
Subject: Best dirt cheap near fields?

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 20:51:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

at is costly to replace or

> crossgrade) - just saying that imho, Shelly's reasoning is based on the

> fact that he's enamoured with the chique (sp?) of Apple, rather than

> just getting

Subject: Re: Best dirt cheap near fields?

Posted by [Chris Ludwig](#) on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 21:06:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> "It costs \$1,799 for the base model. But, set up the way anybody who

>>>> MacBook Pro."

>>>>

>>>> Hypberbole. He's blaming Apple for the cost of a solid state drive.

>>>> This makes no sense. Notice that the price he complains about is the

>>>> price WITH the flash drive option. Yet Apple actually charges less

>>>> than

Subject: Re: Best cheap dirt near fields?

Posted by [Carl Amburn](#) on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 21:19:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

nk may be the most

Subject: Re: Best dirt cheap near fields?

Posted by [Neil](#) on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 22:39:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>>> important announcement of MacWorld for future impact on Apple's

>>>> growth. He did like the Time Capsule, which is at least interesting.

>>>> He hates the iPhone and AT&T, so he'll just have to keep complaining

>>>> about that until their exclusive relationship ends.

>>>>

>>>> Cheers,

>>>> -Jamie
>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>

Subject: Re: Best dirt cheap near fields?
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 00:29:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

tween \$1100 and \$2800 for one
>> of the six base m

Subject: Re: Best dirt cheap near fields?
Posted by [DJ](#) on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 16:31:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

bleeding edge for \$2-4k to email Aunt Sally and store the
>>>>> family photo album.
>>>>>
>>>>> I don't think that's what he's saying. The box he's complaining
>>>>> about _is_ under \$2K (configured the way most people who care about
>>>>> price would buy it). Just because he stupidly overpaid for his Mac
>>>>> doesn't mean others will. But if that's your complaint, there are
>>>>> Macs for less than \$2k.
>>>>>
>>>>> If the general complaint about Apple is price, then it should be
>>>>> noted that the new desktop boxes are less expensive than Dell's, and
>>>>> the new super light notebook is less expensive than Sony's (and
>>>>> under 2K in the standard configuration).
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> The MacBook, feels cheap, and while it has good specs and isn

Subject: Re: Best dirt cheap near fields?
Posted by [DJ](#) on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 17:55:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

is the issue, it's too soon to buy a 54GB solid state
>>>>>>> drive on ANY laptop, not just Apple's. Also, he adds the SSD to
>>>>>>> the MacBook Air and then compares that configuration to laptops
>>>>>>> without an SSD. If that's the comparison, then he should compare
>>>>>>> the \$1700 non-SSD version of the Air to the other subnotebooks.

>>>>> And at that point he has little point.
>>>>>
>>>>> He could have complained about the lack of firewire or grouched
>>>>> about the need for an adapter to use ethernet, but he didn't.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "The movie studios like this, I like it! However, Wall Street

>>>>>
>>>>> The article I linked the other day showed that Apple shares
>>>>> usually go down after the keynote. Also, the entire market took a
>>>>> hit on Wednesday for issues not directly related to a speech by
>>>>> Steve Jobs. :^)
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "I really wanted to see something really great and useful from
>>>>> Apple this week. On the top of my wishlist was a true
>>>>> sub-notebook. Something I could throw in a portfolio or briefcase
>>>>> for short hops."
>>>>>
>>>>> Since he's not complaining about a lack of ports, I don't know why
>>>>> the Air doesn't fulfill that role for him. Maybe he's too cool to
>>>>> buy the base version with the hard drive.
>&g

Subject: Re: Best dirt cheap near fields?
Posted by [TCB](#) on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 18:27:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ad but not a great analysis. He phoned it in.
>>>>>

>>>>> easily be replaced by \$1,500 Windows kit."
>>>>>
>>>>> If price were the issue, he would have paid a lot less for the
>>>>> MacBook Pro and added 3rd party RAM at considerable savings. So he
>>>>> blows his credibility right off the top with that exaggerated
>>>>> comparison.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "It costs \$1,799 for the base model. But, set up the way anybody

>>>>> 1.6Ghz, 64GB box. The same 4k buys you a mind-blowing Vista box or

>>>>>
>>>>> Hypberbole. He's blaming Apple for the cost of a solid state
>>>>> drive. This makes no sense. Notice that the price he complains
>>>>> about is the price WITH the flash drive option. Yet Apple actually
>>>>> charges less than street price to add that drive.
>>>>>
>>>>> If price

Subject: Re: Best dirt cheap near fields?
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 21:50:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ok
>>> reports ;-).
>>>
&g

Subject: Re: Best dirt cheap near fields?
Posted by [Don Nafe](#) on Thu, 29 Nov 2007 13:14:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the family
>>
>>>>> photo album.
>>>>
>>>> I don't think that's what he's saying. The box he's complaining about
>> _is_
>>>> under \$2K (configured the way most people who care about price would
buy
>>
>>>> it). Just because he stupidly overpaid for his Mac doesn't mean others
>>
>>>> will. But if that's your complaint, there are Macs for less than \$2k.
>>>>
>>>>

Subject: Re: Best dirt cheap near fields?
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Thu, 29 Nov 2007 15:06:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mplying that the only reason people buy Macs is to be "brand
>>
>>>> loyal and hip," you're wrong. That doesn't apply to most of the Mac

>>>> users
>>
>>>> I know, who own Macs to get work done and chose them as the preferred
>> tool
>>>> given the options. Frankly, I would rather be using B

Subject: Re: Best dirt cheap near fields?
Posted by [DJ](#) on Fri, 30 Nov 2007 08:36:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

r />
>> the biggest monopolies (and yes, marketing organizations) on earth. As
>> you imply, some of that choice is illusory. But not all.
>>
>> If Apple still had clones there would be more choice for OSX boxes, if
>> Apple could have survived that (I think yes but those who justify
>> dropping the Mac clones long ago think it saved the company).
>>
>>
>>> If I could get a MacMini with the option to trick it out with 8G and
>>> a second SATA drive it would be seriously enticing
>>> for peripheral sample libraries (you need to come down and see this
>>> in action - it's a beautiful thing to offload that much
>>> processing). I know other composers that would have gone that route
&g

Subject: Re: Best dirt cheap near fields?
Posted by [Neil](#) on Fri, 30 Nov 2007 14:57:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
>>>>> desktop release with more than
>>>>> 3 models... so, "every" means "every". That's not called
>>>>> hyperbole, it's called history. ;-))
>>>>
>>>> Maybe I misunderstood you. I saw "hyperbole" in your

Subject: Re: Best dirt cheap near fields?
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sat, 01 Dec 2007 01:58:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

take
a

>> nice long look at this. I think for me two Mix thingys + one Control would
>> be pretty sweet.
>>

Subject: Re: Best dirt cheap near fields?
Posted by [Neil](#) on Sat, 01 Dec 2007 02:05:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;
>> TCB
>>
>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>Ok.. I just went over to the Euphonix site, and I saw the new artist
>>>series
>>>MC/MC-Mix.. I'm very impressed.
>>>
>>> http://euphon221.securesites.net/artist/products/artist_systems/overview.php
>>>
>>>Those early pictures did not do these babies justice. Nice. And, I can

>>>configure
>>>thes babies for a very (Cosmetics) nice setup...
>>>Think BrianT's(Paris setup with the 4 Mec's and screens..
>>>
>>>I'm actually floored and I'm "eating" my words. Nice Job Euphonix..

Subject: Re: Best dirt cheap near fields?
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sat, 01 Dec 2007 14:27:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

retty much identical (it
>>>>
> does
>
>>>> have a partially aluminum case, and feels better than the Ma

Subject: Re: Best dirt cheap near fields?
Posted by [DJ](#) on Mon, 03 Dec 2007 03:04:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

he stupidly overpaid for his Mac doesn't mean others
>>>>>>
>>>>>> will. But if that's your complaint, there are Macs for less than

\$2k.

>>>>>>

>>>>>> If the general complaint about Apple is price, then it should be noted

>>>>>>

>>>>>> that the new desktop boxes are less expensive than Dell's, and the >new

>>>>>>

>>>>>> super light notebook is less expensive than Sony's (and under 2K in

>>>>>>

>>> the

>>>

>>>>>> standard configuration).

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>> The MacBook, feels cheap, and while it has good specs and isn't really

>>>>>>

>>>>>> out of line with a comparable PC laptop, it sits alone in it's sub

>>>>>>

>>> \$2k

>>>

>>>>>> price point in the Apple lineup.

>>>>>>

>>>>>> Other than the Mac Mini and iMac. Oh, you mean laptops. Yes, except

>>>>>>

>>> for

>>>

>>>>>> the low end MacBook Pro and the new MacBook Air, sans flash drive.

>As

>>>>>>

>>>>> for

>>>>>

>>>>>> "feeling cheap," the MacBook does have a plastic case. But my wife

>has

>>>>>>

>>>>> one

>>>>>

>>>>>> (G4 version); it seems reasonably rugged and has held up well. It

>also

>>>>>>

>>>>>> gets better wireless reception than my metal-clad Powerbook.

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>> My laptop cost \$750 and has comparable specs to the Macbook, but

>with
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> more I/O (it's closer to the Macbook pro in feature set - not psyched
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> about Vista on it, but I can't deny it gets the same job done for
>a
>>>>>>>
>>> lot
>>>
>>>>>>> less money).
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> It's good to have choices. Apple is only one choice. If another choice
>>>>>>>
>>>>> is
>>>>>
>>>>>>> better for you, by all means buy it. If everyone bought a Mac, Apple
>>>>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>> would
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> be insufferable. :^)
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Competition is good. On the flip side, if Apple weren't pushing the
>>>>>>> envelope, the other companies building commodity MSWindows boxes
would
>>>>>>>
>>>>> be
>>>>>
>>>>>>> less motivated to give you stuff you like. So keep buying what moves
>>>>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>> you,
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> be it Apple or someone else.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> The same is true of desktops. So his point is based on his opening
>>>>>>>> comments about being enamoured with all things Apple.
>>>>>>>> Sure, he could have gone cheaper, but money is hardly a concern
for
>>>>>>>> Shelly considering his success - it's just a reference point
>>>>>>>> that he could have spent 1/3 of what he did and accomplished the
>same
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> amount of work, but being enamoured with Apple, he goes for the

gold

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> instead. In other words, he's a victim of clever marketing - getting

>>>>>>>

>>>>> you

>>>>>

>>>>>>> to buy way more than you need or want.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> I can't speak for him, but I didn't buy way

Subject: Re: Best dirt cheap near fields?

Posted by [DJ](#) on Mon, 03 Dec 2007 03:09:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

more than I need or want,

>>>>>>>

>>>>> and

>>>>>

>>>>>>> I have a Mac.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> When you have the option to pay \$1000 or less and get the same job

>>>>>>>

>>> done

>>>

>>>>>>> (depending on what job you are trying to accomplish of course),

vs.

>>>>>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>>>>> going

>>&g

Subject: Re: Best dirt cheap near fields?

Posted by [Chris Latham](#) on Wed, 05 Dec 2007 05:19:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;

>>>> Btw - I was in Best Buy today - there were probably 20 different

>>>> laptop models, and 30 desktop models there

>>>> to choose from. 2 iMacs, 1 desktop, and 3 laptops. Regardless of

>>>> prefer
