too friendly with one of your
brazilian stripper chicks and some guy decided to teach you a
lesson.

This practice of respect is what is lacking, not the profession of
respect, which is just words... I wish atheists practiced respect
more towards Christians. But hey, we understand your need to

dump on us. After all, we know about your doubt that we are wrong, and your fear that we may be right...

DCHi there...I have studied this type of thing for 40 years..I have set up dozens of guitars,and tuned thousands of pianos..I have a major in physics...I have a "solid state" Peterson tuner that is 30 years old...before that,I had a "Tube" strobe by Conn(1970)..I also have new Peterson small model..but they are only accurate to .01. I use a Reyburn Cybertuner these days ..accurate to .001 of a cent. I did your "experiment" with Strats and Les Pauls 35 years ago..It is only "splitting the difference" You can have only one "string length" whether it's a straight or compensated terminal end..Either a scale is "perfect" or "equal temperament" or it is "non-perfect" compensated,as most fretted instruments are(unless you are talking about fretless guitars,in which case a compensated bridge or nut would be useless) Pianos attempt to have "equal temperament"..they have no frets,but they do have even and odd "Partials" .These vary according to string length..That's why a 9-foot Steinway D sounds much better than a 4 foot upright,at the same exact pitch...The only note on a well-tuned piano that is "perfect" is the A-440,the rest of the notes are "tempered" to that A..an octave above the A 440 is NOT 880 !!....The fret placement on guitars is governed by the "nodes" on the string length..If you had a guitar neck 6 feet long,the sound would be beautiful,full of even order partials..but the fret spacing would be huge..and the need for compensation would diminish greatly...Lloyd Loar lenghtened the scales,and compensated the bridges on all Gibson products in the 1920's ,making a huge difference it the sound . My 1918 pre-Loar mandolin in very "off" in it's scale design..very short neck..but it still sounds great,and must be adjusted sometimes for different keys...BUT you CANNOT adjust for the first fret without adjusting for the whole string length...period...I NEVER said you were wrong,I just said you are only covering a small part of the picture...

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.org> wrote in message news:44e5ed8f\$

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!

Posted by [Kim W](#) on Sun, 09 Jul 2006 05:29:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

br />

>

> >Understanding the scale of a 6-string guitar is elementary,compared
> >to the 200 plus strings on a grand piano scale design...There are
literally

> >hundreds of scale designs for pianos..NONE of them are perfect....

>

>

> Perfection is a non-issue. Improvement is a real issue indeed

> considering how many guitars have this problem.

>
> Go check this out for yourself before you tell me I am wrong any
> more ok?
>
> thanks
>
> DC
>First, I had to google Madelyn O'Hare to find out who she was, so at the very
least I'm not part of the grand atheist conspiracy. I'm just a plain old
atheist. And, just so you know, I spend LOTS of time with people who don't
agree with what I think, and have no problem at all with them. Anyone who
actually does know me would happily confirm this. Enjoying their company
and conversation to me is the greatest proof of my 'respect' for their beliefs,
even if I don't always agree with them.

You, of course, in a daunting display of respect, tell me my beliefs consign
me to hell, which is already crowded with all those Hindus we were talking
about before.

TCB

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.org> wrote:

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>

>>I think you're confusing 'respect' with 'adhere to.' I can respect someone's
>>beliefs and still disagree with them. I respect the beliefs of those who
>>believe an alien abduction but I don't think that happens.

>

>

>No you don't. You have zero respect for those beliefs and
>anyone who knows you can confirm this.

>

>We say we respect beliefs, but we often do not. Madalyn Murray
>O'Hare was a nasty old bat, full of hate and bitterness, who in the
>end was killed by someone who subscribed to the same almighty
>self as the final authority, that she did. Her beliefs lead straight to
>
>hell IM-ever-so-HO.

>

>Now, as an american, and certainly as a christian, I hope I would
>change her tire in the rain, and I hope I would jump in and save
>your butt from a beating if you got too friendly with one of your
>brazilian stripper chicks and some guy decided to teach you a
>lesson.

>

>This practice of respect is what is lacking, not the profession of
>respect, which is just words... I wish atheists practiced respect

>more towards Christians. But hey, we understand your need to
>dump on us. After all, we know about your doubt that we are
>wrong, and your fear that we may be right...
>
>
>DCNot exactly.. you will still need more voltage to swing the AC current up
and down. Efficiency has no affect on that variable... It's about Peak to
Peak voltage. Less voltage P2P IME has meant less wattage out.

To support this (possibly dated) information, I have been into a load of car
audio amps, and one of the first things they do is step the voltage (through
some kind of converter be it a dc to dc or a tesla coil setup) to a more
respectable number. There is a cost in efficiency this way, but that's how
it works in those designs.

9 - 11 volts makes this amp suspect, however they could be onto something
I'm not aware of.... which is why I'd start with that chip and bang on it
and test it's thermal output qualities also. Perhaps it's stepping up
internally and doesn't need a large rail voltage?

hmmm....

AA

"DC" <DC@spammerstothemoon.com> wrote in message news:44e54a0a\$1@linux...

>
> I am not a circuit guy, but couldn't the inherent efficiency of
> the class T circuit (up to 95%) make up for this? Doesn't it
> require less voltage on the rails to do the same work?
>
> DC
>
>
> "Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote:
>>My first impression is that there don't have nearly enough rail voltage
> to
>>make these high output, but that might or might not be indicative of
>>capabilities in the future. Could be that the die is just not stout
>>enough..
>
>>could be it's not stout enough.. yet.
>>Something to watch for.
>>If I was going to test one of these, it would be the TDA1400 w/o a doubt.
>>
>>AA
>>
>>

>>"DC" <dc@spammersinoregon.com> wrote in message news:44e52a62\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> There's quite a bet of techie info on the Tripath site, but it is at
>>> the component level.
>>>
>>> That's all I know of.
>>>
>>> DC
>>>
>>>
>>> "Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote:
>>>>Got any design spec pics/info?
>>>>AA
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinbrooklyn.org> wrote in message
>>>>news:44e4e63c\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> These guys
>>>>
>>>> <http://www.tripath.com/>
>>>>
>>>> Have developed a new amp circuit called Class T. It allows for
>>>> extreme efficiency (95% in some cases) and potential for reducing
>>>> distortions significantly.
>>>>
>>>> Oh, and there is a class T amp out now, with 15 watts a side, that
>>>> people like the sound of, that costs 30.00 (USD)... !
>>>>
>>>> http://www.si-technologies.com/frontEnd/cm_productDetail.jsp?productID=18
>>>>
>>>> Tape Op reviewed it recently and while they didn't throw their
>>>> other amps in the trash, they did like it. Now, a 30 buck amp that
>>>> sounds good is cool enough, but what is really cool is a 300.00
>>>> amp that kills 2K amps and puts out 1000 watts. This is where
>>>> the Class T technology seems to be leading.
>>>>
>>>> Yes, this will show up in our industry.
>>>>
>>>> Anybody seen/heard any Class T stuff?
>>>>
>>>> DC
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>>

>'Grats dude... and yes, post up those petro conversion pics!

AA

"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:44e571b5\$1@linux...

>

>

> Well I've finished my employment at my previous job.

>

> I no longer have a company car with fully funded fuel and maintenance. :o(

>

> However, what I do have is a job somewhat in the entertainment industry,

> finally, as a day job, so I'll be able to really get into what I'm doing

> with any luck.

>

> And I do have a nice classic car with a V8. Petrol, as we call it, or what

> U.S. residents usually call "gas" is priced through the roof, and it does

> guzzle the gas, but conveniently our government just introduced \$2000

> subsidies

> on LPG conversions, which is an actual gas in the physics sense to run

> cars.

> Petrol here costs about \$1.40 a litre at the moment, or \$5:30 a gallon.

> LPG

> costs only about 40c a litre, or \$1.51 a gallon. You do use about 20% more

> fuel, but at those prices the savings are obvious, and I can run my big

> old

> heavy car with a V8 for considerably less than the average new car on

> petrol,

> so it's all good.

>

> Hmmm... Austereo... finally a job in the right industry... WOOHOO!!

>

> Cheers,

> Kim."TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>

>First, I had to google Madelyn O'Hare to find out who she was, so at the very

>least I'm not part of the grand atheist conspiracy.

Wow, she was behind the landmark 1964 School Prayer decision that formed the basis for much of our current case law on church-state issues. Oh, well, like a said, a nasty old bat, so you did not miss much.

I'm just a plain old

>atheist. And, just so you know, I spend LOTS of time with people who don't

>agree with what I think, and have no problem at all with them.

I never doubted it. You do also ridicule Christians on occasion, and

spare me the blather about your respect for UFO cultists. You practice respect towards them, but you still think they are fools.

Which makes you like everyone else.

>You, of course, in a daunting display of respect, tell me my beliefs consign
>me to hell, which is already crowded with all those Hindus we were talking
>about before.

Why should you care? There IS no hell right? And you got my position wrong anyway.

You must have missed some of what I said to Sarah. I strongly believe that Hindus, Muslims etc can go to heaven. It is not about adherence to Christian orthodoxy, it is about our response to the Spirit of God. Everyone deals with that issue, regardless of their faith or lack of it. No one goes to hell because some missionary did not get to them in time. No little

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sun, 09 Jul 2006 05:44:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

kid who dies of cancer at the age of 5 goes to hell because they have not heard the name of Jesus. That would be pure barbarity, and I think it is unscriptural as well.

I do believe that a sincere search for God will lead them (and us) to Jesus Christ at some point, but if they die before they get there, do they go to hell? That's not what I see in the Bible.

BTW, I can envision an atheist in heaven. (In an anechoic chamber testing mics... forever and ever, and never opening the doooooorr...)

Seriously though, imagine someone who saw "religious" people of whatever stripe, slaughter their family? Wouldn't their atheism make sense? Wouldn't it be a further injustice for them to go to hell because of what they had no power over?

I differ strongly with the Bible Belt folks who think anyone that doesn't say the name of Jesus is conigned to eternal fire which I don't believe in either. What the hell (pardon the pun) is the point of burning someone for ever and ever? Is it not simple cruelty? Is it not simple perversity at some point? After 20 years or so of burning, is it not just silly?

Oy, coffee break's over, back on yer 'eads!

(remember that joke?)

So, no, I don't *know* you are going to hell, and it's not my place to make that call. I really hope you do not.

We need mic testers!

heh heh

DC

>
>TCB
>
>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.org> wrote:
>>
>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>I think you're confusing 'respect' with 'adhere to.' I can respect someone's
>>>beliefs and still disagree with them. I respect the beliefs of those who
>>>believe an alien abduction but I don't think that happens.
>>
>>
>>No you don't. You have zero respect for those beliefs and
>>anyone who knows you can confirm this.
>>
>>We say we respect beliefs, but we often do not. Madalyn Murray
>>O'Hare was a nasty old bat, full of hate and bitterness, who in the
>>end was killed by someone who subscribed to the same almighty
>>self as the final authority, that she did. Her beliefs lead straight to
>>
>>hell IM-ever-so-HO.
>>
>>Now, as an american, and certainly as a christian, I hope I would
>>change her tire in the rain, and I hope I would jump in and save
>>your butt from a beating if you got too friendly with one of your
>>brazilian stripper chicks and some guy decided to teach you a
>>lesson.
>>
>>This practice of respect is what is lacking, not the profession of
>>respect, which is just words... I wish atheists practiced respect
>>more towards Christians. But hey, we understand your need to
>>dump on us. After all, we know about your doubt that we are
>>wrong, and your fear that we may be right...

>>

>>

>>DC

>"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:

>I NEVER said you were wrong,I just said you

>are only covering a small part of the picture...

But that's the only dang part of the picture I was talking about!

For someone with a good guitar, good frets, and new strings, properly setup, this will still make a real difference when playing open chords.

That's it.

I purposely stayed out of the temperment discussion and I am still staying out of it. Someone once said "if you do everything at once, you do everything poorly" and this little forum is not the place for a course in temperment. It is, however, a good place to discuss a mod that makes your guitar play better.

DCI'd love to see what you found experimenting around with one of their chips.

BTW, they have a 100 watt (@8ohms) amp that runs on 60v rails. Does that sound reasonable?

I bet they'd sample you one...

DC

"Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote:

>Not exactly.. you will still need more voltage to swing the AC current up

>and down. Effeciency has no affect on that variable... It's about Peak to

>Peak voltage. Less voltage P2P IME has meant less wattage out.

>

>To support this (possibly dated) information, I have been into a load of car

>audio amps, and one of the first things they do is step the voltage (through

>some kind of converter be it a dc to dc or a tesla coil setup) to a more

>respectable number. There is a cost in efficiency this way, but that's how

>it works in those designs.

>9 - 11 volts makes this amp suspect, however they could be onto something

>I'm not aware of.... which is why I'd start with that chip and bang on it

>and test it's thermal output qualities also. Perhaps it's stepping up
>internally and doesn't need a large rail voltage?

>

>hmmm....

>

>AA

>

>

>

>"DC" <DC@spammerstothemoon.com> wrote in message news:44e54a0a\$1@linux...

>>

>> I am not a circuit guy, but couldn't the inherent efficiency of
>> the class T circuit (up to 95%) make up for this? Doesn't it
>> require less voltage on the rails to do the same work?

>>

>> DC

>>

>>

>> "Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote:

>>>My first impression is that there don't have nearly enough rail voltage
>> to

>>>make these high output, but that might or might not be indicative of
>>>capabilities in the future. Could be that the die is just not stout
>>>enough..

>>

>>>could be it's not stout enough.. yet.

>>>Something to watch for.

>>>If I was going to test one of these, it would be the TDA1400 w/o a doubt.

>>>

>>>AA

>>>

>>>

>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinoregon.com> wrote in message news:44e52a62\$1@linux...

>>>>

>>>> There's quite a bet of techie info on the Tripath site, but it is at
>>>> the component level.

>>>>

>>>> That's all I know of.

>>>>

>>>> DC

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> "Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote:

>>>>>Got any design spec pics/info?

> But that's the only dang part of the picture I was talking about!
>
> For someone with a good guitar, good frets, and new strings,
> properly setup, this will still make a real difference when playing
> open chords.
>
> That's it.
>
> I purposely stayed out of the temperment discussion and I
> am still staying out of it. Someone once said "if you do everything
> at once, you do everything poorly" and this little forum is not
> the place for a course in temperment. It is, however, a good place
> to discuss a mod that makes your guitar play better.
>
> DCJust trying to stay on-topic. You. of course, are welcome to
provide a course on temperment here if you like. It's certainly
more relevant than theology.

I did a patent search on compensated nuts a while back, and it turns out that someone has a nut that may be mounted in the standard slot, yet has an overhang to allow for precise fitting to each instrument. He's not doing anything with the patent. Swell huh?

So, being my idea was not patentable, I decided to share it with others. If someone gets better guitar sound because of it, then I am a happy guy. I have it on 2 guitars not and it works very well indeed.

DC

"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:

>I didn't realize that you were in charge of subject matter
>here...sorry...But I hope you did learn at least that your "experiment"
has
>been tried...many times....
>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:44e606b0\$1@linux...
>>
>> "tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
>> >I NEVER said you were wrong,I just said you
>> >are only covering a small part of the picture...
>>
>> But that's the only dang part of the picture I was talking about!
>>
>> For someone with a good guitar, good frets, and new strings,
>> properly setup, this will still make a real difference when playing
>> open chords.

>>
>> That's it.
>>
>> I purposely stayed out of the temperment discussion and I
>> am still staying out of it. Someone once said "if you do everything
>> at once, you do everything poorly" and this little forum is not
>> the place for a course in temperment. It is, however, a good place
>> to discuss a mod that makes your guitar play better.
>>
>> DC
>
&

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [no](#) on Sun, 09 Jul 2006 05:49:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;Aaron,

Here's the link for the chip I was talking about

<http://www.tripath.com/pb1400.htm>

I meant to put it in my post.

DCThis sounds pretty interesting guys! Thanks for the links.

I was an early adaptor of Crown's BCA or class-I power amps. Here's a link
to the white page for any geeky types.

<http://www.crownaudio.com/pdf/amps/bcapaper.pdf>

Tony

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.org> wrote in message news:44e60c5d\$1@linux...

>

> Aaron,

>

> Here's the link for the chip I was talking about

>

> <http://www.tripath.com/pb1400.htm>

>

> I meant to put it in my post.

>

> DC

>Below is a link to this new software that cuts down latency for all firewire

devices. it looks promising.

<http://www.sonicstate.com/news/shownews.cfm?newsid=3354> Good luck mate! Kim,
Don't be fooled into the \$2000 rebate thingy....The govt isn't converting
their fleet, do you think there may be a reason for that?

From what I've heard you use around 40% more fuel and in your car, maybe
more....look into it a bit more mate....

Also the LPG fitters (some) are all upping their prices now that they see
that the govts giving a rebate.

Buyer beware.....--

Martin Harrington

www.lendaneer-sound.com

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:44e5d7cf\$1@linux...

>

> Congratulations Kim!

>

> James

>

> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>

>>

>>Well I've finished my employment at my previous job.

>>

>>I no longer have a company car with fully funded fuel and maintenance. :o(

>>

>>However, what I do have is a job somewhat in the entertainment industry,

>>finally, as a day job, so I'll be able to really get into what I'm doing

>>with any luck.

>>

>>And I do have a nice classic car with a V8. Petrol, as we call it, or what

>>U.S. residents usually call "gas" is priced through the roof, and it does

>>guzzle the gas, but conveniently our government just introduced \$2000

>>subsidies

>>on LPG conversions, which is an actual gas in the physics sense to run

>>cars.

>>Petrol here costs about \$1.40 a litre at the moment, or \$5:30 a gallon.

> LPG

>>costs only about 40c a litre, or \$1.51 a gallon. You do use about 20% more

>>fuel, but at those prices the savings are obvious, and I can run my big

> old

>>heavy car with a V8 for considerably less than the average new car on

>>petrol,

>>so it's all good.

>>

>>Hmmm... Austereo... finally a job in the right industry... WOOHOO!!

>>

>>Cheers,

>>Kim.

>I've already got a price and put down a deposit on the conversion. They do seem to have gone up about \$400 or so, but that doesn't effect too much. The price I got was \$2800, so I'm only paying \$800 to convert.

I think these figures of 30-40% more fuel, which I have heard, are more talking about newer fuel injected petrol cars, where they put a gas carby on, rather than injection, hence you are losing the benefits of fuel injection. I've got a petrol carby already, so i don't have that advantage to lose. My old Kingswood I had in the 90's ran dual fuel and the difference was about 20%...

around the city it got about 6km a litre of petrol, 5km a litre of LPG. Hence I'm basing my figures on experience with a similar car, rather than the figures quoted.

Worst case scenario it will be cheaper, but not as much cheaper as I'd hoped...

Cheers,

Kim.

"Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote:

>Kim,

>Don't be fooled into the \$2000 rebate thingy....The govt isn't converting

>their fleet, do you think there may be a reason for that?

>From what I've heard you use around 40% more fuel and in your car, maybe

>more....look into it a bit more mate....

>Also the LPG fitters (some) are all upping their prices now that they see

>that the govts giving a rebate.

>Buyer beware.....--

>Martin Harrington

>www.lendaneer-sound.com

>

>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>news:44e5d7cf\$1@linux...

>>

>> Congratulations Kim!

>>

>> James

>>

>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>

>>>Well I've finished my employment at my previous job.

>>>

>>>I no longer have a company car with fully funded fuel and maintenance.
:o(
>>>
>>>However, what I do have is a job somewhat in the entertainment industry,
>>>finally, as a day job, so I'll be able to really get into what I'm doing
>>>with any luck.
>>>
>>>And I do have a nice classic car with a V8. Petrol, as we call it, or
what
>>>U.S. residents usually call "gas" is priced through the roof, and it does
>>>guzzle the gas, but conveniently our government just introduced \$2000

>>>subsidies
>>>on LPG conversions, which is an actual gas in the physics sense to run

>>>cars.
>>>Petrol here costs about \$1.40 a litre at the moment, or \$5:30 a gallon.
>> LPG
>>>costs only about 40c a litre, or \$1.51 a gallon. You do use about 20%
more
>>>fuel, but at those prices the savings are obvious, and I can run my big
>> old
>>>heavy car with a V8 for considerably less than the average new car on

>>>petrol,
>>>so it's all good.
>>>
>>>Hmmm... Austereo... finally a job in the right industry... WOOHOO!!
>>>
>>>Cheers,
>>>Kim.
>>
>
>That looks pretty cool.. unfortunately for me, my devices aren't there yet.
Thanks for the heads up man.
AA

"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e61da0\$1@linux...
>
>
> Below is a link to this new software that cuts down latency for all
> firewire
> devices. it looks promising.
>
> <http://www.sonicstate.com/news/shownews.cfm?newsid=3354>
> <http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change> I think,
FWIW, that there's no such thing as perfect

intonation - other than on instruments that don't have any kind of fixed intervals (such as violins, cellos, trombones, etc, etc); and that perfect intonation CAPABILITY is subject to the operator, who - being human - is imperfect as well, and therefore incapable of hitting every note with perfect pitch every single time. So I say, you can even-temper your pianos, intonate your guitars, install new nuts, do whatever you want to get as close as possible, yes; but nothing's ever going to be perfect, so don't sweat a few cents off here & there.

And if you're THAT picky about perfect intonation, let's not even TALK about "pushing pitch" as a vocal technique. lol

Neil

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.org> wrote:

>

>Just trying to stay on-topic. You. of course, are welcome to
>provide a course on temperment here if you like. It's certainly
>more relevant than theology.

>

>I did a patent search on compensated nuts a while back, and it turns
>out that someone has a nut that may be mounted in the standard
>slot, yet has an overhang to allow for precise fitting to each
>instrument. He's not doing anything with the patent. Swell huh?

>

>So, being my idea was not patentable, I decided to share it with
>others. If someone gets better guitar sound because of it, then I
>am a happy guy. I have it on 2 guitars not and it works very well
>indeed.

>

>DC

>

>

>"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:

>>I didn't realize that you were in charge of subject matter
>>here...sorry...But I hope you did learn at least that your "experiment"
>has

>>been tried...many times....

>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:44e606b0\$1@linux...

>>>

>>> "tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:

>>>

>>> >I NEVER said you were wrong,I just said you
>>> >are only covering a small part of the picture...

>>>

>>> But that's the only dang part of the picture I was talking about!

>>>

>>> For someone with a good guitar, good frets, and new strings,
>>> properly setup, this will still make a real difference when playing
>>> open chords.
>>>
>>> That's it.
>>>
>>> I purposely stayed out of the temperment discussion and I
>>> am still staying out of it. Someone once said "if you do everything
>>> at once, you do everything poorly" and this little forum is not
>>> the place for a course in temperment. It is, however, a good place
>>> to discuss a mod that makes your guitar play better.
>>>
>>> DC
>>
>>
>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!
Dubsie

"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...
>
> [http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023&
amp;q=loose+changehttp://buildyourownclone.com/](http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+changehttp://buildyourownclone.com/)

I stumbled across these guys. I don't know how good they are
but I am sure some of you would enjoy building some of these.

DCDubya,
I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney transplant
list.
It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving me
a Kidney,
but they have to go though a big exam to see if they are a good match. This
can't
happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a transplant
by
early 2007!

respect
Nappy

"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!
>Dubsie
>
>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...
>>
>> <http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change>

>

>Hey Nappy,

So good to hear from you. Best wishes on that transplant.
Are you still in SD?

DC

"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:

>

>Dubya,

>I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney transplant
>list.

>It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving me
>a Kidney,

>but they have to go through a big exam to see if they are a good match. This
>can't

>happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a transplant
>by

>early 2007!

>

>respect

>Nappy

>

>"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:

>>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!

>>Dubsie

>>

>>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...

>>>

>>> <http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change>

>

>>

>>

>You're hanging tough bro. Excellent! I hope and pray everything goes
better than anticipated. Side note: my wife received a phone call today
following an MRI yesterday for a recent neck injury (totalled one of our
cars). Doc says he sees cancer on her thyroid gland

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??.(Kim?)..thanks!

Posted by [Kim](#) on Sun, 09 Jul 2006 06:11:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

d. Meeting and
discussions to be set for Monday of this coming week. Not what I wanna
hear. She's badly shaken by the news. I'm just kinda stuck emotionally

until I learn more and we know what we're looking at. At this point, we can't both cave in... somebody's gotta hold the line.

W.

"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e694e9\$1@linux...

>
> Dubya,
> I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney
> transplant
> list.
> It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving me
> a Kidney,
> but they have to go through a big exam to see if they are a good match.
> This
> can't
> happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a
> transplant
> by
> early 2007!
>
> respect
> Nappy
>
> "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!
>>Dubsie
>>
>>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023&_q=loose+change
>
>>
>>
>Hey, I am sorry to hear that.

I hope they can treat that successfully.

Best to you both

DC

"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:

>You're hanging tough bro. Excellent! I hope and pray everything goes
>better than anticipated. Side note: my wife received a phone call today

>following and MRI yesterday for a recent neck injury (totalled one of our

>cars). Doc says he sees cancer on her thyroid gland. Meeting and
>discussions to be set for Monday of this coming week. Not what I wanna

>hear. She's badly shaken by the news. I'm just kinda stuck emotionally

>until I learn more and we know what we're looking at. At this point, we

>can't both cave in... somebody's gotta hold the line.

>

>W.

>

>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e694e9\$1@linux...

>>

>> Dubya,

>> I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney

>> transplant

>> list.

>> It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving
me

>> a Kidney,

>> but they have to go through a big exam to see if they are a good match.

>> This

>> can't

>> happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a

>> transplant

>> by

>> early 2007!

>>

>> respect

>> Nappy

>>

>> "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:

>>>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!

>>>Dubsie

>>>

>>>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...

>>>>

>>>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023&_q=loose+change

>>

>>>

>>>

>>

>

>"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:

>You're hanging tough bro. Excellent! I hope and pray everything goes

>better than anticipated. Side note: my wife received a phone call today

>following and MRI yesterday for a recent neck injury (totalled one of our
>cars). Doc says he sees cancer on her thyroid gland. Meeting and
>discussions to be set for Monday of this coming week. Not what I wanna
>hear. She's badly shaken by the news. I'm just kinda stuck emotionally
>until I learn more and we know what we're looking at. At this point, we
>can't both cave in... somebody's gotta hold the line.
>
>W.

w-

my mom lived for a good 45 years after having most of her thyroid glands
removed. Hers wasn't cancer, but something related.

It's common for folk to live on replacement thyroid hormone of one sort or
another.

Don't worry. Hopefully they can take it out and it hasn't metastasized.

Good thing they found it.

>
>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e694e9\$1@linux...
>>
>> Dubya,
>> I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney
>> transplant
>> list.
>> It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving
me
>> a Kidney,
>> but they have to go through a big exam to see if they are a good match.

>> This
>> can't
>> happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a
>> transplant
>> by
>> early 2007!
>>
>> respect

Nappy! That's great!

-steve

>> Nappy

>>

>> "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:

>>>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!

>>>Dubsie

>>>

>>>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...

>>>>

>>>> <http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change>

>>

>>>

>>>

>>

>

>Sounds like you'll be home and hosed.....;>p

--

Martin Harrington

www.lendaneer-sound.com

"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:44e63f65@linux...

>

>

> I've already got a price and put down a deposit on the conversion. They do

> seem to have gone up about \$400 or so, but that doesn't effect too much.

> The price I got was \$2800, so I'm only paying \$800 to convert.

>

> I think these figures of 30-40% more fuel, which I have heard, are more

> talking

> about newer fuel injected petrol cars, where they put a gas carby on,

> rather

> than injection, hence you are losing the benefits of fuel injection. I've

> got a petrol carby already, so i don't have that advantage to lose. My old

> Kingswood I had in the 90's ran dual fuel and the difference was about

> 20%...

> around the city it got about 6km a litre of petrol, 5km a litre of LPG.

> Hence I'm basing my figures on experience with a similar car, rather than

> the figures quoted.

>

> Worst case scenario it will be cheaper, but not as much cheaper as I'd

> hoped...

>

> Cheers,
> Kim.
>
>
> "Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote:
>>Kim,
>>Don't be fooled into the \$2000 rebate thingy....The govt isn't converting
>
>>their fleet, do you think there may be a reason for that?
>>From what I've heard you use around 40% more fuel and in your car, maybe
>
>>more....look into it a bit more mate....
>>Also the LPG fitters (some) are all upping their prices now that they see
>
>>that the govts giving a rebate.
>>Buyer beware.....--
>>Martin Harrington
>>www.lendaneer-sound.com
>>
>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:44e5d7cf\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> Congratulations Kim!
>>>
>>> James
>>>
>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Well I've finished my employment at my previous job.
>>>>
>>>>I no longer have a company car with fully funded fuel and maintenance.
> :o(
>>>>
>>>>However, what I do have is a job somewhat in the entertainment industry,
>>>>finally, as a day job, so I'll be able to really get into what I'm doing
>>>>with any luck.
>>>>
>>>>And I do have a nice classic car with a V8. Petrol, as we call it, or
> what
>>>>U.S. residents usually call "gas" is priced through the roof, and it
>>>>does
>>>>guzzle the gas, but conveniently our government just introduced \$2000
>
>>>>subsidies
>>>>on LPG conversions, which is an actual gas in the physics sense to run
>
>>>>cars.

>>>>Petrol here costs about \$1.40 a litre at the moment, or \$5:30 a gallon.
>>> LPG
>>>>costs only about 40c a litre, or \$1.51 a gallon. You do use about 20%
> more
>>>>fuel, but at those prices the savings are obvious, and I can run my big
>>> old
>>>>heavy car with a V8 for considerably less than the average new car on
>
>>>>petrol,
>>>>so it's all good.
>>>>
>>>>Hmmm... Austereo... finally a job in the right industry... WOOHOO!!
>>>>
>>>>Cheers,
>>>>Kim.
>>>
>>
>>
>Mark - I'll be praying for your wife and you - let us know what the news is.

Nappy - same for you - hope you get a kidney soon!!

Regards,
Dedric

On 8/18/06 10:53 PM, in article 44e6a2b4@linux, "Dubya Mark Wilson"
<mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:

> You're hanging tough bro. Excellent! I hope and pray everything goes
> better than anticipated. Side note: my wife received a phone call today
> following and MRI yesterday for a recent neck injury (totalled one of our
> cars). Doc says he sees cancer on her thyroid gland. Meeting and
> discussions to be set for Monday of this coming week. Not what I wanna
> hear. She's badly shaken by the news. I'm just kinda stuck emotionally
> until I learn more and we know what we're looking at. At this point, we
> can't both cave in... somebody's gotta hold the line.
>
> W.
>
> "Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e694e9\$1@linux...
>>
>> Dubya,
>> I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney
>> transplant
>> list.
>> It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving me
>> a Kidney,
>> but they have to go through a big exam to see if they are a good match.

>> This
>> can't
>> happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a
>> transplant
>> by
>> early 2007!
>>
>> respect
>> Nappy
>>
>> "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>>> NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!
>>> Dubsie
>>>
>>> "Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> <http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change>
>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>Yes...my wife had her Thyroid gland killed off about 20 years ago...takes
aroxin now to stabilize the stuff the gland is supposed to produce
no big deal really....best of luck and wishes to your wife.--
Martin Harrington
www.lendaneear-sound.com

"steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote in message
news:44e6a99b@linux...
>
> "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>>You're hanging tough bro. Excellent! I hope and pray everything goes
>>better than anticipated. Side note: my wife received a phone call today
>
>>following and MRI yesterday for a recent neck injury (totalled one of our
>
>>cars). Doc says he sees cancer on her thyroid gland. Meeting and
>>discussions to be set for Monday of this coming week. Not what I wanna
>
>>hear. She's badly shaken by the news. I'm just kinda stuck emotionally
>
>>until I learn more and we know what we're looking at. At this point, we
>
>>can't both cave in... somebody's gotta hold the line.
>>
>>W.
>

> w-
>
> my mom lived for a good 45 years after having most of her thyroid glands
> removed. Hers wasn't cancer, but something related.
>
> It's common for folk to live on replacement thyroid hormone of one sort or
> another.
>
> Don't worry. Hopefully they can take it out and it hasn't metastasized.
>
> Good thing they found it.
>
>
>
>>
>>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e694e9\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> Dubya,
>>> I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney
>>> transplant
>>> list.
>>> It should h

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sun, 09 Jul 2006 06:17:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

appen this month! I have a few people interested in giving
> me
>>> a Kidney,
>>> but they have to go though a big exam to see if they are a good match.
>
>>> This
>>> can't
>>> happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a
>>> transplant
>>> by
>>> early 2007!
>>>
>>> respect
>
> Nappy! That's great!
>
> -steve
>
>
>>> Nappy

>>>
>>> "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>>>>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!
>>>>Dubsie
>>>>
>>>>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...
>>>>>
>>>>> <http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
>
> <http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change>

Nappy-

first of all, it's great to see you again!

second of all, I was pretty impressed by Loose Change.
Then some months later I read this:

http://911research.wtc7.net/reviews/loose_change/index.html

Check it out!

-steve i'm betting she'll be fine

On Fri, 18 Aug 2006 21:53:28 -0700, "Dubya Mark Wilson"
<mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:

>You're hanging tough bro. Excellent! I hope and pray everything goes
>better than anticipated. Side note: my wife received a phone call today
>following and MRI yesterday for a recent neck injury (totalled one of our
>cars). Doc says he sees cancer on her thyroid gland. Meeting and
>discussions to be set for Monday of this coming week. Not what I wanna
>hear. She's badly shaken by the news. I'm just kinda stuck emotionally
>until I learn more and we know what we're looking at. At this point, we
>can't both cave in... somebody's gotta hold the line.
>
>W.
>
>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e694e9\$1@linux...
>>
>> Dubya,

>>Dubsie
>>
>>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> <http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change>
>
>>
>>Hey Nappy. We miss you and wish only the best for you. Glad to see you here
!
John

"DC" <dc@spammersinnyc.com> wrote:

>
>Hey Nappy,
>
>So good to hear from you. Best wishes on that transplant.
>Are you still in SD?

>
>DC

>
>
>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:

>>
>>Dubya,
>>I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney transplant
>>list.
>>It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving me
>>a Kidney,
>>but they have to go though a big exam to see if they are a good match.
This
>>can't
>>happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a transplant
>>by
>>early 2007!

>>
>>respect
>>Nappy
>>

>>"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:

>>>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!

>>>Dubsie

>>>

>>>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...

>>>>

>>>> <http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change>

>>

>>>

>>>

>>

>Unbelievable!!!! Wow...!!!

"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:

>

> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023&_q=loose+changeThis is great news..... Germanium is available again? I thought they had outlawed it due to the process of making it and safety.

<http://buildyourownclone.com/tri.html>

AA

"DC" <dc@solderinginhell.com> wrote in message news:44e69033\$1@linux...

>

> <http://buildyourownclone.com/>

>

> I stumbled across these guys. I don't know how good they are

> but I am sure some of you would enjoy building some of these.

>

> DC

>I went ahead and ordered the Phase kit.... now, all I have to do is carve out an evening to do it once it gets here and I'll let you guys know how my experience with BYOC went.

Thanks for the heads up DC!

Aaron

"DC" <dc@solderinginhell.com> wrote in message news:44e69033\$1@linux...

>

> <http://buildyourownclone.com/>

>

> I stumbled across these guys. I don't know how good they are

> but I am sure some of you would enjoy building some of these.

>

> DC

>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>

>I think, FWIW, that there's no such thing as perfect

>intonation - other than on instruments that don't have any kind

>of fixed intervals (such as violins, cellos, trombones, etc,

>etc); and that perfect intonation CAPABILITY is subject to the

>operator, who - being human - is imperfect as well, and

>therefore incapable of hitting every note with perfect pitch

>every single time. So I say, you can even-temper your pianos,

>intonate your guitars, install new nuts, do whatever you want

>to get as close as possible, yes; but nothing's ever going to

>be perfect, so don't sweat a few cents off here & there.
>
>And if you're THAT picky about perfect intonation, let's not
>even TALK about "pushing pitch" as a vocal technique. lol

What is "pushing pitch"? This is the first I've heard the term.

ThanksThanks Don,
I'm still in San Diego.

respect
Nappy

"DC" <dc@spammersinnyc.com> wrote:

>
>Hey Nappy,
>
>So good to hear from you. Best wishes on that transplant.
>Are you still in SD?
>
>DC
>
>
>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:

>>
>>Dubya,
>>I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney transplant
>>list.
>>It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving me
>>a Kidney,
>>but they have to go through a big exam to see if they are a good match.
This
>>can't
>>happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a transplant
>>by
>>early 2007!

>>
>>respect
>>Nappy
>>

>>"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:

>>>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!
>>>Dubsie
>>>

>>>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...
>>>>

>>>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023&_q=loose+change
>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>Thanks John,
I hope all is well with
you.

respect
Nappy
"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>
>Hey Nappy. We miss you and wish only the best for you. Glad to see you
here
>!
>John
>
>"DC" <dc@spammersinnyc.com> wrote:
>>
>>Hey Nappy,
>>
>>So good to hear from you. Best wishes on that transplant.
>>Are you still in SD?
>>
>>DC
>>
>>
>>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>Dubya,
>>>I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney transplant
>>>list.
>>>It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving
me
>>>a Kidney,
>>>but they have to go through a big exam to see if they are a good match.
>This
>>>can't
>>>happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a transplant
>>>by
>>>early 2007!
>>>
>>>respect
>>>Nappy
>>>
>>>"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>>>>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!

>>>>Dubsie

>>>>

>>>>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...

>>>>>

>>>>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023&_q=loose+change

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>

>Mark,

I hope all goes well,I know how much your wife means to you.

Hold on!

respect

Nappy

"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:

>You're hanging tough bro. Excellent! I hope and pray everything goes

>better than anticipated. Side note: my wife received a phone call today

>following and MRI yesterday for a recent neck injury (totalled one of our

>cars). Doc says he sees cancer on her thyroid gland. Meeting and

>discussions to be set for Monday of this coming week. Not what I wanna

>hear. She's badly shaken by the news. I'm just kinda stuck emotionally

>until I learn more and we know what we're looking at. At this point, we

>can't both cave in... somebody's gotta hold the line.

>

>W.

>

>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e694e9\$1@linux...

>>

>> Dubya,

>> I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney

>> transplant

>> list.

>> It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving
me

>> a Kidney,

>> but they have to go through a big exam to see if they are a good match.

>> This

>> can't

>> happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a
>> transplant
>> by
>> early 2007!
>>
>> respect
>> Nappy
>>
>> "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>>>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!
>>>Dubsie
>>>
>>>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change
>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>Thanks Ricks,

respect
Nappy

rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>2007...just around the corner. keep takin' care.
>
>On 19 Aug 2006 14:34:49 +1000, "Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>Dubya,
>>I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney transplant
>>list.
>>It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving me
>>a Kidney,
>>but they have to go though a big exam to see if they are a good match.
This
>>can't
>>happen until I'm put on the list. If

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..IT SEEMS NOT! (yet..)
Posted by [Kim W](#) on Sun, 09 Jul 2006 06:17:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

all goes well I could have a transplant

>>by
>>early 2007!
>>
>>respect
>>Nappy
>>
>>"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>>>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!
>>>Dubsie
>>>
>>>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> <http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>"Gary Flanigan" <gary_flangian@ce9.uscourts.gov> wrote:
>
>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:
>>
>>I think, FWIW, that there's no such thing as perfect
>>intonation - other than on instruments that don't have any kind
>>of fixed intervals (such as violins, cellos, trombones, etc,
>>etc); and that perfect intonation CAPABILITY is subject to the
>>operator, who - being human - is imperfect as well, and
>>therefore incapable of hitting every note with perfect pitch
>>every single time. So I say, you can even-temper your pianos,
>>intonate your guitars, install new nuts, do whatever you want
>>to get as close as possible, yes; but nothing's ever going to
>>be perfect, so don't sweat a few cents off here & there.
>>
>>And if you're THAT picky about perfect intonation, let's not
>>even TALK about "pushing pitch" as a vocal technique. lol
>
>
>What is "pushing pitch"? This is the first I've heard the term.

It's where you hit a sustained note & after holding that pitch for moment or two, "push" ever so slightly sharp shortly before the next passage or line... it creates tension if done properly, and while some people probably do it inadvertently, there are also vocal instructors who teach it as a regular technique. You hear it in ballads a lot - think Mariah, Whitney, et. al.

NeilLike most engineers I am not a big fan of mp3s, but sometimes I have to d/l a tune for work situations and you can't beat the convenience of the services. I want to know which, if any, service has higher quality files. I also am looking for a service that does not prevent me from

playing them on different machines in my studio. I keep internet and administrative functions on a separate machine from my recording computer(s).

I have a subscription to Rhapsody, which works OK and is a pretty good deal. I don't like the I-Tunes model too much, because it seems more restrictive with licensing. Has anyone found a clearcut winner among these companies? For the studio, Rhapsody is the best service. And the quality of their MP3's (WMA's) is really good. You don't have to buy songs, just pay your monthly service fee.

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>Like most engineers I am not a big fan of mp3s, but sometimes I have to

>do a tune for work situations and you can't beat the convenience of the

>services. I want to know which, if any, service has higher quality
>files. I also am looking for a service that does not prevent me from
>playing them on different machines in my studio. I keep internet and
>administrative functions on a separate machine from my recording
>computer(s).

>

>I have a subscription to Rhapsody, which works OK and is a pretty good
>deal. I don't like the I-Tunes model too much, because it seems more
>restrictive with licensing. Has anyone found a clearcut winner among
>these companies? Hi Everyone,

I just thought I'd post because I just got a set of the original NS10M speakers, and they are awesome! I've never had a mix translate so well in all my life.

I can hear the reverbs better. I can fix problems in the mids that I couldn't hear before. The detail is incredible.

These little babies are in every studio because they are fantastic. Don't believe the hype that they suck. I don't know what's wrong with people who bash these things.

If you don't own a set of these, go buy one before you die! I would honestly take a bullet before giving these things up.

Mike is in stock again - ordered today. Free shipping... sweet deal -- even if it has only ONE great sound in it.

W.

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.org> wrote in message news:44e0efff\$1@linux...

>

> It's a Yamaha MagicStomp.

>

> <http://www.musiciansfriend.com/product/Yamaha-Magicstomp-II->

Guitar-Effects-Processor?sku=151560

>

> Looks like they are out until the 18th.

>

> DCWhy not just get a ToneLab SE for 5 times the cost and know that you got the real SHIT !

"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:

>In stock again - ordered today. Free shipping... sweet deal -- even if it

>has only ONE great sound in it.

>W.

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.org> wrote in message news:44e0efff\$1@linux...

>>

>> It's a Yamaha MagicStomp.

>>

>> <http://www.musiciansfriend.com/product/Yamaha-Magicstomp-II-Guitar-Effects-Processor?sku=151560>

>>

>> Looks like they are out until the 18th.

>>

>> DC

>

>Don't know if the question is specifically to me (because I did just infer I haven't heard it yet). If you're asking me why I don't "just get a ToneLab SE for 5 times the cost and know that you got the real SHIT!"... the answer is:

Because, John, I already HAVE "the SHIT" in a shockmount case measuring 3'-2"D x 5'-8"H x 26"W filled with \$7000 @ 347lbs worth of fabulous pieces.

Moreover, any great player can get the "real SHIT" sound out of almost anything because "SHIT" isn't born on a circuit board. I'm sure any pro players here will know exactly what I'm talking about. I can walk into GC, pick up a \$149 Mexi-Strat, plug into a 7 watt \$50 amp pull a crowd in 3 minutes.

So for me, at 80 bucks delivered, (versus the \$400 ToneLab) I don't really need to hear the sounds in the STOMP II nearly as much as needing to know that (Deej) someone with reputable ears has played it, heard it, likes it, makes zero complaint about noise level or fidelity and has general positives about it.

W.

"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:44e77888\$1@linux...

>
> Why not just get a ToneLab SE for 5 times the cost and know that you got
> the
> real SHIT !
>
> "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>>In stock again - ordered today. Free shipping... sweet deal -- even if
> it
>>has only ONE great sound in it.
>>W.
>>
>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.org> wrote in message news:44e0efff\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> It's a Yamaha MagicStomp.
>>>
>>> <http://www.musiciansfriend.com/product/Yamaha-Magicstomp-II-Guitar-Effects-Processor?sku=151560>
>>>
>>> Looks like they are out until the 18th.
>>>
>>> DC
>>
>>
>I think a better punch line would be: The elephant slowly looks the man up
and down and says, "No wonder you're so skinny"

W.

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:f093e2hhl6uklcm5lqiuvgrv7b8l0pd3ca@4ax.com...
> An elephant is walking through the jungle when he comes across a
> naked man
> standing in a clearing.
>
> The elephant slowly looks the man up and down and says,
>
> "How the hell do ya feed yourself with that?"
>
>The question was not just to you so don't get all jiggy on me.

"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>Don't know if the question is specifically to me (because I did just infer
I
>haven't heard it yet). If you're asking me why I don't "just get a ToneLab

>SE for 5 times the cost and know that you got the real SHIT!"... the answer

>is:

>
>Because, John, I already HAVE "the SHIT" in a shockmount case measuring

>3'-2"D x 5'-8"H x 26"W filled with \$7000 @ 347lbs worth of fabulous

>pieces.
>
>Moreover, any great player can get the "real SHIT" sound out of almost
>anything because "SHIT" isn't born on a circuit board. I'm sure any pro

>players here will know exactly what I'm talking about. I can walk into
GC,
>pick up a \$149 Mexi-Strat, plug into a 7 watt \$50 amp pull a crowd in 3

>minutes.
>
>So for me, at 80 bucks delivered, (versus the \$400 ToneLab) I don't really

>need to hear the sounds in the STOMP II nearly as much as needing to know

>that (Deej) someone with reputable ears has played it, heard it, likes it,

>makes zero complaint about noise level or fidelity and has general positives

>about it.
>
>W.
>
>"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:44e77888\$1@linux...
>>
>> Why not just get a ToneLab SE for 5 times the cost and know that you got

>> the
>> real SHIT !
>>
>> "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>>>In stock again - ordered today. Free shipping... sweet deal -- even if
>> it
>>>has only ONE great sound in it.
>>>W.
>>>
>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.org> wrote in message news:44e0efff\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> It's a Yamaha MagicStomp.
>>>>
>>>> <http://www.musiciansfriend.com/product/Yamaha-Magicstomp-II-Guitar-Effects-Processor?sku=151560>
>>>>

>>>> Looks like they are out until the 18th.

>>>>

>>>> DC

>>>

>>>

>>

>

>I'm real interested in how you like that.

DC

"Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote:

>I went ahead and ordered the Phase kit.... now, all I have to do is carve

>out an evening to do it once it gets here and I'll let you guys know how
my

>experience with BYOC went.

>

>Thanks for the heads up DC!

>Aaron

>

>

>"DC" <dc@solderinginhell.com> wrote in message news:44e69033\$1@linux...

>>

>> <http://buildyourownclone.com/>

>>

>> I stumbled across these guys. I don't know how good they are

>> but I am sure some of you would enjoy building some of these.

>>

>> DC

>>

>

>Hi all,

I just moved to the Boston area(arlington) and was wondering how many Paris users there are here. I used to post regularly years ago but my studio has been down for close to 3 years now. Just got it back up and thought I'd check in here to see how things are going with my fellow Paris users.

peace,

TRossiSteve, that link you posted does not make a good enough argument to debut the "Loose Change movie (documentary).

I'm not a conpiracist, but I've never believed the US govermnet's stories on 911. IMHO, we are dealing with a some really power hungry, sadistic, neo-conservatives in the white house and his fellow neo cons.

They are evil to the core. And have, dragged this nation down to the pits!!!..
It's really ashame that we 2 years left with these evil neo-cons. They are
as anti american as the as the so-called terrorist. Impeachment hearings
should start immediatly.!

And to think, we let them Impeach Clinton.. Now, with our civil liberties
being taken from us, a Patriot act which gives the Gov and police the right
to do anything they want. And a phony department names Department of Homeland
security, which is a weak as 2 minute cornflakes all under the shamof making
us "feel safe"!! Safe from what ?? The terrorist??or our Goverment???

These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the terrorist..Why??
At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us Goverment.aka
Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and the good
of this union. Not, to make us feel threaten, un-patriotic, fearful, and
distrustful of it's workings.They are threatning is taking away "free-speech,
and most importantly, 'A right to decent!~!!" ..

I love the poeple of this Nation. For we are a great people!!! But, we are
under the leadership of a wanna be dictator!!and his cronnie.. Lastly,
most americans feel 'Less Safe " now than 2002.. Wanna bet? Let's first ask
the good folks from New Orleans & Mississippi and Alabama .. That showed
every American how our Goverment would repsond if we were attacked..???

"steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote:

>

>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:

>>

>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023&_q=loose+change

>

>Nappy-

>

>first of all, it's great to see you again!

>

>second of all, I was pretty impressed by Loose Change.

>Then some months later I read this:

>

>http://911research.wtc7.net/reviews/loose_change/index.html

>

>Check it out!

>

>-steveDC wrote:

> <http://buildyourownclone.com/>

>

> I stumbled across these guys. I don't know how good they are

> but I am sure some of you would enjoy building some of these.

>
> DC
>
I don't use many pedals except the occasional cry baby, but i always wanted the TC Electronic Chorus. That is the one, IMHO. I'd even breath lead smoke to have one of those.<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QjA5faZF1A8Ok>, this thing is nearing completion.
I need to make a door for it and seal it.
Does anyone have a recommendation on what type of seal and or design to use.
A quarter inch seal would work best for the existing design, but I can alter it to what ever need be.
I was thinking of just making a wood door out of quarter inch ply and sealing it with some sort of weather stripping. I was thinking of a compression type latch.
So the entire door will be removeable.
thx,
bMike, while I do think that the mids are very clear (proper placement given, they have a great image) and translate well - be real careful of anything under about 100Hz... seriously man. I used a set of those for years, and
en

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..IT SEEMS NOT! (yet..)
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sun, 09 Jul 2006 06:57:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

to the system due to kick and
> sub-bass problems.
>
> AA
>
>
> "Mike Audet" <mike@mikeF-SPAMaudet.com> wrote in message
> news:44e763e3\$1@linux...
>>
>> Hi Everyone,
>>
>> I just thought I'd post because I just got a set of the original NS10M
>> speakers,
>> and they are awesome! I've never had a mix translate so well in all my
>> life.
>> I can hear the reverbs better. I can fix probelms in the mids that I
>> couldn't
>> hear before. The detail in incredible.
>>
>> These little babies are in every studio because they are fantastic.
>> Don't
>> believe the hype that they suck. I don't know what's wrong with people

>> who
>> bash these things.
>>
>> If you don't own a set of these, go buy one before you die! I would
>> honestly
>> take a bullet before giving these things up.
>>
>> Mike
>
>Okee dokee and enjoy that ToneLab SE if you have one?
W.

"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:44e78b68\$1@linux...
>
> The question was not just to you so don't get all jiggy on me.
>
> "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>>Don't know if the question is specifically to me (because I did just infer
> I
>>haven't heard it yet). If you're asking me why I don't "just get a
>>ToneLab
>
>>SE for 5 times the cost and know that you got the real SHIT!"... the
>>answer
>
>>is:
>>
>>Because, John, I already HAVE "the SHIT" in a shockmount case measuring
>
>>3'-2"D x 5'-8"H x 26"W filled with \$7000 @ 347lbs worth of fabulous
>
>>pieces.
>>
>>Moreover, any great player can get the "real SHIT" sound out of almost
>>anything because "SHIT" isn't born on a circuit board. I'm sure any pro
>
>>players here will know exactly what I'm talking about. I can walk into
> GC,
>>pick up a \$149 Mexi-Strat, plug into a 7 watt \$50 amp pull a crowd in 3
>
>>minutes.
>>
>>So for me, at 80 bucks delivered, (versus the \$400 ToneLab) I don't really
>
>>need to hear the sounds in the STOMP II nearly as much as needing to know
>
>>that (Deej) someone with reputable ears has played it, heard it, likes it,
>

>>makes zero complaint about noise level or fidelity and has general
>>positives
>
>>about it.
>>
>>W.
>>
>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:44e77888\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> Why not just get a ToneLab SE for 5 times the cost and know that you got
>
>>> the
>>> real SHIT !
>>>
>>> "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>>>>In stock again - ordered today. Free shipping... sweet deal -- even if
>>> it
>>>>has only ONE great sound in it.
>>>>W.
>>>>
>>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.org> wrote in message news:44e0efff\$1@linux...
>>>>>
>>>>> It's a Yamaha MagicStomp.
>>>>>
>>>>> <http://www.musiciansfriend.com/product/Yamaha-Magicstomp-II-Guitar-Effects-Processor?sku=151560>
>>>>>
>>>>> Looks like they are out until the 18th.
>>>>>
>>>>> DC
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>>
> [http://www.mcminone.com/search.asp?keyword=latches+butterfly](http://www.mcminone.com/search.asp?keyword=latches+butterfly&btnSubmit.x=0&btnSubmit.y=0&btnSubmit=GO)
> &btnSubmit.x=0&btnSubmit.y=0&btnSubmit=GO

AA

"Brandon" <a@a.com> wrote in message news:44e7b1a5\$1@linux...
>
> Ok, this thing is nearing completion.
> I need to make a door for it and seal it.
> Does anyone have a recommendation on what type of seal and or design to
> use.
> A quarter inch seal would work best for the existing design, but I can

> alter
> it to what ever need be.
> I was thinking of just making a wood door out of quarter inch ply
> and sealing it with some sort of weather stripping. I was thinking of a
> compression
> type latch.
> So the entire door will be removeable.
> thx,
> b
>
> I wonder how much of the ear fatigue applies to the studio series, because
these really aren't all that bright, at least not at the really high end.
And, I don't monitor at high volumes. I guess time will tell.

As for the low end, I am in the market for a sub. :)

But, I started mixing with these, and it was like putting on an old, comfortable pair of shoes. I just knew them instantly. My first crack at a mix on them sounded exactly the same in the car. It was a perfect translation. I love thses things.

There are so many people who bash these speakers, but I am so glad to have them and wanted to though my 2 cents into the debate about them.

All the best,

Mike

"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:

>Yeah, and on top of that, a lot of good ears begin to fatigue after 3 hours

>use on them. There goes all that wonderful referencing.

>

>W.

>

>

>"Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote in message

>news:44e7b5d1\$1@linux...

>> Mike, while I do think that the mids are very clear (proper placement

>> given, they have a great image) and translate well - be real careful of

>> anything under about 100Hz... seriously man. I used a set of those for

>> years, and ended up having to add a sub to the system due to kick and

>> sub-bass problems.

>>

>> AA
>>
>>
>> "Mike Audet" <mike@mikeF-SPAMaudet.com> wrote in message
>> news:44e763e3\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> Hi Everyone,
>>>
>>> I just thought I'd post because I just got a set of the original NS10M

>>> speakers,
>>> and they are awesome! I've never had a mix translate so well in all
my
>>> life.
>>> I can hear the reverbs better. I can fix probelms in the mids that I

>>> couldn't
>>> hear before. The detail is incredible.
>>>
>>> These little babies are in every studio because they are fantastic.
>>> Don't
>>> believe the hype that they suck. I don't know what's wrong with people

>>> who
>>> bash these things.
>>>
>>> If you don't own a set of these, go buy one before you die! I would

>>> honestly
>>> take a bullet before giving these things up.
>>>
>>> Mike
>>
>>
>
>The TC chrous is a lovely pedal. Really the best chorus pedal out there.

This flanger looks amazing to me.

<http://www.foxroxelectronics.com/>

(click on Paradox TZF) it seems to come really close to what we
did with 2 tape machines years ago.

I am not a big pedal guy, but this guy

<http://www.barberelectronics.com/>

has sold me 3 pedals so far. The Tone Press parallel compressor, in particular, makes even my best guitar and amp sound better. I use it almost all the time.

DC

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>I don't use many pedals except the occasional cry baby, but i always
>wanted the TC Electronic Chorus. That is the one, IMHO. I'd even breath

>lead smoke to have one of those. These days (I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
terrorist..Why??

At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us Government. aka
Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and the good
of this union.

.....and if there was an election today, what would the opposing party
stand for LaMont?

"LaMOnT" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e79af9\$1@linux...

>

> Steve, that link you posted does not make a good enough argument to debut
> the "Loose Change movie (documentary).

>

> I'm not a conspiracist, but I've never believed the US government's stories
> on 911. IMHO, we are dealing with a some really power hungry, sadistic,
neo-conservatives
> in the white house and his fellow neo cons.

>

> They are evil to the core. And have, dragged this nation down to the
pits!!!..

> It's really ashame that we 2 years left with these evil neo-cons. They are
> as anti american as the as the so-called terrorist. Impeachment hearings
> should start immediatly.!

>

> And to think, we let them Impeach Clinton.. Now, with our civil liberties
> being taken from us, a Patriot act which gives the Gov and police the
right
> to do anything they want. And a phony department names Department of
Homeland

> security, which is as weak as 2 minute cornflakes all under the sham of
making

> us "feel safe"!! Safe from what ?? The terrorist?? or our Government???

>
> These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the terrorist..Why??
> At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us Government.aka
> Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and the good
> of this union. Not, to make us feel threaten, un-patriotic, fearful, and
> distrustful of it's workings.They are threatening is taking away
"free-speech,
> and most importantly, 'A right to decent!~!!" ..
>
> I love the poeple of this Nation. For we are a great people!!! But, we are
> under the leadership of a wanna be dictator!!and his cronnie.. Lastly,
> most americans feel 'Less Safe " now than 2002.. Wanna bet? Let's first
ask
> the good folks from New Orleans & Mississippi and Alabama .. That showed
> every American how our Goverment would repsond if we were attacked..???
>
>
>
> "steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote:
> >
> >"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
> >>
>
>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023&_q=loose+change
> >
> >Nappy-
> >
> >first of all, it's great to see you again!
> >
> >second of all, I was pretty impressed by Loose Change.
> >Then some months later I read this:
> >
> >http://911research.wtc7.net/reviews/loose_change/index.html
> >
> >Check it out!
> >
> >-steve
>This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_003A_01C6C403.C297EBC0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

T.
Welcome to the area. I'm originally from the suburbs of Chicago
but moved here to go to Berklee in '75. I never returned.
My wife and I bought a house down in Raynham which is

towards the cape but more inland. Boston is a great city=20
to live in or near. The music scene is fruitful with all the music
schools located here. There's a glut of studios too.
I whizzed by Arlington about 45 minutes ago on my way home=20
from a gig. =20

Arlington is a great location. I used to go to the Cabrada(sp?) Bakery
next to the Capitol (?) Theater on Mass Ave.. Croissants to die for.
The best general practitioner I ever had a practice in Arlington also.
If you're looking for a great doctor I give the man an A+++. =20

Anything else?!!! Enjoy your new world!
Tom=20

"trossi" <babelfishinmyotherear@yahoo.com> wrote in message =
news:44e79ad8@linux...
Hi all,

I just moved to the Boston area(arlington) and was wondering how many =
Paris=20
users there are here. I used to post regularly years ago but my =
studio has=20
been down for close to 3 years now. Just got it back up and thought =
I'd=20
check in here to see how things are going with my fellow Paris users.

peace,
TRossi=20

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?
<http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>
-----=_NextPart_000_003A_01C6C403.C297EBC0
Content-Type: text/html;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

```
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>T.</FONT></DIV>
```

<DIV>Welcome to the area. I'm =
 originally from the=20
 suburbs of Chicago</DIV>
 <DIV>but moved here to go to Berklee in =
 '75. I=20
 never returned.</DIV>
 <DIV>My wife and I bought a =
 house down in=20
 Raynham which is</DIV>
 <DIV>towards the cape but more =
 inland. Boston=20
 is a great city </DIV>
 <DIV>to live in or near. The music =
 scene is=20
 fruitful with all the music</DIV>
 <DIV>schools located here. There's a =
 glut of=20
 studios too.</DIV>
 <DIV>I whizzed by Arlington about 45=20
 minutes ago on my way home </DIV>
 <DIV>from a gig. </DIV>
 <DIV> </DIV>
 <DIV>Arlington is a great location. I =
 used to go=20
 to the Cabrada(sp?) Bakery</DIV>
 <DIV>next to the Capitol (?) Theater on Mass =
 Ave.. =20
 Croissants to die for.</DIV>
 <DIV>The best general practitioner I ever =
 had a=20
 practice in Arlington also.</DIV>
 <DIV>If you're looking for a great doctor I =
 give the man=20
 an A++. </DIV>
 <DIV> </DIV>
 <DIV>Anything =
 else?!!! =20
 Enjoy your new world!</DIV>
 <DIV>Tom </DIV>
 <DIV> </DIV>
 <BLOCKQUOTE=20
 style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
 BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
 <DIV>"trossi" <<A=20
 =
 href=3D"mailto:babelfishinmyotherear@yahoo.com">babelfishinmyotherear@yah=
 oo.com>=20
 wrote in message <A=20

href=3D"news:44e79ad8@linux">news:44e79ad8@linux...</DIV>Hi =
all,

I=20
just moved to the Boston area(arlington) and was wondering how many =
Paris=20

users there are here. I used to post regularly years ago but =
my=20
studio has
been down for close to 3 years now. Just got it =
back up=20
and thought I'd
check in here to see how things are going with my =
fellow=20
Paris users.

peace,
TRossi

</BLOCKQUOTE>
<DIV>

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, =
and=20
you?
<A=20
href=3D"http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html">

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sun, 09 Jul 2006 11:22:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
>
>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e79af9\$1@linux...
>>
>> Steve, that link you posted does not make a good enough argument to debut
>> the "Loose Change movie (documentary).
>>
>> I'm not a conspiracist, but I've never believed the US government's stories
>> on 911. IMHO, we are dealing with a some really power hungry, sadistic,
>neo-conservatives
>> in the white house and his fellow neo cons.
>>
>> They are evil to the core. And have, dragged this nation down to the
>pits!!!..
>> It's really ashame that we 2 years left with these evil neo-cons. They
are
>> as anti american as the as the so-called terrorist. Impeachment hearings
>> should start immediatly.!
>>
>> And to think, we let them Impeach Clinton.. Now, with our civil liberties
>> being taken from us, a Patriot act which gives the Gov and police the
>right
>> to do anything they want. And a phony department names Department of
>Homeland
>> security, which is a weak as 2 minute cornflakes all under the shamof
>making
>> us "feel safe"!! Safe from what ?? The terrorist??or our Government???

>>
>> These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the terrorist..Why??
>> At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us Goverment.aka
>> Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and the
good
>> of this union. Not, to make us feel threaten, un-patriotic, fearful,
and
>> distrustful of it's workings.They are threatening is taking away
>"free-speech,
>> and most importantly, 'A right to decent!~!!" ..
>>
>> I love the poeple of this Nation. For we are a great people!!! But, we
are
>> under the leadership of a wanna be dictator!!and his cronnies.. Lastly,
>> most americans feel 'Less Safe " now than 2002.. Wanna bet? Let's first
>ask
>> the good folks from New Orleans & Mississippi and Alabama .. That showed
>> every American how our Goverment would repsond if we were attacked..???
>>
>>
>>
>> "steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingrother.net> wrote:
>> >
>> >"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
>> >>
>>
>>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023&_q=loose+change
>> >
>> >Nappy-
>> >
>> >first of all, it's great to see you again!
>> >
>> >second of all, I was pretty impressed by Loose Change.
>> >Then some months later I read this:
>> >
>> >http://911research.wtc7.net/reviews/loose_change/index.html
>> >
>> >Check it out!
>> >
>> >-steve
>>
>
>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
>Steve, that link you posted does not make a good enough argument to debut
>the "Loose Change movie (documentary).

LaMont-

I think it does a very good job of debunking some points which are kinda shakey, but more importantly, highlighting several points which the writer, Jim Hoffman, feels are right on the money. His take on it is that the case for something fishy going on is much stronger if one concentrates on the strongest points.

-steve

>

>I'm not a conspiracist, but I've never believed the US government's stories
>on 911. IMHO, we are dealing with a some really power hungry, sadistic,
neo-conservatives

>in the white house and his fellow neo cons.

>

>They are evil to the core. And have, dragged this nation down to the pits!!!!..

Just in case you'd like a little more evidence that your belief is correct,
take 55 minutes and check this one out.

<http://video.google.com/videosearch?q=conspiracy+of+silence>

It's one of most disturbing thing I've ever seen.

-steve I hate the idea of war as much as you do. I have actually thought long and hard about going over there myself. If there was something I could do other than get in the way. and I didn't have a family to support, I might check with the military to see if there's a *geezers batallion*. I don't have any formal military training, but I know how to handle firearms, and I'm deadly accurate.

It would piss off my wife to no end and I'm 56 and 'purt'near used up so I don't know how well this old body would handle the rigours of battlefield combat and the heat, but I'll guarantee you that I would have no problem at all if my son or daughter joined a volunteer army and that army was called on to fight, I would support them 100%.

If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready to fight. Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a regime change here. The politics of the left are much more abhorrent to them than those of the right. They are, however, quite happy to allow the left to do their political infighting for them here. The weaker and less resolved we are the better they like it.

Here's what you're in for amigo:

<http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=54> 26

The human rights section and the women's section is especially wonderful.
Enjoy.

Regards,

DJ

"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e8083f\$1@linux...

>

> Hopefully the opposing party, they would stand for our constitutional rights

> and it's Liberties..

>

> Even more, the opposing party would not be thrill seeking war mongers, who's

> only agenda is to spread freedom thru out he world (ha hah). I would hope

> any opposing party will grow some balls and speak out against this tierany.

> There are just as many Democrats who signed on with the Bush(ites).. But

> as we saw with the begining of the mid term primaries, those Democrats who

> were with the Bush(ites) went down with ship.

>

> Evenmore.The thought of spreading democracy into a region were war is second

> nature for over 10,000 years is laughable at best. Those fold over there(

> Iran, Iraq,Saudi Aurabia, Seria, afganistan,) will Never stop fighting or

> give in to so called democracy..Never.

> Will you send you son or daughter over to fight??

> I thoguht so..

>

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

> >These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the terrorist..Why??

> >At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us Goverment.aka

> >Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and the good

> >of this union.

> >

> >.....and if there was an election today, what would the opposing party

> >stand for LaMont?

> >

> >"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e79af9\$1@linux...

> >>

> >> Steve, that link you posted does not make a good enough argument to debut
> >> the "Loose Change movie (documentary).
> >>
> >> I'm not a conspiracist, but I've never believed the US government's stories
> >> on 911. IMHO, we are dealing with a some really power hungry, sadistic,
> >neo-conservatives
> >> in the white house and his fellow neo cons.
> >>
> >> They are evil to the core. And have, dragged this nation down to the
> >pits!!!..
> >> It's really ashame that we 2 years left with these evil neo-cons. They
> are
> >> as anti american as the as the so-called terrorist. Impeachment hearings
> >> should start immediatly.!
> >>
> >> And to think, we let them Impeach Clinton.. Now, with our civil liberties
> >> being taken from us, a Patriot act which gives the Gov and police the
> >right
> >> to do anything they want. And a phony department names Department of
> >Homeland
> >> security, which is a weak as 2 minute cornflakes all under the shamof
> >making
> >> us "feel safe"!! Safe from what ?? The terrorist??or our Goverment???
> >>
> >> These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the terrorist..Why??
> >> At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us Government.aka
> >> Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and the
> good
> >> of this union. Not, to make us feel threaten, un-patriotic, fearful,
> and
> >> distrustful of it's workings.They are threatening is taking away
> >"free-speech,
> >> and most importantly, 'A right to decent!~!!" ..
> >>
> >> I love the poeple of this Nation. For we are a great people!!! But, we
> are
> >> under the leadership of a wanna be dictator!!and his cronniees..
Lastly,
> >> most americans feel 'Less Safe " now than 2002.. Wanna bet? Let's first
> >ask
> >> the good folks from New Orleans & Mississippi and Alabama .. That showed

> > every American how our Government would repsond if we were attacked..???

> >

> >

> >

> > "steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote:

> > >

> > >"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:

> > >>

> > >

>

>>> <http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change>

e

> > >

> > >Nappy-

> > >

> > >first of all, it's great to see you again!

> > >

> > >second of all, I was pretty impressed by Loose Change.

> > >Then some months later I read this:

> > >

> > >http://911research.wtc7.net/reviews/loose_change/index.html

> > >

> > >Check it out!

> > >

> > >-steve

> > >

> >

> >

>They did little to debunking, but rather just disagreed..How is that debunking.
Anybody can just say "Well, I do'nt belive you". Or say,"that's not true"..

That was bogus (typical CIA)dis-crediting tactics at best..

"steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote:

>

>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>>

>>Steve, that link you posted does not make a good enough argument to debut

>>the "Loose Change movie (documentary).

>

>LaMont-

>

>I think it does a very good job of debunking some points which are kinda

>shakey, but more importantly, highlighting several points which the writer,

>Jim Hoffman, feels are right on the money. His take on it is that the case

>for something fishy going on is much stronger if one concentrates on the

>strongest points.
>
>-steve
>
>
>>
>>I'm not a conpiracist,

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Miguel Vigil \[1\]](#) on Sun, 09 Jul 2006 16:44:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/Yamaha-Magicstomp-II- Guitar-Effects-Processor?sku=151560

>>>>>

>>>>> Looks like they are out until the 18th.

>>>>>

>>>>> DC

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>>>

>>

>

>This guy can play ! What a treat to watch. Nice DJ

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QjA5faZF1A8>

>

>I am 2 towns over in Stoneham.

Arlington is a good choice, close to Cambridge Somerville, and Boston

"Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote:

>

>

>T.

>Welcome to the area. I'm originally from the suburbs of Chicago

>but moved here to go to Berklee in '75. I never returned.

>My wife and I bought a house down in Raynham which is

>towards the cape but more inland. Boston is a great city=20

>to live in or near. The music scene is fruitful with all the music

>schools located here. There's a glut of studios too.

>I whizzed by Arlington about 45 minutes ago on my way home=20

>from a gig. =20

>

>Arlington is a great location. I used to go to the Cabrada(sp?) Bakery

>next to the Capitol (?) Theater on Mass Ave.. Croissants to die for.
>The best general practitioner I ever had a practice in Arlington also.
>If you're looking for a great doctor I give the man an A+++. =20
>
>Anything else?!!! Enjoy your new world!
>Tom=20
>
> "trossi" <babelfishinmyotherear@yahoo.com> wrote in message =
>news:44e79ad8@linux...
> Hi all,
>
> I just moved to the Boston area(arlington) and was wondering how many
=
>Paris=20
> users there are here. I used to post regularly years ago but my =
>studio has=20
> been down for close to 3 years now. Just got it back up and thought =
>I'd=20
> check in here to see how things are going with my fellow Paris users.
>
> peace,
> TRossi=20
>
>
>
>
>I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?
><http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>
>
><!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sun, 09 Jul 2006 17:01:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>scene is=20
>fruitful with all the music</DIV>

>glut of=20
>studios too.</DIV>

>used to go=20

><DIV>next to the Capitol (?) Theater on Mass
=

>Croissants to die for.</DIV>

><DIV>The best general practitioner I ever =

><DIV>If you're looking for a great doctor I

=

>give the man=20

><DIV>Anything =

>Enjoy your new world!</DIV>

><BLOCKQUOTE=20

>style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =

>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">

> <DIV>"trossi" <A=20

> =

>href=3D"mailto:babelfishinmyotherear@yahoo.com">babelfishinmyotherear@yah=

>oo.com>=20

> wrote in message <A=20

> href=3D"news:44e79ad8@linux">news:44e79ad8@linux...</DIV>Hi =

>all,

I=20

> just moved to the Boston area(arlington) and was wondering how many =

>Paris=20

>my=20

>back up=20

> and thought I'd
check in here to see how things are going with my

=

>fellow=20

> Paris users.

peace,
TRossi

</BLOCKQUOTE>

><DIV>

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, =

>and=20

>you?
<A=20

>href=3D"http://www.poles

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!

>
>
> I am not a big pedal guy, but this guy
>
> <http://www.barberelectronics.com/>
>
> has sold me 3 pedals so far. The Tone Press parallel compressor,
> in particular, makes even my best guitar and amp sound better.
> I use it almost all the time.
>
> DC
>
>
>
> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>
>>I don't use many pedals except the occasional cry baby, but i always
>>wanted the TC Electronic Chorus. That is the one, IMHO. I'd even breath
>
>>lead smoke to have one of those.
>I have been using NS10's pretty much exclusively since the early 80's when
they first came out. When you live with them long enough, you can figure
out the low bass just by watching the cones... That said, I added a sub
to them around 2000, and I
really like the combo. I have also used Tannoys (8") for about that
long, too, and the combo works nice. I have the Central Station
for monitoring, with the adjustable sub out, and use it on both sets, though
I love the ability to easily turn it off, too.

As for fatigue, I can;t begin to count the number of 12 hour + days I have
spent on them and don't feel fatigued, and my ears test out just fine. I
do monitor very quietly, and if certain playbacks need to be loud, I usually
switch to the Tannoys.

Love the way they translate...

"Mike Audet" <mike@....> wrote:

>
>I wonder how much of the ear fatigue applies to the studio series, because
>these really aren't all that bright, at least not at the really high end.
> And, I don't monitor at high volumes. I guess time will tell.
>
>As for the low end, I am in the market for a sub. :)

>
>But, I started mixing with these, and it was like putting on an old, comfortable
>pair of shoes. I just knew them instantly. My first crack at a mix on
them
>sounded exactly the same in the car. It was a perfect translation. I love
>thses things.
>
>There are so many people who bash these speakers, but I am so glad to have
>them and wanted to though my 2 cents into the debate about them.
>
>All the best,
>
>Mike
>
>"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>>Yeah, and on top of that, a lot of good ears begin to fatigue after 3 hours
>
>>use on them. There goes all that wonderful referencing.
>>
>>W.
>>
>>
>>"Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote in message
>>news:44e7b5d1\$1@linux...
>>> Mike, while I do think that the mids are very clear (proper placement
>
>>> given, they have a great image) and translate well - be real careful
of
>
>>> anything under about 100Hz... seriously man. I used a set of those for
>
>>> years, and ended up having to add a sub to the system due to kick and
>
>>> sub-bass problems.
>>>
>>> AA
>>>
>>>
>>> "Mike Audet" <mike@mikeF-SPAMaudet.com> wrote in message
>>> news:44e763e3\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> Hi Everyone,
>>>>
>>>> I just thought I'd post because I just got a set of the orginal NS10M
>
>>>> speakers,
>>>> and they are awesome! I've never had a mix translate so well in all
>my

>>>> life.
 >>>> I can hear the reverbs better. I can fix problems in the mids that
 I
 >
 >>>> couldn't
 >>>> hear before. The detail is incredible.
 >>>>
 >>>> These little babies are in every studio because they are fantastic.

>>>> Don't
 >>>> believe the hype that they suck. I don't know what's wrong with people
 >
 >>>> who
 >>>> bash these things.
 >>>>
 >>>> If you don't own a set of these, go buy one before you die! I would
 >
 >>>> honestly
 >>>> take a bullet before giving these things up.
 >>>>
 >>>> Mike
 >>>
 >>>
 >>
 >>
 >Bummer. I guess that tube sound is truly elusive in digital modeling.

"LAMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
 >
 >To me the ToneLab is great for clean, jazz tones, BUT, just average for
 the
 >crunch and burn tones. Maybe they (VOX) was not going for the Mesa Boogie
 >dual rectifier tones or even the super Marshall hot tones.
 >All in all, good for some things, not good for others.
 >
 >"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
 >>
 >>I wish I had a ToneLab, but I just have a Line 6 Ax212. It's nice but
 buggy.
 >> Big volume jumps on startup when you change presets, using unisyn editor
 >>can make it scream into feedback if you're not careful.
 >>
 >>Overall it has lots of really good sounds but I'm not a good enough guitar
 >>player to make it worth going to a ToneLab. Also, the ToneLab is not stereo
 >>and I'm guessing the next version might be. I think I have this phobia
 >about
 >>stompboxes cuz they seem to all get chained together and then become one
 >>big mess of wall warts and noise. Let us know how you like the box, that

>>is a great price.
>>
>>"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>>>Okee dokee and enjoy that ToneLab SE if you have one?
>>>W.
>>>
>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:44e78b68\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> The question was not just to you so don't get all jiggy on me.
>>>>
>>>> "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>>>>>Don't know if the question is specifically to me (because I did just
>infer
>>>> I
>>>>>haven't heard it yet). If you're asking me why I don't "just get a

>>>>>ToneLab
>>>>>
>>>>>SE for 5 times the cost and know that you got the real SHIT!"... the
>
>>>>>answer
>>>>>
>>>>>is:
>>>>>
>>>>>Because, John, I already HAVE "the SHIT" in a shockmount case measuring
>>>>>
>>>>>3'-2"D x 5'-8"H x 26"W filled with \$7000 @ 347lbs worth of fabulous
>>>>>
>>>>>pieces.
>>>>>
>>>>>Moreover, any great player can get the "real SHIT" sound out of almost
>>>>>anything because "SHIT" isn't born on a circuit board. I'm sure any
>pro
>>>>>
>>>>>players here will know exactly what I'm talking about. I can walk into
>>>> GC,
>>>>>pick up a \$149 Mexi-Strat, plug into a 7 watt \$50 amp pull a crowd in
>>3
>>>>>
>>>>>minutes.
>>>>>
>>>>>So for me, at 80 bucks delivered, (versus the \$400 ToneLab) I don't
>really
>>>>>
>>>>>need to hear the sounds in the STOMP II nearly as much as needing to
>know
>>>>>
>>>>>that (Deej) someone with reputable ears has played it, heard it, likes

www.sarahtonin.com/wayward.htm

Mike Audet" <mike@mikeF-SPAMaudet.com> wrote in message
news:44e763e3\$1@linux...

>
> Hi Everyone,
>
> I just thought I'd post because I just got a set of the original NS10M
> speakers,
> and they are awesome! I've never had a mix translate so well in all my
> life.
> I can hear the reverbs better. I can fix problems in the mids that I
> couldn't
> hear before. The detail is incredible.
>
> These little babies are in every studio because they are fantastic. Don't
> believe the hype that they suck. I don't know what's wrong with people
> who
> bash these things.
>
> If you don't own a set of these, go buy one before you die! I would
> honestly
> take a bullet before giving these things up.
>
> MikeLaMont (sigh.....)

I've got a few thoughts below:

"LaMont" <lad@american.com> wrote in message news:44e889dc\$1@linux...

>
> "If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready to
fight.
> Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a regime
change
> here."
>
> The only we should be ready to face is the (Bush-ites) neo-cons aka the
present
> rulers of our government. They are the ones who are taking away our
liberties, freedom
> under the guise of democracy.
>

Did you read any part of the link I posted? If so, are you dismissing it
completely?

> It's really ashamed that the 33-36 percent of you who still believe in this
> regime, are still drinking the kool-aid that Tony Snow spills. It's so

un-beliveable

> what they spew out these days to make their case. Now, it's become laughable.

> Pretty pathetic that they continue to play the so called "RED-States fear

> rhetoric to get support for thier imperialistic movement.

Nobody wants war. Bin Ladin stated very publicly that the reason he thought he could win this war was because America no longer had the stomach for war and that we were weak. He's right, apparently. Just look at the polls.

Clinton would have surrendered by now. Bush, no way, and that's one of the few things I like about him.

>

> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent. That says

> that most of the folks who voted for him does not suppport him or that "sham"

> Iraq war.

The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was still going strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.

>

> What's even funny is that folkd like yourself willing to die for this sham

> of a cause, when the President himself and other Elites, will never take

> up arms. Nor will their families allow them to. See, that's what poor folks

> are for. They take up arms for the rich. Hey, I don't blame Bush for weasling

> out the vietam war..That was a smart thing to do. But, I'd be damm if I'd

> send or give my blessing to my sons for this most un-knoble cause.

> LAD

Hmmm.....well, how about your poster child for all that is good in the world, Bill Clinton? This argument is lame LaMont and you should know it. Let's look at how we got here, shall we? We're coming out of a war that has caused a glitch in the economy and Bush I has to raise taxes to pay for it. Oher than that, it's roaring because of the Reagan tax cuts. We elect slick Willie because he talks a good game, there has been an end to the war, sanctions are in place and the world is in a relatively stable condition. The Soviet economic system has failed, capitalism is starting to remake poliotical thinking in China (just as it has in Viet Nam) and there are some incredible changes and opportunities to be had in the world. The

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!

Posted by [Kim](#) on Mon, 10 Jul 2006 01:03:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

re were

still lots of threats and apparatchiks who were basically gangsters who would sell their mother for money and the nuclear stores in Russia were controlled by these guys. We needed an intelligence service to keep us up to speed on a rapidly changing world.

Now what would a *real* leader do? Would he live by opinion polls and create a personality cult or would he obey his oath of office and protect this country?

Well, the first thing he does is betray this country by instituting a new policy at the CIA that severely limited the interaction of the CIA with anyone who could be considered an embarrassment to the administration due to their human rights history. That pretty much takes 90% of the folks in the middle east, China and Russia who might be associated with terrorism and espionage off the table. Well, this kind of idealism is all fine and good in a perfect world, but totally unrealistic in the *real* world. By the time he left office we had lost all of our intelligence assets in the places we needed them the most.....so throughout his administration, he spouts off about IRAQ having WMD's and periodically bombs here and there while turning a blind eye to the French, Russians and UN parasites who are doing everything possible to ensure that Sadaam can continue to sell them oil under the table while rearming his military at the expense of the very Iraqis that the sanctions were meant to protect.....but hell man, the polls said that this was a good thing and so rather than take any kind of unpopular position, he went with the polls. Well look where we are now. This is Clinton's doing, not Bush's. Bush just has the balls to do the right thing and doesn't live by the polls.

Also, he botched the opportunity to have Bin Laden when Sudan offered him up. He did nothing when the Cole was bombed. He withdrew from Somalia after 18 of our soldiers were killed which was one of the main reasons Bin Laden came to the conclusion that we were weak and would not fight. He, along with Sen. Dennis DeConcini, Arizona Democrat, blocked the hiring of additional Arabic translators. Janet Reno's 1995 edicts regarding cooperation between domestic intelligence agencies essentially hamstrung them and rendered them completely ineffective. I could go on and on..... A good intelligence apparatus takes years to build but can be destroyed fairly quickly by an idiot like Clinton.

The deaths from the sanctions in Iraq was one of the main reasons Osama used for recruitment and "rationalizing" 911. Clinton was relying on the same intelligence Bush was. Notice that when Congress was voting to authorize war on Iraq, Bill Clinton did not state in any way, that there were no WMD, even though it now turns out that if they were destroyed, it was in the early 1990's.

The democrats are again relying on polls and there is no doubt in my mind that if Harry Reid, Nancy Pelosi, Dennis Kucinich and there ilk ascend to

power in the Congress, it will be a disaster because they cannot reconcile the fact that though 911 was not directly sponsored by Iraq, Iraq under Sadaam was still a huge part of the picture.....made moreso by the crappy intelligence apparatus that was the Clinton legacy..

Though there is little evidence Saddam was behind 911, What there is, is evidence that the sanctions were killing a lot of Iraqis and that sanctions were going to have to be lifted. Then would we be safe? Does anyone think that if sanctions were lifted on Saddam (which they would have to be, since there were no WMD), that Saddam today would not be going nuclear like Iran? If the lack of WMD was known in 2003, *our allies* would have placed major pressure to lift sanctions. And if sanctions were lifted, Saddam would be a great danger. Do you think for one minute that Sadaam, seeing Iran going nuclear, would not want to go there himself.....again.....with great haste? If the sanctions were properly enforced, a lot of people would not have died but no one was protesting while people were dying then. It wouldn't look good in the polls.

Now LaMont, you seem to think that somehow if we just appease these facists, they will leave us alone and everyone would just be our buddy while totally ignoring the 8 years of unmitigated disaster that *was* the Clinton administration. (and don't call me a *****in neo-con....I voted for him too)

To be a *real* leader Clinton would have immediately recognized that the entire planet was at a crucial place in the history. He could have instituted a policy of energy independence while we were in a relatively secure position. It wouldn't have been popular because he wanted to balance the budget (a fleeting PR move on paper that weakened our security in many ways). He could have exercised some *real* leadership rather than making excuses for the Europeans when they were quite willing to allow yet another genocide in Kosovo while dealing us under the table with the sanctions in Iraq.

Had we had any decent intelligence, we might not have had the debacle in Somalia, but oh no.....can't deal with unsavory characters now can we? The polls wouldn't support this.....and finally.....Mr. Bill abrogated his responsibility as a world leader (his great ambition, right) by not calling the UN to account for ignoring the most inexcusable, racist and *unhumanitarian* act since the Holacaust by ignoring Rwanda completely and then offering up a teary eyed *excused* that he didn't know what was happening. It was his job to know. If he did know, he's criminally negligent. If he didn't know, he's criminally negligent. This failure of leadership is inexcusable and smacks of extreme racism..

Yeah.....let's let the liberals run the show again. I can't wait.....and before you pop off and start calling me a neo-con, be advised that I'm very much pro choice, in favor of population control and think that organized religion has created a *rat breeding* mentality that

threatens the very existence of the human race, believe that global warming is very real and that we need to take action immediately or it's going to be too late, am a borderline nut case when it comes to animal rights, hate war, believe that immigration reform should be a humane process, believe in government funded stem cell research. I'm a bit of a secularist , but I think it's totally unrealistic to ignore the fact that this is a country wherein the vast majority of people profess to practice, or at least believe in some sort of religious philosophy.....the majority being various sects of Christianity. I also agree that some are extremists (not just the Christians, IMO) but I also keep in mind that the majority has rights as well as the minority. It think that given this situation it would be better to allow free expression of *all* religions and *no religions* within certain contextual guidelines in an effort to foster open dialogue and understanding between the religions and atheists/agnostics in an effort to point out their many similarities in thinking/philosophy rather than segregating this to the respective religious centers. It's not going to go away and when segregated away from scrutiny, any idea can become corrupt. I think if this could happen, the obvious commonalities might tend to diffuse the agruments of the intolerant *armageddonists*. One thing that I firmly believe is that if a group of people want something to happen bad enough, they will find a way to make it happen. This is particularly scary when you look at the *end of the world cults* who base their perception of world events on the inevitability of their Biblical interpretation of Revelations and of the jihadists whowell.....no point in arguing the right and wrong of this cause ad nauseum. .

I also believe that the left in this country has been criminally stupid to the point of treason with their anti-war antics and that if they are brought to power in the next round of elections, they will think that appeasing terrorism will keep them safe. The terrorists, OTOH, will exploit the weakensses in our system that the left has created and/or allowed to fester and will use them against all of us. As I said before, the leftist/liberal agenda is a total anathema to radical Islam. I really don't think you pay much attention to the real agenda.

There is so much more to the entire scenario than 9-11, yet it's convenient for those who want to try to undermine the administration politically, to take up the mantra that if it doesn't apply directly to 9-11, then it's not part of the overall problem. It's short-sighted and that's what scares me about the anti-war faction. They seem to think that if we just don't confront the jihadinazis, that they will leave us alone. I'm all for freedom of speech and free debate, but we've got some hard choices to make right now and I'm in favor of having a country left in which it is possible to debate, rather than a nazi state. You equate the Bush administration with facism????.....they are fighting facism amigio. Sometimes it's ugly. the freedoms we enjoy right now are unbelievable compared to what we were willing to forego in WWII. The enemy we face is much more dangerous and can bring the world to an end with just a few bombs. Appeasing them will not

take their finger off the trigger if they get those bombs.
When I see videos of these guys goosestepping through the streets it makes me wonder how we can forget so quickly how an ideology and failure to confront it can bring about a world war.

I often wonder how my friends on the left can be so ignorant of the real issues involved here. I wonder how the following laws would go down in a place like San Francisco, where pacifism is the philosophy du jour:

PENALTIES imposed by Iran's religious mullahs include:

THEFT: Amputation of hands or feet for persistent offenders.

ADULTERY: Death by stoning.

UNMARRIED SEX: 100 lashes.

CONVERSION TO RELIGION OTHER THAN ISLAM: Death.

SODOMY: Death for adults, 74 lashes for consenting child.

LESBIANISM: 100 lashes, or on the fourth occasion death.

HOMOSEXUAL KISS: 60 lashes.

RUBBING ANOTHER MAN'S THIGHS OR BUTTOCKS: 99 lashes - on 4th occasion, death.

I would like to be a democrat again. I used to be one and felt pretty comfortable with the liberal agenda when this country had the luxury of debating these things. These days I wonder how the liberals in this country can be so blind as to dismiss what lies in store for them as they savage an administration that has the balls to stand up and fight for them.....something their own leaders are seemingly incapable of doing.

Regards,

DJ

>

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

> >I hate the idea of war as much as you do. I have actually thought long and

> >hard about going over there myself. If there was something I could do other

> >than get in the way. and I didn't have a family to support, I might check
> >with the military to see if there's a *geezers battalion*. I don't have
> any
> >formal military training, but I know how to handle firearms, and I'm
deadly
> >accurate.
> >It would piss off my wife to no end and I'm 56 and 'purtneer used up so
> I
> >don't know how well this old body would handle the rigours of battlefield
> >combat and the heat, but I'll guarantee you that I would have no problem
> at
> >all if my son or daughter joined a volunteer army and that army was
called
> >on to fight, I would support them 100%.
> >
> >If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready to
fight.
> >Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a regime
> >change here. The politics of the left are much more abhorrent to them
than
> >those of the right. They are, however, quite happy to allow the left to
> do
> >their political infighting for them here. The weaker and less resolved we
> >are the better they like it.
> >
> >Here's what you're in for amigo:
> > http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=54_26
> >
> >The human rights section and the women's section is especially wonderful.
> >Enjoy.
> >
> >
> >Regards,
> >
> >DJ
> >
> >
> >
> >"Lamont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e8083f\$1@linux...
> >>
> >> Hopefully the opposing party, they would stand for our constitutional
> >rights
> >> and it's Liberties..
> >>
> >> Even more, the opposing party would not be thrill seeking war mongers,
> >who's
> >> only agenda is to spread freedom thru out he world (ha hah). I would
hope

> >> any opposing party will grow some balls and speak out against this
> >tierney.
> >> There are just as many Democrats who signed on with the Bush(is).. But
> >> as we saw with the beginning of the mid term primaries, those Democrats
> who
> >> were with the Bush(ites) went down with ship.
> >>
> >> Evenmore.The thought of spreading democracy into a region were war is
> >second
> >> nature for over 10,000 years is laughable at best. Those fold over
there(
> >> Iran, Iraq,Saudi Arabia, Syria, afghanistan,) will Never stop fighting
> or
> >> give in to so called democracy..Never.
> >> Will you send you son or daughter over to fight??
> >> I thought so..
> >>
> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> >These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
> >terrorist..Why??
> >> >At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
> >Goverment.aka
> >> >Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and the
> >good
> >> >of this union.
> >> >
> >> >.....and if there was an election today, what would the opposing
> >party
> >> >stand for Lamont?
> >> >
> >> >"Lamont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:44e79af9\$1@linux...
> >> >>
> >> >> Steve, that link you posted does not make a good enough argument to
> >debut
> >> >> the "Loose Change movie (documentary).
> >> >>
> >> >> I'm not a conpiracist, but I've never believed the US government
> >stories
> >> >> on 911. IMHO, we are dealing with a some really power hungry,
sadistic,
> >> >neoconservatives-conservatives
> >> >> in the white house and his fellow neo cons.
> >> >>
> >> >> They are evil to the core. And have, dragged this nation down to the
> >> >pits!!!!..
> >> >> It's really a shame that we 2 years left with these evil neo-cons.
> They

> >> are
> >> >> as anti American as the as the so-called terrorist. Impeachment
> >hearings
> >> >> should start immediately.!
> >> >>
> >> >> And to think, we let them Impeach Clinton.. Now, with our civil
> >liberties
> >> >> being taken from us, a Patriot act which gives the Gov and police
the
> >> >right
> >> >> to do anything they want. And a phony department names Department of
> >> >Homeland
> >> >> security, which is a weak as 2 minute cornflakes all under the sham
> of
> >> >making
> >> >> us "feel safe"!! Safe from what ?? The terrorist??or our
Government???
> >> >>
> >> >> These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
> >terrorist..Why??
> >> >> At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
> >Goverment.aka
> >> >> Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and
the
> >> good
> >> >> of this union. Not, to make us feel threaten, unpatriotic, fearful,
> >> and
> >> >> distrustful of it's workings.They are threatening is taking away
> >> >"free-speech,
> >> >> and most importantly, 'A right to decent!~!!" ..
> >> >>
> >> >> I love the people of this Nation. For we are a great people!!! But,
> we
> >> are

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Chris Ludwig](#) on Mon, 10 Jul 2006 01:51:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

0's when
>they first came out. When you live with them long enough, you can figure
>out the low bass just by watching the cones... That said, I added a sub
>to them around 2000, and I
>really like the combo. I have also used Tannoys (8") for about that
>long, too, and the combo works nice. I have the Central Station
>for monitoring, with the adjustable sub out, and use it on both sets, though
>I love the abilty to easily turn it off, too.

>

>As for fatigue, I can;t begin to count the number of 12 hour + days I have
>spent on them and don't feel fatigued, and my ears test out just fine.

I

>do monitor very quietly, and if certain playbacks need to be loud, I usually
>switch to the Tannoys.

>

>Love the way they translate...

>

>

>

>"Mike Audet" <mike@....> wrote:

>>

>>I wonder how much of the ear fatigue applies to the studio series, because
>>these really aren't all that bright, at least not at the really high end.
>> And, I don't monitor at high volumes. I guess time will tell.

>>

>>As for the low end, I am in the market for a sub. :)

>>

>>But, I started mixing with these, and it was like putting on an old, comfortable
>>pair of shoes. I just knew them instantly. My first crack at a mix on
>them

>>sounded exactly the same in the car. It was a perfect translation. I
love

>>thses things.

>>

>>There are so many people who bash these speakers, but I am so glad to have
>>them and wanted to though my 2 cents into the debate about them.

>>

>>All the best,

>>

>>Mike

>>

>>"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:

>>>Yeah, and on top of that, a lot of good ears begin to fatigue after 3
hours

>>

>>>use on them. There goes all that wonderful referencing.

>>>

>>>W.

>>>

>>>

>>>"Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote in message
>>>news:44e7b5d1\$1@linux...

>>>> Mike, while I do think that the mids are very clear (proper placement
>>

>>>> given, they have a great image) and translate well - be real careful
>of

>>
>>>> anything under about 100Hz... seriously man. I used a set of those for
>>
>>>> years, and ended up having to add a sub to the system due to kick and
>>
>>>> sub-bass problems.
>>>>
>>>> AA
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Mike Audet" <mike@mikeF-SPAMaudet.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:44e763e3\$1@linux...
>>>>>
>>>>> Hi Everyone,
>>>>>
>>>>> I just thought I'd post because I just got a set of the original NS10M
>>
>>>>> speakers,
>>>>> and they are awesome! I've never had a mix translate so well in all
>>my
>>>>> life.
>>>>> I can hear the reverbs better. I can fix problems in the mids that
>I
>>
>>>>> couldn't
>>>>> hear before. The detail is incredible.
>>>>>
>>>>> These little babies are in every studio because they are fantastic.
>
>>>>> Don't
>>>>>

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Mon, 10 Jul 2006 02:35:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I safe for me and my family because God is still on thrown!! He
> is my protector..Every man (boy , girl) has an appointed time to live and
> to die. Me and ,ine put our trust in God's hands, not a President(Republican
> or Democrat)..
>
> See, those you who are fearful because you've put your hope and faith and
> trust in a Man!! Well, Men, will fail you all the time. Those of us who
> are believing Christians, should know better to put our hope, faith and trust
> in a Man.. That's suicide and stupid!
> Even worst,putting your Hope, trust, and faith in a Politician..
> are we that stupid ??

> Next regime please..
>
>
>
> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the current
>> administration?

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Tom Bruhl](#) on Mon, 10 Jul 2006 02:35:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

believe the hype that they suck. I don't know what's wrong with people
>>
>>>>> who
>>>>> bash these things.
>>>>>
>>>>> If you don't own a set of these, go buy one before you die! I would
>>
>>>>> honestly
>>>>> take a bullet before giving these things up.
>>>>>
>>>>> Mike
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>"LaMont" <jjdpro@american.com> wrote:
>
>They did little to debunking, but rather just disagreed..How is that debunking.
>Anybody can just say "Well, I do'nt belive you". Or say,"that's not true"..
>
>That was bogus (typical CIA)dis-crediting tactics at best..
>
>

LaMont--

When things are pointed out that are either factually wrong or misinterpreted,
I call that debunking.

The most important parts of that page were the points where both authors
agreed, reinforcing the conclusions.

It's easy to get lost in the details, and squabble over them, thereby clouding
the overall picture. Discrediting the discovery of a forest by arguing over

the identity of particular trees, so to speak.

And capitalizing on that is, indeed, a favorite CIA-style technique.

-steve (who actually agrees with you, mostly, dammit....!)Guys, just wanted to provide a bit of an update on the SE Gemini and the Neve

Portico 5012. I recently cut some background vocals using the above combination with a "hair" of a Urei 1178 (just for a color that I like) and I was extremely pleased with the results. Unfortunately, I'm not able to post any audio clips because of the nature of the project.

The task was a bit tedious as I was the vocalist as well as engineer, but the results were very pleasing to my ear. The vocals were smooth and wide sounding. I stacked about 12 tracks of vocals to create a nice "airy" stereo sound. I had the Portico in the "silk" position with a bit of HPF. Up to this point I had only used the Gemini as a lead mic (which I'm equally pleased with as well).

Again, I am quite please with both these pieces!

TyroneOn 8/20/06 12:33 PM, in article 44e8aad4@linux, "DJ"
<animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>>

>> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent. That says

>> that most of the folks who voted for him does not support him or that

> "sham"

>> Iraq war.

>

> The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was still going
> strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.

And let's also not forget that the Iraq war we went to fight is over - the Hussein regime is no longer; no WMDs in sight, done. But instead, we are now playing intervention peace maker to help the Iraq government with what has turned into a nightmare rather than a transition. The greatest number of deaths from this "war" have happened directly because of the insurgency of terrorists (car bombs, roadside bombs, snipers, etc) - not at the hands of the old Iraqi military or Hussein, but by outsider terrorists and thugs (the words of a couple of different US soldiers just back from Iraq I recently talked with).

Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the current administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in and stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when teaming with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the American public, not military leaders.

It's really easy to blame someone for everything we don't like or can't accept - whether it's finding fault for hurricane Katrina, terrorism, or hot coffee at McDonalds. But it is quite another thing to actually understand and count the cost of the way of life that we all agree to in dark compromise every single day.

I guess I won't be surprised if an Islamic extremist is elected president in the next 8-12 years.... game over. Go directly to jail. Do not pass Go or collect \$200. I'm only partly serious here, but you guys are turning me into a pessimist. ;-)I also think the main reason people don't like them is they underpower them--when you light them up with some good power, like 250 watts per side, the completely change for the better. Both of my pairs are powered with Yamaha P2201's, about 250/side.

You can't imagine the difference...

"Mike Audet" <mike@mikeF-SPAMaudet.com> wrote:

>
>Hi John,
>
>I'm glad to hear I'm not alone. :)
>
>I wonder if a lot of the criticism is actually directed at the Studio version.
> It's response curve looks like it extends more into the high, high end
and
>has less of a mid bump. Who knows.
>
>I never thought I'd say this about anything, but I love them more than PARIS.
>:)
>
>Cheers!
>
>Mike
>
>

>"John Macy" <spamlessjohn@johnmacy.com> wrote:

>>
>>I have been using NS10's pretty much exclusively since the early 80's when
>>they first came out. When you live with them long enough, you can figure
>>out the low bass just by watching the cones... That said, I added a sub
>>to them around 2000, and I
>>really like the combo. I have also used Tannoys (8") for about that
>>long, too, and the combo works nice. I have the Central Station
>>for monitoring, with the adjustable sub out, and use it on both sets, though
>>I love the ability to easily turn it off, too.

>>
>>As for fatigue, I can;t begin to count the number of 12 hour + days I have
>>spent on them and don't feel fatigued, and my ears test out just fine.

>I
>>do monitor very quietly, and if certain playbacks need to be loud, I usually
>>switch to the Tannoys.
>>
>>Love the way they translate...
>>
>>
>>
>>"Mike Audet" <mike@....> wrote:
>>>
>>>I wonder how much of the ear fatigue applies to the studio series, because
>>>these really aren't all that bright, at least not at the really high end.
>>> And, I don't monitor at high volumes. I guess time will tell.
>>>
>>>As for the low end, I am in the market for a sub. :)
>>>
>>>But, I started mixing with these, and it was like putting on an old, comfortable
>>>pair of shoes. I just knew them instantly. My first crack at a mix on
>>them
>>>sounded exactly the same in the car. It was a perfect translation. I
>love
>>>thses things.
>>>
>>>There are so many people who bash these speakers, but I am so glad to
have
>>>them and wanted to though my 2 cents into the debate about them.
>>>
>>>All the best,
>>>
>>>Mike
>>>
>>>"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>>>>Yeah, and on top of that, a lot of good ears begin to fatigue after 3
>hours
>>>
>>>>use on them. There goes all that wonderful referencing.
>>>>
>>>>W.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote in message
>>>>news:44e7b5d1\$1@linux...
>>>>> Mike, while I do think that the mids are very clear (proper placement
>>>>

>>>>> given, they have a great image) and translate well - be real careful
>>of
>>>
>>>>> anything under about 100Hz... seriously man. I used a set of those
for
>>>
>>>>> years, and ended up having to add a sub to the system due to kick and
>>>
>>>>> sub-bass problems.
>>>>>
>>>>> AA
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Mike Audet" <mike@mikeF-SPAMaudet.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:44e763e3\$1@linux...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Hi Everyone,
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I just thought I'd post because I just got a set of the original NS10M
>>>
>>>>>> speakers,
>>>>>> and they are awesome! I've never had a mix translate so well in all
>>>my
>>>>>> life.
>>>>>> I can hear the reverbs better. I can fix probelms in the mids that
>>I
>>>
>>>>>> couldn't
>>>>>> hear before. The detail in incredible.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> These little babies are in every studio because they are fantastic.
>>
>>>>>> Don't
>>>>>> believe the hype that they suck. I don't know what's wrong with people
>>>
>>>>>> who
>>>>>> bash these things.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> If you don't own a set of these, go buy one before you die! I would
>>>
>>>>>> honestly
>>>>>> take a bullet before giving these things up.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Mike
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>

>>>

>>

>>Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the current administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in and stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when teaming with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the American public, not military leaders.<

This is exactly what has happened. If the left can't get Bush at the ballot box, then let's get the media allies onboard and just take the whole damned country down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.

"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
news:C10E111B.2942%dterry@keyofd.net...

> On 8/20/06 12:33 PM, in article 44e8aad4@linux, "DJ"

> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>

>

> >>

> >> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent. That says

> >> that most of the folks who voted for him does not support him or that

> > "sham"

> >> Iraq war.

> >

> > The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was still going

> > strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.

>

> And let's also not forget that the Iraq war we went to fight is over - the
> Hussein regime is no longer; no WMDs in sight, done. But instead, we are
> now playing intervention peace maker to help the Iraq government with what
> has turned into a nightmare rather than a transition. The greatest number
> of deaths from this "war" have happened directly because of the insurgency
> of terrorists (car bombs, roadside bombs, snipers, etc) - not at the hands
> of the old Iraqi military or Hussein, but by outsider terrorists and thugs
> (the words of a couple of different US soldiers just back from Iraq I
> recently talked with).

>

> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the current
> administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in and
> stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when
teaming

> with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the
> American public, not military leaders.

>

> It's really easy to blame someone for everything we don't like or can't
> accept - whether it's finding fault for hurricane Katrina, terrorism, or
hot
> coffee at McDonalds. But it is quite another thing to actually understand
> and count the cost of the way of life that we all agree to in dark
> compromise every single day.
>
> I guess I won't be surprised if an Islamic extremist is elected president
in
> the next 8-12 years.... game over. Go directly to jail. Do not pass Go
or
> collect \$200. I'm only partly serious here, but you guys are turning me
> into a pessimist. ;-)
>
>
>Hey Nappy. Always good to see your name on the NG. Hang in there dude.

W, my dad had half his thyroid removed. The doc told him "no golf for the rest of the summer." He was out on the links in three weeks. My friend's aunt just had her entire thyroid removed. As it was causing some pain in her shoulder, she's feeling great --and for unknown reasons (she believes it's due to the lose of the thyroid) she reports feeling much more energetic. Nevertheless, having gone through the problem with my father, I know its hard to feel some sense of relief until its over. Take care.
MR

"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote in message news:44e6a2b4@linux...

> You're hanging tough bro. Excellent! I hope and pray everything goes
> better than anticipated. Side note: my wife received a phone call today
> following and MRI yesterday for a recent neck injury (totalled one of our
> cars). Doc says he sees cancer on her thyroid gland. Meeting and
> discussions to be set for Monday of this coming week. Not what I wanna
> hear. She's badly shaken by the news. I'm just kinda stuck emotionally
> until I learn more and we know what we're looking at. At this point, we
> can't both cave in... somebody's gotta hold the line.
>
> W.
>
> "Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e694e9\$1@linux...
> >
> > Dubya,
> > I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney
> > transplant
> > list.
> > It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving me
> > a Kidney,
> > but they have to go though a big exam to see if they are a good match.

> > This
> > can't
> > happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a
> > transplant
> > by
> > early 2007!
> >
> > respect
> > Nappy
> >
> > "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
> >>NAPPY !!!! He's baaaa-aaaaack!!!!
> >>Dubsie
> >>
> >>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> <http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change>
> >
> >>
> >>
> >
>
> I have a pair in my living room that I play back mixes through..to give my
mix room and speakers a break...they are totally "normal" speakers..in a
good way..not for "hiphop" or rap "sub-bass" unless you do have a
subwoofer..and like some one said,about 250 watts per side,they can
crank...I haven't blown them up in over 20 years..Alot of big selling tunes
have been mixed with those babys...Has anyone bought the new Yamaha "NS
Whatevers" that are powered? I wondered how they compare...
"Mike Audet" <mike@mikeF-SPAMaudet.com> wrote in message
news:44e763e3\$1@linux...
>
> Hi Everyone,
>
> I just thought I'd post because I just got a set of the original NS10M
speakers,
> and they are awesome! I've never had a mix translate so well in all my
life.
> I can hear the reverbs better. I can fix probelms in the mids that I
couldn't
> hear before. The detail in incredible.
>
> These little babies are in every studio because they are fantastic. Don't
> believe the hype that they suck. I don't know what's wrong with people
who
> bash these things.
>

> If you don't own a set of these, go buy one before you die! I would honestly

> take a bullet before giving these things up.

>

> MikeThanks Neil that's exactly what I was trying to say.....pitch is subjective....

"Neil" <IUOI@OIU.com> wrote in message news:44e7433b\$1@linux...

>

> "Gary Flanigan" <gary_flangian@ce9.uscourts.gov> wrote:

> >

> >"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

> >>

> >>I think, FWIW, that there's no such thing as perfect

> >>intonation - other than on instruments that don't have any kind

> >>of fixed intervals (such as violins, cellos, trombones, etc,

> >>etc); and that perfect intonation CAPABILITY is subject to the

> >>operator, who - being human - is imperfect as well, and

> >>therefore incapable of hitting every note with perfect pitch

> >>every single time. So I say, you can even-temper your pianos,

> >>intonate your guitars, install new nuts, do whatever you want

> >>to get as close as possible, yes; but nothing's ever going to

> >>be perfect, so don't sweat a few cents off here & there.

> >>

> >>And if you're THAT picky about perfect intonation, let's not

> >>even TALK about "pushing pitch" as a vocal technique. lol

> >

> >

> >What is "pushing pitch"? This is the first I've heard the term.

>

> It's where you hit a sustained note & after holding that pitch

> for moment or two, "push" ever so slightly sharp shortly before

> the next passage or line... it creates tension if done properly,

> and while some people probably do it inadvertently, there are

> also vocal instructors who teach it as a regular technique.

> You hear it in ballads a lot - think Mariah, Whitney, et. al.

>

> Neil"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e88d0b\$1@linux...

>

> "I have actually thought long and hard about going over there myself. If there

> was something I could do other than get in the way. and I didn't have a family

> to support, I might check

> with the military to see if there's a *geezers batallion*. "

>

> DJ, Ae you kidding!! Friend, The Dom himself(Rumsfeld) does not want to be

> there!! Rice, Bush, Cheney, all of the Generals have pretty much

"abandoned

> Ship" or have you not heard??

>

> It's a mess!! We have egg all over our faces in the middle east. We have

> "LOST!!!" the both the political and the tactical" war in Iraq and the middle

> east..

>

> Then, to add insult to injury, we(with Isreal)fight a 30plus day war to save

> face and beat the hezbollahs..Well, again, we lost the political battle and

> the Hezbollahs did not fall. Then, there's the on-going Afganistan battle

> where the Taliban is holding it's ground..

> So, my "sharp" shooting friend, what good are your skills, if you incounter

> a road-side care bomb??

> Nothing. ///Stay home, and record. Leave the these propoganda wars to the

> war-lords..No need in good patriots like yourself to be wasted like our kids

> over in the middle east for such a lousy reason.

>

I've been blogging this subject fopr a while. The following thoughts aren't all originally mine any more than composing music can be all original anymore, but I do agree with the general direction of them: so as for the terrorists and why do we need to stand up to them.....

Why did Hitler want to kill people? It is all about power. Osama wants power. He has money. Plenty of money. Personally, I think Bin Ladin is as much a punk and a thug as progressives think Bush is and as conseervatives think Kennedy is. He needs an enemy. Islamofascists are chiefly targeting the USA because after the cold war was over Russia was no longer a target for angry young Muslim men led by the Bin Ladens of the world. Basically Bin Laden and those like him with small armies needed an enemy. Bin Laden was particularly miffed because the Saudi Arabian family did not meet and greet him as the celebrity he was becoming through his posturing in Afghanistan. He was humiliated when the Saud family told him to take a hike - essentially or actually stripping him of citizenship. Instead Saud turned to the US for protection as it always had. Bin Laden made it a religious thing - "US Infidels on Arab land." But actually it was Bin Laden - whose kids played Nintendo at the time, and who is into genetic engineering - saying 'FU' to the Saud family. He's a frustrated neo-con, if anything and once the liberal left in this country weakens it to the point that they hand it up to him on a silver platter, he can turn around and thank them by slaughtering them in droves.....unless they convert, of course.

The left in this country seems more interested with rationalizing this behavior than condemning it. If there is a reason for suiciding bombing,

then when that reason goes away, another will arise. If we change policies to avoid terrorism, it is a slippery slope. For instance, let's say Israel says - "we do not want terrorism" so here is the piece of land. Then the next time there is a water dispute or some other dispute, then people will know that terrorism is the answer. It is interesting how many are so concerned (which they should be) about slippery slopes with the 1st amendment, but do not understand slippery slopes about caving in to terrorists.

Does anyone really think that the Islamic terrorists would all of a sudden become peaceful if only #1 Israel was blown off the map, and #2 foreign troops were removed from Islamic lands? What a joke. The Muslims have been fighting forever and they are not going to stop any time soon. Of course, they use their fighting to get their short term objectives, but they aren't going to stop fighting until the whole world is under Islamic law. They have stated this publicly. They back up their threats with actions. If you choose to disbelieve them you are either an idiot or insane.

If we pulled out of SA during the 90s, and never sent any troops to Iraq or other middle eastern country, I agree that it is possible that 911 might have happened to some other country rather than the USA. For example, instead of killing 3 thousand Americans in the WTC, they might have killed 3 million jews in Israel (with America being next in line). There is no doubt that appeasement of evil saves lives and \$\$ in the short term. Look at all the lives that we saved by not entering WWII at the same time as England. However, history has proven that in the long term, appeasement is disastrous in the long term. This is not the kind of world I want to live in.

We are very fortunate that our leaders aren't as short sighted as the leftist journalsits politicians. The least costly way to deal with evil is to kick its a** before it has a chance to grow too powerful (ie. get nukes).....but yeah.....I'm sure some of my leftist leaning colleagues here are going to try to reverse this to put the black hat on the US. Just remember it if your appeasement policy succeeds and these guys show up and don't need you to do their dirty work for them any more.

Regards,

DJSteve,

This is pretty horrific and I really don't doubt this. I've seen situations like this before in my dealings in the private sector. I heard this kind of thing from a guy I met when I was living in Mexico who was a German soldier stationed with a bunch of NATO troops, many of whom were Americans. This was back in 1977. Besides his obvious and virulent hatred of the black American servicemen, he was totally and constantly critical of command and control procedures of the American army. Many of the things described in this article sound familiar in light of what this guy was talking about so

this kind of FUBAR crap has been happening in the military for years.....so what's the answer? Are the Democrats going to improve this situation? Have they ever? Is the answer to just pull up stakes and leave?

Regards,

DJ

"steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote in message news:44e8b03f\$1@linux...

>

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

> >I hate the idea of war as much as you do. I have actually thought long and

> >hard about going over there myself. If there was something I could do other

> >than get in the way. and I didn't have a family to support, I might check

> >with the military to see if there's a *geezers batallion*. I don't have

> any

> >formal military training, but I know how to handle firearms, and I'm deadly

> >accurate.

> >It would piss off my wife to no end and I'm 56 and 'purt'near used up so

> I

> >don't know how well this old body would handle the rigours of battlefield

> >combat and the heat, but I'll guarantee you that I would have no problem

> at

> >all if my son or daughter joined a volunteer army and that army was called

> >on to fight, I would support them 100%.

> >

>

>

> DJ-

>

> Next time you get that feeling, read this:

>

> http://www.amconmag.com/2006/2006_07_03/article.html

>

> -steveActually pitch is absolute and may be perfectly measured.

I think what you are getting at is that perception of pitch, or "in-tuness" is subjective, and indeed it is. This is why many people find just intonation flat and boring sounding.

All I've done is do for the nut what we do for the bridge, which is to adjust it as close as we can to accurate (not perfect) and use that as the foundation for playing, rather than something that is so inaccurate that many people find it annoying and most guitar players have to learn to compensate for it by avoiding certain strings in certain keys.

BTW, I have lived with this setup for a couple days now and absolutely love it. One thing that has changed though, is that the Peterson sweetened tuning now does not sound very good on this guitar. Standard equal-temperment works better with the nut compensated. Interesting.

More to follow.

DC

"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:

>Thanks Neil that's exactly what I was trying to say.....pitch is

>subjective....

>"Neil" <IUOI@OIU.com> wrote in message news:44e7433b\$1@linux...

>>

>> "Gary Flanigan" <gary_flangian@ce9.uscourts.gov> wrote:

>> >

>> >"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>> >>

>> >>I think, FWIW, that there's no such thing as perfect

>> >>intonation - other than on instruments that don't have any kind

>> >>of fixed intervals (such as violins, cellos, trombones, etc,

>> >>etc); and that perfect intonation CAPABILITY is subject to the

>> >>operator, who - being human - is imperfect as well, and

>> >>therefore incapable of hitting every note with perfect pitch

>> >>every single time. So I say, you can even-temper your pianos,

>> >>intonate your guitars, install new nuts, do whatever you want

>> >>to get as close as possible, yes; but nothing's ever going to

>> >>be perfect, so don't sweat a few cents off here & there.

>> >>

>> >>And if you're THAT picky about perfect intonation, let's not

>> >>even TALK about "pushing pitch" as a vocal technique. lol

>> >

>> >

>> >What is "pushing pitch"? This is the first I've heard the term.

>>

>> It's where you hit a sustained note & after holding that pitch

>> for moment or two, "push" ever so slightly sharp shortly before

>> the next passage or line... it creates tension if done properly,

>> and while some people probably do it inadvertently, there are
>> also vocal instructors who teach it as a regular technique.
>> You hear it in ballads a lot - think Mariah, Whitney, et. al.
>>
>> Neil
>
>"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>Steve,
>
>This is pretty horrific and I really don't doubt this. I've seen situations
>like this before in my dealings in the private sector. I heard this kind
of
>thing from a guy I met when I was living in Mexico who was a German soldier
>stationed with a bunnch of NATO troops, many of whom were Americans. This
>was back in 1977. Besides his obvious and virulent hatred of the black
>American servicemen, he was totally and constantly critical of command and
>control procedures of the American army. Many of the things described in
>this article sound familiar in light of what this guy was talking about
so
>this kind of FUBAR crap has been happening in the military for
>years.....so what's the answer? Are the Democrats going to improve
>this situation? Have they ever? Is the answer to just pull up stakes and
>leave?
>
>Regards,
>
>DJ
>
>

Simple answer:

Perhaps.

All depends on what you want. What was the question?

-steveAre the Democrats going to improve
>this situation? Have they ever? Is the answer to just pull up stakes and
>leave?

Steve,

I think all three questions are equally important.

Regards,

DJ

"steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote in message
news:44e8f3b6\$1@linux...

>

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

> >Steve,

> >

> >This is pretty horrific and I really don't doubt this. I've seen
situations

> >like this before in my dealings in the private sector. I heard this kind
> of

> >thing from a guy I met when I was living in Mexico who was a German
soldier

> >stationed with a bunnch of NATO troops, many of whom were Americans. This

> >was back in 1977. Besides his obvious and virulent hatred of the black

> >American servicemen, he was totally and constantly critical of command
and

> >control procedures of the American army. Many of the things described in

> >this article sound familiar in light of what this guy was talking about

> so

> >this kind of FUBAR crap has been happening in the military for

> >years.....so what's the answer? Are the Democrats going to
improve

> >this situation? Have they ever? Is the answer to just pull up stakes and

> >leave?

> >

> >Regards,

> >

> >DJ

> >

> >

>

> Simple answer:

>

> Perhaps.

>

> All depends on what you want. What was the question?

>

> -steve

>

>My buddy Swen had this error message pop out of nowhere today. Everything
worked fine last night, now he can't get Paris to fire up.

unhandled exception: c0000005

at address: 77c3f5ea

Anybody?

Jimmy"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>
>Tell him this (or something like it in your own words):
>
><begin letter>
>Dear Billy-Bob,
>

Thanks Neil, well put.

I actually wrote back that I wouldn't charge him to sit in and that the flat fee for mixing (\$150) would apply, os maybe that was high enough for him already. no response so oh well. At least now I have a better idea how to handle the next one that asks.

JesseNo. No. Probably about the only option.

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>Are the Democrats going to improve
>>this situation? Have they ever? Is the answer to just pull up stakes and
>>leave?

>
>Steve,
>
>I think all three questions are equally important.

>
>Regards,

>
>DJ

>
>
>"steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote in message
>news:44e8f3b6\$1@linux...

>>
>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>> >Steve,
>> >

>> >This is pretty horrific and I really don't doubt this. I've seen
>situations

>> >like this before in my dealings in the private sector. I heard this kind
>> of

>> >thing from a guy I met when I was living in Mexico who was a German
>soldier

>> >stationed with a bunnch of NATO troops, many of whom were Americans.
This

>> >was back in 1977. Besides his obvious and virulent hatred of the black
>> >American servicemen, he was totally and constantly critical of command
>and

>> >control procedures of the American army. Many of the things described in

>> >this article sound familiar in light of what this guy was talking about

>> so

>> >this kind of FUBAR crap has been happening in the military for

>> >years.....so what's the answer? Are the Democrats going to

>> >improve

>> >this situation? Have they ever? Is the answer to just pull up stakes and

>> >leave?

>> >

>> >Regards,

>> >

>> >DJ

>> >

>> >

>>

>> Simple answer:

>>

>> Perhaps.

>>

>> All depends on what you want. What was the question?

>>

>> -steve

>>

>>

>

>I am not sure if this is the same exact error message I was getting while trying to use AmpliTube, but it did crash Paris so badly that it wouldn't start back up. I had to uninstall and then reinstall and then go into my Paris config file and disable directx. Not sure what plug-in might be causing this (if in fact it is a plug-in at all) but it definitely sounds like that is what's going on.

--

Erick Kent

"Uptown Jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:44e904b6\$1@linux...

>

> My buddy Swen had this error message pop out of nowhere today. Everything

> worked fine last night, now he can't get Paris to fire up.

>

> unhandled exception: c0000005

> at address: 77c3f5ea

>

> Anybody?

> Jimmy.....and an ugly option to be sure.

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:44e92578\$1@linux...

>

> No. No. Probably about the only option.

>

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

> >Are the Democrats going to improve

> >>this situation? Have they ever? Is the answer to just pull up stakes and

> >>leave?

> >

> >Steve,

> >

> >I think all three questions are equally important.

> >

> >Regards,

> >

> >DJ

> >

> >

> >"steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingrother.net> wrote in message

> >news:44e8f3b6\$1@linux...

> >>

> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

> >> >Steve,

> >> >

> >> >This is pretty horrific and I really don't doubt this. I've seen

> >> situations

> >> >like this before in my dealings in the private sector. I heard this
kind

> >> of

> >> >thing from a guy I met when I was living in Mexico who was a German

> >> soldier

> >> >stationed with a bunnch of NATO troops, many of whom were Americans.

> This

> >> >was back in 1977. Besides his obvious and virulent hatred of the black

> >> >American servicemen, he was totally and constantly critical of command

> >> and

> >> >control procedures of the American army. Many of the things described

> in

> >> >this article sound familiar in light of what this guy was talking
about

> >> so

> >> >this kind of FUBAR crap has been happening in the military for

> >> >years.....so what's the answer? Are the Democrats going to

> >> improve

> >> >this situation? Have they ever? Is the answer to just pull up stakes

> and

> >> >leave?

> >> >

> >> >Regards,
 > >> >
 > >> >DJ
 > >> >
 > >> >
 > >>
 > >> Simple answer:
 > >>
 > >> Perhaps.
 > >>
 > >> All depends on what you want. What was the question?
 > >>
 > >> -steve
 > >>
 > >>
 > >
 > >
 >Dj, you rally believe this "If the left can't get Bush at the ballot ox, then let's get the media allies on board and just take the whole damned country down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.."

This is not about the left or the tight, dems & reps. you have drank-ed the kool-aid of the Bush(ites).. Most Republicans have abandoned ship long time ago and are now willing to stand up and disagree with the President.Callit Mid-term elections.

One thing is for sure, any other Republican president would not have gone down this road.. This is a Neo-Con road. This has nothing to do with left or right. The bush(ites) have "SCREWED" it's on base party. Can some say "Boarders"..? Judge Appointments, Taxes , Deficit.. Bush is more Liberal than our last 2 liberal presidents...Imagine that.?? He keeps spending and spending with reckless abandonment.
 Bush (I love this) is playing both sides of the Ilse as well as Clinton did.
 AND , he does not care if he screws those who support him.. All those poor working folks in the red states, now pay 3.00 bucks at the pump(LOL)..!!
 Oh yeah.. Faith based initiatives".. ??(Jeopardy music) ...

No, I'm an conservative Christian.. Most of my family are Conservative Democrats. In Michigan, that means you are Pro union and proud of it..They Michigan Dems are not weak, scared. Having Most them like my Dad and uncles have fought (union) hard battles wars) for better working conditions and fair pay. Some lives were lost. That kind of spirit can be found in all Northern (Blue Collar) Blue states... Most of us are hunters and great fishermen. We love our guns..

So, you play that dems & repubs game all you like. But, both whites and non whites in our neck of the woods can smell a Rat!! and that schicht is coming from the white house..

No, we have bigger problems to worry about than some played up war form some imperialistic games. We have our jobs, gas prices, educating our children..than to bogged down in a stupid so -called war..

You say that's being minded and soft..I say, We are not drinking the kool-aid..

So, if the 33 percent of you get your kicks out of prepping for war, then be my guess. Go out play war all you want. Stay on the "edge" ... Watch Fox new network all day and night...By the way: Since the Hezbollah/Israeli war came to ahead, the networks have cut to a more Pressing story.....

They found the Jonbenet Ramsey murderer!!.....

Fox news has all hand on deck and a camera man waiting for this kook to get off the plane!! a few stories about the war. Ohh..I guess, since we were losing that war, in was not new worthy.

Now, you say...What are the Dems going to.. Well, they can't do much worse than that last regime..I mean, do i feel safer because Bush is in office???

No.. I feel safe for me and my family because God is still on thrown!! He is my protector..Every man (boy , girl) has an appointed time to live and to die. Me and ,ine put our trust in God's hands, not a President(Republican or Democrat)..

See, those you who are fearful because you've put your hope and faith and trust in a Man!! Well, Men, will fail you all the time. Those of us who are believing Christians, should know better to put our hope, faith and trust in a Man.. That's suicide and stupid!

Even worst,putting your Hope, trust, and faith in a Politician.. are we that stupid ??

Next regime please..

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>>Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the current
>administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in and
>stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when teaming
>with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the
>American public, not military leaders.<

>

>This is exactly what has happened. If the left can't get Bush at the ballot
>box, then let's get the media allies onboard and just take the whole damned
>country down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.

>

>

>

>"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
>news:C10E111B.2942%dterry@keyofd.net...

>> On 8/20/06 12:33 PM, in article 44e8aad4@linux, "DJ"

>> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>>
>>
>> >>
>> >> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent. That
>says
>> >> that most of the folks who voted for him does not support him or that
>> > "sham"
>> >> Iraq war.
>> >
>> > The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was still
>going
>> > strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.
>>
>> And let's also not forget that the Iraq war we went to fight is over -
the
>> Hussein regime is no longer; no WMDs in sight, done. But instead, we
are
>> now playing intervention peace maker to help the Iraq government with
what
>> has turned into a nightmare rather than a transition. The greatest number
>> of deaths from this "war" have happened directly because of the insurgency
>> of terrorists (car bombs, roadside bombs, snipers, etc) - not at the hands
>> of the old Iraqi military or Hussein, but by outsider terrorists and thugs
>> (the words of a couple of different US soldiers just back from Iraq I
>> recently talked with).
>>
>> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the current
>> administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in and
>> stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when
>teaming
>> with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the
>> American public, not military leaders.
>>
>> It's really easy to blame someone for everything we don't like or can't
>> accept - whether it's finding fault for hurricane Katrina, terrorism,
or
>hot
>> coffee at McDonalds. But it is quite another thing to actually understand
>> and count the cost of the way of life that we all agree to in dark
>> compromise every single day.
>>
>> I guess I won't be surprised if an Islamic extremist is elected president
>in
>> the next 8-12 years.... game over. Go directly to jail. Do not pass
Go
>or
>> collect \$200. I'm only partly serious here, but you guys are turning
me

>> into a pessimist. ;-)

>>

>>

>>

>

>Lamont, saying you would rather back terrorists (cold blooded killers) is about as far from Christian belief as I've ever heard. It is not the way we believe or talk as Christians, based completely on what the Bible teaches.

If you don't like Bush, that's fine, but stereotyping and slandering people while claiming you speak for the whole of Christianity in the same breath is wrong. No two ways about it my friend. No, you do not speak for Christianity as a faith. Democrat or Republican, your approach is simply political hyperbole. Please don't confuse your political views with faith.

On 8/20/06 10:46 PM, in article 44e93a92\$1@linux, "LaMont"
<jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>

> Dj, you rally believe this "If the left can't get Bush at the ballot ox, then
> let's get the media allies on board and just take the whole damned country
> down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.."

>

> This is not about the left or the tight, dems & reps. you have drank-ed the
> kool-aid of the Bush(ites).. Most Republicans have abandoned ship long time
> ago and are now willing to stand up and disagree with the President.Callit
> Mid-term elections.

>

> One thing is for sure, any other Republican president would not have gone
> down this road.. This is a Neo-Con road. This has nothing to do with left
> or right. The bush(ites0 have "SCREWED" it's on base party. Can some say
> "Boarders"..? Judge Appointments, Taxes , Deficit.. Bush is more Liberal
> than our last 2 liberal presidents...Imagine that.?? He keeps spending and
> spending with reckless abandonment.

> Bush (I love this) is playing both sides of the Ilse as well as Clinton did.
> AND , he does not care if he screws those who support him.. All those poor
> working folks in the red states, now pay 3.00 bucks at the pump(LOL)...!!
> Oh yeah.. Faith based initiatives".. ??(Jeopardy music) ...

>

> No, I'm an conservative Christian.. Most of my family are Conservative
> Democrats.

> In Michigan, that means you are Pro union and proud of it..They Michigan
> Dems are not weak, scared. Having Most them like my Dad and uncles have fought
> (union) hard battles wars) for better working conditions and fair pay. Some
> lives were lost. That kind of spirit can be found in all Northern (Blue
> Collar)

> Blue states... Most of us are hunters and great fishermen. We love our guns..

>

>
> So, you play that Dems & Repubs game all you like. But, both whites and non
> whites in our neck of the woods can smell a Rat!! and that schicht is coming
> from the white house..
> No, we have bigger problems to worry about than some played up war form some
> imperialistic games. We have our jobs, gas prices, educating our
> children..than
> to bogged down in a stupid so -called war..
> You say that's being minded and soft..I say, We are not drinking the
> kool-aid..
> So, if the 33 percent of you get your kicks out of prepping for war, then
> be my guess. Go out play war all you want. Stay on the "edge" ... Watch Fox
> new network all day and night...By the way: Since the Hezbollah/Israeli war
> came to ahead, the networks have cut to a more Pressing story.....
> They found the Jonbenet Ramsey murderer!!.....
>
> Fox news has all hand on deck and a camera man waiting for this kook to get
> off the plane!! a few stories about the war. Ohh..I guess, since we were
> losing that war, in was not new worthy.
>
> Now, you say...What are the Dems going to.. Well, they can't do much worse
> than that last regime..I mean, do i feel safer because Bush is in office???
> No.. I fee

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Kim](#) on Mon, 10 Jul 2006 02:53:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

o help the Iraq government with
> what
>>> has turned into a nightmare rather than a transition. The greatest number
>>> of deaths from this "war" have happened directly because of the insurgency
>>> of terrorists (car bombs, roadside bombs, snipers, etc) - not at the hands
>>> of the old Iraqi military or Hussein, but by outsider terrorists and thugs
>>> (the words of a couple of different US soldiers just back from Iraq I
>>> recently talked with).
>>>
>>> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the current
>>> administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in and
>>> stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when
>> teaming
>>> with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the
>>> American public, not military leaders.
>>>
>>> It's really easy to blame someone for everything we don't like or can't
>>> accept - whether it's finding fault for hurricane Katrina, terrorism,
> or

>> hot
>>> coffee at McDonalds. But it is quite another thing to actually understand
>>> and count the cost of the way of life that we all agree to in dark
>>> compromise every single day.
>>>
>>> I guess I won't be surprised if an Islamic extremist is elected president
>> in
>>> the next 8-12 years.... game over. Go directly to jail. Do not pass
> Go
>> or
>>> collect \$200. I'm only partly serious here, but you guys are turning
> me
>>> into a pessimist. ;-)
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>LaMont,

I certainly respect your faith, whatever that may be. I certainly disagree with your opinions though. I'll be as ready as anyone for a new administration, but it will need to be an administration that is willing to fight, not appease.....and the idea of the likes of Harry Reed, Pelosi, Kennedy, etc. having positions of greater power and influence bode ill for the future of this nation. I do agree with you about the border situation. that's the biggest clusterfuck that Bush has pulled, IMHO. the first thing we should have done after 911 was secure the borders, no matter what the cost politically and monetarily. I doubt, however, that anyone, democrat or republican will be willing to do this. Folks may be paranoid about NYC, but Houston is where the energy infrastructure lies and one nuke in or around the Houston ship channel and we're toast.

Regards,

DJ

"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e93a92\$1@linux...
>
> Dj, you rally believe this "If the left can't get Bush at the ballot ox, then
> let's get the media allies on board and just take the whole damned country
> down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.."
>
> This is not about the left or the tight, dems & reps. you have drank-ed the

> kool-aid of the Bush(ites).. Most Republicans have abandoned ship long time

> ago and are now willing to stand up and disagree with the President.Callit

> Mid-term elections.

>

> One thing is for sure, any other Republican president would not have gone

> down this road.. This is a Neo-Con road. This has nothing to do with left

> or right. The bush(ites0 have "SCREWED" it's on base party. Can some say

> "Boarders"..? Judge Appointments, Taxes , Deficit.. Bush is more Liberal

> than our last 2 liberal presidents...Imagine that.?? He keeps spending and

> spending with reckless abandonment.

> Bush (I love this) is playing both sides of the Ilse as well as Clinton

did.

> AND , he does not care if he screws those who support him.. All those poor

> working folks in the red states, now pay 3.00 bucks at the pump(LOL)...!!

> Oh yeah.. Faith based initiatives".. ??(Jeopardy music) ...

>

> No, I'm an conservative Christian.. Most of my family are Conservative

Democrats.

> In Michigan, that means you are Pro union and proud of it..They Michigan

> Dems are not weak, scared. Having Most them like my Dad and uncles have

fought

> (union) hard battles wars) for better working conditions and fair pay.

Some

> lives were lost. That kind of spirit can be found in all Northern (Blue

Collar)

> Blue states... Most of us are hunters and great fishermen. We love our

guns..

>

>

> So, you play that dems & repubs game all you like. But, both whites and

non

> whites in our neck of the woods can smell a Rat!! and that schicht is

coming

> from the white house..

> No, we have bigger problems to worry about than some played up war form

some

> imperialistic games. We have our jobs, gas prices, educating our

children..than

> to bogged down in a stupid so -called war..

> You say that's being minded and soft..I say, We are not drinking the

kool-aid..

> So, if the 33 percent of you get your kicks out of prepping for war, then

> be my guess. Go out play war all you want. Stay on the "edge" ... Watch

Fox

> new network all day and night...By the way: Since the Hezbollah/Israeli

war

> came to ahead, the networks have cut to a more Pressing story.....

> They found the Jonbenet Ramsey murderer!!.....
>
> Fox news has all hand on deck and a camera man waiting for this kook to
get
> off the plane!! a few stories about the war. Ohh..I guess, since we were
> losing that war, in was not new worthy.
>
> Now, you say...What are the Dems going to.. Well, they can't do much worse
> than that last regime..I mean, do i feel safer because Bush is in
office???

> No.. I feel safe for me and my family because God is still on thrown!! He
> is my protector..Every man (boy , girl) has an appointed time to live and
> to die. Me and ,ine put our trust in God's hands, not a
President(Republican
> or Democrat)..

>
> See, those you who are fearful because you've put your hope and faith and
> trust in a Man!! Well, Men, will fail you all the time. Those of us who
> are believing Christians, should know better to put our hope, faith and
trust
> in a Man.. That's suicide and stupid!
> Even worst,putting your Hope, trust, and faith in a Politician..
> are we that stupid ??
> Next regime please..
>
>
>

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >>Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the current
> >administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in and
> >stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when
teaming
> >with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the
> >American public, not military leaders.<
> >
> >This is exactly what has happened. If the left can't get Bush at the
ballot
> >box, then let's get the media allies onboard and just take the whole
damned
> >country down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.
> >
> >
> >

> >"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
> >news:C10E111B.2942%dterry@keyofd.net..
> >> On 8/20/06 12:33 PM, in article 44e8aad4@linux, "DJ"
> >> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >>

> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent. That
> >says
> >> >> that most of the folks who voted for him does not support him or
that
> >> > "sham"
> >> >> Iraq war.
> >> >
> >> > The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was
still
> >going
> >> > strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.
> >>
> >> And let's also not forget that the Iraq war we went to fight is over -
> the
> >> Hussein regime is no longer; no WMDs in sight, done. But instead, we
> are
> >> now playing intervention peace maker to help the Iraq government with
> what
> >> has turned into a nightmare rather than a transition. The greatest
number
> >> of deaths from this "war" have happened directly because of the
insurgency
> >> of terrorists (car bombs, roadside bombs, snipers, etc) - not at the
hands
> >> of the old Iraqi military or Hussein, but by outsider terrorists and
thugs
> >> (the words of a couple of different US soldiers just back from Iraq I
> >> recently talked with).
> >>
> >> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the
current
> >> administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in and
> >> stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when
> >teaming
> >> with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the
> >> American public, not military leaders.
> >>
> >> It's really easy to blame someone for everything we don't like or can't
> >> accept - whether it's finding fault for hurricane Katrina, terrorism,
> or
> >hot
> >> coffee at McDonalds. But it is quite another thing to actually
understand
> >> and count the cost of the way of life that we all agree to in dark
> >> compromise every single day.
> >>

> >> I guess I won't be surprised if an Islamic extremist is elected president
> >in
> >> the next 8-12 years.... game over. Go directly to jail. Do not pass
> Go
> >or
> >> collect \$200. I'm only partly serious here, but you guys are turning
> me
> >> into a pessimist. ;-)
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >

>What I'm saying is (from a previous post) is that I feel that this administration are killers. They killed our young soldiers for a stupid cause.
As to my I'll take the terrorist" statement..Well, Dedric ask an Iraqi citizen about who they think are the terrorist???

As for that statement being unchristian. Well who said that the American policy is the "Thee" authority of Christianity???
My views are not slanderer not in fear of speaking against a burgeoning tyranny.

I know full well I don't speak for the entire Christian population, only Jesus does. But, faith in a Man, is stupid..

Yes, I feel that the Bush(ites) are evil. Evil lying politicians. So, if you take that as being slanted, then so be it.

So, you and the other 33-36 percent can keep on fighting the war on terror ,and find your WMD's or what ever.
I'm not buying it.

Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>Lamont, saying you would rather back terrorists (cold blooded killers) is
>about as far from Christian belief as I've ever heard. It is not the way
we
>believe or talk as Christians, based completely on what the Bible teaches.
>
>If you don't like Bush, that's fine, but stereotyping and slandering people
>while claiming you speak for the whole of Christianity in the same breath
is
>wrong. No two ways about it my friend. No, you do not speak for
>Christianity as a faith. Democrat or Republican, your approach is simply

>political hyperbole. Please don't confuse your political views with faith.
>
>On 8/20/06 10:46 PM, in article 44e93a92\$1@linux, "LaMont"
><jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
>>
>> Dj, you rally believe this "If the left can't get Bush at the ballot ox,
then
>> let's get the media allies on board and just take the whole damned country
>> down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.."
>>
>> This is not about the left or the tight, dems & reps. you have drank-ed
the
>> kool-aid of the Bush(ites).. Most Republicans have abandoned ship long
time
>> ago and are now willing to stand up and disagree with the President.Callit
>> Mid-term elections.
>>
>> One thing is for sure, any other Republican president would not have gone
>> down this road.. This is a Neo-Con road. This has nothing to do with left
>> or right. The bush(ites0 have "SCREWED" it's on base party. Can some say
>> "Boarders"..? Judge Appointments, Taxes , Deficit.. Bush is more Liberal
>> than our last 2 liberal presidents...Imagine that.?? He keeps spending
and
>> spending with reckless abandonment.
>> Bush (I love this) is playing both sides of the Ilse as well as Clinton
did.
>> AND , he does not care if he screws those who support him.. All those
poor
>> working folks in the red states, now pay 3.00 bucks at the pump(LOL)...!!
>> Oh yeah.. Faith based initiatives".. ??(Jeopardy music) ...
>>
>> No, I'm an conservative Christian.. Most of my family are Conservative
>> Democrats.
>> In Michigan, that means you are Pro union and proud of it..They Michigan
>> Dems are not weak, scared. Having Most them like my Dad and uncles have
fought
>> (union) hard battles wars) for better working conditions and fair pay.
Some
>> lives were lost. That kind of spirit can be found in all Northern (Blue
>> Collar)
>> Blue states... Most of us are hunters and great fishermen. We love our
guns..
>>
>>
>> So, you play that dems & repubs game all you like. But, both whites and
non
>> whites in our neck of the woods can smell a Rat!! and that schicht is

coming

>> from the white house..

>> No, we have bigger problems to worry about than some played up war form some

>> imperialistic games. We have our jobs, gas prices, educating our

>> children..than

>> to bogged down in a stupid so -called war..

>> You say that's being minded and soft..I say, We are not drinking the

>> kool-aid..

>> So, if the 33 percent of you get your kicks out of prepping for war, then

>> be my guess. Go out play war all you want. Stay on the "edge" ... Watch Fox

>> new network all day and night...By the way: Since the Hezbollah/Israeli war

>> came to ahead, the networks have cut to a more Pressing story.....

>> They found the Jonbenet Ramsey murderer!!.....

>>

>> Fox news has all hand on deck and a camera man waiting for this kook to get

>> off the plane!! a few stories about the war. Ohh..I guess, since we were

>> losing that war, in was not new worthy.

>>

>> Now, you say...What are the Dems going to.. Well, they can't do much worse

>> than that last regime..I mean, do i feel safer because Bush is in office???

>> No.. I feel safe for me and my family because God is still on thrown!!

He

>> is my protector..Every man (boy , girl) has an appointed time to live and

>> to die. Me and ,ine put our trust in God's hands, not a President(Republican

>> or Democrat)..

>>

>> See, those you who are fearful because you've put your hope and faith and

>> trust in a Man!! Well, Men, will fail you all the time. Those of us who

>> are believing Christians, should know better to put our hope, faith and trust

>> in a Man.. That's suicide and stupid!

>> Even worst,putting your Hope, trust, and faith in a Politician..

>> are we that stupid ??

>> Next regime please..

>>

>>

>>

>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>>>> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the current

>>>> administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in and

>>>> stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when teaming

>>>> with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the

>>> American public, not military leaders.<
>>>
>>> This is exactly what has happened. If the left can't get Bush at the
ballot
>>> box, then let's get the media allies onboard and just take the whole
damned
>>> country down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
>>> news:C10E111B.2942%dterry@keyofd.net...
>>>> On 8/20/06 12:33 PM, in article 44e8aad4@linux, "DJ"
>>>> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent. That
>>> says
>>>>>> that most of the folks who voted for him does not support him or
that
>>>>> "sham"
>>>>> Iraq war.
>>>>>
>>>>> The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was still
>>> going
>>>>> strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.
>>>>>
>>>> And let's also not forget that the Iraq war we went to fight is over
-
>> the
>>>> Hussein regime is no longer; no WMDs in sight, done. But instead, we
>> are
>>>> now playing intervention peace maker to help the Iraq government with
>> what
>>>> has turned into a nightmare rather than a transition. The greatest
number
>>>> of deaths from this "war" have happened directly because of the insurgency
>>>> of terrorists (car bombs, roadside bombs, snipers, etc) - not at the
hands
>>>> of the old Iraqi military or Hussein, but by outsider terrorists and
thugs
>>>> (the words of a couple of different US soldiers just back from Iraq
I
>>>> recently talked with).
>>>>
>>>> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the current
>>>> administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in

and
>>>> stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when
>>> teaming
>>>> with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the
>>>> American public, not military leaders.
>>>>
>>>> It's really easy to blame someone for everything we don't like or can't
>>>> accept - whether it's finding fault for hurricane Katrina, terrorism,
>> or
>>> hot
>>>> coffee at McDonalds. But it is quite another thing to actually understand
>>>> and count the cost of the way of life that we all agree to in dark
>>>> compromise every single day.
>>>>
>>>> I guess I won't be surprised if an Islamic extremist is elected president
>>> in
>>>> the next 8-12 years.... game over. Go directly to jail. Do not pass
>> Go
>>> or
>>>> collect \$200. I'm only partly serious here, but you guys are turning
>> me
>>>> into a pessimist. ;-)
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----= NextPart 000 002D 01C6C4CF.E6CE6C40

Content-Type: text/plain;

```
charset="iso-8859-1"
```

To tie the thread about the Vox Tonelab (Get that Yamaha Stompbox) and = the Tonepress=20

together I'd say one of the biggest flaws with the Tonelab SE is it's compressor.

Only one. Not that great in my opinion either. The crunch tones might be better (I don't use them except in the studio) if a happening = compressor was in line. =20

I am struggling with too much gear (3 - 5 gigs/week and all one = nighters)

Deej and just do it.

Tom

"Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote in message =
news:44e89de3@linux...

This is a good idea man, ala NY drum sub compression.

<http://www.barberelectronics.com/tonepress.htm>

AA

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:44e7d9d3\$1@linux...

>

> The TC chrous is a lovely pedal. Really the best chorus pedal out =
there.

>

>

> This flanger looks amazing to me.

>

> <http://www.foxroxelectronics.com/>

>

> (click on Paradox TZF) it seems to come really close to what we

> did with 2 tape machines years ago.

>

>

> I am not a big pedal guy, but this guy

>

> <http://www.barberelectronics.com/>

>

> has sold me 3 pedals so far. The Tone Press parallel compressor,

> in particular, makes even my best guitar and amp sound better.

> I use it almost all the time.

>

> DC

>

>

>

> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>

>>I don't use many pedals except the occasional cry baby, but i always

>>wanted the TC Electronic Chorus. That is the one, IMHO. I'd even =
breath

>

>>lead smoke to have one of those.

>=20

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?

<http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>

-----=_NextPart_000_002D_01C6C4CF.E6CE6C40

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">

<HTML><HEAD>

<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">

<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>

<STYLE></STYLE>

</HEAD>

<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>

<DIV>To tie the thread about the Vox Tonelab =
(Get that=20

Yamaha Stompbox) and the Tonepress </DIV>

<DIV>together <FONT face=3DArial =
size=3D2>I'd say one=20

of the biggest flaws with the Tonelab SE is it's =
compressor.</DIV>

<DIV>Only one. Not that great in my =
opinion=20

either. The crunch tones might</DIV>

<DIV>be better (I don't use them except in =
the studio)=20

if a happening compressor was in line. </DIV>

<DIV>It really <FONT face=3DArial =
size=3D2>does feel=20

like an amp through the PA though playing jazz, funk and=20
hard rock.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>I am struggling with too much gear (3 - =
5 gigs/week=20

and all one nighters)</DIV>

<DIV>and hesitate to add another pedal to =
the=20

Tonelab. Maybe I'll think like</DIV>

<DIV>Deej and just do it.</DIV>

<DIV>Tom</DIV>

<BLOCKQUOTE=20

style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">

<DIV>"Aaron Allen" <A=20

=

href=3D"mailto:know-spam@not_here.dude">know-spam@not_here.dude> =
wrote in=20

message <A =
<news:44e89de3@linux>>news:44e89de3@linux...</DIV>This is=20
a good idea man, ala NY drum sub compression.
<A=20
=

<http://www.barberelectronics.com/tonepress.htm>>http://www.barber=20
electronics.com/tonepress.htm

AA

"DC"=20
<A =
<mailto:dc@spammersinhell.com>>dc@spammersinhell.com> =
wrote=20
in message <A=20
=

[news:44e7d9d3\\$1@linux](news:44e7d9d3$1@linux)>news:44e7d9d3\$1@linux...
>
&g=20
t; The=20
TC chrous is a lovely pedal. Really the best chorus pedal out=20
there.
>
>
> This flanger looks amazing to=20
me.
>
> <A=20
=

<http://www.foxroxelectronics.com/>>http://www.foxroxelectronics.c=20
om/
>
>=20
(click on Paradox TZF) it seems to come really close to what =
we
> did=20
with 2 tape machines years ago.
>
>
> I am not a big =
pedal=20
guy, but this guy
>
> <A=20
=

<http://www.barberelectronics.com/>>http://www.barberelectronics.c=20
om/
>
>=20
has sold me 3 pedals so far. The Tone Press parallel =
compressor,
>=20
in particular, makes even my best guitar and amp sound better.
> =
I use=20
it almost all the time.
>
> =
DC
>
>
>
>=20
Bill L <<A=20
<mailto:bill@billlorentzen.com>>bill@billlorentzen.com>=20
wrote:
>
>>I don't use many pedals except the =
occasional cry=20
baby, but i always
>>wanted the TC Electronic Chorus. That is =
the=20
one, IMHO. I'd even breath
>
>>lead smoke to have one =
of=20
those.
>

</BLOCKQUOTE>
<DIV>

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, =
and=20
you?
<A=20
<http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>>http://www.polesoft.com/refer=20
..html </DIV></BODY></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_002D_01C6C4CF.E6CE6C40--Hey DJ,
Do you think that just maybe the Bush(ites) don't want to close the borders?
I mean, hes' the great protector and all..Right?
Just maybe they have something more elaborate up their collective sleeves
Humm??

Maybe they want another 911..TO:

-Really convince the american people that "total globalization" and expansion
is the only way..

-spread democracy to every corner of the earth.

-Convinces us that we must be at war until Jesus comes back..

My questions are: Whatever happened to "We" US people will let you guys fight
your little war, and you'll "Bush-ites" will give us cheap gas??

Well, we all got reamed with no grease on all fronts.. I live on a border
state-city (Canada/Windor Ontario).. I guess since we're not getting the influx
of hispanics like you guys in the southwest, there is not a big brew ha..No,
up here, it's about Jobs, Jobs, Jobs, Jobs and Gas\$\$\$\$ Gas \$\$\$\$ Gas\$\$\$\$..

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>LaMont,

>

>I certainly respect your faith, whatever that may be. I certainly disagree

>with your opinions though. I'll be as ready as anyone for a new

>administration, but it will need to be an administration that is willing

to

>fight, not appease.....and the idea of the likes of Harry Reed, Pelosi,

>Kennedy, etc. having positions of greater power and influence bode ill for

>the future of this nation. I do agree with you about the border situation.

>that's the biggest clusterfuck that Bush has pulled, IMHO. the first thing

>we should have done after 911 was secure the borders, no matter what the

>cost politically and monetarily. I doubt, however, that anyone, democrat

or

>republican will be willing to do this. Folks may be paranoid about NYC,

but

>Houston is where the energy infrastructure lies and one nuke in or around

>the Houston ship channel and we're toast.

>

>Regards,

>

>DJ
>
>
>
>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e93a92\$1@linux...
>>
>> Dj, you rally believe this "If the left can't get Bush at the ballot ox,
>then
>> let's get the media allies on board and just take the whole damned country
>> down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.."
>>
>> This is not about the left or the tight, dems & reps. you have drank-ed
>the
>> kool-aid of the Bush(ites).. Most Republicans have abandoned ship long
>time
>> ago and are now willing to stand up and disagree with the President.Callit
>> Mid-term elections.
>>
>> One thing is for sure, any other Republican president would not have gone
>> down this road.. This is a Neo-Con road. This has nothing to do with left
>> or right. The bush(ites0 have "SCREWED" it's on base party. Can some say
>> "Boarders"..? Judge Appointments, Taxes , Deficit.. Bush is more Liberal
>> than our last 2 liberal presidents...Imagine that.?? He keeps spending
and
>> spending with reckless abandonment.
>> Bush (I love this) is playing both sides of the Ilse as well as Clinton
>did.
>> AND , he does not care if he screws those who support him.. All those
poor
>> working folks in the red states, now pay 3.00 bucks at the pump(LOL)...!!
>> Oh yeah.. Faith based initiatives".. ??(Jeopardy music) ...
>>
>> No, I'm an conservative Christian.. Most of my family are Conservative
>Democrats.
>> In Michigan, that means you are Pro union and proud of it..They Michigan
>> Dems are not weak, scared. Having Most them like my Dad and uncles have
>fought
>> (union) hard battles wars) for better working conditions and fair pay.
>Some
>> lives were lost. That kind of spirit can be found in all Northern (Blue
>Collar)
>> Blue states... Most of us are hunters and great fishermen. We love our
>guns..
>>
>>
>> So, you play that dems & repubs game all you like. But, both whites and
>non
>> whites in our neck of the woods can smell a Rat!! and that schicht is

>coming
 >> from the white house..
 >> No, we have bigger problems to worry about than some played up war form
 >some
 >> imperialistic games. We have our jobs, gas prices, educating our
 >children..than
 >> to bogged down in a stupid so -called war..
 >> You say that's being minded and soft..I say, We are not drinking the
 >kool-aid..
 >> So, if the 33 percent of you get your kicks out of prepping for war, then
 >> be my guess. Go out play war all you want. Stay on the "edge" ... Watch
 >Fox
 >> new network all day and night...By the way: Since the Hezbollah/Israeli
 >war
 >> came to ahead, the networks have cut to a more Pressing story.....
 >> They found the Jonbenet Ramsey murderer!!.....
 >>
 >> Fox news has all hand on deck and a camera man waiting for this kook to
 >get
 >> off the plane!! a few stories about the war. Ohh..I guess, since we were
 >> losing that war, in was not new worthy.
 >>
 >> Now, you say...What are the Dems going to.. Well, they can't do much worse
 >> than that last regime..I mean, do i feel safer because Bush is in
 >office???
 >> No.. I feel safe for me and my family because God is still on thrown!!
 He
 >> is my protector..Every man (boy , girl) has an appointed time to live
 and
 >> to die. Me and ,ine put our trust in God's hands, not a
 >President(Republican
 >> or Democrat)..
 >>
 >> See, those you who are fearful because you've put your hope and faith
 and
 >> trust in a Man!! Well, Men, will fail you all the time. Those of us who
 >> are believing Christians, should know better to put our hope, faith and
 >trust
 >> in a Man.. That's suicide and stupid!
 >> Even worst,putting your Hope, trust, and faith in a Politician..
 >> are we that stupid ??
 >> Next regime please..
 >>
 >>
 >>
 >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
 >> >>Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the current
 >> >administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in and

>> >stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when
>teaming
>> >with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the
>> >American public, not military leaders.<
>> >
>> >This is exactly what has happened. If the left can't get Bush at the
>ballot
>> >box, then let's get the media allies onboard and just take the whole
>damned
>> >country down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
>> >news:C10E111B.2942%dterry@keyofd.net...
>> >> On 8/20/06 12:33 PM, in article 44e8aad4@linux, "DJ"
>> >> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent.
That
>> >says
>> >> >> that most of the folks who voted for him does not support him or
>that
>> >> > "sham"
>> >> >> Iraq war.
>> >> >
>> >> > The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was
>still
>> >going
>> >> > strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.
>> >>
>> >> And let's also not forget that the Iraq war we went to fight is over
-
>> the
>> >> Hussein regime is no longer; no WMDs in sight, done. But instead,
we
>> are
>> >> now playing intervention peace maker to help the Iraq government with
>> what
>> >> has turned into a nightmare rather than a transition. The greatest
>number
>> >> of deaths from this "war" have happened directly because of the
>insurgency
>> >> of terrorists (car bombs, roadside bombs, snipers, etc) - not at the
>hands
>> >> of the old Iraqi military or Hussein, but by outsider terrorists and

>thugs
 >> >> (the words of a couple of different US soldiers just back from Iraq
 I
 >> >> recently talked with).
 >> >>
 >> >> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the
 >current
 >> >> administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in
 and
 >> >> stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when
 >> >teaming
 >> >> with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the
 >> >> American public, not military leaders.
 >> >>
 >> >> It's really easy to blame someone for everything we don't like or can't
 >> >> accept - whether it's finding fault for hurricane Katrina, terrorism,
 >> or
 >> >hot
 >> >> coffee at McDonalds. But it is quite another thing to actually
 >understand
 >> >> and count the cost of the way of life that we all agree to in dark
 >> >> compromise every single day.
 >> >>
 >> >> I guess I won't be surprised if an Islamic extremist is elected
 >president
 >> >in
 >> >> the next 8-12 years.... game over. Go directly to jail. Do not pass
 >> Go
 >> >or
 >> >> collect \$200. I'm only partly serious here, but you guys are turning
 >> me
 >> >> into a pessimist. ;-)
 >> >>
 >> >>
 >> >>
 >> >
 >> >
 >>
 >
 >Clinton Clinton Clinton.. It seems DJ that you has now swallow the "Kool-Aid"
 that the Neo-con right has given you.
 Look, liberals are not dumb or stupid. They are real passionate Americans.

Before I state my rebuttals to your Clinton Clinton Clinton rhetoric, let
 me just say this to your how can Dems be so dumb.

Do you really think that if we are attacked , that fellow so-called liberals

would not fight??

To decent is not American?

Look DJ, the Bush(ites) are war mongers. They had their plan in place well before they took office. They just need some kind of reason to sell to the US public. 911 and then WMDS..

The problem today is , do we have enough service men available for your WW3 war effort? A draft is eminent, But, not exactly politically wise or popular with the way the Iraq thing went. hey can't sell a war if the previous engagements haven't worked out..

So, what will the Bush(ites) do...hummm..Let's see since recruitment is way way down(toilet), the US need fresh recruits to re-vamp the forces for 1)ground attacks and home-land security, and middle-east occupation.....

Well, the poor & middle class whites are not showing up at the local recruiting centers like they did in post 911...And it seems, that the African Americans don't want any part of these wars what so ever...(hey, they're busy in the prisons)providing big business cheap telemarketing services..(true)..The American Hispanics too, have dropped off the recruiting radar.. So, where oh where are they going to get those solders ...Humm

I think the answer lies with our new "Border Crossers" aka, new Americans for hire.. And they will make up a good portion of the new American Armed forces.

Of course to sell them on the idea of joining, they will be told that thew will be given full citizenship with out question, enjoy the same rights that all Americans do, and be excepted like any other American citizen.. Well, it(assurances) worked for my people for every war the US government has ever fought while on this continent..So, it should work for our new friends.

Only this time, I hope that our Government lives up to it's promises to them, unlike they did my people and the indigenous people of this country..

Back to your points: (Which we all expelled from Michael Savages's Liberal(ism) is a mental disorder). That man, is one scared man.. very fearful and is a raging lunatic.What's his lines"Borders, Languages, and culture. Man, what a lunatic, however, very entertaining..

Dj, do we agree that the Bush(ites) want permanant bases in the middle east? Okay? Do you think that the people of the middle east will stand for permanant US bases there? Do you think, that we should pull the troops out and nuke the entire region???

See, the latter (Nuke) is what the Dems has done. Your so called Prsident and any other Republican love war for war sake.. The Dems, ended the WW2

(in the PACific)..BOooooommmmm. Weak, are they..??? I'll let that record stand..

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>LaMont (sigh.....)

>

>I've got a few thoughts below:

>

>"LaMont" <lad@american.com> wrote in message news:44e889dc\$1@linux...

>>

>> "If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready to

>fight.

>> Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a regime

>change

>> here."

>>

>> The only we should be ready to face is the (Bush-ites) neo-cons aka the

>present

>> rulers of our government. They are the ones who are taking away our

>liberties,freedom

>> under the guise of democracy.

>>

>

>Did you read any part of the link I posted? If so, are you dismissing it

>completely?

>

>> It's really ashame that the 33-36 percent of you who still believe i this

>> regime, are still drinking the kool-iad that Tony Snow spills. It's soo

>un-beliveable

>> what they spew out these days to make their case. Now, it's become

>laughable.

