
Subject: Saddam Hussein found guilty
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 12:06:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SGY4vPNtsN uCesbNtvVes2
G/Rt+IGw1xB7kOcUu5s3V0TInnrRHUWVIOMkDTjLfoXbRk3bQ7aztWtHC3mp z2PrNvMer21V
n/tNFI7T5D221t/8N5HYHIn4juw1x18V2FgeKXda2BupNuOG4SCFB03T35u/ hr5owz/qwByC
ZE3SulHJSGg3SQdZY6u76V3UueZ0tn5OLteGFJOsCakCmL4irZ2Wi/UboDV0 vFpw2W9JdvLa
0Nj7Q/FZ2HeOnitbC8c/GPYtnEM3Su6XhFaJsrMSXIB+4OWd8Nmz9ovzWiYt NS7YGcN3q9q4
ttfAugi2Qt0VjaFeDNx

Subject: Re: Saddam Hussein found guilty
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 18:09:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LJ28AYFeruxetGbYZdD Gkt29KFmcYpJ
FJrky2u1DZNIikreJVxohU5Bm3oq2/OaQP5jd3NVk0Dd3tSkOkcvBdVMias8 20DR9WvFz6tp
XXAvzf4SH7yghaNA4QpNSHIQyar1XeaUPs7TyklnWSdXLJZo0jyCjF+dDLaY sBb6xdWrD8Hr
WH7TrDOgaUmwoFHRESRaziW32bnfg6pRXpKzbZsOsf6d+xbgXG5s2ooQkKiR
iK6RvXtPM1m1
rdE5R5Pz0CrIL5Ky08ZukjazfqAbvd2blcir0jOj8vprPSQmhQZKiHM5U1OP XFizF5pi10t3
0rbvVXfN2z+hhCPGQgAkSpSROKAPunZUgFnpQsz8rwG7KztHCcVvXqrn3tz2 C/of50Kv1x/y
/wBvHE5JE0jbjbgsrsci9Eu2t3Hx9nF+zjTHExSNUmsWuOUXEiWcryKRL9h9U cV1RcS0VSJW2
mVfYZPrtxdFv/aTun6c891G8Xd3q/wCq3W7Xr9WvlpZtwpg60bblAAyMyV0O t/qf6f1246b/
AA9zaavt/d6veLdlN/CNaRtsVccV1kGnnH

Subject: Re: Saddam Hussein found guilty
Posted by [Nil](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 18:25:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

uX5d1Lo4iEIXZAAhSzrefcZeN/ l6xqWrDRu5Fb
nltl3eCorEDNaVVBVpthu6dcayAO3vAXp9yjyTn+asrKCVxhJTMW6SU1KzdD 9P0oCzW1U881
qet6O08po3GiVCbbcZcqajERENo2GxfC0ZbvWXauazPasj6uy5GKSQo1S7Mo QhRCUTPFtckL
BtIVJNtVWSDx8mCNpQVsbo+6gKPIWVu9mbp1V0aSiyCy8r9XYOsOEjti7Qpl IXFAmmr3WQXZ
ttlxy2esshq7/h/rW9K6lzNEUQu6AJINnW8+bLrjuR1jLabsch3V2nlrNxu8 FZ1iBmVKqgq0
2w3dOuNZAuW14C8vuUeSc/zVIZQSmwm2Yt0kpqVm6H6fpQsa2q3nmtT1vR2n
ING40SoQNuMu
qTRliSNs2GxeC0ZavWXbOa3PasnLBpyMU

Subject: Re: Saddam Hussein found guilty
Posted by [Tom Bruhl](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 07:44:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> >> >> You mentioned in the Pulsar thread:

Thanks,

HoovThis is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_01D5_01C70F6B.3614F950

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Jeff,

Your screen shot looks like it shows 3 more strips than 1280x1024 = allows. That's nice.

I was thinking of using the Matrox Triple Head 2 Go which seemingly only = provides 3840x1024 to three monitors off of the second head of my dual head = card. I think = I'll be stuck here right?

I guess with this scenario I'll be stuck with 1280x1024 permanently. = Okay then no widescreen.

I also really don't like that shiny glass look of some new LCDs. I = prefer the flat look if you know what I mean. They don't seem to show glare as much. Is there a = name for that duller looking=20 type screen?

Thanks for all the info. It is helping.

Tom

"Jeff hoover" <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote in message = news:4566730a@linux...

Don,

Screen shot attached at 1600X1200.

Hoov

Don Nafe wrote:

> Hey Jeff

>=20

> Are you saying you can't load all of the mixer and if so how much?

>=20

> Any chance of a screen shot of a maxed out mixer so I can see what's = not=20

> showing...would be appreciated

>=20

> Don

>=20

>=20

> "Jeff hoover" <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote in message =
news:
