
Subject: Coverter Recommendations Please
Posted by [TC](#) on Mon, 19 Feb 2007 17:02:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

of late he has thrown science roght out
the friggin window and become a fear mongering shill for the left.

"Gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote in message
news:460ed64b\$1@linux...

>
> Timothy F. Ball is a well-known PROFESSIONAL global warming skeptic. He
> started
> a non- profit in Canada (the Natural Resources Stewardship Project) from
> which he and Tom Harris (NRSP head) are well paid.
>
> The Canadian federal Lobbyists Registration System indicates that High
> Park's
> clients (NRSP) include the Canadian Electricity Association and the
> Canadian
> Gas Association.
>
> The Toronto Star Newspapers have done several articles outing the NRSP as
> a shill for Canadian energy giants.
>
> Do a little research before you jump on board the energy-backed
> misinformation
> train.
>
> Some info here:
>

Subject: Re: Coverter Recommendations Please
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Mon, 19 Feb 2007 17:29:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

blank">http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Timothy_F._Ball
>
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Natural_Resources_Stewardship_P roject
>
> These people will never stop finding people willing to take money to
> spread
> the falsehoods.
>

> Gene
>
> "Bill Lorentzen" <bill@lorentzen.ws> wrote:
>> <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=u6IPHmJWmDk&mode=related&search=>
>>
>>It's a British documentary showing another viewpoint about global warming
>
>>and CO2. It's a viewpoint that is different from that of the man who
>>invented the internet..
>>
>>
>"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote in message news:460eed97\$1@linux...
> I'm not about to get into an argument about Global warming...but I do
> remember my Grade 10 science and the chemical make up of the atmosphere
> and from that perspective alone Global Warming being caused by CO2 doesn't
> make any sense and it makes even less sense when you use the numbers the
> IPCC uses to prove that CO2 emmissions are the cause...bad science...plain
> and simple.
>
> On the otherhand, I reduce, reuse and recycle and have spent thousands of
> dollars making my home energy efficient and drive as clean and efficient a
> car as I can afford...I'm doing my part and will continue to due so...but
> not because of scare tactics and bullshit science but because it's the
> smart thing to do.

I think that's to be applauded and I don't disagree that some of the models that arebeing put out there (by both sides) are flawed, but to me, it's one of those obvious things and I just don't get how something so relavent as global pupulation can be overlooked. It's as idiotic as when I hear people saying that we *started* a war in Iraq when the war that was started by Sadaam never ended. The fundamental facts are being overlooked for the sake of political posturing while a very real disaster looms on the horizon because there is no commonality of purpose..

"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote in message news:460ef03c@linux...

> And speaking about Canadians....David Suzuki used to be common sense
> environmentalist - highly respected and a good messenger for science, but
> after seeing a few of his interviews of late he has thrown science right
> out the friggin window and become a fear mongering shill for the left

Subject: Re: Coverter Recommendations Please
Posted by [TC](#) on Mon, 19 Feb 2007 18:16:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Man I have got to learn to type ARGH!!!

>
> "Gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote in message
> news:460ed64b\$1@linux...
>>
>> Timothy F. Ball is a well-known PROFESSIONAL global warming skeptic. He
>> started
>> a non- profit in Canada (the Natural Resources Stewardship Project) from
>> which he and Tom Harris (NRSP head) are well paid.
>>
>> The Canadian federal Lobbyists Registration System indicates that High
>> Park's
>> clients (NRSP) include the Canadian Electricity Association and the
>> Canadian
>> Gas Association.
>>
>> The Toronto Star Newspapers have done several articles outing the NRSP as
>> a shill for Canadian energy giants.
>>
>> Do a little research before you jump on board the energy-backed
>> misinformation
>> train.
>>
>> Some info here:
>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Timothy_F._Ball
>>
>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Natural_Resources_Stewardship_P roject
>>
>> These people will never stop finding people willing to take money to
>> spread
>> the falsehoods.
>>
>> Gene
>>
>> "Bill Lorentzen" <bill@lorentzen.ws> wrote:
>>> <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=u6IPHmJWmDk&mode=related& amp;search=>
>>>
>>>It's a British document

Subject: Re: Coverter Recommendations Please
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Mon, 19 Feb 2007 18:32:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

te:
>

>

>Hi James,

Ha actually his name is Justin. Frankel. It will probably go up it price at some time or another but even if it doubles it is still a bargain and still worth every penny.