>> Pretty pathetic that they continue to play the so called "RED-States fear

>> rhetoric to get support for thier imperialistic movement.

>

>Nobody wants war. Bin Ladin stated very publicly that the reason he thought

>he could win this war was because America no longer had the stomach for

>war

>and that we were weak. He's right, apparently. Just look at the polls.

>Clinton would have surrendered by now. Bush, no way, and that's one of the

>few things I like about him.

>

>>

>> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent. That says

>> that most of the folks who voted for him does not suppport him or that

>"sham"

>> Iraq war.

>

>The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was still going
>strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.

>>

>> What's even funny is that folkd like yourself willing to die for this
sham

>> of a cause, when the President himself and other Elites, will never take
>> up arms. Nor will their families allow them to. See, that's what poor
>folks

>> are for. They take up arms for the rich. Hey, I don't blame Bush for
>weasling

>> out the vietnam war..That was a smart thing to do. But, I'd be damm if
I'd

>> send or give my blessing to my sons for this most un-knoble cause.

>> LAD

>

>Hmmm.....well, how about your poster child for all that is good in the
>world, Bill Clinton? This argument is lame LaMont and you should know it.
>Let's look at how we got here, shall we? We're coming out of a war that
has

>caused a glitch in the economy and Bush I has to raise taxes to pay for
it.

>Oher than that, it's roaring because of the Reagan tax cuts. We elect slick
>Willie because he talks a good game, there has been an end to the war,
>sanctions are in place and the world is in a relatively stable condition.
>The Soviet economic system has failed, capitalism is starting to remake
>poliotical thinking in China (just as it has in Viet Nam) and there are
some

>incredible changes and opportunities to be had in the world. There were
>still lots of threats and apparatchiks who were basically gangsters who
>would sell their mother for money and the nuclear stores in Russia were
>controlled by these guys. We needed an intelligence service to keep us up
to

>speed on a rapidly changing world.

>

>Now what would a *real*leader do? Would he live by opinion polls and create
>a personality cult or would he obey his oath of office and protect this
>country?

>

>Well, the first thing he does is betray this country by instituting a new
>policy at the CIA that severely limited the interaction of the CIA with
>anyone who could be considered an embarrassment to the administration due
to

>their human rights history.That pretty much takes 90% of the folks in the
>middle east, China and Russia who might be associated with terrorism and
>espionage off the table. Well, this kind of idealism is all fine and good
in

>a perfect world, but totally unrealistic in the *real* world. By the time

he

>left office we had lost all of our intelligence assets in the places we
>needed them the most.....so throughout his administration, he spouts off
>about IRAQ having WMD's and periodically bombs here and there while turning
>a blind eye to the French, Russians and UN parasites who are doing
>everything possible to ensure

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..(Kim?)..thanks!
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Mon, 10 Jul 2006 04:10:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

nute that Sadaam, seeing Iran going

>nuclear, would not want to go there himself.....again.....with great
>haste? If the sanctions were properly enforced, a lot of people would not
>have died but no one was protesting while people were dying then. It
>wouldn't look good in the polls.

>

>Now LaMont, you seem to think that somehow if we just appease these facists,
>they will leave us alone and everyone would just be our buddy while totally
>ignoring the 8 years of unmitigated disaster that *was* the Clinton
>administration. (and don't call me a ****'in neo-con....I voted for him
too)

>

>To be a *real* leader Clinton would have immediately recognized that the
>entire planet was at a crucial place in the history. He could have
>instituted a policy of energy independence while we were in a relatively
>secure position. It wouldn't have been popular because he wanted to balance
>the budget (a fleeting PR move on paper that weakened our security in many
>ways). He could have exercised some *real* leadership rather than making
>excuses for the Europeans when they were quite willing to allow yet another
>genocide in Kosovo while dealing us under the table with the sanctions in
>Iraq.

>

>Had we had any decent intelligence, we might not have had the debacle in
>Somalia, but oh no.....can't deal with unsavory characters now can we?

The

>polls wouldn't support this.....and finally.....Mr. Bill abrogated
>his responsibility as a world leader (his great ambition, right) by not
>calling the UN to account for ignoring the most inexcusable, racist and
>*unhumanitarian* act since the Holocaust by ignoring Rwanda completely and
>then offering up a teary eyed *excused* that he didn't know what was
>happening. It was his job to know. If he did know, he's criminally
>negligent. If he didn't know, he's criminally negligent. This failure of
>leadership is inexcusable and smacks of extreme racism..

>

>Yeah.....let's let the liberals run the show again. I can't
>wait.....and before you pop off and start calling me a neo-con, be

>advised that I'm very much pro choice, in favor of population control and
 >think that organized religion has created a *rat breeding* mentality that
 >threatens the very existence of the human race, believe that global warming
 >is very real and that we need to take action immediately or it's going to
 be
 >too late, am a borderline nut case when it comes to animal rights, hate
 war,
 >believe that immigration reform should be a humane process, believe in
 >government funded stem cell research. I'm a bit of a secularist , but I
 >think it's totally unrealistic to ignore the fact that this is a country
 >wherein the vast majority of people profess to practice, or at least believe
 >in some sort of religious philosophy.....the majority being various sects
 >of Christianity. I also agree that some are extremists (not just the
 >Christians, IMO) but I also keep in mind that the majority has rights as
 >well as the minority. It think that given this situation it would be better
 >to allow free expression of *all* religions and *no religions* within
 >certain contextual guidelines in an effort to foster open dialogue and
 >understanding between the religions and atheists/agnostics in an effort
 to
 >point out their many similarities in thinking/philosophy rather than
 >segregating this to the respective religious centers. It's not going to
 go
 >away and when segregated away from scrutiny, any idea can become corrupt.
 I
 >think if this could happen, the obvious commonalities might tend to diffuse
 >the agruments of the intolerant *armageddonists*. One thing that I firmly
 >believe is that if a group of people want something to happen bad enough,
 >they will find a way to make it happen. This is particularly scary when
 you
 >look at the *end of the world cults* who base their perception of world
 >events on the inevitability of their Biblical interpretation of Revelations
 >and of the jihadists whowell.....no point in arguing the right
 and
 >wrong of this cause ad nauseum. .
 >
 >I also believe that the left in this country has been criminally stupid
 to
 >the point of treason with their anti-war antics and that if they are brought
 >to power in the next round of elections, they will think that appeasing
 >terrorism will keep them safe. The terrorists, OTOH, will exploit the
 >weakensses in our system that the left has created and/or allowed to fester
 >and will use them against all of us. As I said before, the leftist/liberal
 >agenda is a total anathema to radical Islam. I really don't think you pay
 >much attention to the real agenda.
 >
 >There is so much more to the entire scenario than 9-11, yet it's convenient
 >for those who want to try to undermine the administration politically, to
 >take up the mantra that if it doesn't apply directly to 9-11, then it's

not

>part of the overall problem. It's short-sighted and that's what scares me
>about the anti-war faction. They seem to think that if we just don't
>confront the jihadinazis, that they will leave us alone. I'm all for freedom
>of speech and free debate, but we've got some hard choices to make right
now

>and I'm in favor of having a country left in which it is possible to debate,
>rather than a nazi state. You equate the Bush administration with
>facism????.....they are fighting facism amigio. Sometimes it's ugly. the
>freedoms we enjoy right now are unbelievable compared to what we were
>willing to forego in WWII. The enemy we face is much more dangerous and
can

>bring the world to an end with just a few bombs. Appeasing them will not
>take their finger off the trigger if they get those bombs.

>When I see videos of these guys goosestepping through the streets it makes
>me wonder how we can forget so quickly how an ideology and failure to
>confront it can bring about a world war.

>

>I often wonder how my friends on the left can be so ignorant of the real
>issues involved here. I wonder how the following laws would go down in a
>place like San Francisco, where pacifism is the philosophy du jour:

>

>PENALTIES imposed by Iran's religious mullahs include:

>

>THEFT: Amputation of hands or feet for persistent offenders.

>

>ADULTERY: Death by stoning.

>

>UNMARRIED SEX: 100 lashes.

>

>CONVERSION TO RELIGION OTHER THAN ISLAM: Death.

>

>SODOMY: Death for adults, 74 lashes for consenting child.

>

>LESBIANISM: 100 lashes, or on the fourth occasion death.

>

>HOMOSEXUAL KISS: 60 lashes.

>

>RUBBING ANOTHER MAN'S THIGHS OR BUTTOCKS: 99 lashes - on 4th occasion,
>death.

>

>I would like to be a democrat again. I used to be one and felt pretty
>comfortable with the liberal agenda when this country had the luzxxury of
>debating these things. These days I wonder how the liberals in this country
>can be so blind as dismiss what lies in store for them as they savage an
>administration that has the balls to stand up and fight for
>them.....something their own leaders are seemingly incapable of doing.

>

>Regards,
>
>DJ
>
>
>
>
>>
>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >I hate the idea of war as much as you do. I have actually thought long
>and
>> >hard about going over there myself. If there was something I could do
>other
>> >than get in the way. and I didn't have a family to support, I might check
>> >with the military to see if there's a *geezers battalion*. I don't have
>> any
>> >formal military training, but I know how to handle firearms, and I'm
>deadly
>> >accurate.
>> >It would piss off my wife to no end and I'm 56 and 'purtnear used up
so
>> I
>> >don't know how well this old body would handle the rigours of battlefield
>> >combat and the heat, but I'll guarantee you that I would have no problem
>> at
>> >all if my son or daughter joined a volunteer army and that army was
>called
>> >on to fight, I would support them 100%.
>> >
>> >If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready to
>fight.
>> >Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a regime
>> >change here. The politics of the left are much more abhorrent to them
>than
>> >those of the right. They are, however, quite happy to allow the left
to
>> do
>> >their political infighting for them here. The weaker and less resolved
we
>> >are the better they like it.
>> >
>> >Here's what you're in for amigo:
>> > <http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=54> 26
>> >
>> >The human rights section and the women's section is especially wonderful.
>> >Enjoy.
>> >
>> >

>> >Regards,
>> >
>> >DJ
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >"Lamont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e8083f\$1@linux...
>> >>
>> >> Hopefully the opposing party, they would stand for our constitutional
>> >rights
>> >> and it's Liberties..
>> >>
>> >> Even more, the opposing party would not be thrill seeking war mongers,
>> >who's
>> >> only agenda is to spread freedom thru out he world (ha hah). I would
>hope
>> >> any opposing party will grow some balls and speak out against this
>> >tierney.
>> >> There are just as many Democrats who signed on with the Bush(is)..
But
>> >> as we saw with the beginning of the mid term primaries, those Democrats
>> who
>> >> were with the Bush(ites) went down with ship.
>> >>
>> >> Evenmore.The thought of spreading democracy into a region were war
is
>> >second
>> >> nature for over 10,000 years is laughable at best. Those fold over
>there(
>> >> Iran, Iraq,Saudi Arabia, Syria, afghanistan,) will Never stop fighting
>> or
>> >> give in to so called democracy..Never.
>> >> Will you send you son or daughter over to fight??
>> >> I thought so..
>> >>
>> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >> >These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
>> >terrorist..Why??
>> >> >At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
>> >Goverment.aka
>> >> >Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and
the
>> >good
>> >> >of this union.
>> >> >
>> >> >.....and if there was an election today, what would the opposing
>> >party
>> >> >stand for Lamont?

>> >> >
>> >> >"Lamont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message
>news:44e79af9\$1@linux...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Steve, that link you posted does not make a good enough argument
to
>> >debut
>> >> >> the "Loose Change movie (documentary).
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I'm not a conpiracist, but I've never believed the US government
>> >stories
>> >> >> on 911. IMHO, we are dealing with a some really power hungry,
>sadistic,
>> >> >neoconservatives-conservatives
>> >> >> in the white house and his fellow neo cons.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> They are evil to the core. And have, dragged this nation down to
the
>> >> >pits!!!..
>> >> >> It's really a shame that we 2 years left with these evil neo-cons.
>> They
>> >> are
>> >> >> as anti American as the as the so-called terrorist. Impeachment
>> >hearings
>> >> >> should start immediately.!
>> >> >>
>> >> >> And to think, we let them Impeach Clinton.. Now, with our civil
>> >liberties
>> >> >> being taken from us, a Patriot act which gives the Gov and police
>the
>> >> >right
>> >> >> to do anything they want. And a phony department names Department
of
>> >> >Homeland
>> >> >> security, which is a weak as 2 minute cornflakes all under the sham
>> of
>> >> >making
>> >> >> us "feel safe"!! Safe from what ?? The terrorist??or our
>Government???
>> >> >>
>> >> >> These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
>> >terrorist..Why??
>> >> >> At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
>> >Goverment.aka
>> >> >> Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me and
>the
>> >> good
>> >> >> of this union. Not, to make us feel threaten, unpatriotic, fearful,

>> >> and
>> >> >> distrustful of it's workings.They are threatening is taking away
>> >> >"free-speech,
>> >> >> and most importantly, 'A right to decent!~!!" ..
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I love the people of this Nation. For we are a great people!!! But,
>> we
>> >> are
>> >> >> under the leadership of a wanna be dictator!!and his cronniees..
>> >Lastly,
>> >> >> most Americans feel 'Less Safe " now than 2002.. Wanna bet? Let's
>first
>> >> >ask
>> >> >> the good folks from New Orleans & Mississippi and Alabama .. That
>> >showed
>> >> >> every American how our Government would respond if we were
>attacked..???

>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >> "Steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote:
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >>
>>
>>>>> <http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+chan>
>g
>> >e
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Nappy-
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >first of all, it's great to see you again!
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >second of all, I was pretty impressed by Loose Change.
>> >> >> >Then some months later I read this:
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >http://911research.wtc7.net/reviews/loose_change/index.html
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Check it out!
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >-Steve
>> >> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >

>> >

>>

>

>I've been referred to networksolutions.com, but they seem a little pricey once you get out of the bargain basement services.

what are some other options that y'all are happy with?

Thanks, DaleGuys-

I have a major problem. I am mixing a record that I have to hand in tomorrow. I was experiencing some glitchiness so I decided to change the I/O settings from within the Paris main screen. I needed to relaunch Paris it said and now I get this message.

"Error in initializing Paris Engine. There is not enough memory. Clearing the undo/redo buffer from the Settings Menu or closing some windows may allow you to complete this operation."

Well that's all well and good, but I can't LAUNCH Paris so how can I clear the undo redo buffer? I am in big trouble! If anybody has a solution for this I'd owe you one big time! This record has to go to mastering tomorrow or I'm dead. Please help.

Thanx in advance! -Paul...forgot to mention, I'm on a G4 running 9.2.2. Thanx guysunfortunately the bottom line is that you can't win a gorilla war.

there's no front and there's 10,000 fronts.

On Sun, 20 Aug 2006 17:56:45 -0600, "DJ"

<animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>Are the Democrats going to improve

>>this situation? Have they ever? Is the answer to just pull up stakes and

>>leave?

>

>Steve,

>

>I think all three questions are equally important.

>

>Regards,

>

>DJ

>

>

>"steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote in message

>news:44e8f3b6\$1@linux...

>>

>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>> >Steve,

>> >
>> >This is pretty horrific and I really don't doubt this. I've seen
>situations
>> >like this before in my dealings in the private sector. I heard this kind
>> of
>> >thing from a guy I met when I was living in Mexico who was a German
>soldier
>> >stationed with a bunnch of NATO troops, many of whom were Americans. This
>> >was back in 1977. Besides his obvious and virulent hatred of the black
>> >American servicemen, he was totally and constantly critical of command
>and
>> >control procedures of the American army. Many of the things described in
>> >this article sound familiar in light of what this guy was talking about
>> so
>> >this kind of FUBAR crap has been happening in the military for
>> >years.....so what's the answer? Are the Democrats going to
>improve
>> >this situation? Have they ever? Is the answer to just pull up stakes and
>> >leave?
>> >
>> >Regards,
>> >
>> >DJ
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> Simple answer:
>>
>> Perhaps.
>>
>> All depends on what you want. What was the question?
>>
>> -steve
>>
>>
>1and1.com

"Dale" <dalebradleycello@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>I've been referred to networksolutions.com, but they seem a little pricey
>once you get out of the bargain basement services.
>
>what are some other options that y'all are happy with?
>
>Thanks, DaleI'm guessing a shot in the dark here, but are you on a Mac? If so, allocate
more memory to Paris.
AA

"Paul Scialabba" <TLTraxx@aol.com> wrote in message news:44e96e93\$1@linux...

>

> Guys-

> I have a major problem. I am mixing a record that I have to hand in

> tomorrow.

> I was experiencing some glitchiness so I decided to change the I/O

> settings

> from within the Paris main screen. I needed to relaunch Paris it said and

> now I get this message.

> "Error in initializing Paris Engine. There is not enough memory. Clearing

> the undo/redo buffer from the Settings Menu or closing some windows may

> allow

> you to complete this operation."

>

> Well that's all well and good, but I can't LAUNCH Paris so how can I clear

> the undo redo buffer? I am in big trouble! If anybody has a solution for

> this I'd owe you one big time! This record has to go to mastering tomorrow

> or I'm dead. Please help.

> Thanx in advance! -Paul

>I agree. That looks like a plugin problem.

Good luck!

Mike

"Erick Kent" <erick at thefollower.com> wrote:

>I am not sure if this is the same exact error message I was getting while

>trying to use Amplitube, but it did crash Paris so badly that it wouldn't

>start back up. I had to uninstall and then reinstall and then go into my

>Paris config file and disable directx. Not sure what plug-in might be

>causing this (if in fact it is a plug-in at all) but it definitely sounds

>like that is what's going on.

>

>--

>Erick Kent

>"Uptown Jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote in message

>news:44e904b6\$1@linux...

>>

>> My buddy Swen had this error message pop out of nowhere today. Everything

>> worked fine last night, now he can't get Paris to fire up.

>>

>> unhandled exception: c0000005

>> at address: 77c3f5ea

>>
>> Anybody?
>> Jimmy
>
>My web designer friend likes www.godaddy.com

"john" <no@no.com> wrote:

>
>1and1.com
>
>"Dale" <dalebradleycello@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>I've been referred to networksolutions.com, but they seem a little pricey
>>once you get out of the bargain basement services.
>>
>>what are some other options that y'all are happy with?
>>
>>Thanks, Dale
>I use these guys:

<http://www.cscweb.net>

Reasonably-priced, and I've never noticed an outage of any kind.

Neil

"John Macy" <spamlessjohn@johnmacy.com> wrote:

>
>My web designer friend likes www.godaddy.com
>
>"john" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>
>>1and1.com
>>
>>"Dale" <dalebradleycello@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>I've been referred to networksolutions.com, but they seem a little pricey
>>>once you get out of the bargain basement services.
>>>
>>>what are some other options that y'all are happy with?
>>>
>>>Thanks, Dale
>>
>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>Clinton Clinton Clinton.. It seems DJ that you has now swallow the "Kool-Aid"
>that the Neo-con right has given you.
>Look, liberals are not dumb or stupid. They are real passionate Americans.

And Clinton was incompetent to a staggering degree. Deal with it.

>Do you really think that if we are attacked , that fellow so-called liberals
>would not fight??

They would waffle, negotiate, deal with the corrupt UN, make token raids on the wrong targets to kill a few people (usually the wrong ones) and then get so backed into a corner that they either have to capitulate to the jihadis or, as you say below, go boom, as in nukes... I would like to avoid that.

>To decent is not American?

>Look DJ, the Bush(ites) are war mongers. They had their plan in place well
>before they took office. They just need some kind of reason to sell to the
>US public. 911 and then WMDs..

So it's hard to sell war to americans after the biggest attack since WWII? How do you figure?

We found the WMD's btw. 500 of them, and one of Saddams own guys says the rest went to Syria. You cannot win this argument on evidence so you resort to wild paranoia, and accuse others of "kool-aid"? amazing really...

I don't CARE if you support Bush or not, I do care about the lengths you are going to, to make a case that cannot be made rationally.

>The problem today is , do we have enough service men available for your WW3
>war effort? A draft is eminent, But, not exactly politically wise or popular
>with the way the Iraq thing went. hey can't sell a war if the previous engagements
>haven't worked out..

For you and your side to win, we must lose this war. So you and yours have called it a mistake and a "quagmire" since about a week into it, made absurd vietnam comparisons, and failed to praise even the brave Iraqi's who voted in such high numbers under the threat of being attacked at any time. You all just went nice and quiet and waited for the next bombing or decapitation so you could start the rap up again. And you wonder

why people question your loyalty? I have never seen so many americans hoping for our defeat. Our brave soldiers are succeeding despite the best efforts of both the jihadis and the left.

>So, what will the Bush(ites) do...hummm..Let's see since recruitment is way
>way down(toilet), the US need fresh recruits
>to re-vamp the forces for 1)ground attacks and home-land security, and middle-east
>occupation.....

Actually, as with much of your rap, you got the facts wrong again.

<http://www.azstarnet.com/news/141607>

>Well, the poor & middle class whites are not showing up at the local recruiting
>centers like they did in post 911...And it seems, that the African Americans
>don't want any part of these wars what so ever...(hey, they're busy in the
>prisons)providing big business cheap telemarketing services..(true)..

While African immigrants are wildly successful here, and the left still refuses to look at the results of their misguided programs... The imprisonment rate of African Americans closely follows the rate of decline in intact families which inversely follows the rate of paternalistic liberal programs. But no, it's so much easier to blame Bush.

The
>American Hispanics too, have dropped off the recruiting radar.. So, where
>oh where are they going to get those solders ...Humm
>
>I think the answer lies with our new "Border Crossers" aka, new Americans
>for hire.. And they will make up a good portion of the new American Armed
>forces.

Is there actual evidence for this?

>Dj, do we agree that the Bush(ites) want permanant bases in the middle east?
>Okay? Do you think that the people of the middle east will stand for permanant
>US bases there? Do you think, that we should pull the troops out and nuke
>the entire region???

A secular and democratic state would do so. Hence Iraq.
Remember, we would like to avoid nukes?

>See, the latter (Nuke) is what the Dems has done. Your so called Prsident
>and any other Republican love war for war sake.. The Dems, ended the WW2
>(in the PAcific)..BOooooommmmm. Weak, are they..??? I'll let that record
>stand..

Of course, a Republican (Lincoln) ended slavery, but it looks like that record doesn't stand for much with you. Oh, it's in the past? Well so are the days of Democrats being *anything* like Truman.

And EVERY SINGLE Truman or even Kennedy democrat has been purged from the democratic party, the most recent being a good and decent Kennedy liberal named Leiberman.

Listen LaMont, I am not a conservative, and neither is DJ. You need to admit that this is a complex and difficult issue and good points can be made on both sides. Come, let us reason together.

There's a lot at stake.

DCHi, all.

I have a friend who has a dodgy c-16.

I have always used "0 + Enter", however this doesn't work for him.

He is running classic c-16 mode.

Thanks.

KimI wasn't referring to whether the war is right or wrong or whether I liked Bush or not, just your approach and mindset isn't representing Christianity in any way - these are just your political views. Don't confuse the two. It does more harm than good.

On the war and our country itself...

I have personally talked to several US soldiers who have been there, and have talked to Iraqis, in person - not what you think you hear from the news media, or from a disgruntled private that just signed up with the Army to get a free education and wrote a book about it, but mid-level officers and doctors (e.g. not party line toters either) - and the Iraqis do appreciate that we ended the tyrannical regime they were under. I was blown away by the real world accounts I heard that completely contradict what the news media would have us believe (even if you support the war, this you would start to wonder who's doing the most lying) - these guys are Christians, and spent their time there building schools, restoring power, providing aid to military and citizens alike - working with the people, not just working the media.

If you think that is of no value, then it would be interesting to hear what our own ancestor countrymen would say of the civil war - was the cost of hundreds of thousands of lives, homes, farms, schools, women and children,

and political turmoil worth it "just" to end slavery? Given what our country seems to think of the Iraqi people - ignorant, victims of the US military, better off under a murderous dictator, etc - I would have to say I seriously doubt we would go down that road again. We would rather sit by and smugly to say that we weren't responsible for people dying - "we're better than that - we make great bystanders and self-righteous, self-absorbed pacifists". We would rather ride around in our Detroit-built, union proud SUVs, sucking down expensive gasoline, buying frivolous luxuries right and left from companies that help choke the atmosphere with production pollution, watching movies that cost more than the food budget for Sudan and complain about our government on the way home because we are embarrassed that France and Germany don't like us, and because it's more popular to hate the President than actually do something to fix any of the above problems.

Whether you like it or not, there is a direct parallel, and a sad one - DJ is right, we are weak - not militarily, but as a people. We have no common bond of morality, ethics, integrity, patriotism, and honor - maybe if we really had that, we wouldn't be in Iraq *and* the Iraqi people would still be free to make their own decisions out from under the crushing weight of a dictator's thumb. But sadly, we've chosen to adopt the hypocritical facade that Hollywood and the news media feeds us. We are more interested in political correctness and putting on the appearance of righteousness than actually living it. I'm not in anyway saying war is righteous - it is just a fact of a world that in majority doesn't have a moral value system. And at the same time we are justifying our intellectually superior pacifism by turning a blind eye to true evil and in fact doing exactly what you claim others are doing - putting faith in Man. Mine isn't in any man on this planet. Completely independently of the government, we are yet again selling out our pride and integrity as people to the lowest cost bidder in exchange for a mask of fabricated peace, temporary freedom and empty compassion. We have more in common now with Hollywood 2006 than Philadelphia 1776, much less 0 BC.

"Where is the hope? I meet millions who tell me that they feel demoralized by the decay around us. Where is the hope? The hope that each of us have is not in who governs us, or what laws are passed, or what great things that we do as a nation. Our hope is in the power of God working through the hearts of people, and that's where our hope is in this country; that's where our hope is in life." - Chuck Colson

Dedric

On 8/21/06 1:05 AM, in article 44e95b3a\$1@linux, "LaMont"
<jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>

> What I'm saying is (from a previous post) is that I feel that this
> administration

> are killers. They killed our young soldiers for a stupid cause.
> As to my I'll take the terrorist" statement..Well, Dedric ask an Iraqi citizen
> about who they think are the terrorist???

>
> As for that statement being unchristian. Well who said that the American
> policy is the "Thee" authority of Christianity???

> My views are not slanderer not in fear of speaking against a burgeoning
> tyranny.

>
>
> I know full well I don't speak for the entire Christian population, only
> Jesus does. But, faith in a Man, is stupid..

>
> Yes, I feel that the Bush(ites) are evil. Evil lying politians. So, if you
> take that as being slanted, then so be it.

>
> So, you and the other 33-36 percent can keep on fighting the war on terror
> ,and find your WMD's or what ever.
> I'm not buying it.

>
>
>
>
> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>> Lamont, saying you would rather back terrorists (cold blooded killers) is
>> about as far from Christian belief as I've ever heard. It is not the way
> we
>> believe or talk as Christians, based completely on what the Bible teaches.
>>
>> If you don't like Bush, that's fine, but stereotyping and slandering people
>> while claiming you speak for the whole of Christianity in the same breath
> is
>> wrong. No two ways about it my friend. No, you do not speak for
>> Christianity as a faith. Democrat or Republican, your approach is simply
>> political hyperbole. Please don't confuse your political views with faith.
>>
>> On 8/20/06 10:46 PM, in article 44e93a92\$1@linux, "LaMont"
>> <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> Dj, you rally believe this "If the left can't get Bush at the ballot ox,
> then
>>> let's get the media allies on board and just take the whole damned country
>>> down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.."
>>>
>>> This is not about the left or the tight, dems & reps. you have drank-ed
> the
>>> kool-aid of the Bush(ites).. Most Republicans have abandoned ship long

> time
 >>> ago and are now willing to stand up and disagree with the President. Call it
 >>> Mid-term elections.
 >>>
 >>> One thing is for sure, any other Republican president would not have gone
 >>> down this road.. This is a Neo-Con road. This has nothing to do with left
 >>> or right. The bush(ites0 have "SCREWED" it's on base party. Can some say
 >>> "Boarders"..? Judge Appointments, Taxes , Deficit.. Bush is more Liberal
 >>> than our last 2 liberal presidents...Imagine that.?? He keeps spending
 > and
 >>> spending with reckless abandonment.
 >>> Bush (I love this) is playing both sides of the Ilse as well as Clinton
 > did.
 >>> AND , he does not care if he screws those who support him.. All those
 > poor
 >>> working folks in the red states, now pay 3.00 bucks at the pump(LOL)..!!
 >>> Oh yeah.. Faith based initiatives".. ??(Jeopardy music) ...
 >>>
 >>> No, I'm an conservative Christian.. Most of my family are Conservative
 >>> Democrats.
 >>> In Michigan, that means you are Pro union and proud of it..They Michigan
 >>> Dems are not weak, scared. Having Most them like my Dad and uncles have
 > fought
 >>> (union) hard battles wars) for better working conditions and fair pay.
 > Some
 >>> lives were lost. That kind of spirit can be found in all Northern (Blue
 >>> Collar)
 >>> Blue states... Most of us are hunters and great fishermen. We love our
 > guns..
 >>>
 >>>
 >>> So, you play that dems & repubs game all you like. But, both whites and
 > non
 >>> whites in our neck of the woods can smell a Rat!! and that schicht is
 > coming
 >>> from the white house..
 >>> No, we have bigger problems to worry about than some played up war form
 > some
 >>> imperialistic games. We have our jobs, gas prices, educating our
 >>> children..than
 >>> to bogged down in a stupid so -called war..
 >>> You say that's being minded and soft..I say, We are not drinking the
 >>> kool-aid..
 >>> So, if the 33 percent of you get your kicks out of prepping for war, then
 >>> be my guess. Go out play war all you want. Stay on the "edge" ... Watch
 > Fox
 >>> new network all day and night...By the way: Since the Hezbollah/Israeli
 > war

>>> came to ahead, the networks have cut to a more Pressing story.....

>>> They found the Jonbenet Ramsey murderer!!.....

>>>

>>> Fox news has all hand on deck and a camera man waiting for this kook to

> get

>>> off the plane!! a few stories about the war. Ohh..I guess, since we were

>>> losing that war, in was not new worthy.

>>>

>>> Now, you say...What are the Dems going to.. Well, they can't do much worse

>>> than that last regime..I mean, do i feel safer because Bush is in office???

>>> No.. I feel safe for me and my family because God is still on thrown!!

> He

>>> is my protector..Every man (boy , girl) has an appointed time to live

> and

>>> to die. Me and ,ine put our trust in God's hands, not a President(Republican

>>> or Democrat)..

>>>

>>> See, those you who are fearful because you've put your hope and faith

> and

>>> trust in a Man!! Well, Men, will fail you all the time. Those of us who

>>> are believing Christians, should know better to put our hope, faith and

> trust

>>> in a Man.. That's suicide and stupid!

>>> Even worst,putting your Hope, trust, and faith in a Politician..

>>> are we that stupid ??

>>> Next regime please..

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>>>>> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the current

>>>>> administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in and

>>>>> stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when teaming

>>>>> with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the

>>>>> American public, not military leaders.<

>>>>>

>>>>> This is exactly what has happened. If the left can't get Bush at the

> ballot

>>>>> box, then let's get the media allies onboard and just take the whole

> damned

>>>>> country down if that's what it takes to pursue the progressive ideology.

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message

>>>>> news:C10E111B.2942%dterry@keyofd.net...

>>>>> On 8/20/06 12:33 PM, in article 44e8aad4@linux, "DJ"

>>>>> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>>>>>
 >>>>>
 >>>>>>
 >>>>>> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent. That
 >>>> says
 >>>>>> that most of the folks who voted for him does not support him or
 > that
 >>>>>> "sham"
 >>>>>> Iraq war.
 >>>>>>
 >>>>>> The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was still
 >>>> going
 >>>>>> strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.
 >>>>>>
 >>>>>> And let's also not forget that the Iraq war we went to fight is over
 > -
 >>>> the
 >>>>>> Hussein regime is no longer; no WMDs in sight, done. But instead, we
 >>>> are
 >>>>>> now playing intervention peace maker to help the Iraq government with
 >>>> what
 >>>>>> has turned into a nightmare rather than a transition. The greatest
 > number
 >>>>>> of deaths from this "war" have happened directly because of the insurgency
 >>>>>> of terrorists (car bombs, roadside bombs, snipers, etc) - not at the
 > hands
 >>>>>> of the old Iraqi military or Hussein, but by outsider terrorists and
 > thugs
 >>>>>> (the words of a couple of different US soldiers just back from Iraq
 > I
 >>>>>> recently talked with).
 >>>>>>
 >>>>>> Or did we suddenly decide to support terrorism just to spite the current
 >>>>>> administration? Seems like a sad way to decide what you believe in
 > and
 >>>>>> stand for. Sell out to the least unpopular - just like we did when
 >>>>>> teaming
 >>>>>> with Ossama against Russia in Afghanistan, except this time it's the
 >>>>>> American public, not military leaders.
 >>>>>>
 >>>>>> It's really easy to blame someone for everything we don't like or can't
 >>>>>> accept - whether it's finding fault for hurricane Katrina, terrorism,
 >>>> or
 >>>>>> hot
 >>>>>> coffee at McDonalds. But it is quite another thing to actually understand
 >>>>>> and count the cost of the way of life that we all agree to in dark
 >>>>>> compromise every single day.
 >>>>>>

>>>>> I guess I won't be surprised if an Islamic extremist is elected president
>>>> in
>>>>> the next 8-12 years.... game over. Go directly to jail. Do not pass
>>> Go
>>>> or
>>>>> collect \$200. I'm only partly serious here, but you guys are turning
>>> me
>>>>> into a pessimist. ;-)
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>That's the tricky thing about 'only options,' it doesn't really matter how
ugly they are.

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>.....and an ugly option to be sure.
>
>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:44e92578\$1@linux...
>>
>> No. No. Probably about the only option.
>>
>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >Are the Democrats going to improve
>> >>this situation? Have they ever? Is the answer to just pull up stakes
and
>> >>leave?
>> >
>> >Steve,
>> >
>> >I think all three questions are equally important.
>> >
>> >Regards,
>> >
>> >DJ
>> >
>> >
>> >"steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote in message
>> >news:44e8f3b6\$1@linux...
>> >>
>> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >> >Steve,
>> >> >
>> >> >This is pretty horrific and I really don't doubt this. I've seen
>> >situations

>> >> >like this before in my dealings in the private sector. I heard this
>kind
>> >> of
>> >> >thing from a guy I met when I was living in Mexico who was a German
>> >soldier
>> >> >stationed with a bunnch of NATO troops, many of whom were Americans.
>> This
>> >> >was back in 1977. Besides his obvious and virulent hatred of the black
>> >> >American servicemen, he was totally and constantly critical of command
>> >and
>> >> >control procedures of the American army. Many of the things described
>> in
>> >> >this article sound familiar in light of what this guy was talking
>about
>> >> so
>> >> >this kind of FUBAR crap has been happening in the military for
>> >> >years.....so what's the answer? Are the Democrats going to
>> >improve
>> >> >this situation? Have they ever? Is the answer to just pull up stakes
>> and
>> >> >leave?
>> >> >
>> >> >Regards,
>> >> >
>> >> >DJ
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> Simple answer:
>> >>
>> >> Perhaps.
>> >>
>> >> All depends on what you want. What was the question?
>> >>
>> >> -steve
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>"Dale" <dalebradleycello@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>I've been referred to networksolutions.com, but they seem a little pricey
>once you get out of the bargain basement services.
>
>what are some other options that y'all are happy with?
>

>Thanks, Dale

I take care of two non-profit sites on:

<http://www.oneworldhosting.com/>

No complaints.

GeneWell, funny thing is, we just started using Autotune a week or two ago. I've been having some occasional freezing-up problems on my computer; I wonder if that's also related?

Is anybody using Autotune with Paris succesfully? If I disable DirectX, we won't be able to use Autotune, right?

Jimmy

"Erick Kent" <erick at thefollower.com> wrote:

>I am not sure if this is the same exact error message I was getting while

>trying to use Amplitube, but it did crash Paris so badly that it wouldn't

>start back up. I had to uninstall and then reinstall and then go into my

>Paris config file and disable directx. Not sure what plug-in might be

>causing this (if in fact it is a plug-in at all) but it definitely sounds

>like that is what's going on.

>

>--

>Erick Kent

>"Uptown Jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote in message

>news:44e904b6\$1@linux...

>>

>> My buddy Swen had this error message pop out of nowhere today. Everything

>> worked fine last night, now he can't get Paris to fire up.

>>

>> unhandled exception: c0000005

>> at address: 77c3f5ea

>>

>> Anybody?

>> Jimmy

>

>This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_003C_01C6C513.0CBE81E0

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Kim,
Alt Backspace. But it will undo your last edit in the editor and
auto editor if those windows are open also. This is a problem
at mixdown when deleting a loser mix.

Good luck,
Tom

"Kim W." <no@way.com> wrote in message news:44e9beb5\$1@linux...

Hi, all.=20
I have a friend who has a dodgy c-16.
I have always used "0 + Enter", however this doesn't work for him.
He is running classic c-16 mode.
Thanks.
Kim

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?

<http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>

-----=_NextPart_000_003C_01C6C513.0CBE81E0

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

```
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Kim,</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Alt Backspace.&nbsp; But it will undo =
your last=20
edit in the editor and</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>auto editor if those windows are open =
also.&nbsp;=20
This is a problem</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>at mixdown when deleting a loser =
mix.</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Good luck,</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Tom</FONT></DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
```

<DIV>"Kim W." <no@way.com> =
wrote in=20
message <A=20
=
href=3D"news:44e9beb5\$1@linux">news:44e9beb5\$1@linux...</DIV>
Hi, =
all.=20

I have a friend who has a dodgy c-16.
I have always used "0 + =
Enter",=20
however this doesn't work for him.
He is running classic c-16=20
mode.
Thanks.
Kim</BLOCKQUOTE>
<DIV>

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, =
and=20
you?
<A=20
href=3D"http://www.polesof

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..IT SEEMS NOT! (yet..)
Posted by [Kim W](#) on Wed, 12 Jul 2006 11:21:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

right to claim any faith of any kind in public.
>
>You've extrapolated your own perception of the election after the fact to
>make your argument.
>>
>> Did not Jesus say the following:
>>
>
>Yes, and it is applicable all the time, but I don't see your point of
>quoting it in the context of this discussion, other than to backup my point.
>>
>> Blessed are you when men reproach you, and
>> persecute you, and speaking falsely, say all manner of evil against you,
>> for my sake.
>
>This just proves my actual point in my last post if you read it more
>carefully, fwiw.
>>
>> That's what I know and believe. You can continue to believe is this
false
>> bravado you call Patriotism and love by it.
>
>Patriotism isn't bravado - only a flag-burning, moral relativistic mentality
>degrades it to that state. I don't doubt you believe these verses, as I
do,
>but if you, or anyone else truly wanted to live the last verse you quoted
>and all of the others, they would feel the need to make the accusations
and

>claims you have made in calling our government killers and saying you would
>rather support terrorists.

>>

>> As for me and my family, we will continue to ride in our mini vans, and
drink

>> slurpees while heading down to Costcos..

>>

>

>So then, why bring up auto worker unions and gun rights as part of your
>argument against Christians and citizens that *don't* make it a habit of
>slandering the President and our government just to appease our
>frustrations, whatever they may be?

>

>I don't follow your reasoning. Reread my post. It wasn't the blind retort
>to your post you might think, though I was refuting several points of your
>argument. It was a broader perspective on the state of our country's
>values, which I will continually assert is a source and symptom of the
>*real* problem - one that is independent of who is in office or what war
we

>may be fighting - and one that is evident in the arguments I see time and
>time again. You claim my reference to patriotism is bravado, or perhaps
you

>think patriotism is nothing but bravado, but my post was in no way waving
a

>flag of patriotism for patriotism's sake, but rather a reference to the
loss

>of patriotism as it should be. You made an incorrect assumption.

>

>Dedric

>Don,I think too often the gear gets in the way alot these days.

For example I was bummed when someone bought the Adam S3As today
on Gearslutz that I had been obsessing about for the last couple
of days.Now did I really want to spend 4 Gs on monitors no,but I
almost did.

I often catch myself spending more time on forums and FS areas
when I should be practicing.All the gear can definitely be a
distraction!

Nice article.

Pete

"DC" <dc@spammersinguitarcenter.org> wrote:

>

>There is a new piece up on my guitar blog.

>

><http://dcguitarjournal.blogspot.com/>

>

>It's called
>
>Stop Obsessing and Play Some Music
>
>Take a look if you can.
>
>DC
>
>Nappy, I hope you get a great donor and it all goes flawlessly!

Mark, best wishes to you and your wife as you face this challenge together! Your strength will be a big help to her as you research and choose your best options. From my Mom's experience, always get a second and even third opinion, the cancer treatment field is changing rapidly for the better. Be very aware of the possible side effects for all options, they don't always make that information completely clear.

Cheers,
-Jamie
<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

Nappy wrote:

> Mark,
> I hope all goes well,I know how much your wife means to you.
> Hold on!
>
> respect
> Nappy
>
>
> "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>> You're hanging tough bro. Excellent! I hope and pray everything goes
>> better than anticipated. Side note: my wife received a phone call today
>
>> following and MRI yesterday for a recent neck injury (totalled one of our
>
>> cars). Doc says he sees cancer on her thyroid gland. Meeting and
>> discussions to be set for Monday of this coming week. Not what I wanna
>
>> hear. She's badly shaken by the news. I'm just kinda stuck emotionally
>
>> until I learn more and we know what we're looking at. At this point, we
>
>> can't both cave in... somebody's gotta hold the line.

>>
>> W.
>>
>> "Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e694e9\$1@linux...
>>> Dubya,
>>> I've been taking care of myself in hope of being put on the kidney
>>> transplant
>>> list.
>>> It should happen this month! I have a few people interested in giving
> me
>>> a Kidney,
>>> but they have to go through a big exam to see if they are a good match.
>
>>> This
>>> can't
>>> happen until I'm put on the list. If all goes well I could have a
>>> transplant
>>> by
>>> early 2007!
>>>
>>> respect
>>> Nappy
>>>
>>> "Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:
>>>> NAPPY !!!! He's baaaaa-aaaaack!!!!
>>>> Dubsie
>>>>
>>>> "Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:44e66252\$1@linux...
>>>>> [http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+change](http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023&q=loose+change)
>>>>>
>>
>Thanks Pete.

DC

"Pete Ruthenburg" <ruthenburg@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>
>Don,I think too often the gear gets in the way alot these days.
>For example I was bummed when someone bought the Adam S3As today
>on GearsLutz that I had been obsessing about for the last couple
>of days.Now did I really want to spend 4 Gs on monitors no,but I
>almost did.
> I often catch myself spending more time on forums and FS areas
>when I should be practicing.All the gear can definitely be a
>distraction!
> Nice article.
>

>Pete
>
>
>"DC" <dc@spammersinguitarcenter.org> wrote:
>>
>>There is a new piece up on my guitar blog.
>>
>><http://dcguitarjournal.blogspot.com/>
>>
>>It's called
>>
>>Stop Obsessing and Play Some Music
>>
>>Take a look if you can.
>>
>>DC
>>
>>
>Do you mean a DM 3200? Will it interface at least 4 spdif (or AES) pair and give me 48 ADAT I/O? (I'll need the outputs if I'm summing in Paris-though I guess I could sum stems) How will this solve my UAD-1 vs hardware issues? The closest thing to having this capability seems to be the Mackie DXB which will host a pair of UAD-1's. I really have no problem using Paris as the mixer and dealing with the AD/DA conversion latency for outboard gear. I'm just spoiled rotten by having so many high end processors. those UAD-1 Neve EQ's are the holy grail, IMO. I can see why the hardware versions are so expensive. I've never really cared that much about strapping an EQ across a track just for the sound of the circuit, until I started using these.

"EK Sound" <askme@nospam.com> wrote in message news:44ea1acd\$1@linux...

> You really need to look at a DM2K... ;-)
>
> David.
>
> DJ wrote:
> > Well.....I've figured out how I can use my outboard reverb/delay
> > processors simultaneously across multiple auxes on multiple submixes. It
> > would involve using one of these *per MEC*
> >
> > <http://www.rme-audio.com/english/adi/adi4dd.htm>
> > interfaced with an ADAT card *per MEC* would give me 8 x stereo auxes by
> > patching each processor out>in>out>in.>out> and back to the processor
input.
> >
> > Now if I could just get zero latency with UAD processors in Paris my
life

> > would be perfect. I figure that I could lose the UAD-1 cards and the
> > parallel Cubase mixdown rig altogether if I had, in addition to my
current
> > rack gear, 6 x Pultecs, a Neve prism rack full of 1073's, 2 LA-2A's, 4 x
> > 1176's and a pair of La-3A's for mixdowns.
> >
> > Hmmm.....well.....that Cubase workazround is looking pretty good
> > again.....at least until I win the lotto or LaMont and I can agree on
> > something.....both scenarios seemingly having about the same odds..
> >
> > ;o)
> >
> >
> > "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.org> wrote in message news:44ea0659\$1@linux...
> >
> >>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>That sounds like the same kind of cease-fire that Israelis &
> >>>Hezbollah are having right now.
> >>>
> >>>Neil
> >>
> >>
> >>So who uses Paris and who uses ProTools?
> >>
> >>I say the Israeli's use Paris and the Hizballers use ProTools or
> >>at lest Nuendo...
> >>
> >>heh heh
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >Don't forget about the Mac vs. PC thing. That's always good for a few
laughs!

Tony

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:44ea05b1\$1@linux...
>
> "DC" <dc@spammersinvenezuela.org> wrote:
>
>>Really folks, this is pointless. C'mon LaMont, call Bush a few more
>>names and declare victory and let's be done with it.
>
> That sounds like the same kind of cease-fire that Israelis &
> Hezbollah are having right now.

>
> Neil
>>
>>DC
>>
>"Mark McDermott" <mark@stateofwail.com> wrote:
>
>At least with the current idiot I never have to turn off the evening news
>in front of my kids because of the DNA and BJs.
>
>;)
>
>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>
>>Oh No.. This biggest dumb -ass idiot is our current president for sure.
>He's
>>an embarrassment to this nation..
>>
>>
>>
>>"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>The reason I'm so disappointed in Clinton is that during his whole
>>>administration, I was wanting him to do the things he needed to
>>>do.....and I watched in fcinated horror as he fucked up again and again..
>>>Even an insignificant, regular old citizen like me could see what needed
>>to
>>>be done and he couldn't????.....and I was right!!!!. It just blows
>>me
>>>away. I wanted to believe that I was missing something and that this Rhoades
>>>scholar superdude/great national hope knew more than I did. Well, he turned
>>>out to be the bitggest dumbass we've ever elected and his oversights brought
>>>us to 911.
>>>
>>>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e967d0\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> Clinton Clinton Clinton.. It seems DJ that you has now swallow the
>>>>"Kool-Aid"
>>>> that the Neo-con right has given you.
>>>> Look, liberals are not dumb or stupid. They are real passionate Americans.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Before I state my rebuttals to your Clinton Clinton Clinton rhetoric,
>>let
>>>> me just say this to your how can Dems be so dumb.
>>>>
>>>> Do you really think that if we are attacked , that fellow so-called
>>>liberals
>>>> would not fight??

>>>>
>>>> To decent is not American?
>>>> Look DJ, the Bush(ites) are war mongers. They had their plan is place
>>well
>>>> before they took office. They just need some kind of reason to sell
to
>>the
>>>> US public. 911 and then WMDS..
>>>>
>>>> The problem today is , do we have enough service men available for your
>>>WW3
>>>> war effort? A draft is eminent, But, not exactly politically wise or
>>>popular
>>>> with the way the Iraq thing went. hey can't sell a war if the previous
>>>engagements
>>>> haven't worked out..
>>>>
>>>> So, what will the Bush(ites) do...hummm..Let's see since recruitment
>is
>>>way
>>>> way down(toilet), the US need fresh recruits
>>>> to re-vamp the forces for 1)ground attacks and home-land security, and
>>>middle-east
>>>> occupation.....
>>>>
>>>> Well, the poor & middle class whites are not showing up at the local
>>>recruiting
>>>> centers like they did in post 911...And it seems, that the African
>>>Americans
>>>> don't want any part of these wars what so ever...(hey, they're busy
in
>>the
>>>> prisons)providing big business cheap telemarketing services..(true)..The
>>>> American Hispanics too, have dropped off the recruiting radar.. So,
where
>>>> oh where are they going to get those solders ...Humm
>>>>
>>>> I think the answer lies with our new "Border Crossers" aka, new Americans
>>>> for hire.. And they will make up a good portion of the new American
Armed
>>>> forces.
>>>> Of course to sell them on the idea of joining, they will be told that
>>thew
>>>> will be given full citizenship with out question, enjoy the same rights
>>>that
>>>> all Americans do, and be excepted like any other American citizen..
Well,
>>>> it(assurances) worked for my people for every war the US government

has
 >>>ever
 >>>> fought while on this continent..So, it should work for our new friends.
 >>>>
 >>>> Only this time, I hope that our Government lives up to it's promises
 to
 >>>them,
 >>>> unlike they did my people and the indigenous people of this country..
 >>>>
 >>>> Back to your points: (Which we all expelled from Michael Savages's
 >>>Liberal(ism)
 >>>> is a mental disorder). That man, is one scared man.. very fearful and
 >>is
 >>>> a raging lunatic.What's his lines"Borders, Languages, and culture. Man,
 >>>what
 >>>> a lunatic, however, very entertaining..
 >>>>
 >>>> Dj, do we agree that the Bush(ites) want permanant bases in the middle
 >>>east?
 >>>> Okay? Do you think that the people of the middle east will stand for
 >>>permanant
 >>>> US bases there? Do you think, that we should pull the troops out and
 >nuke
 >>>> the entire region???
 >>>>
 >>>> See, the latter (Nuke) is what the Dems has done. Your so called Prsident
 >>>> and any other Republican love war for war sake.. The Dems, ended the
 >WW2
 >>>> (in the PAcific)..BOooooommmmm. Weak, are they..??? I'll let that record
 >>>> stand..
 >>>>
 >>>>
 >>>>
 >>>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
 >>>> >LaMont (sigh.....)
 >>>> >
 >>>> >I've got a few thoughts below:
 >>>> >
 >>>> >"LaMont" <lad@american.com> wrote in message news:44e889dc\$1@linux...
 >>>> >>
 >>>> >> "If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready
 >>to
 >>>> >fight.
 >>>> >> Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a regime
 >>>> >change
 >>>> >> here."
 >>>> >>
 >>>> >> The only we should be ready to face is the (Bush-ites) neo-cons aka

>>the
 >>>> >present
 >>>> >> rulers of our government. They are the ones who are taking away our
 >>>> >liberties,freedom
 >>>> >> under the guise of democracy.
 >>>> >>
 >>>> >
 >>>> >Did you read any part of the link I posted? If so, are you dismissing
 >>it
 >>>> >completely?
 >>>> >
 >>>> >> It's really ashame that the 33-36 percent of you who still believe
 >>i
 >>>>this
 >>>> >> regime, are still drinking the kool-aid that Tony Snow spills. It's
 >>soo
 >>>> >un-beliveable
 >>>> >> what they spew out these days to make their case. Now, it's become
 >>>> >laughable.
 >>>> >> Pretty pathetic that they continue to play the so called "RED-States
 >>>fear
 >>>> >> rhetoric to get support for thier imperialistic movement.
 >>>> >
 >>>> >Nobody wants war. Bin Ladin stated very publicly that the reason he
 >>>thought
 >>>> >he could win this war was because America no longer had the stomach
 >for
 >>>> war
 >>>> >and that we were weak. He's right, apparently. Just look at the polls.
 >>>> >Clinton would have surrendered by now. Bush, no way, and that's one
 >of
 >>>the
 >>>> >few things I like about him.
 >>>> >
 >>>> >>
 >>>> >> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent. That
 >>>says
 >>>> >> that most of the folks who voted for him does not suppport him or
 >that
 >>>> >"sham"
 >>>> >> Iraq war.
 >>>> >
 >>>> >The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was still
 >>>going
 >>>> >strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.
 >>>> >>
 >>>> >> What's even funny is that folkd like yourself willing to die for
 this

>>>> sham
>>>> >> of a cause, when the President himself and other Elites, will never
>>>take
>>>> >> up arms. Nor will their families allow them to. See, that's what
poor
>>>> >folks
>>>> >> are for. They take up arms for the rich. Hey, I don't blame Bush
for
>>>> >weas

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..IT SEEMS NOT! (yet..)
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Wed, 12 Jul 2006 14:14:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
>>>> >18 of our soldiers were killed which was one of the main reasons Bin
>>>Laden
>>>> >came to the conclusion that we were weak and would not fight. He, along
>>>> with
>>>> >Sen. Dennis DeConcini, Arizona Democrat, blocked the hiring of additional
>>>> >Arabic translators. Janet Reno's 1995 edicts regarding cooperation
>>>between
>>>> >domestic intelligence agencies essentially hamstrung them and rendered
>>>them
>>>> >completely ineffective. I could go on and on..... A good intelligence
>>>> >apparatus takes years to build but can be destroyed fairly quickly
by
>>an
>>>> >idiot like Clinton.
>>>> >
>>>> >The deaths from the sanctions in Iraq was one of the main reasons Osama
>>>> used
>>>> >for recruitment and "rationalizing" 911. Clinton was relying on the
>same
>>>> >intelligence Bush was. Notice that when Congress was voting to authorize
>>>> war
>>>> >on Iraq, Bill Clinton did not state in any way, that there were no
WMD,
>>>> even
>>>> >though it now turns out that if they were destroyed, it was in the
early
>>>> >1990's.
>>>> >
>>>> >The democrats are again relying on polls and there is no doubt in my
>>mind
>>>> >that if Harry Reid, Nancy Pelosi, Dennis Kucinich and there ilk ascend
>>to

>>>> >power in the Congress, it will be a disaster because they cannot
>>>reconcile
>>>> >the fact that though 911 was not directly sponsored by Iraq, Iraq under
>>>> >Sadaam was still a huge part of the picture.....made moreso by
>>the
>>>> >crappy intelligence apparatus that was the Clinton legacy..
>>>> >
>>>> >Though there is little evidence Saddam was behind 911, What there is,
>>is
>>>> >evidence that the sanctions were killing a lot of Iraqis and that
>>>sanctions
>>>> >were going to have to be lifted. Then would we be safe? Does anyone
>think
>>>> >that if sanctions were lifted on Saddam (which they would have to be,
>>>since
>>>> >there were no WMD), that Saddam today would not be going nuclear like
>>>Iran?
>>>> >If the lack of WMD was known in 2003, *our allies* would have placed
>>>major
>>>> >pressure to lift sanctions. And if sanctions were lifted, Saddam would
>>be
>>>> a
>>>> >great danger. Do you think for one minute that Sadaam, seeing Iran
going
>>>> >nuclear, would not want to go there himself.....again.....with
>great
>>>> >haste? If the sanctions were properly enforced, a lot of people would
>>>not
>>>> >have died but no one was protesting while people were dying then. It
>>>> >wouldn't look good in the polls.
>>>> >
>>>> >Now LaMont, you seem to think that somehow if we just appease these
>>>facists,
>>>> >they will leave us alone and everyone would just be our buddy while
>>>totally
>>>> >ignoring the 8 years of unmitigated disaster that *was* the Clinton
>>>> >administration. (and don't call me a ****in neo-con....I voted for
>him
>>>> too)
>>>> >
>>>> >To be a *real* leader Clinton would have immediately recoginzed that
>>the
>>>> >entire planet was at a crucial place in the history. He could have
>>>> >instituted a policy of energy independence while we were in a relatively
>>>> >secure position. It wouldn't have been popular because he wanted to
>>>balance
>>>> >the budget (a fleeting PR move on paper that weakened our security
in

>>>many
>>>> >ways). He could have exercised some *real* leadership rather than making
>>>> >excuses for the Europeans when they were quite willing to allow yet
>>>>another
>>>> >genocide in Kosovo while dealing us under the table with the sanctions
>>in
>>>> >Iraq.
>>>> >
>>>> >Had we had any decent intelligence, we might not have had the debacle
>>in
>>>> >Somalia, but oh no.....can't deal with unsavory characters now can
>>we?
>>>> The
>>>> >polls wouldn't support this.....and finally.....Mr. Bill
>>>>abrogated
>>>> >his responsibility as a world leader (his great ambition, right) by
>>not
>>>> >calling the UN to account for ignoring the most inexcusable, racist
>>and
>>>> >*unhumanitarian* act since the Holocaust by ignoring Rwanda completely
>>>>and
>>>> >then offering up a teary eyed *excused* that he didn't know what was
>>>> >happening. It was his job to know. If he did know, he's criminally
>>>> >negligent. If he didn't know, he's criminally negligent. This failure
>>of
>>>> >leadership is inexcusable and smacks of extreme racism..
>>>> >
>>>> >Yeah.....let's let the liberals run the show again. I can't
>>>> >wait.....and before you pop off and start calling me a neo-con,
>>be
>>>> >advised that I'm very much pro choice, in favor of population control
>>and
>>>> >think that organized religion has created a *rat breeding* mentality
>>that
>>>> >threatens the very existence of the human race, believe that global
>>>warming
>>>> >is very real and that we need to take action immediately or it's going
>>to
>>>> be
>>>> >too late, am a borderline nut case when it comes to animal rights,
hate
>>>> war,
>>>> >believe that immigration reform should be a humane process, believe
>>in
>>>> >government funded stem cell research. I'm a bit of a secularist , but
>>I
>>>> >think it's totally unrealistic to ignore the fact that this is a country
>>>> >wherein the vast majority of people profess to practice, or at least

>>>believe
>>>> >in some sort of religious philosophy.....the majority being various
>>>sects
>>>> >of Christianity. I also agree that some are extremists (not just the
>>>> >Christians, IMO) but I also keep in mind that the majority has rights
>>as
>>>> >well as the minority. It think that given this situation it would be
>>>better
>>>> >to allow free expression of *all* religions and *no religions* within
>>>> >certain contextual guidelines in an effort to foster open dialogue
and
>>>> >understanding between the religions and atheists/agnostics in an effort
>>>> to
>>>> >point out their many similarities in thinking/philosophy rather than
>>>> >segregating this to the respective religious centers. It's not going
>>to
>>>> go
>>>> >away and when segregated away from scrutiny, any idea can become corrupt.
>>>> I
>>>> >think if this could happen, the obvious commonalities might tend to
>>>diffuse
>>>> >the agruments of the intolerant *armageddonists*. One thing that I
firmly
>>>> >believe is that if a group of people want something to happen bad enough,
>>>> >they will find a way to make it happen. This is particularly scary
when
>>>> you
>>>> >look at the *end of the world cults* who base their perception of world
>>>> >events on the inevitability of their Biblical interpretation of
>>>Revelations
>>>> >and of the jihadists whowell.....no point in arguing the right
>>>> and
>>>> >wrong of this cause ad nauseum. .
>>>> >
>>>> >I also believe that the left in this country has been criminally stupid
>>>> to
>>>> >the point of treason with their anti-war antics and that if they are
>>>brought
>>>> >to power in the next round of elections, they will think that appeasing
>>>> >terrorism will keep them safe. The terrorists, OTOH, will exploit the
>>>> >weakensses in our system that the left has created and/or allowed to
>>>fester
>>>> >and will use them against all of us. As I said before, the
>>>leftist/liberal
>>>> >agenda is a total anathema to radical Islam. I really don't think you
>>pay
>>>> >much attention to the real agenda.
>>>> >

>>>> >There is so much more to the entire scenario than 9-11, yet it's
>>>convenient
>>>> >for those who want to try to undermine the administration politically,
>>to
>>>> >take up the mantra that if it doesn't apply directly to 9-11, then
it's
>>>> not
>>>> >part of the overall problem. It's short-sighted and that's what scares
>>me
>>>> >about the anti-war faction. They seem to think that if we just don't
>>>> >confront the jihadinazis, that they will leave us alone. I'm all for
>>>freedom
>>>> >of speech and free debate, but we've got some hard choices to make
right
>>>> now
>>>> >and I'm in favor of having a country left in which it is possible to
>>>debate,
>>>> >rather than a nazi state. You equate the Bush administration with
>>>> >facism????.....they are fighting facism amigio. Sometimes it's ugly.
>>>the
>>>> >freedoms we enjoy right now are unbelievable compared to what we were
>>>> >willing to forego in WWII. The enemy we face is much more dangerous
>and
>>>> can
>>>> >bring the world to an end with just a few bombs. Appeasing them will
>>not
>>>> >take their finger off the trigger if they get those bombs.
>>>> >When I see videos of these guys goosestepping through the streets it
>>>makes
>>>> >me wonder how we can forget so quickly how an ideology and failure
to
>>>> >confront it can bring about a world war.
>>>> >
>>>> >I often wonder how my friends on the left can be so ignorant of the
>real
>>>> >issues involved here. I wonder how the following laws would go down
>in
>>a
>>>> >place like San Francisco, where pacifism is the philosophy du jour:
>>>> >
>>>> >PENALTIES imposed by Iran's religious mullahs include:
>>>> >
>>>> >THEFT: Amputation of hands or feet for persistent offenders.
>>>> >
>>>> >ADULTERY: Death by stoning.
>>>> >
>>>> >UNMARRIED SEX: 100 lashes.
>>>> >

>>>> >CONVERSION TO RELIGION OTHER THAN ISLAM: Death.
>>>> >
>>>> >SODOMY: Death for adults, 74 lashes for consenting child.
>>>> >
>>>> >LESBIANISM: 100 lashes, or on the fourth occasion death.
>>>> >
>>>> >HOMOSEXUAL KISS: 60 lashes.
>>>> >
>>>> >RUBBING ANOTHER MAN'S THIGHS OR BUTTOCKS: 99 lashes - on 4th occasion,
>>>> >death.
>>>> >
>>>> >I would like to be a democrat again. I used to be one and felt pretty
>>>> >comfortable with the liberal agenda when this country had the luzxxury
>>>> >of
>>>> >debating these things. These days I wonder how the liberals in this
>>>> >country
>>>> >can be so blind as dismiss what lies in store for them as they savage
>>>> >an
>>>> >administration that has the balls to stand up and fight for
>>>> >them.....something their own leaders are seemingly incapable of doing.
>>>> >
>>>> >Regards,
>>>> >
>>>> >DJ
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >>
>>>> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>> >> >I hate the idea of war as much as you do. I have actually thought
>>>> >> >long
>>>> >> >and
>>>> >> >hard about going over there myself. If there was something I could
>>>> >> >do
>>>> >> >other
>>>> >> >than get in the way. and I didn't have a family to support, I might
>>>> >> >check
>>>> >> >with the military to see if there's a *geezers battalion*. I don't
>>>> >> >have
>>>> >> >any
>>>> >> >formal military training, but I know how to handle firearms, and
>>>> >> >I'm
>>>> >> >deadly
>>>> >> >accurate.
>>>> >> >It would piss off my wife to no end and I'm 56 and 'purtnear used
>>>> >> >up
>>>> >> >so

>>>> >> I
>>>> >> >don't know how well this old body would handle the rigours of
>>>> battlefield
>>>> >> >combat and the heat, but I'll guarantee you that I would have no
>>>> problem
>>>> >> at
>>>> >> >all if my son or daughter joined a volunteer army and that army
was
>>>> >called
>>>> >> >on to fight, I would support them 100%.
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready
>>to
>>>> >fight.
>>>> >> >Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a
regime
>>>> >> >change here. The politics of the left are much more abhorrent to
>them
>>>> >than
>>>> >> >those of the right. They are, however, quite happy to allow the
left
>>>> to
>>>> >> do
>>>> >> >their political infighting for them here. The weaker and less resolved
>>>> we
>>>> >> >are the better they like it.
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >Here's what you're in for amigo:
>>>> >> > <http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=54> 26
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >The human rights section and the women's section is especially
>>>wonderful.
>>>> >> >Enjoy.
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >Regards,
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >DJ
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >"Lamont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message
>>>news:44e8083f\$1@linux...
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> >> Hopefully the opposing party, they would stand for our
>>>constitutional
>>>> >> >rights
>>>> >> >> and it's Liberties..

>>>> >> >>
 >>>> >> >> Even more, the opposing party would not be thrill seeking war
 >>>> mongers,
 >>>> >> >who's
 >>>> >> >> only agenda is to spread freedom thru out he world (ha hah). I
 >would
 >>>> >hope
 >>>> >> >> any opposing party will grow some balls and speak out against
 this
 >>>> >> >tierney.
 >>>> >> >> There are just as many Democrats who signed on with the Bush(is)..
 >>>> But
 >>>> >> >> as we saw with the beginning of the mid term primaries, those
 >>>> Democrats
 >>>> >> who
 >>>> >> >> were with the Bush(ites) went down with ship.
 >>>> >> >>
 >>>> >> >> Evenmore.The thought of spreading democracy into a region were
 >war
 >>>> is
 >>>> >> >second
 >>>> >> >> nature for over 10,000 years is laughable at best. Those fold
 over
 >>>> >there(
 >>>> >> >> Iran, Iraq,Saudi Arabia, Syria, afghanistan,) will Never stop
 >>>> fighting
 >>>> >> or
 >>>> >> >> give in to so called democracy..Never.
 >>>> >> >> Will you send you son or daughter over to fight??
 >>>> >> >> I thought so..
 >>>> >> >>
 >>>> >> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
 >>>> >> >> >These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
 >>>> >> >> >terrorist..Why??
 >>>> >> >> >At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
 >>>> >> >> >Goverment.aka
 >>>> >> >> >Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me
 >and
 >>>> the
 >>>> >> >good
 >>>> >> >> >of this union.
 >>>> >> >> >
 >>>> >> >> >.....and if there was an election today, what would the
 >>>> opposing
 >>>> >> >party
 >>>> >> >> >stand for Lamont?
 >>>> >> >> >
 >>>> >> >> >"Lamont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message

>>>> >news:44e79af9\$1@linux...
>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >> Steve, that link you posted does not make a good enough argument
>>>> to
>>>> >> >debut
>>>> >> >> >> the "Loose Change movie (documentary).
>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >> I'm not a conspiracist, but I've never believed the US government
>>>> >> >stories
>>>> >> >> >> on 911. IMHO, we are dealing with a some really power hungry,
>>>> >sadistic,
>>>> >> >> >neoconservatives-conservatives
>>>> >> >> >> in the white house and his fellow neo cons.
>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >> They are evil to the core. And have, dragged this nation down
>>to
>>>> the
>>>> >> >> >pits!!!..
>>>> >> >> >> It's really a shame that we 2 years left with these evil
>>>>neo-cons.
>>>> >> They
>>>> >> >> are
>>>> >> >> >> as anti American as the as the so-called terrorist. Impeachment
>>>> >> >hearings
>>>> >> >> >> should start immediately.!
>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >> And to think, we let them Impeach Clinton.. Now, with our civil
>>>> >> >liberties
>>>> >> >> >> being taken from us, a Patriot act which gives the Gov and
police
>>>> >the
>>>> >> >> >right
>>>> >> >> >> to do anything they want. And a phony department names Department
>>>> of
>>>> >> >> >Homeland
>>>> >> >> >> security, which is a weak as 2 minute cornflakes all under
the
>>>>sham
>>>> >> of
>>>> >> >> >making
>>>> >> >> >> us "feel safe"!! Safe from what ?? The terrorist??or our
>>>> >Government???
>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >> These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
>>>> >> >terrorist..Why??
>>>> >> >> >> At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
>>>> >> >Goverment.aka
>>>> >> >> >> Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for

me
>>and
>>>> >the
>>>> >> >> good
>>>> >> >> >> of this union. Not, to make us feel threaten, unpatriotic,
>>>>fearful,
>>>> >> >> and
>>>> >> >> >> distrustful of it's workings.They are threatening is taking
>away
>>>> >> >> >"free-speech,
>>>> >> >> >> and most importantly, 'A right to decent!~!!" ..
>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >> I love the people of this Nation. For we are a great people!!!
>>>>But,
>>>> >> we
>>>> >> >> are
>>>> >> >> >> under the leadership of a wanna be dictator!!and his cronnie..
>>>> >> >Lastly,
>>>> >> >> >> most Americans feel 'Less Safe " now than 2002.. Wanna bet?
>Let's
>>>> >first
>>>> >> >> >ask
>>>> >> >> >> the good folks from New Orleans & Mississippi and Alabama ..
>>That
>>>>

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..IT SEEMS NOT! (yet..)
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Wed, 12 Jul 2006 18:22:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>> >showed
>>>> >> >> >> every American how our Government would respond if we were
>>>> >attacked..???
>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >> "Steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote:
>>>> >> >> >> >
>>>> >> >> >> >"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
>>>> >> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >>
>>>>
>>>>>>>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+cha
>>>n
>>>> >g

>>
>>
>>
>>"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>The reason I'm so disappointed in Clinton is that during his whole
>>>administration, I was wanting him to do the things he needed to
>>>do.....and I watched in fcinated horror as he fucked up again and again..
>>>Even an insignificant, regular old citizen like me could see what needed
>>to
>>>be done and he couldn't????.....and I was right!!!!. It just blows
>>me
>>>away. I wanted to believe that I was missing something and that this Rhoades
>>>scholar superdude/great national hope knew more than I did. Well, he turned
>>>out to be the bitggest dumbass we've ever elected and his oversights brought
>>>us to 911.
>>>
>>>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e967d0\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> Clinton Clinton Clinton.. It seems DJ that you has now swallow the
>>>>"Kool-Aid"
>>>> that the Neo-con right has given you.
>>>> Look, liberals are not dumb or stupid. They are real passionate Americans.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Before I state my rebuttals to your Clinton Clinton Clinton rhetoric,
>>let
>>>> me just say this to your how can Dems be so dumb.
>>>>
>>>> Do you really think that if we are attacked , that fellow so-called
>>>liberals
>>>> would not fight??
>>>>
>>>> To decent is not American?
>>>> Look DJ, the Bush(ites) are war mongers. They had their plan is place
>>well
>>>> before they took office. They just need some kind of reason to sell
to
>>the
>>>> US public. 911 and then WMDS..
>>>>
>>>> The problem today is , do we have enough service men available for your
>>>WW3
>>>> war effort? A draft is eminent, But, not exactly politically wise or
>>>popular
>>>> with the way the Iraq thing went. hey can't sell a war if the previous
>>>engagements
>>>> haven't worked out..
>>>>

>>>> So, what will the Bush(ites) do...hummm..Let's see since recruitment
 >is
 >>>way
 >>>> way down(toilet), the US need fresh recruits
 >>>> to re-vamp the forces for 1)ground attacks and home-land security, and
 >>>middle-east
 >>>> occupation.....
 >>>>
 >>>> Well, the poor & middle class whites are not showing up at the local
 >>>recruiting
 >>>> centers like they did in post 911...And it seems, that the African
 >>>Americans
 >>>> don't want any part of these wars what so ever...(hey, they're busy
 in
 >>the
 >>>> prisons)providing big business cheap telemarketing services..(true)..The
 >>>> American Hispanics too, have dropped off the recruiting radar.. So,
 where
 >>>> oh where are they going to get those solders ...Humm
 >>>>
 >>>> I think the answer lies with our new "Border Crossers" aka, new Americans
 >>>> for hire.. And they will make up a good portion of the new American
 Armed
 >>>> forces.
 >>>> Of course to sell them on the idea of joining, they will be told that
 >>thew
 >>>> will be given full citizenship with out question, enjoy the same rights
 >>>that
 >>>> all Americans do, and be excepted like any other American citizen..
 Well,
 >>>> it(assurances) worked for my people for every war the US government
 has
 >>>ever
 >>>> fought while on this continent..So, it should work for our new friends.
 >>>>
 >>>> Only this time, I hope that our Government lives up to it's promises
 to
 >>>them,
 >>>> unlike they did my people and the indigenous people of this country..
 >>>>
 >>>> Back to your points: (Which we all expelled from Michael Savages's
 >>>Liberal(ism)
 >>>> is a mental dissorder). That man, is one scared man.. very fearful and
 >>is
 >>>> a raging lunatic.What's his lines"Borders, Languages, and culture. Man,
 >>>what
 >>>> a lunatic, however, very entertaining..
 >>>>

>>>> Dj, do we agree that the Bush(ites) want permanant bases in the middle
>>>east?
>>>> Okay? Do you think that the people of the middle east will stand for
>>>permanant
>>>> US bases there? Do you think, that we should pull the troops out and
>nuke
>>>> the entire region???

>>>>
>>>> See, the latter (Nuke) is what the Dems has done. Your so called Prsident
>>>> and any other Republican love war for war sake.. The Dems, ended the
>WW2
>>>> (in the PAcific)..BOooooommmmm. Weak, are they..??? I'll let that record
>>>> stand..
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>> >LaMont (sigh.....)
>>>> >
>>>> >I've got a few thoughts below:
>>>> >
>>>> >"LaMont" <lad@american.com> wrote in message news:44e889dc\$1@linux...
>>>> >>
>>>> >> "If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready
>>to
>>>> >fight.
>>>> >> Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a regime
>>>> >change
>>>> >> here."
>>>> >>
>>>> >> The only we should be ready to face is the (Bush-ites) neo-cons aka
>>the
>>>> >present
>>>> >> rulers of our government. They are the ones who are taking away our
>>>> >liberties,freedom
>>>> >> under the guise of democracy.
>>>> >>
>>>> >
>>>> >Did you read any part of the link I posted? If so, are you dismissing
>>it
>>>> >completely?
>>>> >
>>>> >> It's really ashame that the 33-36 percent of you who still believe
>>i
>>>>this
>>>> >> regime, are still drinking the kool-iad that Tony Snow spills. It's
>>soo
>>>> >un-beliveable

>>>> >> what they spew out these days to make their case. Now, it's become
>>>> >laughable.
>>>> >> Pretty pathetic that they continue to play the so called "RED-States
>>>> >fear
>>>> >> rhetoric to get support for thier imperialistic movement.
>>>> >
>>>> >Nobody wants war. Bin Ladin stated very publicly that the reason he
>>>> >thought
>>>> >he could win this war was because America no longer had the stomach
>>>> >for
>>>> >war
>>>> >and that we were weak. He's right, apparently. Just look at the polls.
>>>> >Clinton would have surrendered by now. Bush, no way, and that's one
>>>> >of
>>>> >the
>>>> >few things I like about him.
>>>> >
>>>> >>
>>>> >> The Bush(ite)popularity polls show them @ around 33-36 percent. That
>>>> >says
>>>> >> that most of the folks who voted for him does not support him or
>>>> >that
>>>> >"sham"
>>>> >> Iraq war.
>>>> >
>>>> >The *sham Iraq war was started by Sadaam Hussein in 1991 and was still
>>>> >going
>>>> >strong, aided by the UN, French and Russians when Bush took office.
>>>> >>
>>>> >> What's even funny is that folkd like yourself willing to die for
>>>> >this
>>>> >sham
>>>> >> of a cause, when the President himself and other Elites, will never
>>>> >take
>>>> >> up arms. Nor will their families allow them to. See, that's what
>>>> >poor
>>>> >folks
>>>> >> are for. They take up arms for the rich. Hey, I don't blame Bush
>>>> >for
>>>> >weasling
>>>> >> out the vietam war..That was a smart thing to do. But, I'd be damm
>>>> >if
>>>> >I'd
>>>> >> send or give my blessing to my sons for this most un-knoble cause.
>>>> >> LAD
>>>> >
>>>> >Hmmm.....well, how about your poster child for all that is good
>>>> >in

>>>the
>>>> >world, Bill Clinton? This argument is lame LaMont and you should know
>>>it.
>>>> >Let's look at how we got here, shall we? We're coming out of a war
that
>>>> has
>>>> >caused a glitch in the economy and Bush I has to raise taxes to pay
>for
>>>> it.
>>>> >Oher than that, it's roaring because of the Reagan tax cuts. We elect
>>>slick
>>>> >Willie because he talks a good game, there has been an end to the war,
>>>> >sanctions are in place and the world is in a relatively stable condition.
>>>> >The Soviet economic system has failed, capitalism is starting to remake
>>>> >poliotical thinking in China (just as it has in Viet Nam) and there
>are
>>>> some
>>>> >incredible changes and opportunities to be had in the world. There
were
>>>> >still lots of threats and apparatchiks who were basically gangsters
>who
>>>> >would sell their mother for money and the nuclear stores in Russia
were
>>>> >controlled by these guys. We needed an intelligence service to keep
>us
>>up
>>>> to
>>>> >speed on a rapidly changing world.
>>>> >
>>>> >Now what would a *real*leader do? Would he live by opinion polls and
>>>create
>>>> >a personality cult or would he obey his oath of office and protect
this
>>>> >country?
>>>> >
>>>> >Well, the first thing he does is betray this country by instituting
>a
>>new
>>>> >policy at the CIA that severly limited the interaction of the CIA with
>>>> >anyone who could be considered an embarrassment to the administration
>>due
>>>> to
>>>> >their human rights history.That pretty much takes 90% of the folks
in
>>the
>>>> >middle east, China and Russia who might be associated with terrorism
>>and
>>>> >espionage off the table. Well, this kind of idealism is all fine and

>>good
>>>> in
>>>> >a perfect world, but totally unrealistic in the *real* world. By the
>>time
>>>> he
>>>> >left office we had lost all of our intelligence assets in the places
>>we
>>>> >needed them the most.....so throughout his administration, he spouts
>>>off
>>>> >about IRAQ having WMD's and periodically bombs here and there while
>>>turning
>>>> >a blind eye to the French, Russians and UN parasites who are doing
>>>> >everything possible to ensure that Sadaam can continue to sell them
>oil
>>>> >under the table while rearming his military at the expense of the very
>>>> >Iraqis that the sanctions were meant to protect.....but hell man,
>>the
>>>> >polls said that this was a good thing and so rather than take any kind
>>of
>>>> >unpopular position, he went with the polls. Well look where we are
now.
>>>> This
>>>> >is Clinton's doing, not Bush's. Bush just has the balls to do the right
>>>> >thing and doesn't live by the polls.
>>>> >
>>>> >Also, he botched the opportunity to have Bin Laden when Sudan offered
>>him
>>>> >up. He did nothing when the Cole was bombed. He withdrew from Somalia
>>>after
>>>> >18 of our soldiers were killed which was one of the main reasons Bin
>>>Laden
>>>> >came to the conclusion that we were weak and would not fight. He, along
>>>> with
>>>> >Sen. Dennis DeConcini, Arizona Democrat, blocked the hiring of additional
>>>> >Arabic translators. Janet Reno's 1995 edicts regarding cooperation
>>>between
>>>> >domestic intelligence agencies essentially hamstrung them and rendered
>>>them
>>>> >completely ineffective. I could go on and on..... A good intelligence
>>>> >apparatus takes years to build but can be destroyed fairly quickly
by
>>an
>>>> >idiot like Clinton.
>>>> >
>>>> >The deaths from the sanctions in Iraq was one of the main reasons Osama
>>>> used
>>>> >for recruitment and "rationalizing" 911. Clinton was relying on the
>same

>>>> >intelligence Bush was. Notice that when Congress was voting to authorize
>>>> war
>>>> >on Iraq, Bill Clinton did not state in any way, that there were no
WMD,
>>>> even
>>>> >though it now turns out that if they were destroyed, it was in the
early
>>>> >1990's.
>>>> >
>>>> >The democrats are again relying on polls and there is no doubt in my
>>mind
>>>> >that if Harry Reid, Nancy Pelosi, Dennis Kucinich and there ilk ascend
>>to
>>>> >power in the Congress, it will be a disaster because they cannot
>>>reconcile
>>>> >the fact that though 911 was not directly sponsored by Iraq, Iraq under
>>>> >Sadaam was still a huge part of the picture.....made moreso by
>>the
>>>> >crappy intelligence apparatus that was the Clinton legacy..
>>>> >
>>>> >Though there is little evidence Saddam was behind 911, What there is,
>>is
>>>> >evidence that the sanctions were killing a lot of Iraqis and that
>>>sanctions
>>>> >were going to have to be lifted. Then would we be safe? Does anyone
>>think
>>>> >that if sanctions were lifted on Saddam (which they would have to be,
>>>since
>>>> >there were no WMD), that Saddam today would not be going nuclear like
>>>Iran?
>>>> >If the lack of WMD was known in 2003, *our allies* would have placed
>>>major
>>>> >pressure to lift sanctions. And if sanctions were lifted, Saddam would
>>be
>>>> a
>>>> >great danger. Do you think for one minute that Sadaam, seeing Iran
going
>>>> >nuclear, would not want to go there himself.....again.....with
>>great
>>>> >haste? If the sanctions were properly enforced, a lot of people would
>>>not
>>>> >have died but no one was protesting while people were dying then. It
>>>> >wouldn't look good in the polls.
>>>> >
>>>> >Now LaMont, you seem to think that somehow if we just appease these
>>>facists,
>>>> >they will leave us alone and everyone would just be our buddy while
>>>totally

>>>> >ignoring the 8 years of unmitigated disaster that *was* the Clinton
>>>> >administration. (and don't call me a ****'in neo-con....I voted for
>him
>>>> too)
>>>> >
>>>> >To be a *real* leader Clinton would have immediately recognized that
>>the
>>>> >entire planet was at a crucial place in the history. He could have
>>>> >instituted a policy of energy independence while we were in a relatively
>>>> >secure position. It wouldn't have been popular because he wanted to
>>>balance
>>>> >the budget (a fleeting PR move on paper that weakened our security
>>in
>>>many
>>>> >ways). He could have exercised some *real* leadership rather than making
>>>> >excuses for the Europeans when they were quite willing to allow yet
>>>another
>>>> >genocide in Kosovo while dealing us under the table with the sanctions
>>in
>>>> >Iraq.
>>>> >
>>>> >Had we had any decent intelligence, we might not have had the debacle
>>in
>>>> >Somalia, but oh no.....can't deal with unsavory characters now can
>>we?
>>>> The
>>>> >polls wouldn't support this.....and finally.....Mr. Bill
>>>abrogated
>>>> >his responsibility as a world leader (his great ambition, right) by
>>not
>>>> >calling the UN to account for ignoring the most inexcusable, racist
>>and
>>>> >*unhumanitarian* act since the Holocaust by ignoring Rwanda completely
>>>and
>>>> >then offering up a teary eyed *excused* that he didn't know what was
>>>> >happening. It was his job to know. If he did know, he's criminally
>>>> >negligent. If he didn't know, he's criminally negligent. This failure
>>of
>>>> >leadership is inexcusable and smacks of extreme racism..
>>>> >
>>>> >Yeah.....let's let the liberals run the show again. I can't
>>>> >wait.....and before you pop off and start calling me a neo-con,
>>be
>>>> >advised that I'm very much pro choice, in favor of population control
>>and
>>>> >think that organized religion has created a *rat breeding* mentality
>>that
>>>> >threatens the very existence of the human race, believe that global

>>>warming
>>>> >is very real and that we need to take action immediately or it's going
>>to
>>>> be
>>>> >too late, am a borderline nut case when it comes to animal rights,
hate
>>>> war,
>>>> >believe that immigration reform should be a humane process, believe
>in
>>>> >government funded stem cell research. I'm a bit of a secularist , but
>>I
>>>> >think it's totally unrealistic to ignore the fact that this is a country
>>>> >wherein the vast majority of people profess to practice, or at least
>>>believe
>>>> >in some sort of religious philosophy.....the majority being various
>>>sects
>>>> >of Christianity. I also agree that some are extremists (not just the
>>>> >Christians, IMO) but I also keep in mind that the majority has rights
>>as
>>>> >well as the minority. It think that given this situation it would be
>>>better
>>>> >to allow free expression of *all* religions and *no religions* within
>>>> >certain contextual guidelines in an effort to foster open dialogue
and
>>>> >understanding between the religions and atheists/agnostics in an effort
>>>> to
>>>> >point out their many similarities in thinking/philosophy rather than
>>>> >segregating this to the respective religious centers. It's not going
>>to
>>>> go
>>>> >away and when segregated away from scrutiny, any idea can become corrupt.
>>>> I
>>>> >think if this could happen, the obvious commonalities might tend to
>>>diffuse
>>>> >the agruments of the intolerant *armageddonists*. One thing that I
firmly
>>>> >believe is that if a group of people want something to happen bad enough,
>>>> >they will find a way to make it happen. This is particularly scary
when
>>>> you
>>>> >look at the *end of the world cults* who base their perception of world
>>>> >events on the inevitability of their Biblical interpretation of
>>>Revelations
>>>> >and of the jihadists whowell.....no point in arguing the right
>>>> and
>>>> >wrong of this cause ad nauseum. .
>>>> >
>>>> >I also believe that the left in this country has been criminally stupid

>>>> to
>>>> >the point of treason with their anti-war antics and that if they are
>>>brought
>>>> >to power in the next round of elections, they will think that appeasing
>>>> >terrorism will keep them safe. The terrorists, OTOH, will exploit the
>>>> >weakensses in our system that the left has created and/or allowed to
>>>fester
>>>> >and will use them against all of us. As I said before, the
>>>leftist/liberal
>>>> &g

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..IT SEEMS NOT! (yet..)
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Wed, 12 Jul 2006 18:27:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;agenda is a total anathema to radical Islam. I really don't think you
>>pay
>>>> >much attention to the real agenda.
>>>> >
>>>> >There is so much more to the entire scenario than 9-11, yet it's
>>>convenient
>>>> >for those who want to try to undermine the administration politically,
>>to
>>>> >take up the mantra that if it doesn't apply directly to 9-11, then
it's
>>>> not
>>>> >part of the overall problem. It's short-sighted and that's what scares
>>me
>>>> >about the anti-war faction. They seem to think that if we just don't
>>>> >confront the jihinazis, that they will leave us alone. I'm all for
>>>freedom
>>>> >of speech and free debate, but we've got some hard choices to make
right
>>>> now
>>>> >and I'm in favor of having a country left in which it is possible to
>>>debate,
>>>> >rather than a nazi state. You equate the Bush administration with
>>>> >facism????.....they are fighting facism amigio. Sometimes it's ugly.
>>>the
>>>> >freedoms we enjoy right now are unbelievable compared to what we were
>>>> >willing to forego in WWII. The enemy we face is much more dangerous
>and
>>>> can
>>>> >bring the world to an end with just a few bombs. Appeasing them will
>>not
>>>> >take their finger off the trigger if they get those bombs.
>>>> >When I see videos of these guys goosestepping through the streets it

>>>makes
>>>> >me wonder how we can forget so quickly how an ideology and failure
>>>> to
>>>> >confront it can bring about a world war.
>>>> >
>>>> >I often wonder how my friends on the left can be so ignorant of the
>>>> >real
>>>> >issues involved here. I wonder how the following laws would go down
>>>> >in
>>>> >a
>>>> >place like San Francisco, where pacifism is the philosophy du jour:
>>>> >
>>>> >PENALTIES imposed by Iran's religious mullahs include:
>>>> >
>>>> >THEFT: Amputation of hands or feet for persistent offenders.
>>>> >
>>>> >ADULTERY: Death by stoning.
>>>> >
>>>> >UNMARRIED SEX: 100 lashes.
>>>> >
>>>> >CONVERSION TO RELIGION OTHER THAN ISLAM: Death.
>>>> >
>>>> >SODOMY: Death for adults, 74 lashes for consenting child.
>>>> >
>>>> >LESBIANISM: 100 lashes, or on the fourth occasion death.
>>>> >
>>>> >HOMOSEXUAL KISS: 60 lashes.
>>>> >
>>>> >RUBBING ANOTHER MAN'S THIGHS OR BUTTOCKS: 99 lashes - on 4th occasion,
>>>> >death.
>>>> >
>>>> >I would like to be a democrat again. I used to be one and felt pretty
>>>> >comfortable with the liberal agenda when this country had the luzxxury
>>>> >of
>>>> >debating these things. These days I wonder how the liberals in this
>>>> >country
>>>> >can be so blind as dismiss what lies in store for them as they savage
>>>> >an
>>>> >administration that has the balls to stand up and fight for
>>>> >them.....something their own leaders are seemingly incapable of doing.
>>>> >
>>>> >Regards,
>>>> >
>>>> >DJ
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >

>>>> >>
>>>> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>> >> >I hate the idea of war as much as you do. I have actually thought
>>long
>>>> >and
>>>> >> >hard about going over there myself. If there was something I could
>>do
>>>> >other
>>>> >> >than get in the way. and I didn't have a family to support, I might
>>>check
>>>> >> >with the military to see if there's a *geezers battalion*. I don't
>>>have
>>>> >> any
>>>> >> >formal military training, but I know how to handle firearms, and
>>I'm
>>>> >deadly
>>>> >> >accurate.
>>>> >> >It would piss off my wife to no end and I'm 56 and 'purtnear used
>>up
>>>> so
>>>> >> I
>>>> >> >don't know how well this old body would handle the rigours of
>>>battlefield
>>>> >> >combat and the heat, but I'll guarantee you that I would have no
>>>problem
>>>> >> at
>>>> >> >all if my son or daughter joined a volunteer army and that army
>>was
>>>> >called
>>>> >> >on to fight, I would support them 100%.
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >If the democratic party wins the election, they'd better be ready
>>to
>>>> >fight.
>>>> >> >Our enemies aren't going to stop attacking us just because of a
>>regime
>>>> >> >change here. The politics of the left are much more abhorrent to
>>them
>>>> >than
>>>> >> >those of the right. They are, however, quite happy to allow the
>>left
>>>> to
>>>> >> do
>>>> >> >their political infighting for them here. The weaker and less resolved
>>>> we
>>>> >> >are the better they like it.
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >Here's what you're in for amigo:

>>>> >> > <http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=54> 26
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >The human rights section and the women's section is especially
>>>wonderful.
>>>> >> >Enjoy.
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >Regards,
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >DJ
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >"Lamont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message
>>>news:44e8083f\$1@linux...
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> >> Hopefully the opposing party, they would stand for our
>>>constitutional
>>>> >> >rights
>>>> >> >> and it's Liberties..
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> >> Even more, the opposing party would not be thrill seeking war
>>>mongers,
>>>> >> >who's
>>>> >> >> only agenda is to spread freedom thru out he world (ha hah). I
>would
>>>> >hope
>>>> >> >> any opposing party will grow some balls and speak out against
this
>>>> >> >tierney.
>>>> >> >> There are just as many Democrats who signed on with the Bush(is)..
>>>> But
>>>> >> >> as we saw with the beginning of the mid term primaries, those
>>>Democrats
>>>> >> who
>>>> >> >> were with the Bush(ites) went down with ship.
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> >> Evenmore.The thought of spreading democracy into a region were
>war
>>>> is
>>>> >> >second
>>>> >> >> nature for over 10,000 years is laughable at best. Those fold
over
>>>> >there(
>>>> >> >> Iran, Iraq,Saudi Arabia, Syria, afghanistan,) will Never stop
>>>fighting
>>>> >> or
>>>> >> >> give in to so called democracy..Never.

>>>> >> >> Will you send you son or daughter over to fight??
>>>> >> >> I thought so..
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>> >> >> >These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
>>>> >> >> >terrorist..Why??
>>>> >> >> >At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
>>>> >> >> >Goverment.aka
>>>> >> >> >Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for me
>>>> >> >> >and
>>>> >> >> the
>>>> >> >> >good
>>>> >> >> >> >of this union.
>>>> >> >> >> >
>>>> >> >> >> >.....and if there was an election today, what would the
>>>> >> >> >> >opposing
>>>> >> >> >> >party
>>>> >> >> >> >stand for Lamont?
>>>> >> >> >> >
>>>> >> >> >> >"Lamont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message
>>>> >> >> >> >news:44e79af9\$1@linux...
>>>> >> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >> >> Steve, that link you posted does not make a good enough argument
>>>> >> >> >> >> to
>>>> >> >> >> >> >debut
>>>> >> >> >> >> >the "Loose Change movie (documentary).
>>>> >> >> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >> >> >I'm not a conpiracist, but I've never believed the US government
>>>> >> >> >> >> >stories
>>>> >> >> >> >> >> on 911. IMHO, we are dealing with a some really power hungry,
>>>> >> >> >> >> >> >sadistic,
>>>> >> >> >> >> >> >neoconservatives-conservatives
>>>> >> >> >> >> >> >in the white house and his fellow neo cons.
>>>> >> >> >> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >> >> >> >> They are evil to the core. And have, dragged this nation down
>>>> >> >> >> >> >> >> to
>>>> >> >> >> >> >> the
>>>> >> >> >> >> >> >pits!!!..
>>>> >> >> >> >> >> >> It's really a shame that we 2 years left with these evil
>>>> >> >> >> >> >> >> neo-cons.
>>>> >> >> >> >> >> >> They
>>>> >> >> >> >> >> >> are
>>>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> as anti American as the as the so-called terrorist. Impeachment
>>>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >hearings
>>>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> should start immediately.!
>>>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> And to think, we let them Impeach Clinton.. Now, with our civil
>>>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >liberties

>>>> >> >> >> being taken from us, a Patriot act which gives the Gov and
police
>>>> >the
>>>> >> >> >right
>>>> >> >> >> to do anything they want. And a phony department names Department
>>>> of
>>>> >> >> >Homeland
>>>> >> >> >> security, which is a weak as 2 minute cornflakes all under
the
>>>sham
>>>> >> of
>>>> >> >> >making
>>>> >> >> >> us "feel safe"!! Safe from what ?? The terrorist??or our
>>>> >Government???
>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >> These days(I'm not kidding) I'll take my chances with the
>>>> >> >terrorist..Why??
>>>> >> >> >> At least I know what my enemy stands for. My other enemy (Us
>>>> >> >Goverment.aka
>>>> >> >> >> Bush administration) is an enemy that's supposed to be for
me
>>and
>>>> >the
>>>> >> >> good
>>>> >> >> >> of this union. Not, to make us feel threaten, unpatriotic,
>>>fearful,
>>>> >> >> and
>>>> >> >> >> distrustful of it's workings.They are threatening is taking
>away
>>>> >> >> >> >"free-speech,
>>>> >> >> >> and most importantly, 'A right to decent!~!!" ..
>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >> I love the people of this Nation. For we are a great people!!!
>>>But,
>>>> >> we
>>>> >> >> are
>>>> >> >> >> under the leadership of a wanna be dictator!!and his cronnie..
>>>> >> >Lastly,
>>>> >> >> >> most Americans feel 'Less Safe " now than 2002.. Wanna bet?
>Let's
>>>> >first
>>>> >> >> >ask
>>>> >> >> >> the good folks from New Orleans & Mississippi and Alabama ..
>>That
>>>> >> >showed
>>>> >> >> >> every American how our Government would respond if we were
>>>> >attacked..???
>>>> >> >> >>

```

>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >> "Steve the artguy" <artguy@somethingorother.net> wrote:
>>>> >> >> >> >
>>>> >> >> >> >> >"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
>>>> >> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >>
>>>>
>>>>>>>> http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1272980089639960023& amp;q=loose+cha
>>>n
>>>> >g
>>>> >> >e
>>>> >> >> >> >
>>>> >> >> >> >> >Nappy-
>>>> >> >> >> >
>>>> >> >> >> >> >first of all, it's great to see you again!
>>>> >> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >> >> >second of all, I was pretty impressed by Loose Change.
>>>> >> >> >> >> >Then some months later I read this:
>>>> >> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >> >> >http://911research.wtc7.net/reviews/loose_change/index.html
>>>> >> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >> >> >Check it out!
>>>> >> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >> >> >-Steve
>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >
>>>> >> >> >
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >
>>>> >>
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>I use Autotune 4 with Paris 2.2 and I haven't had any problems at all. I
have also used older versions with no problem.

--
Erick Kent
"Uptown Jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:44e9ca10$1@linux...
>

```

> Well, funny thing is, we just started using Autotune a week or two ago.
> I've
> been having some occasional freezing-up problems on my computer; I wonder
> if that's also related?
>
> Is anybody using Autotune with Paris succesfully? If I disable DirectX, we
> won't be able to use Autotune, right?
>
> Jimmy
>
>
>
> "Erick Kent" <erick at thefollower.com> wrote:
>>I am not sure if this is the same exact error message I was getting while
>
>>trying to use Amplitube, but it did crash Paris so badly that it wouldn't
>
>>start back up. I had to uninstall and then reinstall and then go into my
>
>>Paris config file and disable directx. Not sure what plug-in might be
>>causing this (if in fact it is a plug-in at all) but it definitely sounds
>
>>like that is what's going on.
>>
>>--
>>Erick Kent
>>"Uptown Jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>>news:44e904b6\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> My buddy Swen had this error message pop out of nowhere today.
>>> Everything
>>> worked fine last night, now he can't get Paris to fire up.
>>>
>>> unhandled exception: c0000005
>>> at address: 77c3f5ea
>>>
>>> Anybody?
>>> Jimmy
>>
>>
>Tom,

I'm actually originally from outside of Chicago. My parents are both from
chicago.
I was born in Champagne/Urbana where my father got his phd. I spent a lot
of time
in the southeast but never really felt like I belonged there. Glad to be
back home in

the north. Now I'll just have to get used to the snow. I always wanted to go to Berklee when I was younger but never really had the chance. Did you finish school at Berklee? What instrument(s) do you play?

peace,
T.Rossi

"Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote in message news:44e80547@linux...
T.

Welcome to the area. I'm originally from the suburbs of Chicago but moved here to go to Berklee in '75. I never returned. My wife and I bought a house down in Raynham which is towards the cape but more inland. Boston is a great city to live in or near. The music scene is fruitful with all the music schools located here. There's a glut of studios too. I whizzed by Arlington about 45 minutes ago on my way home from a gig.

Arlington is a great location. I used to go to the Cabrada(sp?) Bakery next to the Capitol (?) Theater on Mass Ave.. Croissants to die for. The best general practitioner I ever had a practice in Arlington also. If you're looking for a great doctor I give the man an A+++.

Anything else?!!! Enjoy your new world!
Tom

"trossi" <babelfishinmyotherear@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:44e79ad8@linux...
Hi all,

I just moved to the Boston area(arlington) and was wondering how many Paris users there are here. I used to post regularly years ago but my studio has been down for close to 3 years now. Just got it back up and thought I'd check in here to see how things are going with my fellow Paris users.

peace,
TRossi

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?
<http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>Hi Cujo,

I just went to the zoo in stoneham the other day. Love

the lakes around here.

peace,
T.Rossi

"cujo" <chris@applemanstudio.com> wrote in message news:44e84f1b\$1@linux...

>

>

>

> I am 2 towns over in Stoneham.

> Arlington is a good choice, close to Cambridge Somerville, and Boston

>

>

> "Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote:

>>

>>

>>T.

>>Welcome to the area. I'm originally from the suburbs of Chicago

>>but moved here to go to Berklee in '75. I never returned.

>>My wife and I bought a house down in Raynham which is

>>towards the cape but more inland. Boston is a great city=20

>>to live in or near. The music scene is fruitful with all the music

>>schools located here. There's a glut of studios too.

>>I whizzed by Arlington about 45 minutes ago on my way home=20

>>from a gig. =20

>>

>>Arlington is a great location. I used to go to the Cabrada(sp?) Bakery

>>next to the Capitol (?) Theater on Mass Ave.. Croissants to die for.

>>The best general practitioner I ever had a practice in Arlington also.

>>If you're looking for a great doctor I give the man an A+++. =20

>>

>>Anything else?!!! Enjoy your new world!

>>Tom=20

>>

>> "trossi" <babelfishinmyotherear@yahoo.com> wrote in message =

>>news:44e79ad8@linux...

>> Hi all,

>>

>> I just moved to the Boston area(arlington) and was wondering how many

> =

>>Paris=20

>> users there are here. I used to post regularly years ago but my =

>>studio has=20

>> been down for close to 3 years now. Just got it back up and thought =

>>I'd=20

>> check in here to see how things are going with my fellow Paris users.

>>

>> peace,

```

>> TRossi=20
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?
>>http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html
>>
>><!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
>><HTML><HEAD>
>><META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
>>charset=3Diso-8859-1">
>><META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>
>><STYLE></STYLE>
>></HEAD>
>><BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>T.</FONT></DIV>
>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Welcome to the area. I'm =
>>originally from the=20
>>suburbs of Chicago</FONT></DIV>
>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>but moved here to go to Berklee in =
>>'75. I=20
>>never returned.</FONT></DIV>
>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>My wife and I bought a =
>>house down in=20
>>Raynham which is</FONT></DIV>
>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>towards the cape but more =
>>inland. Boston=20
>>is a great city </FONT></DIV>
>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>to live in or near. The music =
>>scene is=20
>>fruitful with all the music</FONT></DIV>
>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>schools located here. There's a =
>>glut of=20
>>studios too.</FONT></DIV>
>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>I whizzed by Arlington about 45=20
>>minutes ago on my way home </FONT></DIV>
>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>from a gig. </FONT></DIV>
>><DIV> </DIV>
>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Arlington is a great location. I =
>>used to go=20
>>to the Cabrada(sp?) Bakery</FONT></DIV>
>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>next to the Capitol (?) Theater on Mass
> =
>>Ave.. =20
>>Croissants to die for.</FONT></DIV>
>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>The best general practitioner I ever =
>>had a=20

```

```

>>practice in Arlington also.</FONT></DIV>
>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>If you're looking for a great doctor I
> =
>>give the man=20
>>an A+++. </FONT></DIV>
>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Anything =
>>else?!!! =20
>></FONT><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Enjoy your new world!</FONT></DIV>
>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Tom</FONT> </DIV>
>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>><BLOCKQUOTE=20
>>style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
>> <DIV>"trossi" <<A=20
>> =
>>href=3D"mailto:babelfishinmyotherear@yahoo.com">babelfishinmyotherear@yah=
>>oo.com</A>>=20
>> wrote in message <A=20
>> href=3D"news:44e79ad8@linux">news:44e79ad8@linux</A>...</DIV>Hi =
>>all,<BR><BR>I=20
>> just moved to the Boston area(arlington) and was wondering how many =
>>Paris=20
>> <BR>users there are here. I used to post regularly years ago but =
>>my=20
>> studio has <BR>been down for close to 3 years now. Just got it =
>>back up=20
>> and thought I'd <BR>check in here to see how things are going with my
> =
>>fellow=20
>> Paris users.<BR><BR>peace,<BR>TRossi <BR><BR></BLOCKQUOTE>
>><DIV><FONT size=3D2><BR><BR>I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, =
>>and=20
>>you?<BR><A=20
>>href=3D"http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html">http://www.polesoft.com/re

```

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..IT SEEMS NOT! (yet..)
 Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Wed, 12 Jul 2006 21:57:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

#64;OIU.com" target="_blank">OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

```

>I did.. nice piece... goes right along with what I said in your
>compensated nut thread when I pointed out "nothing's ever going
>to be perfect, so don't sweat a few cents off here & there"
>
>I guess my motto is: "Strive for perfection, but know when

```

>the incremental gains you're getting have reached a point
>of diminishing returns."

>

>OK, it's not really my motto; I guess I don't really have a
>motto, but if I did, that would be a good one.

>

>NeilDale wrote:

> I've been referred to networksolutions.com, but they seem a little pricey
> once you get out of the bargain basement services.

>

> what are some other options that y'all are happy with?

>

> Thanks, Dale

I use www.1and1.com. I am very satisfied with their service.I'm about 1.5 miles from the zoo.
Nice place to live round here.

"trossi" <babelfishinmyotherear@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Hi Cujo,

>

>I just went to the zoo in stoneham the other day. Love
>the lakes around here.

>

>peace,

>T.Rossi

>

>"cujo" <chris@applemanstudio.com> wrote in message news:44e84f1b\$1@linux...

>>

>>

>>

>> I am 2 towns over in Stoneham.

>> Arlington is a good choice, close to Cambridge Somerville, and Boston

>>

>>

>> "Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote:

>>>

>>>

>>>T.

>>>Welcome to the area. I'm originally from the suburbs of Chicago

>>>but moved here to go to Berklee in '75. I never returned.

>>>My wife and I bought a house down in Raynham which is

>>>towards the cape but more inland. Boston is a great city=20

>>>to live in or near. The music scene is fruitful with all the music

>>>schools located here. There's a glut of studios too.

>>>I whizzed by Arlington about 45 minutes ago on my way home=20

>>>from a gig. =20

>>>

>>>Arlington is a great location. I used to go to the Cabrada(sp?) Bakery

>>>next to the Capitol (?) Theater on Mass Ave.. Croissants to die for.
>>>The best general practitioner I ever had a practice in Arlington also.
>>>If you're looking for a great doctor I give the man an A+++.=20
>>>
>>>Anything else?!!! Enjoy your new world!
>>>Tom=20
>>>
>>> "trossi" <babelfishinmyotherear@yahoo.com> wrote in message =
>>>news:44e79ad8@linux...
>>> Hi all,
>>>
>>> I just moved to the Boston area(arlington) and was wondering how many
>> =
>>>Paris=20
>>> users there are here. I used to post regularly years ago but my =
>>>studio has=20
>>> been down for close to 3 years now. Just got it back up and thought
>> =
>>>I'd=20
>>> check in here to see how things are going with my fellow Paris users.
>>>
>>> peace,
>>> TRossi=20
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?
>>><http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>
>>>
>>><!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
>>><HTML><HEAD>
>>><META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
>>>charset=3Diso-8859-1">
>>><META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>
>>><STYLE></STYLE>
>>></HEAD>
>>><BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
>>><DIV>T.</DIV>
>>><DIV>Welcome to the area. I'm =
>>>originally from the=20
>>>suburbs of Chicago</DIV>
>>><DIV>but moved here to go to Berklee in =
>>>'75. I=20
>>>never returned.</DIV>
>>><DIV>My wife and I bought a =
>>>house down in=20
>>>Raynham which is</DIV>

```

>>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>towards the cape but more =
>>>inland. Boston=20
>>>is a great city </FONT></DIV>
>>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>to live in or near. The music =
>>>scene is=20
>>>fruitful with all the music</FONT></DIV>
>>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>schools located here. There's a =
>>>glut of=20
>>>studios too.</FONT></DIV>
>>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>I whizzed by Arlington about 45=20
>>>minutes ago on my way home </FONT></DIV>
>>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>from a gig. </FONT></DIV>
>>><DIV> </DIV>
>>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Arlington is a great location. I =
>>>used to go=20
>>>to the Cabrada(sp?) Bakery</FONT></DIV>
>>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>next to the Capitol (?) Theater on Mass
>> =
>>>Ave.. =20
>>>Croissants to die for.</FONT></DIV>
>>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>The best general practitioner I ever
=
>>>had a=20
>>>practice in Arlington also.</FONT></DIV>
>>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>If you're looking for a great doctor
I
>> =
>>>give the man=20
>>>an A+++. </FONT></DIV>
>>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Anything =
>>>else?!!! =20
>>></FONT><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Enjoy your new world!</FONT></DIV>
>>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Tom</FONT> </DIV>
>>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>>><BLOCKQUOTE=20
>>>style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>>>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
>>> <DIV>"trossi" <<A=20
>>> =
>>>href=3D"mailto:babelfishinmyotherear@yahoo.com">babelfishinmyotherear@yah=
>>>oo.com</A>>=20
>>> wrote in message <A=20
>>> href=3D"news:44e79ad8@linux">news:44e79ad8@linux</A>...</DIV>Hi =
>>>all,<BR><BR>I=20
>>> just moved to the Boston area(arlington) and was wondering how many
=
>>>Paris=20

```

```

>>> <BR>users there are here. I used to post regularly years ago but =
>>>my=20
>>> studio has <BR>been down for close to 3 years now. Just got it =
>>>back up=20
>>> and thought I'd <BR>check in here to see how things are going with my
>> =
>>>fellow=20
>>> Paris users.<BR><BR>peace,<BR>TRossi <BR><BR></BLOCKQUOTE>
>>><DIV><FONT size=3D2><BR><BR>I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam,
=
>>>and=20
>>>you?<BR><A=20
>>>href=3D"http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html">http://www.polesoft.com/refer=
>>>.html</A> </FONT></DIV></BODY></HTML>
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>..sooo you guys have actually finished reading a manual?

```

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.org> wrote in message news:44ea44b8\$1@linux...

```

>
> Thanks Neil,
>
> You're right about the few cents thing, of course. I am more of a
> "serial obsessor" I get totally involved in something, and then try
> to make a point of *not* doing that anymore so T can go on to the
> next thing to get obsessed with.
>
> I think we all have a bit of that. Otherwise how the heck we ever
> get all the way through a DAW manual?
>
> DC
>
> "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:
>
> >I did.. nice piece... goes right along with what I said in your
> >compensated nut thread when I pointed out "nothing's ever going
> >to be perfect, so don't sweat a few cents off here & there"
> >
> >I guess my motto is: "Strive for perfection, but know when
> >the incremental gains you're getting have reached a point
> >of diminishing returns."
> >
> >OK, it's not really my motto; I guess I don't really have a
> >motto, but if I did, that would be a good one.
> >
> >Neil

```

>Does anyone have Dimtrios email?
My attempts keep bouncing back.

thx
bThis is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_002D_01C6C574.41863330
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

T.,
Ya, I graduated in '79 in performance. Guitar was my major but I played some alto too. I really liked the writing classes with Herb Pomeroy, Mike Gibbs and Phil Wilson. I had Ralph Moore from the Tonight Show Band in my senior recital band. Those were the days when Berklee had one 8 track studio only. I only had a few classes in there. That was not important to me at the time. They had just built the Performance Center.

I spent a few summers in Champagne/Urbana at the jazz camps at U of I. Very cool college town down there. If you're ever heading towards the Cape or RI, feel free to stop in. It'd be great to meet=20 another Paris user. I met Ben Atkins a year or so ago. He's fairly close to you. Maybe a Boston Paris gathering is in store. Right Cujo?

Let's set it up!
Tom

"trossi" <babelfishinmyotherear@yahoo.com> wrote in message =
news:44ea407b@linux...
Tom,

I'm actually originally from outside of Chicago. My parents are both =
from=20
chicago.
I was born in Champagne/Urbana where my father got his phd. I spent a =
lot=20
of time
in the southeast but never really felt like I belonged there. Glad to =
be=20
back home in
the north. Now I'll just have to get used to the snow. I always =
wanted to=20
go to

Berklee when I was younger but never really had the chance. Did you =
finish=20
school
at Berklee? What instrument(s) do you play?

peace,
T.Rossi

"Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote in message =
news:44e80547@linux...

T.
Welcome to the area. I'm originally from the suburbs of Chicago
but moved here to go to Berklee in '75. I never returned.
My wife and I bought a house down in Raynham which is
towards the cape but more inland. Boston is a great city
to live in or near. The music scene is fruitful with all the music
schools located here. There's a glut of studios too.
I whizzed by Arlington about 45 minutes ago on my way home
from a gig.

Arlington is a great location. I used to go to the Cabrada(sp?) =
Bakery
next to the Capitol (?) Theater on Mass Ave.. Croissants to die for.
The best general practitioner I ever had a practice in Arlington also.
If you're looking for a great doctor I give the man an A+++.

Anything else?!!! Enjoy your new world!
Tom

"trossi" <babelfishinmyotherear@yahoo.com> wrote in message=20
news:44e79ad8@linux...
Hi all,

I just moved to the Boston area(arlington) and was wondering how many =
Paris
users there are here. I used to post regularly years ago but my =
studio has
been down for close to 3 years now. Just got it back up and thought =
I'd
check in here to see how things are going with my fellow Paris users.

peace,
TRossi

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?

<http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html=20>

-----=_NextPart_000_002D_01C6C574.41863330

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">

<HTML><HEAD>

<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =

charset=3Diso-8859-1">

<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>

<STYLE></STYLE>

</HEAD>

<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>

<DIV>T.,</DIV>

<DIV>Ya, I graduated in '79 in =

performance. Guitar=20

was my major but</DIV>

<DIV>I played some alto too. I really =

liked the=20

writing classes with</DIV>

<DIV>Herb Pomeroy, Mike Gibbs and Phil =

Wilson. I=20

had Ralph Moore</DIV>

<DIV>from the Tonight Show Band in my senior =

recital=20

band. Those</DIV>

<DIV>were the days when Berklee had one 8 =

track studio=20

only. I only</DIV>

<DIV>had a few classes in there. That =

was not=20

important to me at</DIV>

<DIV>the time. They <FONT =

face=3DArial=20

size=3D2>had just built the Performance Center.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>I spent a few summers in =

Champagne/Urbana at the=20

jazz camps</DIV>

<DIV>at U of I. Very cool college town =

down=20

there. If you're ever heading</DIV>

<DIV>towards the Cape or RI, feel free to =

stop in. =20

It'd be great to meet </DIV>
 <DIV>another Paris user. I met Ben =
 Atkins a year=20
 or so ago. He's</DIV>
 <DIV>fairly close to you. Maybe a =
 Boston Paris=20
 gathering is in store.</DIV>
 <DIV>Right Cujo?</DIV>
 <DIV> </DIV>
 <DIV>Let's set it up!</DIV>
 <DIV>Tom</DIV>
 <DIV> </DIV>
 <DIV> </DIV>
 <BLOCKQUOTE=20
 style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
 BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
 <DIV>"trossi" <<A=20
 =
 href=3D"mailto:babelfishinmyotherear@yahoo.com">babelfishinmyotherear@yah=
 oo.com>=20
 wrote in message <A=20
 =
 href=3D"news:44ea407b@linux">news:44ea407b@linux...</DIV>Tom,

=
 I'm=20
 actually originally from outside of Chicago. My parents are both =
 from=20

chicago.
I was born in Champagne/Urbana where my father got his =
 phd. I spent a lot
of time
in the southeast but never =
 really felt=20
 like I belonged there. Glad to be
back home in
the =
 north. =20
 Now I'll just have to get used to the snow. I always wanted to =

go=20
 to
Berklee when I was younger but never really had the =
 chance. Did=20
 you finish
school
at Berklee? What instrument(s) do you=20
 play?

peace,
T.Rossi

"Tom Bruhl" <<A=20
 href=3D"mailto:arpeggio@comcast.net">arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote =
 in message=20
 <A =
 href=3D"news:44e80547@linux">news:44e80547@linux...
T.
Welcome =
 to=20
 the area. I'm originally from the suburbs of Chicago
but =
 moved here=20
 to go to Berklee in '75. I never returned.
My wife and I =
 bought a=20
 house down in Raynham which is
towards the cape but more =

inland. =20

Boston is a great city
to live in or near. The music scene is =

fruitful with all the music
schools located here. There's a =
glut of=20

studios too.
I whizzed by Arlington about 45 minutes ago on my way=20
home
from a gig.

Arlington is a great location. I used =
to go=20

to the Cabrada(sp?) Bakery
next to the Capitol (?) Theater on Mass=20
Ave.. Croissants to die for.
The best general practitioner I =
ever had=20

a practice in Arlington also.
If you're looking for a great doctor =
I give=20

[illegible]

```
new world!<BR>Tom<BR><BR>"trossi" &lt;<A=20
=
```

href=3D"mailto:babelfishinmyotherear@yahoo.com">babelfishinmyotherear@yah-
oo.com>=20

wrote in message
<A=20
href=3D"news:44e79ad8@linux">news:44e79ad8@linux...
Hi =
all,

I just=20

moved to the Boston area(arlington) and was wondering how many = Paris
users=20

there are here. I used to post regularly years ago but my studio =

has
been down for close to 3 years now. Just got it back up =
and=20

thought I'd
check in here to see how things are going with my =
fellow Paris=20

```
users.<BR><BR>peace,<BR>TRossi<BR><BR><BR><BR><BR><BR>I choose Polesoft =  
Lockspam=20
```

to fight spam, and you?
<A=20
=

href=3D"http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html">http://www.polesoft.com/refer=

</BLOCKQUOTE></BODY></HTML>

-----= NextPart_000_002D_01C6C574.41863330--Wrote a DAW manual once, does that count?

Cheers,

-Jamie

<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

DJ wrote:

> .soooo you guys have actually finished reading a manual?

 $\vee$

> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.org> wrote in message news:44ea44b8\$1@linux...

>> Thanks Neil,

>>

>> You're right about the few cents thing, of course. I am more of a
>> "serial obsessor" I get totally involved in something, and then try
>> to make a point of *not* doing that anymore so T can go on to the
>> next thing to get obsessed with.

>>

>> I think we all have a bit of that. Otherwise how the heck we ever
>> get all the way through a DAW manual?

>>

>> DC

>>

>> "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>>

>>> I did.. nice piece... goes right along with what I said in your
>>> compensated nut thread when I pointed out "nothing's ever going
>>> to be perfect, so don't sweat a few cents off here & there"

>>>

>>> I guess my motto is: "Strive for perfection, but know when
>>> the incremental gains you're getting have reached a point
>>> of diminishing returns."

>>>

>>> OK, it's not really my motto; I guess I don't really have a
>>> motto, but if I did, that would be a good one.

>>>

>>> Neil

>

>Man, not only were my nuts not compensated back then, I didn't really know
how to tune a guitar, and didn't have anything to tune to. I would just
make sure the bass and guitar were somewhat near each other!

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinguitarcenter.org> wrote:

>>

>>There is a new piece up on my guitar blog.

>>

>><http://dcguitarjournal.blogspot.com/>

>>

>>It's called

>>

>>Stop Obsessing and Play Some Music

>>

>>Take a look if you can.

>

>I did.. nice piece... goes right along with what I said in your
>compensated nut thread when I pointed out "nothing's ever going

>to be perfect, so don't sweat a few cents off here & there"

>

>I guess my motto is: "Strive for perfection, but know when

>the incremental gains you're getting have reached a point

>of diminishing returns."

>

>OK, it's not really my motto; I guess I don't really have a

>motto, but if I did, that would be a good one.

>

>NeilWhat's a DAW manual?

AA

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message

news:44ea578a@linux...

> .sooo you guys have actually finished reading a manual?

>

> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.org> wrote in message news:44ea44b8\$1@linux...

>>

>> Thanks Neil,

>>

>> You're right about the few cents thing, of course. I am more of a

>> "serial obsessor" I get totally involved in something, and then try

>> to make a point of *not* doing that anymore so T can go on to the

>> next thing to get obsessed with.

>>

>> I think we all have a bit of that. Otherwise how the heck we ever

>> get all the way through a DAW manual?

>>

>> DC

>>

>> "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>>

>> >I did.. nice piece... goes right along with what I said in your

>> >compensated nut thread when I pointed out "nothing's ever going

>> >to be perfect, so don't sweat a few cents off here & there"

>> >

>> >I guess my motto is: "Strive for perfection, but know when

>> >the incremental gains you're getting have reached a point

>> >of diminishing returns."

>> >

>> >OK, it's not really my motto; I guess I don't really have a

>> >motto, but if I did, that would be a good one.

>> >

>> >Neil

>>

>

>Hey Dedric,

Dedric Terry wrote:

> That would be great. I'll drop you a line the next time I'm in your neck of
> the hills - probably should make a business trip up that way soon.

Cool!

Just to be clear, we aren't a theocracy. Good thing, too. The point I was trying to make is our government is more influenced by Christians than any other religion on policy matters simply because almost all elected officials are Christians. Ted Kennedy is Catholic BTW. So it seems alarmist to paint Christianity as being in anything like dire straights in the USA.