It makes me chuckle when I think how much Saw Studio is and how little it offers. The only other program that I've seen that runs this well at very low latencies is Samplitude.

Also it is the only program I've seen so far and will properly address 8 cores on a Xeon.

There is a Reaper user named Jason who contributes allot to the Reaper forums and such and people always confuse em.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Justin_Frankel

Chris

James McCloskey wrote:

> Chris Ludwig <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote:

>

>> Hi James,

>> Its still more of a alpha version. It's gotta a while to go before it

>> works the same as the PC version. I think he might be trying to get them

>>

>

>

>> both be in sink with the 2.0 versions.

>>

>>

>> Chris

>>

>

> If This guy Jason keeps developing Reaper, and keeps the price the same,

> all the other companies are going to have problems competing. Who is going

> to want to pay a thousand dollars when you can buy Reaper for \$39.00?

>

> His development for Mac OSX seems to be rapid.

>

> Now , if we could only get him to develop Reaper for Paris.....I Know, Shut

> up.

>

> James

>

>> James McCloskey wrote:

>>

>>> Are any of you mac guys playing with the beta of REAPER?

>>>

>>> Reaper Forum about REAPER.

>>> <http://www.cockos.com/forum/showthread.php?t=4220>

>>>

>>> Here's the latest Mac OSX version:

>>> <http://reaper.fm/files/reaperosx-0.15U.dmg>

>>>

>>>

>> --

>> Chris Ludwig

>> ADK

>> chrisl@adkproaudio.com <<mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>>

>> www.adkproaudio.com <<http://www.adkproaudio.com/>>

>> (859) 635-5762

>>

>

>

--

Chris Ludwig

ADK

chrisl@adkproaudio.com <<mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>>

www.adkproaudio.com <

Subject: Re: Coverter Recommendations Please
Posted by [TC](#) on Mon, 19 Feb 2007 18:45:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t bother with more than 2 gigs.

Chris

Jon Jiles wrote:

> So Mr. Ludwig,

>

> What CPU/Ram combos would you suggest with this board for best stability
> with Cubase 4?

>

> Thanks!

> jj

>

> "Jon Jiles" <nomo@nomo.com> wrote:

>

>> Hey Chris - Thanks a bunch for the info!!!

>> Cheers,

>> Jon
>>
>> Chris Ludwig <

Subject: Re: Coverter Recommendations Please
Posted by [TC](#) on Mon, 19 Feb 2007 18:50:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

:chrisl@adkproaudio.com" target="_blank">chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Hi Jon,
>>> make sure you load the newest BIOS before you getting to chipset/driver
>>>
>>> updates etc.
>>>
>>> leave the sata controller on ids mode the raid mode is not very reliable.
>>> Make sure you use the AMD dual core optimizer driver and the ULI chipset
>>>
>>> update from Nvidias website not from ASUS website. Don't use the on
>>> boards sound.
>>>
>>> Don't use 4 gigs of ram the board is not as stable with 4 gigs.
>>>
>>>
>>> Chris
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Jon Jiles wrote:
>>>
>>>> Hey Chris,
>>>>
>>>> Thanks for the info. I'm kinda stuck

Subject: Re: Coverter Recommendations Please
Posted by [neil\[1\]](#) on Mon, 19 Feb 2007 19:27:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ary showing another viewpoint about global warming
>>
>>>and CO2. It's a viewpoint that is different from that of the man who
>>>invented the internet...
>>>
>>>

>>

>

>I understand what you're saying DJ and I'm in no way saying that humans aren't contributing to greenhouse gases..my only problem is that greenhouse gases make up such a small (almost insignificant) portion of our atmosphere that I personally find it hard to believe that Global Warming; if there is such a thing happening, is being driven by human activity

DOn

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message news:460ef23c\$1@linux...

>

> "Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote in message news:460eed97\$1@linux...

>> I'm not about to get into an argument about Global warming...but I do

>> remember my Grade 10 science and the chemical make up of the atmosphere

>> and from that perspective alone Global Warming being caused by CO2

>> doesn't make any sense and it makes even less sense when you use the

>> numbers the IPCC uses to prove that CO2 emmissions are the cause...bad

>> science...plain and simple.

>>

>> On the otherhand, I reduce, reuse and recycle and have spent thousands of

>> dollars making my home energy efficient and drive as clean and efficient

>> a car as I can afford...I'm doing my part and will continue to due

>> so...but not because of scare tactics and bullshit science but because

>> it's the smart thing to do.

>

>

> I think that's to be applauded and I don't disagree that some of the

> models that arebeing put out there (by both sides) are flawed, but to me,

> it's one of those obvious things and I just don't get how something so

> relavent as global pupulation can be overlooked. It's as idiotic as when I

> hear people saying that we *started* a war in Iraq when the war that was

> started by Sadaam never ended. The fundamental facts are being overlooked

> for the sake of political posturing while a very real disaster looms on

> the horizon because there is no commonality of purpose..

>I'm all about recycling but I'm not sure about this example of deep ecology.

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wro

Subject: Re: Coverter Recommendations Please

Posted by [Paul Artola](#) on Mon, 19 Feb 2007 20:38:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

r />

<http://www.exxonsecrets.org/>

SCIENTIFIC CONSENSUS

The scientific consensus is actually pretty clear.

From: <http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/306/5702/1686>

"PCC is not alone in its conclusions. In recent years, all major scientific bodies in the United States whose members' expertise bears directly on the matter have issued similar statements. For example, the National Academy of Sciences report, *Climate Change Science: An Analysis of Some Key Questions*, begins: "Greenhouse gases are accumulating in Earth's atmosphere as a result of human activities, causing surface air temperatures and subsurface ocean temperatures to rise" [p. 1 in (5)]. The report explicitly asks whether the IPCC assessment is a fair summary of professional scientific thinking, and answers yes: "The IPCC's conclusion that most of the observed warming of the last 50 years is likely to have been due to the increase in greenhouse gas concentrations accurately reflects the current thinking of the scientific community on this issue" [p. 3 in (5)].

Others agree. The American Meteorological Society (6), the American Geophysical Union (7), and the American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS) all have issued statements in recent years concluding that the evidence for human modification of climate is compelling (8).

The drafting of such reports and statements involves many opportunities for comment, criticism, and revision, and it is not likely that they would diverge greatly from the opinions of the societies' members. Nevertheless, they might downplay legitimate dissenting opinions. That hypothesis was teste

Subject: Re: Coverter Recommendations Please
Posted by [TC](#) on Tue, 20 Feb 2007 17:05:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

a little island off the coast of
>>Oregon.
>
>>If there's even a chance that Al Gore's Inconvenience is True, I say let's
>
>>change things aggressively NOW.
>>
>> But come on . . . there's way more than a chance. Isn't this really
>
>>about resistance to change?

>>
>> I have several friends who still smoke, including a couple of nurses.
>
>>None of these people denies the risks, but I really think underneath it
> all
>>they just don't give a crap. &qu

Subject: Re: Coverter Recommendations Please
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Tue, 20 Feb 2007 20:01:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

rtunity and
>> responsibility to do something about it, for the sake of future
>> generations.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>
>Also, if you intend to upgrade a PCI chassis and use it with the
PEHIFX1 PCIe host card, make sure it is not a model that uses the DEC
bridge chipset... you will have to replace the backplane and cable as
well... for \$1100.00!!!

David.

DJ wrote:
> Dimitrios,
>
> "Dimitrios" <[musurgioNOSPAM@otenet](mailto:musurgioNOSPAM@otenet.gr)>@.gr> wrote in message
> news:4610ceda\$1@linux...
>
>>Hi,
>>Although I had a magma and sold it wanna get one back again...
>>Now is there any cable for magma sold cheap from a third party source ?
>
>
> I once had a contact for the 80 pin flat ribbon cables. I cannot find it
> now. The newer cables appear to be some kind of SCSI protocall.
>
>
>>Secondly if I buy barebone pci board and the interface cards will this
>>(13slot)
>>board fit on ANY normal pc case ATX ?
>
>
> Not without some major surgery to the back of the case. The 13 slots on the

- > backplane will take up the area where the I/O connectors are located on a
 - > normal mobo and the spacing between the slots may not line up exactly with
 - > the precut slot
-