OTH, GWB once said his favorite political philosopher is Jesus (during a primary presidential debate), and if he truly believes Jesus is a political philosopher then theocracy would not be out of the question for him.

Perhaps others have helped him sort it out by now:

<http://clarionherald.org/20000106/maestri.htm>

Cheers,

-Jamie

<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

> Hey Jamie,

>

> I probably should have replied originally in a generic post so you didn't
> think all of that was referring to you (I wasn't referring to anyone in
> particular - in general this is a great group of people - diverse opinions,
> but usually even tempered discussion which I greatly appreciate).

>

> The possibility of our country outlawing Christianity isn't a given, but a
> trend I see - I really don't want to be right, and don't assume I am either.
> We may never reach that point, but there are many other reasons why I see
> that as a distinct possibility (for one, in Revelation when God talks about
> the last days, there is not correlation to any entity resembling the United
> States in its' current form - not that tying Revelation to any country
> outside of Israel is obvious - it isn't. But there are parallels for other
> countries, in some form).

>

> I just sense that our country won't exist in this form eventually - either
> through hostile, economic or religious takeover (not Christianity btw), or
> gradual descent into another social and governmental form that

> self-destructs. Another possibility is that we team up with Canada and
> Mexico and form the North American Trade Union to compete with the EU (we
> would have more letters in our acronym, which is always good, or not), but
> that's completely unrelated, and far more likely to occur in our
> lifetimes...
>
> Specifically related to your post, I was mainly addressing your assertion
> that our country is becoming a theocracy. Since the beginning of our
> country we have actually taken more associations with and public acceptances
> of God and Christianity out of our society and out of public view, not the
> other way around. Some of that is just part of our ever changing
> interpretation of the separation of church and state, but the problem is, we
> don't seem to be abiding by a solid basis for many constitutional decisions
> as we might like to believe. As the separation of church and state concept
> isn't actually in the Constitution in that form (we've all discussed that
> before here), we are interpreting what is there in various forms - the
> original statement just says that the state/government shall not advocate a
> given religion, which it doesn't, and never has. There are of course state
> laws and tax laws that further define what that means (e.g. a minister can
> not endorse any political candidate from the pulpit and retain
> non-profit/religious institution status - that's a grey area between the
> restriction of the government not endorsing a religion and freedom of speech
> - e.g. the bill of rights applies as long as it doesn't interfere with our
> interpretation of the Constitution, and hence its' malleability gradually
> increases when it applies to religion).
>
> Bill of Rights - there is a reason for it no doubt, and it works, or should,
> for everyone's benefit. That doesn't make governments, laws or bills more
> morally perfect than God's laws, but I think most of the ones we have work
> pretty well usually. But we have to remember that in a situation such as
> faith, a lack of faith can't be considered a neutral

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??.IT SEEMS NOT! (yet..)
Posted by [Kim W](#) on Thu, 13 Jul 2006 12:02:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t; 3) Jews

>>> 4) Sikhs

>>> 5) Atheists

>>> 6) etc.

>>>

>>> I don't know if Buddhists bother feeling persecuted.

>>>

>>> I do like the ACLU for the most part, because the Bill of Rights is
>>> constantly under attack. Since it's a fundamental part of what makes the
>>> USA a special place it needs to be looked after. So I'll add:

>>>

>>> 7) The Bill Of Rights

>>>

>>> Even though it's not a group, it protects all of us from a variety of
>>> bad stuff. Hey, it's my list and I'm adding it! :^)

>>

>> 7 is a good number. I do also see the Bill of Rights under attack, but
>> perhaps from a different perspective.

>>> How do we balance different outlooks and perceptions around all this? It
>>> ain't easy. Talking is good, though.

>>

>> It isn't easy, from any perspective. But I also agree, talking is the
>> only

>> way to understand, if not resolve differences.

>>> I don't see anything that indicates we are about to outlaw Christianity.

>>> You'll have to convince me on that one. The appropriateness of
>>> government sanctioning of the symbol of one religion over another is an
>>> interesting issue but I wouldn't read that as moving toward banning
>>> Christianity. Probably makes great material for rousing sermons, though,
>>> in some churches, while other churches can and do support the separation
>>> of church and state for religious reasons so their sermons would go the
>>> other way. See how hard it is to generalize about Christianity as one
>>> entity?

>>

>> Actually I've never heard a sermon about a specific move to outlaw
>> Christianity. Some ministers do refer to specific events where a
>> Christian
>> organization or event was sanctioned because it was perceived as being
>> too

>> public (a deviation of the separation of church and state). Why would
>> the

>> ACLU want to prevent someone from putting a cross on their own land? Are
>> we

>> going to extend eminent domain to enforce the separation of church and
>> state, as a local judicial court chooses to interpret it?

>>

>> In general most Christians don't worry about the future, and I don't
>> either.

>> I only proposed this perspective, hypothetically for the sake of this
>> discussion, to open up thinking about where we could end up if the trend
>> many of us see continues to build. Most of the events indicating such a
>> trend never make the news. If you are interested, I'll relate more the
>> next

>> time we have a chance to get together.

>>

>>> Our great Bill of Rights protects Christianity and all its sects. It
>>> seems to me that certain subsets of Christian thought are very
>>> influential in our government right now, hence my comment. I'm not
>>> seeing that level of influence from other religions.

>>
>> And I see the opposite also being true - Ted Kennedy for one. There is
>> some
>> public profile and influence from prominent Christians, but I don't think
>> it
>> is nearly as strong as the news would have you believe. I've either
>> attended churches headed by some of these leaders, or know other
>> ministers
>> that have relationships with them.
>>> We should have a conversation about this, Dedric, next time you're up
>>> this way.
>>
>> That would be great. I'll drop you a line the next time I'm in your neck
>> of
>> the hills - probably should make a business trip up that way soon.
>>
>> Regards,
>> Dedric
>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> -Jamie
>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Dedric Terry wrote:
>>>> On 8/17/06 10:19 AM, in article 44e495a1@linux, "Jamie K"
>>>> <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> We're a lot closer to becoming a Christian theocracy at the moment.
>>>>>
>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>>> Actually most Christians, including many Christian leaders and
>>>> ministers
>>>> I've talked to agree with me that we are closer to outlawing
>>>> Christianity
>>>> than accepting it as a nation, much less adopting it. Separation of
>>>> church
>>>> and state is actually quite a well-respected concept for most of the
>>>> Christian community. However, it isn't really fair to expect
>>>> Christians to
>>>> just sit out of politics and voting completely to ease the fears of the
>>>> non-Christian community. For a non-Christian, any hint of Christianity
>>>> may
>>>> seem like too much, or even a threat, but to a longtime Christian, the
>>>> deterioration of acceptance for our faith is sadly quite apparent, even

>>>> on
>>>> this forum.
>>>> Let's be brutally honest here, how many people on this forum really
>>>> don't
>>>> mind a Christian sharing their views with conviction, and how many
>>>> truthfully have a problem with it? I'm sure it is tolerable (at best)
>>>> as
>>>> long as it doesn't challenge or confront others' views (which by very
>>>> nature, it will, otherwise it wouldn't be faith with any life-impacting
>>>> substance). On the other hand, most religious threads here have rather
>>>> strong opinions on the non-Christian side - often even claiming that
>>>> Christianity is more violent than Islam, or that Christianity somehow
>>>> is
>>>> responsible for our country going to Iraq (incredibly far from the
>>>> truth).
>>>> Isn't that just one step away from Mel Gibson's drunken debacle of
>>>> slandering Jews? I'm sure that may not be the intention, but consider
>>>> the
>>>> arguments here for equal rights and peace vs. the way Christianity is
>>>> discussed. If we are truly tolerant of one another, we wouldn't need
>>>> to
>>>> ascribe violence and/or all of our country's, or the world's problems
>>>> to the
>>>> faith of someone we might chat with casually in other circumstances.
>>>> I'm
>>>> not asking this from a "victim" mentality in any form or fashion (far
>>>> from
>>>> it). I'm just raising the question out of genuine curiosity and
>>>> broadening
>>>> the perspectives here.
>>>>
>>>> For one societal example, in New Orleans the ACLU is protesting (and
>>>> probably filed suit) against people in one community for wanting to
>>>> build a
>>>> memorial to victims of Katrina - one that includes a cross. The land
>>>> is
>>>> private as is the funding, but as it is in public view, the ACLU is
>>>> taking
>>>> issue. If we exercise equality of "rights" would that not give me the
>>>> right
>>>> as a Christian to file suit against an adult nightclub for a billboard
>>>> promoting topless dancing, with suggestive photos? No, that would
>>>> violate
>>>> the owner's right to free speech, and others' opinion of what is
>>>> "suggestive" and whether that could "suggest" anything objectionable
>>>> for
>>>> anyone. What is the difference? A cross isn't going to tempt anyone
>>>> to get

>>>> drunk, spend money that should be going to support a family, or worst
>>>> case,
>>>> rape a woman (really worst case, not a statistical norm that I know of,
>>>> at
>>>> least hope not) - it is actually more likely to cause the exact
>>>> opposite,
>>>> but yet it is becoming a more common source of public outcry than crime
>>>> rates or corporate corruption.
>>>>
>>>> My prediction is that Christian churches will start being targeted by
>>>> the
>>>> ACLU and other "rights" groups not wanting structures of any kind that
>>>> promote Christianity in public view. And it wouldn't be much of a leap
>>>> from
>>>> there to having something as simple as praying over one's meal in
>>>> public
>>>> become grounds for dismissal from a restaurant, if not legal or
>>>> criminal
>>>> action. Sure, that's extrapolation, but that may give you a bit of
>>>> insight
>>>> into why there seems to be more of a push by Christians to get
>>>> Christians
>>>> involved in political issues - not to take over or dictate, but to
>>>> protect
>>>> the freedom we are also supposed to be allowed. There are other
>>>> examples of
>>>> how this trend is progressing, but I have to get back to work. ;-)
>>>>
>>>> The thing is, most Christians believe it is coming. The question is,
>>>> who
>>>> wants to be on the side that one day tells me personally that I and my
>>>> family will no longer be welcome here? Just something to think about.
>>>> I
>>>> really don't mind opposing opinions in the least and enjoy
>>>> well-tempered
>>>> discussions. My faith is far greater than any government, threat of
>>>> being
>>>> ostracized, or even death, so a little discussion is hardly a .
>>>>
>>>> I hope anyone reading this (however few ;-)) will take it in the spirit
>>>> it is
>>>> intended - just something to consider.
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> Dedric
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>

>>This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_006C_01C6C596.89FE79C0

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

John,

If you get a confirmation on bookings post it here so we can
all get together.

Tom

"John Macy" <john@spamlessjohnmacy.com> wrote in message =
news:44ea8043\$1@linux...

I grew up in Texas but my family moved to Boston just before my senior =
year

of high school. Of all things, I took up pedal steel in New England =
rather

than Texas. My first band when I was 19 was the John Lincoln Wright =
Band,

which became fairly legendary in those parts. Also was hanging around =
studios

like Intermedia, Northern and Longview learning to engineer. I took a =
playing

gig with Michael Murphey in '76, which brought me to Colorado, where I =
thought

I would stay a couple of years, but am still here :) .

I love the Boston area, though. I may come up there this fall to play =
a

few gigs--maybe I'll meet some of you then....

"Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote:

>

>

>T.,

>Ya, I graduated in '79 in performance. Guitar was my major but

>I played some alto too. I really liked the writing classes with

>Herb Pomeroy, Mike Gibbs and Phil Wilson. I had Ralph Moore

>from the Tonight Show Band in my senior recital band. Those

>were the days when Berklee had one 8 track studio only. I only

>had a few classes in there. That was not important to me at

>the time. They had just built the Performance Center.

>

>I spent a few summers in Champagne/Urbana at the jazz camps

>at U of I. Very cool college town down there. If you're ever =

heading

> towards the Cape or RI, feel free to stop in. It'd be great to =
meet=3D20

> another Paris user. I met Ben Atkins a year or so ago. He's
> fairly close to you. Maybe a Boston Paris gathering is in store.
> Right Cujo?

>
> Let's set it up!
> Tom

>
>
> "trossi" <babelfishinmyotherear@yahoo.com> wrote in message =3D
> news:44ea407b@linux...

> Tom,
>
> I'm actually originally from outside of Chicago. My parents are =
both

=3D
> from=3D20
> chicago.
> I was born in Champagne/Urbana where my father got his phd. I =
spent a

=3D
> lot=3D20
> of time
> in the southeast but never really felt like I belonged there. Glad =
to

=3D
> be=3D20
> back home in
> the north. Now I'll just have to get used to the snow. I always =
=3D

> wanted to=3D20
> go to
> Berklee when I was younger but never really had the chance. Did =
you =3D

> finish=3D20
> school
> at Berklee? What instrument(s) do you play?

>
> peace,
> T.Rossi

>
>
> "Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote in message =3D
> news:44e80547@linux...

> T.
> Welcome to the area. I'm originally from the suburbs of Chicago

> but moved here to go to Berklee in '75. I never returned.
 > My wife and I bought a house down in Raynham which is
 > towards the cape but more inland. Boston is a great city
 > to live in or near. The music scene is fruitful with all the music
 > schools located here. There's a glut of studios too.
 > I whizzed by Arlington about 45 minutes ago on my way home
 > from a gig.
 >
 > Arlington is a great location. I used to go to the Cabrada(sp?) =
 =3D
 >Bakery
 > next to the Capitol (?) Theater on Mass Ave.. Croissants to die =
 for.
 > The best general practitioner I ever had a practice in Arlington =
 also.
 > If you're looking for a great doctor I give the man an A+++.
 >
 > Anything else?!!! Enjoy your new world!
 > Tom
 >
 > "trossi" <babelfishinmyotherear@yahoo.com> wrote in message=3D20
 > news:44e79ad8@linux...
 > Hi all,
 >
 > I just moved to the Boston area(arlington) and was wondering how =
 many
 =3D
 >Paris
 > users there are here. I used to post regularly years ago but my =
 =3D
 >studio has
 > been down for close to 3 years now. Just got it back up and =
 thought =3D
 >I'd
 > check in here to see how things are going with my fellow Paris =
 users.
 >
 > peace,
 > TRossi
 >
 >
 >
 >
 > I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?
 > <http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>=3D20
 >
 >
 >

```

><!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
><HTML><HEAD>
><META http-equiv=3D3DContent-Type content=3D3D"text/html; =3D
>charset=3D3Diso-8859-1">
><META content=3D3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3D3DGENERATOR>
><STYLE></STYLE>
></HEAD>
><BODY bgColor=3D3D#ffffff>
><DIV><FONT face=3D3DArial size=3D3D2>T.,</FONT></DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3D3DArial size=3D3D2>Ya, I graduated in '79 in =3D
>performance. Guitar=3D20
>was my major but</FONT></DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3D3DArial size=3D3D2>I played some alto too. I =
really =3D
>liked the=3D20
>writing classes with</FONT></DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3D3DArial size=3D3D2>Herb Pomeroy, Mike Gibbs and =
Phil =3D
>Wilson. I=3D20
>had Ralph Moore</FONT></DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3D3DArial size=3D3D2>from the Tonight Show Band in my =
senior
=3D
>recital=3D20
>band. Those</FONT></DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3D3DArial size=3D3D2>were the days when Berklee had =
one 8 =3D
>track studio=3D20
>only. I only</FONT></DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3D3DArial size=3D3D2>had a few classes in there. =
That =3D
>was not=3D20
>important to me at</FONT></DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3D3DArial size=3D3D2>the time. They </FONT><FONT =3D
>face=3D3DArial=3D20
>size=3D3D2>had just built the Performance Center.</FONT></DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3D3DArial size=3D3D2></FONT> </DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3D3DArial size=3D3D2>I spent a few summers in =3D
>Champagne/Urbana at the=3D20
>jazz camps</FONT></DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3D3DArial size=3D3D2>at U of I. Very cool college =
town =3D
>down=3D20
>there. If you're ever heading</FONT></DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3D3DArial size=3D3D2>towards the Cape or RI, feel =
free to =3D
>stop in. =3D20
>It'd be great to meet </FONT></DIV>

```

```

><DIV><FONT face=3D3DArial size=3D3D2>another Paris user. I met Ben =
=3D
>Atkins a year=3D20
>or so ago. He's</FONT></DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3D3DArial size=3D3D2>fairly close to you. Maybe a =
=3D
>Boston Paris=3D20
>gathering is in store.</FONT></DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3D3DArial size=3D3D2>Right Cujo?</FONT></DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3D3DArial size=3D3D2></FONT> </DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3D3DArial size=3D3D2>Let's set it up!</FONT></DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3D3DArial size=3D3D2>Tom</FONT></DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3D3DArial size=3D3D2></FONT> </DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3D3DArial size=3D3D2></FONT> </DIV>
><BLOCKQUOTE=3D20
>style=3D3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
=3D
>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
> <DIV>"trossi" <<A=3D20
> =3D
=
>href=3D3D"mailto:babelfishinmyotherear@yahoo.com">babelfishinmyotherear@=
yah=3D
>oo.com</A>>=3D20
> wrote in message <A=3D20
> =3D
=
>href=3D3D"news:44ea407b@linux">news:44ea407b@linux</A>...</DIV>Tom,<BR><=
BR>=3D
>I'm=3D20
> actually originally from outside of Chicago. My parents are both =
=3D
>from=3D20
> <BR>chicago.<BR>I was born in Champagne/Urbana where my father got =
his
=3D
>
> phd. I spent a lot <BR>of time<BR>in the southeast but never =3D
>really felt=3D20
> like I belonged there. Glad to be <BR>back home in<BR>the =3D
>north. =3D20
> Now I'll just have to get used to the snow. I always wanted to =3D
><BR>go=3D20
> to<BR>Berklee when I was younger but never really had the =3D
>chance. Did=3D20
> you finish <BR>school<BR>at Berklee? What instrument(s) do =
you=3D20
> play?<BR><BR>peace,<BR>T.Rossi<BR><BR><BR>"Tom Bruhl" <<A=3D20

```

> href=3D3D"mailto:arpeggio@comcast.net">arpeggio@comcast.net> =
 wrote =3D
 >in message=3D20
 > <A =3D
 =
 >href=3D3D"news:44e80547@linux">news:44e80547@linux...
T.
Welco=
 me
 =3D
 >to=3D20
 > the area. I'm originally from the suburbs of Chicago
but =3D
 >moved here=3D20
 > to go to Berklee in '75. I never returned.
My wife and I =3D
 >bought a=3D20
 > house down in Raynham which is
towards the cape but more =3D
 >inland. =3D20
 > Boston is a great city
to live in or near. The music scene is =
 =3D
 >
 > fruitful with all the music
schools located here. There's a =3D
 >glut of=3D20
 > studios too.
I whizzed by Arlington about 45 minutes ago on my =
 way=3D20
 > home
from a gig.

Arlington is a great location. I used =
 =3D
 >to go=3D20
 > to the Cabrada(sp?) Bakery
next to the Capitol (?) Theater on =
 Mass=3D20
 > Ave.. Croissants to die for.
The best general practitioner I =
 =3D
 >ever had=3D20
 > a practice in Arlington also.
If you're looking for a great =
 doctor
 =3D
 >I give=3D20
 > the man an A+++.

Anything else?!!! =3D
 >Enjoy your=3D20
 > new world!
Tom

"trossi" <<A=3D20
 > =3D
 =
 >href=3D3D"mailto:babelfishinmyotherear@yahoo.com">babelfishinmyotherear@=
 yah=3D
 >oo.com>=3D20
 > wrote in message
<A=3D20
 > href=3D3D"news:44e79ad8@linux">news:44e79ad8@linux...
Hi =3D
 >all,

I just=3D20
 > moved to the Boston area(arlington) and was wondering how many =3D
 >Paris
users=3D20
 > there are here. I used to post regularly years ago but my studio =

```

=3D
>
> has<BR>been down for close to 3 years now. Just got it back up =3D
>and=3D20
> thought I'd<BR>check in here to see how things are going with my =
=3D
>fellow Paris=3D20
> users.<BR><BR>peace,<BR>TRossi<BR><BR><BR><BR><BR><BR>I choose Polesoft =
=3D
>Lockspam=3D20
> to fight spam, and you?<BR><A=3D20
> =3D
=
>href=3D3D"http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html">http://www.polesoft.com/re=
fer=3D
>.html</A>=3D20
> <BR><BR></BLOCKQUOTE></BODY></HTML>
>
>

```

-----=_NextPart_000_006C_01C6C596.89FE79C0

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">

<HTML><HEAD>

<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">

<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>

<STYLE></STYLE>

</HEAD>

<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>

<DIV>John,</DIV>

<DIV>If you get a confirmation on bookings =
post it here=20

so we can</DIV>

<DIV>all get together.</DIV>

<DIV>Tom</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<BLOCKQUOTE=20

style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">

<DIV>"John Macy" <<A=20

=

href=3D"mailto:john@spamlessjohnmacy.com">john@spamlessjohnmacy.com&g=
t;=20

wrote in message <A=20

[news:44ea8043\\$1@linux](news:44ea8043$1@linux)...</DIV>
I =
grew up=20
in Texas but my family moved to Boston just before my senior =
year
of high=20
school. Of all things, I took up pedal steel in New England=20
rather
than Texas. My first band when I was 19 was the John =
Lincoln=20
Wright Band,
which became fairly legendary in those parts. =

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??.IT SEEMS NOT! (yet..)
Posted by [Kim W](#) on Thu, 13 Jul 2006 12:17:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt; I was born in Champagne/Urbana where my father =
got his=20
phd. I spent a
=3D
>lot=3D20
> of =
time
> in=20
the southeast but never really felt like I belonged there. Glad=20
to
=3D
>be=3D20
> back home in
> the =
north. =20
Now I'll just have to get used to the snow. I always =
=3D
>wanted=20
to=3D20
> go to
> Berklee when I was younger =
but never=20
really had the chance. Did you =
=3D
>finish=3D20
> =20
school
> at Berklee? What instrument(s) do you=20
play?
>
> peace,
> =20
T.Rossi
>
>
> "Tom Bruhl" <<A=20
[href=3D"mailto:arpeggio@comcast.net">arpeggio@comcast.net wrote =
in message=20
=3D
>news:44e80547@linux...
> T.
> =
Welcome to the=20
area. I'm originally from the suburbs of Chicago
> =
but moved=20
here to go to Berklee in '75. I never returned.
> My =
wife=20
and I bought a house down in Raynham which is
> towards =
the cape=20
but more inland. Boston is a great city
> to live in =
or=20
near. The music scene is fruitful with all the =
music
> =20
schools located here. There's a glut of studios =
too.
> I=20
whizzed by Arlington about 45 minutes ago on my way home
> =
from a=20](mailto:arpeggio@comcast.net)

gig.
> Arlington is a great location. I used =
to go=20
to the Cabrada(sp?) =3D
>Bakery
> next to the =
Capitol (?)=20
Theater on Mass Ave. Croissants to die for.
> The =
best=20
general practitioner I ever had a practice in Arlington =
also.
> If=20
you're looking for a great doctor I give the man an=20
A+++.
> Anything else?!!! =
Enjoy=20
your new world!
> Tom
>
> "trossi" =
<<A=20
=
href=3D"mailto:babelfishinmyotherear@yahoo.com">babelfishinmyotherear@yah=
oo.com>=20
wrote in message=3D20
> <A=20
href=3D"news:44e79ad8@linux">news:44e79ad8@linux...
> =
Hi=20
all,
>
> I just moved to the Boston area(arlington) =
and was=20
wondering how many
=3D
>Paris
> users there are =
here. =20
I used to post regularly years ago but my =3D
>studio =
has
> =20
been down for close to 3 years now. Just got it back up and =
thought=20
=3D
>I'd
> check in here to see how things are going =
with my=20
fellow Paris users.
>
> peace,
> =20
TRossi
>
>
>
>
> I choose Polesoft =

Lockspam to fight spam, and you?
> <A=20
=
href=3D"http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html=3D20">http://www.polesoft.com/=
refer.html=3D20
>
>
>
><!DOCTYPE=20
HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0=20
Transitional//EN">
><HTML><HEAD>
><META=20
http-equiv=3D3DContent-Type content=3D3D"text/html";=20
=3D
>charset=3D3Diso-8859-1">
><META =
content=3D3D"MSHTML=20
6.00.2800.1400"=20
=
name=3D3DGENERATOR>
><STYLE></STYLE>
></HEA=
D>
><BODY=20
bgColor=3D3D#ffffff>
><DIV><FONT face=3D3DArial=20
size=3D3D2>T.,</DIV>
><DIV><FONT=20
face=3D3DArial size=3D3D2>Ya, I graduated in '79 in =

=3D
>performance. =20
 Guitar=3D20
>was my major=20
 but</DIV>
></DIV><FONT face=3D3DArial =
 size=3D3D2>I played some alto too. I really =3D
>liked=20
 the=3D20
>writing classes=20
 with</DIV>
></DIV><FONT =
 face=3D3DArial=20
 size=3D3D2>Herb Pomeroy, Mike Gibbs and Phil =
 =3D
>Wilson. =20
 I=3D20
>had Ralph=20
 Moore</DIV>
></DIV><FONT =
 face=3D3DArial=20
 size=3D3D2>from the Tonight Show Band in my=20
 senior
=3D
>recital=3D20
>band. =20
 Those</DIV>
></DIV><FONT =
 face=3D3DArial=20
 size=3D3D2>were the days when Berklee had one 8 =3D
>track=20
 studio=3D20
>only. I=20
 only</DIV>
></DIV><FONT =
 face=3D3DArial=20
 size=3D3D2>had a few classes in there. That =3D
>was=20
 not=3D20
>important to me=20
 at</DIV>
></DIV><FONT face=3D3DArial=20
 size=3D3D2>the time. They <FONT=20
 =3D
>face=3D3DArial=3D20
>size=3D3D2>had just built the =
 Performance=20
 Center.</DIV>
></DIV><FONT =
 face=3D3DArial=20
 size=3D3D2> </DIV>
></DIV><FONT =
 face=3D3DArial=20
 size=3D3D2>I spent a few summers in =3D
>Champagne/Urbana at=20
 the=3D20
>jazz =
 camps</DIV>
></DIV><FONT=20
 face=3D3DArial size=3D3D2>at U of I. Very cool college town=20
 =3D
>down=3D20
>there. If you're ever=20
 heading</DIV>
></DIV><FONT =
 face=3D3DArial=20
 size=3D3D2>towards the Cape or RI, feel free to =3D
>stop in. =
 =3D20
>It'd be great to meet=20
 </DIV>
></DIV><FONT face=3D3DArial=20
 size=3D3D2>another Paris user. I met Ben =3D
>Atkins a=20
 year=3D20
>or so ago. =20
 He's</DIV>
></DIV><FONT =
 face=3D3DArial=20
 size=3D3D2>fairly close to you. Maybe a =3D
>Boston=20
 Paris=3D20
>gathering is in=20

store.</DIV>
</DIV><FONT =
face=3D3DArial=20
size=3D3D2</div>Right =
Cujo?</DIV>
</DIV><FONT=20
face=3D3DArial size=3D3D2</div> =
</DIV>
</DIV><FONT=20
face=3D3DArial size=3D3D2</div>Let's set it=20
up!</DIV>
</DIV><FONT face=3D3DArial =

size=3D3D2</div>Tom</DIV>
</DIV><FONT=20
face=3D3DArial size=3D3D2</div> =
</DIV>
</DIV><FONT=20
face=3D3DArial size=3D3D2</div>=20
=
</DIV>
</div>BLOCKQUOTE=3D20
</div>style=3D3D"PADDING-RIGHT: =
0px;=20
PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =3D
</div>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 =
2px solid;=20
MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px"</div></div><div>"trossi"=20
</div></div>A=3D20
</div></div> =3D
</div>href=3D3D"<A=20
=
href=3D'mailto:babelfishinmyotherear@yahoo.com">babelfishinmyotherear@yah=
'>mailto:babelfishinmyotherear@yahoo.com">babelfishinmyotherear@yah</A=
>=3D
</div></div></div>oo.com</div></div>=3D20
</div></div>=20
wrote in message </div></div>A=3D20
</div></div> =3D
</div>href=3D3D"<A=20
=
href=3D'news:44ea407b@linux">news:44ea407b@linux</div>...</div>Tom,
<BR'=
>news:44ea407b@linux">news:44ea407b@linux</div></div>A</div>...</div></div>Tom,=
</div>
</div>
</div></div>=3D
</div></div>'I'm=3D20
</div></div>=20
actually originally from outside of Chicago.</div></div>My parents are both =

=3D
</div></div>from=3D20
</div></div> </div></div>chicago.</div></div>I was =
born in=20
Champagne/Urbana where my father got his
=3D
</div></div></div>=20
phd.</div></div>=20
I spent a lot </div></div>of time</div></div>in the southeast but never=20
=3D
</div></div>really felt=3D20
</div></div> like I belonged there.</div></div>=20
Glad to be=20
</div></div>back home in</div></div>the =3D
</div></div>north. =
=3D20
</div></div> Now I'll=20
just have to get used to the snow.</div></div>I always wanted to=20
=3D
</div></div></div>go=3D20
</div></div> to</div></div>Berklee when I =
was younger=20
but never really had the =3D
</div></div>chance.</div></div> =
Did=3D20
</div></div> you=20
finish </div></div>school</div></div>at Berklee?</div></div> What instrument(s) =
do=20
you=3D20
</div></div>=20

=
play?

peace,
am p;gt;T.Rossi

B=
R
"Tom=20
Bruhl"

A=3D20

 href=3D3D"
A=20
=
href=3D'mailto:arpeggio@comcast.net">arpeggio@comcast.netmailto:arpegi=
o@comcast.net">arpeggio@comcast.net

=20
wrote =3D

in message=3D20

 href=3D3D"
A =
=3D

href=3D3D"
A=20
=
href=3D'news:44e80547@linux">news:44e80547@linux...
T.
Welcome'=
>news:44e80547@linux">news:44e80547@linux
...
T.
=
Welcome
=3D

to=3D20

=20
the area.
I'm originally from the suburbs of =
Chicago

but=20
=3D

moved here=3D20

 to go to Berklee in =
'75.
I never=20
returned.
My wife and I =3D

bought =
a=3D20

 house down=20
in Raynham which is

towards the cape but more =
=3D

inland.=20
=3D20

 Boston is a great city

to live in or =
near.
=20
The music scene is =3D

 fruitful with all the=20
music

schools located here.
There's a =3D

glut=20
of=3D20

 studios too.
I whizzed by Arlington =
about 45=20
minutes ago on my way=3D20

 home

from a=20
gig.

Arlington is a great location.
I used=20
=3D

to go=3D20

 to the Cabrada(sp?) =
Bakery

next to=20
the Capitol (?) Theater on Mass=3D20

 Ave..
=
Croissants to die=20
for.
The best general practitioner I =3D

ever=20
had=3D20

 a practice in Arlington also.
If =
you're looking=20
for a great doctor
=3D

I give=3D20

 the man an=20
A+++.

Anything else?!!!

=20
=3D

Enjoy your=3D20

 new=20
world!
Tom

 "trossi" =

A=3D20

=20
=3D

href=3D3D"
A=20
=
href=3D'mailto:babelfishinmyotherear@yahoo.com">babelfishinmyotherear@yah=
'>mailto:babelfishinmyotherear@yahoo.com">babelfishinmyotherear@yah</A=
>=3D

oo.com

=3D20

=20
wrote in message

A=3D20

 href=3D3D"
A=20
=

>> "DC" <dc@spammersinvenezuela.org> wrote:
>>
>>> Really folks, this is pointless. C'mon LaMont, call Bush a few more
>>> names and declare victory and let's be done with it.
>>
>> That sounds like the same kind of cease-fire that Israelis &
>> Hezbolla are having right now.
>>
>> Neil
>>>
>>> DC
>>>
>>
> I couldn't agree with this sentiment more.

For the last few years I've been mucking about with my music, creating very little, largely because of the sound of this or that not being up to scratch. Or some production issue or another.

Recently I've found a whole new way of working. It violates half of my "It's all about the sound" ideas and comes back to the basics of putting down a song, as quickly as possible, while the energy for that song is there and fresh. I've set up a whole bunch of my favourite sounds, which could still be tweaked, but I'm focussing on using them, not tweaking. I've got a Digitech GNX-4 which I use in loop mode to do the basic recording (not Paris). Once I have a bunch of loops all prepared I can simply switch the wav files over to Paris, drop them in an order I like and the song is done. I then add vocals very quickly and move on to another song.

So far I've only done one tune, but it was last week, and it worked, and worked well for mine. I had fun, I like the product, and the sound is not too shabby anyhow. It's the quickest way to work I've ever found, and I like it.

As Nike would say... "Just do it".

Cheers,
Kim.

"DC" <dc@spammersinguitarcenter.org> wrote:
>
> There is a new piece up on my guitar blog.
>
> <http://dcguitarjournal.blogspot.com/>
>
> It's called
>

>Stop Obsessing and Play Some Music
>
>Take a look if you can.
>
>DC
>
>i don't feel 59...

On Tue, 22 Aug 2006 01:12:54 -0700, "Dubya Mark Wilson"
<mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:

>Just got an email dated June 28, 2009.... we're still doing '06,
>right?!?!?!
>W.
>
>According to my server it's 1:12AM and 54 seconds on Aug 22 2006 in your
parts...

....sounds about right to me. ;o)

Cheers,
Kim.

"Dubya Mark Wilson" <mark.xspam@avidrecording.com> wrote:

>Just got an email dated June 28, 2009.... we're still doing '06,
>right?!?!?!
>W.
>
>
>
>Greetings !

Tuesday August 22nd 7pm
MUSE Receptor @ DAW workshop
http://www.museresearch.com/receptor_on_the_road.php
Hosted By Factory Rep Ted Rackley ! < Former Steinberg Rep >
Special pricing for the PARIS Community

Check out our new Showroom:
http://www.eastcoastmusic.com/starr_rd1.htm

* Also Group Buy's on RME, UAD , TC Electronics BFD,WAVES,
Steinberg,MOTU,REAL TRAPS,Korg, Roland, Yamaha,Tascam,
Studio Projects, Toft,Presonus ADL, SE Mics and many more !
Check out the new SE Reflexion :
<http://www.seelectronics.com/rf.html>

* I'll post some pricing later

Workshops Coming Soon :

RME - Samplitude - Sequioa

Steinberg / NUENDO/ Wavelab

Newbie Night - Great for all newcomers

APPLE / Mac Nights - LOGIC / Garage Band * The new Intel Mac's

Digital Video - Final Cut Pro / VEGAS plus many more

Digital Photography

Digital Animation (Hosted by famous Author Mercer Mayer)

HIP-HOP how to be a HIP-HOP producer with SUPREME KING - Jazzy JAY

Plus many many more :)

Dog Days of Summer Scratch 'n Dent Sale

<http://www.eastcoastmusic.com/blowout.htm>

At this time of year people are at the beach or getting their kids ready to go

back to school but they're not shopping. It's no secret that retail sales are

slow at this time of year. Now you can take advantage of the slow season and if

you move quickly grab 'one of a kind' savings.

We have to move much of our current inventory during the summer dog days to make room for new arrivals and so we're offering INSANE PRICES on select items.

Prices are SLASHED since some items could have a small ding, there may be a used piece or two and others, well maybe our buyer just spent too much time in

the sun. Anyway, there's just one of each in-stock item...no orders or reservations. First come - first served and when that piece is gone, that's all. (however, we'll happily make your day with a KILLER DEAL on a different piece!)

Don't miss out on your chance to take advantage of this PRICE MELTDOWN

ECMM has an URGENT NEED for your USED GEAR. It's likely that you have some equipment that you no longer use. Perhaps you've been thinking about trading up

but just haven't made it happen yet. Well, your timing couldn't be better because as long as this shortfall lasts we will be OFFERING AT LEAST 10% MORE

than we ever have before!

We're looking for everything; guitars, drums, pro audio, PA gear,

PLEASE NOTE: NO PHONE CALLS PLEASE. In order to evaluate any trade-in, the item

has to be seen to estimate its condition so you are encouraged to bring your gear to the store. However, if you feel compelled to contact us about your trade before coming in, please use this email link:
trades@eastcoastmusicmall.com

Morgan
morganp@ntplx.net
Eastcoast Music Mall
800-901-2001I took a playing
> gig with Michael Murphey in '76,

I thought your studio pic looked sorta' familiar. We may have met a while back. I'm not sure though. There was a time back in the late 70's early 80's when I was hanging out with a few folks who knew Michael well when he was gigging a little around Austin. Did you ever know Seigel Frye? He had an eatery on Barton Springs Road named Good Eats Cafe.

;o)

"John Macy" <john@spamlessjohnmacy.com> wrote in message
news:44ea8043\$1@linux...

>
> I grew up in Texas but my family moved to Boston just before my senior
year
> of high school. Of all things, I took up pedal steel in New England
rather
> than Texas. My first band when I was 19 was the John Lincoln Wright Band,
> which became fairly legendary in those parts. Also was hanging around
studios
> like Intermedia, Northern and Longview learning to engineer. I took a
playing
> gig with Michael Murphey in '76, which brought me to Colorado, where I
thought
> I would stay a couple of years, but am still here :) .

>
> I love the Boston area, though. I may come up there this fall to play a
> few gigs--maybe I'll meet some of you then....

>
>
> "Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote:

> >

> >

> >T.,

> > Ya, I graduated in '79 in performance. Guitar was my major but
> > I played some alto too. I really liked the writing classes with
> > Herb Pomeroy, Mike Gibbs and Phil Wilson. I had Ralph Moore
> > from the Tonight Show Band in my senior recital band. Those
> > were the days when Berklee had one 8 track studio only. I only
> > had a few classes in there. That was not important to me at
> > the time. They had just built the Performance Center.
> >
> > I spent a few summers in Champagne/Urbana at the jazz camps
> > at U of I. Very cool college town down there. If you're ever heading
> > towards the Cape or RI, feel free to stop in. It'd be great to meet=20
> > another Paris user. I met Ben Atkins a year or so ago. He's
> > fairly close to you. Maybe a Boston Paris gathering is in store.
> > Right Cujo?
> >
> > Let's set it up!
> > Tom
> >
> >
> > "trossi" <babelfishinmyotherear@yahoo.com> wrote in message =
> > news:44ea407b@linux...
> > Tom,
> >
> > I'm actually originally from outside of Chicago. My parents are both
> =
> > from=20
> > chicago.
> > I was born in Champagne/Urbana where my father got his phd. I spent a
> =
> > lot=20
> > of time
> > in the southeast but never really felt like I belonged there. Glad to
> =
> > be=20
> > back home in
> > the north. Now I'll just have to get used to the snow. I always =
> > wanted to=20
> > go to
> > Berklee when I was younger but never really had the chance. Did you =
> > finish=20
> > school
> > at Berklee? What instrument(s) do you play?
> >
> > peace,
> > T.Rossi
> >
> >
> > "Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote in message =

> >news:44e80547@linux...
> > T.
> > Welcome to the area. I'm originally from the suburbs of Chicago
> > but moved here to go to Berklee in '75. I never returned.
> > My wife and I bought a house down in Raynham which is
> > towards the cape but more inland. Boston is a great city
> > to live in or near. The music scene is fruitful with all the music
> > schools located here. There's a glut of studios too.
> > I whizzed by Arlington about 45 minutes ago on my way home
> > from a gig.
> >
> > Arlington i

Subject: Re: BTW: Paris XP, K8NS ultra939...any pitfalls??..IT SEEMS NOT! (yet..)
Posted by [John](#) on Thu, 13 Jul 2006 20:54:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

br />
Tony

"Morgan" <morganp@ntplx.net> wrote in message news:44eb03d2@linux...
> Greetings !
>
> Tuesday August 22nd 7pm
